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Negative hydrogen or deuterium ions are the precursor particles used to generate a high power beam of neutrals in order to heat the tokamak plasma core of magnetic fusion devices, inject current and to some extent control instabilities. In the case of ITER for instance, the negative ions are produced inside a high power large volume low pressure tandem type magnetised ion source and extracted toward an electrostatic accelerator which accelerates them to 1 MeV before entering a neutraliser converting the ions into a neutral beam. This so-called Neutral Beam Injector (NBI) relies on the production of negative ions on the surface facing the plasma of the ion source extraction electrode. The latter is covered by a cesium layer in order to increase the negative ion yield. The use of cesium is currently an issue as it may diffuse outside of the source and induce secondary particle production or voltage breakdowns inside the accelerator vessel requiring a regular maintenance in a nuclear environment. In this work, we analyse numerically with a 2.5D Particle-In-Cell model the production rate and transport of negative ions in a linear device used as an ion source. The negative ions are generated via a dissociative attachment process with a hydrogen molecule in the volume of a magnetised cesium-free plasma. The linear device in the model has a large aspect ratio with a radius of 5 cm and a length of 100 cm and the magnetic field strength ranges from 100 to 400G. We show that the shape and depth of the plasma potential profile may be controlled by biasing the end-plates which in turn strongly influence the residence time of the electrons and hence the negative ion yield. We observe the formation of large scale rotating structures when the positive ions become magnetised with a rotation velocity in the kHz range.

I. INTRODUCTION

Negative hydrogen isotope ions may be produced either by the dissociative attachment of a vibrationally excited hydrogen molecule or via the tunnelling process of electrons from a metal conduction band toward a neutral atom or positive ion impacting its surface. The former is a two step process where one region of the plasma source has typically a higher electron temperature of about 5-10 eV in order to excite vibrationally the hydrogen molecule by electron impacts, e + H2(νj) → e + H2(νi) where j > i and ν is the vibrational quantum state of the molecule, while in a second area the electron temperature must drop to ~ 1 eV to optimally produce negative ions by a dissociative attachment process1,2, e.g., e + H2(νi) → H− + H. A low electron temperature region also lengthens the mean-free-path of a given negative ion before being destroyed by collisions with electrons or the background gas. In practice, a plasma source with a strong gradient in electron temperature is generated by implementing a magnetic filter field between the discharge (region where the external power is coupled to the plasma) and the extraction region such as to decrease the mobility of the magnetised electrons and induce a local loss of electron kinetic energy by inelastic collisions. The magnetic field is transverse to the discharge axis. Another way to efficiently produce negative ions is through the interaction of atoms or positive ions with metallic walls. In high brightness ion sources, cesium is added in order to decrease the metal surface work function and hence increase the production yield of negative ions. Cesium is one component of negative ion sources used for fusion applications3 as well as in high energy linear particle accelerators4-6 devices used for neutron generation7, tandem accelerators and accelerator based mass spectrometry.8,9 In the case of fusion-type plasma sources, the extracted negative ion current produced by volume processes is only a small fraction of the total (< 10 − 20%); most ions originating from the surface of the extracting electrode.10 Cesium is currently an issue in Neutral Beam Injector (NBI) concepts as it typically diffuses outside of the ion source across the extraction apertures. In the accelerator vessel, cesium can induce voltage breakdowns, possibly negative ion beam-let halos or the production of secondary particles which will absorb power from the power supplies and can deposit a power density on the accelerator parts exceeding the damaging threshold for the material.11 Cesium hence requires a regular maintenance of the injector in a nuclear environment. The estimates for ITER and DEMO provide an evaporation rate of about 10 mg/h per oven in the ion source.5,12 As a consequence, there is currently a research effort in the low temperature plasma community to find alternatives to cesium either by studying other low
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work function materials\textsuperscript{13} or different ion source configurations providing a plasma confinement optimal for producing negative hydrogen isotope ions through volume processes in a cesium free plasma. One such candidate is a linear device and recent experiments on the Resonant Antenna Ion Device (RAID)\textsuperscript{14} at the Swiss Plasma Center (SPC) in Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) has shown the capability to produce a maximum negative ion density of $\sim 2 \times 10^{16}$ m$^{-3}$ measured in both hydrogen and deuterium at a radius of 6 cm for a Radio-Frequency (RF) power (provided by a helicon antenna) of 5 kW.\textsuperscript{15,16} The peak electron density is about $2 \times 10^{18}$ m$^{-3}$ and the background gas pressure 0.3 Pa. The RAID testbed is a cylinder of 20 cm radius and 1.8 m length with a magnetic field strength aligned with the axis of up to 800G. The volume of the source is $\sim 0.2$ m$^3$ and 5 kW is hence equivalent to a power density of $\sim 25$ kW/m$^3$ (which is non-uniformly distributed). In comparison, the BATMAN one driver ITER-prototype ion source generates a line-of-sight (LOS) averaged negative ion density of $7 \times 10^{16}$ m$^{-3}$ in the presence of cesium measured by Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy (CRDS) at 0.45 Pa in hydrogen about 2 cm from the extraction electrode (so-called "plasma grid") for a power density of $\sim 1$ MW/m$^3$ (60 kW of RF power and a plasma volume of $\sim 50$ litres).\textsuperscript{17} Helicons are more efficient in terms of plasma production but the difference in power densities between the two concepts is also due to the increased plasma confinement in the case of a linear device (this in principle can favour the production of negative ions in the plasma volume). Electrons are strongly magnetized in the latter, RF power is optimally absorbed near the discharge axis (which is aligned with the magnetic field vector) and the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ and diamagnetic drifts are closed (i.e., in the azimuthal direction). Losses consequently occur mainly on the surfaces short-circuiting the magnetic field lines. Note that the distance traveled by electrons along the field lines is typically of meter scale due to the large aspect ratio of these devices. Furthermore, in a tandem-type fusion-prototype device such as BATMAN or ELISE, the negative ions are produced on the cesium covered plasma grid (PG) surface, i.e., in the direct vicinity of the extraction apertures, and hence can be efficiently extracted toward the accelerator vessel. In a linear device, the negative ion density typically peaks near the edges of the region where the helicon antenna power is coupled to the plasma. The question of an optimal extraction of the negative ions remains an open problem at the present time; this likely requires a helicon antenna radius similar to the one of the linear device to shift the negative ion density maximum toward the cylinder side wall.

