



# **Algebraic approximation and the decomposition theorem for Kähler Calabi-Yau varieties**

Benjamin Bakker, Henri Guenancia, Christian Lehn

## **► To cite this version:**

Benjamin Bakker, Henri Guenancia, Christian Lehn. Algebraic approximation and the decomposition theorem for Kähler Calabi-Yau varieties. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 2022, <10.1007/s00222-022-01096-y>. <hal-03035501v2>

**HAL Id: hal-03035501**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03035501v2>**

Submitted on 10 Feb 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

# Algebraic approximation and the decomposition theorem for Kähler Calabi–Yau varieties

Benjamin Bakker, Henri Guenancia, and Christian Lehn

ABSTRACT. We extend the decomposition theorem for numerically  $K$ -trivial varieties with log terminal singularities to the Kähler setting. Along the way we prove that all such varieties admit a strong locally trivial algebraic approximation, thus completing the numerically  $K$ -trivial case of a conjecture of Campana and Peternell.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Locally trivial deformations along foliations and resolutions	5
3. $K$ -trivial varieties and strong approximations	19
4. Reminder on the Douady space	22
5. Splittings of relative tangent sheaves	26
6. Splittings of relative Kähler–Einstein metrics	28
7. Splittings of locally trivial families	36
8. Proof of the decomposition theorem	42
References	43

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a compact Kähler manifold  $X$  with vanishing first Chern class, the Beauville–Bogomolov theorem [Bog78, Bea83] tells us that a (finite) étale cover of  $X$  splits as a product of a complex torus, irreducible symplectic manifolds, and irreducible Calabi–Yau manifolds. Work of Druel–Greb–Guenancia–Höring–Kebekus–Peternell [GKKP11, DG18, Dru18, GKK19, HP19] over the past decade has culminated in an analog of this theorem for projective varieties with log terminal singularities and numerically trivial canonical class, see [HP19, Theorem 1.5].

Our main result is a generalization of the decomposition theorem to the Kähler setting:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $X$  be a numerically  $K$ -trivial compact Kähler variety with log terminal singularities. Then there is a quasi-étale cover  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that  $\tilde{X}$  splits as a*

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q25, 32J27 (primary), 32S15, 32Q20 (secondary).

*Key words and phrases.* Calabi–Yau variety, decomposition theorem, locally trivial deformation, Kähler–Einstein metric.

product

$$\tilde{X} = T \times \prod_i Y_i \times \prod_j Z_j$$

where  $T$  is a complex torus, the  $Y_i$  are irreducible<sup>1</sup> Calabi–Yau varieties, and the  $Z_j$  are irreducible holomorphic symplectic varieties.

A morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  of normal complex spaces is *quasi-étale* if it is étale on the complement of an analytic subset which is locally of codimension at least 2 in  $\tilde{X}$  and a *cover* if it is finite and surjective. For convenience, we reproduce the definitions of irreducible Calabi–Yau and irreducible holomorphic symplectic varieties due to Greb–Kebekus–Petersen [GKP16b, Definition 8.16] here. Recall that if  $X$  is a normal complex variety, the sheaf of reflexive  $p$ -forms  $\Omega_X^{[p]}$  may be equivalently thought of as either the reflexive hull  $(\Omega_X^p)^{\vee\vee}$  or the push-forward  $j_*\Omega_{X^{\text{reg}}}^p$  from the regular locus  $j : X^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow X$ . If  $X$  furthermore has rational singularities, it admits a third interpretation as  $\pi_*\Omega_Y^p$  for any resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  by Kebekus–Schnell [KS21, Corollary 1.8].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler variety with rational singularities. We call  $X$  *irreducible holomorphic symplectic* (IHS) if for all quasi-étale covers  $q : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , the algebra  $H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{[\bullet]})$  is generated by a holomorphic symplectic form  $\tilde{\sigma} \in H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{[2]})$ . We call  $X$  *irreducible Calabi–Yau* (ICY) if for all quasi-étale covers  $q : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , the algebra  $H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{[\bullet]})$  is generated by a nowhere vanishing reflexive form in degree  $\dim X$ .

Note that this is equivalent to the definition in [GKP16b]: in the presence of a reflexive form of degree  $\dim X$  the singularities of  $X$  are rational if and only if they are canonical by [Elk81, Théorème 1] and [KS21, Corollary 1.8].

The proof of the decomposition theorem in the projective case uses algebraic techniques (particularly regarding algebraic integrability of foliations, even though the usage of characteristic  $p$  methods can be avoided by a recent paper of Campana [Cam21]) which at the moment cannot be directly generalized to the analytic category. Instead, we reduce to the projective case via locally trivial deformations. A crucial ingredient is therefore the following theorem, which resolves the numerically  $K$ -trivial case of a conjecture of Campana–Petersen<sup>2</sup> saying that Kähler minimal models admit an algebraic approximation:

**Theorem B.** *Any  $X$  as in Theorem A admits a strong locally trivial algebraic approximation: there is a locally trivial family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  over a smooth base  $S$  specializing to  $X$  over  $s_0 \in S$  such that points  $s \in S$  for which  $X_s$  is projective are analytically dense near  $s_0$ .*  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>Greb–Kebekus–Petersen use the term *Calabi–Yau* for the irreducible factors in the decomposition of the second type, but it seems natural to call them *irreducible Calabi–Yau*.

<sup>2</sup>The conjecture has been attributed to Campana and Petersen in [CHL19]. The authors are grateful to Thomas Petersen for bringing this conjecture to our attention.

It is natural to ask whether the Bogomolov–Tian–Todorov theorem holds in this context—that is, whether locally trivial deformations of numerically  $K$ -trivial  $X$  as in the theorem are always unobstructed (which would be sufficient to prove Theorem B, see [GS21, Theorem 1.2]). On the one hand, flat deformations of such  $X$  are known to be potentially obstructed by an example of Gross [Gro97a]. On the other hand, the proof of unobstructedness in the smooth case is fundamentally Hodge-theoretic, and from this perspective locally trivial deformations are more natural as they are topologically trivial (see [AV21, Proposition 6.1]). While some special cases have been established (see [BL21, BL18, GS21]), it is as yet unclear whether a locally trivial Bogomolov–Tian–Todorov theorem should hold.

The main difficulty in the proof of Theorem B is therefore to produce sufficiently many unobstructed deformations. Recall that by Kodaira’s criterion, a compact Kähler manifold (or compact Kähler space with rational singularities) with no nonzero holomorphic 2-forms is automatically projective, so the existence of 2-forms is the only obstruction to projectivity. The results of [Gue16] extending the polystability of  $T_X$  to the Kähler category provide a splitting of  $T_X$  into foliations and the symplectic foliations among the factors (precisely, the weakly symplectic split foliations, see Definition 2.31) account for all of the 2-forms on  $X$ . It is therefore natural to try to deform to the symplectic directions inside  $H^1(X, T_X)$ . We show that locally trivial deformations obtained by exponentiating the symplectic foliations of this splitting are always unobstructed. As in the proof of the corresponding result for symplectic varieties [BL18, Theorem 4.7], a crucial role is played by the degeneration of reflexive Hodge-to-de Rham in low degrees [BL21, Section 2]. The general results we prove about locally trivial deformations along foliations (Section 2) and the existence of simultaneous resolutions in locally trivial families (Corollary 2.27) are of independent interest as well.

With Theorem B in hand, the proof of Theorem A proceeds as follows. We first produce a locally trivial deformation  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  of  $X$  over the disk for which projective fibers are analytically dense. By cycle-theoretic arguments and Theorem A in the projective case [HP19, Theorem 1.5], after replacing  $X$  by a quasi-étale cover it suffices to assume there is a splitting  $\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{Y}^* \times_{\Delta^*} \mathcal{Z}^*$  of the family  $\mathcal{X}^* := \mathcal{X}|_{\Delta^*}$  over the punctured disk, and we must show that the splitting extends over the puncture.

One first observes that local triviality of the family  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  implies the Künneth decomposition of  $R^k \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}^*}$  extends, in fact as a decomposition of the variation of Hodge structures. By  $K$ -triviality, the factors of the splitting of the tangent bundle  $T_{\mathcal{X}^*/\Delta^*}$  are cut out by differential forms and extend, so we have a splitting  $T_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta} \cong A \oplus B$ . The leaves of the splitting of the family over  $\Delta^*$  have well-defined limits in the special fiber which are therefore tangent to the factors of the limit splitting  $T_X = A_0 \oplus B_0$  on the regular locus  $X^{\text{reg}}$ .

It remains to show that the limit leaves define a product structure in the singular locus  $X^{\text{sing}}$ . There are essentially two types of phenomena that could go wrong: the

limit leaves could acquire new components in  $X^{\text{sing}}$ , or limit leaves in the two directions could have positive-dimensional intersections in  $X^{\text{sing}}$ . To rule these out, we show that the splitting of the Ricci-flat metric  $\omega_t = \omega_{A_t} + \omega_{B_t}$  of  $X_t$  for  $t \in \Delta^*$  induced by the splitting of the family extends over the puncture to a decomposition  $\omega_0 = \omega_{A_0} + \omega_{B_0}$  of the Ricci-flat metric on  $X_0 = X$  into closed semipositive currents with bounded potentials. This is the key technical part of the proof of Theorem A and the latter condition is critical: it implies that these currents can be restricted to cycles in the singular locus and that one can compute intersection numbers with these currents. The fact that the decomposition is compatible with the limit Künneth decomposition and the semipositivity of the factors together imply neither pathology arises.

Patrick Graf informed us that he independently obtained a Kähler version of the decomposition theorem in dimension at most four, see [Gra21].

## 1.2. Outline.

- Section 2. We collect some background on locally trivial deformations, define locally trivial deformations along foliations, and prove unobstructedness of deformations along weakly symplectic split foliations.
- Section 3. We recall the precise notions of  $K$ -triviality and prove Theorem B. We derive some first applications about fundamental groups and deformation of the irreducible building blocks.
- Section 4. We recall some foundational aspects of relative Douady spaces and show that local product decompositions can be spread out over Zariski open sets.
- Section 5. A locally trivial family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  which is a product over  $\Delta^*$  admits a limit product decomposition on cohomology. We deduce from that a splitting for the relative tangent sheaf.
- Section 6. We prove that the Kähler–Einstein metric in the limit splits as a sum of positive currents with bounded local potentials corresponding to the product decomposition of the family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  over  $\Delta^*$ .
- Section 7. Building upon the previous results, we prove a global splitting result for locally trivial families  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  that are a product over  $\Delta^*$ , under some additional conditions.
- Section 8. We proceed to checking that the assumptions in the splitting theorem from the previous section are fulfilled in our geometric setting, thereby proving Theorem A.

**Acknowledgments.** We benefited from discussions, remarks, and emails of Benoît Claudon, Stéphane Druel, Patrick Graf, Vincent Guedj, Stefan Kebekus, Mihai Păun, Thomas Peternell, Christian Schnell, Bernd Schober, and Ahmed Zeriahi. The authors are grateful to the referee for the various suggestions that have greatly improved the exposition.

Benjamin Bakker was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1848049. Henri Guenancia has benefited from State aid managed by the ANR under the "PIA" program bearing the reference ANR-11-LABX-0040, in connection with the research project HERMETIC. Christian Lehn was supported by the DFG through the research grants Le 3093/2-2 and Le 3093/3-1.

**Notation and Conventions.** A resolution of singularities of a variety  $X$  is a proper surjective bimeromorphic morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  from a nonsingular variety  $Y$ . The term variety will denote an integral separated scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$  in the algebraic setting or an irreducible and reduced separated complex space in the complex analytic setting. For a field  $k$ , an algebraic  $k$ -scheme is a scheme of finite type over  $k$ . We will denote by  $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$  the complex unit disk and by  $\Delta^* := \Delta \setminus \{0\}$  the punctured disk.

## 2. LOCALLY TRIVIAL DEFORMATIONS ALONG FOLIATIONS AND RESOLUTIONS

Throughout we define  $\text{Art}_k$  to be the category of local artinian  $k$ -algebras. To simplify the notation, we agree that  $k$  will denote an algebraically closed field when speaking about schemes and  $k = \mathbb{C}$  when speaking about complex spaces.

**2.1. Locally trivial deformations.** We begin with some background on locally trivial deformations.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of complex spaces (or algebraic schemes<sup>3</sup>). We say:

- (1)  $\mathcal{X}$  is *locally trivial* over  $S$  if there is a cover  $\mathcal{X}_i$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ , a cover  $S_i$  of  $S$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow S$  factors through  $S_i$ , complex spaces (or schemes)  $X_i$ , and commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_i & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X_i \times S_i \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ S_i & & \end{array}$$

where the diagonal map is the projection.

- (2)  $\mathcal{X}$  is *formally locally trivial* over  $S$  if for any  $T = \text{Spec } A \rightarrow S$  with  $A \in \text{Art}_k$  the base-change  $\mathcal{X}_T \rightarrow T$  is locally trivial.

*Remark 2.3.*

- (1) Of course, over an artinian base, the notions of formal local triviality and local triviality are equivalent.
- (2) In the analytic category, by results of Artin [Art68, Theorem (1.5)(ii)],  $\mathcal{X}/S$  is locally trivial if and only if  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},x} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_s,x} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,f(x)}$  as  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,f(x)}$ -algebras for all points  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus, in the analytic category  $\mathcal{X}/S$  is locally trivial if and only

---

<sup>3</sup>or algebraic spaces, if we use the étale topology in the sequel.

if it is formally locally trivial. In the algebraic category, local triviality is in general much stronger than formal local triviality over nonartinian bases.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X/k$  be a complex space (or an algebraic scheme). The locally trivial deformation functor  $F_X^{\text{lt}} : \text{Art}_k \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  is defined as follows:  $F_X^{\text{lt}}(A)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of locally trivial families  $\mathcal{X}/\text{Spec } A$  together with a  $k$ -morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  which is an isomorphism modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ . Here, we consider isomorphism classes for isomorphisms which are the identity modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ .

We recall that locally trivial deformations are controlled by the tangent sheaf  $T_{\mathcal{X}/S} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ . This will be made precise in a way that can be adapted easily to deformations preserving a foliation in Section 2.10. For  $A$  in  $\text{Art}_k$  let

$$\mathbf{G}_X(A) := \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k A)$$

be the sheaf of  $A$ -algebra automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k A$ , and let  $\mathbf{U}_X(A) \subset \mathbf{G}_X(A)$  be the subsheaf of automorphisms which are the identity modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ .

The following proposition is immediate:

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $X/k$  be a complex space (or an algebraic scheme) and  $F_X^{\text{lt}}$  its locally trivial deformation functor. Then we have a natural identification:*

$$F_X^{\text{lt}}(A) = \check{H}^1(X, \mathbf{U}_X(A)).$$

Note that in characteristic zero we have an isomorphism of sheaves of pointed sets

$$\exp : T_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{m}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_X(A)$$

where  $T_X \otimes_k A$  is given the obvious structure of a sheaf of  $A$ -linear Lie algebras. For any small extension<sup>4</sup>

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

with  $A, A' \in \text{Art}_k$  the first row of the following commutative diagram is then exact:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_X \otimes_k J & \longrightarrow & T_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{m}_{A'} & \longrightarrow & T_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{m}_A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \exp & & \downarrow \exp \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_X \otimes_k J & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathbf{U}_X(A') & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{U}_X(A) \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal maps are morphisms of sheaves of groups and the right and center vertical maps are isomorphisms of sheaves of pointed sets.

It follows that the bottom row is exact. Moreover, as the top row is exact on global sections, it follows that the bottom row is exact on global sections as well.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Suppose  $X/k$  is a separated complex space (or a separated algebraic scheme with  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ ). Then the following hold.*

<sup>4</sup>Recall that a sequence such as (2.1) is a *small extension* if  $J\mathfrak{m}_{A'} = 0$ . In this case, the  $A'$ -module structure of  $J$  factors through  $k = A'/\mathfrak{m}_{A'} = A/\mathfrak{m}_A$ .

- (1) The functor  $F_X^{\text{lt}}$  admits a tangent-obstruction theory with tangent space equal to  $H^1(X, T_X)$  and obstructions in  $H^2(X, T_X)$ .
- (2) For any family  $\mathcal{X}/S = \text{Spec } A$  in  $F_X^{\text{lt}}(A)$ , the lifts of  $\mathcal{X}/S$  to  $F_X^{\text{lt}}(A[\epsilon])$  are canonically parametrized by a functorial quotient of  $H^1(\mathcal{X}, T_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ .

*Remark 2.7.* It follows that  $F_X^{\text{lt}}$  satisfies Schlessinger's axioms  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$ , see [Sch68, Theorem 2.11]. Note that while  $F_X^{\text{lt}}$  may not satisfy  $(H_4)$ , it does satisfy axiom  $(H_5)$  of [Gro97b, § 1], since the fibered coproduct of two deformations may be constructed by taking the fibered direct product of the sheaves of rings. Thus, the deformation module  $T^1(\mathcal{X}/S)$  has the structure of an  $A$ -module, and in part (2) we mean that it is a quotient of  $H^1(\mathcal{X}, T_{\mathcal{X}/S})$  as an  $A$ -module which is compatible with restriction maps. If  $\mathcal{X}/S$  has no automorphisms restricting to the identity on the special fiber, then  $H^1(\mathcal{X}, T_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \rightarrow T^1(\mathcal{X}/S)$  will be an isomorphism. These remarks likewise hold for the other deformation functors that will be defined in Section 2.10.

*Proof of Corollary 2.6.* The following lemma describes how much of the long exact sequence survives in the cohomology of  $\mathbf{U}_X(A)$ :

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let*

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

*be an exact sequence of sheaves of groups on a topological space where  $T$  is abelian.*

- (1) *If  $T$  is central in  $G$ , then we have a sequence*

$$\check{H}^1(X, T) \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(X, G') \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(X, G) \xrightarrow{\delta} \check{H}^2(X, T)$$

*where*

- (a) *The natural action of  $\check{H}^1(X, T)$  on  $\check{H}^1(X, G')$  is transitive on fibers;*
- (b) *The image of  $\check{H}^1(X, G') \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, G)$  is the inverse image of 0 under  $\delta$ .*
- (2) *If (2.3) is split exact<sup>5</sup>, then for each  $\alpha \in \check{H}^1(X, G)$  the natural action of  $\check{H}^1(X, T^\alpha)$  on the fiber of the map*

$$\check{H}^1(X, G') \longrightarrow \check{H}^1(X, G)$$

*above  $\alpha$  is transitive, where  $T^\alpha$  is the sheaf obtained from  $T$  by twisting by  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Easily checked with Čech cochains. □

Now, the first claim is immediate upon taking the long exact sequence on Čech cohomology of the second row of (2.2) using the first part of the lemma (where we used separatedness to identify Čech cohomology with sheaf cohomology). For the second part, we have a split exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow T_X \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \mathbf{U}_X(A[\epsilon]) \longrightarrow \mathbf{U}_X(A) \longrightarrow 1$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Meaning  $G' \rightarrow G$  has a section.



and the claim follows from the second part of the lemma, as the stabilizer of an element  $(\mathcal{X}'/S')$  under the action of  $H^1(\mathcal{X}, T_{\mathcal{X}/S})$  is easily seen to be an  $A$ -submodule. Note that for  $\alpha = (\mathcal{X}/S) \in \check{H}^1(X, \mathbf{U}_X(A))$  we naturally have  $(T_{X \times S/S})^\alpha = T_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.9.* We would like to make a couple of remarks regarding (2.2).

