

# Recreational and small-scale fisheries may pose a threat to vulnerable species in coastal and offshore waters of the western Mediterranean

J Lloret, S Biton-Porsmoguer, A Carreño, A Di Franco, R Sahyoun, P Melià, Joachim Claudet, C Sève, A Ligas, M Belharet, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

J Lloret, S Biton-Porsmoguer, A Carreño, A Di Franco, R Sahyoun, et al.. Recreational and small-scale fisheries may pose a threat to vulnerable species in coastal and offshore waters of the western Mediterranean. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 2020, 77 (6), pp.2255-2264. 10.1093/icesjms/fsz071. hal-03034088

## HAL Id: hal-03034088

https://hal.science/hal-03034088

Submitted on 1 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### 1 Recreational and small-scale fisheries may pose a threat to vulnerable species in coastal and

#### offshore waters of the western Mediterranean

3

- 4 Lloret, J.\*, Biton-Porsmoguer, S.; Carreño, A.; Di Franco, A.; Sahyoun, R.; Melià, P.; Claudet, J.;
- 5 Sève, C.; Ligas, A.; Belharet, M.; Calò, A.; Carbonara, P.; Coll, M.; Corrales, X.; Lembo, G.; Sartor,
- 6 P.; Bitetto, I.; Vilas, D.; Piroddi, C.; Prato, G.; Charbonnel, E.; Bretton, O., Hartmann, V., Prats, L.;
- 7 Font, T.
- 8 Lloret, J., Biton-Porsmoguer, S., Carreño, A., Font, T., J. University of Girona, Faculty of Science,
- 9 C/ Maria Aurèlia Capmany 69, 17003 Girona, Catalonia, Spain
- 10 Prato, G. WWF Italy, via Po 25/C, 00198 Rome, Italy
- 11 Sahyoun, R. WWF France, 35-37 rue Baudin, 93310 Le Pré Saint Gervais, France
- Melià, P. & Belharet, M. Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria, Politecnico
- 13 di Milano, Italy
- 14 Di Franco, A., Calò, A. Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, FRE 3729 ECOMERS, Parc Valrose 28,
- 15 Avenue Valrose, 06108 Nice, France
- 16 Claudet, J.; Sève, C. National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS), PSL Université Paris, CRIOBE,
- 17 USR 3278 CNRS-EPHE-UPVD, Maison des Océans, 195 rue Saint-Jacques 75005 Paris, France
- 18 Ligas, A., Sartor, P. Consorzio per il Centro Interuniversitario di Biologia Marina ed Ecologia
- 19 Applicata "G.Bacci", Italy
- 20 Carbonara, P., Lembo, G., Bitetto, I. COISPA Tecnologia & Ricerca, Stazione Sperimentale per lo
- 21 Studio delle Risorse del Mare, via dei Trulli 18/20, 70126 Bari-Torre a Mare (BA) Italy, Italy

- 22 Coll, M., Corrales, X., Vilas, D. Institute of Marine Science (ICM-CSIC) and Ecopath International
- 23 Initiative Research Association (EII), Barcelona, Spain
- 24 Piroddi, C. Joint Research Centre European Commission, Unit D.02 Water and Marine
- 25 Resources, Via E. Fermi 2749, 21027 Ispra (VA) Italy
- Virginie Hartmann and Lea Prats, Réserve Naturelle Marine de Cerbère Banyuls, France
- 27 Eric Charbonnel and Olivier Bretton: Côte Bleue Marine Park, Observatoire PMCB-plage du
- 28 Rouet, 31 Av. J. Bart, B.P 42; 13620 Carry-le-Rouet, France

#### Abstract

This study evaluates the fishing pressure exerted by the most common recreational and professional, small-scale fishing practices on vulnerable target and bycatch species in western Mediterranean coastal waters (less than, approximately, 12 miles from the shore) and offshore waters (more than, approximately, 12 miles from the shore). By combining multiple data sources, we assembled a unique dataset on catches at multiple sites in these areas by recreational (RF) and small scale fisheries (SSF), covering the period from 1997 to 2015. Furthermore, a framework with which to identify the vulnerable species among all the species caught is provided; it is based on the IUCN Red List, international conventions for the protection of flora and fauna, the Habitats Directive and the intrinsic vulnerability index of marine fish. Overall, about a quarter of exploited species targeted by SSF and RF in coastal waters were vulnerable, making up nearly 50% of the total SSF catch and nearly 20% of the total recreational catch. In offshore waters, 100% of the RF and SSF catch was made up of vulnerable species. . Among the species caught as bycatch in both areas by SSF and RF, there was a total of 27 vulnerable vertebrate species, which included birds, cetaceans, elasmobranchs and sea turtles.

The results also highlight the need to differentiate between different fishing methods or gears when studying the fishing impacts on vulnerable species. Our results indicate that although recreational and small-scale fisheries are often considered to have a relatively low ecological impact, a range of different fishing methods are affecting vulnerable species in coastal or offshore waters in the western Mediterranean Sea, be they targeted or taken unintentionally as bycatch.

**Keywords:** threatened species, target and bycatch, marine protected areas, small-scale fisheries (SSF), recreational fisheries (RF), management

## Introduction

The magnitude of the ongoing extinction crisis has generated a huge effort aimed at evaluating and monitoring the risk of extinction faced by species worldwide. The trade-offs between economic, social and conservation objectives become severely problematic when the vulnerability of exploited species to fishing is high and the economic value of these species is also high (Norse *et al.*, 2012). Increasing global consumption of marine resources, together with environmental changes, has led to widespread loss and degradation of marine ecosystems, with potentially serious consequences for biodiversity and ecosystem services (Bianchi and Morri, 2000; McCauley *et al.*, 2015; Webb and Mindel, 2015). Throughout the world, many exploited marine species are experiencing declines in population because of overfishing and other stressors, including climate change. Moreover, the systematic differences among different

species in their sensitivity to fishing is partly responsible for the increasing dominance of less vulnerable fish species in global catches, as the vulnerable ones become easily overexploited (Cheung *et al.*, 2007). Consequently, global, regional, national, and local lists of threatened species, including those listed in the international conventions for the protection of flora and fauna, have proliferated over the past decades (Burton, 2003) and these lists and conventions have undeniably become valuable tools for conservation (Rodrigues *et al.*, 2006; Miller *et al.*, 2006; Dulvy *et al.*, 2006). Recently, the IUCN Red List has been proposed as a tool to complement or enhance existing indicators for sustainable use of marine resources, as described in the European Commission's Marine Strategy Framework Directive for monitoring, which applies an ecosystem approach to fisheries management (EC, 2014). Furthermore, quantitative indices, such as the intrinsic vulnerability index of marine fish (Cheung *et al.*, 2007), have been developed to address the specific vulnerability of fish to external pressures.

