



**HAL**  
open science

## How does eutrophication impact bundles of ecosystem services in multiple coastal habitats using state-and-transition models

Charlène Kermagoret, Joachim Claudet, Valérie Derolez, Maggy M Nugues, Vincent Ouisse, Nolwenn Quillien, Yoann Baulaz, Antoine Carlier, Patrick Le Mao, Pierre Scemama, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Charlène Kermagoret, Joachim Claudet, Valérie Derolez, Maggy M Nugues, Vincent Ouisse, et al.. How does eutrophication impact bundles of ecosystem services in multiple coastal habitats using state-and-transition models. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 2019, 174, pp.144-153. 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.03.028 . hal-03033537

**HAL Id: hal-03033537**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03033537v1>**

Submitted on 10 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# How does eutrophication impact bundles of ecosystem services in multiple coastal habitats using state-and-transition models

**Charlène Kermagoret<sup>a,b</sup>, Joachim Claudet<sup>c,d</sup>, Valérie Derolez<sup>e</sup>, Maggy M. Nugues<sup>f,d</sup>, Vincent Ouisse<sup>e</sup>, Nolwenn Quillien<sup>g</sup>, Yoann Baulaz<sup>h</sup>, Antoine Carlier<sup>i</sup>, Patrick Le Mao<sup>j</sup>, Pierre Scemama<sup>k</sup>, Diane Vaschalde<sup>l</sup>, Denis Bailly<sup>a</sup>, Rémi Mongruel<sup>k</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> : Univ Brest, Ifremer, CNRS, UMR 6308, AMURE, IUEM, 29280, Plouzane, France

<sup>b</sup> : Département des Sciences Naturelles, Institut des Sciences de la Forêt Tempérée, Université du Québec en Outaouais, Gatineau, Canada

<sup>c</sup> : National Center for Scientific Research, PSL Université Paris, CRIOBE, USR 3278 CNRS-EPHE-UPVD, Maison des Océans, 195 rue Saint-Jacques 75005 Paris, France

<sup>d</sup> : Labex Corail, CRIOBE, 98729 Moorea, French Polynesia

<sup>e</sup> : MARBEC, Ifremer, IRD, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Av. Jean Monnet, CS 30171 - 34203 Sète Cedex, France

<sup>f</sup> : EPHE, PSL Research University, UPVD-CNRS, USR3278 CRIOBE, F-66860 Perpignan, France

<sup>g</sup> : France Energies Marines, 29200 Brest, France

<sup>h</sup> : Univ Savoie Mont-Blanc, CNRS, INRA, UMR CARTELE, UMR EDYTEM, 75 bis Avenue de Corzent, CS 50511, 47203 Thonon-les-Bains, France

<sup>i</sup> : IFREMER, DYNECO, Laboratoire d'Ecologie Benthique, Technopole Brest-Iroise, BP70, 29280 Plouzané, France

<sup>j</sup> : IFREMER, Lab Environm & Ressources Bretagne Nord, 38 Rue Port Blanc, BP 80108, F-35801 Dinard, France

<sup>k</sup> : Ifremer, Univ Brest, CNRS, UMR 6308, AMURE, Unité d'Economie Maritime, IUEM, F-29280, Plouzane, France

<sup>l</sup> : Agence française pour la biodiversité, 16 quai de la douane, CS 42932, 29229 Brest cedex 02, France

## **Abstract**

One of the current major scientific challenges to sustain social-ecological systems is to improve our understanding of the spatial and temporal dynamics of the relationships between biodiversity, ecosystem functioning and ecosystem services. Here, we analyze the bundles of ecosystem services supplied by three coastal ecosystems (coastal lagoons, coral reefs and sandy beaches) along a gradient of eutrophication. Based on a state-and-transition model, we analyse the dynamic responses of ecological communities to environmental change and management actions. Although few exceptions are highlighted, increasing eutrophication in the three ecosystem types leads to a degradation of the ecosystem service bundles, particularly for nutrient and pathogen regulation/sequestration, or for the support of recreational and leisure activities. Despite few obstacles to their optimal use, state-and-transition models can be very powerful frameworks to integrate multiple functions and services delivered by ecosystems while accounting for their temporal dynamics.

## **Key-words**

Sandy beaches

Coral reefs

Coastal lagoons

Ecosystem service bundles

Temporal dynamics

Management

## 1. Introduction

The demographic and economic growth of societies is increasingly facing the ecological limits of the planet (Meadows et al., 2004). This global ecological crisis, as illustrated by major changes in ecosystem states with decreasing availability of natural resources, is accelerated by climate change. The consequences of this crisis are already observable within societies and will most likely spread and generalize in future decades (Cardinale et al. 2012 ; Isbell et al. 2017). One of the major scientific challenges for biodiversity conservation is to improve the understanding of the relationship between biodiversity, ecosystem functioning and ecosystem services (Harrison et al., 2014).

Ecosystem services (ES) seek to account for the dependence of human societies on ecosystems, commonly defined as the contributions of ecosystem structure and function to human well-being (MA, 2005). Originally, the concept of ES and its monetary valuation (see Costanza et al., 1997) were primarily intended to alert public opinion and governments about the importance of well-functioning ecosystem for societies and the risks associated with the ecological crisis. Scientific developments of this concept, encouraged by its institutionalization, have gradually clarified its scope and defined multi-criteria analysis as the most robust way to evaluate ES, seeking to inform decision-making processes and the establishment of public policy and management policies (Keune and Dendoncker, 2013 ; Saarikoski et al. 2016). However, 50% of ES studies focus on a single service, or on a limited number of services, without considering interactions and feedback with other services (Seppelt et al., 2011 ; Couvet et al. 2016). This monofocal vision can lead to an operational ecosystem management based on the maximization of a single ES and potentially to the detriment of the other ones (Couvet et al. 2016).

ES are a function of complex interactions among species and their abiotic environment, complex use and utilization patterns and various perceptions by beneficiaries. ES bundles are defined as sets of ES that repeatedly appear together across space or time (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010). It is thus a useful tool for improving the management of ecosystems and identifying common ES tradeoffs and synergies: trade-offs arise when the provision of one service is enhanced at the cost of reducing the provision of another service, and synergies arise when multiple services are enhanced simultaneously (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010). Bundle analysis seeks to inform management and decision-making for reducing the cost of both tradeoffs and synergies. For example, enhancing some provisioning services might imply the conversion of forest to agricultural land, at the cost of some regulating (e.g. carbon storage) and cultural services (e.g. recreation). More recent scientific developments indicate that separating, *a minima*, the supply and demand of ES helps to refine and clarify the bundle analysis (Villamagna et al., 2013 ; Burkhard et al., 2014 ; Levrel et al., 2016). The supply represents the ecosystem capacity to provide ES (also called potential), whereas the demand is the amount of services used, consumed but

also desired by the society (Villamagna et al., 2013). Different approaches can be used to analyze trade-offs and synergies depending on whether the focus is on supply or demand for ES.

