

Substituent effects on the photophysical properties of 2,9-substituted phenanthroline copper(I) complexes: a theoretical investigation

Christophe Gourlaouen, Keiko Takano, Ai Hamano, Chantal Daniel

▶ To cite this version:

Christophe Gourlaouen, Keiko Takano, Ai Hamano, Chantal Daniel. Substituent effects on the photophysical properties of 2,9-substituted phenanthroline copper(I) complexes: a theoretical investigation. ChemPhysChem, 2021, 22, pp.509-515. 10.1002/cphc.202000868 . hal-03034539

HAL Id: hal-03034539 https://hal.science/hal-03034539

Submitted on 2 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Substituent effects on the photophysical properties of 2,9substituted phenanthroline copper(I) complexes: a theoretical investigation

Christophe Gourlaouen,*^[a] Ai Hamano,^[a,b] Keiko Takano,^[b] Chantal Daniel*^[a]

This article is dedicated to the scientific career of our colleague Dr Olivier Poizat, CNRS researcher, for his outstanding contribution to excited-state relaxation dynamics

-	
[a]	Dr C. Gourlaouen and Dr C. Daniel
	Laboratoire de Chimie Quantique Institute of Chemistry UMR 7177
	CNRS/ Strasbourg University
	ILB, 4 Rue Blaise Pascal 67008 Strasbourg Cedex, France
	E-mail: gourlaouen@unistra.fr; c.daniel@unistra.fr
[b]	Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Graduate School of Humanities and Sciences
	Ochanomizu University
	2-1-1 Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: The electronic and nuclear structures of a series of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ copper(I) complexes (phen = 1.10phenanthroline; X = H, F, Cl, Br, I, Me, CN) in their ground and excited states is investigated by means of density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent (TD-DFT) methods. Subsequent Born-Oppenheimer molecular dynamics is used for exploring the T₁ potential energy surface (PES). The T₁ and S₁ energy profiles, which connect the degenerate minima induced by ligand flattening and Cu-N bond symmetry breaking when exciting the molecule are calculated as well as transition state (TS) structures and related energy barriers. Three nuclear motions drive the photophysics, namely the coordination sphere asymmetric breathing, the welldocumented pseudo Jahn-Teller (PJT) distortion and the bending of the phen ligands. This theoretical study reveals the limit of the static picture based on potential energy surfaces minima and transition states for interpreting the luminescent and TADF properties of this class of molecules. Whereas minor asymmetric Cu-N bonds breathing accompanies the metal-to-ligand-charge-transfer relocalization over one or the other phen ligand, the three nuclear movements participate to the flattening of the electronically excited complexes. This leads to negligible energy barriers whatever the ligand X for the first process and significant ligand dependent energy barriers for the formation of the flattened conformers. Born-Oppenheimer (BO) dynamics simulation of the structural evolution on the T1 PES over 11 ps at 300 K confirms the fast backwards and forwards motion of the phenanthroline within 200-300 fs period and corroborates the presence of metastable C₂ structures.

Introduction

Copper(I) complexes with chelating diimine ligands challenge $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2^+}$ complexes in terms of absorption wavelength, photoluminescence and redox properties.^[1] decades of synthetic breakthrough for the improvement of luminescence quantum yields and emission lifetimes of Cu(I)-bis(phenanthroline) complexes it appeared that steric hindrance around the chelating nitrogen atoms of the diimine ligands significantly

affects the photophysics of this class of molecules.^[2] The presence of alkyl chains or aromatic groups at the 2 an 9 positions of the phenanthrolines clearly impacts the luminescence properties.^{[1a,b,c],[2a],[3]} Replacing the methyl groups of $[Cu(dmp)_2]^+$ (dmp = 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline) by bulky substituents may increase the quantum yields by two orders of magnitude and the emission lifetimes from a few nanoseconds (ns) to a few microseconds (µs). Whereas the steric effects are largely documented, the electronic influence of the substituents on the photophysics is less known. In particular, electron withdrawing vs. electron donating ligands while preserving the same steric constraints in the excited states may alter NIR luminescence properties in less crowded Cu(I) complexes as exemplified for the recently synthesized series $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ (X= CI, Br, I) as compared to $[Cu(dmp)_2]^+$.^[4] Ultrafast transient absorption and temperature dependent steady-state fluorescence spectroscopies combined with computational chemistry pointed to very similar behavior of the three complexes as far as $S_n \rightarrow S_1$ sub-ps decay, absorption, and redox properties are concerned. All three complexes are luminescent at room temperature indicating that the X substituents are bulky enough for preventing interactions with solvent molecules or between excited state species. However photoluminescence efficiency as well as its dependence on the temperature is strongly determined by the nature of X with a thermally activated delay fluorescence TADF behavior for X = CI, Br and an anti-TADF behavior for X = I for which an increase of emission quantum yield is observed when decreasing the temperature. The singlet-triplet energy gap ΔE_{S1-T1} , spin-orbit coupling (SOC) together with the computed potential energy profiles that connect the S1 and T1 excited state structures starting from the initial D_{2d} tetrahedral conformation at Franck-Condon to the quasi-square planar configuration D₂ promoted by pseudo-Jahn-Teller (PJT) distortion and to further symmetry reduced C2 structures associated to Cu-N breathing and electronic re-localization give some clue about the mechanism behind the observed photophysics.^[4] Nevertheless, in order to improve our understanding it is required to determine the transition states (TS) that connect the different conformers and

to compute the Gibbs free energy profiles. This is the purpose of the present study that extends our previous investigation from the halogen substituted complexes to $[Cu(phen)_2]^+$, $[Cu(dmp)_2]^+$ and $[Cu(2,9-(CN)_2-phen)_2]^+$ for comparison and does not consider early time (sub-ps) dynamical effects investigated both experimentally^[5] and theoretically.^[6]

Results and Discussion

Structures and potential energy profiles. The general structure of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ in the S₀ closed shell electronic ground state of D_{2d} symmetry is depicted in Scheme 1 where C α is the carbon atom used as reference for the definition of important geometrical parameters and A, B are the center of the phenyl rings.



Scheme 1. General structure of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ in the S₀ electronic ground state. C α is the carbon atom used as reference for the definition of important geometrical parameters and A, B are the centre of the phenyl rings.