- (1) The restriction morphism  $\mathbf{U}_X(A') \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_X(A)$  may fail to be surjective in characteristic  $p$ . If we take  $X = \operatorname{Spec} k[x]/(x^p)$ ,  $A = k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^p)$ , and  $A' = k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{p+1})$ , the automorphism  $x \mapsto x + \epsilon$  of  $X \times \operatorname{Spec} A$  does not lift.
- (2) Let  $A' \rightarrow A$  be a small extension in  $\operatorname{Art}_k$ , let  $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A'$  be flat, and  $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}' \times_{\operatorname{Spec} A'} \operatorname{Spec} A$ . The same argument shows that  $\operatorname{Aut}_{A'}(\mathcal{X}') \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_A(\mathcal{X})$  is surjective whenever  $T_{\mathcal{X}'/A'} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{X}/A}$  is. Example 2.6.8(i) in [Ser06] shows that for  $A' = k[t]/t^3 \rightarrow k[t]/t^2 = A$  and  $\mathcal{X}' = \operatorname{Spec} (k[x, y, t]/(xy - t, t^3))$  the automorphism of  $\mathcal{X}$  determined by  $x \mapsto x + tx$  and  $y \mapsto y$  does not lift to  $\mathcal{X}'$ . But neither does the vector field  $t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \in T_{\mathcal{X}/A}$ .

**2.10. Locally trivial deformations along foliations.** The above results now easily extend to the situation of deformations along a foliation, provided we require  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$  in the algebraic case. For simplicity, we therefore take  $k = \mathbb{C}$  in the remainder.

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $X$  be a separated complex space (or a separated complex algebraic scheme). The *tangent sheaf* of  $X$  is defined by  $T_X := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^1, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . A *foliation* is a subsheaf  $E \subset T_X$ , such that  $E$  is stable under the Lie bracket and saturated in  $T_X$ , i.e. such that the quotient  $T_X/E$  is torsion-free.

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $X$  be a separated complex space (or a separated complex algebraic scheme) with a foliation  $E \subset T_X$ . For  $A \in \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}$  set  $\mathbf{U}_E(A) := \exp(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{m}_A) \subset \mathbf{U}_X(A)$ . We define the deformation functor  $F_E^{\operatorname{lt}} : \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sets}$  by

$$F_E^{\operatorname{lt}}(A) := \check{H}^1(X, \mathbf{U}_E(A)).$$

We somewhat abusively refer to sections of  $F_E^{\operatorname{lt}}$  as  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_E^{\operatorname{lt}}(A)$  even though the natural map  $F_E^{\operatorname{lt}} \rightarrow F_X^{\operatorname{lt}}$  may not be injective on sections. Note that the integrability of  $E$  is necessary in order for  $\mathbf{U}_E(A) \subset \mathbf{U}_X(A)$  to be a sheaf of subgroups.

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $X$  be a separated complex space (or a separated complex algebraic scheme) with a foliation  $E \subset T_X$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *The functor  $F_E^{\operatorname{lt}}$  admits a tangent-obstruction theory with tangent space  $H^1(X, E)$  and obstructions in  $H^2(X, E)$ .*
- (2) *Associated to any family  $\mathcal{X}/S = \operatorname{Spec} A$  in  $F_E^{\operatorname{lt}}(A)$  there is a functorial foliation  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S} \subset T_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  which locally agrees with the trivial extension of  $E$  on any local trivialization of the  $\mathbf{U}_E(A)$ -cocycle representing  $\mathcal{X}/S$ . The lifts of  $\mathcal{X}/S$  to  $F_E^{\operatorname{lt}}(A[\epsilon])$  are canonically parametrized by a functorial quotient of  $H^1(\mathcal{X}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ .*

*Remark 2.14.* Note that the functor  $F_E^{\text{lt}}$  is not the functor of locally trivial deformations for which  $E$  lifts locally trivially: there may well be sections of  $\mathbf{U}_X(A)$  which stabilize  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$  but do not come from exponentiating  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{m}_A$ . Indeed, take  $X = Y \times Z$  with the induced splitting

$$T_X = \pi_1^* T_Y \oplus \pi_2^* T_Z$$

and  $E = \pi_1^* T_Y$ . Then any locally trivial deformation of the two factors will obviously yield a locally trivial deformation of  $X$  for which the two foliations lift locally trivially, but such a deformation does not in general come from a section of  $F_E^{\text{lt}}$ . Moreover, in this case, the gluing maps for a section of  $F_E^{\text{lt}}$  are not required to preserve  $\pi_2^* T_Z$ —that is, they are not required to be constant in the  $Z$  direction.

**2.15. Locally trivial deformations of tangent splittings.** Throughout we say that a subsheaf  $Q \subset E$  is locally split if it is locally a direct summand.

**Definition 2.16.** Let  $X$  be a separated complex space (or a separated complex algebraic scheme), and suppose we have  $Q \subset E \subset T_X$  where  $E$  is a foliation and  $Q$  is a locally split subsheaf of  $E$ . For  $A \in \text{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $S := \text{Spec } A$  we define  $F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  to be the set of  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_E^{\text{lt}}(A)$  together with a flat lift  $Q_{\mathcal{X}/S} \subset E_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  of  $Q$ , up to the obvious notion of isomorphism. We usually write  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  when we mean  $(\mathcal{X}/S, Q_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \in F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A)$ . For  $E = T_X$  itself, we denote  $F_{X;Q}^{\text{lt}} := F_{T_X;Q}^{\text{lt}}$ .

Note that choices of a split complement to  $B_{\mathcal{X}/S} \subset E_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  naturally form a pseudo-torsor<sup>6</sup> for  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E_{\mathcal{X}/S}/B_{\mathcal{X}/S}, B_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ . Thus, on a local trivialization of the  $\mathbf{U}_E(A)$ -cocycle representing  $\mathcal{X}/S$  over a cover  $U_i$  where we have a splitting  $E|_{U_i} = Q|_{U_i} \oplus B_i$ , the sheaf  $Q_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  is locally identified with  $(1 + f_i)(Q|_{U_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A) \subset E|_{U_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$  for some  $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{U_i}}(Q|_{U_i}, B_i) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{m}_A$ .

Given a locally trivial deformation  $\mathcal{X}/S$  over an artinian base  $S$ , the relative tangent sheaf  $T_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  acts via the adjoint representation on  $T_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ , and for  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  and any local section  $e$  of  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  we obtain an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map  $\text{ad}^{E;Q}(e) : Q_{\mathcal{X}/S} \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{X}/S}/Q_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ . We define a two-term complex

$$M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;Q} := [E_{\mathcal{X}/S} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}^{E;Q}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(Q_{\mathcal{X}/S}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}/Q_{\mathcal{X}/S})]$$

supported in degrees  $[0, 1]$ . For simplicity we write  $M^{E;Q} := M_{X/\mathbb{C}}^{E;Q}$ .

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let  $X$  be a separated complex space (or a separated complex algebraic scheme) with  $Q \subset E \subset T_X$  where  $E$  is a foliation and  $Q$  is a locally split subsheaf of  $E$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *The functor  $F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}$  admits a tangent-obstruction theory with tangent space equal to  $\mathbb{H}^1(X, M^{E;Q})$  and obstructions in  $\mathbb{H}^2(X, M^{E;Q})$ .*
- (2) *For any  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  the lifts of  $\mathcal{X}/S$  to  $F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A[\epsilon])$  are canonically parametrized by a functorial quotient of  $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;Q})$ .*

<sup>6</sup>That is, a torsor if nonempty.

Before the proof it will be useful to explicitly describe the Čech hypercohomology of two-term complexes. For a two-term complex  $K = [A \xrightarrow{f} B]$  of sheaves on a topological space supported in degrees  $[0, 1]$  and a cover  $\underline{U} = \{U_i\}$ , by taking the total Čech complex we see that the Čech cochains and coboundary operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C^\ell(\underline{U}, K) &= C^\ell(\underline{U}, A) \oplus C^{\ell-1}(\underline{U}, B) \\ \delta(a, b) &= (\delta a, \delta b + (-1)^{\deg a} f(a)). \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\ell(\underline{U}, K) &:= \ker \left( C^\ell(\underline{U}, K) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^{\ell+1}(\underline{U}, K) \right) \\ B^\ell(\underline{U}, K) &:= \operatorname{im} \left( C^{\ell-1}(\underline{U}, K) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^\ell(\underline{U}, K) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for the  $\ell$ -cocycles and  $\ell$ -coboundaries.

*Proof of Proposition 2.17.* Both parts are easily seen via Čech cohomology. By Proposition 2.13, any  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_E^{\text{lt}}(A)$  is trivialized on a Stein (or affine) open cover  $\underline{U} := \{U_i\}$  of  $X$ , and we may further assume we have  $E|_{U_i} = Q|_{U_i} \oplus B_i$  for some sheaf  $B_i$ . As nilpotent thickenings of Stein spaces are Stein, see e.g. [GR77, Kapitel V, § 4.3, Satz 5], we may compute (hyper)cohomology in the following using Čech cohomology with the cover  $\{U_i\}$ .

For the tangent space claim in the first part, take a small extension  $A' \rightarrow A$  with kernel  $J$ , and assume  $(\mathcal{X}'/S'), (\mathcal{X}'', S') \in F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A')$  both lift  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A)$ . If  $(\mathcal{X}'/S') \in F_E^{\text{lt}}(A')$  is given by gluing data  $g'_{ij}$  on  $U_{ij}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}''/S'$  is given by gluing data  $g'_{ij}(1 - e_{ij})$  for a 1-cocycle  $e$  valued in  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} J$ , by Proposition 2.13. With respect to those local trivializations we have  $Q_{\mathcal{X}'/S'} = (1 + f'_i)(Q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A')$ , and therefore  $Q_{\mathcal{X}''/S} = (1 + f'_i + (\pi_i \otimes 1)^{-1}v_i)(Q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A')$  for a 0-cochain  $v$  valued in  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(Q, E/Q) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} J$ , where  $\pi_i : B_i \rightarrow (E/Q)|_{U_i}$  is the obvious isomorphism. Now for the  $Q_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}$  to glue we must have that  $(1 - f'_j)g'_{ij}(1 + f'_i)$  preserves  $Q$ , and likewise for the  $Q_{\mathcal{X}''/S'}$  to glue we must have that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - f'_j - (\pi_j \otimes 1)^{-1}v_j)g'_{ij}(1 - e_{ij})(1 + f'_i + (\pi_i \otimes 1)^{-1}v_i) \\ = (1 - f'_j)g'_{ij}(1 + f'_i) + (-e_{ij} + (\pi_i \otimes 1)^{-1}v_i - (\pi_j \otimes 1)^{-1}v_j) \end{aligned}$$

preserves  $Q$ , and therefore that  $\operatorname{ad}^{E;Q}(e) = \delta v$ . Working backward,  $Z^1(\underline{U}, M^{E;Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} J$  naturally acts transitively on the set of lifts of  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A)$ , and the 1-coboundaries are easily seen to act trivially.

For the obstruction space claim in the first part, take  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;Q}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  with gluing data  $g_{ij}$  and such that  $Q_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  is locally identified with  $(1 + f_i)(Q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A)$ . Choose arbitrary lifts  $g'_{ij}$  of  $g_{ij}$  and  $f'_i$  of  $f_i$ . Then taking  $1 + e_{ijk} = g'^{-1}_{ik}g'_{jk}g'_{ij}$  and  $-v_{ij} \in H^0(U_{ij}, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(Q, E/Q) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} J)$  the map induced by  $(1 - f'_j)g'_{ij}(1 + f'_i)$ , the 2-cochain  $(e, v)$  is easily seen to be a 2-cocycle for  $M^{E;Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} J$  and to have cohomology class in  $\mathbb{H}^2(X, M^{E;Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} J$  which is independent of the choices. If it is a coboundary, say  $(e, v) = \delta(x, y)$ , then  $g'_{ij}(1 - x_{ij})$  satisfies the cocycle condition and thus gives

gluing data for a lift  $(\mathcal{X}'/S') \in F_E^{\text{lt}}(A')$ , and further  $v_{ij} = -x_{ij} + y_i - y_j$ , so the  $(1 + f_i + (\pi_i \otimes 1)^{-1}y_i)(Q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A)$  glue.

The second part follows by the same sort of computation as the proof of the tangent space claim in the first part, and is left to the reader.  $\square$

**Definition 2.18.** Let  $X$  be a separated complex space (or a separated complex algebraic scheme) with a splitting  $T_X = \oplus_i E^{(i)} \oplus P$  of the tangent sheaf where  $E := \oplus_i E^{(i)}$  is a foliation. For  $A \in \text{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $S := \text{Spec } A$  we define  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  to be the set of  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_E^{\text{lt}}(A)$  together with a lift  $T_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \oplus_i E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)} \oplus P_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  of the splitting for which  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \oplus_i E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}$ , up to the obvious notion of isomorphism. We suppress the specification of the splitting from the notation for  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}$  as it will be clear from context, and we once again abusively write  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  when we mean  $(\mathcal{X}/S, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(1)}, \dots, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(n)}, P_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  where  $n$  is the number of summands of  $E$ .

We have

$$F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}} = \left( F_{E;E^{(1)}}^{\text{lt}} \times_{F_X^{\text{lt}}} \cdots \times_{F_X^{\text{lt}}} F_{E;E^{(n)}}^{\text{lt}} \right) \times_{F_X^{\text{lt}}} F_{X;P}^{\text{lt}}.$$

The complex

$$M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;\text{split}} := \left[ E_{\mathcal{X}/S} \xrightarrow{\oplus_i \text{ad}^{E;E^{(i)}} \oplus \text{ad}^{X;P}} \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}/E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}) \oplus \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(P_{\mathcal{X}/S}, T_{\mathcal{X}/S}/P_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \right]$$

is easily seen to be

$$M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;\text{split}} = \left( M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E^{(1)}} \oplus_{E_{\mathcal{X}/S}} \cdots \oplus_{E_{\mathcal{X}/S}} M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E^{(n)}} \right) \oplus_{T_{\mathcal{X}/S}} M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^P$$

and it is straightforward to conclude:

**Corollary 2.19.** *Let  $X$  be a separated complex space (or a separated complex algebraic scheme) with a splitting  $T_X = \oplus_i E^{(i)} \oplus P$  of the tangent sheaf where  $E := \oplus_i E^{(i)}$  is a foliation. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *The functor  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}$  admits a tangent-obstruction theory with tangent space equal to  $\mathbb{H}^1(X, M^{E;\text{split}})$  and obstructions in  $\mathbb{H}^2(X, M^{E;\text{split}})$ .*
- (2) *For any  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  the lifts of  $\mathcal{X}/S$  to  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A[\epsilon])$  are canonically parametrized by a functorial quotient of  $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;\text{split}})$ .*

By Schlessinger's criterion [Sch68, Theorem 2.11], Proposition 2.5, Proposition 2.13, and Corollary 2.19, when  $X/\mathbb{C}$  is proper, the functors  $F_X^{\text{lt}}$ ,  $F_E^{\text{lt}}$ , and  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}$  all admit miniversal formal families in the category of formal complex spaces (or formal algebraic schemes), and we denote by  $\widehat{\text{Def}}^{\text{lt}}(X)$ ,  $\widehat{\text{Def}}_E^{\text{lt}}(X)$ , and  $\widehat{\text{Def}}_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(X)$  the bases of such a miniversal formal family, which is unique up to (not necessarily unique) isomorphism.

**Corollary 2.20.** *In the setup of Proposition 2.17, assume further that  $X$  is proper. Then there are maps*

$$\widehat{\text{Def}}_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Def}}_E^{\text{lt}}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Def}}^{\text{lt}}(X)$$

*of formal spaces whose derivatives are the natural maps*

$$\mathbb{H}^1(X, M^{E;\text{split}}) \rightarrow H^1(X, E) \rightarrow H^1(X, T_X).$$

**2.21. Kuranishi spaces for locally trivial deformations.** We recall some results in the analytic category realizing formal deformation-theoretic objects as completions of germs.

**Theorem 2.22** (Grauert, Douady). *For any compact complex space  $Z$  there exists a miniversal deformation  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \text{Def}(Z)$  over a germ  $\text{Def}(Z)$  which is a versal deformation of all of its fibers.*

*Proof.* This is [Gra74, Hauptsatz, p 140], see also [Dou74, Théorème principal, p 598].  $\square$

The family  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \text{Def}(Z)$  is called the *Kuranishi family* and  $\text{Def}(Z)$  is called *Kuranishi space*. If  $Z$  is a complex space satisfying  $H^0(Z, T_Z) = 0$ , then every miniversal deformation is universal.

We recall the analog of Theorem 2.22 for locally trivial deformations.

**Theorem 2.23** (Flenner–Kosarew). *For a miniversal deformation  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \text{Def}(Z)$  of a compact complex space  $Z$  there exists a closed complex subspace  $\text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(Z) \subset \text{Def}(Z)$  of the Kuranishi space such that*

$$\mathcal{Z} \times_{\text{Def}(Z)} \text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(Z)$$

*is a locally trivial deformation of  $Z$  and is miniversal for locally trivial deformations of  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* This is [FK87, (0.3) Corollary].  $\square$

## 2.24. Locally trivial resolutions.

**Definition 2.25.** Let  $S, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be complex spaces (or complex algebraic schemes),  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  and  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$  morphisms, and  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  an  $S$ -morphism. We say:

- (1)  $f$  is *locally trivial* over  $S$  if there is a cover  $\mathcal{X}_i$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ , a cover  $S_i$  of  $S$ , and morphisms  $g_i : \mathcal{Y}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i$  together with diagrams (over  $S$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}_i & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Y_i \times S_i \\ f|_{\mathcal{Y}_i} \downarrow & & \downarrow g_i \times \text{id} \\ \mathcal{X}_i & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X_i \times S_i \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}_i = f^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_i)$ .

- (2)  $f$  is *formally locally trivial* over  $S$  if for any  $T = \text{Spec } A \rightarrow S$  with  $A \in \text{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}$  the base-change  $f_T : \mathcal{Y}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_T$  is locally trivial over  $T$ .

If  $f$  is (formally) locally trivial and fiberwise a resolution, we say it is a *(formally) locally trivial resolution (over  $S$ )*.

Let  $X, Y$  be separated complex spaces (or separated complex algebraic schemes) and  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  a  $\mathbb{C}$ -morphism. There is a naturally defined deformation functor

$F_{Y/X}^{\text{lt}} : \text{Art}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  of locally trivial deformations  $\mathcal{X}/S$  and  $\mathcal{Y}/S$  of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, together with a locally trivial deformation  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of  $\pi$ . Let  $\mathbf{U}_{Y/X}(A) \subset \pi_* \mathbf{U}_Y(A) \times \mathbf{U}_X(A)$  be the sheaf of subgroups whose sections over  $U \subset X$  are pairs of  $A$ -automorphisms  $(f, g)$  making the following square commute over  $\text{Spec } A$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_U \times \text{Spec } A & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_U \times \text{Spec } A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U \times \text{Spec } A & \xrightarrow{g} & U \times \text{Spec } A \end{array}$$

where  $Y_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$ . We have a natural identification

$$F_{Y/X}^{\text{lt}}(A) = \check{H}^1(X, \mathbf{U}_{Y/X}(A)).$$

There is also a natural map of functors  $F_{Y/X}^{\text{lt}} \rightarrow F_X^{\text{lt}}$  coming from the projection map  $\mathbf{U}_{Y/X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_X(A)$ .

For the next proposition, note that for any morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  we have a natural map

$$\pi_* \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y).$$

In particular, if we have  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$  (via the natural map), then there is a natural map  $\pi_* T_Y \rightarrow T_X$ .