Eutrophication occurs when the nutrient enrichment process (especially nitrogen and / or phosphorus compounds) leads to an increase in primary production, growth and biomass of phytoplankton and / or macroalgae, as well as a change in the equilibrium of organisms and a degradation of water quality (Cloern, 2001; Ferreira et al., 2011). It is a natural phenomenon and ecosystems have a level of resilience that allows them to resist against the high variability of nutrient enrichment. This resilience may be insufficient when excessive nutrient enrichment occurs from human activities. In Europe, the volume of nitrogen transported to the coastal areas is now four times higher than that of natural origin (Voss et al. 2011). This eutrophication, with an anthropogenic origin, happens at shorter time scales and is a real issue worldwide because of its important socio-economic consequences: loss of tourist potential and water use for recreational activities, unfit seafood or increased maintenance costs associated with algal removal (Lefebvre, 2011). From a strictly ecological point of view, the eutrophication manifestations are classically distinguished into two types, namely the development of opportunistic macroalgae and the development of phytoplankton blooms (Menesguen et al., 2001). By modifying environmental conditions, these macroalgal and phytoplankton developments will impact the entire ecosystem.

Coastal habitats are among the habitats the most exposed to current direct and indirect drivers of change (REF). Among them, sandy beaches, coastal lagoons and coral reefs are among the most vulnerable (Defeo, 2009 ; Kennish and Paerl, 2010 ; Pendleton et al. 2016). Among these multiple stressors, eutrophication is particularly important, prevalent and at the origin of significant ecological changes (Smith, 2003 ; Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008 ; Wilkinson, 2017). Although the ecological impacts of eutrophication on these ecosystems are well studied today, its effects on ES bundles are little explored. The ES approach can provide an interesting perspective to understand the ecological impacts and associated risks of eutrophication to better inform decision-making processes and management strategies. Here, we assess the effects of eutrophication in sandy beaches, coastal lagoons and coral reefs on ES bundles. Our aim is to identify trade-offs and synergies between ES and the possible societal benefits associated to the recovery of the ecological functions for these ecosystems.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### 2.1. State-and-transition model

State-and-transition models are an operational and conceptual framework for organizing and providing information about ecosystem dynamics and management outcomes describing how communities respond to pressures and management (Briske et al., 2005 ; Bestelmeyer, 2015). It has been developed by the United States Department of Agriculture - Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS) for rangeland ecological sites in southern Arizona. While its scientific application is widespread for some terrestrial habitats (e.g. Quétier et al., 2007 ; McIntyre and Lavorel, 2007 ; Tarrason et al., 2016), its application in the marine environment remains almost non-existent.

We apply here the two first steps of the operational framework described by Lavorel et al. (2015) are applied to three marine ecosystems to explore the evolutions of communities and ES bundles supply along a gradient of eutrophication. Eutrophication and management measures (e.g. nutrient flow, ecological restoration) are seen as drivers determining the ecosystem state, i.e. specific biodiversity and functioning, at a particular time and place. The first step aims to characterize the ecosystem dynamics under eutrophication through state-and-transition models: alternative states may be represented by dominant species and associated biodiversity based on empirical and prospective studies. For each ecosystem state, i.e. eutrophication level, bundles of ecosystem services supplied are identified. The second step aims to describe and, if possible, to quantify ecosystem responses to eutrophication levels. This involves changes affecting ecosystem functions and supply of ES.

The classification of the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services (CICES) and the list of marine ES defined by Liqueste et al. (2013) have served as a support for the definition of the ES constituting the bundles studied (TABLE\_1). The main distinction between these classifications concerns supporting services or ecological functions. These latter are the underpinning structures and processes that ultimately give rise to ecosystem services - sometimes defined as ‘intermediate services’. They are not covered in CICES which seeks to only identify the final services that link to the goods and benefits that are valued by people (i.e. demand). Since we focus here on the ES supply, main ecological functions are considered as recommended by Liqueste et al. (2013).

*TABLE\_1: Correspondence between CICES and selected ES*

CICES		Liqueste et al. 2013	Selected ES for the study
Section	Division		
Provisioning	Nutrition	Food provision	P1. Food through fisheries
	Materials	Biotic materials and biofuels	P2. Material P3. Molecules
	Energy		-

	Mediation of waste, toxics and other nuisances		-
Regulation & Maintenance	Mediation of flows		R1. Coastal protection
	Maintenance of physical, chemical, biological conditions	Water purification Air quality regulation Coastal protection Climate regulation Weather regulation Biological regulation	R2. Nutrient regulation/sequestration R3. Pathogen regulation/sequestration R4. Climate regulation
Cultural	Physical and intellectual interactions with biota, ecosystems, and land-/seascapes [environmental settings]	Recreation and tourism Cognitive effects	C1. Support of recreational and leisure activities C2. Contribution to a pleasant landscape C3. Contribution to culture and territorial identity
	Spiritual, symbolic and other interactions with biota, ecosystems, and land-/seascapes [environmental settings]	Symbolic and aesthetic values	C4. Emblematic biodiversity
		Ocean nourishment Life cycle maintenance	F1. Habitat F2. Trophic networks F3. Recruitment

Each step involves a literature review regarding ecosystem responses to eutrophication that is supplemented with expert-knowledge. The literature review encompasses knowledge obtained and disseminated on a global scale, while expert knowledge focuses on data observed on a more local scale, based on French case studies. However, experts have a good understanding of these ecosystems which allow them to pronounce in a qualitative way where data gaps are identified. All information is compiled within a matrix (SUPPLEMENTARY\_FILE). Information was then coded and analyzed to produce spider plots summarizing the variation of ES supply between states. Five levels of ES supplied were considered : "0: inexistent", "1: very low", "2: low", "3: medium", "4: high", "5: very high".

## 2.2. Three coastal case studies: sandy beaches, Mediterranean coastal lagoons and coral reefs

The state-and-transition model is applied to sandy beaches, Mediterranean coastal lagoons and coral reefs, three ecosystems with different biophysical characteristics related to contrasted ES supply. Present at several latitudes, these ecosystems undergo changes proven in various parts of the world, linked to a multitude of pressures among which eutrophication is particularly important. Beyond their ecological functioning, these ecosystems underlie many uses and have important cultural and heritage values. We believe that an increased awareness - by society - of the changes could favor the levers of action to reverse the negative trend they are undergoing (REF).