The four Cu-N bonds lengths are identical varying from 2.07 Å (X = Me) to 2.08 Å (X = H) (Table S1, SI section) with the A-Cu-B bond and C α -A-B-C α tetrahedral angles of 180° and 90°, respectively. In the absence of external perturbation (solvent, excimers), of asymmetric ligands or ligands interactions this highly symmetric structure is conserved with two degenerate HOMO and two degenerate LUMO orbitals (Scheme 2). The LUMO of e symmetry are followed by two orbitals of a2 and b1 symmetry. A noticeable exception is the cyano complex for which the orbital order of the two sets of LUMO is reversed, a2 and b1 orbital becoming now the LUMOs (Scheme S1, SI section) due to the significant electronic contribution of the cyano groups. In the other [Cu(2,9-(X)₂-phen)₂]⁺ complexes the electronic contribution of the X groups to these orbitals is very small.



Scheme 2. HOMO (left) and LUMO (right) of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^*$ (X \neq CN) in the S₀ electronic ground state.

The potential energy surfaces (PES) associated to metal-toligand-charge-transfer (MLCT) S₁ and T₁ excited states are characterized by several minima generated by symmetry breaking. Indeed, the partial depopulation of d_{Cu} orbitals correlates with the flattening (Scheme 3), namely a decrease of $C\alpha$ -A-B-C α and a lowering of symmetry to D_2 that generates two minima in all complexes. This nuclear relaxation may be supplemented by an electronic re-localization on one or the other phenanthroline (Scheme 3) accompanied by Cu-N bond breathing leading to C2 symmetry breaking generating two minima in most complexes, except in the hydride and cyano substituted ones. Consequently, the halide and methyl substituted complexes in their S1 and T1 excited states PES are characterized by four degenerate minima whereas the PES of the hydride and cyano substituted molecules possess two The C₂ minima can be paired following exciton mimina. localization (scheme 3 bottom) in the same flattening orientation. These pairs are connected by TS_A (Figure 1) in which the exciton is delocalized over the two ligands while the complex remains flat. TS_A is of higher D₂ point group and is associated to the Cu-N bond breathing. The minima can also be paired by different flattening orientation (scheme 3, top), the exciton remaining localized on the same ligand. These pairs are connected by TS_B, which is associated to the A-Cu-B angle bending combined with the torsion along the Ca-A-B-Ca dihedral angle.



Scheme 3. (top) Flattening of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ (side view). The centre structure corresponds to the S_0 electronic ground state. The S_1 and T_1 excited states can adopt either the left or the right structures. (bottom) Electron density differences between the S_0 electronic ground states and the lowest S_1/T_1 excited states associated to the flattened degenerate structures (in red: reduction, in green: augmentation).

The three relevant geometrical parameters of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^*$, Cu-N, A-Cu-B and C α -A-B-C α optimized for the T₁ PES minima and TS structures that connect them are reported in Table 1 whereas the values associated to the S₁ PES can be found in Table S1 (SI section). The structure and energy determinations in T₁ have been performed both with Gaussian ^[16] (Δ SCF) and ADF^[17] (TD-DFT), whereas the S₁ singlet structures were determined with ADF at the TD-DFT level starting form the frequency checked structures in T₁. Both methods give similar geometrical characteristics for T₁ (Table S1,

SI section). The C α -A-B-C α dihedral angle measuring the flattening is evaluated below 50° in the hydride and fluoride substituted complexes, with increasing values following the steric influence calculated at 65.1° and 68.9° at the T₁ and S₁ state minima, respectively of [Cu(dmp)₂]⁺. These values agree with the crystallographic data currently reported for this molecule $(68^{\circ}-88^{\circ})^{[7]}$ as well as with theoretical results reported for S₁ (~ 70°).^[6b, 6c]

1). The calculated T₁ transition state potential energy (ΔE) and Gibbs free energy (ΔG) differences are reported in Table 2. The values calculated for the S₁ excited state are reported in Table S2 (SI section).

Table 2. Calculated transition state T_1 potential energy (ΔE) and Gibbs free energy (ΔG) differences in kcal mol⁻¹ computed with Gaussian [Ref. 16].

X ^a		Cu-N			A-Cu-B		С	α-A-B-C	α
	min	TS_{A}	TS_{B}	min	TSA	TS_{B}	min	TS_{A}	TS_{B}
н		1.99 1.99 1.99 1.99	1.94 1.94 2.12 2.12		180.0	161.1		41.5	90.0
F	1.98 1.98 2.02 2.02	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	1.94 1.95 2.12 2.12	180.0	180.0	160.2	48.4	48.0	90.0
CI	1.97 1.97 2.04 2.04	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	1.94 1.95 2.12 2.12	180.0	180.0	163.2	61.3	60.5	90.0
Br	1.97 1.97 2.04 2.04	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	1.93 1.95 2.12 2.12	180.0	180.0	165.5	64.4	64.1	90.0
I	1.97 1.97 2.05 2.05	1.99 2.01 1.99 2.01	1.92 1.96 2.12 2.12	180.0	177.9	169.4	68.6	69.2	90.0
Ме	1.97 1.97 2.03 2.03	1.99 1.99 1.99 1.99	1.94 1.94 2.11 2.11	180.0	180.0	179.1	65.0	62.7	90.0
CN		2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	1.92 1.97 2.12 2.12		180.0	159.9		56.6	90.0

^a For X = H and CN T₁ minimum keeps the D₂ symmetry (real frequencies) and for X = I the TS_A structure keeps the C₂ symmetry the D₂ converged structure being a 2^{nd} -order saddle point (2 imaginary frequencies).

The TS_A transition state characterized by frequency analysis corresponds to the electronic de-localization upon excitation on one or the other phenanthroline and is of D₂ symmetry in all complexes, except for X= I for which the structure converges to C₂ by symmetry breaking due to A-Cu-B bending (177.8° vs. 180.0°) (Table 1). The TS_B transition state connects the two C₂ flattened structures (Scheme 3). Its optimization leads to C_s structures in all complexes characterized by a small A-Cu-B angle bending (high order saddle point with several imaginary frequencies) and a tetrahedral angle C α -A-B-C α of 90.0° (Table

		TS _A		TS _B
х	ΔE	ΔG	ΔE	ΔG
н	-	-	13.4	12.6
F	0.2	-0.9	10.0	8.7
CI	0.6	-0.3	5.4	5.0
Br	1.0	-0.2	4.3	3.7
I	1.0	-0.7	2.4	1.3
Me	0.5	-0.4	4.7	3.7
CN	-	-	8.6	7.3

The general potential energy profiles associated to the S₁ and T₁ states and connecting C_2 degenerate minima are depicted in Figure 1.