In this work, we analyse the production yield and transport dynamics of negative ions in a linear device using a 2-dimensional (2D) Particle-In-Cell model including losses in the third dimension calculated analytically (method commonly dubbed "2.5D" for that reason). We show that the residence time of the magnetised electrons can be significantly increased by biasing both end-plate electrodes with the same voltage. The latter are perpendicular to the magnetic field lines (which are straight) and hence a bias voltage may be used to control the electron losses along these lines. The residence time of the electrons is strongly correlated with the yield for producing negative ions in the plasma volume via the two step process consisting of the vibrational excitation followed by the dissociative attachment of the hydrogen isotope molecules. In addition, we observe the formation of large scale rotating structures at frequencies of about tens of kHz when the positive ions become somewhat magnetised. In the next section, we describe in detail the numerical model, the plasma chemistry which we implemented, and the simulation domain. In Sec. III, we analyse the plasma properties when the end-plates are biased negatively so as to reduce electron losses and hence increase their confinement. In Sec. IV, we compare the model predictions to the experimental measurements on the RAID device. In Sec. V, we study the impact of the magnetic field strength on the plasma dynamics and notably the appearance of instabilities. Lastly in Sec. VI, we discuss the possibility of extracting negative ions from a slit aperture embedded on the cylinder wall of the linear device. The numerical resolution does not allow us to model the details of negative ion extraction across the aperture. We analyse instead the effect on the 2D plasma potential profile of biasing positively an electrode on the wall; the aim being to assess whether or not one can channel negative ions from inside the plasma volume toward the aperture.

II. NUMERICAL MODEL

The numerical model is an explicit electrostatic Particle-In-Cell (PIC) algorithm with Monte-Carlo Collisions (MCC). Maxwell’s equations are solved in the plasma volume within the electrostatic limit with the plasma potential derived from Poisson’s equation. The magnetic field is provided by electromagnets and its profile is prescribed in the model. The algorithm is explicit and as a consequence, the source term for Poisson’s equation (the total charge density $\rho$) is calculated from the particle trajectories estimated at the previous time step. We assume that the magnetic field lines are straight, parallel to the linear device axis (see fig. 1). The field strength $B_0$ is constant. The simulation domain and hence the numerical mesh are 2-dimensional (2D) with particle losses in the third dimension calculated analytically to account for a 3D volume.\textsuperscript{18} In that direction, the rates of positive ion losses are estimated from the Bohm velocity which is the average ion velocity at the entrance of the plasma sheath. The loss frequency is calculated as follows,

$$\nu_L = 2\kappa u_B/L_z,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
where $u_B = \sqrt{e \phi / m_e}$ is the local Bohm velocity, $T_e$ the electron temperature, $L_z$ the length of the linear device and $\kappa = n_i / \bar{n}$ the ratio between the plasma density at the sheath edge $n_i$ and the average plasma density $\bar{n}$ along $(Oz)$. Equation (1) was derived by volume averaging the continuity equation in the 1D limit assuming quasi-neutrality. $\kappa \approx 0.5$ in a low pressure plasma with ambipolar diffusion (along the magnetic field lines) and nearly collisionless ions.\(^{19}\) In this work the negative ion to electron density ratio is at maximum around 10% and the negative ion average kinetic energy $\sim 0.3$ eV. For this reason, we considered that this ion specie has hence a minor impact on the plasma potential profile and Bohm velocity at the sheath entrance.\(^{19}\) The loss rate for the electrons is evaluated by following their trajectories in the third direction. The latter gyrate around the magnetic field lines (electrons are strongly magnetized in our case) along $(Oz)$ and are lost to the wall if their kinetic energies exceed the difference between the wall and plasma potentials $\frac{1}{2} m_e v_i^2 \geq e (\phi - V_{cp})$, where $m_e$ and $e$ are the electron mass and charge, $v_i$ its velocity in the $z$-direction, $V_{cp}$ the end-plate bias voltage and $\phi(x, y)$ the plasma potential calculated on the 2D mesh, respectively. In 2.5D, the plasma parameters are consequently averaged values over the length $L_z$.

