



HAL
open science

New line positions analysis of the $2 \nu 1$ and $\nu 1 + \nu 3$ bands of NO 2 at 3637.848 and 2906.070 cm^{-1}

Agnès Perrin, L. Manceron, F. Kwabia Tchana

► To cite this version:

Agnès Perrin, L. Manceron, F. Kwabia Tchana. New line positions analysis of the $2 \nu 1$ and $\nu 1 + \nu 3$ bands of NO 2 at 3637.848 and 2906.070 cm^{-1} . *Molecular Physics*, 2020, 118 (11), pp.e1711235. 10.1080/00268976.2019.1711235 . hal-03034068

HAL Id: hal-03034068

<https://hal.science/hal-03034068v1>

Submitted on 22 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

New line positions analysis of the $2\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands of NO_2 at 3637.848 and 2906.070 cm^{-1}

Agnès Perrin, L. Manceron, and F. Kwabia Tchana

QUERY SHEET

This page lists questions we have about your paper. The numbers displayed at left are hyperlinked to the location of the query in your paper.

The title and author names are listed on this sheet as they will be published, both on your paper and on the Table of Contents. Please review and ensure the information is correct and advise us if any changes need to be made. In addition, please review your paper as a whole for typographical and essential corrections.

Your PDF proof has been enabled so that you can comment on the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat. For further information on marking corrections using Acrobat, please visit <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/acrobat.asp>; <https://authorservices.taylorandfrancis.com/how-to-correct-proofs-with-adobe/>

The CrossRef database (www.crossref.org/) has been used to validate the references.

AUTHOR QUERIES

QUERY NO.	QUERY DETAILS
Q1	Please check whether the affiliations have been set correctly.
Q2	Please note that the journal allows 3–5 keywords. Please edit keywords accordingly.
Q3	Please note that the Funding section has been created by summarising information given in your acknowledgements. Please correct if this is inaccurate.
Q4	The funding information provided (CNRS) has been checked against the Open Funder Registry and we found a partial match with “CNRS”. Please check and resupply the funding details.
Q5	An opening quotation mark seems to be missing following “... to assess the CO_2 content”. Please indicate where it should be placed.
Q6	Equations have renumbered. Please confirm.
Q7	The disclosure statement has been inserted. Please correct if this is inaccurate.
Q8	The reference [28] is listed in the references list but is not cited in the text. Please either cite the reference or remove it from the references list.
Q9	The reference [29] is listed in the references list but is not cited in the text. Please either cite the reference or remove it from the references list.
Q10	The reference [31] is listed in the references list but is not cited in the text. Please either cite the reference or remove it from the references list.

HRMS 2019



New line positions analysis of the $2\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands of NO_2 at 3637.848 and 2906.070 cm^{-1}

Agnès Perrin^a, L. Manceron^{b,c} and F. Kwabia Tchana^d

^aLaboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique/IPSL, UMR CNRS 8539, Ecole Polytechnique, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France; ^bLigne AILES, Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, Gif-sur-Yvette, France; ^cMONARIS, UMR 8233, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France; ^dLaboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques (LISA), UMR CNRS 7583, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL), Université de Paris et Université Paris Est Créteil, Créteil, France

ABSTRACT


Using a high-resolution Fourier transform spectrum recorded at SOLEIL for a rather large value of the (pressure \times path length) product a new investigation of the very weak $2\nu_1$ absorption band of nitrogen dioxide, located at 2627.377 cm^{-1} was performed, together with an extension up to higher N and K_a values of a previous investigation of the strong $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band [J.-Y. Mandin, V. Dana, A. Perrin, J.-M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret, L. Régalia and A. Barbe, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **181**, 379 (1997)]. The $2\nu_1$ lines proved to be perturbed by local vibration-rotation resonances which couple the (2,0,0) energy levels with those of the (1,2,0) and (1,0,1) states. Also the (1,0,1) energy levels are also coupled by a C-type Coriolis resonance with those of the (1,2,0) and (2,0,0) energy levels. The final energy levels calculation involves six interacting states of NO_2 , $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,0,2), (0,4,0), (0,0,2)\}$. An estimation of line intensities parameters was performed for the very weak $2\nu_1$ band. Finally a list of line parameters (positions, intensities and shapes) for the $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands of NO_2 , was generated and is now included in the GEISA database (<https://geisa.aeris-data.fr/>).

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 17 October 2019
Accepted 13 December 2019

KEYWORDS

$^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$; nitrogen dioxide; Fourier transform spectroscopy; electron spin-rotation resonances; Coriolis resonances; Fermi resonances; line positions; line intensities; 3.8 and $3.44 \mu\text{m}$ regions; GEISA



1. Introduction

Nitrogen dioxide ($^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$) is an asymmetric rotor with an unpaired electron. In the infrared region, the electron spin-rotation interaction causes a doublet structure, usually observable, while in the microwave and far-infrared

spectral regions, the hyperfine structure due to the $I = 1$ nuclear spin is observable [1,2].

In addition, rovibrational interactions are to be accounted for in order to reproduce the measured line positions and intensities. Starting with the first triad of

CONTACT Agnès Perrin ✉ agnes.perrin@lmd.polytechnique.fr Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique/IPSL, UMR CNRS 8539, Ecole Polytechnique, Université Paris-Saclay, RD36, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2019.1711235>

Table 1. Positions and relative band intensities of the $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$, $\nu_1 + \nu_3$, $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$, and $2\nu_3$ bands of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$.

Band	$2\nu_1$	$\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$	$\nu_1 + \nu_3$	$4\nu_2$	$2\nu_2 + \nu_3$	$2\nu_3$
Band centre	2627.37672	2805.80	2906.0706	2992.782	3092.4757	3201.4481
Type	B-type	B-type	A-type	B-type	A-type	B-type
Ratio ^a	$\sim 1/350$	$\sim 1/3000$	1.	$\sim 1/10,000$	$\sim 1/460$	$\sim 1/780$
Ref.:	This work	[7]	[7]	[5]	[5]	[5]

^aCaption: Ratio = $\text{Int}(\text{band})/\text{Int}(\nu_1 + \nu_3)$.

interacting states $\{(1,0,0), (0,2,0), (0,0,1)\}$, strong **second-order** C-type Coriolis resonances are coupling the spin-rotational levels of the (ν_1, ν_2, ν_3) and $(\nu_1, \nu_2 \pm 2, \nu_3 + 1)$ vibrational states. See for example our papers [3–9]. In addition, for the first triad, the (1,0,0) and (0,0,1) energy levels involving rather high K_a values ($15 \geq K_a \geq 10$) [3] are coupled through a **first-order** C-type Coriolis resonance. Finally, at higher energies ($E_v \geq 5984 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), additional high order vibration-rotation interactions involving vibrational states differing by a large number of vibrational quanta were identified [9].

Before going into details, one has to point out that the goal of the present study is restricted to the investigations of the $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ and $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ cold bands of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$. To perform a new investigation of the $2\nu_1$ band located at $2627.3767 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, on the **low**-frequency range of the significantly stronger $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band, at 2906.074 cm^{-1} , we recorded a Fourier transform spectrum (FTS) for a rather large (pressure \times path length) product. It proved that the spectrum recorded in conditions suitable for the investigation of the $2\nu_1$ band, is almost completely saturated in the $2850\text{--}2930 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ spectral range which corresponds to the lines involving low or medium N and K_a values of the much stronger $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band. However, by analysing the spectrum in the wings of $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ spectral range or in small windows within strong lines, we could pursue up to higher N and K_a values the investigation of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band that we performed some years ago [7]. So the present study includes also a new investigation of the stronger $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band.

First, it is necessary to describe the status of these bands in the literature. For reasons which will become clear later in the text, this short review will also include a description of the most recent analysis of the $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$, and $2\nu_3$ band of NO_2 [5]. To help the reading of this manuscript, Table 1 gives the positions and relative band intensities of the $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$, $\nu_1 + \nu_3$, $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$, and $2\nu_3$ bands.

1.1. The $2\nu_1$ band

The $2\nu_1$ B-type band was investigated at grating spectroscopy resolution by Cabana *et al.* [10]. This first investigation led to the identification of (2,0,0)

spin-rotational energy levels up to $N = 57$ and $K_a = 10$. The spin-rotation effect was accounted for using a 2d order perturbation treatment [11]. No noticeable vibrational-rotational resonance was explicitly observed during the analysis. However, it proved that the (2,0,0) energy levels involving K_a values with $K_a \geq 9$ could not satisfactorily reproduced by the computation using a Watson type rotational Hamiltonian and were therefore removed from the final computation. Also Cabana *et al.* [10] mentioned that the $2\nu_1$ band exhibits severe internal intensity anomalies. Briefly speaking, the transitions located in the **low**-frequency side of the $2\nu_1$ band, which corresponds to the ^PP-branch, are quite weak. Therefore about 80% of the band intensity is located on the **high**-frequency side of the band origin, corresponding to the Q and ^RR-branch.

1.2. The $\nu_1 \pm \nu_3$ band [7] and the $2\nu_2 \pm \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$, and $2\nu_3$ [5] interacting bands of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$

The most recent and complete investigations of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band and of the $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$, and $2\nu_3$ interacting bands were performed using high-resolution Fourier transform spectra recorded at high resolution ($R \sim 0.003 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) in the $2633\text{--}2970 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [7] and $3000\text{--}3400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [5], spectral ranges, respectively. The results of the assignments (number of lines, numbers of upper state energy levels, maximum values of K_a and N) are described shortly in Table 2. During the energy level computations, both the spin-rotational interactions within each vibrational state, and the vibration-rotation interactions were explicitly accounted for. For the $\{(1,2,0), (1,0,1)\}$ diad [7], the $(1,2,0) \longleftrightarrow (1,0,1)$ C-type Coriolis resonances, although not negligible, are rather weak, and this is why no line belonging to the $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ dark band could be identified during this 1997 study [7]. On the other hand, for the $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$, and $2\nu_3$ bands, the C-type Coriolis resonances coupling $(0,2,1) \longleftrightarrow (0,4,0)$, and $(0,2,1) \longleftrightarrow (0,0,2)$ resonating energy levels are rather strong. This explains why numerous $4\nu_2$ band lines involving $K_a = 5$ in the (0,4,0) upper level could be observed.

In addition, a large set of individual experimental line intensities were measured during both analyses, leading

221 **Table 2.** Range of the observed energy levels and statistical analysis of the results of the energy level calculation.

	Nb of lines	Nb of levels	N max	K_a max		
	(2,0,0)–(0,0,0)		52	10	Ref. [10]	
226	(2,0,0)–(0,0,0)	2188	992	62	12	This work
	(1,0,1)–(0,0,0)	856	550	75	14	This work
	(1,0,1)–(0,0,0)	1228	746	55	10	Ref. [7]
	Total: (1,0,1)–(0,0,0)		1296	75	14	Ref.[7] and this work
	(1,2,0)–(0,0,0)	41	41	27–57	$K_a = 6$ (mainly) and $K_a = 3$ and 5	This work
231	(0,2,1)–(0,0,0)	970	496	49	6	Ref. [5]
	(0,4,0)–(0,0,0)	76	41	27	$K'_a = 5$	Ref. [5]
	(0,0,2)–(0,0,0)	1097	490	60	8	Ref. [5]

236 to the determination of the transition operators for the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band [7] and of the $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$, and $2\nu_3$ [5] interacting bands. Finally, comprehensive lists of line positions and intensities for the interacting $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands [7] and for the $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$ and $2\nu_3$ [5] interacting bands of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$ have been generated covering the 3.4 and 3.2 μm regions, respectively.

241 The $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band of nitrogen dioxide was also the subject of line broadening studies [12], and the list of line positions, intensities and line shape parameters generated for the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$ at 3.44 μm is now implemented in HITRAN [13] and the GEISA [14] databases. These GEISA and HITRAN line lists include also parameters belonging to the $\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 - \nu_2$ first hot band at 3.5 μm . Let us note that the $\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3$ band at 3637.8479 cm^{-1} was the subject of a recent investigation [6], leading to a better energy level prediction for the (1,1,1) upper vibrational state.