**Proposition 2.26.** *Let  $X$  be a separated complex space (or a separated complex algebraic scheme). Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism for which  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$  and such that the induced map  $\pi_* T_Y \rightarrow T_X$  is an isomorphism. Then the natural map  $F_{Y/X}^{\text{lt}} \rightarrow F_X^{\text{lt}}$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that for all  $A \in \text{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}$  the natural map  $\mathbf{U}_{Y/X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_X(A)$  is an isomorphism, and this is obvious by induction on small extensions using the hypothesis on the tangent sheaves and the analog of (2.2) for  $\mathbf{U}_{Y/X}$ .  $\square$

By [GKK10, Corollary 4.7], for a reduced and normal complex space  $X$ , a log resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  for which  $\pi_* T_Y = T_X$  always exists, cf. also [Wł09, Theorem 2.0.1]. We deduce:

**Corollary 2.27.** *Let  $X$  be a normal compact complex variety and let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(X)$  be a miniversal locally trivial deformation. Then there is a locally trivial log resolution  $\bar{\pi} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\bar{\pi}_* T_{\mathcal{Y}/\text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(X)} = T_{\mathcal{X}/\text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(X)}$ .*

Note that by this we mean  $\bar{\pi} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a locally trivial resolution which is fiberwise a log resolution, which by the local triviality of  $\bar{\pi}$  is equivalent to the special fiber being a log resolution. Moreover, it follows that the inclusion  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  of the exceptional divisor (and even the map  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ ) is locally trivial.

*Proof.* Set  $S = \text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(X)$  and take a log resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  for which  $\pi_* T_Y = T_X$ . From the proposition, we have a formal deformation  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \hat{S}$  of  $Y$  and a locally trivial formal deformation  $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$  of  $\pi$  over  $\hat{S}$ . We may trivialize  $\mathcal{X}/S$  on a Stein cover

$\mathcal{X}_i$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  so that we have analytic gluing maps  $g_{ij}$  as in the right side of the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{i|j} \times \widehat{S} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ij}} & Y_{j|i} \times \widehat{S} \\ \pi \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \times \text{id} \\ X_{i|j} \times \widehat{S} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{g}_{ij}} & X_{j|i} \times \widehat{S} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y_{i|j} \times S & \xrightarrow{f_{ij}} & Y_{j|i} \times S \\ \pi \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \times \text{id} \\ X_{i|j} \times S & \xrightarrow{g_{ij}} & X_{j|i} \times S \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{X}_{i|j} := \mathcal{X}_i \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_j$ ,  $X_{i|j} := \mathcal{X}_{i|j} \times_{\mathcal{X}} S$ ,  $Y_{i|j} := \pi^{-1}(X_{i|j})$ , and all morphisms are  $S$ -morphisms. By the proof of the proposition, the resulting cocycle  $(X_i, \widehat{g}_{ij})$  of  $\mathbf{U}_X(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_S) := \varprojlim \mathbf{U}_X(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}_S^k)$  gives a cocycle for  $\mathbf{U}_{Y/X}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_S)$  (analogously defined), as in the left part of the diagram. As  $\pi$  is an isomorphism on a Zariski open set and the  $Y_{j|i} \times S$  are separated, the  $f_{ij}$  in the diagram on the right are locally uniquely determined, and if they exist they satisfy the cocycle condition. By [Art68, Theorem (1.5)(ii)], the  $f_{ij}$  exist locally, and so by the previous remark we obtain a locally trivial resolution  $\bar{\pi} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . Since the  $\phi_{ij}$  in the left diagram are also uniquely determined by  $\widehat{g}_{ij}$ , the map  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  in fact completes to  $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ .  $\square$

Recall that the *Fujiki class*  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of all those compact complex varieties which are meromorphically dominated by a compact Kähler manifold, see [Fuj79, §1]. Recall also that a normal complex variety  $X$  has *rational singularities* if for some (hence any) resolution  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  we have  $R^q \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y = 0$  for  $q > 0$ .

**Corollary 2.28.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  be a locally trivial family of normal varieties of Fujiki class  $\mathcal{C}$  with rational singularities. Then for all  $p$  the function  $s \mapsto h^0(X_s, \Omega_{X_s}^{[p]})$  is locally constant.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a locally trivial resolution. By Kebekus–Schnell [KS21, Corollary 1.8] we have  $h^0(Y_s, \Omega_{Y_s}^p) = h^0(X_s, \Omega_{X_s}^{[p]})$ , and so the claim follows from the local constancy of Hodge numbers in smooth families of Fujiki class manifolds. Note that as for Kähler manifolds, the constancy of Hodge numbers follows from the degeneration of the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence [Uen83, Proposition 1.3] via Deligne’s classical argument [Del68, Théorème 5.5].  $\square$

Proposition 2.26 in particular applies to crepant bimeromorphic morphisms of symplectic varieties; this greatly simplifies the approach of [BL21, Section 4] and yields a generalization of [BL21, Proposition 4.5]:

**Corollary 2.29.** *Let  $Y$  be a compact normal complex variety with a nowhere degenerate form  $\sigma \in H^0(Y^{\text{reg}}, \Omega_{Y^{\text{reg}}}^2)$  and let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper bimeromorphic map to a normal variety  $X$  with rational singularities. Then there is a locally trivial deformation  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of  $\pi$  over  $\text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(X)$ , where  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(X)$  is the miniversal locally trivial deformation of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* The symplectic form  $\sigma$  induces a symplectic form on  $X^{\text{reg}}$ , and we have  $T_Y \cong \Omega_Y^{[1]}$  and  $T_X \cong \Omega_X^{[1]}$  by reflexivity. Vector fields therefore lift from  $X$  to  $Y$  as reflexive



1-forms do by Kebekus–Schnell [KS21, Corollary 1.8]. The existence of the formal deformation of  $\pi$  then follows from Proposition 2.26, and it is effectivized as in the proof of Corollary 2.27.  $\square$

**2.30. Deformations along weakly symplectic split foliations.** In this section we show that locally trivial deformations along certain split foliations are unobstructed, see Proposition 2.35 and Corollary 2.37. The following definitions will be useful.

**Definition 2.31.** Let  $\mathcal{X}/S$  be a locally trivial family of normal varieties. We say a splitting  $T_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \oplus_i E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)} \oplus P_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  is *good* if:

- (1)  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S} := \oplus_i E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}$  is a foliation;
- (2) For each  $i$  we have either:
  - (a)  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}$  admits a nondegenerate reflexive 2-form; or
  - (b)  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)} \cong E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j)\vee}$  for some  $j \neq i$ .

We will refer to  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  as a *weakly symplectic split foliation*.

*Remark 2.32.* Observe that the second condition in the definition is equivalent to:

- (2') For each  $i$  there is a reflexive 2-form  $\sigma_S^{(i)} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[2]})$  such that contraction with  $\sigma_S^{(i)}$  yields an isomorphism  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)} \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j)\vee}$  for some  $j$ .

This justifies the term “weakly symplectic split foliation”:  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  is not necessarily a symplectic sheaf itself but every summand in the splitting is either symplectic or embedded in a symplectic subsheaf.

**Definition 2.33.** Let  $\mathcal{X}/S$  be a locally trivial family of normal varieties. Given  $\tau \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[p]})$ , we define the *radical*

$$\text{rad}(\tau) := \{t \in T_{\mathcal{X}/S} \mid \iota_t \tau = 0\} \subset T_{\mathcal{X}/S}.$$

*Remark 2.34.* Note that if  $\tau$  is a reflexive  $p$ -form on a normal compact variety  $X$  of Fujiki class  $\mathcal{C}$  with rational singularities, then  $E = \text{rad}(\tau)$  is automatically a foliation. Indeed, for any  $u, v \in E$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{[u,v]} \tau &= \underbrace{L_u \iota_v \tau - \iota_v L_u \tau}_{=0} \\ &= -\iota_v \iota_u d\tau - \iota_v d\iota_u \tau = -\iota_v \iota_u d\tau = 0. \end{aligned}$$

since  $d\tau = 0$  by Kebekus–Schnell [KS21, Corollary 1.8]. In particular, any splitting of  $T_X$  into  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules of trivial determinant is a splitting into foliations.

The main result of this section is the following:

**Proposition 2.35.** *Let  $X$  be a compact complex variety of Fujiki class  $\mathcal{C}$  with rational singularities and a good splitting  $T_X = \oplus_i E^{(i)} \oplus P$ . Then  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}$  is unobstructed.*

*Proof.* For  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A)$ , the deformation module of  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}$  is canonically a functorial quotient of  $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;\text{split}})$  by Corollary 2.19. We will use the  $T^1$ -lifting



criterion of [Ran92, Kaw92, Kaw97]. While  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}$  is not necessarily pro-representable, by Remark 2.7 we may use the version in [Gro97b, Theorem 1.8]. The claim follows once we know for any  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  and any lift  $(\mathcal{X}'/S') \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A')$  through a small extension  $A' \rightarrow A$  that the restriction map

$$\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{X}', M_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{E;\text{split}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;\text{split}})$$

is surjective.

*Step 1.* For any  $A \in \text{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and for any  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A)$ , the splitting  $T_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \oplus_i E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)} \oplus P_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  is good.

It suffices to assume the splitting is good for  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  and to show that the splitting of any lift  $(\mathcal{X}'/S') \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A')$  through a small extension  $A' \rightarrow A$  is good. Note that in the notation of Remark 2.32, if  $\sigma_S^{(i)}$  lifts to  $\sigma_{S'}^{(i)} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}', \Omega_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{[2]})$ , then the induced map  $E_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{(i)} \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{(j)\vee}$  is also isomorphism as both are flat.

We therefore reduce to showing the  $\sigma_S^{(i)}$  lift. For this we could appeal directly to the degeneration of reflexive Hodge-to-de Rham in low degrees [BL18, Lemma 2.4], but we also include a more direct argument using Corollary 2.27. Let  $\pi' : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$  be a simultaneous locally trivial resolution with special fiber  $\bar{\pi} : Y \rightarrow X$ . By Kebekus–Schnell [KS21, Corollary 1.8] we have  $\bar{\pi}_* \Omega_Y^2 = \Omega_X^{[2]}$  via the natural map. By local triviality we then have  $\pi'_* \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/S'}^2 = \Omega_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{[2]}$  via the natural map, as both are flat and the natural map is an isomorphism on the special fiber. By Deligne [Del68, Théorème 5.5] (see also e.g. [BL18, Lemma 2.4] for the necessary changes in the analytic category),  $H^0(\mathcal{X}', \Omega_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{[2]}) = H^0(\mathcal{Y}', \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/S'}^2)$  is free and compatible with base-change, and it follows that the restriction map  $H^0(\mathcal{X}', \Omega_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{[2]}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[2]})$  is surjective.

*Step 2.* For any  $A \in \text{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , any  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E,P}^{\text{lt}}(A)$ , and any lift  $(\mathcal{X}'/S') \in F_{E,P}^{\text{lt}}(A')$  through a small extension  $A' \rightarrow A$  the restriction map

$$H^1(\mathcal{X}', E_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{X}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S})$$

is surjective.

From [BL21, Lemma 2.4] the restriction map  $H^1(\mathcal{X}', \Omega_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{[1]}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[1]})$  is surjective. As the splitting of  $\mathcal{X}'/S'$  is good by the previous step, for each  $i$  we have that  $E_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{(i)}$  is a direct summand of  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{[1]} \cong T_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{\vee}$  which is compatible with restriction, and therefore the restriction map  $H^1(\mathcal{X}', E_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{(i)}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{X}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)})$  is surjective. The claim then follows.

*Step 3.* For any  $(\mathcal{X}/S) \in F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}(A)$  the natural sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}/E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(P_{\mathcal{X}/S}, T_{\mathcal{X}/S}/P_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;\text{split}}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{X}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

For notational simplicity set

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}/S} := \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}/E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}) \oplus \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(P_{\mathcal{X}/S}, T_{\mathcal{X}/S}/P_{\mathcal{X}/S})$$

and  $H_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  for the global sections. Using the long exact sequence associated to the triangle

$$M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;\text{split}} \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{X}/S} \xrightarrow{\oplus_i \text{ad}^{E;E^{(i)}} \oplus \text{ad}^{X;P}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}/S} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;\text{split}}[1]$$

its sufficient to show that the induced maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}^{E;E^{(i)}} : H^q(\mathcal{X}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}) &\rightarrow H^q(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}/E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)})) \\ \text{ad}^{X;P} : H^q(\mathcal{X}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}) &\rightarrow H^q(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(P_{\mathcal{X}/S}, T_{\mathcal{X}/S}/P_{\mathcal{X}/S})) \end{aligned}$$

vanish for  $q = 0, 1$ . Temporarily set  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(0)} := P_{\mathcal{X}/S}$  and let  $\pi_i : T_{\mathcal{X}/S} \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}$  be the projection. Its then sufficient to show the induced map

$$\pi_j \circ \text{ad} : H^q(\mathcal{X}, T_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j)}))$$

vanishes for  $q = 0, 1$  and all  $i \neq j$  with  $j \neq 0$ . Together with the degeneration of reflexive Hodge-to-de Rham  $H^q(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[p]}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[\bullet]})$  in the range  $p + q \leq 2$  [BL21, Lemma 2.4], it is enough to show the following:

*Claim.* For each  $i \neq j$  with  $j \neq 0$  we have a commutative diagram

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T_{\mathcal{X}/S} & \xrightarrow{\pi_j \circ \text{ad}} & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j)}) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[1]} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[2]} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Fix  $i, j$ . Using Step 1, there is a reflexive 2-form  $\sigma_S$  whose radical contains  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}$  and which by contraction gives an isomorphism  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j)} \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j')\vee}$ . We then take the left vertical map of (2.5) to be the contraction map  $t \mapsto \iota_t \sigma_S$  and the right vertical map to associate to a form  $\alpha$  the map  $f \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j)})$  such that  $\sigma_S(f(u), v) = -\alpha(u, v)$  for  $u \in E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}$  and  $v \in E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j')}$ . The latter is none other than the projection (up to a sign) of  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[2]}$  onto the  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)\vee} \boxtimes E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j')\vee}$  factor in its natural splitting using the identification  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j)} \cong E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j')\vee}$ .

For the commutativity of (2.5) we need to show for sections  $t$  of  $T_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ ,  $u$  of  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}$ , and  $v$  of  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(j')}$  that

$$(d\iota_t \sigma_S)(u, v) = -\sigma_S([t, u], v).$$

On the one hand, since  $\sigma_S$  is closed (again by the low degree degeneration of reflexive Hodge-to-de Rham), we have

$$L_t \sigma_S = d\iota_t \sigma_S$$

by the Cartan formula. On the other hand, since  $\sigma_S$  vanishes on  $E_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{(i)}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L_t \sigma_S)(u, v) &= t \cdot \underbrace{\sigma_S(u, v)}_{=0} - \sigma_S(L_t u, v) - \underbrace{\sigma_S(u, L_t v)}_{=0} \\ &= -\sigma_S([t, u], v). \end{aligned}$$

□

*Step 4.* Final step of the proof.

Now for  $\mathcal{X}'/S'$  lifting  $\mathcal{X}/S$ , we have a natural diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{X}'/S'} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{E;\text{split}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{X}', E_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{X}/S} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{E;\text{split}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{X}, E_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

using the notation of the previous step, where the vertical maps are restrictions. The right vertical map is surjective by Step 2, while the left vertical map is surjective since each  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}}(E_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{(i)}, E_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{(j)})$  (again using the notation  $E_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{(0)} = P_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}$ ) is a summand of  $H^0(\mathcal{X}', \Omega_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{[2]})$  that is compatible with the restriction map  $H^0(\mathcal{X}', \Omega_{\mathcal{X}'/S'}^{[2]}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[2]})$ , which is surjective as in Step 1. The rows are exact by Step 3, and it follows that the middle vertical map is surjective, thus completing the proof. □

*Remark 2.36.* Without additional assumptions, Proposition 2.35 does not obviously generalize to arbitrary  $K$ -trivial splittings, and in particular cannot be adapted to prove a locally trivial Bogomolov–Tian–Todorov theorem. For such a splitting, each factor is identified with a direct summand of some  $\Omega_X^{[p-1]}$  via contraction with a  $p$ -form, and Step 3 of the proof still carries through. Indeed, the map  $d : \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[p-1]} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{[p]}$  still induces the zero map on zeroth and first cohomology using the Leray spectral sequence and the result of Kebekus–Schnell. On the other hand, Step 2 may fail in general as reflexive Hodge-to-de Rham does not necessarily degenerate in higher degrees (although see [Dan91, Theorem 3.4] and [Ste77, (1.12) Theorem] for some special cases over a point).

**Corollary 2.37.** *Assume the hypotheses of the previous proposition. Then  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}} \rightarrow F_E^{\text{lt}}$  is formally smooth and the functor  $F_E^{\text{lt}}$  is unobstructed. In particular, there exists a locally trivial deformation  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow (H^1(X, E), 0)$  of  $X$  whose Kodaira–Spencer map is the natural map  $H^1(X, E) \rightarrow H^1(X, T_X)$ .*

*Proof.* As  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}} \rightarrow F_E^{\text{lt}}$  is surjective on tangent spaces by Step 3 of the proof of Proposition 2.35 and  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}$  is unobstructed, it follows easily by induction on small extensions that  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}} \rightarrow F_E^{\text{lt}}$  is formally smooth. The unobstructedness of  $F_{E;\text{split}}^{\text{lt}}$  then immediately implies that  $F_E^{\text{lt}}$  is unobstructed. By Corollary 2.20 we have a map on the level of formal spaces  $(H^1(\widehat{X, E}), 0) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Def}}^{\text{lt}}(X)$  with the required derivative,

and by Artin approximation [Art68, Theorem (1.2)] there is a map on the level of analytic germs with the required derivative.  $\square$

### 3. K-TRIVIAL VARIETIES AND STRONG APPROXIMATIONS

Let us fix terminology. For a normal variety  $X$ , we denote by  $\omega_X$  the double dual of  $\det \Omega_X^1$  and by  $\omega_X^{[m]} := (\omega_X^{\otimes m})^{\vee\vee}$  its reflexive powers.

**Definition 3.1.** A *numerically  $K$ -trivial* variety is a normal complex variety  $X$  with rational singularities such that  $\omega_X^{[m]}$  is a line bundle for some  $m > 0$  and  $c_1(\omega_X) = 0$  as an element of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . If  $\omega_X$  satisfies  $\omega_X^{[m]} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  for some  $m > 0$  we say that  $X$  is  *$K$ -torsion*. We say  $X$  is  *$K$ -trivial* if  $\omega_X^{\vee\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ .

*Remark 3.2.* Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler space with log terminal singularities. By [CGP19, Corollary 1.18], numerical  $K$ -triviality is equivalent to  $X$  being  $K$ -torsion. Actually, the proof does not rely on  $X$  being Kähler but rather on  $X$  admitting a Kähler resolution; in particular, the result holds when  $X$  is merely in Fujiki class  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that by normality,  $\omega_X^{[m]} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  if and only if  $\omega_{X^{\text{reg}}}^m \cong \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{reg}}}$ . Moreover, by the result of Kebekus–Schnell ([KS21, Corollary 1.8]), if  $X$  is normal with rational singularities and  $\omega_X^{\vee\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ , then it has canonical singularities (and is in particular  $K$ -trivial in the above sense).

It is easy to construct examples of numerically  $K$ -trivial varieties in the sense of Definition 3.1. For example, any anti-canonical divisor with rational singularities in a Gorenstein variety is  $K$ -trivial. Kähler varieties with symplectic singularities in the sense of Beauville provide some other examples (in particular, see the primitive symplectic varieties of [BL18]).