Electrons absorb a given power which is an external parameter to the model. We assume that a fraction $N_e = N_{abs} / N_e \Delta t$ of the total number of macro-electrons $N_e$ in the power absorption region will couple the external power to the plasma during a time lag $\Delta t$ and furthermore that the absorbed energy per electron is identical on average, i.e., $T_h = \frac{\Delta E_h}{\bar{m} N_h}$ with, \(^{2}\)

$$\langle \Delta E_h \rangle = \frac{P_{abs} \Delta t}{\bar{m} N_h},$$

where we defined an artificial heating temperature $T_h$. $N_m$ is the macroparticle weight (the number of real electrons per macro-electron) and $\nu_h$ a heating frequency (which is arbitrary), respectively. We assume that the power absorption profile is Gaussian and the macro-electrons have hence a probability

$$p_i = \exp \left[ - \frac{(x_i^2 + y_i^2)}{2 \sigma_h^2} \right] \quad (3)$$

of undergoing a heating collision. $x_i$ and $y_i$ are the macroparticle coordinates and $\sigma_h$ is the standard deviation, respectively. Their velocity increments $\Delta v_x$, $\Delta v_y$ and $\Delta v_z$ are sampled from a Maxwellian distribution with a temperature $T_h$ such that,

$$v'_i = v_i + \Delta v_i, \quad (4)$$

and $i = x, y, z$. In practice, one loops over the $N_e$ macro-electrons inside the power absorption region. A candidate electron is selected randomly inside the particle array and the actual occurrence of a collision is verified via an additional random number $r$ between 0 and 1 such that $r \leq p_i(x_i, y_i)$. The process is repeated until $N_h$ collisions have materialized. Equation (4) preserves the average velocity of the electron distribution function, that is, $\langle v'_i \rangle = \langle v_i \rangle$. On average, the electron energy is increased by $\frac{1}{2} m_e \langle \Delta v_i^2 \rangle$. Note that one can add a velocity increment along a single direction instead to mimic the power absorbed on average by an electron interacting with the RF wave generated by a time varying current in a coil. This method generates a Maxwellian Electron Energy Distribution Function (EEDF) in hydrogen (see sec. III B).

The complex physical-chemistry resulting from the interaction between electrons, ions and the hydrogen gas are included in the model. The neutral dynamics are not simulated and we implement a uniform gas density and temperature profile instead with a given background density $n_{H_2}$ for the hydrogen molecules and $n_{H}$ for the atoms corresponding to a dissociation rate $n_{H} / n_{H_2} \sim 0.25$ (which is typically observed in ITER-like ion sources).\(^{18}\) Collisions are modelled between charged particles and neutrals via the Monte-Carlo (MC) technique where we derive a velocity for the latter from a Maxwellian distribution at a temperature $T_n$ while for the interaction between electrons and positive ions (Coulomb collisions), the actual velocities of both the incident and target macroparticles are considered during the scattering process.\(^{35,36}\) The probability for the occurrence of a reaction is calculated from the tabulated cross-sections and particles are scattered in their center of mass after the collision. For more details, please see ref.\(^{18}\). The set of re-
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**TABLE I. Electron collisions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Cross section ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$e + H \rightarrow e + H$ (elastic)</td>
<td>20–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$e + H \rightarrow e + H$ (inelastic, 4 proc.)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$e + H \rightarrow 2e + H^+$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$e + H_2 \rightarrow e + H_2$ (elastic)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$e + H_2 \rightarrow 2e + H_2^+$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$e + H_2 \rightarrow 2e + H^+ + H$ (2 proc.)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$e + H_2 \rightarrow e + H_2$ (inelastic, 16 proc.)</td>
<td>25,27–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$e + H_2 \rightarrow e + 2H$ (3 proc.)</td>
<td>25,33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$e + H_2^+ \rightarrow 3H$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$e + H_2^+ \rightarrow H + H_2$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$e + H_2^+ \rightarrow e + H^+ + 2H$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$e + H_2^+ \rightarrow e + H^+ + H_2$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$e + H_2^+ \rightarrow 2H$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$e + H_2^+ \rightarrow e + H^+ + H$ (2 proc.)</td>
<td>25,33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$e + H_2^+ \rightarrow 2e + 2H^+$</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$e + H^+ \rightarrow 2e + H$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$e + H^+ \rightarrow H^+ + H$</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$e + H_2 \rightarrow e + H_2^+$ (Coulomb)(^{34})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>$e + H^+ \rightarrow e + H^+$ (Coulomb)(^{34})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$e + H_2^+ \rightarrow e + H_2^+$ (Coulomb)(^{34})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
actions is presented in tables I and II. Table I corresponds to the collision processes associated with electrons. Reactions #2, 6, 7, 8 and 14 combine inelastic processes. Reaction #2 regroups the excitation of the hydrogen atom from the ground state to the electronic level \( n = 2 - 5 \). Reaction #7 combines the ground state excitation of the hydrogen molecule \( H_2(X^1Σ^+_g^\prime; \nu = 0) \) to the vibrational levels \( \nu' = 1 - 3 \), electronic levels (for all \( \nu' \)) \( B^1Σ^+u, B^1Σ^+_u, B^1Π_u, C^1Π_u, D^1Π_u, D^1Π_u, \), \( a^3Σ^+g, a^3Π_u, d^3Π_u \) and \( ^3Π_u \), Rydberg states and lastly rotational levels \( J = 2 \) and \( 3 \). Reaction #17 models the generation of negative ions in the ion volume, which are a byproduct of the dissociative impact between an electron and molecular hydrogen \( H_2(\nu \geq 4) \). We do not calculate self-consistently the concentration of excited species in the model. To estimate the volume production of negative ions, we assume that 1.5% of \( H_2 \) molecules (except in sec. IV) are excited in vibrational levels \( \nu \geq 4 \). This is in accordance with the \( H_2 \) vibrational distribution function calculated with the 0D model of ref. Changing this parameter modulates the magnitude of the negative ion density. Table II summarizes the collision processes of heavy ions with neutrals. Reaction #9 corresponds to the excitation of the hydrogen molecule from the ground state to vibrationally excited levels \( \nu' = 1 - 2 \) and to the rotational levels \( J = 2 - 3 \). To our knowledge there are no reliable data available for the elastic collision between \( H_2^+ \) and neutral atoms (reaction #2), we consequently use the same cross-section as in reaction #1.

