

# Superhydrophobic capillary coatings: Elaboration, characterization and application to electrophoretic separations

L Leclercq, Charly Renard, Antonio Stocco, Herve Cottet

#### ▶ To cite this version:

L Leclercq, Charly Renard, Antonio Stocco, Herve Cottet. Superhydrophobic capillary coatings: Elaboration, characterization and application to electrophoretic separations. Journal of Chromatography A, 2019, 1603, pp.361-370. 10.1016/j.chroma.2019.06.035 . hal-03036222

# HAL Id: hal-03036222 https://hal.science/hal-03036222v1

Submitted on 9 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Superhydrophobic capillary coatings: elaboration,

## 2 characterization and application to electrophoretic separations

- 3 Charly Renard<sup>1</sup>, Laurent Leclercq<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Stocco<sup>2,a</sup>, Hervé Cottet<sup>1\*</sup>
- <sup>4</sup> IBMM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, France
- <sup>5</sup> L2C, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, France
- <sup>a</sup> Current address : ICS, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, France
- 7 \* CORRESPONDING AUTHOR
- 8 Tel: +33 4 6714 3427, Fax: +33 4 6763 1046. E-mail: herve.cottet@umontpellier.fr

#### 9 **ABSTRACT.**

1

- 10 Separation efficiency is ideally controlled by molecular diffusion in capillary electrophoresis (CE). However, other adverse phenomena, such as solute adsorption on capillary surface, tend 11 12 to increase the peak dispersion. An interesting alternative to limit the solute adsorption is to avoid as much as possible the contact of the solute with the capillary surface by elaborating 13 14 superhydrophobic (SH) coatings on fused silica capillary surfaces. This work describes an 15 optimized protocol to get non-wettable SH coating using hydrophobically modified silica nanoparticle suspensions (Glaco<sup>TM</sup>), based on simple capillary flushes and thermal 16 stabilization. In this protocol, the control of the air flushing after the introduction of the 17 Glaco<sup>TM</sup> suspension in the capillary was found crucial to get optimized coating coverage and 18 reproducibility. The SH coating was characterized by ellipsometry, atomic force microscopy, 19 20 scanning electron microscopy, contact angle (about 159°) and the observation of the meniscus 21 of water in the coated capillary. The hydrodynamic behavior of the SH coated capillary was 22 investigated by plotting the Poiseuille law. Finally, electrophoretic separations of a peptide 23 mixture in acidic conditions demonstrated the interest of this approach with an increase by a factor 2 of the separation efficiency compared to fused silica capillary. 24
- 26 **Keywords:** Superhydrophobic coating, wettability, adsorption, capillary electrophoresis.

#### 1. Introduction

superhydrophobic when  $CA > 120^{\circ}$  [5].

Superhydrophobic surfaces (SHS) are generally generated from the combination of chemical hydrophobicity with micro and nano-structuration that makes the surface entirely water repellent. An air layer is formed between the surface and water solution poured on it. The most well-known examples can be found in Nature with lotus leaves, butterfly wings, petals of rose, or legs of gerris [1-3]. Direct applications of SHS include self-cleaning, antifreeze, anticorrosion, and anti-friction surfaces, together with droplet handling [1-3]. Other applications exist in pharmaceutical and biomedical fields, such as the study of cellular communication or the reduction of bacterial adhesion on biomedical implants [4].

HS can be characterized by the measurement of the static contact angle (CA), which is the macroscopic angle between the solid-liquid interface and the liquid-air interface when a water droplet is deposited on a solid surface. The higher the CA value, the more spherical the

droplet is, and so, the more repellent to water the surface is. A surface is hydrophilic when

CA < 90°, while it is considered as hydrophobic when 90° < CA < 120°, and

SHS can be divided into two categories with antagonistic effects. The lotus effect prevents water molecules from adhering to the surface, while the petal effect corresponds to a sticking (but non-wetting) water drop on the surface. The difference between these two categories is generally related to pattern periodicity and surface roughness [2]. Apart from these two effects, surface wetting on SHS can be characterized by the so-called Cassie (non-wetting) [6] and Wenzel (wetting) states (Figure 1). In the Cassie state, air bubbles are present in the anfractuosities of the surface, while the liquid fill most of the space in the Wenzel state [7-8]. Some SHS can lead to slippage (Cassie state with a lotus effect), others not (Cassie state with a petal effect). In this context, Wenzel state corresponds to simple hydrophobic wetting. Due to the presence of air, water friction on SHS is reduced and generally

characterized by the slip length that is defined as the length corresponding to the intercept along the axis orthogonal to the interface when a tangent line is drawn along the velocity profile at the interface [9-11].

SHS can be prepared in several ways that are generally based on silica nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, SU-8 polymeric photoresist, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) or lithography [12]. For instance, microchannels (30 µm deep x 300 µm wide x 8 cm long) coated by octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS), give a CA of 120° and 0.92 µm slip length [13]. Other examples can be found in the literature. Lotus-leaf layered columns (7 to 19 mm diameter, 145 mm height) were obtained by lotus leaf print on flat flexible cellophane paper (100 µm thick) and then filled with steel balls of 4.6 mm diameter, giving a CA higher than 150° and 47-117 µm slip length [14]. Cylindrical microchannels (150 µm diameter) were prepared with negative print of lotus leaf surface in polystyrene, giving a CA of 160° [15]. Microchannels were coated with carbon nanotubes, giving a CA of 165° and 20 µm slip length [16]. Superhydrophobic cells consisting of two surface-modified glass plates with nanostructured silica wall (by deposition of soot, tetraethoxysilane and alkyl trichlorosilane layers) were used with two platinium electrodes, giving a CA of 169°, less than 1 µm roughness, up to 30 nm slip length under 0 to 50 V/cm electrical field, but no increase of electroosmotic flow [17].