Sandy beaches are defined as accumulations of non silty fine sediment along coastlines (Davis 2015) including the entire foreshore since the level of the Mean High Water Springs until the level of the Mean Low Water Springs. It constitutes a highly dynamic ecotone mainly influenced by its physical

environment. Indeed, the composition of species assemblages and the organism abundances are correlated with physical factors such as wind, beach slope, tidal amplitude or sediment granulometry (McLachlan and Dorvlo, 2005). Unfairly characterized as lifeless deserts (McDermott 1983), sandy beach ecosystems harbor many organisms that are highly specialized and adapted to life in mobile sediments leading to specific ecological functions (McLachlan & Brown 2006). Where conditions are favorable to the development of opportunistic macroalgae, eutrophication will generate deposits on the sandy beaches, the anaerobic decomposition will evolve toxic reducing substances, including hydrogen sulfide. Sandy beaches are present worldwide constituting 70% of the ice-free coastline (McLachlan & Brown 2006). Eutrophication occurs in many parts of the world (Smetacek and Zingone, 2013). In France, green tides punctually occur along the Channel-Atlantic coast during spring and summer.

Mediterranean coastal lagoons are semi-enclosed ecosystems spread along the European coasts (Barnes, 1980; Kjerfve, 1994; Fiandrino et al., 2017). They are expanses of shallow coastal water, of varying salinity and water volume, partially separated from the sea by sand banks or shingle, or, less frequently, by rocks (Hill et al. 2004). Salinity may vary from oligohaline to hyperhaline ranges depending on rainfall, freshwater inland and underground water supplies, evaporation and through the addition of fresh seawater from storms or tidal exchange. These ecosystems support habitats with or without macroalgae and phanerogams vegetation. Eutrophication particularly occurs in many French mediterranean coastal lagoons with a strong gradient from oligotrophic to hypertrophic states (Souchu et al., 2010; Bec et al., 2010; Leruste et al., 2016; Le Fur et al., 2017). Where conditions are favorable to eutrophication, the development of opportunistic macro- and micro-algae is observed with a marked change of primary producers (Schramm, 1999 ; Leruste et al., 2016 ; Le Fur et al., 2017).

Coral reefs are developed on the immersed bottoms of volcanic islands in the intertropical zone. The reefs are constructed from a mineral substrate (calcium carbonate) secreted primarily by the polyps of scleractinian corals. This habitat is made of a reef surface and a non-reef surface (lagoon and sedimentary terraces). Despite thriving in nutrient-poor waters, coral reefs belong to the most productive ecosystems on Earth due to efficient retention and recycling of carbon and nutrients (famously referred to as the "Darwin's Paradox"). Eutrophication has long-term negative impacts on the structure and functioning of coral reef ecosystems. Increasing nutrient levels can: i) increase the number and prevalence of coral diseases (Bruno et al., 2003; Voss and Richardson, 2006; Vega Thurber et al., 2013) and the susceptibility of corals to temperature and light-induced bleaching (Wiedenmann et al., 2013), ii) reduce coral reproduction and skeletal growth (Tomascik and Sander, 1987; Koop et al., 2001), iii) stimulate the growth of algae, heterotrophic sponges and benthic cyanobacterial mats, which in turn can reduce coral recruitment, alter the coral microbiome and drive reef decline further



(Mumby and Steneck, 2008; Brocke et al., 2015; Pawlik et al., 2016; Ford et al., 2018), iv) enhance periodic outbreaks of the corallivorous crown-of-thorns starfish *Acanthaster planci* (Brodie et al., 2005), and v) promote higher bioerosion rates by favouring the activity of filter-feeders such as endolithic bivalves and bioeroding sponges (Fabricius, 2005). Coral reefs are present worldwide in the intertropical zone. They are one of the most emblematic tropical ecosystems because of its size, geomorphological diversity, biodiversity and high endemism (Gardes and Salvat, 2008). In France, eutrophication occurs in coral reefs located along the most inhabited volcanic islands (e.g. Martinique, Guadeloupe, La Réunion).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. State and transition description

For each ecosystem, ecological functioning is described by dominant species and associated biodiversity for three to four levels of eutrophication (FIGURE\_1).

##### 3.1.1. Sandy beaches

First ecological state of sandy beaches is described by groups of species defined as reference species living in a non-eutrophic ecosystem, where no green tides occur. In some French Atlantic sandy beaches, these reference species, for marine benthic macrofauna, are part of *Tellinidae*, *Spionidae*, *Amphiuridae* and *Nephtyidae* families (Quillien et al., 2015). The continuous supply of nutrients (exogenous inputs or release from sediments) causes a slight excess and leads to the gradual development of green algae. As a response, dominant species change in this eutrophic ecosystem with an appearance of new dominant species (*Donacidae*, *Oweniidae*, *Magelonidae*) and the decrease, even the disappearance, of some reference species (*Tellinidae*, *Spionidae*, *Amphiuridae*) (Quillien et al., 2015). Where hydrodynamic conditions are favorable, the massive supply of nutrients leads to the massive and rapid development of green algae forming green tides. Species of reference have disappeared in favor of species (*Donacidae*, *Oweniidae*) better adapted to eutrophic conditions. Abundance and biomass are higher in this new eutrophic ecosystem, but the species richness is lower (Quillien et al., 2015).

##### 3.1.2. Mediterranean coastal lagoons


First ecological state of primary production of Mediterranean coastal lagoon is characterized by a

dominance of reference species that are typical of a lagoon environment in oligotrophic conditions (State I). For French Mediterranean coastal lagoons, the reference genus are the marine phanerogams *Zostera* and *Ruppia* which form seagrass beds, and perennial benthic macroalgae (eg. *Cystoseira sp.*, *Acetabularia sp.*). The continuous supply of nutrients causes a slight excess and leads to the gradual disappearance of the reference species and the slow and sustainable development of algae (Schramm, 1999). State II is dominated by a dominance of opportunistic and epiphytic macroalgae. Most are red or brown algae, which can form drifting populations or seasonal bloom on substrates or other macrophytes. The massive supply of nutrients leads to the massive and rapid dominance of free-floating blooming opportunistic algae (State III). These algae have a shorter lifetime and a higher growth rate than state II algae. Their ability to absorb nutrients is higher, making them more competitive than other species in highly eutrophic environments. In case of proliferation, they can cover and eliminate seagrass beds. In case of massive development of these species, they fill the whole column of water and reach the surface, forming green tides. In the most eutrophicated systems, phytoplankton community dominates the water column (State IV). The proliferation of macroalgae and phytoplankton can contribute to triggering the phenomenon of anoxic crisis.