The dimensions of the grid must be of the order of the Debye length and one needs to resolve the electron plasma frequency in a PIC-MCC model otherwise the algorithm would be numerically unstable. In this work, the numerical resolution for the simulations ranges between 256 and 1024 grid nodes which corresponds to plasma densities \( \sim 10^{14} \) and \( 10^{15} \) \text{m}^{-3}, respectively. Plasma densities on axis in the RAID experiments or in the ITER prototype ion sources are typically around \( \sim 10^{18} \text{m}^{-3} \) and hence about 3 orders of magnitude larger than in the simulations (or similarly an electron Debye length 30 times smaller). We are interested in studying particle dynamics in quiescent plasmas and as a consequence the plasma behaviour in the quasi-neutral volume will be similar regardless of the plasma density assuming that the particle collision mean-free-paths (mfp) stay constant. The principal difference is the length of the plasma sheath but its influence remains limited as long as its dynamics are preserved and the quasi-neutral volume largely exceeds the area occupied by the sheath. In
this work, the sheath is collisionless and non-magnetised in both the model and the experiments for a magnetic field strength of up to $\sim 100G$. For larger magnetic fields, the question of the magnetisation of the electrons in the simulated sheath is an open problem (because we model lower plasma densities). Note that it is considered essential not to strongly magnetise the negative ions in order to facilitate their sideways extraction from the plasma through a slit aperture on the linear device cylinder wall and also due to the beam transport properties in the accelerator which will be deteriorated in case of magnetisation. The mfp for the collisions between charged particles and neutals is independent of the simulated plasma density but not for the case of Coulomb interactions between electrons and ions. One hence needs to multiply the Rutherford scattering cross-sections by a scaling factor to correctly calculate the particle mfp, which is simply the ratio of the modelled plasma density divided by the target one. Another simpler and equivalent approach is to replace the vacuum permittivity constant $\varepsilon_0$ instead by $\varepsilon^*$ (i.e., a scaling by a factor $\alpha = \varepsilon^*/\varepsilon_0$) in Poisson’s equation (in this case the plasma density in the model is equal to the experimental value one wishes to simulate),

$$-\nabla^2 \phi = \rho/\varepsilon^*$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\varepsilon^*$ is the permittivity in the model which only affects the length of the plasma sheath (artificially increased by $\sqrt{\alpha}$) in a quiescent plasma. Hereafter, we chose the latter approach. The inclusion of the electron-electron scattering process is left for future work. Note that it does not induce any transport across the magnetic field lines even in the presence of a density gradient.$^{47,48}$

Figure 1 shows the simulation domain in the model. The linear device has a length $L_z = 1 \text{ m}$ and a radius $R = 5 \text{ cm}$. The numerical mesh is 2D Cartesian in the (Ox) and (Oy) directions while particle losses along the third dimension - (Oz) - are calculated semi-analytically as stated above. The area in light gray corresponds to the plasma location and the one in dark grey to the power absorption region using the profile defined by eq. (3). The magnetic field lines are straight, in the $z$-direction, and are terminated on the end-plates which are biased by a voltage $V_{ep}$. The magnetic field strength in the calculations analysed in this work ranges from 100 to 400G resulting in a situation where ions are either not or somewhat magnetised. Electrons are in all cases strongly magnetized with, for instance, a Hall parameter $h_e = \Omega_{ce}/\nu_e \sim 40$ for $|B_z| = 100G$ where $\Omega_{ce} = eB_z/m_e$ is the electron cyclotron frequency and $\nu_e$ the total collision counterpart (which includes elastic, inelastic and ionisation). Electrons are hence well confined by the magnetic field and their residence time can be further increased by biasing negatively the end-plate potential $V_{ep}$. Ions are magnetised for $|B_z| = 400G$ with a corresponding Hall parameter $h_i \sim 5$.

$$-\nabla^2 \phi = \rho/\varepsilon^*$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\varepsilon^*$ is the permittivity in the model which only affects the length of the plasma sheath (artificially increased by $\sqrt{\alpha}$) in a quiescent plasma. Hereafter, we chose the latter approach. The inclusion of the electron-electron scattering process is left for future work. Note that it does not induce any transport across the magnetic field lines even in the presence of a density gradient.$^{47,48}$

III. INCIDENCE OF THE END-PLATE POTENTIAL ON THE PLASMA PROPERTIES

In this section, we analyse the impact on the plasma properties of biasing negatively the metallic end-plates. We start by describing in detail a configuration where the end-plates are floating before performing a parameter scan. Note that we considered smaller dimensions than in the RAID experiment as it allows us to implement a higher numerical resolution.