246 Finally, let us mention the analysis performed for the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band for the $^{15}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$ species of nitrogen dioxide at 2858.7077 cm^{-1} , which contributes to about 0.364% to the band intensity in the 3.49 μm region [15].

1.3. The recent HITEMP database

261 The aim of the HITEMP ('High-TEMPerature molecular spectroscopic database') [16] is to model gas phase spectra for high-temperature applications. The most recent update [17] of this database involves the NO_2 molecule for the first time. For this species, a composite linelist was generated by extending the current HITRAN2016 linelist [13] using inputs from the recent NDS-1000 [18,19] line list. For the vibrational transitions (like (1,0,1)–(0,0,0)) which are already considered in HITRAN, the NDS-1000 has provided an extension of the current list up to the higher N and K_a values. HITEMP also includes linelists for several cold and hot bands which, up to now, are missing

276 in the current HITRAN or GEISA databases. Indeed, only the first hot bands ($\nu_2 - \nu_2$, $2\nu_2 - \nu_2$, $\nu_2 + \nu_3 - \nu_2$, and $\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 - \nu_2$), are, up to now, considered in HITRAN or GEISA.

281 The present study uses an FT spectrum recorded in the 2400–2960 cm^{-1} spectral region for a NO_2 sample at $T = 296$ K temperature and for a large (pressure \times path length) product. Therefore, the line parameters quoted in HITEMP which are missing in HITRAN and are of interest for this study are mainly those belonging either to the weak $2\nu_1$ band or to the various hot bands associated to $\nu_1 + \nu_3$. However, it is clear that, in the high-frequency range of our spectrum ($\sigma \geq 2920$ cm^{-1}) which corresponds to the R-branch, the hot bands give rise to little contribution at 296 K and we do not distinguish the difference between the predictions provided by HITEMP and HITRAN or GEISA.

2. Experimental details

296 A high-resolution absorption spectrum of nitrogen dioxide was recorded on the Bruker IFS125HR Fourier transform spectrometer on the AILES Beamline at Synchrotron SOLEIL coupled to the newly developed corrosive gas multipass cell [20] set to a 10.88 m path length. The instrument was equipped with a Si/CaF₂ beamsplitter, InSb detector. The spectral resolution was chosen to give an apparatus function (0.0028 cm^{-1}) smaller than the Doppler width (ca. 0.0048 cm^{-1}) in the considered spectral domain. The spectrometer was evacuated to about 5×10^{-3} Pa in order to minimise H_2O and CO_2 absorptions. The instrument was operated with a 1.3 mm diameter entrance aperture and a quartz-halogen source, as the synchrotron source presents no advantage at this resolution in this spectral domain. The spectrum was ratioed against a single channel background spectrum of the empty cell which was recorded at a resolution of 0.04 cm^{-1} in order to ensure the best possible signal-to-noise in the ratioed spectrum. For the Fourier transform, a Mertz-phase correction with 2 cm^{-1} phase resolution, a zero-filling factor of 2 and no apodization (boxcar option) were applied to the averaged interferograms (896 scans). The spectrum was calibrated with residual CO_2 lines observed in the spectrum with their wavenumbers taken from HITRAN [13]. The standard deviation after calibration with well isolated CO_2 lines is 0.00005 cm^{-1} (one standard deviation). Thus, the estimated frequency accuracy of our measured lines is thus close to the CO_2 calibration lines reported accuracy (0.0001 cm^{-1}), perhaps 0.0002 cm^{-1} .

311 The NO_2 gas bottle used (Sigma-Aldrich, France 99.5%) was found to contain NO , N_2O and other impurities at a much higher level than the stated purity. It

331 was first purified following the standard procedure [3]
 by pumping on the frozen solid at about 200 K until the
 bluish colour due to the formation of N_2O_3 disappeared.
 This eliminated about 80% of the main impurities. We
 thus added a further step by letting 5 mmoles of the gas
 336 mixture react with about 0.5 mmole of ozone, prepared
 separately from 99.999% pure O_2 . The remaining ozone
 and oxygen were removed by pumping above a cold bath
 at about 210 K. This successfully removed the NO and
 N_2O traces. The total pressure was measured using a
 341 Pfeiffer 10 hPa capacitive gauge. A small contamination
 due to CO_2 remained visible, but could be quantified to
 about 0.2% of the gas sample, using IR integrated inten-
 sity measurements and mass spectrometry. The MS mea-
 surements were collected from the same gas sample flask,
 with an instrument connected to the gas handling man-
 ifold. These were compared to a background spectrum
 of the instrument and collected within a few minutes to
 Q5 assess the CO_2 content'.

351 Assuming the contribution of foreign gases negligible,
 the total pressure (5.1 ± 0.1 hPa) can be attributed to
 the mixing of the monomer (NO_2) and dimer (N_2O_4) forms
 of nitrogen dioxide. These two forms exist in equilibrium,
 according to the equation ($2NO_2 \leftrightarrow N_2O_4$), with:

$$356 \quad P^2(NO_2) = K_P P(N_2O_4) \quad (1)$$

where K_P is the equilibrium constant between NO_2 and
 N_2O_4 , whose value depends on temperature [21] (at
 296 K, $K_P = 123.3$ hPa) $P(NO_2)$ and $P(N_2O_4)$ are the
 361 partial pressures of the monomer and dimer, respec-
 tively. In such conditions, the monomer (NO_2) and
 dimer (N_2O_4) partial pressures can be estimated at about
 $P(NO_2) \approx 4.9 \pm 0.1$ hPa, $P(N_2O_4) \leq 0.2$ hPa.

366 3. Analysis

3.1. Overview of the analysis

A global view of the $2\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ absorption
 bands (2627.3767 and 2906.074 cm^{-1} , respectively, for
 371 $^{14}N^{16}O_2$), is given on Figure 1. Also, detailed views of
 several spectral regions are presented in Figures 2–10.
 Because the $2\nu_1$ band is very weak relative to $\nu_1 + \nu_3$
 (see Table 1), the spectrum was recorded for a large
 (pressure \times path length) product. In such conditions,
 376 the 2850 – 2930 cm^{-1} region, which corresponds to the
 $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band and its associated hot bands, is almost sat-
 urated. However, several windows of transparency exist
 which could be used to extend up to higher N and K_a
 values the analysis previously performed for $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ by
 381 Mandin *et al.* [7]. The analysis of the $2\nu_1$ band and the
 extension of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ assignment was complicated for
 several reasons.

- (i) These NO_2 bands are partially overlapped by the 386
 rather strong $\nu_1 + \nu_{11}$ and $\nu_5 + \nu_{11}$ bands of the
 N_2O_4 dimer located at 2631.5 and 2973.0 cm^{-1} [22],
 respectively.
- (ii) Assignments in the far wings of the R-branch 391
 of $2\nu_1$ and the P-branch of $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands are
 difficult because the lines are overlapped in the
 2804 – 2850 cm^{-1} region, by transitions belonging to
 the hot bands associated to $\nu_1 + \nu_3$.

According to the HITEMP predictions [17], the first 396
 hot bands associated to $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ are in the following
 $R(Hot) = Int(Hot_band)/Int(\nu_1 + \nu_3)$, intensity ratio at
 296 K:

$$R(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 - \nu_2) = 0.025, \quad 401$$

$$R(2\nu_1 + \nu_3 - \nu_1) = 0.0032,$$

$$R(\nu_1 + 2\nu_3 - \nu_3) = 0.00077,$$

$$R(\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 - 2\nu_2) = 0.00064. \quad (2)$$

This means that, at 296 K, the $\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 - \nu_2$ and
 $2\nu_1 + \nu_3 - \nu_1$ hot bands are, respectively, ten times and
 twice as strong as $2\nu_1$. Although weaker, the contribu-
 tions of the $\nu_1 + 2\nu_3 - \nu_3$ and $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 - 2\nu_2$ bands
 remain non-negligible as compared to $2\nu_1$. 411

To illustrate this point, Figure 2 gives a portion of
 the observed spectrum in the 2790 – 2830 cm^{-1} spectral
 region. The global structure of the computed 'HITEMP'
 spectrum ($2\nu_1$ band, $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band together with the asso-
 ciated hot bands) is in agreement with the observed spec- 416
 trum. Unfortunately the HITEMP linelist proved to be
 not accurate enough for the identification of the inter-
 fering lines belonging to these hot bands. This is illus-
 trated as an example on Figure 3 which gives the detailed
 view of this inter-comparison in the 2814.7 – 2815.5 cm^{-1} 421
 spectral region.

- (iii) The prediction provided by the HITEMP database 426
 for the $2\nu_1$ band was not useful either. One of the
 reasons lies in the incorrect line intensity pattern
 provided for this band by HITEMP.

Figure 4 gives an overview of the present spectrum
 in the 2520 – 2790 cm^{-1} spectral range, together with the
 computed spectra generated for NO_2 using the $2\nu_1$ band 431
 linelists available in the HITEMP [17] and during the
 present work. For this inter-comparison, the HITEMP
 intensities were globally multiplied by a factor of ~ 2.8 in
 order to account for the fact that the $2\nu_1$ band intensity is
 underestimated by this factor in HITEMP. Figure 5 gives 436
 a detailed view of the P-branch in the 2537 cm^{-1} spec-
 tral region. It is clear that the observed $2\nu_1$ line intensity




Figure 1. Overview of the $2\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands. The observed spectrum is compared to the present calculation.




Figure 2. Detailed view and comparison of the predicted and observed NO₂ 2790–2830 cm⁻¹ R_9 and R_{10} $2\nu_1$ and onset of $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ P branches. For clarity, the calculated plots are shifted above (this work) and below (HITEMP) the observed line. The arrows region ($< >$) is viewed in more detail in the following figure.




Figure 3. Detailed view of the 2815 cm⁻¹ spectral region. The upper and lower traces compare the observed spectrum to line by line models performed using the HITEMP linelist (all bands) and the present calculation ($2\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands), respectively. On the lower trace, some assignments are given for lines belonging to the $2\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands and involving high N or K_a values.




Figure 4. Overview of the $2\nu_1$ band in the 2500–2800 cm⁻¹ spectral region. The observed spectrum (medium trace) is compared to line by line models of the $2\nu_1$ band performed using the HITEMP database [HITEMP] (bottom trace) and the linelist generated during this work (upper trace). For an easier inter-comparison, all HITEMP line intensities were multiplied, arbitrarily, by the band intensity ratio ($R = \frac{\text{ThisWork Int}(2\nu_1)}{\text{HITEMP Int}(2\nu_1)} \sim 2.8$) of the $2\nu_1$ band in these two linelists. Clearly the intensity pattern of the $2\nu_1$ band, with a very weak P-branch, also differs from the typical B-type scheme described by HITEMP. Note the absorption at 2631.5 cm⁻¹ due to the $\nu_1 + \nu_{11}$ band of N₂O₄ [22].

661

716

666

Colour online, B/W in print

721

671


726

676

731

681

736



686

741

Figure 5. Portion of the observed spectrum in the $2536.8\text{--}2537.7\text{ cm}^{-1}$ spectral region. Lines from the PQ -branch of the $2\nu_1$ band are identified by the N value for the (+) and (-) spin-rotation components. The comparisons with the HITEMP and present linelists are also given.

691

Colour online, B/W in print

746

696


751

701

756

706

761



711

766

Figure 6. Portion of the NO_2 spectrum in the 2884 cm^{-1} region. The assignment for the $^Q_{Q_{12}}$ subband of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band is given. A comparison between the observed and calculated spectra is given, showing the progress achieved by the new $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ linelist as compared to the old one (HITRAN, GEISA).