The calculated energy barriers associated to TS_A are negligible whatever the state is T_1 (Table 2) or S_1 (Table S2, SI section) nearly vanishing with entropy as illustrated for T_1 (Table 2). This corroborates the hypothesis of Tahara et al.^[5a-c,5e] of a metastable precursor state with shallow minima generated by PJT instability. In all complexes the only significant geometry changes are the expected Cu-N bonds breathing with length variations less than 0.06 Å accompanied by a minor A-Cu-B bending for the iodide complex (Table 1 and Table S1, SI section).

The calculated energy barriers correlated to TS_B and connecting the $T_1 C_2$ flattened structures vary strongly with the X substituent from $\Delta G = 12.6$ kcal mol⁻¹ (X =H) to $\Delta G = 1.3$ kcal mol⁻¹ (X = I).

The bending of the A-Cu-B angle (~ 20°) is mainly controlled by steric effects and follows the predictable trends with larger angular deformations in F, H and CN that decrease within the halide series from I to F. This geometry change is accompanied by the shortening/lengthening of the Cu-N bond lengths.

The Gibbs free energy is not accessible for S_1 because of TD-DFT based computations. However, comparison between TS_B potential energy barriers ΔE calculated for T_1 and S_1 at the TD-DFT level (Table 3) points to similar values for the hydride (14.2 vs. 13.3 kcal mol⁻¹) substituted complex and significantly lower barriers in S_1 for the other molecules comprised between 7.0 kcal mol⁻¹ (vs. 10.0 kcal mol⁻¹ in T_1) in the fluoride complex and 1.0 kcal mol⁻¹ (vs. 3.1 kcal mol⁻¹ in T_1) in the iodide one. The largest difference occurs in the CN substituted complex with a calculated barrier of 2.3 kcal mol⁻¹ in S_1 and 10.0 kcal mol⁻¹ in T_1 .

The specific electronic behaviour of the cyano-substituted complex is due to the occurrence of inter-ligand and X/Cu interactions in the TS_B structures. These interactions together with the A-Cu-B angle bending lead to opposite polarisations of the electronic densities associated to the S₁ and T₁ excited states (Figure S3, SI section). This effect is minor in all complexes except for [Cu(2,9-(CN)₂-phen)₂]⁺ (Figure 2) for which this phenomena generates a significantly higher TS_B barrier in T₁.



Figure 2. Electron density difference between ground and excited state in $[Cu(2,9-(CN)_2-phen)_2]^+$ complex at TS_B geometry in S₁ (left) and T₁ (right) states (In red: reduction; in green: augmentation).

Table 3. TD-DFT calculated transition state (TS_A and TS_B) potential energy (ΔE) differences in kcal mol⁻¹ along the T₁ and S₁ potential energy profile computed with ADF [Ref. 17].

		T_1		S ₁
х	TS_{A}	TS_{B}	TS _A	TS_{B}
н	-	14.4	0.3	13.3
F	0.5	10.0	1.6	7.0
CI	1.0	5.8	2.0	2.6
Br	1.0	4.9	2.3	2.2
I	1.4	3.1	2.1	1.0
Ме	0.8	6.1	2.5	2.7
CN	-	10.0	0.6	2.3

Accordingly, the potential energy profiles associated to the S_1 and T_1 excited states of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ (Figure 1) run more or less in parallel with more shallow minima in S_1 where

both TS_A and TS_B are characterized by nearly negligible energy barriers except for [Cu(2,9-(H)₂-phen)₂]⁺ and [Cu(2,9-(F)₂phen)2]⁺ in which the inter-ligand and Cu/X interactions discussed above are almost absent. The shape of the potential energy profiles corroborates the early time dynamics (sub-ps) discussed both experimentally^[5] and theoretically^[6] for the $[Cu(dmp)_2]^+$ complex, namely a two time-constant kinetics τ_1 = 100-800 fs corresponding to the phen distortion, most probably occurring along the S₁ potential energy profile and τ_2 = 7.4-15 ps associated to the triplet state population. Quantum dynamics simulations performed on [Cu(dmp)2]⁺ have shown that the flattening of the ligands associated to the PJT distortion enters into competition with sub-ps intersystem crossing to T1.[6a] From the energetics and SOC reported in the next section we might infer a spin-vibronic mechanism of ultra-fast decay with vibronic coupling emerging from active dominant normal modes along which S1/T1 crossings are generated. Direct quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) Born-Oppenheimer (BO) simulations in acetonitrile point to an early time (~ 110 fs) dynamics, not very sensitive to the solvent, whereas at longer time-scale (~ 1.2 ps) interplay between solvent molecules and flattening process does occur.[6c]

 $\textbf{S}_1\textbf{-}\textbf{T}_1$ energy gap, spin-orbit coupling and luminescence properties. In order to obtain quantitative data for the prediction of luminescence and TADF properties of the Cu(I) phensubstituted investigated complexes we have computed at the TD-DFT level the ΔE_{S1-T1} singlet/triplet energy splitting, the S_1/T_1 SOC and the emission wavelengths at the T_1 critical structures (C₂, TS_A, TS_B). The emission wavelengths and associated oscillator strengths at the S_1 critical structures (C₂, TS_A, TS_B) have been calculated as well and reported in Table 4.

Table 4. TD-DFT calculated ΔE_{S1-T1} (in eV), SOC (in cm⁻¹), λ^{em} (in nm) and oscillator strengths *f* associated to the T₁ and S₁ C₂, TS_A and TS_B critical structures depicted in Figure 1. (Computation with ADF [Ref. 17].