Recreational and small-scale (professional) fishing are important socioeconomic activities in the Mediterranean, taking place in coastal areas, as well as in offshore waters (reviewed by Lloret *et al.*, 2018). Recreational fishing (RF) in the Mediterranean, according to Font *et al.* (2012), comprises all non-commercial fishing that is carried out for leisure or sport, where the catch - the selling of which is illegal - is for one's own consumption (or for family and friends). It is particularly popular in the European Mediterranean, with the total number of recreational sea fishers estimated to be approximately 2.8 million (Hyder *et al.*, 2018), but also in non-European countries such as Tunisia (Ben Lamine *et al.*, 2018) and Turkey (Tunca *et al.*, 2016). The Mediterranean has an extensive coastline, a huge population living in coastal areas (150 million people according to IUCN, 2019) and the importance of fishing as a leisure or

tourist activity is increasing (Font *et al.*, 2012). The expenditure by European recreational sea fishers in the Mediterranean has been estimated to be around €0.92 billion (Hyder *et al.*, 2018). In coastal waters (less than, approximately, 12 miles from the shore), recreational fishing methods are highly diverse, including boat fishing, shore fishing, spearfishing and shellfish gathering, sometimes carried out individually and sometimes in groups (for example in competitions and on chartered boats) (Font *et al.*, 2012). In offshore waters (more than, approximately, 12 miles from the shore), various gears are used by recreational boat fishers, such as rods with line and reel and trolley rigs, and they mainly target large pelagic predator fish species, which include sharks, although information is scarce (ADAP, 2017, Fowler *et al.*, 2005).

Professional, small scale fishing (referred to as SSF throughout this paper) is defined, according to the European Parliament (2014), as "fishing carried out by fishing vessels of an overall length of less than 12 m and not using towed fishing gear". SSF involves boats with smaller crews (1–3 fishers per vessel) and uses a wide variety of fishing techniques, including trammel net, gillnet, longline and pound nets, which are mostly passive gears targeting a wide array of seasonally changing benthic and pelagic coastal species (Lloret *et al.*, 2018). Offshore SSF mostly targets large pelagic predatory fish using, in most cases, pelagic longlines (Biton-Porsmoguer and Lloret, 2018). Although there are also other offshore fishing vessels using bottom longlines and gillnets to target benthic demersal fish, such as European hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) and blackspot seabream (*Pagellus bogaraveo*), these vessels are usually larger than 12 m length and therefore cannot be considered as SSF (Ungaro *et al.*, 2015). SSF is of great importance in terms of job opportunities and their contribution to the economy of

coastal communities in Europe: they have been estimated to generate about half of all direct employment within the EU fishing sector, representing approximately 83% of the fishing vessels and a quarter of the catch value (Guyader *et al.*, 2013; FAO, 2018). According to recent estimations (Hyder *et al.*, 2018), in a number of northern European countries, removals by marine recreational fisheries accounted for a significant proportion (up to more than 50%) of the total removals (both recreational *and* commercial) of species such as western Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*).

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

RF and SSF in European waters, and particularly in the Mediterranean, involve smaller catches, lower impact on habitats, lower annual fuel oil consumption, less bycatch and discards and less of the catch is reduced to fishmeal and oil than is the case with large-scale fisheries such as trawling and purse-seining (Lloret et al., 2018; Tsagarakis et al., 2014; Kelleher, 2005). Consequently, they are often considered to have a smaller ecological impact. However, from a biological standpoint, there are several features of these fisheries that may threaten the conservation status of certain species (Lloret et al., 2018). Such species include benthic and pelagic long-lived and slow-growing species with low reproductive potential and a narrow geographic range (e.g. Lloret and Font, 2013; Luna-Pérez, 2010; Lloret et al., 2016; Biton-Porsmoguer and Lloret, 2018). Furthermore, there is a widespread international agreement that bycatch in many fisheries raises ecological concerns that require the urgent attention by fisheries management (Zeller et al., 2018). Although some studies have looked into the impact of specific small-scale and recreational fishing gears on particular vulnerable species in the Mediterranean (e.g. Morales-Nin et al., 2010; Font and Lloret, 2014, Biton-Porsmoguer and Lloret, 2018), none of these studies have assessed the overall impact of small-scale and recreational fisheries on vulnerable species in an integrated way, taking into account both coastal and offshore waters, as well as target species and bycatch species, and the different fishing gears employed.

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

The goal of this study is to evaluate and compare the fishing pressure exerted by SSF and RF operating in coastal and offshore waters of the western Mediterranean Sea on the vulnerable species exploited in these waters (target species and bycatch), taking into account, when possible, the differentiated effect of each small-scale and recreational fishing method or gear. To our knowledge, this is the first holistic study of its kind because, until now, only limited results have been published focusing on particular fishing sites, specific areas, fishing gears or species. The study also proposes a number of management recommendations for a more effective protection of vulnerable exploited species in coastal zones and offshore, particularly in marine protected areas (MPAs) and the "Special areas of conservation" (SACs) in the Mediterranean Sea. SACs are those areas which have been given greater protection under the European Commission's Habitats Directive. It must be considered that most of the species targeted by SSF and RF are not regularly assessed on a broader scale, and very few and coherent management actions (e.g. quotas, minimum landing sizes, etc) have been implemented so far in the Mediterranean. Only a small number of species, such as Bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus), swordfish (Xiphias gladius) and European hake (Merluccius merluccius), are currently assessed and managed. In this sense, this study proposes a framework to identify which of the exploited species can be described as vulnerable to fishing pressure from SSF and RF, and for which, consequently, priority management measures should be undertaken in order

to attain the favorable conservation status (defined in the Habitats Directive) for these species and the habitats they inhabit.

## Methods

#### **Catch of target species**

By combining multiple data sources, including reports, scientific literature and catch data provided by fisheries and MPA managers, we assembled a dataset on SSF and recreational catches at multiple sites, covering both coastal and off-shore areas, in the Mediterranean Sea.

Information on recreational fishing (RF) was gathered in 20 coastal areas (14 of which are MPAs and 13 are SACs; Figure 1) from three EU Member States (Spain, France, and Italy), within the framework of the EU SAFENET project (Sustainable Fisheries in EU Mediterranean waters through a network of Marine Protected Areas). These areas include the north-eastern part of the Catalan Sea, the Gulf of Lion, the Ligurian and the northern Tyrrhenian Seas, and the islands of Corsica and Sardinia.

Information was collected from a total of 40 studies comprising scientific articles (8) as well as grey literature (32) including unpublished reports and documents provided by researchers and managers of MPAs, where most of the research regarding RF in the Mediterranean has been carried out. These studies were carried out in a variety of ways encompassing a diverse range of sampling periods, duration and different recreational fishing types (Supplementary Table SB1). For the purpose of this study, fishing types are defined as: boat fishing (BF), shore fishing (SF) and spearfishing (SP). Although some of these studies did not always classify the

data according to fishing methods, and for certain areas there is a lack of information regarding particular fishing types, those we have reviewed represent the best source of available information so far. It must be pointed out, nevertheless, that there is very little information on RF outside spring and summer, during which the vast majority of samplings (90%, all areas combined) were carried out (Supplementary Table SB1). Therefore, our analysis is representative of the warmer season only, which is nevertheless the high season for RF in most of the areas (Font and Lloret, 2013, 2014). In addition to the revision of literature, the managers of each MPA and seven local scientists who specialize in coastal fisheries were contacted by email to obtain information on the catch of vulnerable species by recreational fishers through standardized questionnaires designed specifically to gather the same information from each area (i.e. the presence of vulnerable species in the catch of recreational fishers). Around 65% of the managers and scientists contacted provided the information required for further analysis. The rest were unable to provide information because their MPAs had only recently been created, which meant sufficient data on RF activity had not yet been collected.