Figure 7. Portion of the NO₂ spectrum in the 2924 cm⁻¹ region. Several lines belonging to the $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ dark band are observed for the first time. A comparison between the observed and calculated spectra is given, showing the progress achieved for the new $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ linelist as compared to the old one (HITRAN, GEISA). For the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band, the quoted assignments are the $[N, K_a, K_c]$ rotational quantum numbers in the (1,0,1) upper state, with '+' and '-' for $J = N + 1/2$ and $J = N - 1/2$, respectively.




Figure 8. Portion of the NO₂ spectrum in the 2926 cm⁻¹ region corresponding to the R-branch of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band. The quoted assignments indicate that the $K_a = 8$ transitions are perturbed, and the comparisons between the observed and calculated spectra show the progress achieved for the new $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ linelist as compared to HITRAN-GEISA linelist. For the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band, the quoted assignments are the $[N, K_a, K_c]$ rotational quantum numbers in the (1,0,1) upper state, with '+' and '-' for $J = N + 1/2$ and $J = N - 1/2$, respectively. For clarity, the calculated plots are shifted above the observed line.




Figure 9. Portion of the NO_2 spectrum in the 2935 cm^{-1} region. For the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band, the quoted assignments are the $[N, K_a, K_c]$ rotational quantum numbers in the $(1,0,1)$ upper state, with '+' and '-' for $J = N + 1/2$ and $J = N - 1/2$, respectively. The forbidden $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ $[57,3,55]$ - $[56,0,56]$ doublet lines is observed for the first time, because of a local resonance coupling together the $(1,0,1)$ $[N = 57, K_a = 0, K_c = 57]$ and $(1,2,0)$ $[57, K_a = 3, K_c = 55]$ resonating energy levels. A comparison between the observed and the calculated spectra using the present computation and the HITRAN-GEISA linelist is also given. For clarity, the calculated plots are shifted above and below the observed line.




Figure 10. Portion of the NO_2 spectrum in the 2938.6 cm^{-1} region. Several doublet lines belonging to the $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ dark band, involving $K'_a = 6, K''_a = 5$ in the $(1,2,0)$ upper state, are observed for the first time. A comparison between the observed and calculated spectra (present work and the HITRAN-GEISA linelists) is given. For clarity, the calculated plots are shifted above and below the observed line.

991 pattern, with a very weak P-branch, differs significantly
from the HITEMP prediction which corresponds to the
‘classical’ scheme for a B-type band.

996 **3.2. Generation of the predicting linelist and assignments**

The analysis of the $2\nu_1$ band and the updated assignments
of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band were initiated by using predicting line
lists (positions and intensities). For symmetry reasons,
1001 the $2\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands of nitrogen dioxide are B-type
and A-type bands, respectively, with ($\Delta K_a = \text{odd}$ and
 $\Delta K_c = \text{odd}$), and ($\Delta K_a = \text{even}$ and $\Delta K_c = \text{odd}$) selec-
tion rules, respectively. For this molecule, only $\Delta K_a = 1$
and $\Delta K_a = 0$ transitions are usually observable for B-
1006 type and A-type bands. Due to the spin-rotation inter-
action, each $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$ transition is split in two sub com-
ponents, which are most of the time easily observable in
this spectral region.

The theoretical model used during this work accounts
1011 explicitly for both the spin-rotation resonances and for
various vibration-rotational resonances and, as detailed
in the next paragraph, this model was improved gradually
during the assignment process. During the whole study,
the ground state energy levels were calculated using the
1016 (0,0,0) ground state parameters of Ref. [23]. Finally the
line intensities were computed using a method which will
be presented further in the text.

As far as the $2\nu_1$ band is concerned, the first set of
computed (2,0,0) energy levels were generated using,
1021 for the (2,0,0) upper state, the parameters (band centres,
rotational, spin-rotation) generated in Ref. [10]. This pre-
dicted linelist helped us to perform the first assignments.

In parallel, the assignment of $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ lines involving
high N and K_a values was also performed using the same
1026 method. These weaker lines were observed in the far wing
of the R-branch of $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ or in several rather clear win-
dows of transparency in the $3.44\ \mu\text{m}$ region within very
strong lines belonging either to the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band or to
various hot bands. In a similar way and for the first time,
1031 several lines could be identified for the dark $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$
band: these very weak transitions could be observed
in case of local resonances involving the (1,0,1) and
(1,2,0) interacting states. These weak transitions are quite
observable on Figures 7, 9, and 10. At a starting point of
1036 the identification process of these $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ and $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$
transitions, we used the parameters generated in Ref. [7]
for the computation of the {(1,2,0), (1,0,1)} upper levels.

The calculated ground state energy levels were added
to the observed line positions assigned during this work
1041 and during our previous investigations of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$
band [7] to get a preliminary set of experimental upper
state spin-rotational energy levels for the (2,0,0), (1,0,1)

and (1,2,0) states. These upper state levels were then
introduced in a least squares fit to get refined values for
the upper states parameters, therefore perform next new
assignments, and then improve the model and the accu-
racy of the parameters, allowing then further new assign-
1051 ments. As it will be discussed later in the text, the final
resonating scheme involves six resonating states {(2,0,0),
(1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)}, and we included
in our list of experimental data the (1,0,1), (0,4,0), and
(0,2,1) energy levels measured during the investigation
of the $4\nu_2$, $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$, and $2\nu_3$ bands [5].
1056

These iterative processes were carried out until it was
no more possible to perform further assignments. Table 2
describes the results of the present analyses which rep-
resent a very significant progress as compared to what
could be done for the $2\nu_1$ ($N \leq 56, K_a \leq 10$) and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$
1061 ($N \leq 55, K_a \leq 10$) bands in Refs. [10] and [7], respec-
tively. The list of observed line positions is given in
supplementary data.

4. Theoretical model for the energy level computation

4.1. Description of the model

1071 In this work, the v -diagonal operators are the sum of
the Watson’s type rotational operator [24] and of an
electronic spin-rotational interaction operator [25]. Both
operators are written for an A-type reduction and in
an I^Γ representation. The $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) \longleftrightarrow (\nu'_1, \nu'_2, \nu'_3)$
1076 ν_2 -off-diagonal operators are Fermi-type or C-type Corio-
lis operators, for $|\Delta \nu_3| = \text{even}$ and $|\Delta \nu_3| = \text{odd}$, respec-
tively.

In the very first step of the assignment process, the
1081 $2\nu_1$ band was considered as an isolated band. However,
it was clear that the transitions involving high K_a values
($K_a \geq 8$) in the upper levels could not be satisfactorily
reproduced. The very first identified resonance is a weak
 $|\Delta K_a| = 2$ Fermi resonance which involves the $K_a = 8$
1086 energy levels of (2,0,0) with those in $K'_a = 6$ of (1,2,0).
Starting for $K_a \geq 9$, the (2,0,0) levels are also involved
in an additional C-type Coriolis resonance which cou-
ples levels in K_a of (2,0,0) to those in $K'_a = K_a - 1$ of
(1,0,1). This is observed on Figure 6: indeed the transi-
1091 tions involving $K_a = 12$ in the (1,0,1) state are not pre-
dicted correctly by the HITRAN-GEISA linelist. Finally,
when pursuing the investigation of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band, it
appeared that, starting from $N = 65$, the (1,0,1) energy
levels involving $K_a = 8$ are perturbed through a C-type
Coriolis resonance with those in $K'_a = 5$ values of the
1096 (0,0,2) state. This is clearly observable in Figure 8 which
shows that the $K_a = 8$ lines are shifted relative to their

1101 **Table 3.** Hamiltonian matrix for the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ interacting vibrational states of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$. 1156

	(2,0,0)	(1,2,0)	(1,0,1)	(0,4,0)	(0,2,1)	(0,0,2)
(2,0,0)	$W + SR$	F	C			
(1,2,0)	F	$W + SR$	C			
(1,0,1)	C	C	$W + SR$			C
(0,4,0)				$W + SR$	C	
(0,2,1)				C	$W + SR$	C
(0,0,2)			C		C	$W + SR$

1106 1161

Example of a Watson 'W' and spin-rotational 'SR' v-diagonal block:

	(v_1, v_2, v_3)	
	$N = J - 1/2$	$N = J + 1/2$
(v_1, v_2, v_3)	$N = J - 1/2$ $N = J + 1/2$	$W_{v_1 v_2} + SR_{v_1 v_2}$ $SR_{v_1 v_2}$
		$SR_{v_1 v_2}$ $W_{v_1 v_2} + SR_{v_1 v_2}$

1111 1166

Example of a Coriolis 'C' vibrational off-diagonal block (same form for a 'F' Fermi term):

	(v_1, v_2, v_3)	
	$N = J - 1/2$	$N = J + 1/2$
(v'_1, v'_2, v'_3)	$N = J - 1/2$ $N = J + 1/2$	$C_{v'v}$ (resp. $F_{v'v}$)
		$C_{v'v}$ (resp. $F_{v'v}$)

1116 1171

Notes: W_{vv} : Watson's A-type I' representation Hamiltonian.

$$W_{vv} = E_v + [A^v - 1/2(B^v + C^v)]N_z^2 + 1/2(B^v + C^v)N^2 + 1/2(B^v - C^v)N_{xy}^2 - \Delta_K^v N_z^4 - \Delta_{JK}^v N_z^2 N^2 - \Delta_{\Delta}^v (N^2)^2 - \delta\delta_K^v \{N_z^2, N_{xy}^2\} - 2\delta_J^v N_{xy}^2 N^2 + H_K^v N_z^6 + H_{JK}^v N_z^4 N^2 + H_{JK}^v N_z^2 (N^2)^2 + H_J^v (N^2)^3 + h_K^v \{N_z^4, N_{xy}^2\} + h_{KJ}^v \{N_z^2, N_{xy}^2\} N^2 + 2h_J^v N_{xy}^2 (N^2)^2 + \dots$$

1121 1176

SR_{vv} : Electron spin-rotation interactions.

$$SR_{vv} = \varepsilon_{aa}^v S_a N_a + \varepsilon_{bb}^v S_b N_b + \varepsilon_{cc}^v S_c N_c + \Delta_N^{vS} (\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{S}) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{NK}^{vS} \{N^2 N_z S_z + S_z N_z N^2\} + \Delta_{KN}^{vS} N_z^2 (\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{S}) + \Delta_K^v N_z^2 S_z + \delta_N^{vS} (N_+^2 + N_-^2) (\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{S}) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_K^{vS} \{(N_+^2 + N_-^2) N_z S_z + N_z S_z (N_+^2 + N_-^2)\}$$

1126 1181

C_{vv} : Coriolis-type interactions (for $|\Delta v_3| = \text{odd}$).

$C_{vv} = h_{vv}^{1C} iN_y + h_{vv}^{2C} \{iN_y, N_z^2\} + h_{vv}^{3C} iN_y N^2 + h_{vv}^{4C} \{N_x, N_z\} + h_{vv}^{5C} \{N_z^2, \{N_x, N_z\}\} + h_{vv}^{7C} (N_-^2 - N_+^2) + \dots$ H_{vv}^{Anh} : Anharmonic interactions, Fermi or Darling-Dennison, with $Anh = F$ and $Anh = DD$, respectively, with a Fermi resonance.

$F_{vv} = h_{vv}^{0F} + h_{vv}^{1F} N^2 + h_{vv}^{2F} N_z^2 + h_{vv}^{4F} (N_x^2 - N_y^2)$ with: $N_{xy}^2 = N_x^2 - N_y^2$, $N_{\pm} = N_x \mp iN_y$, and $\{X, Y\} = XY + YX$.

1131 1186

computed positions in HITRAN or GEISA, while this is not the case for $K_a = 7$.

Including the (0,0,2) state in the resonating scheme has for consequence that six interacting states, $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ have to be considered altogether during the final computation of energy levels. Let us remind that the $(0,4,0) \rightleftharpoons (0,2,1)$ and $(0,2,1) \rightleftharpoons (0,0,2)$ spin-rotation energy levels are also coupled by C-type Coriolis through (v_1, v_2, v_3) and $(v_1, v_2 \pm 2, v_3^- + 1)$ 2d order resonances [5]. Table 3 describes this Hamiltonian matrix, together with the expansions of the various types of operators (rotational, spin-rotation, C-type Coriolis and Fermi) which are considered for the present computations. For consistency with the notations used in Ref. [18], the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ hexad of vibrational states will be labelled as the 'P4' block of resonating states in the rest of the text.