A. Floating end-plates

Figure 2 shows the electron density, temperature, plasma potential and negative ion density profiles for the conditions of fig. 1. $|B_z| = 100G$ and the end-plates are floating with $V_{ep} \approx -14V$. 
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anisotropy which produces fast atoms as a byproduct of a dissociative collision between H₂ and electrons and in low background gas pressure working conditions as a result of the recombination of fast ions impacting surfaces when the plasma potential is large (typically ~ 40V inside the discharge of ITER-prototype plasma sources for instance). As stated above, the proportion of hydrogen molecules in a vibrational state ν ≥ 4 (H₂) is assumed to be 1.5% of the background density. Negative ions are produced inside the ion source volume by the dissociative attachment process of H₂ (reaction #17 of table I). Lastly, the time step is Δt ≃ 0.2 ns and convergence is reached in about 100 µs. The floating potential at steady state is V_ep ≃ −14V and is calculated self-consistently from the charges accumulating on the end-plates,

\[ V_{ep} = C^{-1} \sum_s q_s(z_{ep}, T), \]  

where \( z_{ep} \) is the physical location of the plate, \( q_s(z_{ep}, T) \) the total charge collected on the surfaces during a time interval \( T \) for each particle species \( s \) and \( C \) a numerical capacitance. The capacitance should be chosen large enough to modify the potential value only after an integration over a significant number of time steps (this will reduce fluctuations). The voltage in eq. (6) self-adjusts until steady-state conditions are reached. We assumed a value \( C = 10^{-6} \) F, corresponding for instance to a potential increase of ΔV_{ep} = 1V for \( I = 1A \) of net current impacting the surface over ~ 5000 time steps Δt (which is equivalent to about 1 µs) with

\[ \Delta V_{ep} = \frac{I \Delta t}{C}. \]  

Note that transient effects occurring on time scales shorter than the capacitor response will be smoothed out (which is not an issue in our case as we are investigating steady-state situations on slower plasma dynamics).

The amplitude of the plasma potential in fig. 2 is found to be close to 0V; its profile has a u-shape radially with a difference between the maximum at \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = 0 \) and the minimum around 2.8 cm of Δφ ≃ 0.15 eV. This may confine electrostatically some positive ions (these particles will be lost on the end-plates instead). The plasma density is maximum on the linear device axis with \( n_p \sim 1.5 \times 10^{18} \) m⁻³ while the electron temperature \( T_e \) is 6.5 eV and decreases to about 1 eV at the location where the negative ion density profile peaks. The latter has a donut-like shape with a density of \( n_{H^-} \sim 10^{16} \) m⁻³ on axis and a maximum 6 times larger at a radius \( r = 3.4 \) cm. This is the consequence of the cross-section of reaction #17 in table I, which is largest for \( T_e \sim 0.3 \) eV (\( \sigma \sim 10^{-15} \) cm²), is halved for an electron temperature of 1 eV and is 4 orders of magnitude lower for 5 eV.

### B. Scan versus the end-plate voltage

The simulations performed in this subsection are for a magnetic field strength of 100G. Electrons are strongly magnetized with a Hall parameter \( h_e \sim 40 \) (corresponding to a gyroradius \( \rho_e = v_{th}/\Omega_e \sim 0.6 \) mm for \( T_e = 3 \) eV). Electrons are hence attached to a magnetic field line and slowly diffuse perpendicular to the latter through

---

**FIG. 3.** (a) Electron residence time \( \tau_e \) (blue solid line) inside the plasma of the linear device, volume averaged electron density \( n_e \) (in red) and (b) volume averaged negative ion density \( n_{H^-} \) (in blue) together with the current impacting the cylinder walls (including the end-plates) versus the end-plate bias voltage \( V_{ep} \).

**FIG. 4.** Normalized radial plasma potential profiles versus the end-plate bias voltage for the conditions of fig. 1. The floating potential corresponds to a voltage of \( \sim -14V \). The normalisation factor \( \phi_m \) is equal to 4.1V for a bias voltage of \(-5V, -0.4V \) for a floating end-plate, \(-3.4V \) and lastly \(-7.7V \) for end-plate potentials of \(-20V \) and \(-25V \), respectively. The potential is somewhat uniform inside the quasi-neutral plasma region in the last two cases, with variations below 0.1V in the calculation.
collisions against ions (Coulomb) and neutrals (the ionisation fraction being less than 1% in the model). The magnetic field lines are short-circuited by the end-plates and furthermore electrons can gain energy axially, i.e., along (Oz), and hence escape across the plasma sheath in that direction. One way to reduce losses and consequently to lengthen the residence time of the electrons is to bias negatively the end-plates such as to increase the height of the sheath potential drop. This is shown in fig. 3 where the average electron residence time $\tau_e$ is increased by a factor $\sim 10$ when the end-plate voltage varies from $V_{ep} = 0V$ to $-20V$ while the average electron density increases from $\langle n_p \rangle \approx 1.7 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-3}$ to $6 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-3}$ (2.4 kW absorbed power), respectively. The simulation parameters are provided in fig. 1 together with a numerical resolution of $256^2$ grid nodes, 40 ppc, a heating frequency $\nu_h = 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a scaling factor $\alpha = 3200$. $\tau_e$ is calculated by integrating the electron continuity equation over the plasma volume (assuming steady-state conditions), that is,