Capillary electrophoresis (CE) is a separation technique especially well-suited for the analysis of (bio)macromolecules such as peptides, proteins and polysaccharides. In the ideal case, when separation efficiency is controlled by molecular diffusion, the theoretical plate number in CE is inversely proportional to the molecular diffusion coefficient [18-21]. Therefore, the larger the solute is, the lower its molecular diffusion coefficient, and the higher the separation efficiency. However, other dispersive phenomena can occur, such as solute adsorption onto the capillary wall, which tend to decrease the separation efficiency [22]. In order to minimize protein adsorption, capillaries can be physically or chemically coated with

a neutral [23] or charged polymer [24] or even using polyelectrolyte multilayers [25][26]. Njoya et al. proposed the use of silica colloidal crystals (with ordered arrangements of silica spheres coated with polyacrylamide) for protein electrophoresis leading to plate height of only 0.15 µm [27]. An interesting alternative to avoid solute adsorption onto the capillary wall would be to limit the wettability of the water-based electrolyte onto the capillary surface. To our knowledge, the idea of using SHS in CE has not been yet investigated. When hydrophobic surfaces are used in CE or miniaturized chip electrophoresis [17, 28-31], researchers generally try to reduce the analyte adsorption by modifying/coating the capillary/channel wall and making them more hydrophilic. For instance in ref [30], electrophoretic separations of amino acids were compared in PDMS microchannels without and with an in situ polyethylene glycol covalent modification using air-plasma treatment and silanization [30]. Coated PDMS microchannels gave much better separation efficiency (up to 20 times) due to higher hydrophilicity leading to lower CA (64° instead of 106° for non-coated PDMS). In this work, we propose a paradigm change by making the capillary wall so hydrophobic that the capillary surface should become unwettable by the background electrolyte, and by the solute zone. By this way, the interactions between the solutes and the capillary wall should be reduced. Finally, it is worth noting that slip flow occurring in chromatography using colloidal crystals made of 470 nm silica spheres that are chemically modified with hydrocarbon considerably enhance the separation efficiency [32].

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

Glaco<sup>TM</sup> is a commercial isopropyl alcohol suspension containing hydrophobically modified silica nanoparticles used as a spray to make car windshields and wing mirrors superhydrophobic to improve visibility during rainy conditions. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coating has been used and reported in literature based on a simple coating protocol consisting in the contact of the surface to be treated with the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> solution, followed by heating at 200°C for 30 min [33]. This process was generally repeated 3-4 times [33]. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)

studies of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> treated surface showed that particle size was about 30 to 50 nm, with 103 50 to 200 nm roughness, a CA of minimum 155° and a sliding angle less than 5° [33-34]. 104 Superhydrophobicity was observed on Glaco<sup>TM</sup> treated microscope glass blade (with receding 105 angle of  $150^{\circ}$  and advancing angle of  $164^{\circ}$ ) [35]. 106

This work aims at elaborating, characterizing and using superhydrophobic coatings in fused silica capillaries using Glaco<sup>TM</sup> deposition for electrophoretic separations.

109

110

111

120

121

127

128

129

130

107

108

#### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1. Chemicals and samples

Glaco Mirror Coat Zero<sup>TM</sup> was purchased from Soft99 (Osaka, Japan). It is made of silica 112 nanoparticles (hydrophobically modified with alkyl functions) suspension in isopropyl alcohol 113 (see Material safety data sheet [36]). The nanoparticle concentration in the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> solution is 114 115 about 4 g/L, as quantified by weighting the dry residue after evaporation of the solvent. Ultrapure grade water (18 MΩ.cm) was produced on a Milli-Q equipment (Merck Millipore, 116 117 USA). Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99% m/m) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Quentin Fallavier, France). 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-118 119 piperazine ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) from VWR (Fontenay-sous-bois, France), tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) from Thermo Fischer (Karlsruhe, Germany) and sodium phosphate, monobasic 99% m/m from Aldrich Chemical Company (Milwaukee, USA) were used as received to prepare the different background electrolytes in CE. 122 Creatinine, alanine dimer (Ala-Ala), alanine trimer (Ala-Ala), alanine tetramer (Ala-Ala-123 Ala-Ala), Lys-His-Gly and Bursin tripeptides were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-124 125 Quentin Fallavier, France). Brilliant Blue FCF (food coloring, E133) was used as a water colorant purchased from Vahiné (Avignon, France) and used as is. 126

#### 2.2. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coating

Glass blades (76 x 26 mm, Thermo Scientific, Germany) were coated with Glaco<sup>TM</sup> by pouring Glaco<sup>TM</sup> solution on them using a 5 mL plastic pipette. Isopropyl alcohol was then evaporated and the coating was stabilized by placing the glass blades in an oven for 15

minutes at 250°C. The same process was repeated 3 times to obtain maximum covering of the glass surface by the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> nanoparticles.

Fused silica capillaries (PolyMicro Technologies, Photonlines, Saint-Germain-en-Layes, France) of 360 μm external diameter, various internal diameters (180, 100 and 50 μm), and 40 cm total length (30 cm to the detector window) were coated with Glaco<sup>TM</sup> by flushing at a given pressure and for a given duration that depended on the internal diameter (see Table 1). Excess of Glaco<sup>TM</sup> solution was removed by flushing with air at a well-defined pressure / duration depending on the capillary internal diameter (I.D.) (see Table 1). Coating was then thermally stabilized by placing the capillaries in a GC-2010 Plus oven (Shimadzu, Marne-la-Vallée, France) for 15 min at 250°C without any gas flow. The same process was repeated 4 times by alternating the flushing direction in the capillary (alternatively from the starting or the ending ends of the capillary) to obtain maximum covering of the capillary walls by the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> nanoparticles. Capillaries were finally let overnight under ambient air at room temperature before use.

#### 2.3. Surface characterization methods

#### 2.3.1 Contact Angle (CA)

- 148 Contact angle between droplets of 20 mM HEPES + 10 mM NaOH (pH 7.46) solution and
- 149 Glaco<sup>TM</sup> treated glass blades was measured using PAT tensiometer (Sinterface, Berlin,
- 150 Germany). Dropsnake plugin on ImageJ software was used for processing the picture of the
- droplet. Advancing contact angle was measured by growing gradually (with 1 µL steps) the
- droplet volume from 20 µL to 30 µL, while receiding angle was measured by decreasing
- gradually the droplet volume from 30 µL to 20 µL.

#### 2.3.2Ellipsometry

Multiple angles of incidence ellipsometry (Multiskop Optrel, Sinzing, Germany) was used to measure the thickness  $d_{Glaco}$  and refraction index  $n_{Glaco}$  of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coating on a glass blade and the thickness of the air layer on a Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass blade submerged in deionized water. The incidence angle of the laser beam (533 nm) could be finely modified and the reflected polarized light was detected by a four quadrant photodiode (2×2 cm<sup>2</sup>). Ellipsometry at the water-solid interface was measured by using light guides immersed in water in order to

detect the reflection from the water-solid interface avoiding the reflection coming from the

air-water interface [37].