1136 1191

4.2. Least squares fit computation

1141 1196

A large set of experimental spin-rotational energy levels was introduced in a least squares fit calculation in order

to get the parameters (vibrational energies, rotational, spin-rotation and interacting parameters) for the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ interacting states of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$. These data, which were obtained through analyses of Fourier transform spectra are of similar accuracy (positions at ~ 0.0003 – 0.002 cm^{-1} , depending on the quality of the corresponding assigned lines) originate from the following sources:

- the set of (2,0,0), (1,0,1) and (1,2,0) experimental spin-rotational energy levels obtained during this work,
- the experimental energy levels obtained previously for the (1,0,1) [7] and the (0,4,0), (0,2,1), and (0,0,2) vibrational states [5].

1146 1201

During this fit, the vibrational energy of the (1,2,0) and (0,4,0) were fixed to the values obtained in a laser fluorescence study at $E_{120} = 2805.60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $E_{040} = 2993.00 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [26]. This is because there exists only a few identified energy levels for the (1,2,0) and (0,4,0) dark states. The resulting parameters for the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$

1151 1206

Table 4. Vibrational energies, rotational, spin-rotational, and Coriolis coupling constants for the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ interacting vibrational states of NO_2 .

(A) Vibrational energies, spin-rotational and rotational constants	(000) ^a	(2,0,0)	(1,2,0)	(1,0,1)	(0,4,0)	(0,2,1)	(0,0,2)
E_V	2627.37672(9)	2805.6 ^b	2805.6 ^b	2906.07033(8)	2993.00 ^b	3092.47611(25)	3201.44828(8)
A	8.00235469	8.1850348(109)	8.8673339(210)	7.85295412(790)	9.60006(240)	8.5090199(660)	7.5540049(500)
B	0.433706798	0.429042117(485)	0.4337470(650)	0.428659206(200)	0.432505(220)	0.43077933(120)	0.428212425(630)
C	0.410442540	0.405272266(433)	0.4037196(670)	0.40502058(210)	0.408038(230)	0.40588662(120)	0.40520859(120)
Δ_K	$0.26878757 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.92843(140) \times 10^{-2}$	$0.398789(530) \times 10^{-2}$	$0.2678655(190) \times 10^{-2}$	$0.32018(980) \times 10^{-2}$	$0.40847(1560) \times 10^{-2}$	$0.23808(190) \times 10^{-2}$
Δ_{KV}	-0.196822×10^{-4}	$-0.1576799(770) \times 10^{-4}$	$-0.204846(610) \times 10^{-4}$	$-0.22606(1670) \times 10^{-4}$	$0.25899(380) \times 10^{-6}$	$-0.272839(220) \times 10^{-4}$	$-0.24587(210) \times 10^{-4}$
Δ_N	0.2992447×10^{-6}	$0.297184(100) \times 10^{-6}$	$0.233255(410) \times 10^{-6}$	$0.308902(240) \times 10^{-6}$	#	$0.306016(310) \times 10^{-6}$	$0.30663(220) \times 10^{-6}$
δ_K	0.40547×10^{-5}	$0.7261(130) \times 10^{-5}$	$-0.547465(590) \times 10^{-4}$	1.08807(240) $\times 10^{-5}$	#	$0.8266(300) \times 10^{-5}$	$-0.2326(210) \times 10^{-5}$
δ_N	0.3192774×10^{-7}	$0.315699(650) \times 10^{-7}$	$0.25111(580) \times 10^{-6}$	$0.310200(260) \times 10^{-7}$	#	$0.33671(280) \times 10^{-6}$	$0.35783(160) \times 10^{-7}$
H_K	0.303157×10^{-5}	$0.367967(170) \times 10^{-5}$	#	$0.309402(180) \times 10^{-5}$	#	$0.6514(130) \times 10^{-5}$	$0.26061(200) \times 10^{-5}$
H_{KV}	-0.270439×10^{-7}	$-0.538096(760) \times 10^{-7}$	#	$-0.11933(180) \times 10^{-7}$	#	#	0.0 ^c
H_{NK}	0.2995×10^{-10}	#	#	$0.17658(180) \times 10^{-9}$	#	#	#
H_N	0.2866×10^{-12}	#	#	#	#	#	#
h_K	0.29297×10^{-7}	$0.6407(110) \times 10^{-7}$	#	$0.8043(200) \times 10^{-7}$	#	#	#
h_{KV}	-0.3637×10^{-10}	#	#	$-1.526(110) \times 10^{-10}$	#	#	#
h_N	0.1057×10^{-12}	#	#	#	#	#	#
L_K	-0.51104×10^{-8}	$-0.640448(110) \times 10^{-8}$	#	$-0.525422(520) \times 10^{-8}$	#	#	#
L_{KV}	0.35117×10^{-10}	#	#	$-0.29648(150) \times 10^{-10}$	#	#	#
ϵ_{aa^v}	0.180353006	0.1868189(780)	0.21832(110)	0.1744702(550)	0.261583(720)	0.207550(590)	0.164756(700)
ϵ_{bb^v}	0.257833×10^{-3}	$0.21513(840) \times 10^{-3}$	#	$0.29742(560) \times 10^{-3}$	#	#	#
ϵ_{cc^v}	$-0.3178107 \times 10^{-2}$	$-0.345718(710) \times 10^{-2}$	$-3.4552(330) \times 10^{-2}$	$-0.338023(56) \times 10^{-2}$	$-0.34810(710) \times 10^{-2}$	$-0.33524(120) \times 10^{-2}$	$-0.31528(130) \times 10^{-2}$
$\Delta_K^{v^5}$	-0.17606×10^{-3}	$-0.202271(840) \times 10^{-3}$	#	$-0.173272(450) \times 10^{-3}$	#	$-0.2689(220) \times 10^{-3}$	$-0.1609(120) \times 10^{-3}$
$\Delta_{KN}^{v^5} + \Delta_{NK}^{v^5}$	0.6005×10^{-6}	#	#	$0.9344(240) \times 10^{-6}$	#	#	#
Higher order spin-rotational and rotational constants for all states							
Rotational constants [#]							
H_N		0.2866×10^{-12}		$\Delta_N^{v^5}$		Spin-rotational constants [#]	
h_N		0.1057×10^{-12}		$\Delta_N^{v^5}$		0.6322×10^{-9}	
L_{KN}		0.12158×10^{-12}		$H_K^{v^5}$		0.1678×10^{-5}	
PK		0.867×10^{-11}		L_K^v		0.29673×10^{-6}	
						-0.3569×10^{-9}	

(continued)

1266
1271
1276
1281
1286
1291
1296
1301
1306
1311
1316

Table 4. Continued.

Fermi resonances	
Operator	(2,0,0)-(1,2,0)
αK	-0.839×10^{-14}
(B) Off-diagonal in ν -Fermi or Coriolis operators	
Coriolis resonances	
(1,0,1)-(1,2,0)	$iN_y \times 10^1$ -0.4684(210) (-0.301252 [7])
(0,0,2)-(1,0,1)	0.166245(990)
(0,0,2)-(0,2,1)	-0.41154(152)
(0,2,1)-(0,4,0)	(-0.39643 [5]) -0.754912(520) (-0.754144 [5])
(1,0,1)-(2,0,0)	
Fermi resonances	
Operator	(2,0,0)-(1,2,0)
N_x^2	-0.14771(110)
$(N_x^2 - N_z^2)$	$-0.29527(480) \times 10^{-3}$
	$(N_x, N_z) \times 10^2$ -0.36193(910)
	$iN_y N_x^2 \times 10^6$
	$(N_x^2, iN_y) \times 10^3$ +0.8619(350)
	$(N_x^2, \{N_x, N_z\}) \times 10^4$
	$(N_x^2 - N_z^2) \times 10^5$
	0.948(110) (0.7294 [5])
	0.58952(120)
	0.367546(420)
	0.23948(230)

Note: The results are in cm^{-1} and the quoted errors correspond to one standard deviation. The spin-rotational constants, the higher order rotational constants together with constants marked with # were held fixed to the ground state values [23]. For the off-diagonal Coriolis constants (in Part B), the values achieved during previous investigations [5,7] are also given.

^aFrom Ref. [23].

^bFrom Ref. [26].

^cFixed at zero (non-determinable).

1321
1326
1331
1336
1341
1346
1351
1356
1361
1366
13711376
1381
1386
1391
1396
1401
1406
1411
1416
1421
1426

1431 **Table 5.** Statistical analysis on the energy level calculation.

	(2,0,0)	(1,2,0)	(1,0,1)	(0,4,0)	(0,2,1)	(0,0,2)
Number of spin-rotation levels:	993	38	1296	41	499	490
$0 \leq \delta \leq 0.001$	85.3%	34.2%	70.8%	26.8%	64.1%	74.9%
$0.001 \leq \delta \leq 0.002$	12.0%	23.7%	17.3%	34.2%	25.7%	17.8%
$0.002 \leq \delta \leq 0.006$	2.7%	42.1%	11.4%	39.0%	10.0%	7.3%
$0.006 \leq \delta \leq 0.009$			0.5%		0.2%	

Note: $\delta = |E_{\text{obs}} - E_{\text{calc}}|$ in cm^{-1} . Standard deviation: $0.92 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

1441 interacting states of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$ are quoted together with their uncertainties in Table 4. Note that, for the ν_1 -diagonal rotational or spin-rotational operators, the main and centrifugal distortion constants that could not be determined by the fit were held fixed at their values achieved for the ground state values [23]. Indeed this strategy differs from the one adopted in Ref. [5] where the constants which could not be determined were fixed at zero during the computation of the $\{(0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ interacting states of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$.

1451 An important point is the problem of the sign of the parameters determined during this work. As usual, the absolute signs of all the parameters involved in the expansion of the ν_1 -diagonal operators (Watson's type or spin-rotation) are determined during the least squares fit calculation. This is also the case for the relative signs of all the parameters involved in the expansion of any given Fermi- or Coriolis operators. On the other hand, the results of the present energy level calculation remain unchanged during any of these global changes of sign in these off-diagonal operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C_{040,021} \rightarrow -C_{040,021}, \\
 & \text{or, } C_{101,002} \rightarrow -C_{101,002}, \\
 & \text{or, } C_{021,002} \rightarrow -C_{021,002}. \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Q6

1471 However, the global signs of the $F_{200,120}$, $C_{120,101}$, and $C_{200,101}$ blocks are not fully determined or independent since the possible signs changes are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (F_{200,120} \rightarrow -F_{200,120} \text{ and } C_{120,101} \rightarrow -C_{120,101}), \\
 & \text{or } (F_{200,120} \rightarrow -F_{200,120} \text{ and } C_{200,101} \rightarrow -C_{200,101}), \\
 & \text{or } (C_{120,101} \rightarrow -C_{120,101} \text{ and } C_{200,101} \rightarrow -C_{200,101}). \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

1481 The results of the computation proved to be satisfactory according to the statistical analysis which is provided in Table 5. The results of this calculation are given in as a supplementary data.

4.3. Wavefunctions and percentage of mixing

The wavefunctions issued from the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian matrix are written in the following way for a given $|N, K_a, K_c, S, J\rangle$ energy level of the $V = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) = (2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1),$ or $(0,0,2)$ vibrational state:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |V'; N'K'_aK'_cS'J'\rangle \\
 & = \sum_{v' \in P4} \sum_{N'_0, k', \gamma'} C_{v'; N'_0 k' \gamma'}^{V; N'K'_a K'_c J'} |v'\rangle |N'_0 k' S' J' \gamma'\rangle. \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

In this expression, $|N'_0 k' S' J' \gamma'\rangle$ are the Wang's type symmetrised base functions for spin-rotation wavefunctions with $S = 1/2$. The summation holds for $N'_0 = J' + 1/2$ and $N'_0 = J' - 1/2$, with $\gamma'_1 = \pm 1$ depending on the symmetry type of the considered energy level [3]. Also the ν'_1 summation is made on P4 block, and $C_{v'; N'_0 k' \gamma'}^{V; JNK'_a K'_c}$ are the terms involved in the expansion of the upper state wavefunctions in the right-hand side of Equation (5).