### 3.1.3. Coral reefs

Combining anthropogenic and natural stressors lead to changes in the ecological functioning of coral reefs (Hughes 1994; Jackson et al. 2014; de Bakker et al. 2016). First ecological state of coral reefs is characterized by the dominance of hard corals. In the Caribbean reefs of Curaçao and Bonaire, hard coral represented two thirds of the benthic community cover in this initial state. Other benthic communities present were algal turfs, crustose coralline algae, sponges and benthic cyanobacterial mats (de Bakker et al. 2017). The increasing pressure (transition 1) leads to the development of algal turfs and fleshy macroalgae which are fast-growing organisms and a gradual decline of coral cover (e.g. Hughes 1994), in particular from competitive losses against algae under conditions of reduced herbivory (Vermeij et al. 2010) (State II). Algal turfs are multispecies assemblages of diminutive, mostly filamentous algae, including cyanobacteria. Due to their opportunistic life-history characteristics, they are able to rapidly occupy newly available substratum. Besides, they inhibit coral recruitment. Fleshy macroalgae are commonly defined as more upright and anatomically complex algae with frond extension > 1 cm (e.g., *Dictyota spp.* and *Lobophora spp.*). They are frequently superior competitors against corals, inhibiting coral growth, reproduction, and recruitment (Nugues and Bak, 2006). With a continuous and increasing pressure (transition 2), benthic cyanobacterial mats increase and become dominant at the expense of algal turfs and macroalgae while sponges showed a more limited but significant increase. Benthic cyanobacteria mats benefit from increased levels of

nutrient (Brocke et al. 2015) but also from high grazing pressure and elevated water temperature (Kuffner and Paul 2001; Bender et al. 2014). In the Caribbean reefs of Curaçao and Bonaire, hard coral and algal turfs represented both around 10% of the benthic community cover in this State III while benthic cyanobacterial mats represented more than 20% (de Bakker et al. 2017).



**FIGURE\_1:** Main characteristics of the ecosystem states and transitions (sources: Quillien et al. (2015) for sandy beaches; Schramm (1999) for Mediterranean coastal lagoons; de Bakker et al. (2017) for coral reefs) and relative levels of ES supplied by the ecosystem in each state of eutrophication (0 = inexistent ; 1 = very low ; 2 = low ; 3 = medium ; 4 = high ; 5 = very high ; R1 = Coastal protection (vegetal or animal reef supplying a protection against erosion and submersion) ; R2 = Nutrient regulation/sequestration (ecosystem capacity to supply a "good quality water", limiting the risk of eutrophication, encouraging shell fish farming...) ; R3 = Pathogen regulation/sequestration (ability of

*ecosystems to purify the environment through hyperfiltration processes*) ; R4 = Climate regulation (through GES sequestration/storage) ; P1 = Human food through fisheries and aquaculture ; P2 = Material (Animal oil, sponges, algae... for domestic uses, industry, agriculture, aquaculture...) ; P3 = Molecules (marine organisms from which are extracted molecules potentially useful for medicine) ; C1 = Support of recreational and leisure activities ; C2 = Contribution to a pleasant landscape ; C3 = Contribution to culture and territorial identity ; C4 = Emblematic biodiversity (i.e. protected or rared species) ; F1 = Habitat (nursery, reproduction area...) ; F2 = Trophic networks ; F3 = Recruitment)

### 3.2. ES bundle description

ES bundles are described by relative levels of functions and services supplied by each ecosystem for three to four levels of eutrophication (FIGURE\_1). As supply is difficult to quantify or even to characterize, it is sometimes described qualitatively by the state of the ES and the conditions necessary for its effectiveness.

#### 3.2.1. Sandy beaches

Coastal protection is provided by both the physical structure of the beach and specific fauna and flora. As ES only considers the roles played by biodiversity, coastal protection (R1) focuses on the latter, ables to reduce the hydrodynamics or to stabilize the substrate. Indeed, bioturbating organisms contribute to the stabilization of the substrate and the tide mark also limits the erosion phenomenon by trapping the sand. But the presence of *Ulva* mats (States II and III) impacts the hydrodynamics (Hull, 1987), thus affecting the sediment transport and ultimately the ES. Nutrient regulation (R2) decreases along the gradient of eutrophication: beach ecosystems are important in processing large quantities of organic material and recycling nutrients back to coastal waters (Schlacher et al., 2008) but the release of excess nutrients and the presence of green macroalgae mats probably saturate the filtering function and the ES as well. The capacity of beach ecosystems to provide a service of pathogen regulation (R3) is not well-documented but in the same way as for nutrient regulation (R2) the alteration of the filtering function can affect this ES. Climate regulation (R4) is constant between state I to III as sequestration through the phytoplanktonic, microphytobenthic and green algae activity is a short term function.

Human food (P1) is highly decreasing along the eutrophication gradient because of the changes in species assemblages affecting the shellfish fishing activities. Indeed, sandy beaches support professional fisheries of the bivalve *Donax trunculus*, which is of commercial importance (Augris et al., 2005 ; McLachlan & Brown, 2006) but at eutrophication states (States II and III), a decrease in its density has been shown (Quillien et al., 2015). Materials (P2) is slightly provided by driftwood and seashell which can be collected but highly increase in state III because of the capacity of green algae to be collected and used in industry (pet food, cosmetics...). Molecules (P3) is potentially provided in

States I and II as the diversity that is harboured by sandy beaches is high, specialized and unique and effectively provided through *Arenicola marina*, which is collected and bred to get hemoglobin for medical uses. In state III, molecules are effectively extracted from *Ulva* but the eutrophication impacts the other organisms, and more specifically affects the overall diversity thus decreasing the potential pool of molecules.

Cultural ES (C1 to C4) decrease along the eutrophication gradient as the landscape, the leisure activities, the territorial identity and the emblematic biodiversity are affected by green tides (McLachlan & Brown, 2006 ; Schlacher, 2008 ; Levain, 2014).

The habitat function (F1) is altered as green tides affect nurseries of various species (Quiniou, 1986 ; McLachlan & Brown, 2006 ; Quillien et al., 2017 ; Le Luherne, 2017). Trophic networks (F2) in state I is high because the food web is complex, showing several potential carbon pathways and diverse trophic niches while in eutrophication states, the trophic network is homogenized/simplified and shows less niche differentiation (Quillien et al., 2016). Recruitment (F3) is high in State I for many species and increases between states I and II as the presence of *Ulva* mats influence local hydrodynamics, which in turn influence the recruitment of some species. For example, in Brittany, the presence of heterogenous cover of *Ulva* enhances the recruitment of the bivalve *Donax vittatus* (Quillien et al., 2015). However when the *Ulva* biomass is high, macroalgae affect the recruitment, community structure and production of benthic fauna, including meiofauna, macrofauna and flatfish (Quillien, 2016).

### 3.2.2. Mediterranean coastal lagoons

On Mediterranean coastal lagoon ecosystems, coastal protection (R1) is estimated to decrease with the alteration and decline of seagrass meadows which have the capacity to attenuate waves and to slow down currents (Paquier et al. 2014). In a logical way, nutrient regulation (R2) decreases along the gradient of eutrophication. Seagrass beds play an important role in regulating benthic nutrient fluxes in lagoons as they increase the ability to store nutrients sustainably. The flow of nutrients from the sediment to the water column and, at the same time, eutrophication levels are thus greater in lagoons without seagrass (Viaroli et al., 2008 ; Ouisse et al., 2013). Pathogen regulation (R3) is more provided in states I and II than in states III and IV because of the algicidal effects of *Zostera marina* L. and *Zostera noltei* Hornem. on *Alexandrium catenella* (Laabir et al., 2013). More generally, seagrass ecosystems reduce exposure to bacterial pathogens of humans, fishes, and invertebrates (Lamb et al., 2017). However, emergence of toxic dinoflagellate is observed in oligotrophic conditions (Collos et al.,

2009) which leads to weighting the pathogen regulation service in state I. Climate regulation (R4) is particularly high in state I and II because of the potential long-term capacity to sequester greenhouse gases in the sediment through perennial macrophytes.