**3.3. Proof of Theorem B.** We are now ready to prove Theorem B. Given the results of Section 2.30, the main step is to show the following:

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a numerically  $K$ -trivial compact Kähler variety with log terminal singularities. Then there exists a good splitting  $T_X = \oplus_i E^{(i)} \oplus P$  in the sense of Definition 2.31 where  $P$  is the common radical of all reflexive 2-forms.*

*Proof.* We fix a Kähler class on  $X$ ; in the following, we always mean stability with respect to this class. By [Gue16, Theorem A(ii)] there is a splitting  $T_X = \bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i$  into stable factors with slope zero. Note that for any reflexive 2-form  $\sigma$  we have a contraction map  $T_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^{[1]} \cong T_X^\vee$ , and by stability of the factors it follows that for each  $i$  either  $E_i \subset \text{rad}(\sigma)$  or contraction with  $\sigma$  induces an isomorphism  $E_i \rightarrow E_j^\vee$  for some  $j$ . In particular, the common radical is  $P = \bigoplus_{i \in I_P} E_i$  for a subset  $I_P \subset I$ . Finally, for each  $i$  we have that  $E_i|_{X^{\text{reg}}}$  is parallel with respect to the Ricci-flat metric in the chosen Kähler class, so any sum of the  $E_i$  is a foliation. We therefore conclude that

$$T_X = \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus I_P} E_i \oplus P$$

is a good splitting.  $\square$

By the lemma, the hypotheses of Proposition 2.35 are satisfied and Corollary 2.37 applies. In view of Graf–Schwald [GS21, Theorem 3.1], the proof is completed by the following:

**Lemma 3.5.** *For  $X$  as in the previous lemma, suppose there is a splitting  $T_X = E \oplus P$  such that every reflexive 2-form contains  $P$  in its radical. Then for any Kähler class  $\omega$  on  $X$ , the contraction map*

$$\iota_\omega : H^1(X, E) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

*is surjective.*

We refer to § 6.1 for Kähler forms on singular spaces. To any such class  $\omega$  on  $X$ , one may associate an element  $\kappa(\omega) \in H^1(X, \Omega_X^1)$ , cf. (6.3). We then denote by  $\iota_\omega$  the composition of the cup product  $H^1(X, T_X) \xrightarrow{\kappa(\omega) \cup -} H^2(X, T_X \otimes \Omega_X^1)$  and the contraction  $H^2(X, T_X \otimes \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Similarly, if  $t \in H^1(X, T_X)$ , we have a contraction map  $\iota_t : H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]}) \xrightarrow{t \cup -} H^1(X, \Omega_X^{[2]} \otimes T_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_X^{[1]})$ .

*Proof.* Set  $n := \dim X$ ; one may assume  $n > 1$ . The contraction map

$$\iota_\omega : H^1(X, T_X) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

is already surjective by [GS21, Theorem 4.1], and the proof of the lemma follows by a similar computation. We have a perfect pairing

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]}) \otimes H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \int_X \omega^{n-2} \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta$$

and so  $\iota_\omega$  is identified with the surjective map  $f : H^1(X, T_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]})^\vee$  given by

$$f(t) : \alpha \mapsto \int_X \omega^{n-2} \wedge \alpha \wedge \iota_t(\omega) = -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_X \omega^{n-1} \wedge \iota_t(\alpha)$$

using that  $\omega^{n-1} \wedge \alpha = 0$  and

$$\iota_t(\omega^{n-1} \wedge \alpha) = (n-1) \omega^{n-2} \wedge \iota_t(\omega) \wedge \alpha + \omega^{n-1} \wedge \iota_t(\alpha).$$

Now any  $\alpha \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^{[2]})$  vanishes on  $P$ , so  $f$  kills  $H^1(X, P)$ , whence the lemma.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem B is now complete.  $\square$

**3.6. Quasi-étale covers in families and applications.** In this section we deduce some first consequences of Theorem B. We refer to the introduction for the definitions of quasi-étale maps and quasi-étale covers. We first prove two lemmas asserting that quasi-étale covers can be spread out in locally trivial families, and that the resulting families are also locally trivial. Because it is all we will need, for simplicity we only consider families over the disk  $\Delta$ .

Recall that a quasi-étale cover restricts to an étale cover of  $X^{\text{reg}}$ , and conversely any étale cover of  $X^{\text{reg}}$  can be extended to a quasi-étale cover of  $X$  [DG94, Thm. 3.4].

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a locally trivial family of normal varieties.*

- (1) *Let  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a quasi-étale cover. Then for any  $t \in \Delta$ ,  $f$  induces a quasi-étale cover  $f_t : Y_t \rightarrow X_t$ .*
- (2) *Conversely, let  $t \in \Delta$  and let  $f_t : Y_t \rightarrow X_t$  be a quasi-étale cover. Then there exists a quasi-étale cover  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that  $f|_{Y_t} = f_t$ .*

*Proof.* The first part is straightforward; by Nagata's purity of branch locus,  $f$  is étale over  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{reg}}$  hence  $f_t$  is étale over  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{reg}} \cap X_t = X_t^{\text{reg}}$ . As for the second assertion, note that the canonical morphism  $\pi_1(X_t^{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X}^{\text{reg}})$  induced by the inclusion  $X_t^{\text{reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{reg}}$  is actually isomorphic since  $\pi$  is topologically trivial. In particular, any étale cover  $Y_t^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow X_t^{\text{reg}}$  is the restriction of an étale cover  $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{reg}}$  over  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a locally trivial family of normal varieties and  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  a quasi-étale cover. Then  $f \circ \pi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \Delta$  is locally trivial.*

*Proof.* One can cover  $\mathcal{X}$  by (small) open subsets  $U$  where  $\pi$  is trivial; i.e.  $U \cong U_0 \times \Delta$  over  $\Delta$  where  $U_0 = X_0 \cap U$ . We claim that up to shrinking  $U$ , the space  $\mathcal{Y}|_{f^{-1}(U)}$  is isomorphic over  $\Delta$  to  $V_0 \times \Delta$  where  $V_0 \rightarrow U_0$  is a suitable quasi-étale cover.

Since there is a 1–1 correspondence between quasi-étale covers of  $U$  and étale covers of  $U^{\text{reg}}$  (or, equivalently, finite index subgroups of  $\pi_1(U^{\text{reg}})$ ), the result follows from the isomorphism  $\pi_1(U^{\text{reg}}) \cong \pi_1(U_0^{\text{reg}})$ .

Indeed, set  $V := f^{-1}(U)$  and  $V^\circ := f^{-1}(U^{\text{reg}})$ . The cover  $f$  induces an étale cover  $f|_{V^\circ} : V^\circ \rightarrow U_0^{\text{reg}} \times \Delta$ . By the observation above, there is an isomorphism of étale covers  $V^\circ \cong W_0 \times \Delta$  for some étale cover  $h_0 : W_0 \rightarrow U_0^{\text{reg}}$ . We can uniquely extend  $h_0$  into a quasi-étale cover  $h : W \rightarrow U_0$  of normal spaces and we will automatically obtain an isomorphism  $V \cong W \times \Delta$  of covers over  $U_0 \times \Delta$ . In particular,  $W$  is isomorphic to  $V \cap \mathcal{Y}_0$ , and  $f \circ \pi$  is indeed locally trivial as claimed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $X$  be a numerically  $K$ -trivial variety with log terminal singularities. Then  $X$  admits a maximally quasi-étale cover  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ ; i.e. the natural morphism  $\hat{\pi}_1(\tilde{X}^{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(\tilde{X})$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Theorem B, there is a locally trivial deformation  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  of  $X$  such that for some  $t \in \Delta$ , the fiber  $X_t$  is projective. By [GKP16a, Theorem 1.5], there exists a maximally quasi-étale cover  $\tilde{X}_t \rightarrow X_t$ . By Lemma 3.7, we can find a quasi-étale cover  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  inducing  $\tilde{X}_t \rightarrow X_t$  and a quasi-étale cover  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . By Lemma 3.8, the cover  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a locally trivial family, so by [AV21, Proposition 6.1] there is a homeomorphism  $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X}_t$  inducing a diffeomorphism  $\tilde{X}^{\text{reg}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X}_t^{\text{reg}}$  by restriction. The corollary follows.  $\square$

Recall that for  $X$  a compact Kähler variety with rational singularities, the augmented irregularity  $\tilde{q}(X)$  [CGGN20, Definition 2.1] is

$$\tilde{q}(X) = \sup \left\{ h^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = h^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{[1]}) \mid \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \text{ quasi-étale cover} \right\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

If additionally  $X$  has klt singularities and trivial first Chern class, then  $\tilde{q}(X) \leq \dim X$ ; in particular, it is finite [CGGN20, Corollary 4.2(i)].

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a locally trivial deformation of a  $K$ -trivial Kähler variety  $X$  with canonical singularities. Then the augmented irregularity  $\tilde{q}(X_t)$  is constant, and one fiber is IHS (resp. ICY) if and only if every fiber is IHS (resp. ICY).*

*Proof.* The first statement is immediate from the lemmas and Corollary 2.28.

For the second part, assume that one fiber, say  $X_0$ , is ICY or IHS. This implies that  $\tilde{q}(X_0) = 0$ , and  $\tilde{q}(X_t) = 0$  for all  $t$ . We fix  $t \in \Delta$ . By [CGGN20, Theorem C & Proposition 6.9] and Corollary 3.9, there exists a quasi-étale cover  $\tilde{X}_t \rightarrow X_t$  such that the tangent sheaf of  $\tilde{X}_t$  has a decomposition  $T_{\tilde{X}_t} = (\oplus_{i \in I} C_i) \oplus (\oplus_{j \in J} S_j)$  where  $C_i$  (resp.  $S_j$ ) is of ICY type (resp. IHS type). Recall that this means that each  $C_i$  (resp.  $S_j$ ) has full holonomy  $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{rk} C_i)$  (resp.  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{rk} S_j)$ ) with respect to a singular Ricci-flat metric. By Lemma 3.7, one can find a quasi-étale  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  extending  $\tilde{X}_t \rightarrow X_t$ , and by Lemma 3.8 the family  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \Delta$  is a locally trivial deformation.

If one can show that  $T_{\tilde{X}_t}$  has a single summand, then  $\tilde{X}_t$  will be either ICY or IHS by [CGGN20, Corollary E] and the type of  $\tilde{X}_t$  will then be determined by the Hodge numbers  $t \mapsto h^0(\tilde{X}_t, \Omega_{\tilde{X}_t}^{[p]})$  which are independent of  $t$ , cf. Corollary 2.28. By the same argument,  $X_t$  itself will be ICY or IHS, with type determined by that of  $X_0$ .

Since each of the factors in the decomposition of  $T_{\tilde{X}_t}$  accounts for at least one holomorphic form (in maximal rank), there can be only one such factor if  $X_0$  is ICY.

It now remains to show the corollary in the case where  $X_0$  is IHS. Write  $\dim X_0 = 2n$ . First, one observes that  $|J| = h^0(\tilde{X}_t, \Omega_{\tilde{X}_t}^{[2]}) = 1$ . Let  $\sigma \in H^0(\tilde{X}_t, \Omega_{\tilde{X}_t}^{[2]})$  a nonzero element and let  $m := \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{rank}(S_1)$ . We have  $m \leq n$ , and we want to show that this inequality is actually an equality. Clearly, one has  $\sigma^{m+1} = 0$ . Remember that the cup product map  $\mathrm{Sym}^k H^2(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2k}(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbb{Q})$  is injective for any  $k \leq n$ , cf. [BL18, Proposition 5.15], so the same statement is true for  $\tilde{X}_t$  as locally trivial families (over  $\Delta$ ) are topologically trivial. This implies that  $m + 1 > n$ , and given that  $n \geq m$ , we have  $n = m$  as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. REMINDER ON THE DOUADY SPACE

For a proper morphism  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  of complex spaces we denote by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S) \rightarrow S$  the relative Douady space constructed by Pourcin [Pou69], who generalized the work of Douady [Dou66] to the relative situation. Let us denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_q(\mathcal{X}/S) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S)$  the union of all irreducible components whose general element parametrizes a pure dimensional reduced subspace of dimension  $q$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathcal{X}/S)$  be the relative Barlet space of dimension  $q$  cycles in the fibers of  $f$ , see [Bar75, Théorème 5]. By [Bar75, Théorème 8], there is a morphism of complex spaces

$$(4.1) \quad \varrho : (\overline{\mathcal{D}}_q(\mathcal{X}/S))_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_q(\mathcal{X}/S)$$

where  $(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_q(\mathcal{X}/S))_{\mathrm{red}}$  denotes the reduction of  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_q(\mathcal{X}/S)$ .



*Remark 4.1.* As the following example shows, pure dimensionality is not an open property. The Hilbert scheme  $H = \text{Hilb}^{3n+1}(\mathbb{P}^3)$  of closed subschemes of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with Hilbert polynomial  $p(n) = 3n + 1$  is a union  $H = H' \cup H''$  where  $H'$  and  $H''$  are smooth and irreducible (of dimension 12, 15 respectively) and intersect transversally with  $\dim H' \cap H'' = 11$ , see the main theorem of [PS85]. Generically,  $H'$  parametrizes twisted cubics and  $H''$  parametrizes plane cubics in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  union an additional point. Both may degenerate to a singular plane cubic with an embedded point at a singularity. In particular, elements of  $H' \cap H''$  are pure dimensional (with embedded points) while the general element of  $H''$  is not.

Fujiki has obtained important properness results about the Douady and the Barlet space. These results are fairly comprehensive for Kähler morphisms. We need however a slight generalization to weakly Kähler morphisms. Following [Bin83a] we call a morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  *weakly Kähler* if for any  $s_0 \in S$ , there exists a neighborhood  $S^\circ \subset S$  of  $S$  and a smooth  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\theta$  on  $\mathcal{X}^\circ := \mathcal{X} \times_S S^\circ$  such that for all  $s \in S^\circ$ , the restriction  $\theta|_{X_s^\circ}$  is a Kähler form. Here,  $X_s^\circ$  is the fiber at  $s$  of  $\mathcal{X}^\circ \rightarrow S^\circ$ . See [Bin83b, Example 3.9] for an example attributed to Deligne showing that a deformation of a Kähler manifold need not be a Kähler morphism.

The following result is proven with exactly the same methods as in Fujiki's article [Fuj79]. We include a sketch of a proof as we could not find it anywhere in the literature. The argument is similar to [GLR13, Proposition 2.6].

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}, S$  be reduced and irreducible complex spaces, let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  be a proper locally trivial morphism which is weakly Kähler, let  $S^\circ \subset S$  be any simply connected relatively compact subspace, and denote  $\mathcal{X}^\circ := \mathcal{X} \times_S S^\circ$ . Then every irreducible component  $D \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}}_q(\mathcal{X}^\circ/S^\circ)$  respectively  $B \subset \mathcal{B}_q(\mathcal{X}^\circ/S^\circ)$  is proper over  $S^\circ$ .*

*Proof.* By [Fuj79, Proposition 3.4], it suffices to show the statement for the Barlet space as the morphism  $\varrho : D \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_q(\mathcal{X}^\circ/S^\circ)$  will then be proper as well. Let  $B \subset \mathcal{B}_q(\mathcal{X}^\circ/S^\circ)$  be an irreducible component and denote by  $\{F_b\}_{b \in B}$  the universal family of cycles parametrized by  $B$ . Let  $\theta$  be a weak Kähler metric for  $f$ . The key point is to show that  $\lambda(b) := \int_{F_b} \theta^q$  is bounded as  $b \in B$  varies, cf. the proof of [Fuj79, Proposition 4.1].

By [AV21, Proposition 6.1], the sheaf  $(R^{2q}f_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}^\circ})^\vee$  is a local system, and the argument of [Ful98, Lemma 19.1.3] shows that  $b \mapsto [F_b]$  defines a section of the pulled back local system along the projection  $\pi : B \rightarrow S^\circ$ . As  $S^\circ$  is simply connected, the local system is constant and thus the  $[F_b]$  determine a global section  $L$  of  $(R^{2q}f_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}^\circ})^\vee$ . In particular, the continuous function  $\lambda' : S^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\lambda'(s) := L_s([\theta_s]^q)$  satisfies  $\pi^*\lambda' = \lambda$ . As  $\lambda'$  can be extended to the closure  $\overline{S^\circ}$  in  $S$ , we see that  $\lambda$  is bounded.  $\square$

The following is an immediate consequence.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $S$  be a reduced and irreducible complex space, let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  be a locally trivial deformation of a compact Kähler variety  $X$  with rational singularities.*



With the notation of Proposition 4.2, every irreducible component  $D \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}}_q(\mathcal{X}^\circ/S^\circ)$  respectively  $B \subset \mathcal{B}_q(\mathcal{X}^\circ/S^\circ)$  is proper over  $S^\circ$ .

*Proof.* By [Bin83a, Theorem 6.3],  $f$  is weakly Kähler.  $\square$

As a consequence of properness, we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a normal compact Kähler variety with rational singularities. Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  be a locally trivial deformation of  $X$  over an irreducible and reduced base  $S$ . Suppose that for some nonempty Euclidean open  $V \subset S$  we have a product decomposition*

$$\mathcal{X} \times_S V \cong \mathcal{Y}_V \times_V \mathcal{Z}_V$$

*for locally trivial  $\mathcal{Y}_V, \mathcal{Z}_V/V$  and suppose that  $V$  is contained in a relatively compact simply connected subspace  $S^\circ \subset S$ . Then up to replacing  $S$  by  $S^\circ$ , there is a finite morphism  $S' \rightarrow S$ , a Euclidean open  $V' \subset S'$  mapping generically isomorphically onto  $V$ , and a Zariski open  $U' \subset S'$  containing  $V'$  such that the base change  $\mathcal{X} \times_S U' \rightarrow U'$  has a product decomposition*

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{X} \times_S U' \cong \mathcal{Y} \times_{U'} \mathcal{Z}$$

*for locally trivial  $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}/U'$  that specializes to the pullback of the one of  $\mathcal{X} \times_S V$  over  $V'$ .*

*Proof.* We may also assume  $S$  is normal by passing to the normalization. We will proceed in three steps.

*Step 1.* Let  $v \in V$  and  $z \in Z_v$  be smooth points. Then the relative Douady space  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S)$  is smooth over  $S$  at  $Y(z) := Y_v \times \{z\}$  and  $\dim_{[Y(z)]} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S) = \dim Z_v + \dim S$ .

The normal bundles form a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N_{Y(z)/\mathcal{X}_v} \rightarrow N_{Y(z)/\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow N_{\mathcal{X}_v/\mathcal{X}}|_{Y(z)} \rightarrow 0$  where the outer terms are trivial bundles of rank  $\dim Z_v$  respectively  $\dim S$ . We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \dim T_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S), [Y(z)]} &= \dim H^0(Y(z), N_{Y(z)/\mathcal{X}}) \\ &\leq \dim Z_v + \dim S \\ &= \dim \mathcal{Z}_V \\ &\leq \dim_{[Y(z)]} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last inequality follows from the fact that the second projection  $\mathcal{X} \times_S V \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_V$  can be thought of as the family of fibers  $Y(z)$  and the resulting classifying map  $\mathcal{Z}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S)$  is clearly injective. We infer that equality holds above and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S)$  is smooth at  $[Y(z)]$ .

Before the next step, we set some notation. By the previous step, there is a unique irreducible component  $D \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S)$  passing through  $[Y(z)] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S)$  and we let  $D^\nu \rightarrow D$  be its normalization. We denote by  $\mathcal{F} \subset D^\nu \times_S \mathcal{X}$  the (pullback of the) universal family and consider the  $S$ -morphism  $e : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  induced by projection. Replacing  $S$  by  $S^\circ$ , we may assume that  $D^\nu \rightarrow S$  is proper by Corollary 4.3 and

therefore has a Stein factorization  $D^\nu \rightarrow S' \rightarrow S$ . Moreover,  $S'$  is irreducible and  $S' \rightarrow S$  is surjective, as  $D$  respectively  $D \rightarrow S$  are.

*Step 2.* There is a nonempty Zariski open  $U' \subset S'$  and a Euclidean open  $V' \subset U' \cap (S' \times_S V)$  such that the induced morphisms  $e \times \text{id} : \mathcal{F} \times_{S'} U' \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_S U'$  and  $V' \rightarrow V$  are isomorphisms.