A similar expression holds also for the ground vibrational state

$$|0; NK_a K_c S J\rangle = \sum_{N_0, k, \gamma} C_{0; N_0 k \gamma}^{0; JNK_a K_c} |0\rangle |N_0 k S J \gamma\rangle. \quad (6)$$

To characterise the vibration resonances, it is interesting to calculate the $\%(N', K'_a)_{v'}^{V'}$ mixing ratio of the $|V', N'K'_a K'_c S' J'\rangle$ wavefunction into the $v' = (v'_1, v'_2, v'_3)$ state, which is defined as

$$\%(N', K'_a)_{v'}^{V'} = \sum_{N'_0 k' \gamma'} |C_{v'; N'_0 k' \gamma'}^{V'; JN'K'_a K'_c}|^2. \quad (7)$$

4.4. Discussion

The theoretical model used here for the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ six interacting states is a 'local effective model' which tries to model as accurately as possible the experimental levels available for this set of interacting states, accounting explicitly for the resonances each time there were observed.

This strategy differs from the one developed during the NO_2 'global model' computation [18]. During this computation, a block diagonalization of the NO_2 vibrational states was performed. The ν_1 -type, ν_2 -type, and ν_3 -type vibrational expansion of the rotational, spin-rotational and interacting constants involved in the description of the block Hamiltonian matrices were determined through a least squares fit calculation performed on the whole set of experimental line positions collected in the literature for NO_2 in the $0.006\text{--}7916 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ spectral range. However, it is clear that the set of experimental energy levels available at that time [18] for the P4

1541 vibrational states was less extended or less accurate than
during the present study.

For the resonating states belonging to the set of
{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)} interact-
ing states, the type of resonances which are in principle
1546 observable depends on symmetry considerations.

4.5. The Fermi and Darling–Dennison resonances

For $\Delta v_3 = \text{even}$, Fermi $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \iff (v_1^- + 1, v_2 \pm 2, v_3)$ or Darling–Dennison $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \iff (v_1^- + 2, v_2, v_3 \pm 2)$ resonances are symmetry-allowed. In fact, only the Fermi resonance coupling the (2,0,0) \iff (1,2,0) states could be evidenced during this work. Indeed the $K_a = 8$ energy levels of the (2,0,0) state are in resonance with those in $K_a = 6$ levels of the (1,2,0) state, with a maximum mixing ratio of 49% for $N = 68$. In the expansion of the Fermi operator which is given in Table 4, only the rotational terms in $(N_x^2 - N_y^2)$ and $\{N_z^2, (N_x^2 - N_y^2)\}$ could be determined through this least squares fit calculation. As usual for a classical least squares fit calculation, we could not get any information on the zero order term $h_{vv'}^{0F}$.

On the other hand, the effects of other possibly-existing Fermi or Darling–Dennison resonances were not observed and were not accounted for explicitly. In Ref. [18] these resonances couple the spin-rotation levels of the (1,2,0) \iff (0,4,0) and (1,0,1) \iff (0,2,1) interacting states, for the Fermi resonances, and the (2,0,0) \iff (0,0,2) states for the Darling–Dennison resonances. We tried to introduce these resonances during our fit by fixing the parameters involved in the description of these resonances to the values predicted by this global model. However, in such conditions, the energy levels computation failed completely.

4.6. The Coriolis resonances

For $\Delta v_3 = \text{odd}$, the $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \iff (v_1^- + 1, v_2, v_3 \pm 1)$ first-order and $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \iff (v_1, v_2^- + 2, v_3 \pm 1)$ second-order C-Type Coriolis resonances are presumed to occur.

4.6.1. First-order C-type Coriolis resonances

1586 During the present work the first order C-type Coriolis resonances coupling the (2,0,0) \iff (1,0,1) and (1,0,1) \iff (0,0,2) levels were accounted for. Indeed the (1,0,1) $[N, K_a, K_c, J]$ energy levels involving high K_a values ($K_a \geq 9$) are involved in strong resonances with the levels in $K'_a = K_a + 1$ of (2,0,0). To give an order of magnitude, the $\%(N, K_a)_{(2,0,0)}^{(1,0,1)}$ mixing ratio grows up to 4.4% for $N = 41$ and $K_a = 13$.

As far as the (1,0,1) \iff (0,0,2) interacting states
are concerned, we had to account for the rather strong
 $|\Delta K_a| = 3$ local C-type Coriolis resonance which cou-
ples the $K_a = 8$ series of (1,0,1) with those in $K_a = 5$ of
(0,0,2). However, for the range of observed energy levels
concerned by this study, we did not observe any pertur-
bation in $|\Delta K_a| = 1$ coupling these energy levels, and the
first-order term (in iN_y) in the expansion of the Coriolis
operator could not be determined.

4.6.2. Second-order C-type Coriolis resonances

As pointed out during our previous investigations of
the $v_1 + v_3$ band [7], and of the $2v_3, 2v_2 + v_3$, and
 $4v_2$ interacting bands [5], the (1,2,0) \iff (1,0,1), the
(0,4,0) \iff (0,2,1) and (0,2,1) \iff (0,0,2) energy lev-
els are coupled through C-type second-order Coriolis
resonances. The comparisons between the previous and
new values of the parameters involved in the expansion
of the C-type Coriolis operators are given in Table 4.

For the (0,4,0), (0,2,1), and (0,0,2) interacting states,
the expansion of the C-type Coriolis operator takes the
same form as in our previous study, and the values of
the first-order term the $h_{(v_1, v_2, v_3)(v_1, v_2 + 2, v_3 - 1)}^{1C}$ parameters
do not differ significantly from the ones obtained during
our previous investigation. This is expected because as
compared to our previous investigation, no new exper-
imental data are available for the (0,4,0), (0,2,1), and
(0,0,2) interacting states.

On the other hand, the expansion of the (1,0,1) \iff
(1,2,0) C-type Coriolis operator takes a form which is
now significantly more complex (with terms in $\{N_x, N_z\}$
and in $\{iN_y, N_z^2\}$), and the value of the first-order term (in
 iN_y) is larger than in 1997 [7]. This is because the set of
available experimental energy levels for the bright (1,0,1)
and dark (1,2,0) states is now extended (see Table 2). Also,
the resonating scheme involving these states is more com-
plex than what was observed in 1997 [7]. In addition to
the $|\Delta K_a| = 1$ C-type Coriolis resonances which cou-
ple the $K_a = 4$ and $K_a = 5$ of (1,0,1) to those in $K'_a = 5$
and $K'_a = 6$ of (1,2,0), respectively, a local $|\Delta K_a| = 3$ re-
sonance involves the (1,0,1) $[N = 57, K_a = 0, K_c = 57]$
and (1,2,0) $[57, K_a = 3, K_c = 55]$ resonating energy lev-
els. Let us remind that the (1,0,1) (resp. (1,2,0)) energy
levels are also involved in Coriolis resonances with levels
belonging to the (2,0,0) and (0,0,2) states (resp. in Fermi
resonances with (2,0,0)).

To be complete, let us mention that we did not observe
any noticeable spin-rotation resonance within the (1,0,1),
(1,2,0) or (2,0,0) energy levels. This type of resonance,
with $(\Delta J = 0$ and $\Delta N = \pm 2)$ selection rules, was already
observed within the (0,0,0) or (0,0,1) vibrational states
[3].

1651 **5. Line intensities**

As mentioned previously the line assignments for $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ were performed thanks to predictions on line positions and intensities performed for these bands.

1656 As one can guess by reading the first part of this paper, getting accurate intensities for the $2\nu_1$ band is not an easy task.

1661 As mentioned previously, the $2\nu_1$ band of NO_2 is masked partially by the strong $\nu_1 + \nu_{11}$ band of the N_2O_4 dimer. In such conditions, even the high-quality cross-sections measured at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) for NO_2 and N_2O_4 [27] can only provide a rough estimation ($\text{Int}(2\nu_1)/\text{Int}(\nu_1 + \nu_3) \sim 1/350$) of the band intensity ratio of the $2\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands. It is clear also that the PNNL data cannot provide information on the anomalous line intensity pattern of the $2\nu_1$ band.

1666 During this work, we determined the expansion of the $2\nu_1$ transition moment operator through a least squares fit performed on individual $2\nu_1$ experimental line intensities. Owing to the difficulties which were already mentioned in this text (partial overlapping with an absorption due to N_2O_4 , presence of a nearby very strong band) it is clear that these $2\nu_1$ line intensities, which were obtained using a single FTS spectrum, cannot be considered as very accurate.

1681 **5.1. Experimental intensities**

1681 Line intensities were retrieved from only one individual spectrum using a mono-spectrum non-linear least squares fitting program, already used and described in previous works [30,32]. Briefly, the measurements involved the adjustment of a calculated spectrum to the observed spectrum, using a non-linear least squares fitting procedure. Calculated spectrum was computed as the convolution of a Voigt-type transmission spectrum with an instrument line shape function, which included the effects of the finite maximum optical path difference and of the finite source aperture diameter of the interferometer [33]. In the present work, no deviation from this instrument line shape model was observed using the nominal aperture diameter of 1.3 mm. The measurements were carried out on small spectral intervals, ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 cm^{-1} and containing one to several lines. The background spectrum was represented by an affine function and the profile of the lines was modelled using a Voigt function with Gaussian width always held fixed to the value calculated for the Doppler broadening. For each line, the position, the S^*P (S is the integrated absorption coefficient per unit pressure, also known as

the absolute intensity and P the pressure) product, the Lorentzian widths of the Voigt profile can be determined. The line intensities S (in $\text{cm}^{-2}/\text{atm}$) can be derived and a total of 93 individual line intensities were obtained.

Line intensities were retrieved from only one individual spectrum it is therefore difficult to make a realistic analysis of the uncertainties. We can only give a rough estimate of about 20% on the line intensities. The list of measured line intensities are quoted in Table 6.

1716 **5.2. Theory**

A detailed description of the method which is used to compute the NO_2 line intensities was given in Refs. [3,7,34].

1721 The intensity, $k_{\tilde{\nu}}^N(T)$, of a line of a pure $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$ isotopic sample is given (in $\text{cm}^{-1}/(\text{molecule} \times \text{cm}^{-2})$) by [3]:

$$k_{\tilde{\nu}}^N(T) = \frac{8\pi^3 \tilde{\nu}}{4\pi \epsilon_0 3hc} \exp\left(-\frac{E_L}{kT}\right) \times \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{\nu}}{kT}\right)\right) \frac{g_L}{Z(T)} R_L^U. \quad (8)$$

Note that for a 'natural sample' of NO_2 , this expression is multiplied by $a = 0.991616$ (Ref. [35]) to account for the $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$ isotopic concentration in the 'natural' sample of nitrogen dioxide.