#### 2.3.3 Atomic Force Measurement (AFM)

- 164 Tapping Mode Atomic Force Microscopy (TM-AFM) images were acquired with a Bruker
- Nanoman (Bruker SAS, Palaiseau, France) driven by Nanoscope 3A Quadrex electronics. The
- 166 cantilever tips were Silicon Point Probe Plus<sup>TM</sup> NCSTR (Nanosensors, Neuchâtel,
- Switzerland) used at a constant force of 6.5 N/m, resonance frequency of 157 kHz. All the
- image treatments and the thickness measurements were performed using WSxM 4.0 Beta 8.2
- and the resulting data were treated with the Fityk software to correct the background of the
- image.

171

185

163

#### 2.3.4 Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)

- 172 Glaco<sup>TM</sup> nanoparticles size was measured with a Litesizer<sup>TM</sup> 500 (Anton Paar, Graz, Austria)
- dynamic light scattering (DLS) equipment mounted with a BM10 module, driven by Kalliope
- 1.8.4 software. Quartz cells (10 mm x 10 mm x 45 mm) were used. Refraction index of
- isopropyl alcohol was 1.3749 at 25 °C. Transmittance was 98.3% at 90°. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> solution (4
- g/L in nanoparticle) was used as received for the DLS measurement.

#### 2.7. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

- Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was realized using a Hitachi S4800 machine (Hitachi
- High Technologies America, Inc., Schaumburg, Illinois, USA). Thickness, roughness and
- nanoparticle size were measured on Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass blades and capillaries. Inner surface
- of capillaries were made accessible to SEM by burning the external polyimide protection
- layer of the capillaries and then by shattering it with a ceramic capillary cutter on a double
- faced tape support to obtain some shards turned face up. Acceleration voltage varied from 0.1
- to 30 kV depending on the magnification and sample conductivity.

#### 2.3.6 Optical Microscopy

- USB optical microscope n° 44302 (Celestron, California, USA) was used to observe the
- capillary wall-air-electrolyte interface in  $Glaco^{TM}$  coated capillaries at  $\times 150$  magnification.
- Capillaries (40 cm total length) of various internal diameters (50, 100 and 180 µm) were

observed after being partially filled with brilliant blue dyed water (1 g/L) and air bubble. Dyed water and air were introduced with a syringe fixed on capillary by a LuerTight<sup>TM</sup> 360 µm outside diameter (O.D.) tubing to Luer connection (P-662 rapid connection, Idex Health and Science, California, USA).

# 2.3.7. C4D profiling of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary

The capacitively coupled contactless conductivity detector (C4D) was a TraceDec (Innovative Sensor Technologies GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria) used with the following settings: frequency 2xHIGH, voltage -12 Db, gain 50% and offset 0. Conductivity measurements were performed along the capillary under a 1 mL/min flow rate of 20 mM HEPES + 10 mM NaOH electrolyte, pH 7.46. The flow rate was ensured by a syringe-pump (KD Scientific, USA) connected to the capillary by a LuerTight<sup>TM</sup> connection (P-662 rapid connection, Idex Health and Science, California, USA). The C4D cell was moved along the capillary by steps of 3 cm, and the conductivity was measured at each point. Fused silica capillaries of different I.D. were tested as reference and compared to a 100 μm I.D. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary.

#### 2.3.8. Hydrodynamic behavior: Poiseuille law

The flow rate under given hydrodynamic pressure was measured using the CE equipment on Glaco  $^{TM}$  coated capillaries and fused silica capillaries (40 cm total length, 30 cm to detector) of three different I.D. (50, 100 and 180  $\mu$ m), by applying different pressures with the 20 mM HEPES + 10 mM NaOH electrolyte, pH 7.46, containing 0.5% v/v DMF as UV marker. Between each run, the capillary was flushed by the same background electrolyte (BGE), without UV marker, at a given pressure depending of the capillary I.D. (3 psi 2 min for I.D. 100  $\mu$ m, 10 psi 5 min for I.D. 50  $\mu$ m, and 1 psi 2 min for I.D. 180  $\mu$ m. 1 psi = 0.06 bar). For 50  $\mu$ m (resp. 100  $\mu$ m and 180  $\mu$ m) I.D. capillaries, applied pressure range was 3 to

213 14 psi (resp. 1 to 3 psi and 0.2 to 1.2 psi). Detection of the marker was monitored by UV
214 absorbance at 190 nm. Flow-to-pressure relationship was used to calculate the apparent
215 capillary diameter, and to estimate the slip length.

The flow rate Q (in m<sup>3</sup>/s) at a given pressure  $\Delta P$  (in Pa) was calculated from the detection time  $t_{DMF}$  (in s) of the DMF marker according to:

$$Q = \frac{l\pi R_c^2}{t_{DMF}} \tag{1}$$

where l is the length of the capillary to the UV detection window and  $R_c$  is the capillary radius. Poiseuille law  $(Q \ vs \ \Delta P)$  was systematically plotted. The slope a of the  $Q \ vs \ \Delta P$  relationship depends on the apparent radius  $R_e$  of the capillary, according to the following equation:

$$Q = \frac{\pi R_e^4}{8\eta} \frac{\Delta P}{L} \tag{2}$$

where  $\eta$  is the viscosity of the solution (in Pa.s) and L is the total length of the capillary (in m). It is therefore possible to determine the apparent radius  $R_e$  from the slope a using the following equation:

$$R_e = \left(\frac{8\eta La}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \tag{3}$$