Clearly, the classifying map of  $\mathcal{Z}_V$  factors as  $\mathcal{Z}_V \rightarrow D \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S)$ . By construction,  $\mathcal{Z}_V \rightarrow D$  is injective and as the induced map  $\mathcal{Z}_V \rightarrow D \times_S V$  is proper (since  $\mathcal{Z}_V \rightarrow V$  is),  $\mathcal{Z}_V$  maps bijectively onto an irreducible component of  $D \times_S V$ . As  $D^\nu \times_S V = (D \times_S V)^\nu$ , we have a factorization  $\mathcal{Z}_V \rightarrow D^\nu \rightarrow D$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}_V$  is isomorphic to a connected component of  $D^\nu \times_S V$ . The map  $\mathcal{Z}_V \rightarrow V$  factors through an isomorphism  $V' \rightarrow V$  for a connected component  $V' \subset S' \times_S V$ . Indeed,  $V' \rightarrow V$  is finite and bimeromorphic as  $\mathcal{Z}_V \rightarrow V$  has connected fibers and  $V$  is normal by assumption. Over  $V' \subset S'$  we have a diagram

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \alpha & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \mathcal{X} \times_S V' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \times_{S'} V' & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{X} \times_S S') \times_{S'} V' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \searrow \\ \mathcal{Z}_V & \longrightarrow & D^\nu \times_{S'} V' & & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \\ & & V' & & \end{array}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the identity, so  $\mathcal{F} \times_{S'} V' \rightarrow (\mathcal{X} \times_S S') \times_{S'} V'$  is an isomorphism. The locus  $U' \subset S'$  over which  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_S S'$  is an isomorphism, is Zariski open (and nonempty as  $V' \subset U'$ ).

*Step 3.* Final step of the proof.

We also apply the preceding steps swapping  $Y$  and  $Z$ , and thus obtain for  $i = Y$  (resp.  $i = Z$ ) finite morphisms  $S_i \rightarrow S$  and nonempty Zariski open subsets  $U_i \subset S_i$  such that the following hold:

- (1) Let  $D_i$  be the unique component of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/S)$  containing all points corresponding to subspaces of the form  $Y(z)$  (resp.  $Z(y)$ ). Let  $D_i^\nu \rightarrow D_i$  be the normalization. Then  $D_i^\nu \rightarrow S_i \rightarrow S$  is the Stein factorization.
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow D_i^\nu$  is the pullback of the universal family to the normalization. Then the morphism  $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_S S_i$  is an isomorphism over  $U_i$ .
- (3) The inclusion  $V \subset S$  lifts to  $V_i \subset S_i$  and the canonical map  $\mathcal{Z}_V \rightarrow D_Y^\nu \times_{S_Y} V_Y$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Y}_V \rightarrow D_Z^\nu \times_{S_Z} V_Z$ ) is an isomorphism over  $V$ .

Passing to  $S' := S_Y \times_S S_Z$  and the intersection  $U'$  of the preimages of  $U_i$  under  $S' \rightarrow S_i$  we obtain morphisms  $\mathcal{F}_i \times_{S_i} S' \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_S S'$  whose restrictions to  $U'$  are isomorphisms.

In particular, we have projections  $\mathcal{X} \times_S U' \rightarrow D_i^\nu \times_{S_i} U'$ . Set  $\mathcal{Y} = D_Z^\nu \times_{S_Z} U'$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = D_Y^\nu \times_{S_Y} U'$ . The product morphism

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{X} \times_S U' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times_{U'} \mathcal{Z}$$

is an isomorphism when restricted over  $V' \subset U'$ . Note that

$$(\mathcal{Y} \times_{U'} \mathcal{Z}) \times_{S'} V' \cong \mathcal{Y}_V \times_V \mathcal{Z}_V$$

by construction. By shrinking  $U'$  we may assume that (4.4) is an isomorphism and that  $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}/U'$  are locally trivial, as the former is Zariski open and the latter Zariski closed (by Theorem 2.23).  $\square$

*Remark 4.5.* The proof actually shows a little more, namely that  $\mathcal{X} \times_S S'$  is bimeromorphic to a fiber product whose factors are locally trivial families over  $U' \subset S'$ .

Let us record another consequence of the proof of Lemma 4.4, mainly to set the notation for later use.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a normal compact Kähler variety with rational singularities. Suppose we have a locally trivial family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  with special fiber  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{X}^* := \mathcal{X} \times_\Delta \Delta^* = \mathcal{Y}^* \times_{\Delta^*} \mathcal{Z}^*$  where  $\mathcal{Y}^*, \mathcal{Z}^*/\Delta^*$  are locally trivial. Then up to replacing  $\Delta$  by a relatively compact open subset containing the origin there is a reduced<sup>7</sup> component  $D_Y \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/\Delta)$  which is proper over  $\Delta$  such that, setting  $D_Y^* := D_Y \times_\Delta \Delta^*$  and  $\mathcal{F}_Y^* := \mathcal{F}_Y \times_\Delta \Delta^*$  the restriction of the universal family  $\mathcal{F}_Y \subset \mathcal{X} \times_\Delta D_Y$ , the graph of the second projection  $\mathcal{X}^* \subset \mathcal{X}^* \times_{\Delta^*} \mathcal{Z}^*$  is isomorphic as a family of subspaces of  $\mathcal{X}^*$  over  $\mathcal{Z}^*$  to  $\mathcal{F}_Y^* \subset \mathcal{X}^* \times_{\Delta^*} D_Y^*$  over  $D_Y^*$ . Likewise for  $D_Z, \mathcal{F}_Z$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.3, we can shrink  $\Delta$  and find a component  $D_Y$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/\Delta)$  which contains the generic fibers  $Y_t \times \{z\}$  and which is proper over  $\Delta$ . As in the proof of Lemma 4.4, the projection  $\mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^*$  yields a bijective classifying map  $\mathcal{Z}^* \rightarrow D_Y^*$  and  $D_Y$  is smooth at points  $Y_t \times \{z\}$  if  $z \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ . In particular, the reducedness claim follows. The resulting pullback map  $\mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y^*$  is in fact an isomorphism, as the evaluation map  $\mathcal{F}_Y^* \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$  provides an inverse. It follows that the Stein factorization of  $\mathcal{F}_Y^* \rightarrow D_Y^*$  is identified with  $\mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^* \rightarrow D_Y^*$ , but as  $\mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^*$  is faithfully flat and  $\mathcal{F}_Y^* \rightarrow D_Y^*$  is flat, it follows that  $\mathcal{Z}^* \rightarrow D_Y^*$  is flat hence an isomorphism.  $\square$

## 5. SPLITTINGS OF RELATIVE TANGENT SHEAVES

In this section we show that given a product decomposition on the general fiber of a locally trivial family of  $K$ -trivial varieties, the induced splitting of the tangent bundle extends to the special fiber.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a locally trivial family of  $K$ -trivial Kähler varieties with rational singularities. Let  $X$  be the special fiber. Assume we have a*

<sup>7</sup>Note that  $D_Y$  being reduced is equivalent to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/\Delta)$  being reduced at the generic point of  $D_Y$ . For the applications, this is irrelevant and we could also just have used the reduced structure.

product decomposition  $\mathcal{X}^* := \mathcal{X} \times_{\Delta} \Delta^* = \mathcal{Y}^* \times_{\Delta^*} \mathcal{Z}^*$  for locally trivial families  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{Y}^* \rightarrow \Delta$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{Z}^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$ . Then there is a splitting

$$T_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta} = A \oplus B$$

into foliations such that  $A|_{\mathcal{X}^*} = \pi_1^* T_{\mathcal{Y}^*/\Delta^*}$  and  $B|_{\mathcal{Y}^*} = \pi_2^* T_{\mathcal{Z}^*/\Delta^*}$  as subsheaves of  $T_{\mathcal{X}^*/\Delta^*}$ .

Before the proof of Proposition 5.1 we make some preliminary remarks. We start by observing that there is a limit Künneth decomposition on the level of cohomology.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Assume the setup of Proposition 5.1. Let  $j : \Delta^* \rightarrow \Delta$  be the inclusion. Then we have decompositions of local systems*

$$(5.1) \quad R^k \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \bigoplus_{r+s=k} j_* R^r \pi_{1*} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Y}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} j_* R^s \pi_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$$

extending the Künneth decompositions over  $\Delta^*$ .

*Proof.* As  $\pi$  is topologically trivial, each  $R^k \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}$  has no local monodromy, and it follows that each  $R^k \pi_{1*} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Y}^*}$  and  $R^k \pi_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$  also has no monodromy (since for instance  $\pi_{1*} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Y}^*}$  and  $\pi_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$  have no monodromy).  $\square$

Note that the isomorphisms preserve the integral structure—that is, the torsion-free quotients of cohomology with integral coefficients—and that we also have the corresponding decomposition on the level of homology. The decompositions are also compatible with cup and cap products.

Next we upgrade Lemma 5.2 to a Künneth decomposition of variations of mixed Hodge structures.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  be a locally trivial family over a smooth base  $S$ . Then for all  $k$ ,  $R^k f_* \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}$  underlies a variation of mixed Hodge structures*

*Proof.* Note that because  $S$  is smooth, the normalization of  $\mathcal{X}$  is locally trivial and specializes to the normalization fiberwise. Following for instance the proof of [PS08, Theorem 5.26], the family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  then admits a locally trivial semisimplicial resolution over  $S$  by Corollary 2.27, and this is enough.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *In the setup of Proposition 5.1, the Hodge filtrations of  $R^r \pi_{1*} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Y}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*}$  and  $R^s \pi_{2*} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Z}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*}$  extend so that (5.1) holds as variations of mixed Hodge structures. Moreover, the cup-product maps are morphisms of variations of Hodge structures.*

*Proof.* Let  $U_k, V_k, W_k$  be the special fibers of  $R^k \pi_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{X}}, j_* R^r \pi_{1*} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Y}^*}, j_* R^s \pi_{2*} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$ , respectively. Now denoting by  $\text{Fl}$  the appropriate flag varieties, the period map  $\Delta \rightarrow \prod_k \text{Fl}(U_k)$  associated to  $R^{\bullet} \pi_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$  maps  $\Delta^*$  to the image of the closed embedding of  $\prod_k \text{Fl}(V_k) \times \prod_k \text{Fl}(W_k)$  via taking the graded tensor product. It therefore maps  $\Delta$  into  $\prod_k \text{Fl}(V_k) \times \prod_k \text{Fl}(W_k)$ , and the first claim follows. The second claim is obvious as it is true fiber-wise.  $\square$

For simplicity, in the following we denote the Hodge filtration on  $R^k f_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$  (resp.  $j_* R^r g_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Y}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ ,  $j_* R^s h_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Z}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ ) by  $F^{\bullet} R^k f_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$  (resp.  $F^{\bullet} j_* R^r g_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Y}^*}$ ,  $F^{\bullet} j_* R^s h_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$ ).

*Proof of Proposition 5.1.* Let  $m = \dim \mathcal{Y}^* - 1$  and  $n = \dim \mathcal{Z}^* - 1$ . By Corollary 2.27 there is a locally trivial resolution  $f : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . By Kebekus–Schnell [KS21, Corollary 1.8] we have  $f_* \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/\Delta}^p = \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^{[p]}$  via the natural map, and likewise for  $\mathcal{Y}^*$  and  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ . In particular, we naturally identify  $F^p R^p \pi_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{X}} = \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^{[p]}$  for each  $p$ . Moreover, both  $F^m R^m \pi_{1*} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Y}^*} = \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}^*/\Delta}^{[m]}$  and  $F^n R^n \pi_{2*} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Z}^*} = \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}^*/\Delta}^{[n]}$  are line bundles as  $\mathcal{Y}^*$  and  $\mathcal{Z}^*$  are both families of  $K$ -trivial varieties. From Corollary 5.4 it follows that  $F^m j_* R^m \pi_{1*} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Y}^*}$  is a vector subbundle of  $F^m R^m \pi_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ , and so there is a  $\tau_Y \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^{[m]})$  which specializes to the pullback of a nonzero top-dimensional reflexive form on the fibers of  $\mathcal{Y}^*$  and which is nonzero on the special fiber of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Likewise for  $\tau_Z \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}^{[n]})$  and  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ .

We claim that  $A = \text{rad}(\tau_Z)$  and  $B = \text{rad}(\tau_Y)$  provides the desired splitting. Note that the radical can only increase in rank under specialization, and that  $A$  and  $B$  are generically complementary. The claim therefore follows provided  $\tau_Y \wedge \tau_Z$  is nonzero on the special fiber. But Corollary 5.4 implies the Künneth decomposition provides us with an identification

$$F^{m+n} R^{m+n} \pi_* \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong F^m j_* R^m \pi_{1*} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Y}^*} \otimes F^n j_* R^n \pi_{2*} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$$

where  $\tau_Y \wedge \tau_Z$  on the left-hand side is identified with  $\tau_Y \otimes \tau_Z$  on the right-hand side, and the claim follows.  $\square$

## 6. SPLITTINGS OF RELATIVE KÄHLER–EINSTEIN METRICS

By the results of the last section, given a locally trivial family which is generically a product, there is both a limit splitting of the cohomology of the special fiber and a limit splitting of the tangent sheaf. We show in this section that the decomposition of the Kähler–Einstein metrics carries over to the limit.

**6.1. Forms and currents on singular spaces.** The references for this section are [Dem85] or [BG13, §4.6.1]. Let  $X$  be normal complex space. We introduce the following sheaves on  $X$ :

- $L_X^1$  is the sheaf of locally integrable real-valued functions on  $X$ ,
- $\mathcal{C}_X^{\infty}$  is the sheaf of real-valued functions which are locally the restriction of a smooth function under a local embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$  <sub>loc</sub>,
- $\text{PH}_X \subset \mathcal{C}_X^{\infty}$  is the subsheaf made of pluriharmonic functions. A pluriharmonic function can be equivalently defined as being locally the real part of a holomorphic function (which by definition comes from a local embedding) or a function in the kernel of the  $dd^c$  operator.

We recall the following definitions.

- A  $(1,1)$ -form on  $X$  is a smooth  $(1,1)$ -form on  $X^{\text{reg}}$  that extends smoothly under local embeddings.
- A  $(1,1)$ -form is said to have *local potentials* if it arises as a global section of  $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty/\text{PH}_X$  via the  $dd^c$  operator. In particular, it is closed.
- A closed  $(1,1)$ -current *with local potentials* is a global section of  $L_X^1/\text{PH}_X$ .
- A *plurisubharmonic* function (psh for short) on  $X$  is a locally integrable function on  $X$  which is the restriction of a psh function under a local embedding. If  $\theta$  is a  $(1,1)$ -form with local potentials on  $X$ , a function  $\varphi$  on  $X$  is said to be  $\theta$ -psh if it is locally the sum of a smooth and a psh function and it satisfies  $\theta + dd^c\varphi \geq 0$  weakly. We denote by  $\text{PSH}(X, \theta)$  the set of  $\theta$ -psh functions on  $X$ . If we do not specify  $\theta$ , we also speak of *quasi-psh functions*.
- A *Kähler metric* on  $X$  is a  $(1,1)$ -form with local potentials whose local potentials are strictly plurisubharmonic, i.e. they are the restriction of a (smooth) strictly psh function under a local embedding.

*Remark 6.2.* A closed  $(1,1)$ -current  $T$  with local potentials on  $X$  enjoys the important property that given a surjective morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of complex varieties, one can define its pull-back by  $f^*T$  by lifting its local potentials. The current  $f^*T$  is again closed, of type  $(1,1)$  and admits local potentials on  $Y$ . Moreover,  $f^*T$  is positive if and only if  $T$  is positive.

We have the following exact sequences

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{PH}_X \longrightarrow L_X^1 \longrightarrow L_X^1/\text{PH}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

and similarly with  $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty$  in place of  $L_X^1$ , as well as

$$(6.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_X \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\text{Re}(\cdot)} \text{PH}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

Composing the exterior differential map  $d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$  with the isomorphism  $\text{PH}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X/\mathbb{R}_X$ , and passing to cohomology, we get a natural map

$$(6.3) \quad d : H^1(X, \text{PH}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_X^1).$$

Next, the exact sequences (6.1)-(6.2) above yield exact sequences in cohomology

$$(6.4) \quad H^0(X, L_X^1/\text{PH}_X) \xrightarrow{[\cdot]} H^1(X, \text{PH}_X) \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad H^1(X, \text{PH}_X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

A class in  $H^1(X, \text{PH}_X)$  (resp. in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ ) is said to be Kähler if it is the image of a Kähler metric under the map  $[\cdot]$  in (6.4) (resp. under the map  $\alpha \circ [\cdot]$ ). According to [BL18, Proposition 2.8], the Kähler class ends up in  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) := F^1 H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ . The following elementary result is very useful.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact, normal variety of class  $\mathcal{C}$  with rational singularities. Then  $\alpha$  is injective and  $\beta$  is surjective; i.e. we have an exact sequence*

$$(6.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{PH}_X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* The injectivity of  $\alpha$  is proved in [GK20, Remark 3.2 (2)]. Surjectivity of  $\beta$  follows because the Hodge structure on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is pure and  $\beta$  can be identified with the projection on the  $(0, 2)$ -part. Clearly, for  $\alpha \in H^{0,2}(X)$  the class  $\alpha + \bar{\alpha}$  is real.  $\square$

**6.4. Continuity of the relative KE metric.** For the remainder of this section, we work with the following setup.

**Setting 6.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a normal complex space with canonical singularities equipped with a proper, holomorphic, surjective map  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  and a smooth hermitian positive definite  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\theta$  such that, setting  $X_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$  and  $X = X_0$ , one has*

- (i)  $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ .
- (ii) The map  $\pi$  is locally trivial and  $H^1(X_0, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ .
- (iii) The restriction  $\theta_t := \theta|_{X_t}$  is a Kähler form.
- (iv) There is a splitting  $\mathcal{X} \times_{\Delta} \Delta^* \cong \mathcal{Y}^* \times_{\Delta^*} \mathcal{Z}^*$  with  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{Y}^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{Z}^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  locally trivial.
- (v) The relative tangent sheaf splits:  $T_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta} = A \oplus B$  and  $A|_{\mathcal{X}^*} = \pi_1^* T_{\mathcal{Y}^*/\Delta^*}$  and  $B|_{\mathcal{Y}^*} = \pi_2^* T_{\mathcal{Z}^*/\Delta^*}$  as subsheaves of  $T_{\mathcal{X}^*/\Delta^*}$ .

As mentioned before, this in particular implies that  $\pi$  is topologically, even real analytically trivial by [AV21, Proposition 6.1].

In Setting 6.5, we can consider for any  $t \in \Delta$  the unique singular Kähler–Einstein metric  $\omega_t \in \{\theta_t\}$ , provided by [EGZ09, Theorem A]. One can write  $\omega_t$  in a unique way as

$$\omega_t = \theta_t + dd^c \varphi_t$$

where  $\varphi_t$  is a  $\theta_t$ -psh function normalized by  $\int_{X_t} \varphi_t \theta_t^n = 0$ . Moreover, it is known from *loc. cit.* that  $\varphi_t \in L^\infty(X_t)$  and from [Pău08, Corollary 1.1] that  $\omega_t$  is a genuine Kähler form on  $X_t^{\text{reg}}$ , the regular locus of  $X_t$ . Up to shrinking  $\Delta$ , it follows from [DGG20, Theorem F] that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $t \in \Delta$  such that

$$(6.7) \quad \sup_{X_t} \varphi_t - \inf_{X_t} \varphi_t \leq C.$$

Actually, the quoted result requires  $\theta$  to be relatively Kähler (hence globally Kähler on  $X$ , possibly after shrinking  $\Delta$ ). However, taking a closer look at the proof reveals that the only (truly) global argument appears in Theorem 2.9 in *ibid.*, where one needs to control away from 0 and  $+\infty$  the masses  $\int_{X_t} (\theta_t + dd^c \psi_t) \wedge \theta_t^{n-1}$  uniformly for any  $\theta_t$ -psh function  $\psi_t$  and any  $t \in \Delta$ . In our situation, this property is clearly satisfied since  $\theta_t$  is Kähler (hence closed) and  $\int_{X_t} \theta_t^n$  is a continuous function of  $t \in \Delta$ .