1736 In this expression $\tilde{\nu} = (E_U - E_L)/hc$ is the wavenumber of the transition, and E_L and E_U are the energies of lower $L = |0; N K_a K_c S J\rangle$ and upper $U = |V; N' K'_a K'_c S J\rangle$ levels of the transition (in cm^{-1}). Here we are dealing with cold bands, and the lower and upper states of the transition are the ground vibrational state $|0\rangle = |(0,0,0)\rangle$ and the $V' = (v'_1, v'_2, v'_3)$ state, respectively. In Equation (8), $4\pi \epsilon_0 = 1$, because we are using Debye unit for the dipole moment. The total partition function, $Z(T) = Z_{\text{vib}}(T) \times Z_{\text{rot}}(T)$, includes the nuclear spin contribution ($g_L = 2I + 1 = 3$ for $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$), and we used for the total partition the value $Z(296\text{ K}) = 13,618$ which is in reasonable agreement with the value quoted in HITRAN [13]. Finally, R_L^U is the square of the matrix element of μ'_z :

$$R_L^U = \left| \langle V; N' K'_a K'_c S J | \mu'_z | 0; N K_a K_c S J \rangle \right|^2. \quad (9)$$

The expansion of the upper and lower state wavefunctions have been given in Equations (5) and (6). Also, μ'_z is the transformed dipole moment operator, which is expanded as

$$\mu'_z = \sum_{v'} |0\rangle \langle v'|^{0,v'} \mu'_z. \quad (10)$$

1756 In this expression, v'_i is one of the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1), (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ interacting states of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$.

1761

Table 6. List of the observed and computed line intensities (in $\text{cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{atm}^{-1}$) at 296 K for the $2\nu_1$ band of NO_2 (for a 'pure sample' of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$).

1816

1766

1821

1771

1826

1776

1831

1781

1836

1786

1841

1791

1846

1796

1851

1801

1856

1806

1861

1811

1866

N'	K'_g	K'_c	J'	N''	K''_g	K''_c	J''	Sigma	Int_obs	Int_calc	O-C in %
13	2	12	+	13	3	11	+	2589.4270	7.51E-05	6.83E-05	9.1%
13	2	12	-	13	3	11	-	2589.4881	7.35E-05	6.31E-05	14.1%
11	2	10	+	11	3	9	+	2589.6839	7.18E-05	6.38E-05	11.1%
20	0	20	-	20	1	19	-	2615.0156	1.28E-04	1.23E-04	3.9%
18	0	18	+	18	1	17	+	2615.9169	1.39E-04	1.41E-04	-1.7%
19	1	19	+	18	2	16	+	2616.4364	1.05E-04	9.94E-05	5.3%
19	1	19	-	18	2	16	-	2616.4897	1.07E-04	9.46E-05	11.7%
16	0	16	+	16	1	15	+	2616.7397	1.82E-04	1.50E-04	17.9%
16	0	16	-	16	1	15	-	2616.7685	1.65E-04	1.41E-04	14.6%
17	1	17	+	16	0	16	+	2646.2815	4.79E-04	4.58E-04	4.4%
19	1	19	+	18	0	18	+	2647.2331	5.41E-04	5.09E-04	5.9%
21	1	21	+	20	0	20	+	2648.1239	5.78E-04	5.47E-04	5.3%
23	1	23	+	22	0	22	+	2648.9594	5.99E-04	5.72E-04	4.6%
25	1	25	+	24	0	24	+	2649.7452	6.09E-04	5.80E-04	4.7%
25	1	25	-	24	0	24	-	2649.7616	5.78E-04	5.57E-04	3.7%
31	1	31	+	30	0	30	+	2651.8590	5.48E-04	5.18E-04	5.4%
31	1	31	-	30	0	30	-	2651.8781	5.35E-04	5.01E-04	6.3%
35	1	35	+	34	0	34	+	2653.1129	4.45E-04	4.24E-04	4.6%
35	1	35	-	34	0	34	-	2653.1329	4.19E-04	4.12E-04	1.8%
46	0	46	+	45	1	45	+	2653.2733	1.83E-04	1.47E-04	19.8%
37	1	37	+	36	0	36	+	2653.7042	4.04E-04	3.71E-04	8.2%
37	1	37	-	36	0	36	-	2653.7246	3.87E-04	3.61E-04	6.7%
39	1	39	+	38	0	38	+	2654.2746	3.40E-04	3.17E-04	6.8%
39	1	39	-	38	0	38	-	2654.2955	3.19E-04	3.08E-04	3.4%
41	1	41	+	40	0	40	+	2654.8256	2.82E-04	2.65E-04	6.1%
41	1	41	-	40	0	40	-	2654.8478	2.64E-04	2.57E-04	2.6%
45	1	45	+	44	0	44	+	2655.8873	1.86E-04	1.69E-04	9.2%
47	1	47	+	46	0	46	+	2656.3630	1.37E-04	1.36E-04	1.2%
11	2	10	+	10	1	9	+	2658.8395	1.49E-04	1.52E-04	-1.8%
16	2	14	-	15	1	15	-	2664.5391	1.81E-04	1.89E-04	-4.7%
16	2	14	+	15	1	15	+	2664.5813	1.74E-04	2.01E-04	-15.4%
39	2	38	-	38	1	37	-	2668.0291	1.60E-04	1.52E-04	4.7%
24	2	22	+	23	1	23	+	2672.1788	2.19E-04	2.08E-04	4.8%
26	2	24	-	25	1	25	-	2674.1783	1.72E-04	1.86E-04	-8.2%
26	2	24	+	25	1	25	+	2674.2250	1.81E-04	1.93E-04	-6.5%
10	3	7	+	9	2	8	+	2674.7134	1.34E-04	1.40E-04	-4.6%
11	3	9	+	10	2	8	+	2675.4237	1.53E-04	1.57E-04	-3.0%
28	2	26	-	27	1	27	-	2676.2952	1.51E-04	1.67E-04	-10.1%
13	3	11	-	12	2	10	-	2676.7905	1.75E-04	1.77E-04	-1.2%
13	3	11	+	12	2	10	+	2676.8454	1.95E-04	1.92E-04	1.4%
14	3	11	-	13	2	12	-	2677.5600	1.87E-04	1.94E-04	-3.8%
30	2	28	+	29	1	29	+	2678.5441	1.51E-04	1.49E-04	1.1%
16	3	13	-	15	2	14	-	2678.9673	2.14E-04	2.25E-04	-5.3%
16	3	13	+	15	2	14	+	2679.0129	2.37E-04	2.40E-04	-1.1%
17	3	15	-	16	2	14	-	2679.4951	2.38E-04	2.39E-04	-0.2%
17	3	15	+	16	2	14	+	2679.5353	2.53E-04	2.53E-04	-0.3%
34	3	31	-	33	2	32	-	2690.5769	1.92E-04	1.90E-04	1.0%
34	3	31	+	33	2	32	+	2690.6017	1.85E-04	1.96E-04	-6.2%
11	4	8	+	10	3	7	+	2691.5808	1.39E-04	1.50E-04	-7.8%
36	3	33	-	35	2	34	-	2691.8208	1.55E-04	1.63E-04	-5.0%
36	3	33	+	35	2	34	+	2691.8455	1.59E-04	1.67E-04	-4.9%
12	4	8	-	11	3	9	-	2692.2220	1.48E-04	1.54E-04	-4.2%
12	4	8	+	11	3	9	+	2692.3049	1.64E-04	1.68E-04	-2.3%
13	4	10	+	12	3	9	+	2693.0189	1.77E-04	1.85E-04	-4.6%
38	3	35	-	37	2	36	-	2693.0741	1.29E-04	1.36E-04	-5.5%
38	3	35	+	37	2	36	+	2693.0988	1.37E-04	1.39E-04	-1.3%
14	4	10	-	13	3	11	-	2693.6526	1.71E-04	1.88E-04	-9.6%
16	4	12	-	15	3	13	-	2695.0419	2.09E-04	2.19E-04	-4.9%
34	4	30	+	33	3	31	+	2705.7356	2.52E-04	2.06E-04	18.0%
13	5	9	-	12	4	8	-	2709.1637	1.46E-04	1.49E-04	-2.5%
14	5	9	-	13	4	10	-	2709.8747	1.78E-04	1.65E-04	7.3%
15	5	11	-	14	4	10	-	2710.5753	1.73E-04	1.80E-04	-3.7%
15	5	11	+	14	4	10	+	2710.6598	1.95E-04	1.92E-04	1.5%
23	5	19	+	22	4	18	+	2715.8678	2.76E-04	2.67E-04	3.2%
24	5	19	-	23	4	20	-	2716.4215	2.57E-04	2.57E-04	-0.1%
24	5	19	+	23	4	20	+	2716.4741	2.72E-04	2.68E-04	1.6%
25	5	21	-	24	4	20	-	2717.0196	2.51E-04	2.57E-04	-2.2%
36	5	31	+	35	4	32	+	2722.9499	1.64E-04	1.67E-04	-1.7%

(continued)

1871

Table 6. Continued.

N'	K'_a	K'_c	J'	N''	K''_a	K''_c	J''	Sigma	Int_obs	Int_calc	O-C in %
38	5	33	+	37	4	34	+	2723.8834	1.46E-04	1.42E-04	2.9%
39	5	35	-	38	4	34	-	2724.2951	1.25E-04	1.26E-04	-0.8%
39	5	35	+	38	4	34	+	2724.3236	1.36E-04	1.29E-04	4.9%
14	6	8	-	13	5	9	-	2726.1186	1.34E-04	1.32E-04	1.5%
16	6	10	+	15	5	11	+	2727.6044	1.59E-04	1.68E-04	-5.8%
17	6	12	+	16	5	11	+	2728.2794	1.78E-04	1.80E-04	-0.9%
20	6	14	-	19	5	15	-	2730.1687	2.13E-04	1.98E-04	7.0%
20	6	14	+	19	5	15	+	2730.2455	2.20E-04	2.08E-04	5.6%
30	6	24	-	29	5	25	-	2736.1019	2.04E-04	1.96E-04	4.3%
30	6	24	+	29	5	25	+	2736.1521	2.15E-04	2.02E-04	5.8%
37	6	32	+	36	5	31	+	2739.6822	1.44E-04	1.33E-04	7.6%
38	6	32	-	37	5	33	-	2740.1078	1.36E-04	1.19E-04	11.9%
16	7	9	-	15	6	10	-	2743.7004	1.15E-04	1.18E-04	-2.9%
17	7	11	-	16	6	10	-	2744.3793	1.34E-04	1.28E-04	4.2%
18	7	11	+	17	6	12	+	2745.1456	1.46E-04	1.45E-04	1.0%
23	7	17	-	22	6	16	-	2748.2362	1.82E-04	1.67E-04	8.3%
26	7	19	-	25	6	20	-	2750.0258	1.87E-04	1.69E-04	9.7%
32	7	25	-	31	6	26	-	2753.3272	1.50E-04	1.43E-04	4.4%
33	7	27	+	32	6	26	+	2753.8943	1.71E-04	1.40E-04	18.0%
36	7	29	+	35	6	30	+	2755.3691	1.37E-04	1.16E-04	15.6%
20	8	12	+	19	7	13	+	2762.5140	1.37E-04	1.15E-04	16.4%
30	8	22	+	29	7	23	+	2768.3592	1.36E-04	1.20E-04	11.6%
31	8	24	-	30	7	23	-	2768.8227	1.23E-04	1.12E-04	8.7%
31	8	24	+	30	7	23	+	2768.8874	1.45E-04	1.16E-04	20.1%
32	8	24	+	31	7	25	+	2769.4053	1.38E-04	1.11E-04	19.7%

1876

1881

1886

1891

In such conditions, R_L^U is written as

$$R_L^U = \left| \sum_{v'; N'_0 k' \gamma'} \sum_{N_0 k \gamma} C_{v'; N'_0 k' \gamma'}^{v'; N' K'_a K'_c} \times C_{0; N_0 k' \gamma}^{0; J N K_a K_c} \times \left\langle N'_0 k' S J' \gamma' | v^0 \mu_Z^T | N_0 k S J \gamma \right\rangle \right|^2. \quad (11)$$

1896

1901

1906

The first difficulty that one has to face is to account correctly for the effects of the electron spin-rotation during the line intensity calculation. As usual, it is assumed here that the $v^0 \mu_Z^T$ transition moment operator of a given $(v')_L(0,0,0)$ band ‘acts’ only as an ‘N-type’ rotational type coordinates. This means that we neglected, in the rotational expansion of $v^0 \mu_Z^T$, all terms involving spin-rotation operators.