#### 2.3.9. Capillary electrophoresis

Capillary electrophoresis experiments were carried out on a P/ACE<sup>TM</sup> MDQ Beckman-Coulter (Sciex, Villebon sur Yvette, France) piloted by 32 Karat software. Bare silica and Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries of various internal diameter (I.D.), and of total capillary length 40 cm and effective capillary length 30 cm, were tested for the electrophoretic separation of peptides mixtures. Unless otherwise specified, all electrolytes were prepared with boiled ultrapure water to obtain gas-free solutions. Electrophoretic separations were

performed in a Tris / Phosphate 6.5 mM / 14.1 mM electrolyte at pH 2.5 (10 mM ionic strength). Before each run the capillary was flushed at 3 psi for 2 min (I.D. 100  $\mu$ m). Peptides mixture for analysis (0.1 g/L in water of Lys-His-Gly, Arg, creatinine, Ala, Ala-Ala and Ala-Ala) was injected into the capillary at 0.2 psi, 2 s (1.06 nL for a capillary of 50  $\mu$ m I.D.). Separations were realized at 10 kV with a pressure of 3 psi at both capillary ends of the capillary to stabilize the electrical current. Between each run, the capillary was flushed by the BGE at a pressure depending of the capillary I.D. (3 psi 2 min for I.D. 100  $\mu$ m, 10 psi 5 min for I.D. 50  $\mu$ m, and 1 psi 2 min for I.D. 180  $\mu$ m). The temperature of the capillary cartridge was set at 25°C. UV detection was performed at 214 nm. Separation efficiency was determined using the following equation:

$$N = 5.54 \left(\frac{t_m}{\delta}\right)^2 \tag{4}$$

246 where  $t_m$  is the migration time and  $\delta$  is the half-height peak width determined using CEval 0.6 247 software available at the following address [https://echmet.natur.cuni.cz/software/ceval].

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Superhydrophobic glass blade

Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass surfaces were first prepared on glass blades, based on simple contact of Glaco<sup>TM</sup> solution on the surface followed by a heating treatment at 250°C, both repeated three times (see the experimental protocol described in the experimental part). Figure 2 displays a picture of a ~20  $\mu$ L water droplet deposited on the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass surfaces. A static contact angle (CA) of 159° (± 6°, which is the standard deviation based on n= 4 repetitions on 3 different blades) was observed for advancing droplet (i.e. growing droplet) and 145° (± 12°) for receding (i.e. decreasing droplet size), clearly demonstrating that Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass blades present superhydrophobic behavior (defined as CA > 120°). Figure SI1 shows a photography of an immersed glass blade and the air layer covering it. The

silver-mirror sheen of the immersed coated glass blade is due to a thin air layer retained on the surface, and is the signature appearance of Cassie state [34].

To better characterize the surface, the thickness of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> layer and of the air layer between the immerged Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass surface and water were measured by ellipsometry (Figure 3). Uncoated glass blade in contact of water shows the absence of an air layer at the solid-liquid interface (Figure 3A). Figure 3A shows scans of the ellipsometric amplitude ( $\tan \Psi$ ) and phase ( $\Delta$ ) as a function of the incident angle ( $\varphi$ ) for a bare and a Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass surfaces exposed to air. Around the Brewster angle ( $\varphi$  $B_r$ =arctan(1/ $n_{Glass}$ =56.8), tan $\Psi$  and  $\Delta$  change sharply for the uncoated surface confirming the absence of an interfacial layer and the cleanness of the glass substrate. In the presence of a Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coating,  $\tan \Psi$  and  $\Delta$  deviate strongly from the ones of the bare surface, which allows us to evaluate the average thickness and refractive of the coating:  $n_{Glaco}=1.58\pm0.1$  and  $d_{Glaco}$ =270±5 nm (see inset of Figure 3), assuming a single layer interfacial profile between two macroscopic media: water and air. Ellipsometry was also performed for glass blades in water, as shown in Figure 3B. In order to fit these results, we introduce an additional interfacial layer of refractive index  $n_{Air}$  and thickness  $d_{Air}$ , fixing the refractive index of the glass substrate  $n_{Glass}$  and the thickness of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coating (as obtained from Figure 3A). From the fits, we obtain  $n_{Air} = 1.17 - 1.24$  and thicknesses  $d_{Air} = 124 - 208$  nm. Being  $n_{Air} < n_{Water}$ = 1.333, we can interpret this result as the observation of gas bubbles trapped in water on the hydrophobic Glaco<sup>TM</sup> surface. The interfacial layer between water and the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coating could be modelled as a mixed layer composed by gas bubbles immersed in water. The volume fraction of air f in the interfacial layer of thickness  $d_{Air}$  could be evaluated accounting for the Wiener effective medium approximation [38]:

$$282 n_{Air}^2 = f + (1 - f)n_{Water}^2 (5)$$

283 which leads to f = 0.31-0.52.

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

Figure 4 displays the surface topology of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> onto the glass blade as observed by AFM (tapping mode). Excellent coverage of the surface was observed, with a thickness of about 200 nm (Figure 4A and 4B). Particle size was about 30 nm (Figure 4C) and roughness was about 100 nm (Figure 4B). Granulometric distribution of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> solution (in isopropyl alcohol) measured by DLS showed an intensity average hydrodynamic diameter of 135 nm (with a polydispersity index of 23%). Glaco<sup>TM</sup> suspension is made of stable raspberry shaped clusters of smaller nanoparticles (~30 nm diameter) as shown in Figure 4C. These results are in agreement with a self-similar fractal structure [39]. Neither ultrasounds, nor dilution, were found to modify cluster size (monitored by DLS).

#### 3.2. Superhydrophobic capillary coating

Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries were then prepared according to an optimized protocol described in the experimental part. In brief, this protocol is based on the 4-times repetition of a three steps procedure based on: (*i*) flushing of the capillary with the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> suspension; (*ii*) controlled air flushing of the capillary leading to a Glaco<sup>TM</sup> film deposition onto the capillary surface and (*iii*) the thermal stabilization of the coating at 250°C in a GC oven. Step (*ii*) was found to be crucial to control the quantity of Glaco<sup>TM</sup> deposited onto the capillary surface. The thickness ( $\varepsilon$ ) of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> film remaining on the fused silica capillary when flushing with air strongly depends on the applied air pressure to remove the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> suspension, as shown by equation (6) from the Landau, Levich and Derjaguin (LLD) theory (also known as the Bretherton law)[40]:

$$305 \qquad \varepsilon = 1.34 R_c C a^{2/3} \tag{6}$$

where Ca is the dimensionless capillary number defined by equation (7):

$$Ca = \frac{\eta V}{\gamma} \tag{7}$$

 $\gamma$  is the surface tension of the coating solution (in N/m) and V is the linear velocity of the coating solution flushed by air pressure (in m/s). Combining equations (6), (7) with the Poiseuille law leads to:

311 
$$\varepsilon = 1.34R_c^{7/3} \left(\frac{\Delta P}{8L\gamma}\right)^{2/3} \tag{8}$$