	Critical Structure	Opt- Struct	T₁ ure			
х		ΔE_{S1-T1}	SOC	λ^{em}	λ^{em}	f
Н	C_2	0.46	26	1746	1725	3.5 10 ⁻⁵
	TS _A	0.47	11	1532	1697	0.0
	TS _B	0.17	0.1	741	668	3.8 10 ⁻⁶
F	C ₂	0.39	38	1057	924	7.1 10 ⁻³
	TS _A	0.38	2.0	961	905	0.0
	TS _B	0.08	0.7	653	620	2.3 10 ⁻⁶
CI	C_2	0.37	52	902	742	1.8 10 ⁻²
	TS _A	0.35	8.0	815	654	8.2 10 ⁻²
	TS _B	0.16	0.6	725	655	1.1 10 ⁻⁵
Br	C_2	0.35	53	870	726	1.9 10 ⁻²
	TS _A	0.33	7.0	789	650	7.3 10 ⁻²
	TS _B	0.16	0.7	732	664	3.9 10 ⁻⁵
I	C₂	0.31	50	835	705	1.3 10 ⁻²
	TS _A	0.23	6.0	750	643	5.9 10 ⁻²
	TS _B	0.16	1.8	741	672	3.0 10 ⁻⁵
Me	C ₂	0.40	55	982	800	1.6 10 ⁻²
	TS _A	0.42	9.0	897	665	8.6 10 ⁻²

ARTICLE

	TS _B	0.17	0.2	737	665	1.7 10 ⁻⁶
CN	C2	0.43	2.0	1029	859	8.9 10 ⁻³
	TSA	0.44	0.7	1036	817	1.4 10 ⁻²
	TSB	0.17	0.6	706	654	3.7 10 ⁻⁵

As pointed out by previous studies flattening distortion significantly weakens SOC as illustrated by the values calculated at TS_A (Table 4).[^{5e-f]} Further distortion, as metal coordination breathing, results in SOC increase at the C2 minima (Figure 1) from 26 cm⁻¹ in the hydride complex until 55 cm⁻¹ in [Cu(dmp)₂]⁺ but remain negligible at the TS structures, especially at the TS_B one where S₁ and T₁ become close in energy (ΔE_{S1} - T_1 < 0.2 eV). In contrast, significantly larger S₁-T₁ energy gaps characterize the C₂ and TS_A structures. Oscillator strengths associated to the different structures in S₁ give an estimate of the S₀ electronic ground state coupling with S₁. For symmetry reasons f is small in the hydride and fluoride complexes, which possess structures close to the A forbidden symmetry of the D2 point group whereas the other complex structures converge to the B₃-like allowed symmetry. Consequently when moving freely on the flat S_1 PES the $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ complexes with X = CI, Br, Me, CN and I to a lesser extent, potentially fluoresce from the C₂ minima and TS_A structures at short time scales within 640-860 nm range. In the hydride and fluoride complexes the low probability of fluorescence combined with rather large TS_B energy barriers (Table 2 and 3), trapping events in the C2 minima are more likely with efficient transfer to the T1 PES by SOC. For these two complexes, either TADF mechanism is not favourable because of the rather large ΔE_{S1-T1} energy gap (Table 4) and high TS_B energy barriers on T_1 PES preventing any back ISC to S1, or it is inefficient because of the low fluorescence probability. In the other complexes (X = CI, Br, I, Me, CN) the close proximity of S₁ and T₁ at the TS_B structures may activate the early time population of T_1 by vibronic effects viewing the small values of SOC at these transition states leading to shortlived phosphorescence within 700-740 nm. At longer time scales, when the population of T_1 is substantial and because of the presence of non-negligible TS_B energy barriers on the T_1 PES, increasing temperature may have some effects on reverse ISC (r-ISC) for a TADF behaviour as recently shown for the chloride and bromide complexes.^[4] This back population to S₁ is probably driven by a spin-vibronic mechanism^[8] and lead to blue-shifted short-lived emission between 650-670 nm in agreement with most experimental data available on this class of Cu(I) complexes.^{[3a],[4],[9]} However the flat PES associated to [Cu(2,9- $(I)_2$ -phen $)_2$ ⁺ with nearly no energy barrier, especially on S₁ PES, explain undoubtedly an opposite thermal effect, namely an increase of luminescence together with a small red-shift by 20 nm when decreasing the temperature, correlated to an "anti-TADF" mechanism.^[4] Indeed, whatever the temperature is, the system will move freely on the S1 and T1 PES increasing the contribution of the weakly S1/S0 coupled TSB conformation to the average structure resulting in inefficient TADF.

Born-Oppenheimer dynamics on the T₁ **PES of [Cu(2,9-(Br)**₂**phen**)₂]⁺. The evolutions of the Cu-A, Cu-B distances and C α -A-B-C α angle in the bromide substituted complex as function of time over 11 ps at 300 K (NVT ensemble) are depicted in Figure 3 and Figures S4, S5 (SI section).



Figure 3. Evolution of the Cu-A and Cu-B distances in the 5000 to 6000 fs window. See Figure S5 for the complete dynamics.

Despite an aborted attempt at about 1.5 ps no exchange between the flattened conformers is observed (Figure S4). In contrast and as expected from the low TS_A energy barriers rather fast dynamics characterize the Cu-A and Cu-B distance variations (Figure S5). Figure 3 represents a zoom within the 5ps - 6 ps time window that put in evidence the backwards and forwards motion of the phenanthroline ligands within a period of 200-300 fs. This confirms the metastable character of the C_2 structures.

Conclusion

The phenanthroline substituent effects on the nuclear motions that control the photophysics of [Cu(2,9-(X)₂-phen)₂]⁺ complexes beyond picosecond timescale are analyzed on the basis of potential energy profiles (S_1 and T_1) and Gibbs energy profiles (T₁) that connect the C₂ minima generated by electronic charge transfer on one or the other phananthroline and by the flattening distortion. The static picture points to nearly flat PES for the first process (TS_A) whereas significant and substituent dependent energy barriers characterize the flattening (TS_B) of the molecules upon excitation into the MLCT band. Subsequent BO dynamics on T1 confirm an ultrafast free backwards and forwards motion of the phenanthroline ligands within a period of 200-300 fs. This indicates that the TSA structure is statistically more representative than the C2 conformations and more relevant for contributing to the emission properties of the complexes. Depending on the molecule, similar assumption can be made with the TS_B structure.