Human food (P1) is the only provisioning service for Mediterranean coastal lagoons (Newton et al., 2018) and is mainly based on shellfish farming. The quantity or the state of the suitable areas for shellfish farming indicate the state of this ES. As shellfish farming needs a high rate of primary productivity to feed shellfish, the state II meets the most optimal conditions. On the one hand, oligotrophic conditions can lead to an under-capacity of production and on the other hand, a massive supply of nutrients can lead to anoxic crisis and the death of shellfish stocks (Cloern, 2001).

Emblematic biodiversity (C4) is varying along the eutrophication gradient. In states I and II, protected and rare species like *Zostera sp.*, *Hippocampus sp.*, avifauna (e.g. *Anas penelope*, *Cygnus olor*, *Egretta garzetta*, *Ardea cinerea*) are able to contribute to human well-being because of their mere existence, but also because of their role in supporting of some recreational and leisure activities (C4) like scuba-diving, snorkeling and nature watching. In states III and IV, the presence of these protected and rare species decreases for the benefit of a more restrictive number of other protected species like flamingos (de Wit et al., 2015). The contribution of Mediterranean coastal lagoon ecosystems to a pleasant landscape (C2) is mainly based on avifauna, and in a lesser extent, on other living components including underwater seascape (Chazée et al., 2016). Avifauna is present from state I to IV even if the assemblage of species varies between them. However, the capacity of Mediterranean coastal lagoons to provide pleasant underwater seascape can be considered altered with the degradation and decline of *Zostera* meadows. Biodiversity of coastal lagoons also contributes to culture and territorial identity (C3) as they are a socialization area, sometimes assimilated to a urban park (Chazée et al., 2017).

The habitat function (F1) is altered with degradation and decline of *Zostera* meadows since they are a habitat for many species. Indeed, the leaf canopy and the network of rhizomes and roots create hiding places to avoid predation. Mediterranean coastal lagoons also provide higher temperature during growth and food to some fish species like *Sparus aurata*, which allow good lipid reserves, and large sizes of juveniles, which may be very important to their survival over winter (Tournois et al., 2013 ; Isnard et al., 2015). Trophic networks (F2) in state I is high because of its high complexity, which then decreases along the eutrophication gradient until low complexity in degraded states (Pearson & Rosenberg, 1978). As a consequence, if enrichment of food webs in lagoons is altered, the consequences for fishery resources could be important with an impact on recruitment (F3). However, the carrying capacity for juvenile oysters of oligotrophic lagoons is questioned (Lagarde et al., 2017)

and leads to weighting the recruitment function in state I.

### 3.2.3. Coral reefs

Coastal protection (R1) is an important service provided by coral reefs as they can dissipate 97% of the wave energy that would otherwise impact shorelines (Ferrario et al., 2013). As eutrophication leads to the loss of corals, water depth between the reef crest and the surface increases and should result in a less effective, or even nonexistent, ES. Nutrient regulation (R2) decreases along the gradient of eutrophication. This ES is intensively performed by zooxanthellae in living coral reefs (state I). The risk of hyper-eutrophication is thus greater in altered or dead coral reefs. Moreover, cyanobacterial mats and sponges who are also able to produce the ES in state III can be easily washed away by storms. Pathogen regulation (R3) also decreases along from state I to III. Turf algae and macroalgae (state II) alter the coral microbiome and elevate putative pathogen loads (Vega-Thurber et al., 2012 ; Zaneveld et al., 2016 ; Pratte et al., 2018). Living coral reefs (state I) also widely contribute to climate regulation (R4), stocking greenhouse gases through the production of carbonates (Roberts et al., 2017).

Human food (P1) is mainly based on reef fisheries. Coral-dominated reefs (state I) are the most productive (Hughes et al. 2017). Depending on structural complexity, multi-species fisheries can shift towards herbivorous fish species (state II). However, overfishing of herbivorous fishes prevents the return to state I (Hicks et al. 2016). Bottoms lacking structural complexity (state III) become very poor in target species.

Coral ecosystems are particularly rich in molecules (P3) because of the wide competition between species that leads to a diversity of chemical defense by organisms (Banaigs et al. 2016). State I supports a high diversity of organisms and thus potential biomolecules. Cyanobacteria and sponges (state III) are also chemically rich. However, chemical defense could lessen in absence of consumers. For example, sponge communities have become dominated by fast-growing species that lack chemical defenses on reefs where sponge-eating angelfishes and parrotfishes have been removed by overfishing (Loh and Pawlik, 2014).

In many tropical societies, relations to nature are often very different from those related to the Western lifestyle and the distinction between culture and nature is sometimes blurred. In these tropical contexts, the difficult resilience and adaptive capacity to abrupt changes in coral reefs (eutrophication and other pressures) can alter cultural ESs (C1 ; C2 ; C3) from state I to state III (Sterling et al. 2017).

Emblematic biodiversity (C4) is particularly rich in coral reefs and decreases along the eutrophication gradient. Coral-dominated reefs (state I) are richer in habitat (F1), trophic networks (F2) and



recruitment (F3) than algal dominated reefs and cyanobacterial mats. Corals provide shelter and food for a large diversity of benthic organisms and allow the creation of complex trophic networks. Algal-dominated state can benefit some herbivorous fishes, but large fleshy macroalgae and cyanobacterial mats are often unpalatable to fishes. Mesopredators can switch prey, shortening food chains, in response to coral reef degradation (Hempson et al., 2017). The three dimensional structure of corals are important to fish recruitment, which can, in turn, increase herbivory and favor coral dominance via positive feedback mechanisms (Mumby and Steneck, 2008).