308

309

310

where  $\Delta P$  is the applied pressure at which the air replace the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> solution, and L is the 312 capillary length. It is worth noting that equations (6) and (8) are only valid for Ca lower than 313  $10^{-2}$ . The pressure  $\Delta P$  were adjusted so that the coating thickness was similar for all capillary 314 I.D.. Taking  $\gamma = 0.0217$  N/m at 20°C for isopropyl alcohol (solvent of Glaco<sup>TM</sup> suspension), 315 and the  $\Delta P$  values set in Table 1 for each capillary I.D., leads to Glaco thickness  $\varepsilon = 1.1, 1.2$ 316 and 1.0 µm for capillary I.D. of 50, 100 and 180 µm, respectively. Ca numbers are 5.9 x 10<sup>-3</sup>, 317 2.3 x 10<sup>-3</sup>, 7.6 x 10<sup>-4</sup> respectively, demonstrating the validity of equation (8). Following this 318 experimental protocol, very good coating coverage of the capillary inner wall surface was 319 320 observed, as shown by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in Figure 5, even if the surface coverage was found less effective in capillaries (Figure 5C to 5F) than in glass blade (Figure 321 322 5A). 323 Scanning contactless conductivity detector (sC4D) was next used to sense the capillary inner surface (as discussed in a review paper [41]) by measuring the conductivity at different 324 positions along the capillary under constant flow rate with a 20 mM HEPES electrolyte (pH 325 7.46). The conductivity measurements confirm that the thickness of the glaco coating is 326 negligible compared to the capillary I.D., as the conductivity was similar on Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated 327 and on a fused silica capillaries (see Figure SI2). 328 To demonstrate that the superhydrophobicity was also observed inside the capillaries (and 329 not only on the coated glass blades), the meniscus of a blue colored water solution injected in 330 Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries was compared to the one observed in a fused silica capillary 331 (Figure 6). As expected for a SHS, the meniscus was reversed in the case of Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated 332

capillaries for all internal diameters (50, 100 and 180  $\mu$ m I.D.). It is worth noting that flushing the capillary with air by hand using a syringe and LuerTight<sup>TM</sup> connector in step (ii) of the coating protocol did not give reversed meniscus (only flat profile of the interface; not shown). Similarly, using lower pressure in step (ii) that those given in Table 1, leads to thinner film deposition (< 1  $\mu$ m) and weaker SH properties.

#### 3.3. Hydrodynamic behavior

Before studying the hydrodynamic behavior (Poiseuille law), it was first observed that a minimal pressure of 0.3 psi was required to flush a freshly prepared 180 μm I.D. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary, initially filled with air, with a HEPES / Na BGE. Below that limiting value, the BGE did not enter in the capillary. This is in good agreement with the minimum pressure predicted by the Young-Laplace law:

$$P_{\min} = \frac{2\gamma}{R_c} \tag{9}$$

with  $\gamma = 72$  N/m,  $R_c = 90$  µm, leading to  $P_{min} = 0.23$  psi. This experimental observation is in agreement with the SHS of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary.

Figure 7A displays the BGE fronts (0.5% v/v DMF was added in the BGE as a marker) obtained at different applied pressures in Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries, initially filled with HEPES / Na BGE at 1 psi 2 min, and in fused silica capillary for comparison (all capillaries with 180  $\mu$ m I.D.). The higher the applied pressure, the shorter the elution time. Flow rates were plotted against the applied pressure (Figure 7B). The slope is directly related to the apparent capillary radius,  $R_e$ , that can be determined using equation (3). The blue trace in Figure 7B corresponds to the behavior of a fused silica capillary, leading to an effective radius of 94  $\mu$ m which is very close to the expected value of 90  $\mu$ m, assuming no-slip boundary conditions at the silica surface. As for the superhydrophobic 180  $\mu$ m I.D. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary, it was first observed a shift in the Poiseuille law with a pressure drop of about 0.3

psi (or 2100 Pa, yellow trace in Figure 7B), which is due to the presence of multiple air 358 359 bubbles in the capillary. Using equation (9), we can estimate that a bubble separating two plugs of BGE in a 180  $\mu$ m I.D. capillary generate a pressure drop  $\Delta P_{bubble}$  of ~100 Pa taking  $\gamma$ 360 =72 N/m,  $R_c$  = 90 µm,  $\theta_r$  =145° and  $\theta_a$ =160°:

$$\Delta P = \frac{\gamma}{R} (\cos(\theta_r) - \cos(\theta_a)) \tag{10}$$

361

367

368

369

370

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

This observation means that the pressure drop would correspond to a train of approx. 20 363 bubbles in the whole capillary. Experimentally, using a USB optical microscope, 14 to 24 364 bubbles could be observed in the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary, in good agreement with the 365 expectations. 366

The Poiseuille law measurements was duplicated one week later on the same capillary, leading to the red trace with apparent slippage (slope higher than bare silica slope) corresponding to a slip length of 23.3 µm, calculated from the Poiseuille law corrected from the slip length factor [42] leading to:

$$b = \frac{2\eta La}{\pi R^3} - \frac{R}{4} \tag{11}$$

with b the slip length and a the slope of the Poiseuille profile (i.e.  $Q/\Delta P$ ). The poor linearity of the Poiseuille law at low flow rate can be explained by unstable flows (i.e. the flow can be temporally stopped), leading to non-linear behavior. Finally, the same experiments performed one week later, leads to the green trace, which is similar to the fused silica capillary. These observations are in agreement with a progressive coating degradation that led to the loss of the meniscus inversion upon time. The fact that the slipping behavior (higher slope in the Poiseuille law than for fused silica capillary) was observed at intermediate state (in week 2), could be related to the pattern and the density of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coating, which is affected by the progressive coating degradation. Indeed, it was shown recently that the effective slip length strongly depends on the meniscus angle  $\theta_{meniscus}$ 