Further analysis based on SOC, S_1/T_1 energy gaps and oscillator strengths put in evidence similar behaviors for halide-(X= Cl, Br, I), methyl- and cyano-phenanthroline substituted complexes, namely potential fluorescence from C₂ and TS_A minima at short time scales within 640-860 nm followed by early time population of T₁ by vibronic effects leading to short-lived phosphorescence within 700-740 nm. At longer time scales, when the population of T₁ is significant, the presence of nonnegligible TS_B energy barriers on the T₁ PES makes the temperature activation of r-ISC suitable for a TADF behavior leading to blue-shifted short-lived emission between 650-670 nm. This process appears to be less efficient for the iodide substituted compound because of weakly coupled S₁/S₀ states at regions of the PES predominantly visited because of their exceptional flatness. In contrast, the hydride and fluoride complexes get trapped into the C₂ minima and subsequently to T₁ because of low S₁/S₀ coupling and rather large TS_B energy barriers on S₁. For these two complexes TADF mechanism is not favorable and prevented by rather large ΔE_{S1-T1} energy gap and high TS_B energy barriers on T₁.

Further simulations based on non-adiabatic quantum dynamics should put in evidence spin-vibronic effects that could involve upper excited states to bridge the gap between the nearly static analyses performed on S_1/T_1 only and modeling of S_n to S_1 ultrafast decay.

Computational Details

A first series of DFT/B3LYP^[10] optimizations of the S_0 , S_1 and T_1 minima and TS connecting them have been performed in dichloromethane (DCM) within the polarized continuum model (PCM)^[11] using def2-TZVP sets for all atoms^[12] and associated pseudopotential for iodine. Dispersion forces were introduced through the empirical GD3 correction. ^[13] The critical geometries were validated by frequency analysis within the harmonic approximation whereas symmetry has been taken into account under D₂, C₂ and C_s point group constraints. Non-covalent interactions were studied by mean of NCIPLOT package.^[14] A second series of TD-DFT(B3LYP) calculations using triple-c basis sets^[15] and more specifically dedicated to S₁ potential energy profile, wavelengths of emission, spin-orbit couplings and S_1 - T_1 energy differences has been performed in the same conditions (solvent, dispersion correction, symmetry constraints). The electronic structure calculations have been carried out with Gaussian09^[16] and ADF2019^[17] quantum chemistry softwares. Born-Oppenheimer dynamic has been performed on the $[Cu(2,9-(Br)_2-phen)_2]^+$ complex using CP2K package.^[18] The simulation was run in NVT ensemble at 300 K at DFT level of theory using the PBE functional^[19] with DFTD3 dispersion correction.^[13] The simulation was done in gas phase in a cubic cell with A, B and C cell vectors of 28 Å. Thermostat was controlled through a CSVR thermostat. The simulation has been performed on the T₁ PES with a time step of 1 fs for 11 ps after an equilibration run of 5 ps.

Keywords: Copper(I) phenanthroline • Excited state structure• Free energy profile • Density functional theory • Substituent effects

- a) N. Armaroli, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2001, *30*, 113-124; b) N. Armaroli, G. Accorsi, F. Cardinali, A. Listorti, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2007, *280*, 69-115;
 (c) M. Ruthkosky, C. A. Kelly, F. N. Castellano, G. J. Meyer, *Chem. Rev.* 1998, *171*, 309-322; (d) J. M. Kern, J. -P. Sauvage, *J. Chem. Soc. Commun.* 1987,546-548.
- [2] (a) M. K. Eggleston, D. R. McMillin, K. S. Koenig, A. J. Pallenberg, *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 172-176; (b) C. T. Cunningham, K. L. H. Cunningham, J. F. Michalec, D. R. McMillin, *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 4388-4392.
- (a) S. Garakyaraghi, P. D. Crapps, C. E. McCusker, F. N. Castellano, *Inorg. Chem.* 2016, 55, 10628-10636; (b) C. E. McCusker, F. N. Castellano, *Inorg. Chem.* 2013, 52, 8114-8120; (c) M. W. Mara, K. A. fransted, L. X. Chen, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2015, 282-283, 2-18; (d) A. Lavie-Cambot, M. Cantuel, Y. Leydet, G. Jonusauskas, D. M. Bassani, N. D. McClenaghan, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2008, 252, 2572-2584.