## **4. Discussion**

### 4.1. Evolution of bundles of ecosystem services

ES bundles are very important in state I for each ecosystem, determined in part by their ability to provide the 3 ecological functions (habitat, recruitment, food networks) that support the ESs set. The bundle is thus particularly strong for coral reefs but also very important for sandy beaches and coastal lagoons. For all ecosystems, the general trend is a degradation of the ES bundles along the eutrophication gradient, particularly for nutrients and pathogen regulation/sequestration or the support of recreational and leisure activities. However, some exceptions exist. For instance, the capacity of coral reefs to provide molecules for medicine decreases between the two first states but increases again in state III, dominated by chemically rich cyanobacteria and sponges . The capacity of sandy beaches to support recruitment function increases with the proliferation of green algae as the latter can reduce hydrodynamics intensity allowing the fixation of larvae. When green tides occur, sandy beaches also increase their capacity to provide material as algae might be collected and used in industry for pet food and cosmetics. Finally, the capacity of Mediterranean coastal lagoon to provide food through shellfish farming increases between states I and II as nutrient flows increase shellfish productivity. However, excessive nutrient flows occurring in states III to IV can lead to shellfish mortality thus decreasing ES supply. Trade-offs exist between these ES which are enhanced by eutrophication and other ES of the bundles which are altered along the same gradient.

### 4.2. Potential impacts on ES demand

ES demand is not explored here, but we can easily imagine that a deterioration of almost all ES in increasing eutrophic would no longer meet the societal demand for use and consumption of these ESs, thus turning into an expression of a societal demand for the conservation of these ecosystems. For example, in Brittany (France), local environmental protection associations have been created and

mobilized against green algae after the the deaths of a horse, dogs and wild boar linked to gas emissions generated by green tides was broadcasted into local and national medias (Levain, 2013). Another example is the French NGO Coral Guardian, created in 2012 in response to the multiple pressures these ecosystems are undergoing and their impacts on local communities.

In the few cases where increased eutrophication can favor a given ES, some stakeholders can express a new demand, positioning themselves in favor of a slight or a significant eutrophication because an economic activity could result of it. This could be the case for shellfish farmers in Mediterranean lagoons, for companies cleaning and transforming green algae along the French Atlantic coast, or for pharmaceutical industries towards molecules of cyanobacterial mats and sponges in tropical environments. Even if the cleaning process of macroalgae is still costly (Morand and Merceron, 2005), industrial utilization of this macroalgal biomass is in full growth worldwide (Abd El-Baky et al., 2008 ; Khan et al., 2016 ; Qiu et al., 2017) and could bear these costs for economic purposes which would not solve the problem of eutrophication at source. Hence the interest of communicating at the scale of the ES bundles so that society and decision-makers can balance these few potential gains obtained from eutrophication against all the ecological functions and ES which have been altered. Indeed, in the case of the Water Framework Directive, cost-benefit analysis of achieving the good environmental status can lead to the argument that costs are disproportionate regarding the benefits. This is due to methodological and conceptual issues regarding the assessment of benefits (Feuillette et al., 2016). In this way, decision-makers could really use state-and-transition models to better understand the consequences of eutrophication on the impacted users.

#### 4.3. How to reverse the situation?

The transition from eutrophic states to initial states depends on the ecosystem resilience. While Mediterranean coastal lagoons have already proved a resilience after years of efforts to reduce nutrient inputs (Leruste et al., 2016), reef recovery to a coral-dominated state is rare (but see Adjeroud et al. 2018). The transition from coral-dominated to macro-algal dominated reefs can be a regime shift, making it difficult to reverse to the previous state because of strong feedback processes (Mumby and Steneck, 2008). In addition, the eutrophication management must be carried out taking into account also the multiple stressors context (global change, overfishing, urbanization, etc.).

Specifically, the eutrophication management can be carried out at two levels: upstream by focusing on the causes (control of pollution flows from domestic, agricultural or industrial sources); or downstream by tackling these symptoms (dilution action via mixing water, water reoxygenation, green algae cleaning...) (Charlier et al., 2016). The latter does not orient the ecosystem towards an initial state so

we believe that upstream strategies for the restoration of eutrophic ecosystems are essential, before any other remedial measures. In 2016, the eutrophication management costed € 272 million in metropolitan France distributed as follows: € 6.6 million of monitoring and information costs; € 262 million of avoidance costs targeting both reduction of agricultural inputs (41%) and domestic inputs (59%); € 2.5 million of restoration and mitigation costs (Henry et al., 2018). The management of coastal ecosystems needs to be more strongly integrated with the management of terrestrial ecosystems.

Ecological restoration is the process accompanying the recovery of an ecosystem that has been degraded to its historical trajectory. However, depending on the source type of pollution it may be challenging to control the pollution flows. Since they are easily identifiable and generally few in the territory, point sources of pollution (e.g. domestic pollution) are easier to control, to monitor and to effectively regulate than non-point source or diffuse pollution (e.g. agricultural pollution) characterized by random and intermittent occurrence, and influenced by different drivers (e.g. land use, soil type, management practices) (Hu and Huang, 2014 ; Duncan, 2017). For example, the considerable efforts made to water depuration systems on the watershed have induced a significant decrease of nutrient pollution since the 1970s and the late 2000s and have gradually led to a good environmental status of the Thau lagoon according to the Water Framework Directive (WFD) (Souchu et al., 1998 ; Derolez et al., 2017). On the other hand, even if agricultural pollution has been identified as the main source of eutrophication since several decades, green tides are still occurring in Brittany since effective tools are more difficult to implement and should be more based on a redesigned agricultural practices (Molénat et al., 2002 ; Ruiz et al., 2002). Thus a strong political will is needed to effectively regulate the sources of pollution.

An alternative would be the establishment of hybrid markets to regulate eutrophication such as water quality trading in which participants can voluntarily exchange their water pollution rights taking into account the respect of certain biophysical criteria related to the water quality. Even if they are still facing obstacles to be effective (Heberling, 2010), these markets are expected to act as win-win solutions as they reduce the costly regulatory burden on the State while mobilizing new sources of private funding to address water quality problems. They can also provide new sources of revenue to farmers through direct payments for nutrient credit offsets and offer greater flexibility to the farmers in how to achieve environmental goals (Abdalla et al. 2007; Ribaud et Gottlieb, 2011; Corrales et al., 2013).

In addition to these management strategies, supra-local and supra-national actions are needed. For example, land use and climate change in northern Africa can influence the seasonal deposition of dust in the Caribbean, adding other types of nutrients (e.g. iron) and potential coral pathogens, with negative effects on Caribbean corals. Thus, local mitigation efforts need to be coupled with actions from nations far from the coastal areas where reefs are found. This eutrophication management can also mitigate the

effects of global warming and climate change by decreasing coral susceptibility to disease and bleaching.