$$I_w = \int_S en_e u_e dS = \int_V en_e \nu_i dV,$$

where $I_w$ is the electron current impacting the device walls, $S$ is the corresponding wall surface, $u_e$ the electron velocity at the wall, $V$ the plasma volume and $\nu_i$ the net electron production frequency inside the plasma (which accounts for the balance between ionisation and losses). Further assuming that $\tau_e = \nu_i^{-1}$ is constant over the entire volume, one get,

$$\tau_e = \frac{e\pi R^2 L_z \langle n_e \rangle}{I_w}$$

with,

$$\langle n_e \rangle = (\pi R^2 L_z)^{-1} \int_V n_e dV.$$

Forcing electrons to diffuse across the magnetic field lines and to be lost on the linear device cylinder walls instead of on the end-plates has an impact on the plasma potential profile as shown in fig. 4. The height of the plasma potential decreases when the end-plate bias voltage is increased (in absolute value). The potential profile eventually becomes a well for the ions (it has a u-shape); the transition occurring when the end-plates are floating in the model. Positive ions are then lost on the end-plates because the depth of the potential well largely exceeds the ion temperature. The volume averaged electron density as well as the electron current impacting the device walls are saturating when the end-plates’ bias voltage is greater than a couple of times the electron temperature (in electron-Volts) as shown in fig. 3(a) and (b).

The electron residence time is correlated with the probability of generating a negative ion via the dissociative attachment of the hydrogen molecule by electron impacts. The longer the electrons stay in the plasma, the higher the negative ion production yield. Figure 5 shows the negative ion current lost in volume by collisions (reaction #16 of table I, #10, 11, 15 and 16 of table II, respectively) and on the walls of the device (including the end-plates). The production rate is the sum of the two currents. The total negative ion current jumps from $\sim 2A$ for end-plates at ground potential up to $\sim 10A$ for $-20V$, respectively, which is the maximum. For comparison the discharge current is $\sim 12A$ (summed over the positive ion currents) and the electron current lost on the walls is $\sim 7.5A$ for the same bias ($-20V$), indicating that the negative ion yield may be substantial. Note that this does not translate into a large negative ion density because a large fraction of these ions is destroyed through collisions with electrons and neutrals. As a consequence, negative ion losses inside the plasma volume amount to more than 50% of the production rate regardless of the value of the end-plate bias voltage as shown in fig. 5. This is a disadvantage of volume production of negative ions compared with surface production on the extractor electrodes. The ion to electron volume averaged density ratio $\langle n_{H^-} \rangle / \langle n_e \rangle$ is less than 10% in the calculations (see fig. 3). Furthermore, the plasma potential confines negative charges for...
configurations where the end-plate voltage lies between ground potential and floating conditions (fig. 4) but beyond that point, the potential accelerates negative ions radially. The latter hence increasingly convey the negative charges toward the cylinder wall, which is correlated in turn with a decrease of both the losses in volume and the negative ion density (see fig. 3). Note that there aren’t any negative ion losses on the end-plates.

The model is simulating plasma densities of $\sim 5 \times 10^{17} \text{m}^{-3}$ on average with a plasma sheath larger than real due to the implementation of a scaling factor $\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon^*}{\varepsilon_0}$ in order to artificially increase the size of the electron Debye length and hence reduce the number of grid nodes. Figure 6 shows the plasma potential profile versus a numerical resolution of $256^2$ and $512^2$ nodes corresponding to a factor $\alpha = 800$ and 3200, respectively. In both cases, the potential well is accurately reproduced with a similar width (defined as the cylinder radius minus the plasma sheath length) and depth. Lastly, an a-posteriori verification of the EEDF shows that the numerical technique simulating the RF power absorption by the macro-electrons in the model generates a local Maxwellian distribution. A detailed inclusion of the RF-plasma coupling dynamics might result in a non-Maxwellian EEDF which will affect the inelastic collision rates, impacting in turn the dissociative attachment and hence the negative ion density but the conclusions derived in this work from the parameter scans will remain identical. Figure 7 shows the EEDF in both the region of power absorption and around the location where the negative ion density is maximum for the case of an end-plate bias voltage of $-8\text{V}$.

IV. COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTS ON THE RAID LINEAR DEVICE

Figure 8(a) plots the normalised electron density $n_e/n_{em}$, temperature $T_e/T_{em}$ and negative ion density $n_{H^-}/n_m$ profiles obtained with the 2.5D PIC-MCC model for the conditions of the experiments on the RAID testbed with $n_{em} = 1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{m}^{-3}$, $T_{em} = 5.2 \text{eV}$ and $n_m = 1.7 \times 10^{16} \text{m}^{-3}$, respectively. The absorbed power is assumed to be 3.1 kW with a Gaussian profile and a standard deviation of $\sigma_h = 1.5 \text{cm}$ (best fit in order to reproduce the experimental electron temperature profile). RAID has a 20 cm radius and a plasma column length $L_z = 1.5 \text{m}$. The calculation of fig. 8 corresponds to a magnetic field strength of 200G and end-plates at ground potential. The simulation parameters are a mesh of $512^2$ nodes, 100 ppc, a heating frequency $\nu_h = 10^8 \text{s}^{-1}$ and a scaling factor $\alpha = 3200$. The characteristics of the hydrogen gas are given in fig. 1. Furthermore, we fixed the proportion of $\text{H}_2$ which are vibrationally excited ($\nu \geq 4$) to 0.25% of the total density (which is an external parameter to the model) to approximately fit the peak value of the negative ion density observed in the experiments.