Therefore, following the historical paper of Bowater *et al.* (see Eq. (37) in Ref.[25]), the following expression holds [3,34]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle N'_0 k' S J' \gamma' | v^0 \mu_Z^T | N_0 k S J \gamma \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^{N_0+S+J'+1} \sqrt{(2J+1)(2J'+1)} \begin{Bmatrix} J & 1 & J' \\ N'_0 & S & N_0 \end{Bmatrix} \\ & \dots \times \left\langle N'_0 k' \gamma' | v^0 \mu_Z^T | N_0 k \gamma \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

1916

1921

where $\{\}$ represents the usual $\{6j\}$ coefficient.

Finally; the $\left\langle N'_0 k' \gamma' | v^0 \mu_Z^T | N_0 k \gamma \right\rangle$ matrix elements are computed using the method which is described for water

1926

1931

1936

1941

1946

1951

1956

1961

1966

1971

1976

Table 7. Transition moment constants for the $2\nu_1$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band of NO_2 .

	Value in Debye ^a		Ref.
	(2,0,0)-(0,0,0) ^b		
φ_x	$0.1671(6) \times 10^{-2}$		This Work
$\{\varphi_z, iN_y\}$	$0.5576(1) \times 10^{-4}$		This Work
$\{\varphi_z, N_x N_z + N_z N_x\}$	$-0.39454(3) \times 10^{-5}$		This Work
	(1,0,1)-(0,0,0)		
φ_z	0.496895×10^{-1}		[7]
$1/2[\{\varphi_x, iN_y\} - \{i\varphi_y, N_x\}]$	-0.991×10^{-5}		[7]
$1/2[\{\varphi_x, iN_y\} + \{i\varphi_y, N_x\}]$	-0.2897×10^{-4}		[7]

^a 1 Debye = 3.33564×10^{-30} C.m. φ_x , φ_y and φ_z are the abbreviated notation for the Φ_{Zx} , Φ_{Zy} and Φ_{Zz} direction cosines between the Z-fixed and the x, y, z molecular fixed axes.

^b The uncertainties quoted for in the (2,0,0)-(0,0,0) column are purely statistical errors, with poor physical meanings. Because of several experimental uncertainties a global systematic error of about $\sim 20\%$ can affect these parameters.

vapour in Ref. [36]. In particular, we use the same expressions to deal with the centrifugal distortion effects.

$$v^0 \mu_Z^T = \sum_j v^0 \mu'_j \times v^j A_j \quad (13)$$

In Equation (13), $v^j A_j$ are symmetry-dependent rotational operators which are quoted in Table 7, while the $v^0 \mu'_j$ are numerical coefficients determined through the least squares fit performed on the experimental line intensities.

We note that the expression used here to account for the spin-rotation effects on NO_2 line intensities differs from the one quoted in several recent articles on NO_2 .

1981 Indeed, in Ref. [19,37–42], Equation (12) is re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N'_0 k' S J' \gamma' | v'^0 \mu_Z^T | N_0 k S J \gamma \rangle &= g(N'_0, J', N_0, J) \\ &\times \langle N'_0 k' \gamma' | v'^0 \mu_Z^T | N k \gamma \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

1986 which is not correct, since they use the $g(J', N'_0, J, N_0)$ values tabulated in Table III of Ref. [43] as

$$\begin{aligned} g(J', N'_0, J, N_0) &= \left((-1)^{N_0+S+J'+1} \sqrt{(2J+1)(2J'+1)} \right. \\ &\times \left. \begin{Bmatrix} J & 1 & J' \\ N'_0 & S & N_0 \end{Bmatrix} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

1996 Note also that the right hand side of Equation (12) also includes a phase factor $(-1)^{N_0+S+J'+1}$, which was not accounted for, in Equation (14).

2001 For the spectral region under study, the vibrational expansion of the transition moment (see Equation (10)) involves, in principle, the (six) transition moment operators of the $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$, $\nu_1 + \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$, $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$ and $2\nu_3$ bands. However, to compute the line intensities of the $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$, and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands the calculation can be simplified. The $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band is, by far, the strongest band in this spectral region, and only the (1,0,1) energy levels are affected by the vibration-rotation resonances coupling the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1)\}$ and $\{(0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ blocks of interacting states. Since these resonances remain rather weak, it is clear that these inter-

2011 blocks resonances have a negligible impact on the $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ line intensities. As far as the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band is concerned, a good set of line intensity parameters already exists which were obtained from experimental line intensities during the detailed investigation performed for this band by Mandin *et al.* [7]. During that work, it appeared, and this is confirmed here, that the dark $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ band borrows all its intensity from the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band through Coriolis resonances. It is also obvious that an intensity transfer exists between the strong $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band and the very weak $2\nu_1$ band. In such conditions, the expansion of the transition moment operator to be used for line intensities in the 2800–2950 cm^{-1} spectral region takes a form which is more simple than in Equation (10):

$$\mu_Z' = |0\rangle \langle (2,0,0)|^{200,0} \mu_Z' + |0\rangle \langle (1,0,1)|^{101,0} \mu_Z'. \quad (16)$$

2026 Indeed, as mentioned previously we assume a zero value ($^{120,0} \mu_Z' = 0$) for the transition moment operator of the $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ dark band. Therefore, up to now, the only missing information concerns the transition operator of the very weak $2\nu_1$ band.

5.3. Line intensity calculations

In a preliminary test we included in a common fit the 93 experimental line intensities of $2\nu_1$ achieved during the present study, together with the existing (1047) individual $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ line intensities of the literature [7] to determine the expansions of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ and $2\nu_1$ bands. It turned out that the expansion of $^{101,0} \mu_Z'$ did not change notably as compared to what was obtained in Ref. [7]. This confirms that the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ line intensities are not significantly affected by the resonances coupling $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ and $2\nu_1$.

2041 Therefore, the final least squares fit computation was performed using only the 93 experimental line intensities of the $2\nu_1$ band. During this calculation, $^{101,0} \mu_Z'$ operator was maintained fixed at its form determined in Ref. [7], and the $^{101,0} \mu_j'$ parameters appearing in the expansion of $^{101,0} \mu_Z'$ (see Equation (15)) were adjusted. The final expansions of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ and $2\nu_1$ transition moment operators are given in Table 7. It is important to mention that the uncertainties quoted for the $^{101,0} \mu_j'$ parameters in this table are only statistical errors, with a poor physical meaning. Indeed, considering the uncertainties associated:

- the NO_2 partial pressure during the recording of the spectrum (about 2%)
- the absorption due to N_2O_4 in the spectral range of the $2\nu_1$ band of NO_2 .
- only one FTS spectrum was used for the retrieval.

2056 One can estimate an overall uncertainty at about 20% (no more) for all the $^{200,0} \mu_j'$ parameters.

6. Synthetic spectrum: line position, line intensity and line shape parameters

6.1. Line positions and intensities

2071 Using the vibrational energies and rotational, spin-rotational, and coupling constants given in Table 4 for the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1)\}, (0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ upper resonating vibrational states and in Ref. [18] for the (0,0,0) state, a comprehensive list of line positions were generated for the $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band of NO_2 . The corresponding line intensities were computed using $^{200,0} \mu_j'$ and $^{101,0} \mu_j'$ transition moment operator which are described in Table 7. The calculations were performed at 296 K for a natural nitrogen dioxide sample and with an intensity cut-off of $0.5 \times 10^{-25} \text{ cm}^{-1}/(\text{molecule cm}^{-2})$.

2081 The results of this intensity calculation, in terms of frequency ranges and of maximum N and K_a values in the upper states, are given in Table 8.

2036

2041

2046

2051

2056

2061

2066

2071

2076

2081

2086

Table 8. Results of the line intensity calculations (for a natural sample of NO₂).

	Number	S_min	S_max	I_max	Int_Tot	N Max	K _a max
This work							
2ν ₁	5982	2457.95	2921.80	0.23d–22	0.84D–20	71	13
ν ₁ + 2ν ₂	1531	2740.02	2977.47	0.53d–23	0.83D–21	77	7
ν ₁ + ν ₃	8731	2688.75	3148.79	0.66d–20	0.287d–17	80	15
HITEMP [17]							
2ν ₁	9315	2402.35	2870.30	0.56d–23	0.30D–20	99	15
ν ₁ + 2ν ₂	1856	2665.96	2963.42	0.67d–23	0.10D–20	99	7
ν ₁ + ν ₃	10223	2679.94	3074.15	0.66d–20	0.287D–17	99	15
HITRAN [13] or previous GEISA [14]							
ν ₁ + 2ν ₂	890	2768.27	2963.42	0.67d–23	0.96E–21	70	7
ν ₁ + ν ₃	6708	2719.06	3074.15	0.66d–20	0.287D–17	70	13

Note: The band intensities are in 10^{–20} cm^{–1}/(molecules.cm^{–2}) at 296 K. Line intensity cut-off: $k_p^N(296\text{ K}) \geq 0.500^{-25} \text{ cm}^{-1}/(\text{molecules.cm}^{-2})$.

This table also compares the lists available for these cold bands in the HITRAN [13] or HITEMP [17] databases. One can notice that the present calculation leads to a computed 2ν₁ band intensity value which is larger by a factor of ~2.8 as compared to HITEMP. This is quite reasonable, owing that (i) up to now nothing was known concerning the 2ν₁ intensities (ii) the difficulties (experimental and theoretical) for getting intensities for this weak band.

6.2. Line shape parameters

Our linelist includes also line broadening parameters. The most complete set of accurate line shape parameters, which includes air-broadening linewidths and their *n*-temperature dependences, and pressure lineshift for the ν₃ band of NO₂, were measured by Benner *et al.* [44]. As far as the air-broadening linewidths and its associated *n*-temperature dependences are concerned, these ν₃ band results are also usable for other vibrational bands. In our linelist, we used the polynomial expansion in ‘m’ (m = N_{lower} for P or Q lines, and m = N_{upper} for lines) proposed in Ref. [44]. On the other hand, in our list, all pressure line shifts are set at the (default) zero value. Indeed, the pressure shift values achieved for the ν₃ band of NO₂ [44] cannot be applied to any other vibrational band of NO₂ and the vibrational scaling factor which was applied for the pressure shift in the HITEMP database (see Eq. 8 of Ref. [17]) does not have any physical meaning [45]. Finally the default value $\gamma_{\text{Self}} = 0.095 \text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{atm}$ [4] was set up for the self-broadening parameters.

6.3. Inclusion in the GEISA database

This list of line positions, line intensities and line shape parameters for the 2ν₁, ν₁ + 2ν₂ and ν₁ + ν₃ band of NO₂, which was prepared in a format suitable for public

access databases, is now included in the GEISA database (<https://geisa.aeris-data.fr/>) [14,46].

7. Validation with the experimental spectrum

The overview of the 2520–3000 cm^{–1} spectral region is presented in Figure 1. For the cold bands, there exists an overall very good agreement between the experimental and calculated spectra of the 2ν₁ band and ν₁ + ν₃ bands of ¹⁴N¹⁶O₂.

Figure 2 shows the 2790–2830 cm^{–1} region which corresponds to the P-branch of the ν₁ + ν₃ band and its associated hot bands, together with several lines from the 2ν₁. Figure 3 provides a detailed view of the same figure in the 2815 cm^{–1} region. It is clear that HITEMP cannot help for the analysis of the 2ν₁ band. Several spin-components doublets of the dark ν₁ + 2ν₂ band are also observable in this spectral region.

Figure 4 gives the overall structure of the 2ν₁ band. Clearly the general line intensity pattern is quite different from the ‘classical’ B-type, as described by the HITEMP linelist.

This is even more obvious in Figure 5, which provides a detailed view of the PQ7-branch of the 2ν₁ band in the 2537 cm^{–1} spectral region.

Figure 6 gives a portion of the ^QQ₁₂-branch of the ν₁ + ν₃ band in the 2884 cm^{–1} spectral region. The comparison of the calculations performed using the present linelist and the HITRAN, GEISA or HITEMP to the observed spectrum shows the progress achieved during this work.