- [4] S. Brown-Xu, M. Fumanal, C. Gourlaouen, L. Gimeno, A. Quatela, C. Thobie-Gauthier, E. Blart, A. Planchat, F. Riobé, C. Monnereau, L. X. Chen, C. Daniel, Y. Pellegrin, *Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, *58*, 7730-7745.
- [5] (a) M. Iwamura, H. Watanabe, K. Ishii, S. Takeuchi, T. Tahara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133*, 7728-7736; (b) M. Iwamura, S. Takeuchi, T. Tahara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 5248-5256; (c) M. Iwamura, S. Takeuchi, T. Tahara, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *16*, 4143-5154; (d) S. Garakyaraghi, E. O. Danilov, C. E. McCusker, F. N. Castellano, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2015, *119*, 3181-3193; (e) M. Iwamura, S. Takeuchi, T. Tahara, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, *48*, 782-791; (f) G. B. Shaw, C. D. grant, H. Shirota, E. W. Castner, G. J. Meyer, L. X. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 2147-2160; (g) L. X. Chen, G. B. Shaw, I. Novozhilova, T. Liu, G. Jennings, K. Attenkofer, G. J. Meyer, P. Coppens, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, *125*, 7022-7034.
- (a) G. Capano, M. Chergui, U. Rothlisberger, I. Tavernelli; T. J. Penfold, J. Phys. Chem. A 2014, 118, 9861-9869; (b) L. Du, Z. Lan, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2016, 18, 7641-7650; (c) G. Levi, E. Biasin, A. O. Dohn, H. Jonsson, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2020, 22, 748-757.
- [7] C. T. Cunningham, J. J. More, K. L. H. Cunningham, P. E. Fanwick, D. R. McMillin, *Inorg. Chem.* 2000, 39, 3638-3644; (b) W. L. Parker, G. A. Crosby, *J. Phys. Chem.* 1989, 93, 5692-5696; (c) A. J. Blake, S. J. Hill, P. Hubberstey, W. -S. Li, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1998, 909-916; (d) W. T. Eckenhoff, T. Pintauer, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online* 2007, 63, m800-m802.
- [8] T. J. Penfold, E. Gindensperger, C. Daniel, C. M. Marian, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 6975-7625.
- (a) J. R. Kirchhoff, R. E. Gamache, M. W. Blaskie, A. A. Del Pagio, R. K. Lengel, D. R. McMillin, *Inorg. Chem.* **1983**, *22*, 2380-2384; (b) R. M. Everly, D. R. McMillin, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1991**, *95*, 9071-9075; (c) D. felder, J. -F. Nierengarten, F. Barigelletti, B. Ventura, N. Armaroli, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 6291-6299.
- [10] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
- [11] S. Miertuš, E. Scrocco, J. Tomasi, *Chem. Phys.* **1981**, *55*, 117-29.
- [12] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297-305.
- [13] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehelich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.
- [14] J. Contreras-Garcia, E. Johnson, S. Keinan, R. Chaudret, J-P Piquemal, D. Beratan, W. Yang, *J. Chem. Theor. Comp.* 2011, 7, 625-632.
- [15] E. van Lenthe, E.J. Baerends, *J. of Comput. Chem.* **2003**, *24*, 1142 -1156.
- [16] Gaussian 09. Revision A.02. M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- [17] ADF 2019.3, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, <u>http://www.scm.com</u>. Optionally, you may add the following list of authors and contributors: E.J. Baerends, T. Ziegler, A.J. Atkins, J. Autschbach, O. Baseggio, D. Bashford, A. Bérces, F.M. Bickelhaupt, C. Bo, P.M. Boerrigter, L. Cavallo, C. Daul, D.P. Chong, D.V. Chulhai, L. Deng, R.M. Dickson, J.M. Dieterich, D.E. Ellis, M. van Faassen, L. Fan, T.H. Fischer, A. Förster, C. Fonseca Guerra, M. Franchini, A. Ghysels, A. Giammona, S.J.A. van Gisbergen, A. Goez, A.W. Götz, J.A. Groeneveld, O.V. Gritsenko, M. Grüning, S. Gusarov, F.E. Harris, P. van den Hoek, Z. Hu, C.R. Jacob, H. Jacobsen, L. Jensen, L. Joubert, J.W. Kaminski, G. van Kessel, C. König, F. Kootstra, A. Kovalenko, M.V. Krykunov, E. van Lenthe, D.A. McCormack, A. Michalak, M. Mitoraj, S.M. Morton, J. Neugebauer, V.P.

Nicu, L. Noodleman, V.P. Osinga, S. Patchkovskii, M. Pavanello, C.A.
Peeples, P.H.T. Philipsen, D. Post, C.C. Pye, H. Ramanantoanina, P.
Ramos, W. Ravenek, J.I. Rodríguez, P. Ros, R. Rüger, P.R.T. Schipper,
D. Schlüns, H. van Schoot, G. Schreckenbach, J.S. Seldenthuis, M.
Seth, J.G. Snijders, M. Solà, M. Stener, M. Swart, D. Swerhone, V.
Tognetti, G. te Velde, P. Vernooijs, L. Versluis, L. Visscher, O. Visser, F.
Wang, T.A. Wesolowski, E.M. van Wezenbeek, G. Wiesenekker, S.K.
Wolff, T.K. Woo, A.L. Yakovlev; G.te Velde, F.M. Bickelhaupt, E.J.
Baerends, C. Fonseca Guerra, S.J.A. van Gisbergen, J.G. Snijders and
T. Ziegler, J. Comput. Chem. 2001, 22, 931-967.

- [18] T. D. Kühne, M. Iannuzzi, M. Del Ben, V. V. Rybkin, P. Seewald, F. Stein, T. Laino, R. Z. Khaliullin, O. Schütt, F. Schiffmann, D. Golze, J. Wilhelm, S. Chulkov, M. H. Bani-Hashemian, V. Weber, U. Borštnik, M. Taillefumier, A. S. Jakobovits, A. Lazzaro, H. Pabst, T. Müller, R. Schade, M. Guidon, S. Andermatt, N. Holmberg, G. K. Schenter, A. Hehn, A. Bussy, F. Belleflamme, G. Tabacchi, A. Glöß, M. Lass, I. Bethune, C. J. Mundy, C. Plessl, M. Watkins, J. VandeVondele, M. Krack, and J. Hutter, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *152*, 194103.
- J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, 77, 3865;
 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, 78, 1396.

Entry for the Table of Contents

Insert graphic for Table of Contents here.



The over picosecond timescale photophysics of a series of copper(I) X-substituted phenanthroline complexes is interpreted on the basis of Gibbs free energy and potential energy profiles of the lowest S_1 and T_1 electronic excited states. Born-Oppenheimler dynamics over 10 ps evidence the backwards and forwards motion of the phenanthroline ligands within a period of 200-300 fs.

Supporting Information

Substituent effects on the photophysical properties of 2,9substituted phenanthroline copper(I) complexes: a static theoretical investigation

Christophe Gourlaouen,*^[a] Ai Hamano,^[a,b] Keiko Takano,^[b] Chantal Daniel*^[a]

[a] Laboratoire de Chimie Quantique Institute of Chemistry UMR 7177 CNRS/ Strasbourg University
ILB, 4 Rue Blaise Pascal 67008 Strasbourg Cedex
E-mail: gourlaouen@unistra.fr; c.daniel@unistra.fr
[b] Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Graduate School of Humanities and Sciences
Ochanomizu University
2-1-1 Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan **Table S1**. Cu-N bond length (in Å) of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ complexes in their ground state (D_{2D} symmetry).