The electron density and temperature in the experiment are derived from axially movable Langmuir probe (LP) measurements calibrated with interferometry while the absolute negative ion density is obtained using Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy (CRDS) combined with LP-assisted laser photodetachment. The experimental electron and negative ion density radial profiles together with the electron temperature (dashed-lines) are shown in fig. 8(a) for comparison with the estimates from the 2.5D PIC-MCC calculation (solid lines) while the plasma potential is plotted in fig. 8(b). $n_{em} = 2 \times 10^{18} \text{m}^{-3}$, $T_{em} = 4.3 \text{eV}$, $n_m = 1.1 \times 10^{16} \text{m}^{-3}$ and the error bar for the plasma potential is $\pm 1\text{V}$ in the experiment. The model is hence capable of reproducing the general characteristics observed in the experiments, i.e., a similar amplitude and profile for the plasma parameters. In addition, the maximum plasma potential on axis is about 11.5V in the calculation compared to $\sim 12\text{V}$ for a radius $R < 6 \text{cm}$ in RAID. The negative ion density profile peaks in both cases in the area where the electron temperature drops to $\sim 1 \text{eV}$ and is significantly lower on the discharge axis. This indicates an annular profile similar to the one of fig. 2. Note that the ions are somewhat magnetized which results in the formation of rotating structures in the model in the area where the plasma pressure gradient is significant ($R < 6 \text{cm}$). A similar behaviour has been observed in the experiments on RAID but in Argon gas and for a magnetic field strength of 800G.

V. EFFECT OF THE ION MAGNETISATION ON THE PLASMA PROPERTIES

In this section, we analyse the incidence on the plasma characteristics of magnetising the positive ions. One obtains quiescent plasma conditions in the linear device model when the ions remain unmagnetized as shown...
in fig. 2. Increasing the strength of the magnetic field lengths the residence time of the electrons as their transverse mobility is lowered (the Hall parameter evolves from \( h_e \sim 40 \) to \( h_e \sim 160 \) between 100G and 400G, respectively). This in turn results in higher plasma densities (for the same absorbed power) and narrower plasma profiles. This is shown by comparing fig. 2 and fig. 9 which corresponds to identical simulations except the heating frequency \( ν \) varies linearly radially and is about 2 km/s for instance at mid-radius which is the same order of magnitude as the average ion velocity; the latter being defined as,

\[
u_i = \frac{\sum_{β} (n_β n_β)}{\sum_{β} n_β}
\]

where \( n_β \) is the \( β \) ion specie average velocity and \( n_β \) the corresponding density. The ions also rotate clockwise with a period of \( \sim 75 \mu s \) (13 kHz frequency). The entire plasma volume (except the sheath), including the rotating arm is quasi-neutral and hence the Debye length is not a critical parameter, justifying the use of a scaling factor for the vacuum permittivity in the model without any detrimental effects on the plasma dynamics. The diamagnetic drift velocity,

\[
v_Dβ = -\frac{\nabla P_β \times B}{qβ n_β B^2},
\]

leads to a counterclockwise rotation for the electrons (\( β \) corresponds to any charged particle species and \( q_β \) its respective charge). The radial electric field \( E_r \) is positive inside the bulk where the rotating arm is located while the pressure gradient is negative everywhere. The structure hence rotates azimuthally in the \( E \times B \) direction (taking \( B_z > 0 \)). The arm rotation appears to be approximately rigid, i.e., with the same frequency regardless of its radial location. The corresponding velocity hence varies linearly radially and is about 2 km/s for instance at mid-radius which is the same order of magnitude as the average ion velocity; the latter being defined as,

\[
u_i = \frac{\sum_{β} (n_β n_β)}{\sum_{β} n_β}
\]

where \( n_β \) is the \( β \) ion specie average velocity and \( n_β \) the corresponding density. The ions also rotate clockwise with a velocity profile comparable to the \( E \times B \) drift. Their respective root-mean-square (RMS) velocities, \( \sqrt{⟨u_i^2⟩} \) and \( \sqrt{⟨v_E^2⟩} \) calculated inside a disk of radius \( r \leq 4 \) cm and a center aligned with the discharge axis (in order to exclude the plasma sheath) are about 1 km/s in
both cases where

$$v_E = \frac{E \times B}{B^2}. \quad (13)$$

For comparison, the RMS proton sound speed $\sqrt{\langle u_B^2 \rangle} \approx 13$ km/s ($u_B = \sqrt{eT_e/m_i}$) and the RMS average electron velocity $\sqrt{\langle u^2 \rangle} \sim 18$ km/s. Figure 10 plots the rotating arm velocity together with the RMS ion and $E \times B$ drift velocities versus the polarisation of the end-plate bias plates. One observes that $\sqrt{\langle u_i^2 \rangle}$ and $\sqrt{\langle v^2_E \rangle}$ are similar and decrease for larger bias voltages (in absolute value) which is caused by a lower plasma potential amplitude as shown in fig. 4. The arm velocity is also decreasing but at a smaller pace with respect to the ion velocity. The detailed assessment of the physics leading to the formation of these large scale rotating structures and the associated modified plasma transport properties (notably the question of anomalous current) is left to future work. Note that we observe similar behaviours in electro-positive gases, which have also been detected experimentally$^{52,53}$, and hence the negative ions do not seem to be seeding these instabilities although they likely affect the latter (this is currently an open question). The negative ions have a ring-like density profile (fig. 9) which is also rotating but distorted by the varying electric field strength along their path. The electronegativity is $n_{i-}/n_e \sim 0.5$ near the peak indicating that the negative ions represent a significant fraction of the negative charges in that area.