Figures 7–10 presents several portions of the R-branch of the ν₁ + ν₃ band in the 2924, 2926.7 and 2938.6 cm^{–1} spectral regions, respectively. Due to local resonances, several spin-components doublets of the dark ν₁ + 2ν₂ band are identified for the first time in Figures 7, 9 and 10. Figure 8 shows that the K_a = 8 series of the ν₁ + ν₃ band are shifted relative to their positions in HITRAN or GEISA. This is because of the local C-type Coriolis

2201 resonance coupling the $K_a = 8$ energy levels of (1,0,1) with those in $K'_a = 5$ values of the (0,0,2) state were not accounted for during our previous investigation of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band [7].

2206 8. Conclusion

A new analysis of the $2\nu_1$ band and an extension of a previous FTS investigation of the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$ has been achieved using high-resolution Fourier transform spectrum recorded in the 2400–3100 cm^{-1} spectral range. For the first time, several transitions could be identified for the dark $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ band. Together with those achieved during a 1997 study for the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band, the results of this analysis were combined with those achieved in 1996 for the $2\nu_2 + \nu_3$, $4\nu_2$ and $2\nu_3$ bands, using the same technique. The effective Hamiltonian matrix used for the energy level modelling accounts for numerous vibration-rotation resonances between states belonging to the $\{(2,0,0), (1,2,0), (1,0,1)\}$ and $\{(0,4,0), (0,2,1), (0,0,2)\}$ blocks of interacting states together with electron spin-rotation interactions within each vibrational state. The fit of the 93 parameters of the effective Hamiltonian allowed reproducing 3357 spin-rotation energy levels with an *rms* of $0.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the (meas.-calc.) deviations. About ninety individual line intensities were measured for the $2\nu_1$ band, leading to the first set of intensity parameters for this weak band. Finally, a comprehensive list of line positions, intensities and line broadening parameters has been generated for the $2\nu_1$, $\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ bands of $^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_2$ and is now included in the GEISA database (<https://geisa.aeris-data.fr/>).

2236 Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to Dr Jean-Marie Flaud and Dr Johannes Orphal for their strong encouragements and suggestions during the pursuit of this work.

2241 Disclosure statement

Q7 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

2246Q3 Funding

This work was financially supported by the French national program LEFE ('Les Enveloppes Fluides et l'Environnement') of the CNRS.

2251 References

[1] A. Perrin, J.-M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret, B. Carli and M. Carlotti, *Mol. Phys.* **63**, 791–910 (1988).

- [2] A. Perrin, J.-M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret, A. Goldman, J.F. Murcray, R.D. Blatherwick and C.P. Rinsland, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **160**, 456 (1993). 2256
- [3] A. Perrin, J.-M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret, A.-M. Vasserot, G. Guelachvili, A. Goldman, F.J. Murcray and R.D. Blatherwick, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **154**, 391 (1992).
- [4] A. Perrin, J.M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret, D. Hurtmans, M. Herman and G. Guelachvili, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **168**, 54 (1994). 2261
- [5] A. Perrin, J.-M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret, D. Hurtmans and M. Herman, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **177**, 58 (1996).
- [6] F. Gueye, F. Kwabia Tchana, X. Landsheere and A. Perrin, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **138**, 60 (2014). 2266
- [7] J.-Y. Mandin, V. Dana, A. Perrin, J.-M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret, L. Régalia and A. Barbe, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **181**, 379 (1997).
- [8] T.M. Stephen, A. Goldman, A. Perrin, J.-M. Flaud, F. Keller and C.P. Rinsland, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **201**, 134 (2000). 2271
- [9] S. Miljanic, A. Perrin, J. Orphal, C.E. Fellows and P. Chelini, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **251**, 9 (2008).
- [10] A. Cabana, M. Laurin, W.J. Lafferty and R.L. Sams, *Can. J. Phys.* **53**, 1902 (1975).
- [11] W.T. Raynes, *J. Chem. Phys.* **41**, 3020 (1964).
- [12] V. Dana, J.-Y. Mandin, M.-Y. Allout, A. Perrin, L. Regalia, A. Barbe, J.-J. Plateaux and X. Thomas, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **57**, 445 (1997). 2276
- [13] I.E. Gordon, L.S. Rothman, C. Hill, R.V. Kochanov, Y. Tana, P.F. Bernath, M. Birk, V. Boudon, A. Campargue, K.V. Chance, B.J. Drouin, J.-M. Flaud, R.R. Gamache, J.T. Hodges, D. Jacquemart, V.I. Perevalov, A. Perrin, K.P. Shine, M.A. Smith, J. Tennyson, G.C. Toon, H. Tran, V.G. Tyuterev, A. Barbe, A.G. Császár, V.M. Devi, T. Furtenbacher, J.J. Harrison, J.M. Hartmann, A. Jolly, T.J. Johnson, T. Karman, I. Kleiner, A.A. Kyuberis, J. Loos, O.M. Lyulin, S.T. Massie, S.N. Mikhailenko, N. Moazzen-Ahmadi, H. Müller, O.V. Naumenko, A.V. Nikitin, O.L. Polyansky, M. Rey, M. Rotger, S.W. Sharpe, K. Sung, E. Starikova, S.A. Tashkun, J. Vander Auwera, G. Wagner, J. Wilzewski, P. Wcislo, S. Yuh and E.J. Zak, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **203**, 3–69 (2017). 2281
- [14] N. Jacquinet-Husson, R. Armante, N.A. Scott, A. Chédin, L. Crépeau, C. Boutammine, A. Bouhdaoui, C. Crevoisier, V. Capelle, C. Boone, N. Poulet-Crovisier, A. Barbe, D.C. Benner, V. Boudon, L.R. Brown, J. Buldyreva, A. Campargue, L.H. Coudert, V.M. Devi, M.J. Down, B.J. Drouin, A. Fayt, C. Fittschen, J.-M. Flaud, R.R. Gamache, J.J. Harrison, C. Hill, Ø. Hodnebrog, S.M. Hu, D. Jacquemart, A. Jolly, E. Jiménez, N. Lavrentieva, A.W. Liu, L. Lodi, O.M. Lyulin, S.T. Massie, S. Mikhailenko, H.S.P. Müller, O.V. Naumenko, A. Nikitin, C.J. Nielsen, J. Orphal, V. Perevalov, A. Perrin, E. Polovtseva, A. Predoi-Cross, M. Rotger, A.A. Ruth, Y. Shanshan, K. Sung, S. Tashkun, J. Tennyson, V.G. Tyuterev, J. Vander Auwera, B. Voronin and A. Makie, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **327**, 31–72 (2016). 2296
- [15] S. Miljanic, A. Perrin and J. Orphal, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **242**, 176–181 (2007).
- [16] L.S. Rothman, I.E. Gordon, R.J. Barber, H. Dothe, R.R. Gamache, A. Goldman, V. Perevalov, S.A. Tashkun and J. Tennyson, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **111**, 2139 (2010). 2306

- 2311 [17] R.J. Hargreaves, I.E. Gordon, L.S. Rothman, S.A. Tashkun, V.I. Perevalov, A.A. Lukashvskaya, S.N. Yurchenko, J. Tennyson and H.S.P. Müller, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **232**, 35 (2019).
- [18] A.A. Lukashvskaya, O.M. Lyulin, A. Perrin and V.I. Perevalov, *Atmospheric and Oceanic Optics.* **28**, 216 (2015).
- 2316 [19] A.A. Lukashvskaya, N.N. Lavrentieva, A.C. Dudaryonok and V.I. Perevalov, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **184**, 205 (2016); *ibid.* **202**, 37 (2017).
- [20] S. Reymond-Laruinaz, M. Faye, V. Boudon, D. Doizi and L. Manceron, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **336**, 29 (2017).
- 2321 [21] K. Schofield, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data.* **2**, 25 (1973).
- [22] F. Mélen, F. Pokorni and M. Herman, *Chem. Phys. Letters.* **194**, 181 (1992).
- [23] N. Semmoud-Monnanteuil, J.-M. Colmont, A. Perrin, J.-M. Flaud and C. Camy-Peyret, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **134**, 176 (1989).
- 2326 [24] J.K.G. Watson, in *Vibrational Spectra and Structure*, edited by J.R. Durig (1977), Chap 1, Vol. 6, A Series of Advances, pp. 1–89.
- [25] I.C. Bowater, J.M. Brown and A. Carrington, *Proc. B. Soc. Lond. A.* **333**, 265 (1973).
- 2331 [26] A. Delon and R. Jost, *J. Chem. Phys.* **95**, 5686 (1991).
- [27] T.J. Johnson, R.L. Sams and S.W. Sharpe, in *Chemical and Biological Point Sensors for Homeland Defense*, edited by A.J. Sedlacek III, R. Colton and T. Vo-Dinh (Bellingham, WA: SPIE, 2004), 5269, pp. 159–167, PNNL-SA-39521. doi:10.1117/12.515604.
- 2336 [28] Y. Attafi, S. Galalou, H. Aroui, F. Kwabia Tchana, J. Vander Auwera, A. Ben Hassen, A. Perrin, L. Manceron and D. Doizi, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **239**, 106679 (2019).
- Q8** [29] Y. Attafi, A. Ben Hassen, H. Aroui, F. Kwabia Tchana, L. Manceron, D. Doizi, J. Vander Auwera and A. Perrin, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **231**, 1 (2019).
- 2341 **Q9** [30] F. Kwabia Tchana, J.-M. Flaud, W.J. Lafferty and M. Ngom, *Mol. Phys.* **112**, 1633 (2014).
- [31] F. Kwabia Tchana, M. Ngom, A. Perrin, J.-M. Flaud, W.J. Lafferty, S.A. Ndiaye and E.A. Ngom, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **292**, 1 (2013).
- Q10**
- 2346
- [32] A. Ben Hassen, F. Kwabia Tchana, J.-M. Flaud, W.J. Lafferty, X. Landsheere and H. Aroui, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **282**, 30 (2012).
- [33] V. Dana and J.Y. Mandin, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **48**, 725 (1992).
- [34] A. Perrin, M. Ndao and L. Manceron, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **200**, 12 (2017).
- [35] P. De Bievre, N.E. Holden and I.L. Barnes, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data.* **13**, 809 (1984).
- [36] J.-M. Flaud and C. Camy-Peyret, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **55**, 278 (1975).
- [37] A.A. Lukashvskaya, O.V. Naumenko, D. Mondelain, S. Kassi and A. Campargue, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Rad. Transf.* **177**, 225 (2016).
- [38] A.A. Lukashvskaya, O.V. Naumenko, S. Kassi and A. Campargue, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **338**, 91 (2017).
- [39] A.A. Lukashvskaya, S. Kassi, A. Campargue and V.I. Perevalov, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Rad. Transf.* **200**, 17 (2017).
- [40] A.A. Lukashvskaya, S. Kassi, A. Campargue and V.I. Perevalov, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Rad. Transf.* **202**, 302 (2017).
- [41] A.A. Lukashvskaya, D. Mondelain, A. Campargue and V.I. Perevalov, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Rad. Transf.* **219**, 393 (2018).
- [42] O.V. Naumenko, A.A. Lukashvskaya, S. Kassi, S. Beguier and A. Campargue, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Rad. Transf.* **232**, 146 (2019).
- [43] V. Malathy Devi, P.P. Das, A. Bano, K. Narahari Rao, J.-M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret and J.P. Chevillard, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **88**, 251 (1981).
- [44] D. Chris Benner, T.A. Blake, L.R. Brown, V. Malathy Devi, M.A.H. Smith and R.A. Toth, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **228**, 593 (2004).
- [45] J.M. Hartmann, private communication.
- [46] R. Armante, N. Scott, C. Crevoisier, V. Capelle, L. Crepeau, N. Jacquinet and A. Chédin, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **327**, 180 (2016).
- 2366
- 2371
- 2376
- 2381
- 2386
- 2391
- 2396
- 2401
- 2406
- 2411
- 2416