Let us also observe that the normalization condition  $\int_{X_t} \varphi_t \theta_t^n = 0$  implies that  $\sup_{X_t} \varphi_t \geq 0$  and  $\inf_{X_t} \varphi_t \leq 0$ . The bound on the oscillation (6.7) thus implies that

with the same constant  $C$ , we have

$$(6.8) \quad -C \leq \varphi_t \leq C$$

for all  $t \in \Delta$ .

Up to shrinking  $\Delta$ , by local triviality one can assume that there exists a finite covering  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  by Euclidean open subsets such that if we set  $U_{t,\alpha} := U_\alpha \cap X_t$  for  $t \in \Delta$ , there exist biholomorphisms  $F_\alpha : U_{\alpha,0} \times \Delta \rightarrow U_\alpha$  over  $\Delta$  and such that  $F_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha,0} \times \{0\}}$  coincides with  $\text{id}_{U_{\alpha,0}}$  via the natural identification  $U_{\alpha,0} \times \{0\} \cong U_{\alpha,0}$ . In other words, restriction to a fiber gives a family of biholomorphisms

$$(6.9) \quad F_{\alpha,t} : U_{\alpha,0} \rightarrow U_{\alpha,t}$$

depending holomorphically on  $t$  such that  $F_{\alpha,t}$  converges to  $\text{id}_{U_{\alpha,0}}$  when  $t \rightarrow 0$ . In the following, we will replace each  $U_\alpha$  by a slightly smaller open set so that we can assume that the biholomorphisms  $F_{\alpha,t}$  extend to a neighborhood of  $\partial U_{\alpha,0}$ .

**Proposition 6.6.** *For any  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the currents  $F_{\alpha,t}^* \omega_t|_{U_{\alpha,t}}$  converge to  $\omega_0|_{U_{\alpha,0}}$  when  $t \rightarrow 0$ , weakly on  $U_{\alpha,0}$  and locally smoothly on the regular locus of that set.*

*Proof.* Let us write  $F_{\alpha,t}^* \omega_t = \theta_{\alpha,t} + dd^c \psi_{\alpha,t}$  on  $U_{\alpha,0}$  where  $\theta_{\alpha,t} := F_{\alpha,t}^* \theta_t$  and  $\psi_{\alpha,t} := \varphi_t \circ F_{\alpha,t}$ . First observe that  $\psi_{\alpha,t}$  is a  $\theta_{\alpha,t}$ -psh function. We are going to show at the same time the following three assertions, which altogether prove the proposition.

- The family  $(\psi_{\alpha,t})$  is precompact in the  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  topology.
- Any weakly convergent subsequence  $(\psi_{\alpha,t_j})$  actually converges locally smoothly on  $U_{\alpha,0}^{\text{reg}}$ .
- The only cluster value for  $(\psi_{\alpha,t})$  when  $t \rightarrow 0$  is  $\varphi_0|_{U_{\alpha,0}}$ .

Note that even though the results above are local (i.e. on  $U_{\alpha,0}$ ), we need a global argument to identify the sequential limits and obtain the last item.

The first item follows directly from classical pluripotential theory given the estimate

$$(6.10) \quad \|\psi_{\alpha,t}\|_{L^\infty(U_{\alpha,0})} \leq C$$

inferred by (6.8) and the fact that  $\theta_{\alpha,t}$  converges (smoothly) to  $\theta_0$  on  $U_{\alpha,0}$ .

We now proceed to prove the remaining two assertions. Let us first observe that if  $\alpha, \beta$  are two indices such that  $U_{\alpha\beta,0} := U_{\alpha,0} \cap U_{\beta,0} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\psi_{\alpha,t_j}$  converges to a quasi-psh function  $\psi_{\alpha,0}$  on  $U_{\alpha,0}$ , then any sequential limit  $\psi_{\beta,t'_j} \rightarrow \psi_{\beta,0}$  for a subsequence  $(t'_j)$  of  $(t_j)$  will satisfy  $\psi_{\alpha,0} = \psi_{\beta,0}$  on  $U_{\alpha\beta,0}$ . This follows from the fact that  $(F_{\alpha,t}|_{U_{\alpha\beta,0}}) \circ (F_{\beta,t}|_{U_{\alpha\beta,0}})^{-1}$  converges to  $\text{id}_{U_{\alpha\beta,0}}$  when  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Since the set  $\Gamma$  of indices is finite, we can iterate this construction and out of any sequence  $t_j \rightarrow 0$ , one can find a subsequence  $(t'_j)$  and a function  $\psi_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \theta_0) \cap L^\infty(X_0)$  such that for any  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the current  $F_{\alpha,t'_j}^* \omega_{t'_j}$  converges to  $\theta_0 + dd^c \psi_0$  on  $U_{\alpha,0}$  in the weak topology.

In order to finish the proof of the proposition, we will show the following.

- The weak convergence  $F_{\alpha,t'_j}^* \omega_{t'_j} \rightarrow \theta_0 + dd^c \psi_0$  is locally smooth over  $X_0^{\text{reg}}$ ;
- The current  $\theta_0 + dd^c \psi_0$  coincides with  $\omega_0$ .



Since  $\pi$  is locally trivial, the functorial resolutions  $p_t : \tilde{X}_t \rightarrow X_t$  can be patched together to induce a simultaneous resolution  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  that restricts to  $p_t$  over  $X_t$  for any  $t \in \Delta$ , cf. [BL18, Lemma 4.8]. As the simultaneous resolution is a projective morphism, one can reproduce verbatim the argument in [Pău08, § 3] (see also [BBE<sup>+</sup>19, Appendix B]) relying on Tsuji's trick [Tsu88] to get laplacian estimates

$$(6.11) \quad (\mathrm{tr}_{\theta_t} \omega_t)|_{K_t} \leq C_K$$

for any compact subset  $K \Subset \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$  where  $K_t := K \cap X_t$  and  $C_K > 0$  is a constant independent of  $t$ . From (6.11), one can get higher order estimates

$$(6.12) \quad \|\varphi_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^k(K_t)} \leq C_{K,k}$$

for any integer  $k \geq 0$  using standard results (Evans-Krylov and Schauder estimates, cf. e.g. [Blo12, §5.6] and [Szé14, §2.3]).

Now, let  $\Omega \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta})$  be a trivialization. We know from e.g. the proof of [DGG20, Theorem 6.1] that there exists a constant  $c_t > 0$  uniformly bounded away from 0 and  $+\infty$  when  $t \in \Delta$  such that

$$(6.13) \quad (\theta_t + dd^c \varphi_t)^n = c_t \cdot i^{n^2} \Omega_t \wedge \bar{\Omega}_t \quad \text{on } X_t.$$

By (6.12), the function  $\psi_{\alpha,t}$  is locally bounded for any  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -norm on  $U_{\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{reg}} := U_{\alpha,0} \cap X_0^{\mathrm{reg}}$ . Therefore, the Arzela–Ascoli theorem guarantees that one can find a subsequence  $(t_j'')$  of  $(t_j')$  such that  $\psi_{\alpha,t_j''}$  converges locally smoothly on  $U_{\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ . Since  $\psi_{\alpha,t_j''}$  already converges weakly to  $\psi_0$  on  $U_{\alpha,0}$ , we have  $\psi_{\alpha,0}|_{U_{\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{reg}}} = \psi_0|_{U_{\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ . This shows that  $\psi_{\alpha,t}$  converges locally smoothly to  $\psi_0$  on  $U_{\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{reg}}$  when  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Applying  $F_{\alpha,t_j''}^*$  to (6.13) and passing to the limit on each  $U_{\alpha,0}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ , one finds that

$$(6.14) \quad (\theta_0 + dd^c \psi_0)^n = c_0 \cdot i^{n^2} \Omega_0 \wedge \bar{\Omega}_0 \quad \text{on } X_0^{\mathrm{reg}}.$$

As  $\psi_0 \in L^\infty(X_0)$ , the uniqueness of the Kähler–Einstein metric [EGZ09, Proposition 1.4] guarantees that  $\theta_0 + dd^c \psi_0 = \omega_0$ .  $\square$

**6.7. Decomposition of the KE metric on  $X_0$ .** We now prove the splitting of the Kähler–Einstein metric.

**Proposition 6.8.** *In Setting 6.5, one can decompose the Kähler–Einstein metric  $\omega_t$  on  $X_t^{\mathrm{reg}}$  as*

$$(6.15) \quad \omega_t = \omega_{A_t} + \omega_{B_t}$$

where

- (1) The forms  $\omega_{A_t}, \omega_{B_t}$  are closed, semipositive smooth  $(1,1)$ -forms on  $X_t^{\mathrm{reg}}$ .
- (2) One has  $\ker \omega_{A_t} = B_t$  and  $\ker \omega_{B_t} = A_t$ .
- (3) When  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we have local smooth convergence  $\omega_{A_t} \rightarrow \omega_{A_0}$  (resp.  $\omega_{B_t} \rightarrow \omega_{B_0}$ ) on  $X_0^{\mathrm{reg}}$  under any local trivialization of the family.

- (4) If  $t \neq 0$ , then  $\omega_{A_t}$  (resp.  $\omega_{B_t}$ ) is the pull-back of a KE metric on  $Y_t$  (resp.  $Z_t$ ). In particular, they extend to  $X_t$  as positive currents with bounded local potentials satisfying the identity (6.15).

*Proof.*

Items (1) and (2). The decomposition of the tangent sheaf  $T_{X_t} = A_t \oplus B_t$  induces a decomposition of  $T_{X_t}^{\text{reg}}$  into *parallel* subbundles with respect to  $\omega_t$  by [GGK19, Theorem 8.1.2]. That result was stated for projective varieties but the proof of that particular result is purely analytic and does not rely on the projectivity assumption, cf. [CGGN20, Remark 3.5].

This implies that the Kähler–Einstein metric  $\omega_t$  splits canonically on  $X_t^{\text{reg}}$  as follows

$$\omega_t = \omega_{A_t} + \omega_{B_t}$$

where  $\omega_{A_t}$  (resp.  $\omega_{B_t}$ ) is a smooth, closed semipositive  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $X_t^{\text{reg}}$  whose kernel is  $B_t|_{X_t^{\text{reg}}}$  (resp.  $A_t|_{X_t^{\text{reg}}}$ ) and which is positive definite in restriction to  $A_t|_{X_t^{\text{reg}}}$  (resp.  $B_t|_{X_t^{\text{reg}}}$ ).

Item (3). This is an easy consequence of the local smooth convergence of  $\omega_t$  to  $\omega_0$  on  $X_0^{\text{reg}}$  via the local biholomorphisms  $F_{\alpha,t}$  shown in Proposition 6.6 combined with the definition of  $\omega_{A_t}, \omega_{B_t}$  and the fact that the summand  $A_t$  of  $T_{X_t}$  coincides with the restriction of the subbundle  $A \subset T_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta}$  to  $X_t^{\text{reg}}$ .

Item (4). From now on, we assume  $t \neq 0$ . We will need the following

**Lemma 6.9.** *For any  $t \in \Delta^*$ , the natural map*

$$H^1(Y_t, \text{PH}_{Y_t}) \oplus H^1(Z_t, \text{PH}_{Z_t}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_t, \text{PH}_{X_t})$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Recall from Proposition 6.3 that  $H^1(X_t, \text{PH}_{X_t})$  is the kernel of the natural map  $H^2(X_t, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t})$ . We claim that this map is given by the sum map  $H^2(Y_t, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^2(Z_t, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(Y_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}) \oplus H^2(Z_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t})$ , from which the lemma follows.

Now, since  $\pi$  is locally trivial and  $H^1(X_0, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ , we have  $H^1(X_t, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ . Since  $X_t$  has rational singularities, this implies that  $H^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}) = 0$  as well. The claim now follows from Künneth decomposition formula.  $\square$

Therefore, one can decompose  $[\theta_t] \in H^1(X_t, \text{PH}_{X_t})$  as

$$[\theta_t] = \text{pr}_{t,1}^* \alpha_t + \text{pr}_{t,2}^* \beta_t$$

for some classes  $\alpha_t$  and  $\beta_t$  on  $Y_t$  and  $Z_t$  respectively. Since  $[\theta_t]$  is Kähler, so are  $\alpha_t$  and  $\beta_t$ . By [EGZ09], there exists a unique singular Kähler–Einstein metric  $\omega_{Y_t} \in \alpha_t$  (resp.  $\omega_{Z_t} \in \beta_t$ ). Since  $\text{pr}_{t,1}^* \omega_{Y_t} + \text{pr}_{t,2}^* \omega_{Z_t} \in [\theta_t]$  is smooth, Kähler and Ricci-flat on  $X_t^{\text{reg}}$  and has bounded potentials, it coincides with  $\omega_t$ . In particular, one has  $\omega_{A_t} = \text{pr}_{t,1}^* \omega_{Y_t}$  and  $\omega_{B_t} = \text{pr}_{t,1}^* \omega_{Z_t}$  on  $X_t^{\text{reg}}$ , hence everywhere since none of these currents puts any mass on  $X_t^{\text{sing}}$ .  $\square$

**6.10. Properties of the currents  $\omega_{A_0}$  and  $\omega_{B_0}$ .** In this section, we fix a functorial, simultaneous resolution  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  inducing fiber-wise resolutions  $p_t : \tilde{X}_t \rightarrow X_t$ , we set  $\tilde{\pi} := \pi \circ p$ . Since  $p_* T_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} = T_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  is locally trivial, one can find a cover  $(U_\alpha)$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  and holomorphic vector fields  $v_\alpha$  on  $U_\alpha$  such that

- $\pi_* v_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ .
- $v_\alpha = p_* \tilde{v}_\alpha$  for some holomorphic vector field  $\tilde{v}_\alpha$  on  $p^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ .

Using a partition of unity  $(\chi_\alpha)$  subordinate to  $(U_\alpha)$ , one can construct a smooth vector field  $v$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  (resp.  $\tilde{v}$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ ) such that  $p_* \tilde{v} = v$  and  $\pi_* v = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ . The flow of these vector fields yields diffeomorphisms  $F : X_0 \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and  $\tilde{F} : \tilde{X}_0 \times \Delta \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  commuting with  $p$ ; i.e.  $p \circ \tilde{F} = F \circ (p_0 \times \text{id}_\Delta)$ . With a slight abuse, we denote by  $F_t$  (resp.  $\tilde{F}_t$ ) the restriction  $F|_{X_0 \times \{t\}} : X_0 \rightarrow X_t$  (resp.  $\tilde{F}|_{\tilde{X}_0 \times \{t\}} : \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_t$ ).

For  $t \neq 0$ , the currents  $\omega_{A_t}, \omega_{B_t}$  have local potentials by Proposition 6.8, hence they induce cohomology classes  $\alpha_t, \beta_t \in H^2(X_t, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$(6.16) \quad \alpha_t + \beta_t = [\theta_t].$$

**Lemma 6.11.** *With the notation above, there exist  $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in H^2(X_0, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $F_t^* \alpha_t \rightarrow \alpha_0$  (resp.  $F_t^* \beta_t \rightarrow \beta_0$ ) when  $t \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 5.2 we have  $R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{X}} = j_* R^2 \pi_{1*} \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{Y}^*} \oplus j_* R^2 \pi_{2*} \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$ , using (ii) of Setting 6.5. The sections  $t \mapsto \alpha_t$  (resp.  $t \mapsto \beta_t$ ) of the vector bundle  $(R^2 \pi_{1*} \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{Y}^*}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\Delta^*}^\infty$  (resp.  $(R^2 \pi_{2*} \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\Delta^*}^\infty$ ) over  $\Delta^*$  are such that their sum extends to a smooth section of  $(R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{X}}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_\Delta^\infty$ . As each section lives in a different direct summand, both extend separately across the origin, proving the claim.  $\square$

Another helpful result is the following

**Lemma 6.12.** *In Setting 6.5, there is a sub-vector bundle  $\mathcal{H} \subset R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{C}_\Delta^\infty$  and the inclusion is fiberwise canonically identified with  $H^1(X_t, \text{PH}_{X_t}) \subset H^2(X_t, \mathbb{R})$ .*

*Proof.* By topological triviality and purity of the weight two Hodge structure, the family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  gives rise to a variation of Hodge structures with underlying  $\mathbb{R}$ -local system  $R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ . We denote  $\mathcal{V} := R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \mathcal{C}_\Delta^\infty$  and by  $F^p \subset \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -sections of the Hodge filtration. Then the base change of  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow F^0/F^1$  to  $t \in \Delta$  is the surjection  $\beta$  from (6.6), so if we define  $\mathcal{H} := \ker(\mathcal{V} \rightarrow F^0/F^1)$ , we have canonical identifications  $\mathcal{H}_t = H^1(X_t, \text{PH}_{X_t})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.13.** *With the notation of Proposition 6.8, then the currents  $\omega_{A_0}, \omega_{B_0}$  extend to positive currents on  $X_0$  having local bounded potentials and satisfying (6.15) on  $X_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first prove that  $\omega_{A_0}, \omega_{B_0}$  can be extended across  $X_0^{\text{sing}}$  with local potentials. We prove the claim for  $\omega_{A_0}$ , since the argument for  $\omega_{B_0}$  is entirely similar. First of all, since the singularities of  $X_t$  are rational,  $p$  realizes  $R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \mathcal{C}_\Delta^\infty$  as a sub-vector

bundle of  $R^2\tilde{\pi}_*\mathbb{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \otimes \mathcal{C}_\Delta^\infty$ , see e.g. [BL21, Lemma 2.1]. In particular, Lemma 6.12 provides a sub-vector bundle

$$p^*\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow R^2\tilde{\pi}_*\mathbb{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \otimes \mathcal{C}_\Delta^\infty$$

whose fiber at  $t$  is  $p^*H^1(X_t, \text{PH}_{X_t}) \subset H^2(\tilde{X}_t, \mathbb{R})$ .

By Lemma 6.11, the section  $t \mapsto p_t^*\alpha_t$  is a continuous section of  $R^2\tilde{\pi}_*\mathbb{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \otimes \mathcal{C}_\Delta^\infty$  whose image lies in  $p^*\mathcal{H}$  over  $\Delta^*$ . It follows that the latter holds across the origin too. In particular, we get

$$(6.17) \quad p_0^*\alpha_0 \in p_0^*\mathcal{H}_0.$$

Moreover, the arguments laid out in [AH21, Appendix] show that one can find a sequence  $t_k \rightarrow 0$  and a closed, positive  $(1,1)$ -current  $T$  on  $\tilde{X}_0$  such that  $\tilde{F}_{t_k}^*p_{t_k}^*\omega_{A_{t_k}}$  converges to  $T$  weakly when  $t_k \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\tilde{X}_0$  is a smooth, compact Kähler manifold, one can write  $T = \tilde{\gamma} + dd^c\tilde{v}$  where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a smooth, closed  $(1,1)$ -form on  $\tilde{X}_0$  and  $\tilde{v} \in L^1(\tilde{X}_0)$ . Since the cohomology class in  $H^2(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbb{R})$  of a closed current depends continuously on it (with respect to the weak topology), we have  $[\tilde{\gamma}] \in p_0^*\mathcal{H}_0$ . Said otherwise, the local potentials of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  actually come from  $X_0$  via  $p_0$  modulo a global function on  $\tilde{X}_0$ , i.e. one can write  $\tilde{\gamma} = p_0^*\gamma + dd^c\tilde{w}$  where  $\gamma$  is a  $(1,1)$ -form on  $X_0$  with potentials and  $\tilde{w} \in L^1(\tilde{X}_0)$ . Set  $\tilde{u} := \tilde{v} + \tilde{w}$  so that  $T = p_0^*\gamma + dd^c\tilde{u}$ .

Given a fiber  $F$  of  $p_0$ ,  $\tilde{u}|_F$  is either identically  $-\infty$  or it is a psh function. Since  $F$  is connected and compact,  $u|_F$  has to be constant. That is, there exists a  $\gamma$ -psh function  $u$  on  $X_0$  such that  $\tilde{u} = \gamma^*u$  or, equivalently,  $T = p_0^*(\gamma + dd^cu)$  on  $\tilde{X}_0$ .