## **5. Concluding remarks: challenges and opportunities regarding state-and-transition model**

The use of state-and-transition models to explore the dynamics of ecosystems and ESs is slightly growing (Briske et al., 2005 ; Bestelmeyer, 2015), but its application to marine and coastal ecosystems remained almost non-existent. The lessons learned from this exploratory application are multiple. The lack of data and the knowledge gaps between ecosystems, ecosystem services, states and transitions are the main challenges to consider. For example, there are more quantitative data on coral reefs than on sandy beaches as the former are much more explored. To our knowledge, there is thus a lack of studies exploring the climate regulation function of sandy beaches, as well as their role in pathogen sequestration, or in harboring species showing interesting molecules for medicine. Experts then recognized that there are more data concerning the supply of provisioning ES than cultural ES since the latter is more studied in the light of the societal demand, based on socio-economic indicators. Finally a last example is that the transition from coral-dominated to algae-dominated reefs and its associated states are much more documented than the state and transition to cyanobacterial mats. To fulfill these gaps it might be very useful to conduct studies using a gradient approach studying response variables at each state. It can also be difficult to quantify each ES based on qualitative and heterogeneous quantitative data. To create a consensus regarding the level of supply granted to each ES, the analytical framework could be complemented by the use of focus groups or by expanding the size of the panel of experts complemented by the use of the Delphi method for example (Filyushkina et al., 2018).

State-and-transition model can be a very powerful framework to work in an interdisciplinary perspective taking into account all functions and services delivered by the ecosystem and avoiding the conclusions focused on single service. It is also relevant to take into account the temporal dynamics of ES which are too often ignored. We conclude by saying that the effect of eutrophication on ecosystems is not linear and that thresholds and tipping points have to be further considered as well as the fact that eutrophication does not act alone and that the multi-stress context has to be taken into account.

## **References**

Abd El Baky H.H., El Baz F.K., El-Baroty G.S. (2009) Evaluation of Marine Alga *Ulva lactuca* L. as A Source of Natural Preservative Ingredient. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 44: 1688-1695.

Banaigs B., L. Codomier, C. Francisco, J. Teste (1985) Les médicaments tirés de la mer. *Médecine Science* (1) pp.363-369

Barnes, R.S.K., 1980. Coastal lagoons. In: *Cambridge Studies in Modern Biology* 1. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Bec B., Y. Collos, P. Souchu, A. Vaquer, J. Lautier, A. Fiandrino, L. Benau, V. Orsoni, T. Laugier (2011) Distribution of picophytoplankton and nanophytoplankton along an anthropogenic eutrophication gradient in French Mediterranean coastal lagoons. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 63: 29–45.

Bender D., G. Diaz-Pulido, S. Dove (2014) Warming and acidification promote cyanobacterial dominance in turf algal assemblages. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 517:271–284

Bestelmeyer B.T. (2015) National assessment and critiques of state-and-transition models: The baby with the bathwater. Volume 37, Issue 3, Pages 125-129

Briske D.D., S.D. Fuhlendorf, F.E. Smeins (2005) State-and-transition models, thresholds, and rangeland health: a synthesis of ecological concepts and perspectives. *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 58, 1–10.

Brocke H.J., Polerecky L., de Beer D., Weber M., Claudet J., Nugues M.M. (2015) Organic Matter Degradation Drives Benthic Cyanobacterial Mat Abundance on Caribbean Coral Reefs. *PLoS ONE*, 10(5), e0125445

Brodie J., Fabricius K., De'ath G., Okaji K. (2005) Are increased nutrient inputs responsible for more outbreaks of crown-of-thorns starfish? An appraisal of the evidence. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 51(1-4) pp.266-78.

Bruno J.F., L.E. Petes, C. Drew Harvell, A. Hettinger (2003) Nutrient enrichment can increase the severity of coral diseases. *Ecology Letters* 6(12):1056 - 1061

Burkhard, B. et al., 2014. Ecosystem service potentials, flows and demands-concepts for spatial localisation, indication and quantification. *Landscape Online*, 34(1), pp.1–32.

Cardinale B.J., J.E. Duffy, A. Gonzalez, D.U. Hooper, C. Perrings, P. Venail, A. Narwani, G.M. Mace, D. Tilman, D.A. Wardle, A.P. Kinzig, G.C. Daily, M. Loreau, J.B. Grace, A. Larigauderie, D.S. Srivastava, S. Naeem (2012) Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature* 486(7401) pp.59–67.

Charlier, R. H., Morand, P., Finkl, C. W., & Thys, A. (2006). Green tides on the Brittany coasts. In *US/EU Baltic International Symposium*, 23-26 May 2006, Klaipeda, Lithuania : 1-13

Chazée L., Réquier Desjardins M., Khechimi W., Najjar F.Z., Moisan D., Suc M., Bendjedda N., Benbelgacem W., Allouche Khebour F., Belarbi A., Khaloul F., Brahim O., Maza S., Moussouni L., Amara M., Madjbar Y., Ait Iftene N., Khellouf L., Bakour S. (2017) Les services culturels récréatifs et éducatifs des zones humides en Méditerranée – Des services sous-estimés malgré les avantages qu'ils procurent: résultats d'études en Méditerranée, Observatoire des zones humides méditerranéennes. 50p.

Cloern J.E (2001). Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 210: 223–253, <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps210223>.

Collos Y., B. Bec, C. Jauzein, E. Abadie, T. Laugier (2009) Oligotrophication and emergence of picocyanobacteria and a toxic dinoflagellate in Thau lagoon , southern France Regional index term : Mediterranean. *Journal of Sea Research* 61: 68–75.

Costanza R., R. d'Arge, R. de Groot, S. Farber, M. Grasso, B. Hannon, K. Limburg, S. Naeem, R. O'Neil, J. Paruelo, R. Raskin, P. Sutton, J. van den Belt (1997) The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Ecological Economics* 25(1) pp.3–15.

Couvet D., X. Arnauld de Sartre, E. Balian, M. Tichit (2016) Services écosystémiques: des compromis aux synergies.» Dans *Valeurs de la biodiversité et services écosystémiques*, de P. Roche, I. Geijzendorffer, H. Levrel et V. Maris, 143-160. Versailles: Editions Quæ.

Davis R.A. (2015) *Beaches in Space and Time: A Global Look at the Beach Environment and How We Use It*, Jr. Pineapple Press. 179p.

de Bakker D.M., E.H. Meester, R.P. Bak, G. Nieuwland, F.C. van Duyl (2016a) Long-term shifts in coral communities on shallow to deep reef slopes of Curacao and Bonaire: are there any winners? *Front Mar Sci* 3:247

de Bakker D.M., F.C. van Duyl, R.P.M. Bak, et al. (2017) 40 Years of benthic community change on the Caribbean reefs of Curacao and Bonaire: the rise of slimy cyanobacterial mats. *Coral reefs* Volume 36, Issue 2, pp 355–367

Defeo O., A. McLachlan, D. Schoeman, T. Schlacher, J. Dugan, A. Jones, M. Lastra, F. Scapini (2009) Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: A review. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 81:1–1

Derolez V., Soudant D., Richard M., Lagarde F., Chiantella C., Malet N. (2017). Restoration trends of the Thau lagoon's water ecological status and phytoplankton communities in response to changes in anthropogenic nutrient inputs . *COAST Bordeaux 2017 "Evolution systémique et de la biodiversité des environnements côtiers et littoraux sous la pression du changement climatique, des facteurs naturels et anthropiques locaux"*. 7 au 10 novembre 2017, Bordeaux .