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

The available information from SSF was provided by monitoring in three MPAs where information was available: Cap de Creus (Spain), Côte Bleue (France) and Cerbère-Banyuls (France). Studies in Cap de Creus MPA were conducted in 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2013 and 2015 via 572 onboard samplings, mostly carried out in spring and summer. The sampling scheme had two components. The first involved interviewing small-scale fishers and conducting on-board inspections. Fishers were interviewed and a sampling report was completed, which included the fishing gear used, location (fishing site), the date and time that the gear was set or

cast and when it was removed, and the quantity of fishing gear (number of hooks, length of nets, etc). The second, employing an increasingly common method for collecting fishery data, consisted of a self-sampling program by the fishers themselves enabling them to provide information from their own fishery. Overall, different boats from the various ports in the Cap de Creus area where small-scale fishers land their catches were sampled to gather information according to fishing type (trammel net, gillnet, longline and pound net). Meanwhile, data on species and catches from the Côte Bleue MPA were gathered during 261 small-scale fishing operations – involving trammel net, gillnet and longline – that were carried out in all seasons from 2012 to 2015. In this MPA, some species (mainly small-sized species such as labrids and serranids with little or no commercial value) were not taken into account because of insufficient data. Finally, the data on species and catches from the Cerbère-Banyuls MPA were gathered during 2015 (spring and summer), but only for trammel net fishing operations. The available information from these three MPAs was then collated with the aim of studying the effect of fishing type. Unfortunately, a wide range of sources were used to gather all the available information, which meant the data were heterogeneous in terms of fishing gears, years and seasons (i.e. the same data on all types of gears or years or seasons was not available for each area). Therefore, we proceeded to pool what data we had from all areas and only considered testing the effect of fishing type. Further details of the sampling methodology employed to monitor SSF in Cap de Creus, Côte Bleu and Cerbère-Banyuls MPAs are given in Lloret et al. (2015), Charbonnel et al. (2013, 2017) and Prats (2016), respectively.

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

Information relating to offshore catches was only available for the Spanish Mediterranean coast. Data on the catch of pelagic species by RF, gathered in 2017, was provided by the

Spanish General Secretary of Fisheries. The raw data gives the number of individuals caught; hence, the total weight of the catch by species was estimated by multiplying the number of individuals caught by the estimated weight of the individuals. We estimated an average weight of 15 kg for each specimen of swordfish, given that the Spanish authorities stated that individuals weighed less than 20kg and the minimum legal weight is 10 kg. For tuna species, we also estimated an average weight of 15 kg for each specimen, using information provided by the fishers. Additionally, in the catch there were some specimens of European hake; in this case we assumed an estimated average weight of 0.6 kg for each specimen, again using information provided by the fishers). With regard to the SSF catch of pelagic species, data were obtained for 2017 from the landing statistics recorded by the Autonomous governments of Andalucía, Murcia, Valencia, Catalonia and the Balearic Islands.

#### **Vulnerability of target species**

From the catch made by SSF and RF in coastal waters and offshore, we first identified those exploited species that can be considered as "vulnerable to fishing" (Table 2). To do so, we first selected all the species in the catch that are included in the IUCN Red List - Mediterranean regional assessment (www.iucnredlist.org) as *Threatened* (i.e. *Critically Endangered-CR*, *Endangered-EN* and *Vulnerable-VU*) and *Near Threatened-NT*. The IUCN Red List is recognized as one of the most reliable sources of information on the global conservation status of plants and animals (Rodrigues *et al.*, 2006) and classifies species at high risk of global extinction under different categories following well established criteria (IUCN, 2015).

Second, we selected those species included in the IUCN Red List as Least Concern-LC but with an index of vulnerability (IV) higher than 60 (i.e. high to very high vulnerability; Cheung et al., 2007). The IV index of a species defines the intrinsic vulnerability of marine fish to fishing, calculated using a fuzzy logic expert system, and is based on the life history traits and ecological characteristics of marine fish, such as maximum body length, age at first maturity, the von Bertalanffy growth parameter k, natural mortality, maximum age, geographical range, fecundity and the strength of aggregation behavior (Cheung et al. 2005). Generally, the most vulnerable fish are deemed to be species with larger body size, higher longevity, higher age at maturity, lower growth rates, a low reproductive potential and a narrow geographical range. These IV obtained values were from FishBase platform (Froese and Pauly, 2016; http://www.fishbase.org/) in the case of fish, and from the SealifeBase platform (http://www.sealifebase.org/) for organisms other than fish. Thirdly, we included in the selection of vulnerable exploited species three decapod species (Homarus gammarus, Scyllarus arctus and Scyllarides latus) which, despite being on the IUCN Red List as Least Concern or Data Deficient and having an IV index lower than 60, were nevertheless included in the Barcelona, Bern, and CITES conventions, and/or in the EU Habitats Directive.

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

In addition, for SSF and RF, we computed the mean intrinsic vulnerability index of the overall catch (weighted mean IV index) by gear, when catch data were available by gear. The weighted mean IV index gives a measure of the vulnerability of the overall catch (Cheung *et al.*, 2007; Font and Lloret, 2011; Lloret and Font, 2013) and was calculated from the arithmetic mean of the intrinsic vulnerability index of each taxon weighted by its catch (see previous section and

Supplementary Table SB2). The mean intrinsic vulnerability of the catch ranges from 1 to 100 and a higher value represents greater vulnerability)

In coastal waters, the weighted mean IV index could be computed in only nine of the study areas because information on catches in other areas was incomplete. Regarding offshore fisheries, the mean intrinsic vulnerability of the overall catch was computed without taking into account the fishing gear (because catch data were not available by gear).

## Vulnerability of the bycatch

The vulnerability of the species in the bycatch was also evaluated. In this study, bycatch refers to all unintentional catch returned to the sea for whatever reason (unwanted, unsellable or impermissible). Existing information about the bycatch of vulnerable species caught by SSF and RF in the Mediterranean coastal and offshore waters was gathered from scientific papers and reports available in the literature. This review of the bycatch focused on vertebrates, including marine birds, elasmobranchs and marine mammals, that are categorized in the IUCN Red List as Near Threatened or higher, or listed in the Habitats Directive or in international conventions for the protection of the flora and fauna (Barcelona, Bern and CITES). This analysis considers coastal and offshore fisheries together because many bibliographic sources did not distinguish between gears deployed in coastal waters and the gears deployed in offshore waters.

## Results

#### **Coastal waters**

Taking into consideration all types of SSF and RF, and all coastal water areas reviewed here, a total of 152 different species were caught, 35 of which (i.e. 23% of the total) were deemed vulnerable (Supplementary Table SB2; Figure 2). SSF caught a total of 90 species (73 by trammel net; 61 by gillnet; 36 by longline and 25 by pound net) of which 26 (29%) were deemed vulnerable. RF caught 136 different species (111 by boat fishing; 102 by shore fishing and 48 by spear fishing), 29 of which (21%) were deemed vulnerable (Supplementary Table SB2, Figure 2). The fishing methods targeting the highest number of vulnerable species are, in order, trammel net (25 vulnerable species), boat fishing (24), gillnet (17), shore fishing (16), spearfishing (12), longlines (10) and pound nets (7) (Figure 2).

Considering the catch in weight, and taking all fishing methods into account, vulnerable species constituted, by weight, 45.4% of the total SSF catch and 18.5% of the total recreational catch in coastal waters. The proportion of vulnerable species was particularly high in the longline catch, 79% of which comprised vulnerable species (Supplementary Table SB2). For certain fishing methods, there were individual vulnerable species that made up 10% or more of the total catch (Supplementary Table SB2): 54% of the total longline catch consisted of *Conger conger*; 23% of total gillnet catches were *Merluccius merluccius*; 16% of total spearfishing catches were *Epinephelus marginatus*; 13% of the total trammel net catches were *Scorpaena scrofa* and 10% of the total shore fishing catch was *Dicentrarchus labrax*. Boat fishing and pound nets were the only methods where no individual vulnerable species exceeded 5% of the total catch (Supplementary Table SB2).