Х	GAUSSIAN	ADF
Н	2.08	2.06
F	2.09	2.07
CI	2.08	2.05
Br	2.08	2.04
Т	2.08	2.03
Me	2.07	2.06
CN	2.07	2.05

Table S2. ADF relevant geometrical parameters of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ Cu-N (in Å), A-Cu-B and C α -A-B-C α (in °) optimized for the T₁ PES minima (C₂) and TS structures, TS_A (D₂) and TS_B (C_s), that connect them

X^{4} $Cu-N$ $A-Cu-B$ $Cu-AB-Cu-B$ $R-Cu-B$ $Cu-AB-Cu-B$						S ₁									T ₁				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X ^a		Cu-N			A-Cu-B		C	Cα-A-B-C	a		Cu-N			A-Cu-B		C	Cα-A-B-C	a
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		min	TS_A	TS_{B}	min	TS _A	TS_{B}	min	TS_{A}	TS_{B}	min	TS_A	TS_{B}	min	TS _A	TS_{B}	min	TS_A	TS_{B}
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	н	2.01	2.03	1.95	180.0	180.0	173.7	40.1	39.6	90.0	1.99	2.01	1.95	180.0	180.0	176.8	39.9	40.2	90.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2.01	2.03	1.90							1.99	2.01	1.95						
2.07 2.03 2.13 2.04 2.01 2.13 2.04 2.01 2.15 F 2.01 2.05 1.97 2.05 1.97 2.00 2.01 2.02 1.96 180.0 173.5 48.9 49.6 90 2.10 2.05 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.01 1.96 2.05 2.01 1.96 2.05 2.01 1.96 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14		2.07	2.03	2.15							2.04	2.01	2.15						
F 201 2.05 1.96 180.0 180.0 174.5 50.6 52.8 90.0 2.01 2.02 1.96 180.0 173.5 48.9 49.6 90.0 210 2.05 1.97 2.05 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.01 1.96 2.02 2.16 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 2.06 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 2.01 1.95 2.01 1.95		2.07	2.03	2.15							2.04	2.01	2.15						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	F	2.01	2.05	1.96	180.0	180.0	174.5	50.6	52.8	90.0	2.01	2.02	1.96	180.0	180.0	173.5	48.9	49.6	90.0
2.10 2.05 2.15 2.05 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 CI 1.98 2.02 1.95 180.0 180.0 171.9 66.3 64.1 90.0 1.98 2.01 1.94 180.0 168.7 63.1 63.9 90 2.10 2.02 2.14 2.01 1.96 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.02 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.05 2.01 1.93 180.0 177.6 168.8 68.5 69.7		2.01	2.05	1.97							2.01	2.02	1.96						
2.10 2.05 2.15 2.05 2.02 2.15 Cl 1.98 2.02 1.95 180.0 180.0 171.9 66.3 64.1 90.0 1.98 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 168.7 63.1 63.9 90 2.10 2.02 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.15 2.05		2.10	2.05	2.15							2.05	2.02	2.15						
Cl 1.98 2.02 1.95 180.0 180.0 171.9 66.3 64.1 90.0 1.98 2.01 1.94 180.0		2.10	2.05	2.15							2.05	2.02	2.15						
198 2.02 1.96 1.98 2.01 1.96 2.01 1.96 2.10 2.02 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 Br 1.98 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 166.9 67.6 67.1 90.0 1.98 2.00 1.93 180.0 180.0 167.0 65.7 64.8 90 1.98 2.01 2.12 2.09 2.01 2.12 2.04 2.00 1.93 180.0 180.0 167.0 65.7 64.8 90 1.97 2.01 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 1.93 180.0 177.6 168.8 68.5 69.7 90 1.97 2.03 1.97 2.03 1.97 2.03 1.97 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.05 2.01 1.93 180.0 177.6 168.8 68.5 69.7 90 1.99 2.03 1.96 180.0 175.8 68.9 70.5 90.0 1.99 2.01	CI	1.98	2.02	1.95	180.0	180.0	171.9	66.3	64.1	90.0	1.98	2.01	1.94	180.0	180.0	168.7	63.1	63.9	90.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.98	2.02	1.96							1.98	2.01	1.96						
2.10 2.02 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.14 Br 1.98 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 166.9 67.6 67.1 90.0 1.98 2.00 1.93 180.0 180.0 167.0 65.7 64.8 90 1.98 2.01 2.12 2.02 2.01 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.04 2.03 1.97 2.03 1.97 2.03 1.97 2.03 1.97 2.03 1.97 2.03 1.96 1.00 1.98 2.01 1.95 180.0 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 2.05 2.01 2.13		2.10	2.02	2.14							2.05	2.01	2.14						
Br 1.98 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 166.9 67.6 67.1 90.0 1.98 2.00 1.93 180.0 180.0 167.0 65.7 64.8 90 2.09 2.01 2.12 2.01 2.12 2.01 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.04 2.00 2.12 2.05 2.00 2.12 2.05 2.00 2.12 2.05 2.00 2.12 2.05 2.01 1.97 2.03 1.95 180.0 180.0 177.6 168.8 68.5 69.7 90 1.99 2.01 1.95 180.0 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 1.99 2.01		2.10	2.02	2.14							2.05	2.01	2.14						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Br	1.98	2.01	1.94	180.0	180.0	166.9	67.6	67.1	90.0	1.98	2.00	1.93	180.0	180.0	167.0	65.7	64.8	90.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.98	2.01	1.96							1.98	2.00	1.96						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.09	2.01	2.12							2.04	2.00	2.12						
I 1.97 2.01 1.94 180.0 177.1 169.2 73.1 69.6 90.0 1.98 2.00 1.93 180.0 177.6 168.8 68.5 69.7 90 1.97 2.03 1.97 2.03 1.97 2.03 2.12 2.09 2.03 2.12 2.05 2.00 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.01 1.95 180.0 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 1.99 2.01 1.95 2.05 2.01 2.13 2.05 2.01 2.13 2.05 2.01 2.13 2.05 2.01		2.09	2.01	2.12							2.04	2.00	2.12						
1.97 2.03 1.97 1.98 2.01 1.97 2.09 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.00 2.12 Me 1.99 2.03 1.95 180.0 180.0 175.8 68.9 70.5 90.0 1.99 2.01 1.95 180.0 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 1.99 2.03 1.95 180.0 180.0 175.8 68.9 70.5 90.0 1.99 2.01 1.95 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 1.99 2.03 1.96 2.04 1.95 180.0 180.0 159.2 61.2 60.6 90.0 2.01 1.95 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 1.97 2.05 2.01 1.94 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 1.97 2.05 2.01 2.01 1.94 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01	I.	1.97	2.01	1.94	180.0	177.1	169.2	73.1	69.6	90.0	1.98	2.00	1.93	180.0	177.6	168.8	68.5	69.7	90.0
2.09 2.01 2.12 2.05 2.00 2.12 Me 1.99 2.03 1.95 180.0 180.0 175.8 68.9 70.5 90.0 1.99 2.01 1.95 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 1.99 2.03 1.96 2.03 2.14 2.05 2.01 1.95 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 2.11 2.03 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.13 2.05 2.01 2.13 CN 2.02 2.04 1.95 180.0 180.0 159.2 61.2 60.6 90.0 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 1.97 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15		1.97	2.03	1.97							1.98	2.01	1.97						
2.09 2.03 2.12 2.05 2.01 2.12 Me 1.99 2.03 1.95 180.0 180.0 175.8 68.9 70.5 90.0 1.99 2.01 1.95 180.0 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 1.99 2.03 1.96 2.01 1.95 2.01 1.95 180.0 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 2.11 2.03 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.13 2.05 2.01 2.13 CN 2.02 2.04 1.95 180.0 180.0 159.2 61.2 60.6 90.0 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 1.97 2.02 2.01 1.95 2.02 2.01 1.95 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15		2.09	2.01	2.12							2.05	2.00	2.12						
Me 1.99 2.03 1.95 180.0 175.8 68.9 70.5 90.0 1.99 2.01 1.95 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 1.99 2.03 1.96 2.03 1.96 2.01 1.95 180.0 177.2 66.2 65.6 90 2.11 2.03 2.14 2.03 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.13 2.05 2.01 2.13 CN 2.02 2.04 1.95 180.0 180.0 159.2 61.2 60.6 90.0 2.01 2.01 1.95 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 1.97 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 1.95 2.02 2.01 1.95 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15		2.09	2.03	2.12							2.05	2.01	2.12						
1.99 2.03 1.96 1.99 2.01 1.95 2.11 2.03 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.13 2.11 2.03 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.13 CN 2.02 2.04 1.95 180.0 159.2 61.2 60.6 90.0 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 1.97 2.01 2.01 1.95 2.01 2.01 1.95 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 1.95 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15	Me	1.99	2.03	1.95	180.0	180.0	175.8	68.9	70.5	90.0	1.99	2.01	1.95	180.0	180.0	177.2	66.2	65.6	90.0
2.11 2.03 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.13 2.11 2.03 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.13 CN 2.02 2.04 1.95 180.0 159.2 61.2 60.6 90.0 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 1.97 2.05 2.01 1.95 2.01 1.95 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15		1.99	2.03	1.96							1.99	2.01	1.95						
2.11 2.03 2.14 2.05 2.01 2.13 CN 2.02 2.04 1.95 180.0 159.2 61.2 60.6 90.0 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 1.97 2.01 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 1.95 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15		2.11	2.03	2.14							2.05	2.01	2.13						
CN 2.02 2.04 1.95 180.0 159.2 61.2 60.6 90.0 2.01 1.94 180.0 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.02 2.04 1.97 2.01 2.01 1.94 180.0 162.6 57.3 56.9 90 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 1.95 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15		2.11	2.03	2.14							2.05	2.01	2.13						
2.02 2.04 1.97 2.01 2.01 1.95 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15	CN	2.02	2.04	1.95	180.0	180.0	159.2	61.2	60.6	90.0	2.01	2.01	1.94	180.0	180.0	162.6	57.3	56.9	90.0
2.06 2.04 2.15 2.06 2.04 2.15 2.06 2.04 2.15		2.02	2.04	1.97							2.01	2.01	1.95						
2.06 2.04 2.15 2.02 2.01 2.15		2.06	2.04	2.15							2.02	2.01	2.15						
		2.06	2.04	2.15							2.02	2.01	2.15						