Now, recall from Proposition 6.8 that  $F_t^*\omega_{A_t} \rightarrow \omega_{A_0}$  locally smoothly on  $X_0^{\text{reg}}$  when  $t \rightarrow 0$ . By uniqueness of the limit, this shows that  $T$  agrees with  $p_0^*\omega_{A_0}$  on  $\tilde{X}_0 \setminus \text{Exc}(p_0)$ . Therefore, we have  $\omega_{A_0} = \gamma + dd^cu$  on  $X_0^{\text{reg}}$ . This shows that  $\omega_{A_0}$  extends to a closed positive  $(1,1)$ -current with local potentials on  $X_0$ .

In the following, the extensions just constructed to  $X_0$  will still be denoted by  $\omega_{A_0}$  (resp.  $\omega_{B_0}$ ), and we aim to prove that their local potentials are bounded. Pick a Stein open subset  $U \subset X_0$  where  $\omega_{A_0}|_U = dd^cv_1$  (resp.  $\omega_{B_0}|_U = dd^cv_2$ ) and  $\omega_0|_U = dd^cv$  where  $v, v_i \in \text{PSH}(U)$  and  $v$  is bounded on  $U$ , say  $\|v\|_{L^\infty(U)} \leq C_1$ . Up to shrinking  $U$  slightly and subtracting a constant, one can assume that the upper semicontinuous functions  $v_i$  are nonpositive on  $U$ . The function  $v - (v_1 + v_2)$  coincides almost everywhere with a pluriharmonic function  $h$  on  $U$ . Up to shrinking  $U$ , one can assume that  $h$  is bounded; i.e.  $\|h\|_{L^\infty(U)} \leq C_2$ . Then, one has  $0 \geq v_i \geq -(C_1 + C_2)$  almost everywhere on  $U$ , hence everywhere on  $U$  since  $v_i$  is psh. Finally, the closed  $(1,1)$ -current  $\omega_0 - (\omega_{A_0} + \omega_{B_0})$  is supported on  $X_0^{\text{sing}}$  while it has local bounded potentials, so it vanishes everywhere thanks to the support theorem applied on  $\tilde{X}$ , which is legitimate by Remark 6.2. Indeed,  $p_0^*(\omega_0 - (\omega_{A_0} + \omega_{B_0}))$  would have to be a current of integration along a divisor, which violates the boundedness of its potentials unless it vanishes identically.  $\square$

## 7. SPLITTINGS OF LOCALLY TRIVIAL FAMILIES

In this section, we show using the results of the previous section that the limit tangent splitting of Section 5 induces a product decomposition. The key technical result of the previous section is the existence of a splitting of the Kähler–Einstein metric as a positive current with bounded potentials.

The *boundedness* of the local potentials of a closed, positive  $(1,1)$ -current  $T$  on  $X$  allows us to do two things that we cannot do with closed positive  $(1,1)$ -currents in general, even when they admit local potentials.

- (1) One can define the Bedford-Taylor Monge-Ampère operator  $T^n$ , which is a positive measure satisfying  $\int_X T^n = [T]^n$  where the right-hand side is computed in cohomology, cf. [BT76, BT82] or [Dem85, § 2].
- (2) If  $Z \subset X$  is a closed analytic subvariety of  $X$ , then one can define  $T|_Z := \theta|_Z + dd^c u|_Z$ , which is again a closed, positive  $(1,1)$ -current with bounded local potentials on  $Z$ .

We assume we are in Setting 6.5 and adopt the notation of Corollary 4.6. Thus, after shrinking  $\Delta$  we have the reduced irreducible components  $D_Y, D_Z$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}/\Delta)$  which are proper over  $\Delta$ , generically parametrize fibers  $Y_t \times \{z\}$  and  $\{y\} \times Z_t$ , respectively, and furthermore  $D_Y \times_{\Delta} \Delta^* = \mathcal{Z}^*$  and  $D_Z \times_{\Delta} \Delta^* = \mathcal{Y}^*$ . Let  $(D_Y)_0, (D_Z)_0$  be the fibers over 0 (endowed with the reduced structure), and set  $m = \dim \mathcal{Y}^* - 1$ ,  $n = \dim \mathcal{Z}^* - 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_Z$  be the restrictions of the universal families to  $D_Y, D_Z$ .

The map  $\mathcal{F}_Z \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{X}$  is an isomorphism over  $\Delta^*$ , hence it is surjective by properness. For dimensional reasons,  $X \not\subset e(\text{Exc}(e))$  so one can consider the proper transform  $(\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+$  of  $X$  by  $e$ . This is the unique component of the special fiber  $(\mathcal{F}_Z)_0$  for which the map to  $X$  is surjective and generically one-to-one. We endow  $(\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+$  with the reduced structure<sup>8</sup>.

We have a diagram

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+ & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ p \downarrow & & \\ (D_Z)_0 & & \end{array}$$

Let  $(D_Z)_0^+ = p((\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+)$ . Note that for any  $[V_0] \in (D_Z)_0^+$ , we have that  $V_0 \cap X^{\text{reg}}$  is either empty or tangent to  $B|_{X^{\text{reg}}}$ , since by choosing the germ of a curve  $C$  in  $D_Z$  passing through  $[V_0]$  and dominating  $\Delta$ , we obtain a flat family  $\mathcal{V}/C$  specializing to  $V_0$ , embedded as  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{X} \times_{\Delta} C$ , and generically tangent to  $B$ . Likewise we define  $(\mathcal{F}_Y)_0^+$  and  $(D_Y)_0^+$ .

---

<sup>8</sup>One can actually prove that  $(\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+$  is reduced with its given structure, i.e. the one for which the inclusion in the special fiber is an isomorphism at the generic point of  $(\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+$ . Just notice that  $\mathcal{F}_Z$  is reduced by [Fuj79, Lemma 1.4] and that  $e$  is an isomorphism at the generic point of  $(\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+$ . We will however not need this.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $X$  be as in Setting 6.5. Then for any point  $[V_0] \in (D_Z)_0^+$  (resp.  $[U_0] \in (D_Y)_0^+$ ) we have that  $V_0$  (resp.  $U_0$ ) is irreducible and generically reduced.*

*Proof.* It's enough to prove the claim for  $V_0$ , as the one for  $U_0$  is the same after switching factors. With  $\mathcal{V}/C$  as above, the argument of [Ful98, Lemma 19.1.3] yields an integral section  $v$  of  $(R^{2n}\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}'})^\vee$  with  $v_t = [V_t]$ . Using the decomposition of Lemma 5.2, we in fact have an integral generator  $\eta$  of  $(j_*R^{2n}\pi_{1*}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Y}'*})^\vee$  and  $v = \eta \otimes \text{pt}$ , where  $\text{pt}$  is the integral generator of  $(j_*\pi_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Y}'*})^\vee$ . Set

$$\Gamma := \bigoplus_{\substack{r+s=2n \\ r>0}} (j_*R^r\pi_{1*}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Y}'*})^\vee \otimes (j_*R^s\pi_{2*}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}'*})^\vee.$$

Now, note that  $V_0$  is pure-dimensional, and assume that we have an effective decomposition  $[V_0] = [V'] + [V'']$ . In  $H_{2n}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  one has an integral decomposition  $[V'] = \lambda'v_0 + \gamma_0$  (resp.  $[V''] = \lambda''v_0 - \gamma_0$ ) for  $\lambda', \lambda'' \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 = \lambda' + \lambda''$  and  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0$ , where  $\Gamma_0$  is the fiber of  $\Gamma$  over the point 0. Note that the coefficients  $\lambda, \lambda'$  are integral since  $v_0$  is a primitive vector in the integral cohomology of  $X_0$ . Generic reducedness is equivalent to the multiplicity of the associated cycle being equal to one, so proving the lemma amounts to showing that either  $[V'] = 0$  or  $[V''] = 0$ .

By Propositions 6.8 and 6.13, the Kähler–Einstein metric  $\omega_t \in [\theta_t]$  can be decomposed as  $\omega_t = \omega_{A_t} + \omega_{B_t}$ , and we set  $\alpha_t := [\omega_{A_t}] \in H^2(X_t, \mathbb{R})$  (resp.  $\beta_t = [\omega_{B_t}] \in H^2(X_t, \mathbb{R})$ ). Recall that these currents have bounded local potentials, hence one can take their wedge products, cf. the beginning of this section. We claim that the cap product

$$(7.2) \quad \beta_0^n \cdot u_0 = 0 \quad \text{for any } u_0 \in \Gamma_0.$$

Indeed, any such  $u_0$  can be written as limit of elements  $u_t \in \Gamma_t$  but for  $t \neq 0$  we have  $\beta_t^n \cdot u_t = 0$  almost by definition and  $t \mapsto \beta_t^n \cdot u_t$  is continuous by Lemma 6.11. Similarly, we have

$$(7.3) \quad \alpha_0^r \beta_0^{n-r} \cdot v_0 = 0 \quad \text{for any } r > 0.$$

By Proposition 6.13 we have

$$0 \leq \int_{V'} (\omega_{B_0})^n = \lambda' \beta_0^n \cdot v_0$$

and so  $0 \leq \lambda'$ . By the same argument, we also have  $0 \leq \lambda''$ , and therefore without loss of generality we have  $\lambda'' = 0$ ; i.e.  $[V''] = -\gamma_0$ . Combining (7.2) and (7.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V''} \omega_0^n &= - \underbrace{\beta_0^n \cdot \gamma_0}_{=0} - \sum_{r>0} \alpha_0^r \beta_0^{n-r} \cdot v_0 \\ &= - \underbrace{\sum_{r>0} \int_{V'} (\omega_{A_0})^r \wedge (\omega_{B_0})^{n-r}}_{\leq 0} + \underbrace{\sum_{r>0} \alpha_0^r \beta_0^{n-r} \cdot v_0}_{=0}. \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\int_{V''} \omega_0^n = 0$ , so  $[V''] = 0$ . □

**Proposition 7.2.** *Let  $X$  be as in Setting 6.5, and let  $[V_0] \in (D_Z)_0^+$  and  $[U_0] \in (D_Y)_0^+$ . Then we have*

$$\omega_{A_0}|_{V_0} \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{B_0}|_{U_0} \equiv 0.$$

*In particular,  $\omega_0|_{U_0 \cap V_0} \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since the  $\omega_{A_0}$  and  $\omega_{B_0}$  have bounded local potentials by Proposition 6.13, it makes sense to restrict them to  $U_0$  or  $V_0$ . The last statement follows from the main one thanks to Proposition 6.13.

First assume  $V_0 \cap X^{\text{reg}} \neq \emptyset$ . Set  $V_0^\circ := V_0 \cap X^{\text{reg}}$  which is a dense Zariski open subset of  $V_0$ . Since  $\omega_{A_0}|_{V_0^\circ} \equiv 0$  by Proposition 6.8, it follows that the restriction  $\omega_{A_0}|_{V_0}$  is a positive  $(1,1)$ -current with bounded potentials on  $V_0$  supported on  $V_0 \setminus V_0^\circ$ . By Lemma 7.1 and the support theorem applied on a resolution say (cf. Remark 6.2), the current  $\omega_{A_0}|_{V_0}$  has to be a nonnegative combination of currents of integration along the codimension one components of  $V_0 \setminus V_0^\circ$  which is only possible if  $\omega_{A_0}|_{V_0}$  vanishes identically since it has bounded potentials.

Now for  $V_0$  arbitrary, take the germ of a smooth pointed curve  $(C, 0)$  with a non-constant morphism  $C \rightarrow (D_Z)_0$  sending 0 to  $[V_0]$  and consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{e} & X \\ p \downarrow & & \\ C & & \end{array}$$

where we abusively use the same letters  $e, p$  for the restrictions of the corresponding maps in (7.1). By the previous paragraph,  $e^*\omega_{A_0}$  vanishes in restriction to  $F_t$  for  $t$  general. Since  $p$  is smooth at a generic point  $x_0$  of  $F_0 = V_0$  by Lemma 7.1 and  $e^*\omega_{A_0}$  has local bounded potentials, Lemma 7.3 below implies that  $e^*\omega_{A_0}$  vanishes in restriction to a neighborhood of  $x_0$  in  $V_0$ , hence  $e^*\omega_{A_0}|_{G_0}$  is supported on a proper analytic subset. Since its local potentials are bounded, this implies that  $e^*\omega_{A_0}|_{G_0} \equiv 0$  as before.  $\square$

In the course of the proof above, we used the following lemma about psh functions on the total space of a trivial fibration that are pluriharmonic on all but one slice.

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a psh function on  $\Delta^n \times \Delta$ . Assume that  $\varphi$  is pluriharmonic in restriction to each slice  $D_t := \Delta^n \times \{t\}$  for  $t \in \Delta^*$ . Then, either  $\varphi|_{D_0} \equiv -\infty$  on  $D_0$  or  $\varphi|_{D_0}$  is pluriharmonic.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\varphi|_{D_0} \not\equiv -\infty$ . We use the coordinates  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  for the first factor  $\Delta^n$  and  $(t)$  for the second factor  $\Delta$ . The lemma is local, and it is sufficient to prove that for every one-dimensional disk  $\mathbb{D}_r^z \subset \Delta^n$  centered at  $\underline{0} \in \Delta^n$  of small enough radius  $r$ , we have

$$(7.4) \quad \varphi(0, 0) = \int_{\mathbb{D}_r^z} \varphi(z, 0) dV(z).$$

For that purpose, we introduce the function  $u : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  defined by

$$u(t) := \int_{\mathbb{D}_r^z} \varphi(z, t) dV(z).$$

The function  $\underline{\varphi}(t) := \varphi(0, t)$  is a psh function on  $\Delta$  that satisfies

$$(7.5) \quad u = \underline{\varphi} \quad \text{on } \Delta^*$$

since  $\varphi|_{D_t}$  is pluriharmonic for any  $t \neq 0$ . To show (7.4), we thus have to extend the identity (7.5) across the origin. This will be achieved if we show that  $u$  is psh on  $\Delta$  since psh functions are determined by their value almost everywhere and  $\underline{\varphi}$  is psh.

First of all,  $u$  is upper semicontinuous by Fatou's lemma since  $\varphi$  is upper semicontinuous as well (one can assume that  $\varphi \leq 0$  without loss of generality). Next, if  $t_0 \in \Delta$  and  $s$  is small enough, setting  $\mathbb{D}_s^t := \{t \in \Delta \mid |t - t_0| < s\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t_0) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_r^z} \varphi(z, t_0) dV(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}_r^z} \int_{\mathbb{D}_s^t} \varphi(z, t) dV(t) dV(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_s^t} u(t) dV(t) \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from  $\varphi(z, \cdot)$  being psh while the last identity is a simple application of Fubini's theorem. This shows that  $u$  is psh, and concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We will specifically need the following corollary of the previous proposition. Let  $I := (\mathcal{F}_Y)_0^+ \times_X (\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+$  be the universal intersection, and consider the resulting diagram

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I^+ & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ g \downarrow & & \\ (D_Y)_0^+ \times (D_Z)_0^+ & & \end{array}$$

where  $I^+$  is the unique irreducible component of  $I$  dominating  $X$ , endowed with the reduced structure.

**Corollary 7.4.** *In (7.6),  $f$  is surjective and generically one-to-one, and  $g$  is finite, surjective, and generically one-to-one.*

*Proof.* The statements for  $f$  follow from the fact that the natural maps  $(\mathcal{F}_Y)_0^+ \rightarrow X$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+ \rightarrow X$  are generically one-to-one and surjective by definition and the surjectivity of  $g$  is clear as  $(\mathcal{F}_Y)_0^+ \rightarrow (D_Y)_0^+$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_Z)_0^+ \rightarrow (D_Z)_0^+$  are surjective. The fibers of  $g$  are the intersections  $U_0 \times_X V_0$  in the notation of Proposition 7.2, so the finiteness is immediate from there and the Kählerness of  $[\omega_0]$ .

For the rest of the claim, as  $f^{-1}(X^{\text{sing}})$  does not dominate  $(D_Y)_0^+ \times (D_Z)_0^+$ , for generic  $[U_0] \in (D_Y)_0^+$  and  $[V_0] \in (D_Z)_0^+$  we have  $U_0 \cap V_0 \subset X^{\text{reg}}$ . We may take the germ of a smooth pointed curve  $(C, 0)$  with a nonconstant map  $C \rightarrow D_Z$  sending 0 to  $[V_0]$  and dominating  $\Delta$ , as well as a nonconstant map  $C \rightarrow D_Y$  sending 0 to  $[U_0]$



and dominating  $\Delta$ . If we let  $\mathcal{F}_2$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ) be the pullback of  $\mathcal{F}_Z$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_Y$ ) to  $C$ , then both  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  are naturally embedded in  $\mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{X} \times_{\Delta} C$ . Every component<sup>9</sup> of  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2 \cap \mathcal{X}'^{\text{reg}}$  has dimension at least  $\dim \mathcal{F}_1 - \text{codim}_{\mathcal{X}'} \mathcal{F}_2 = (m+1) - m = 1$ , as for example the intersection is equal to the intersection of  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{X}' \times \mathcal{X}'$  with the diagonal embedding of  $\mathcal{X}'^{\text{reg}}$ , which is regularly embedded in  $\mathcal{X}'^{\text{reg}} \times \mathcal{X}'^{\text{reg}}$ . The fact that  $(\mathcal{F}_1)_t \cap (\mathcal{F}_2)_t$  is a single point for  $t \neq 0$  implies that there is at most one zero-dimensional component of  $(\mathcal{F}_1)_0 \cap (\mathcal{F}_2)_0 \cap X^{\text{reg}} = U_0 \cap V_0 \cap X^{\text{reg}}$ , which is zero-dimensional. The claim then follows.  $\square$

The following two lemmas will be key to obtain the main result of this section, Proposition 7.7. This first result below states that, under some reasonable assumptions, a compact Kähler space which is bimeromorphically covered by a nontrivial product is already a product itself. Similarly flavored results have been obtained in the algebraic setting, see e.g. [KL09, Proposition 18] and [Dru18, Lemma 4.6].

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $X, Y, Z$  be normal compact complex varieties with a bimeromorphic morphism  $f : Y \times Z \rightarrow X$  and assume  $X$  is Kähler with rational singularities and  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . Then  $X \cong Y' \times Z'$  where  $Y'$  (resp.  $Z'$ ) is the normalization of the image of a general fiber  $Y \times \{z\}$  (resp.  $\{y\} \times Z$ ).*

*Proof.* By taking resolutions we may assume  $Y$  and  $Z$  are smooth. Observe that from the rationality of the singularities and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(Y \times Z, \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Z}) \rightarrow H^0(X, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Z})$$

coming from the Leray spectral sequence, we obtain

$$(7.7) \quad H^1(Y \times Z, \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Z}) = H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0.$$

For a general fiber  $Y_z := Y \times \{z\}$  let  $Y'' = f(Y_z)$  and  $n_Y : Y' \rightarrow Y''$  the normalization; likewise for  $n_Z : Z' \rightarrow Z''$ . Note that the map  $g : Y \times Z \rightarrow Y' \times Z'$  is birational. The situation is summarized in the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times Z & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ g \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ Y' \times Z' & & \end{array}$$

For the claim it is enough to show that  $f$  factors through  $g$  and vice versa; note that such a factorization is obviously unique provided it exists. As both  $f$  and  $g$  are proper with connected fibers and map to normal targets, by the rigidity lemma it is sufficient to check the following claim:

*Claim.*  $f$  and  $g$  have the same fibers.

<sup>9</sup>When we take intersections we mean the intersections of the reductions.