Some vulnerable benthic species caught by SSF and RF in coastal waters, such as *Angulla anguilla*, *E. marginatus*, *Sciaena umbra* or *Dentex dentex* were among the most vulnerable in terms of the IV index (>60) and are under threat according to the IUCN Red List (*A. anguilla*: CR; *E. marginatus*: EN; *S. umbra* and *D. dentex*: VU). Furthermore, nine of the coastal species targeted by small-scale and recreational fisheries were included in Annex III of the Barcelona and/or Bern conventions; one species is included in CITES-Annex II (*A. anguilla*) and another (*S. latus*) is included in the Habitats Directive-Annex V.

Of all the fishing methods in use in coastal waters, the mean intrinsic vulnerabilities (IV) were highest in the longline and spearfishing catch, with 72.6 and 64.7 (out of 100) respectively; such levels are considered as 'high to very high' (Figure 3). The lowest mean IV index was in the pound net catch (38.3; low to moderate vulnerability) while the mean IV index for the catches by the other coastal fishing methods (boat fishing, shore fishing, trammel net and gillnet) ranged from 43 to 51 (moderate vulnerability).

#### Offshore waters

In offshore waters, small-scale fishers fishing with pelagic longlines caught four species, *T. thynnus, Xiphias gladius, T. alalunga* and *Prionace glauca*, all of which, again, are vulnerable (Supplementary Table SB2, Figure 2). At the same time, recreational boat fishers caught three species, *Thunnus thynnus, T. alalunga* and *M. merluccius*, all of which are vulnerable (Supplementary Table SB2, Figure 2). In other words, 100% of the offshore SSF and RF catch comprises vulnerable species. In 2017, Spanish recreational boat fishers declared a catch of

0.87 tonnes of *T. thynnus*, 0.75 tonnes of *T. alalunga* and 0.002 tonnes of *M. merluccius*. Hence, *T. thynnus* and *T. alalunga* are by far the two main constituents of the total offshore recreational catch (99.8%). Also in 2017, Spanish offshore SSF operating with pelagic longlines, landed a total of 1,329 tonnes of swordfish (*X. gladius*), 207 tonnes of *T. alalunga*, 32 tonnes of *P. glauca* and 0.13 tonnes of *T. thynnus*. In this case, 84.8% of the offshore pelagic longline catch consisted of swordfish alone.

These five vulnerable species caught in offshore waters were among the most vulnerable both in terms of their IV values (60 or higher in each case) as well as in their classification in the IUCN Red List, because, with the exception of *T. alalunga*, which is classified as LC, all of these pelagic species are threatened (*T. thynnus*: EN; *P. glauca*: CR) or NT (*X. gladius*). Furthermore, *T. thynnus*, *X. gladius*, and *P. glauca* are included in Annex III of the Barcelona and Bern conventions.

In the case of offshore fisheries, the mean IV index of the SSF catch was 62.58 while that of the recreational fishing catch was 62.23, both corresponding to levels considered as 'high' (Figure 3).

## Bycatch of vulnerable species

Due to a lack of specific data on the methods used, the bycatch of vulnerable species could not, as we mentioned earlier, be analyzed separately for coastal and offshore waters. The combined bycatch in both areas by SSF and RF included a total of 27 vulnerable vertebrate species, which are listed in Supplementary Table SB3. Small-scale fishing methods led to the unintended

capture of 6 mammal species, 3 turtle species, 8 elasmobranchs, 1 osteichthyes and 6 different species of seabirds. Longlines (demersal, pelagic and drifting) were responsible for the highest number of vulnerable species in the bycatch (20 species), followed by driftnets (11), gillnets (8) and trammel nets (8). Meanwhile, RF unintentionally catch 8 vulnerable species: 3 elasmobranchs, 1 osteichthyes and 3 seabirds. The vulnerable species appearing in the bycatch include 4 elasmobranchs (*Isurus oxyrinchus, Sphyrna zygaena, Lamna nasus* and *P. glauca*) and one bird (*Puffinus mauretanicus*) that are on the IUCN Red List as critically endangered species. There is also one mammal (*Physester macrocephalus*) and three sea turtles (*Caretta caretta, Dermochelys coriacea* and *Checlonia mydas*) which are listed in Annex I of the CITES convention (Annex I lists the most endangered species recorded in CITES records, i.e., those under threat of extinction). In addition, the bycatch includes a number of other chondrichthyans, including *Cetorhinus maximus, S. zygaena, L. nasus* and *Alpias vulpinus* listed in Annex II of CITES (Annex II lists species that may not, as yet, be under imminent threat of extinction).

## Discussion

This paper provides new information on the vulnerability of the catch and bycatch associated with recreational and small-scale fisheries operating in coastal and offshore waters in the western Mediterranean Sea. Although generally speaking these fisheries have a smaller ecological impact than large-scale ones (i.e. smaller catches, lower impact on habitats, lower annual fuel oil consumption, less bycatch and discards and less of the catch reduced to fishmeal and oil; Kelleher, 2005; Tsagarakis *et al.*, 2014; Lloret *et al.*, 2018), the results of this study show

that they may pose a threat to vulnerable species. In order to identify the vulnerable species among all the species caught, a framework is provided, based on the IUCN Red List, international conventions for the protection of flora and fauna, the Habitats Directive and the intrinsic vulnerability index of marine fish.

A number of studies in the Mediterranean have already indicated the pressure being placed on particular vulnerable benthic species by coastal small-scale and recreational fisheries (Marengo *et al.*, 2015; Harmelin *et al.*, 2015; Adbul Malak, 2011; Biton-Porsmoguer, 2017; Biton-Porsmoguer and Lloret, 2018).. For example, Marengo *et al.* (2015) found that the combined impact of artisanal and recreational fishing targeting vulnerable species can have consequences on the *D. dentex* stock in the Bonifacio Strait Natural Reserve.. In addition, the status of the main large pelagic species fished offshore, such as *X. glad*ius and *P. glauca*, shows clear signs of overexploitation (Biton-Porsmoguer, 2017; Biton-Porsmoguer and Lloret, 2018).

Our results also highlight the need to differentiate between different fishing methods or gears when studying the fishing impacts, because the small-scale fishing fleets and recreational fisheries in the western Mediterranean comprise many types of gears that catch different vulnerable species. Take, for example, the weighted mean indices of vulnerability (IV) for the longline catch (73) and the spear fishing catch (65) in coastal waters, and the catches made by recreational boat fishing (62) and professional pelagic longlines (62) in offshore waters. These values are higher than the mean IV index of the catches made by the rest of fishing gears studied and far exceed the mean vulnerability index of all world-wide exploited coastal fish species (which stands at 48 according to Cheung *et al.*, 2007).

The particular effects of spear fishing on some vulnerable species in the Mediterranean have been already reported in a number of studies (Coll *et al.*, 2004; Rocklin et al., 2011; Harmelin *et al.*, 2015; Lloret *et al.*, 2018b). For example, Harmelin *et al.* (2015) showed how spear fishing contributed to the decline of the brown meagre (*S. umbra*) population in the MPA of Scandola (Corsica) whereas Rocklin *et al.* (2011) demonstrated that spear fishing in the MPA of Bonifacio Strait can modify species assemblage structure. Despite the potential threats posed by spear fishing to vulnerable species, recent studies found that when humans behave like a typical predator, as occurs during spear fishing, fish are able to learn about them, and this may offer an advantage to exploited species to adapt to fishing pressure (Meekan *et al.*, 2018).