VI. EXTRACTION OF NEGATIVE IONS

Figure 5 shows that negative ions are trapped inside the plasma volume and destroyed by collisions with charged particles and neutrals when the plasma potential amplitude is above the linear device cylinder wall voltage (which is at ground potential in the calculation). Biasing the end-plates negatively acts as a control knob changing the shape of the radial plasma potential profile such that negative ions may be directly extracted. The latter approach is not interesting for an ion source as the ion radial flux has a cylindrical symmetry. In a Neutral Beam Injector, one would need to extract the ions through slit or cylindrical apertures embedded on the cylinder surface and connected to an electrostatic accelerator in order to accelerate the particles to high energies (1 MeV in the case of ITER for instance). Note that a similar extraction scheme for negative ions produced in a linear device has been studied experimentally using $\text{SF}_6$ gas.$^{54}$ The numerical resolution of the PIC algorithm cannot implement the details of the aperture geometry. We therefore chose to model instead the impact on the negative ion dynamics of a small surface on the cylinder wall which is biased positively with respect to the plasma potential. This mimics the penetration of the accelerator extraction voltage inside the plasma, in the vicinity of the meniscus. The biased surface has a width of 1 cm in the model and a voltage of 5V. The numerical resolution is $1024^2$ grid nodes. $j_{i-} \sim 35 \text{ A/m}^2$ on the slit aperture.
culation is about 100 µm and the time step ∆t ∼ 50 ps, respectively. Figure 11 plots the 2D plasma potential profile inside the linear device together with the negative ion current density. The plasma potential increases sharply near the biased slit as expected (RHS of the plot) but there is also a minimum around mid-radius with respect to the amplitude on axis (∆φ ≃ 0.4V). This traps a sub-population of negative ions in that area which therefore cannot be extracted. The average negative ion current density on the slit aperture is j_{H^-} ≃ 35 A/m² (i.e., 7% of the negative ion current produced in the plasma volume), which is an order of magnitude lower than the ITER NBI requirement for instance. j_{H^-} is correlated with a maximum negative ion density of 4 × 10¹⁰ m⁻³ about 1.5 cm from the cylinder’s surface. The possibility to extract a larger current remains an open question as the negative ions are produced inside the plasma volume as opposed to the direct vicinity of the apertures where the ions are scattered off a cesiated surface after the impact of a neutral or a positive ion. The negative ion density profile peaks in the region where the electron temperature falls below 1 eV in the model. The location of the peak may hence be controlled and shifted toward the cylinder walls by modifying the extent of the power absorption region.

VII. CONCLUSION

We studied the feasibility of producing and extracting a hydrogen negative ion current generated inside the plasma volume of a linear device (potential increases relevant to the requirements of magnetic fusion Neutral Beam Injectors (NBI)). Linear devices are interesting configurations as the electrons are strongly magnetised with field lines aligned with the discharge axis. The large aspect ratio of the discharge (defined as the axial length over the cylinder radius) implies that electrons will oscillate between the end-plates located at the extremities of the cylinder and diffuse slowly across the magnetic field. The residence time of the electrons inside the ion source has an incidence on the production yield of the negative ions. Negative ions are produced inside the plasma in a two step process consisting of (i) an inner volume with an electron temperature between 5 and 10 eV where the hydrogen background gas is excited vibrationally and (ii), an outer volume, with a temperature below 1 eV where the negative ions are generated as a byproduct of the dissociative attachment of a hydrogen molecule due to a collision with an electron. We showed that biasing negatively the end-plates increases the electron residence time by an order of magnitude and as a consequence the negative ion density may be increased by a factor ∼ 3 for a given absorbed RF power. Biasing the end-plates negatively causes a reduction of the amplitude of the plasma potential which is a limiting factor for the negative ion density as the potential profile switches to a well when the end-plate voltage is such that one collects a higher ratio of positive ions compared to electrons. In that case, the plasma potential extracts radically the negative ions. One observes that the negative ion density has a ring-like shape with a maximum at the location where the electron temperature falls below 1 eV. This is consistent with experimental measurements on the RAID linear device. The position of the maximum may be moved by adjusting the radius of the power absorption region in the model. We simulated the extraction of negative ions by biasing positively (+5V) a surface on the cylinder wall with a width of 1 cm. The extracted ions amounted to about 7% of the total current produced in the source volume but this remained about an order of magnitude lower than the value fulfilling the requirement for the ITER NBI for instance (which is ∼ 250 A/m²). To which extent increasing the helicon power is correlated also with a larger negative ion density in the experiments (before reaching any saturation mechanisms induced among others by neutral depletion in low pressure conditions, physical-chemistry, etc.) remains an open question. Lastly, large scale rotating structures appear when the ions become somewhat magnetised. These instabilities rotate at a frequency between 13 and 29 kHz in the calculation. The latter increases in correlation with a larger plasma potential amplitude suggesting a relationship with the E × B drift and hence the ion velocity. The detailed understanding of the physical mechanisms seeding these instabilities is left to future work.
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