of the nanobubble trapped between the surface anfractuosities and the liquid (see e.g. Figure 3 in ref. [43]). In the Cassie regime, when bubbles fill the holes,  $\theta_{meniscus}$  between  $-30^{\circ}$  and  $45^{\circ}$ leads to positive slip length with a maximum slip at  $\sim 0^{\circ}$ . For  $\theta_{meniscus} > 45^{\circ}$ , the slip lengths become negative, i.e. smaller than in the Wenzel case (without any gas phase trapped on the surface = no slip). Negative effective slip lengths can be explained by an immobilized layer of the liquid close to the wall. In this limit, the liquid-solid friction is higher than on a nonslipping surface (without holes) [43]. We can imagine that the freshly prepared Glaco<sup>TM</sup> SHS leads to high  $\theta_{meniscus}$  (>45°) with no slipping surface. Then, the aging of the coating may favor the formation of less protruding gas bubbles and the slip condition at the SHS surface occurs (ideal metastable Cassie slipping state), before recovering a more classical no-slip condition (Wenzel state), leading to similar hydrodynamic behavior as observed on silica surface. Similar results were obtained on 100 μm and 50 μm I.D. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries (see Figures SI3 and SI4), even if the intermediate slipping state was not observed; probably because it is metastable. To get more insight on the reason of the coating degradation upon time, and to investigate if the degradation was caused by the mechanical erosion due to the capillary flushing between hydrodynamic experiments (1 psi ~70 mbar, 2 min), a freshly coated capillary was left in the HEPES / Na pH 7.5 BGE to see if the meniscus was lost with time in absence of capillary flushing. The meniscus starts to be altered after 4 to 7 days (see Figure SI5 for more details), while the SHS was conserved even after 18 days of contact with a Tris / Phosphate pH 2.5 BGE. This is why the pH 2.5 BGE was used to study the electrokinetic behavior.

404

405

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

#### 3.4. Electrokinetic Behavior of SHS coated capillaries

Freshly prepared 100 µm I.D. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries were used to separate a peptide mixture composed of Bursin, Lys-His-Gly, creatinine, Ala-Ala, Ala-Ala-Ala and Ala-Ala-Ala-Ala in acidic conditions (Tris / Phosphate 6.5 mM / 14.1 mM at pH 2.5). Separation efficiencies were compared with those obtained on a fused silica capillary in the same conditions. Figure 8 shows the electrophoregrams and the corresponding current intensity obtained using the fused silica capillary (Figure 8A) and the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary at different times (Figure 8B to 8E). Separations could not be obtained on the freshly prepared Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries (Figure 8B) with reversed meniscus (see insert in Figure 8B), due to unstable current intensity probably because of the presence of large air bubbles in the capillary. This phenomenon was observed despite the degassing of the BGE (using boiled water) and the application of a +3 psi pressure on both ends of the capillary to help to stabilize the current intensity. After 5 runs, the meniscus was less reversed, and stable separations could be obtained with +3 psi pressure (Figure 8C). Figure 9 gives the separations efficiencies (in plate number) obtained on a fused silica capillary (blue) and on three different Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries. Much better separation efficiencies were obtained on Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries (up to 60 000 plates) compared to fused silica capillary (less than 25 000 plates). These results were reproducible, as shown by the data reported on 3 different Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries. These encouraging results demonstrate that a low wettability of the capillary surface can increase the separation efficiency (with an average gain by a factor 2 on the separation efficiency). However, the stabilization of the current intensity is difficult to obtain on freshly SHS due to air bubble formation. Increasing the total number of runs leads to some coating degradation as demonstrated by the shape of the meniscus (see Figures 7C to 7E), which tends to become flat (Figure 7D), or not well defined (Figure 7E), probably because of the occurrence of coating heterogeneities. This

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

degradation tends to increase the peak dispersion, and thus, to decrease the separation efficiencies. It is worth noting that a progressive wetting of the surface leading to the Wenzel state, instead of a coating degradation, was discarded since the SH state was not recovered after heating of the degradated capillary in a GC oven at 250°C for 15 min.

Coming back to freshly prepared SHS capillaries, the +3 psi stabilization pressure (both ends)

Coming back to freshly prepared SHS capillaries, the +3 psi stabilization pressure (both ends) used to try to stabilize the current intensity was arbitrarily chosen. Conceptually, it only had to be lower than  $P_{lim}$ , the pressure above which wetting of the coating is forced by the compression of the air bubble. This limiting pressure value  $P_{lim}$  was evaluated to be ~100 psi (7.1 bars) using equation (12):

$$P_{\lim} = \frac{\gamma}{r} \tag{12}$$

taking  $\gamma$  the superficial tension of the BGE (72 x 10<sup>-3</sup> N/m), and r the roughness of the capillary wall (100 nm, see AFM measurement). Stabilization pressures higher than 3 psi were tested (up to 80 psi), but none of those tests gave better results regarding current stabilization (or separation efficiency) compared to the application of 3 psi. The current intensity was not stabilized faster (there were still approx. 5 runs similar to Figure 8B before the capillary behavior was similar to figure 8C).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this work, we report for the first time a simple coating protocol to obtain superhydrophobic coatings in fused silica capillaries with I.D. comprised between 50  $\mu$ m and 180  $\mu$ m. The pressure of the air flush to remove the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coating suspension was found to be crucial to control the thickness, the homogeneity and the reproducibility of the coating layer. The coating layer was ~200-300 nm thick, with 100 nm roughness and ~100-200 nm air layer when dipped in water. SHS were obtained in the 50-180 $\mu$ m I.D. fused silica capillaries as demonstrated by the shape of the meniscus and the existence of a limiting pressure to

introduce water in a capillary previously filled with air. The hydrodynamic behavior of the superhydrophobic capillaries revealed the presence of air bubbles in the capillary, as observed optically and by the pressure drop in the Poiseuille law. Initially, the superhydrophobic capillaries did not display any slipping behavior, but the hydrodynamic behavior changed with time with intermediate metastable slipping state (slipping length ~23 µm). Electrophoretic separations were difficult to obtain in freshly prepared superhydrophobic capillaries due to the presence of air bubbles leading to unstable current intensity. After a few (typically 5) instable runs, electrophoretic separations of peptides display improved separation efficiencies (by a factor ~2) compared to fused silica capillaries, demonstrating the possibility to improve electrophoretic separations by using superhydrophobic coatings. This first proof-of-concept should be further studied to find better coating long-term stability and to improve the current intensity stability.

- 468 AUTHOR INFORMATION
- **Corresponding Authors**

- \*herve.cottet@umontpellier.fr
- 471 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
- The authors thank Michel Ramonda (UM) for AFM, and Didier Cot (IEM, UM) for SEM
- 473 measurements. José Bico (ESPCI), Anne-Laure Biance and Christophe Ybert (iLM,
- 474 Université de Lyon) are gratefully acknowledged for fruitful discussions.