It must be noted, however, that it is not only the fishing method (small-scale, recreational, boat, shore, etc.) that may cause more or less important impacts on resources and vulnerable species, but also the fishing gear used within each method (e.g. trolling, bottom fishing, jigging, spinning, trammel net for cuttlefish, trammel net for red mullet, etc) all of which may have different impacts. Although we did not have the data classified by gear, it would be advisable in future monitoring programs to collect the information by fishing gear/method in order to identify which fishing types and methods have the greatest impact, in order to establish more gear-oriented restrictions and management actions.

In addition, this study has highlighted the fact that there were many vulnerable species of mammals, elasmobranches, turtles and birds caught as bycatch by small-scale and recreational fishing gears in coastal and offshore waters. These species are listed in the various annexes of the Habitats Directive and Birds Directive, which represent greater protection needs than the international conventions for the protection of biodiversity (i.e. Barcelona, Bern, Bonn, CITES),

the IUCN Red List and/or the EU Habitats Directive. SSF are responsible of the largest number of species in the bycatch, with trammel nets and driftnets being the fishing gears that unintentionally catch the greatest number of vulnerable species.

Elasmobranchs are a group of fish that appear in both the list of target and bycatch species caught by SSF and RF operating in coastal and offshore waters, which present an array of challenges for fisheries management and conservation (Fowler *et al.*, 2005, Gibson *et al.*, 2008). They are generally vulnerable to fishing because of peculiar characteristics of their life cycle (low fertility rate, slow growth and late maturity; Dulvy *et al.*, 2003; Gibson *et al.*, 2008). As a result, these species, which play a key role in maintaining the balance in marine ecosystems (Ferretti *et al.*, 2010), have a generally limited capacity to restore their population and consequently can be more easily endangered by fishing (Fowler *et al.*, 2005, Gibson *et al.*, 2008). For example, recent studies highlight the current population decline – or even, in some cases, local extinction – of several elasmobranchs in waters around the Balearic Islands, where they had previously been quite common (Farriols *et al.*, 2017; Mayol *et al.*, 2000; Grau *et al.*, 2015; Ligas *et al.*, 2013; Ferretti *et al.*, 2008). Similar rarefactions have also been documented in other Mediterranean areas (Maynou *et al.*, 2011; Ligas *et al.*, 2013; Coll *et al.*, 2014) and in other seas and oceans (Ferretti *et al.*, 2008).

Mediterranean fisheries are expected to continue to exert a significant impact on vulnerable target and bycatch species in the foreseeable future, but the scale of the impact will be different for each sector. For SSF, the impact will remain high but possibly decreasing in many coastal areas if the recent decline observed in SSF continues in the coming years (Lloret *et al.*, 2018). In contrast, it appears that the impact on vulnerable species by recreational fisheries will

continue to rise with increased activity from this sector reported not only in coastal waters (Lloret *et al.*, 2018), but also in offshore waters, where considerable growth in the number of sport fishers has been observed over the past few years off the Italian, Spanish and French coasts. For example, the number of Spanish recreational boats with special authorization to fish large pelagic fish species in the Mediterranean increased between 2015 and 2017, from 661 to 917. The data analyzed in this paper suggests that, in offshore waters, the current impact of SSF is much higher than that of RF (with the SSF catch of pelagic species being several times higher in comparison). Despite this, the impact of each sector in coastal waters has been found to be similar in some areas such as, for example, in the MPA Cap de Creus (Lloret *et al.*, 2008b).

It is imperative that monitoring and assessment plans for all these vulnerable species are developed and carried out. Studies on the status of these species are needed to better understand the impact exerted on them by recreational and small-scale fisheries, given the lack of data and assessments of most of the vulnerable target and bycatch species in the Mediterranean. In this sense, the impact on survivability/mortality of vulnerable target and bycatch species caused by fishing should be assessed for the various types of fishing gear and methods currently being employed. Given that, for most of these species, there is a lack of specific studies determining the status of their population and the impact of fisheries on them, the conclusions from this study must be taken with caution. The problem with the lack of accurate, gear-specific data is of particular concern in the case of offshore RF, especially with regard to bycatch. bycatch It is also important to carry out new studies that evaluate the effect of certain features of the MPAs, such as the level of protection and efficiency of enforcement

and the number of years in operation, on the catch of vulnerable species in order to better understand the effects of these fisheries under different circumstances.

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

The findings of this study should help to provide basic guidelines for both managers and policy makers in their work to develop specific management measures that will ensure the protection of vulnerable species caught by small-scale and recreational fisheries in the western Mediterranean Sea, while safeguarding small-scale fisheries in accordance with the FAO guidelines on SSFs (FAO, 2015) and ensuring the sustainability of recreational activities, which are becoming increasingly important in the economies of a number of Mediterranean countries (Lloret et al., 2018). These measures, based on the precautionary principle, could include reducing the fishing pressure on certain vulnerable species (e.g. by regulating fishing gears and baits) or, in some cases, prohibiting their capture, at least in specific areas, such as marine protected areas (MPAs), and/or in particular seasons of the year. A number of studies have demonstrated the valuable role played by Mediterranean MPAs, particularly under certain circumstances (e.g. sound protection of habitats and species, strong enforcement of laws, optimal size, etc) in protecting and rebuilding the populations of vulnerable species (Harmelin-Vivien et al., 2015; Giakoumi et al., 2017; Di Franco et al., 2018), and that seasonal closures during the reproductive season are effective in the protection of spawning aggregations of vulnerable species (Sadovy de Mitcheson et al., 2013). Furthermore, minimum landing sizes should be implemented for all vulnerable species, whereas maximum landing sizes should be also implemented for sex-changing species such as E. marginatus, Pagrus pagrus and L. viridis in order to preserve their reproductive potential (Lloret et al., 2012).. In light of recent evidence of strong competition between illegal and legal fishing (by both professional and recreational

fishers) in the Mediterranean Sea (Ben Lamine *et al.*, 2018), it is also paramount to combine protective measures with an effective enforcement (Sadovy de Mitcheson *et al.*, 2013), and to promote greater public awareness, which can lead to support for legislation and action at the consumer end of the supply chain by empowering customers to make better seafood choices, for example, by avoiding the consumption or the catch of vulnerable species. In this sense, public awareness will contribute to the so-called "rewilding" initiatives, which are emerging as a promising restoration strategy in a human-dominated world to promote self-sustaining ecosystems and enhance the conservation status of biodiversity (Torres *et al.*, 2018). Finally, technical solutions aimed at avoiding/minimizing bycatch are needed to avoid the catch of vulnerable elasmobranchs, sea birds, mammals and turtles, including the prohibition of fishing in particular areas and seasons in which these animals appear in greater abundance.

These protective measures are not only necessary to safeguard vulnerable species from overfishing or extinction, but are also important in ensuring the favorable conservation status (FCS) of Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), which are strictly protected sites designated under the EU Habitats Directive in European waters. The vulnerable species affected by small-scale and recreational fisheries in coastal waters of the Mediterranean inhabit different habitats included in this Directive, such as Posidonia meadows and coralligenous assemblages. The protection of vulnerable species that are typical in these habitats is necessary to attain the desired FCS in European waters.