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  be a Kähler class  $X$ . By (7.7) we have that  $h^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ , so the Künneth decomposition yields

$$(7.8) \quad f^*\omega = \text{pr}_Y^*\alpha + \text{pr}_Z^*\beta$$

where  $\alpha \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\beta \in H^2(Z, \mathbb{R})$ . Identifying  $Y_z$  with  $Y$  (likewise for  $Z$ ), we find that  $\alpha = (f^*\omega)|_{Y_z} = f^*\omega|_{Y''}$  and  $\beta = f^*(\omega|_{Z''})$ . Since we have a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_z & \xrightarrow{f|_{Y_z}} & Y'' \\ g|_{Y_z} \downarrow & \nearrow n_Y & \\ Y' & & \end{array}$$

we find that  $f^*\omega|_{Y''} = g^*n_Y^*\omega|_{Y''}$  and  $f^*\omega|_{Z''} = g^*n_Z^*\omega|_{Z''}$ . In the end, (7.8) becomes

$$(7.9) \quad f^*\omega = g^*(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$$

where  $\omega_1 = n_Y^*\omega|_{Y''}$  and  $\omega_2 = n_Z^*\omega|_{Z''}$ . Note that  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are Kähler classes by [Văj96, Theorem 1], see also [GK20, Proposition 3.6].

Since  $\omega$  (resp.  $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ ) is a Kähler class, a subvariety  $V \subset Y \times Z$  is contracted to a point by  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ) if and only if  $f^*\omega|_V = 0$  (resp.  $g^*(\omega_1 + \omega_2)|_V = 0$ ). The claim now follows from (7.9).  $\square$

As explained above, the lemma follows from the claim.  $\square$

The next lemma allows one to spread a product structure of a given variety to its locally trivial deformations.

**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $Y, Z$  be compact irreducible and reduced varieties with  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0 = H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ . Let  $X = Y \times Z$ . Then the natural map  $\text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(Y) \times \text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Def}^{\text{lt}}(X)$  is an isomorphism. In particular, the universal locally trivial deformation of  $X$  is the product of the pullbacks of the universal families of  $Y$  and  $Z$ .*

Note that  $H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$  in our case so that the hypotheses on  $Y$  and  $Z$  are fulfilled.

*Proof.* It suffices to show the map on tangent spaces is an isomorphism and that the map on obstruction spaces is injective. By the Künneth decomposition, the map on tangent spaces is the natural map

$$H^1(Y, T_Y) \oplus H^1(Z, T_Z) \rightarrow H^1(Y, T_Y) \otimes H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \oplus H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes H^1(Z, T_Z)$$

since the other Künneth factors vanish:

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes H^0(Z, T_Z) = 0 = H^0(Y, T_Y) \otimes H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z).$$

In particular, the map on tangent spaces is an isomorphism. The map on obstruction spaces is likewise identified via the Künneth decomposition with the inclusion of the Künneth factors

$$H^2(Y, T_Y) \otimes H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \oplus H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes H^2(Z, T_Z)$$

and is therefore injective.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section:

**Proposition 7.7.** *Assume Setting 6.5. Then after shrinking  $\Delta$  there is a splitting  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\Delta} \mathcal{Z}$  for locally trivial  $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}/\Delta$  restricting to the given splitting over  $\Delta^*$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $g$  of Corollary 7.4 is an isomorphism on normalizations, and so  $X$  is a product by Lemma 7.5. Now, thanks to Lemma 7.6, after shrinking  $\Delta$  we have a product decomposition  $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{Y}' \times_{\Delta} \mathcal{Z}'$  where  $\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Z}'/\Delta$  are locally trivial. Let us denote  $Y := \mathcal{Y}'_0$  and  $Z := \mathcal{Z}'_0$ . By construction, the subspaces  $Y \times \{z\}$  and  $\{y\} \times Z$  for general  $y \in Y, z \in Z$  are obtained as fibers of the families  $\mathcal{F}_Y \rightarrow D_Y$  respectively  $\mathcal{F}_Z \rightarrow D_Z$  which over  $\Delta^*$  coincide with  $\mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^*$  and  $\mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^*$ . As one fiber of  $\mathcal{F}_Y \rightarrow D_Y$  is contracted under the projection  $\mathcal{F}_Y \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}'$ , so are all the fibers thanks to the rigidity lemma. In other words,  $\mathcal{Y}^* \cong \mathcal{Y}'^*$  and likewise for  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ .  $\square$

## 8. PROOF OF THE DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

In this section we prove Theorem A. Let  $X$  be a numerically  $K$ -trivial Kähler variety with log terminal singularities. By [CGGN20, Corollary 4.2], there exists a quasi-étale cover  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  that splits as  $\tilde{X} = T \times Y$  where  $T$  is a torus and  $Y$  is  $K$ -trivial with vanishing augmented irregularity,  $\tilde{q}(Y) = 0$ . Replacing  $X$  with  $Y$ , we may thus assume  $X$  is a  $K$ -trivial Kähler variety with canonical singularities and vanishing augmented irregularity. Moreover, by Corollary 3.9 and [CGGN20, Theorem C & Proposition 6.9], we also know  $T_X$  has a splitting

$$(8.1) \quad T_X = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} S_j$$

into foliations such that with respect to some (hence any) singular Ricci-flat metric on  $X$  the sheaves  $C_i|_{X^{\text{reg}}}$  (resp.  $S_j|_{X^{\text{reg}}}$ ) are parallel with holonomy  $\text{SU}$  (resp.  $\text{Sp}$ ). We may assume (8.1) has at least two factors (or  $X$  is IHS or ICY and there is nothing to prove) and at least one symplectic factor (or  $X$  is already projective and we apply [HP19, Theorem 1.5]). It suffices to show by induction that there is a quasi-étale cover of  $X$  which splits as a product.

Given Proposition 7.7, it is enough to show we are in Setting 6.5. We obtain the weakly Kähler metric  $\theta$  by [Bin83a, Theorem 6.3], see also [Nam01, Proposition 5]. It thus remains to show the following:

*Claim.* After replacing  $X$  with a quasi-étale cover, there is a locally trivial deformation  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  of  $X$  such that we have a nontrivial product decomposition  $\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{Y}^* \times_{\Delta^*} \mathcal{Z}^*$  over  $\Delta^*$  for locally trivial families  $\mathcal{Y}^*, \mathcal{Z}^*/\Delta^*$  and a splitting  $T_{\mathcal{X}/\Delta} = A \oplus B$  compatible with the decomposition over  $\Delta^*$ .

*Proof.* Taking  $E = \bigoplus_{j \in J} S_j$  and  $P = \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_i$ , then the family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  guaranteed by Corollary 2.37 is a strong locally trivial approximation of  $X$  by Lemma 3.5. By Corollary 3.10 every fiber has vanishing augmented irregularity. A projective fiber has a BB decomposition on some quasi-étale cover [HP19, Theorem 1.5], so by Lemmas 3.7

and 3.8 we may assume a fiber  $X_t$  of  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  has a BB decomposition which is nontrivial by Corollary 3.10 and which has no torus factor. By Lemma 7.6 this product decomposition persists in a neighborhood of  $t$ , and by Lemma 4.4 after taking a finite base-change  $S' \rightarrow S$  and choosing a curve  $\Delta \rightarrow S'$  through the special point we have a locally trivial family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  with a locally trivial product decomposition over  $\Delta^*$ . By Proposition 5.1 the claim follows.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem A is now complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AH21] Fabrizio Anella and Andreas Höring. Twisted cotangent bundle of hyper-Kähler manifolds. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.*, 8:1429–1457, 2021. With an appendix by Simone Diverio. – cited on p. 35
- [Art68] Michael Artin. On the solutions of analytic equations. *Invent. Math.*, 5:277–291, 1968. – cited on p. 5, 14, 19
- [AV21] Ekaterina Amerik and Misha Verbitsky. Contraction centers in families of hyperkähler manifolds. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 27(4):Paper No. 60, 26, 2021. – cited on p. 3, 21, 23, 30
- [Bar75] Daniel Barlet. Espace analytique réduit des cycles analytiques complexes compacts d’un espace analytique complexe de dimension finie. In *Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, II (Sém. François Norquet, 1974–1975)*, pages 1–158. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 482. 1975. – cited on p. 22
- [BBE<sup>+</sup>19] Robert J. Berman, Sebastien Boucksom, Philippe Eyssidieux, Vincent Guedj, and Ahmed Zeriahi. Kähler-Einstein metrics and the Kähler-Ricci flow on log Fano varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 751:27–89, 2019. – cited on p. 32
- [Bea83] Arnaud Beauville. Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(4):755–782 (1984), 1983. – cited on p. 1
- [BG13] Sébastien Boucksom and Vincent Guedj. Regularizing properties of the Kähler-Ricci flow. In *An introduction to the Kähler-Ricci flow*, volume 2086 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–6. Springer, Cham, 2013. – cited on p. 28
- [Bin83a] Jürgen Bingener. On deformations of Kähler spaces. I. *Math. Z.*, 182(4):505–535, 1983. – cited on p. 23, 24, 42
- [Bin83b] Jürgen Bingener. On deformations of Kähler spaces. II. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 41(6):517–530, 1983. – cited on p. 23
- [BL18] Benjamin Bakker and Christian Lehn. The global moduli theory of symplectic varieties, 2018. – cited on p. 3, 16, 19, 22, 29, 32
- [BL21] Benjamin Bakker and Christian Lehn. A global Torelli theorem for singular symplectic varieties. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 23(3):949–994, 2021. – cited on p. 3, 14, 16, 17, 35
- [Bło12] Zbigniew Błocki. The Calabi-Yau theorem. In *Complex Monge-Ampère equations and geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics*, volume 2038 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 201–227. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. – cited on p. 32
- [Bog78] F. A. Bogomolov. Hamiltonian Kählerian manifolds. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 243(5):1101–1104, 1978. – cited on p. 1
- [BT76] Eric Bedford and B. A. Taylor. The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation. *Invent. Math.*, 37(1):1–44, 1976. – cited on p. 36
- [BT82] E. Bedford and B.A. Taylor. A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions. *Acta Math.*, 149(1-2):1–40, 1982. – cited on p. 36

- [Cam21] Frédéric Campana. The Bogomolov-Beauville-Yau decomposition for KLT projective varieties with trivial first Chern class—without tears. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 149(1):1–13, 2021. – cited on p. 2
- [CGGN20] Benoît Claudon, Patrick Graf, Henri Guenancia, and Philip Naumann. Kähler spaces with zero first Chern class: Bochner principle, fundamental groups, and the Kodaira problem. Preprint [arXiv:2008.13008](#), 2020. – cited on p. 21, 22, 33, 42
- [CGP19] Junyan Cao, Henri Guenancia, and Mihai Păun. Variation of singular Kähler-Einstein metrics: Kodaira dimension zero. Preprint [arXiv:1908.08087](#), to appear in *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2019. – cited on p. 19
- [CHL19] Benoît Claudon, Andreas Höring, and Hsueh-Yung Lin. The fundamental group of compact Kähler threefolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 23(7):3233–3271, 2019. – cited on p. 2
- [Dan91] V. I. Danilov. de Rham complex on toroidal variety. In *Algebraic geometry (Chicago, IL, 1989)*, volume 1479 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 26–38. Springer, Berlin, 1991. – cited on p. 18
- [Del68] Pierre Deligne. Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (35):259–278, 1968. – cited on p. 14, 16
- [Dem85] Jean-Pierre Demailly. Mesures de Monge-Ampère et caractérisation géométrique des variétés algébriques affines. *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)*, (19):124, 1985. – cited on p. 28, 36
- [DG94] G. Dethloff and H. Grauert. Seminormal complex spaces. In *Several complex variables, VII*, volume 74 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 183–220. Springer, Berlin, 1994. – cited on p. 20
- [DG18] Stéphane Druel and Henri Guenancia. A decomposition theorem for smoothable varieties with trivial canonical class. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.*, 5:117–147, 2018. – cited on p. 1
- [DGG20] Eleonora Di Nezza, Vincent Guedj, and Henri Guenancia. Families of singular Kähler-Einstein metrics. Preprint [arXiv:2003.08178](#), to appear in *J. Eur. Soc. Math.*, 2020. – cited on p. 30, 32
- [Dou66] Adrien Douady. Le problème des modules pour les sous-espaces analytiques compacts d’un espace analytique donné. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 16(fasc., fasc. 1):1–95, 1966. – cited on p. 22
- [Dou74] A. Douady. Le problème des modules locaux pour les espaces  $\mathbf{C}$ -analytiques compacts. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 7:569–602 (1975), 1974. – cited on p. 12
- [Dru18] Stéphane Druel. A decomposition theorem for singular spaces with trivial canonical class of dimension at most five. *Invent. Math.*, 211(1):245–296, 2018. – cited on p. 1, 40
- [EGZ09] Philippe Eyssidieux, Vincent Guedj, and Ahmed Zeriahi. Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22:607–639, 2009. – cited on p. 30, 32, 33
- [Elk81] Renée Elkik. Rationalité des singularités canoniques. *Invent. Math.*, 64(1):1–6, 1981. – cited on p. 2
- [FK87] Hubert Flenner and Siegmund Kosarew. On locally trivial deformations. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 23(4):627–665, 1987. – cited on p. 12
- [Fuj79] Akira Fujiki. Closedness of the Douady spaces of compact Kähler spaces. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 14(1):1–52, 1978/79. – cited on p. 14, 23, 36
- [Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection Theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998. – cited on p. 23, 37

- [GK19] Daniel Greb, Henri Guenancia, and Stefan Kebekus. Klt varieties with trivial canonical class: holonomy, differential forms, and fundamental groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 23:2051–2124, 2019. – cited on p. [1](#), [33](#)
- [GK20] Patrick Graf and Tim Kirschner. Finite quotients of three-dimensional complex tori. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 70(2):881–914, 2020. – cited on p. [30](#), [41](#)
- [GKK10] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Sándor J. Kovács. Extension theorems for differential forms and Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing on log canonical varieties. *Compos. Math.*, 146(1):193–219, 2010. – cited on p. [13](#)
- [GKKP11] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, Sándor J. Kovács, and Thomas Peternell. Differential forms on log canonical spaces. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (114):87–169, 2011. – cited on p. [1](#)
- [GKP16a] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Étale fundamental groups of Kawamata log terminal spaces, flat sheaves, and quotients of abelian varieties. *Duke Math. J.*, 165(10):1965–2004, 2016. – cited on p. [21](#)
- [GKP16b] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Singular spaces with trivial canonical class. In *Minimal models and extremal rays (Kyoto, 2011)*, volume 70 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 67–113. Math. Soc. Japan, [Tokyo], 2016. – cited on p. [2](#)
- [GLR13] Daniel Greb, Christian Lehn, and Sönke Rollenske. Lagrangian fibrations on hyperkähler manifolds—on a question of Beauville. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 46(3):375–403 (2013), 2013. – cited on p. [23](#)
- [GR77] H. Grauert and R. Remmert. *Theorie der Steinschen Räume*, volume 227 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. – cited on p. [10](#)
- [Gra74] Hans Grauert. Der Satz von Kuranishi für kompakte komplexe Räume. *Invent. Math.*, 25:107–142, 1974. – cited on p. [12](#)
- [Gra21] Patrick Graf. A decomposition theorem for singular Kähler spaces with trivial first Chern class of dimension at most four. Preprint [arXiv:2101.06764](#), 2021. – cited on p. [4](#)
- [Gro97a] Mark Gross. The deformation space of Calabi-Yau  $n$ -folds with canonical singularities can be obstructed. In *Mirror symmetry, II*, volume 1 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 401–411. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997. – cited on p. [3](#)
- [Gro97b] Mark Gross. Deforming Calabi-Yau threefolds. *Math. Ann.*, 308(2):187–220, 1997. – cited on p. [7](#), [16](#)
- [GS21] Patrick Graf and Martin Schwald. The Kodaira problem for Kähler spaces with vanishing first Chern class. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 9:Paper No. e24, 15, 2021. – cited on p. [3](#), [20](#)
- [Gue16] Henri Guenancia. Semistability of the tangent sheaf of singular varieties. *Algebraic Geometry*, 3(5):508–542, november 2016. – cited on p. [3](#), [19](#)
- [HP19] Andreas Höring and Thomas Peternell. Algebraic integrability of foliations with numerically trivial canonical bundle. *Invent. Math.*, 216(2):395–419, 2019. – cited on p. [1](#), [3](#), [42](#)
- [Kaw92] Yujiro Kawamata. Unobstructed deformations. A remark on a paper of Z. Ran: “Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle” [*J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), no. 2, 279–291; MR1144440 (93e:14015)]. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1(2):183–190, 1992. – cited on p. [16](#)
- [Kaw97] Yujiro Kawamata. Erratum on: “Unobstructed deformations. A remark on a paper of Z. Ran: ‘Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle’” [*J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), no. 2, 183–190; MR1144434 (93e:14016)]. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 6(4):803–804, 1997. – cited on p. [16](#)

- [KL09] János Kollár and Michael Larsen. Quotients of Calabi-Yau varieties. In *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II*, volume 270 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 179–211. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2009. – cited on p. [40](#)
- [KS21] Stefan Kebekus and Christian Schnell. Extending holomorphic forms from the regular locus of a complex space to a resolution of singularities. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34(2):315–368, 2021. – cited on p. [2](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#), [19](#), [28](#)
- [Nam01] Yoshinori Namikawa. Extension of 2-forms and symplectic varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 539:123–147, 2001. – cited on p. [42](#)
- [Pău08] Mihai Păun. Regularity properties of the degenerate Monge-Ampère equations on compact Kähler manifolds. *Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. B*, 29(6):623–630, 2008. – cited on p. [30](#), [32](#)
- [Pou69] Geneviève Pourcin. Théorème de Douady au-dessus de  $S$ . *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)*, 23:451–459, 1969. – cited on p. [22](#)
- [PS85] Ragni Piene and Michael Schlessinger. On the Hilbert scheme compactification of the space of twisted cubics. *Amer. J. Math.*, 107(4):761–774, 1985. – cited on p. [23](#)
- [PS08] Chris A. M. Peters and Joseph H. M. Steenbrink. *Mixed Hodge structures*, volume 52 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. – cited on p. [27](#)
- [Ran92] Ziv Ran. Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1(2):279–291, 1992. – cited on p. [16](#)
- [Sch68] Michael Schlessinger. Functors of Artin rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130:208–222, 1968. – cited on p. [7](#), [11](#)
- [Ser06] Edoardo Sernesi. *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, volume 334 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. – cited on p. [8](#)
- [Ste77] J. H. M. Steenbrink. Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology. In *Real and complex singularities (Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976)*, pages 525–563. Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977. – cited on p. [18](#)
- [Szé14] Gábor Székelyhidi. *An introduction to extremal Kähler metrics*, volume 152. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2014. – cited on p. [32](#)
- [Tsu88] Hajime Tsuji. Existence and degeneration of Kähler-Einstein metrics on minimal algebraic varieties of general type. *Math. Ann.*, 281(1):123–133, 1988. – cited on p. [32](#)
- [Uen83] Kenji Ueno. Introduction to the theory of compact complex spaces in the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . In *Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981)*, volume 1 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 219–230. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983. – cited on p. [14](#)
- [Văj96] Viorel Văjăitu. Kählerianity of  $q$ -Stein spaces. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 66(3):250–257, 1996. – cited on p. [41](#)
- [Wł09] Jarosław Włodarczyk. Resolution of singularities of analytic spaces. In *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2008*, pages 31–63. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2009. – cited on p. [13](#)

BENJAMIN BAKKER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 851 S. MORGAN ST., CHICAGO, IL 60607

*Email address:* `bakker.uic@gmail.com`

HENRI GUENANCIA, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE; UMR 5219, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE; CNRS, UPS, 118 ROUTE DE NARBONNE, F-31062 TOULOUSE CEDEX 9, FRANCE

*Email address:* `henri.guenancia@math.cnrs.fr`

CHRISTIAN LEHN, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT CHEMNITZ, REICHENHAINER STRASSE 39, 09126 CHEMNITZ, GERMANY

*Email address:* `christian.lehn@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de`