**REFERENCES** 

- W. Barthlott, C. Neinhuis, Purity of the sacred lotus, or escape from contamination in
- 478 biological surfaces, Planta 202 (1997) 1-8

- 479 [2] M. Nine, T. Tung, F. Alotaibi, D. Tran, D. Losic, Facile Adhesion-Tuning of
- Superhydrophobic Surfaces between "Lotus" and "Petal" Effect and Their Influence on Icing
- and Deicing Properties, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9 (2017) 8393-8402
- 482 [3] Y. Zhang, H. Xia, E. Kim, H. Sun, Recent developments in superhydrophobic surfaces
- with unique structural and functional properties, Soft Matter 8 (2012) 11217-11231
- 484 [4] E.Falde, S. Yohe, Y. Colson, M. Grinstaff, Biomaterials Superhydrophobic materials
- for biomedical applications, Biomaterials 104 (2016) 87-103
- 486 [5] K. Law, Definitions for hydrophilicity, hydrophobicity, and superhydrophobicity:
- Getting the basics right J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 5 (2014) 686-688
- 488 [6] A. Cassie, S. Baxter, Wettability of porous surfaces, Trans. Faraday Soc. 40 (1944)
- 489 546-551
- 490 [7] L. Lafuma, D. Quéré, Superhydrophobic states, Nature Materials 2 (2003) 457-460
- 491 [8] D. Quéré, J. Bico, Modulation du mouillage par des microtextures, Houille Blanche 4
- 492 (2003) 57-60
- 493 [9] Y. C. Jung, B. Bhushan, Biomimetic structures for fluid drag reduction in laminar and
- 494 turbulent flows, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22 (2010) 035104-035113
- 495 [10] A. Maali, B. Bhushan, Measurement of slip length on superhydrophobic surfaces, Phil.
- 496 Trans. R. Soc. A 370 (2012) 2304-2320
- 497 [11] C. Navier, Mémoire sur les Lois du Mouvement des Fluides, Académie Royale des
- 498 Sciences de l'Institut de France Paris Vol. VI (1822) 390-440
- 499 [12] Y. Yoon, D. Kim, J. Lee, Hierarchical micro/nano structures for super-hydrophobic
- surfaces and super-lyophobic surface against liquid metal, Micro Nano Syst. Lett. 2 (2014) 3-
- 501 21

- 502 [13] D. C. Tretheway, C. D. Meinhart, A generating mechanism for apparent fluid slip in
- 503 hydrophobic microchannels, Phys. Fluids 16 (5) (2004) 1509-1515
- 504 [14] T. Saha, S. Kumar, S. K. Bhaumik, Slip-enhanced flow through thin packed column
- with superhydrophobic wall, Sens. Actuat. B 240 (2017) 468-476
- 506 [15] A. Das, S. K. Bhaumik, Fabrication of Cylindrical Superhydrophobic Microchannels
- 507 by Replicating Lotus Leaf Structures on Internal Walls, J. Micromech. Microeng. 28 (2018) 4-
- 508 31
- 509 [16] P. Joseph, C. Cottin-Bizonne, J. Benoit, C. Ybert, Journet, C.; Tabeling, P.; Bocquet,
- 510 L., Slippage of water past superhydrophobic carbon nanotube forests in microchannels, Phys.
- 511 Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 156104-156108
- 512 [17] P. Papadopoulos, X. Deng, D. Vollmer, H.-J. Butt, Electrokinetics on
- superhydrophobic surfaces, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 24 (2012) 464110-464120
- 514 [18] V. Kasicka, Recent developments in capillary and microchip electroseparations of
- 515 peptides, Electrophoresis 39 (2018) 209-234
- 516 [19] S. Stepanova and V. Kasicka, Recent applications of capillary electromigration
- 517 methods

519

522

- to separation and analysis of proteins, Anal.Chim.Acta 933 (2016) 23-42
- 520 [20] M. Dawod, N. E. Arvin, and R. T. Kennedy, Recent advances in protein analysis by
- 521 capillary and microchip electrophoresis, Analyst 142 (2017) 1847-1866
- 523 [21] V. Mantovani, F. Galeotti, F. Maccari, and N. Volpi. Recent advances in capillary
- electrophoresis separation of monosaccharides, oligosaccharides, and polysaccharides,
- 525 Electrophoresis 39 (2018) 179-189
- 526 [22] E. Kenndler, Theory of Capillary Zone Electrophoresis, in: M. G. Khaledi (Ed.), High
- 527 Performance Capillary Electrophoresis, Wiley-Interscience, New York, (2007) 53-73

- 529 [23] K. Mesbah, T. D. Mai, T. G. Jensen, L. Sola, M. Chiari, J. P. Kutter, M. Taverna, A
- 530 neutral polyacrylate copolymer coating for surface modification of thiol-ene microchannels
- for improved performance of protein separation by microchip electrophoresis., Microchim.
- 532 Acta 183 (2016) 2111-2121
- 533 [24] J. Towns, J. Bao, F. Regnier, Synthesis and evaluation of epoxy polymer coatings for
- the analysis of proteins by capillary zone electrophoresis, J. Chromatogr. A 599 (1992) 227-
- 535 237
- 536 [25] S. Bekri, L. Leclercq, H. Cottet, Polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings for the separation
- of proteins by capillary electrophoresis: Influence of polyelectrolyte nature and multilayer
- 538 crosslinking, J. Chromatogr. A 1399 (2015) 80-87
- 539 [26] L. Hajba and A. Guttman, Recent advances in column coatings for capillary
- electrophoresis of proteins, Trends Anal.Chem. 90 (2017) 38-44
- 541 [27] N. Njoya, R. Birdsall, M. Wirth, Silica Colloidal Crystals as Emerging Materials for
- 542 High-Throughput Protein Electrophoresis, AAPS 15 (2013) 962-969
- 543 [28] D. Duffy, J. McDonald, O. Schueller, G. Whitesides, Rapid prototyping of
- microfluidic systems in poly(dimethylsiloxane), Anal. Chem. 70 (1998) 4974-4984
- 545 [29] L. Bocquet, J.-L. Barrat, Flow boundary conditions from nano- to micro-scales, Soft
- 546 Matter 3 (2007) 685-693
- 547 [30] A. Wang, J. Feng, J. Fan, Covalent modified hydrophilic polymer brushes onto
- 548 poly(dimethylsiloxane) microchannel surface for electrophoresis separation of amino acids, J.
- 549 Chromatogr. A 1192 (2008) 173-179
- 550 [31] C. I. Bouzigues, P. Tabeling, L. Bocquet, Nanofluidics in the debye layer at
- 551 hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 12-15