In some cases, the EU, national and/or regional managers have already begun to implement rules to protect vulnerable species from overfishing, enabling certain populations to recover – although such measures remain somewhat limited, particularly in coastal waters. There is, for

example, in French Mediterranean coastal waters (including Corsica), a ban on recreational hook and line fishing and spear fishing for brown meagre (*S. umbra*), and a ban on professional and recreational hook and line fishing for groupers (*Epinephelus* spp., *Mycteroperca rubra* and *Polyprion americanus*), until at least 2023. Also, recreational fishers are not allowed to catch the vulnerable decapods *Palinurus elephas* and *Scyllarides latus* in Spain, nor *S. latus* in France and Italy (furthermore, professional fishers in France are not allowed to catch *S. latus*) In Italy, it is forbidden to fish mature female of *P. elephas* and *Hommarus gammarus* by any recreational fishing method, and any crustacean with spearfishing. Although there is very little published information on illegal fisheries, there are, nevertheless, indications that poaching does occur; for example, in the MPA of Calanques (France), poachers are reported to be targeting the larger, older females of two protected species, the dusky grouper (*E. marginatus*) and the brown meagre (*Sciaena umbra*) (Astruch *et al.*, 2018).

In offshore waters, some legislative measures to protect vulnerable species are also in place. France for example has forbidden the on-board presence, landings and sale of swordfish by recreational fisheries (although catch and release is allowed in particular months). Also in France, the recreational fishing of *T. thynnus* requires a special authorization. Restrictive measures in France affect other pelagic vulnerable species, such as *Raja undulata*, fishing of which is forbidden. In Spain, recreational fishers cannot fish for pelagic sharks, such as *P. glauca*, although they have no obligation to report bycatch. As with recreational fisheries, the commercial fisheries of large pelagic fish are often regulated by specific national regulations. For example, in Spain, professional fishers are obliged to request specific authorization, and a catch and release declaration must be completed. Furthermore, protective measures have been

established for several large pelagic vulnerable species that can be caught by recreational fishing, including T. alalunga, T. thynnus, T. obesus, Makaira spp., Tetrapturus spp., Istiophorus albicans and X. gladius. Other regulations have also been established by the European Commission (EC), banning the catch, trade or landing of several shark species, including C. maximus, Z. zygaena, L. nasus and A. vulpinus, by recreational and professional fisheries. Furthermore, the EU, in the case of recreational fishing, authorizes the catch of only one individual swordfish per day and boat, with a minimum fork length of 100 cm or a minimum weight of 10.2 kg. Furthermore, the EU has banned the fishing of certain shark and elasmobranch species for all professional and recreational fishing fleets, while fishing for T. thynnus and X. gladius in the Mediterranean by small-scale fisheries is subject to closed seasons and quotas established by ICCAT in all contracting countries. Finally, some of the large vulnerable pelagic species such as Bluefin tuna and swordfish are subject to recovery plans that establish specific measures for small-scale and recreational fisheries throughout the Mediterranean or in certain specific areas. Finally, it must be pointed out that although this analysis provides a general picture of the potential impact of RF and SSF on vulnerable species, there are still some uncertainties regarding the results. For example, the fact that marine RF in Europe are usually subject to different national, regional and MPA legislation results in different restrictions on fishing methods and techniques (e.g. spear fishing is not allowed in a number of MPAs), different total catch limits for certain species, and different species authorized for catch; this, in turn, produces uncertainty with respect to the harvested biomass. Furthermore, the fact that the RF surveys are mostly carried out during the summer season may lead to an

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

underestimation of the impact of recreational fisheries on species that tend to be caught during the winter season, such as *D. labrax*.

In short, the results from this study indicate that despite the fact that recreational and small-scale fisheries in the western Mediterranean are often considered "low impact fisheries" compared to other larger-scale fishing methods, such as trawling and purse seining, they may still pose a threat to vulnerable species, whether they inhabit coastal or offshore waters, and whether they are targeted and commercialized, or unintentionally taken as bycatch and discarded. This threat may very well compromise the conservation of these vulnerable species — as well as the fisheries associated with them — if urgent and effective management actions (e.g. implementation of new fishing bans and minimum and maximum landing sizes; establishment of closed seasons, etc.) are not undertaken to protect them.

#### Supplementary data

Supplementary material is available at the ICESJMS online version of the manuscript

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the scientists and administrative personnel, including MPA managers and those in the fisheries administrations of Spain, France and Italy, who generously collaborated in this study by providing data and other types of information. This study was carried out within the framework of the EU Research Project SAFENET project ("Sustainable Fisheries in EU Mediterranean Waters through Network of MPAs.", Call for proposals MARE

/2014/41, Grant Agreement n. 721708). S. Biton-Porsmoguer benefited from a postdoctoral fellowship (Reference ROMP–2017–200053791).

561

562

576

577

578

25:456-463

559

560

#### References

- Abdul Malak, D., Livingstone, S.R., Pollard, D., Polidoro, B.A., Cuttelod, A., Bariche, M., 563 564 Bilecenoglu, M., Carpenter, K.E., et al. 2011. Overview of the Conservation Status of the 565 Marine Fishes of the Mediterranean Sea. Gland, Switzerland and Malaga, Spain: IUCN. vii + 61pp. 566 ADAP. 2017. Estudio socioeconómico, ambiental y legislativo de la pesca recreativa en el litoral 567 568 español. 388p. 569 Astruch, P., Boudouresque, C.F., Rouanet, E., Le Diréach, L., Bonhomme, P., Bonhomme, D., Goujard, A., et al. 2018. A quantitative and functional assessment of fish assemblages of 570 571 the Port-Cros Archipelago (Port-Cros National Park, north-western Mediterranean Sea). 572 Scientific Reports Port-Cros national Park, 32: 17-82 Ben Lamine, E., Di Franco, A., Salah Romdhane, M., and Francour, P. 2018. Comparing 573 commercial, recreational and illegal coastal fishery catches and their economic values: a 574 survey from the southern Mediterranean Sea. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 575
  - Bianchi, C.N., and Morri, C., 2000. Marine biodiversity of the Mediterranean Sea: situation, problems, and prospects for future research. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 40, 367–376.

579	Biton-Porsmoguer, S. 2017. Análisis de la explotación del pez espada (Xiphias gladius) y de la
580	tintorera (Prionace glauca) en el Mediterráneo occidental por la flota palangrera catalana
581	durante el periodo 2010-2015. Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía, 52(1): 175–
582	179.
583	Biton-Porsmoguer, S., and Lloret, J. 2018. Potential unsustainable fisheries of a critically
584	endangered pelagic shark species: The case of the blue shark (Prionace glauca) in the
585	Western Mediterranean Sea. Cybium, 42 (3): 299–302.
586	Burton, J. A. 2003. The context of red data books, with a complete bibliography of the IUCN
587	publications. Pages 291–300 in H. H. De Iongh, O. S. Banki, W. Bergmans, and M. J. van
588	der Werff ten Bosch, editors. The harmonization of red lists for threatened species in
589	Europe. The Netherlands Commission for International Nature Protection, Leiden.
590	Cheung, W.W.L., Pitcher, T.J., and Pauly, D. 2005. A fuzzy logic expert system to estimate
591	intrinsic extinction vulnerabilities of marine fishes to fishing. Biological Conservation,
592	124: 97–111.
593	Cheung, W.W.L., Watson, R., Morato, T., Pitcher, T.J., and Pauly, D. 2007. Intrinsic vulnerability
594	in the global fish catch. Marine Ecology-Progress Series, 333: 1–12.
595	Coll, J., Linde M., García-Rubies A., Riera F., and Grau A.M. 2004. Spear fishing in the Balearic
596	Islands (west central Mediterranean): species affected and catch evolution during the
597	period 1975–2001. Fisheries Research, 70: 97–111.