Table S3. for each complex, energy difference (in kcal mol⁻¹) between the $D_{2d} S_0$ minima and the different excited. In bold are the states discussed in the main text, being the most stable for each symmetry group and structure.

					S1							٦	⊺1			
	Min	(C ₂)		т	S _A (D ₂)		TS_{B}	(C _s)	Min	(C ₂)		TS₽	(D ₂)		ΤS _B	(C _s)
х	S1A	S1B	S1A	S1B1	S1B2	S1B3	S1'	S1"	S1A	S1B	S1A	S1B1	S1B2	S1B3	S1'	S1"
Н	35.1	42.4	35.4	43.1	45.2	40.4	55.1	48.4	30.2	41.5	30.2	42.1	44.2	36.7	47.1	44.4
F	45.1	57.7	46.7	60.0	59.1	48.0	58.2	52.1	39.1	56.5	39.5	58.9	57.7	44.9	51.1	49.1
CI	46.8	54.3	50.5	57.1	55.4	48.9	55.9	49.4	40.1	53.0	41.1	55.5	54.0	45.4	48.5	45.9
Br	46.5	54.3	50.5	57.0	55.4	48.8	54.9	48.7	40.2	52.8	41.2	55.4	54.0	45.2	47.6	45.2
l ^a	46.7	54.3	49.6	48.8			53.9	47.7	41.1	53.0	42.5	45.4			46.9	44.2
Me	45.8	53.4	50.3	56.3	54.0	48.3	56.9	48.4	38.5	51.9	39.3	54.7	52.4	44.3	46.9	44.6
CN	44.2	42.6	44.8	45.4	48.0	43.2	57.1	49.9	35.7	41.2	35.7	44.0	43.4	42.0	47.6	45.7

a) The TS_A structure for X = I is of C_2 symmetry not D_2 , the given "S1B1" value is that of the S1B value for this structure.

Figure S1. HOMO and LUMO of $[Cu(2,9-(X)_2-phen)_2]^+$ complexes computed with ADF at the S0 ground state.

LUMO+2				
LUMO				
НОМО				
	X =	= H	X =	CN



Figure S2. NCI analysis of the X= I complexes in the triplet state at TSB geometry. Green areas stand for Van der Waals forces and red areas for steric congestion.





Figure S4. Evolution of the $C\alpha\mbox{-}A\mbox{-}B\mbox{-}C\alpha$ angle along the 11 ps dynamic.



WILEY-VCH

Figure S5. Evolution of the Cu-A and Cu-B distances along the 11 ps dynamic.