- 552 [32] B. Wei, B. J. Rogers, M. J. Wirth, Slip flow in colloidal crystals for ultraefficient
- 553 chromatography, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134 (2012) 10780-10782
- 554 [33] C. Lv, P. Hao, Z. Yao, F. Niu, Departure of condensation droplets on superhydrophobic
- 555 surfaces, Langmuir 31 (2015) 2414-2420
- 556 [34] I. Vakarelski, N. Patankar, J. Marston, D. Chan, Thoroddsen, S., Stabilization of
- Leidenfrost vapour layer by textured superhydrophobic surfaces., Nature 489 (2012) 274-284
- 558 [35] Y. Li, C. Lv, D. Quéré, Q. Zheng, Monostable Superantiwettability, OAI:
- 559 arXiv.org:1602.02880 (2016) 1-17
- 560 [36] S. Yeast, SOFT99 corporation, Material Safety Data Sheet (2010) 1-5
- 561 [37] J. Benjamins, B. Jönsson, K. Thuresson, T. Nylander, New experimental setup to use
- ellipsometry to study liquid-liquid and liquid-solid interfaces, Langmuir 18 (2002) 6437-6444
- 563 [38] D. Aspnes, Optical properties of thin films, Thin Solid Films 89 (1982) 249-262
- 564 [39] C. Cottin-Bizonne, C. Barentin, L Bocquet, Scaling laws for slippage on
- superhydrophobic fractal surfaces, Phys. Fluids 24 (2012) 1-13
- 566 [40] D. Quéré, Fluid Coating on a Fiber, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 31 (1999) 347-384
- 568 [41] E. Gillespie, D. Connolly, B. Paull, Using scanning contactless conductivity to
- optimise photografting procedures and capacity in the production of polymer ion-exchange
- 570 monoliths., Analyst 134 (2009) 1314 -1321

- 571 [42] E. Lauga, Apparent slip due to the motion of suspended particles in flow of electrolyte
- 572 solutions, Langmuir 20 (2004) 8924-8930
- 573 [43] A. Steinberger, C. Cottin-Bizonne, P. Kleimann, E. Charlaix, High friction on a bubble
- 574 mattress, Nature Materials 6 (2007) 665-668

576

577

# 578579 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

- Figure 1. Scheme of a droplet in the non-wetting Cassie state (A), with the presence of air bubbles beneath the droplet, in the surface anfractuosities, and in the wetting Wenzel state
- 582 (B), with the droplet filling all the anfractuosities of the surface beneath it.
- Figure 2. Picture of a ~20 μL droplet (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.45) deposited on a Glaco<sup>TM</sup>
- coated glass blade ( $76 \times 26$  mm) surface. Contact angle, CA =  $159^{\circ}$ .
- Figure 3. Ellipsometric scans of Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass blade at the solid-air interface (A) and
- solid-liquid interface (**B**). Ultrapure water was used for (**B**).
- Figure 4. (A) Tapping mode AFM scan of a Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass blade surface with a bare
- 588 glass space (black); (B) corresponding texture profile of AFM scan showing the size and
- structure of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> nanoparticles and clusters; and (C) zoom in of the Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coating.
- Figure 5. SEM pictures of Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated surfaces. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated glass blade (A). Beveled
- capillary end (100 µm internal diameter capillary) (**B**). (**C**) and (**D**) display different zooms of
- a 100 μm internal diameter capillary inner wall coated by Glaco<sup>TM</sup>. (**E**) and (**F**) show different
- 593 zooms of a 180 μm internal diameter capillary inner wall coated by Glaco<sup>TM</sup>.
- Figure 6. Visualization of the meniscus in bare silica (A: 100 μm ID) and in Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated
- capillaries of different internal diameters (**B**: 50 μm; **C**: 100 μm and **D**: 180 μm ID) by optical
- 596 microscopy (magnification x50). Capillaries are filled with blue colored water (dyed with
- 597 E133 at 1 g/L) and air. Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries are prepared according to the protocol given
- in section 2.2 (see also Table 1).

**Figure 7.** DMF fronts obtained at different applied pressures on Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary (A): 599 2 psi (black), 1.5 psi (blue), 1.2 psi (green), 1 psi (orange), 0.8 psi (yellow) and 0.5 psi (red) 600 and the Poiseuille profiles obtained for different 180 µm ID capillaries (B): bare silica 601 capillary (Blue ●), Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary week #1 (Yellow ▲), week #2 (Red ■), and 602 week #3 (Green ♦). Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries # 1, 2 and 3 correspond to the same capillary 603 used in the first week, second week and third week of experiments. Each flow rate 604 determination was realized in triplicate (error bars are +/- one SD). Experimental conditions: 605 606 capillary dimensions 180 µm ID x 40 cm length (30 cm to the detector). BGE: 20 mM HEPES + 10 mM NaOH at pH 7.65. Marked BGE contains 0.5 % v/v DMF in the HEPES/Na BGE. 607 BGE flush between runs: 1 psi (~ 70 mbar), 2 min. (C<sub>1</sub>) and (C<sub>2</sub>) show schemes of an air 608 609 bubble in the capillary, during week #1 and week #2. 610 Figure 8. Electrophoregrams (blue traces) of a peptide mixture obtained on bare silica capillary (A), freshly prepared Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary (B), Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary after 5 611 612 runs (C), Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary after 10 runs (D), and Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary after 15 runs (E). Corresponding current intensity are displayed in brown. Inserts show the water 613 (dyed with E133 at 1 g/L) – air (translucent brown) interface of each capillary. Experimental 614 615 conditions: capillary dimensions 100 µm x 40 cm length (30 cm to the detector). Background electrolyte: Tris / Phosphate 6.5 mM/14.1 mM at pH 2.5. Voltage: 10 kV. Pressure: + 3 psi at 616 both ends. Injection: 2 psi, 0.2 s. Sample identification: Bursin (1), Lys-His-Gly (2), 617 618 Creatinine (3), Ala-Ala (4), Ala-Ala-Ala (5) and Ala-Ala-Ala-Ala (6). 619 Figure 9. Separation efficiencies for each peptide obtained on bare silica capillary and on three different Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillaries. Plate numbers are average values (+/- one SD) 620 obtained on 4 successive runs determined from run 5 (the first run with stable current 621 intensity) to run 9 for each Glaco<sup>TM</sup> coated capillary. Experimental conditions as in Figure 8. 622