598	Coll, M., Carreras, M., Ciércoles, C., Cornax, M.J., Gorelli, G., Morote, E., and Saez, R., 2014.
599	Assessing fishing and marine biodiversity changes using fishers' perceptions: the Spanish
600	Mediterranean and Gulf of Cadiz case study. PLoS ONE 9, e85670.
601	Di Franco, A., G. Plass-Johnson, J., Di Lorenzo, M., Meola, B., Claudet, J., Gaines, S.D., García-
602	Charton, J.A., et al. 2018. Linking home ranges to protected area size: The case study of
603	the Mediterranean Sea. Biological Conservation, 221: 175-181
604	Dulvy, N.K., Sadovy, Y., and Reynolds, J.D. 2003. Extinction vulnerability in marine populations.
605	Fish and Fisheries, 4, 25-64.
606	Dulvy, N.K., Jennings, S., Rogers, S.I., and David, L. 2006. Threat and decline in fishes: an
607	indicator of marine biodiversity. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,
608	63(6): 1267–1275
609	European Parliament, 2014. Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 of the European Parliament and of
610	the Council of 15 May 2014 on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and repealing
611	Council Regulations (EC) No 2328/2003, (EC) No 861/2006, (EC) No 1198/2006 and (EC)
612	No 791/2007 and Regulation (EU) No 1255/2011 of the European Parliament and of the
613	Council
614	EC, 2014. Report from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament. The first
615	phase of implementation of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC). The
616	European Commission's assessment and guidance, 10.
617	FAO, 2018. The state of Mediterranean and Black Sea fisheries 2018. General Fisheries
618	Commission for the Mediterranean, Rome. 172 pp.

619 Farriols, M.T., Ordines, F., Somerfield, P. J., Pasqual, C., Hidalgo, M., Guijarro, B. and Massutí, E. 620 2017. Bottom trawl impacts on Mediterranean demersal fish diversity: not so obvious or are we too late? Continental Shelf Research, 137:84-102 621 Ferretti, F., Worm, B., Britten, G. L., Heithaus, M. R., and Lotze, H. K. 2010. Patterns and 622 623 ecosystem consequences of shark declines in the ocean. Ecology letters, 13(8): 1055-624 1071. Ferreti, F., Myers, R.A., Serena, F. and Lotze, H.K. 2008. Loss of large predatory sharks from 625 626 the Mediterranean Sea. Conservation Biology, 22(4): 952–964. Font, T., and Lloret, J. 2011. Biological implications of recreational shore angling and harvest in 627 628 a marine reserve: the case of Cape Creus. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 21: 210-217. 629 Font T., Lloret J., and Piante. C. 2012. Recreational fishing within Marine Protected Areas in the 630 Mediterranean. MedPAN North Project. WWF France. 168 pages. ISBN 979-10-92093-631 03-2 9791092093032 632 633 Font, T., and Lloret, J. 2014. Biological and ecological impacts derived from recreational fishing 634 in Mediterranean coastal areas. Reviews in Fisheries Science and Aquaculture, 22(1): 73-85. 635 Fowler, S.L., Cavanagh, R.D., Camhi, M.; Burgess, G.H., Cailliet, G.M., Fordham, S.V., 636 Simpendorfer, C.A., and Musick, J.A. (Ed.). 2005. Sharks, rays and chimaeras: the status 637 of the Chondrichthyan fishes. Status survey. IUCN/SSC Shark Specialist Group: Gland. 638

ISBN 2-8317-0700-5 X, 461 pp.

Froese, R., and Pauly, D. (Eds.) 2016. World Wide Web electronic publication 640 641 www.fishbase.org, version 06/2016. Giakoumi, S., Scianna, C., Plass-Johnson, J., Micheli, F., Grorud-Colvert, K., Thiriet, P., Claudet, J. 642 et al. 2017. Ecological effects of full and partial protection in the crowded 643 Mediterranean Sea: a regional meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 7: 8940 644 Gibson, C., Valenti, S.V., Fordham, S.V., and Fowler, S.L. 2008. The Conservation of Northeast 645 Atlantic Chondrichthyans: Report of the IUCN Shark Specialist Group Northeast Atlantic 646 Red List Workshop. viii + 76pp 647 Grau, A.M., Mayol, J., Oliver, J., Riera, F., and Riera, I. 2015. Llibre vermell dels peixos de les Illes 648 649 Balears. Conselleria de Medi Ambient, Agricultura i Pesca, 150 pp. 650 Guyader, O., Berthou, P., Koutsikopoulos, C., Alban, F., Demanèche, S., Gaspar, M.B., Eschbaum, R., Fahy, E., Tully, O., Reynal, L., Curtil, O., Frangoudes, K., and Maynou, F. 2013. Small 651 scale fisheries in Europe: a comparative analysis based on a selection of case studies 652 Fisheries Research, 140: 1-13 653 654 Harmelin-Vivien, M., Cottalorda, J-M., Dominici, J-M., Harmelin, J-G., Le Diréach, L., and Ruitton, 655 S. 2015. Effects of reserve protection level on the vulnerable fish species Sciaena umbra and implications for fishing management and policy. Global Ecology and Conservation, 3: 656 279-287. 657 Heupel, M.R., Knip, D.M., Simpfendorfer, C.A., and Dulvy, N.K. 2014. Sizing up the ecological 658 role of sharks as predators. Marine Ecology Progress Series 495:291-298

660	IUCN	(2019).	The	Mediterranean	in	figures.
661		https://www.iucn.or	g/regions/medit	erranean/about (last acc	cessed 20th M	arch 2019)
662	Hyder	K, Weltersbach MS	, Armstrong M	, Ferter K, Townhill B,	Ahvonen A,	et al. 2018.
663		Recreational sea fish	ning in Europe ir	a global context-Partic	ipation rates,	fishing effort,
664		expenditure, and in	nplications for m	nonitoring and assessm	ent. Fish and	Fisheries, 19:
665		225–243				
666	Kelleh	er, K. 2005. Discards	in the world's m	narine fisheries. An upda	ate. FAO Fishe	ries Technical
667		Paper. No. 470. Rom	ie, FAP. 2005. 13	<b>1</b> p.		
668	Ligas /	A., Osio G.C., Sartor P	., Sbrana M., an	d De Ranieri S. 2013. Lo	ong-term trajeo	ctory of some
669		elasmobranch speci	es off the Tusca	any coasts (NW Medite	rranean) from	1 50 years of
670		catch data. Scientia I	Marina, 77 (1): 1	19-127.		
671	Lloret	, J., Zaragoza, N., C	aballero, D., an	d Riera, V. 2008a. Bio	ological and s	ocioeconomic
672		implications of recre	eational boat fish	ing for the managemen	t of fishery res	sources in the
673		marine reserve of Ca	ap de Creus (NW	Mediterranean). Fisheri	es Research, 9	1: 252–259.
674	Lloret	, J., Zaragoza, N., Caba	allero, D., Font, 1	Γ., Casadevall, M., and R	iera, V. 2008b	. Spearfishing
675		pressure on fish co	ommunities in re	ocky coastal habitats in	າ a Mediterra	nean Marine
676		Protected Area. Fish	eries Research, 9	4: 84–91.		
677	Lloret	, J., Muñoz, M., and	Casadevall, M.	2012. Threats posed b	y artisanal fis	heries to the
678		reproduction of co	astal fish speci	es in a Mediterranea	n marine pro	otected area.
679		Estuarine, Coastal ar	nd Shelf Science,	113: 133–140.		

- 680 Lloret, J., and Font, T. 2013. A comparative analysis between recreational and artisanal fisheries 681 in a Mediterranean coastal area. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 20: 148–160. 682 Lloret, J., Cowx, I.G., Cabral, H., Castro, M., Font, T., Gonçalves, J.M.S., Gordoa, A., Hoefnagel, E., Matić-Skoko, S., Mikkelsen, E., Morales-Nin, B., Moutopoulos, D.K., Muñoz, M., Neves 683 dos Santos, M., Pintassilgo, P., Pita, C., Stergiou, K.I., Ünal, V., Veiga, P., and Erzini, K. 684 685 2018. Small-scale coastal fisheries in European Seas are not what they were: Ecological, social and economic changes. Marine Policy, 98: 176-186 686 687 Luna - Pérez, B., 2010. Anthropic impacts in Mediterranean Marine Protected Areas. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Alacant. 125pp 688 689 Marengo, M., Culioli, J.-M., Santoni, M.-C., Marchand, B. and Durieux, E.D.H. 2015. Comparative analysis of artisanal and recreational fisheries for Dentex dentex in a Marine Protected 690 691 Area. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 22: 249–260.
- Maynou, F., Sbrana, M., Sartor, P., Maravelias, C., Kavadas, S., Damalas, D., Cartes, J., and Osio,
   G., 2011. Estimating Trends of Population Decline in Long-Lived Marine Species in the
   Mediterranean Sea Based on Fishers' Perceptions. PLoS ONE, 6, e21818.
   McCauley, D.J., Pinsky, M.L., Palumbi, S.R., Estes, J.A., Joyce, F.H., Warner, R.R., et al. 2015.
   Marine defaunation: animal loss in the global ocean. Science, 347m 1255641. DOI:
- Mayol, J., Grau, A.M., Riera, F., and Oliver, J. 2000. Llista vermella dels peixos de les Balears.

  Documents Tècnics de Conservació, II(7): 1-126.

10.1126/science.1255641

- Meekan, M.G., McCormick, M.I., Simpson, S.D., Chivers, D.P., and Ferrari, M.C.O. 2018. Never
- 701 Off the Hook—How Fishing Subverts Predator-Prey Relationships in Marine Teleosts.
- 702 Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 6 (157):1-10
- 703 Miller, R.M., Rodríguez, J.P., Aniskowicz-Fowler, T., Bambaradeniya, C., Boles, R., Eaton, M.A.,
- Gärdenfors, U., Keller, V., Molur, S., Walker, S., and Pollock, C. 2006. National
- 705 Threatened Species Listing Based on IUCN Criteria and Regional Guidelines: Current
- Status and Future Perspectives. Conservation Biology, 21(3): 684–696.
- 707 Morales-Nin, B., Grau, A.M. and Palmer M. 2010. Managing coastal zone fisheries: A
- 708 Mediterranean case study. Ocean and Coastal Management, 53: 99–106.
- 709 Norse, E.A., Brooke, S, Cheung, W.W.L., Clark, M.R., Ekeland, I., Froese, R., Gjerde, K.M., et al.
- 710 2012. Sustainability of deep-sea fisheries. Marine Policy, 36: 307–320.
- 711 Rocklin, D., Tomasini J.A., Culioli J.M., Pelletier D., and Mouillot, D. 2011. Spear fishing
- regulation benefits artisanal fisheries: the ReGS Indicator and its application to a multiple
- use Mediterranean marine protected area. PLoS ONE, 6,e23820.
- 714 Rodrigues, A. S. L., Pilgrim, J. D., Lamoreux, J. F., Hoffman, M and Brooks, T. M. 2006. The Value
- of the IUCN Red List for conservation. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 21: 71–76.
- Sadovy de Mitcherson, Y., and Cheung, W.L. 2003. Near extinction of a highly fecund fish: the
- one that nearly got away. Fish and Fisheries, 4: 86–99.
- 718 Sadovy de Mitcheson, Y., Craig, M.T., Bertoncini, A.A., Carpenter, K.E., Cheung, W.W. L., Choat,
- 719 J. H., Cornish, A. S., et al. 2013. Fishing groupers towards extinction: a global assessment
- of threats and extinction risks in a billion dollar fishery. Fish and Fisheries, 14: 119-136.

721	Torres, A., Fernandez, N., zu Ermgassen, S., Helmer, W., Revilla, E., Saavedra, D., Perino, A. et al.
722	2018. Measuring rewilding progress. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B,
723	373: 20170433. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2017.0433
724	Tunca, S., Ünal, V., Miran, B., Güçlüsoy, H., and Gordoa, A. 2016. Biosocioeconomic analysis of
725	marine recreational fisheries: A comparative case study from the Eastern
726	Mediterranean, Turkey. Fisheries Research, 174:270-279
727	Tsagarakis, K., Palialexis, A., and Vassilopoulou, V. 2014. Mediterranean fishery discards: review
728	of the existing knowledge. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 71(5): 1219–1234.
729	Webb, T.J., and Mindel, B.L. 2015. Global patterns of extinction risk in marine and non-marine
730	systems. Current Biology, 25: 506-511.
731	Zeller, D., Cashion, T., Palomares, M. and Pauly, D. 2018. Global marine fisheries discards: a
732	synthesis of reconstructed data. Fish and Fisheries, 19:30-39
733	

#### FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Map of the study area showing the coastal areas and marine protected areas considered. The sites marked with the symbol \* are designated (wholly or partially) as Special Areas of Conservation (SAC). The code numbers are: 1-Bonifacio\*; 2-Bergeggi (adjacent area), 3-Cap Roux (adjacent area), 4-French Riviera\*, 5-Port-Cros\*, 6-Porquerolles\*, 7-Archipel des Embiez-Six Fours\*, 8-Archipel de Riou, 9-Côte Bleue\*, 10-Cap d'Agde\*, 11-Côte sableuse catalane, 12-Posidonies Côte des Albères \*, 13-Cerbère-Banyuls\*, 14-Cap de Creus\*, 15-Medes Islands\*, 16-Coast of Catalonia, 17-Serra Gelada\*, 18-Tabarca\*

Figure 2: Number of vulnerable species caught by each fishing method operating in coastal and offshore waters. The fishing methods are: Recreational fisheries (BF: boat fishing; SF: shore fishing; SP: spearfishing; OBF: offshore boat fishing) and small-scale fisheries (TR: trammel net; GN: gillnet; LL: longline; PN: pound net; OLL: offshore long line). The vulnerability categories are: LC: least concern with vulnerability index (IV)>60; NT: near threatened; VU: vulnerable; EN: endangered; CR: critically endangered; Other: species not on the IUCN Red List, but which are included in International Conventions (Barcelona, Bern and CITES conventions) and/or the EU Habitats Directive.

Figure 3: Weighted mean intrinsic vulnerability index (IV) by fishing type operating in coastal and offshore waters. Recreational fisheries (BF: boat fishing; SF: shore fishing; SP: spearfishing;

- OBF: offshore boat fishing) and small-scale fisheries (TR: trammel net; GN: gillnet; LL: longline;
- 756 PN: pound net; OLL: offshore long line)