De Wit R., Rey-Valette H., Balavoine J., Ouisse V., Lifran R. (2015) Restoration ecology of coastal lagoons: new methods for the prediction of ecological trajectories and economic valuation. *Aquatic Conserv: Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.* 27.1. pp.137–157

Diaz R.J. and Rosenberg R. (2008) Spreading Dead Zones and Consequences for Marine Ecosystems. *Science* 321(5891) pp.926-929

Fabricius K.E. (2005) Effects of terrestrial runoff on the ecology of corals and coral reefs: review and synthesis. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 50(2) pp.125-46.

Ferrario F., Beck M.W., Storlazzi C.D., Micheli F., Shepard C.C., Aioldi L. (2014) The effectiveness of coral reefs for coastal hazard risk reduction and adaptation. *Nature Communications* (5) pp.1–9

Ferreira J.G., J.H. Andersen, A. Borja, S.B. Bricker, J. Camp, M. Cardoso da Silva, E. Garcés, A.S. Heiskanen, C. Humborg, L. Ignatiades, C. Lancelot, A. Menesguen, P. Tett, N. Hoepffner, U. Claussen, (2011) Overview of eutrophication indicators to assess environmental status within the European

Marine Strategy Framework Directive. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science*, 93, 117-131.

Feuillette, S., Levrel, H., Boeuf, B., Blanquart, S., Gorin, O., Monaco, G., ... & Robichon, S. (2016). The use of cost-benefit analysis in environmental policies: Some issues raised by the Water Framework Directive implementation in France. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 57, 79-85.

Fiandrino A., V. Ouisse, F. Dumas, F. Lagarde, R. Pete, N. Malet, S. Le Noc, R. de Wit (2017) Spatial patterns in coastal lagoons related to the hydrodynamics of seawater intrusion. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 119. Elsevier Ltd: 132-144.

Filyushkina A., N. Strange, M. Löf, E.E. Ezebilo, M. Boman (2018) Applying the Delphi method to assess impacts of forest management on biodiversity and habitat preservation. *Forest Ecology and Management* (409) pp.179-189

Ford A.K., A. Eich, R.S McAndrews, S. Mangubhai, M.M. Nugues, S. Bejarano, B.R. Moore, C. Rico, C. Wild, S.C.A. Ferse (2018) Evaluation of coral reef management effectiveness using conventional versus resilience-based metrics. *Ecological Indicators* (85) pp.308-317

Gardes, L., Salvat B. éditeurs, 2008 - Récifs coralliens de l'Outre-mer français : suivi et état des lieux. *Revue d'écologie (Terre et Vie)*, 2008, 62, 198 pages.

Harrison et al., (2014) Linkages between biodiversity attributes and ecosystem services: a systematic review. *Ecosyst. Serv.*, 9, pp. 191-203

Hempson, T. N. et al. Coral reef mesopredators switch prey, shortening food chains, in response to habitat degradation. *Ecol. Evol.* 7, 2626-2635 (2017).

Henry S., Châles F., Mongrueil R. (2018) Coûts associés à l'eutrophisation. In : Rapport scientifique du volet « coût de la dégradation » de l'AES de la DCSMM, IFREMER-UBO.

Hicks C.C., Crowder L.B., Graham N.A.J., Kittinger J.N., Le Cornu E. (2016) Social drivers forewarn of marine regime shifts. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* (14), pp.252-260

Hill M.O., Moss D. and Davies C.E. (2004) EUNIS habitat classification descriptions. EEA document.

Hughes T.P., Barnes M.L., Bellwood D.R., Cinner J.E., Cumming G.S., Jackson J.B.C., Kleypas J., Van De Leemput I.A., Lough J.M., Morrison T.H., Palumbi S.R., Van Nes E.H., Scheffer M. (2017) Coral reefs in the Anthropocene. *Nature* 546, pp.82-90.

Hughes T.P. (1994) Catastrophes, phase shifts, and large-scale degradation of a Caribbean coral reef. *Science* 265:1547-1551

Isbell F., A. Gonzalez, M. Loreau, J. Cowles, S. Diaz, A. Hector, G.M. Mace, D.A. Wardle, M.I. O'Connor, J.E. Duffy, L.A. Turnbull, P.L. Thompson, A. Larigauderie (2017) Linking the influence and dependence of people on biodiversity across scales. *Nature* 546(7656) pp.65-72.

Isnard E., Tournois J., McKenzie D. J., Ferraton F., Bodin N, Aliaume C., Darnaude A. M. (2015). Getting a Good Start in Life? A Comparative Analysis of the Quality of Lagoons as Juvenile Habitats for the Gilthead Seabream *Sparus aurata* in the Gulf of Lions. *Estuaries and Coasts*. 38(6), 1937-1950.

Jackson JBC, Donovan M, Cramer K, Lam V (2014) Status and trends of Caribbean coral reefs: 1970–2012. Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland

Kennish M.J. and H.W. Paerl (2010) Coastal Lagoons. Critical Habitats of Environmental Change . 1–555 (CRC Press, 2010).

Keune H. and N. Dendoncker (2013) Negotiated complexity in ecosystem services science and policy making - Ecosystem Services. In: Jacobs S., Dendoncker N. and H. Keune (eds.) Ecosystem Services – Global Issues Local Practices, Elsevier, New York, p. 167-180,

Khan A.M., N. Fatima, M.S. Hussain, K. Yasmeen (2016) Biodiesel production from green seaweed *Ulva fasciata* catalyzed by novel waste catalysts from Pakistan Steel Industry. Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering (24)8 pp.1080-1086

Kjerfve B. (1994) Coastal lagoon. Edited by Elsevier. Elsevier O. Amsterdam - London - New York – Tokyo.

Koop K., Booth D., Broadbent A., Brodie J., Bucher D., Capone D., et al. (2001) ENCORE: the effect of nutrient enrichment on coral reefs. Synthesis of results and conclusions. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 42(2) pp.91–120.

Kuffner I.B. and V.J. Paul (2001) Effects of nitrate, phosphate and iron on the growth of macroalgae and benthic cyanobacteria from Cocos Lagoon, Guam. Marine Ecology Progress Series 222:63-72

Laabir M., Grignon-Dubois M., Masseret E., Rezzonico b., Soteras G., Rouquette M., Rieuvilleneuve F., Cecchi P. (2013) Algicidal effects of *Zostera marina* L. and *Zostera noltii* Hornem. extracts on the neuro-toxic bloom-forming dinoflagellate *Alexandrium catenella*. Aquatic Botany. 111 pp.16–25.

Lagarde F., E. Roque D'orbcastel, M. Ubertini, S. Mortreux, I. Bernard, A. Fiandrino, C. Chiantella, et al. (2017) Recruitment of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in a shellfish-exploited Mediterranean lagoon: Discovery, driving factors and a favorable environmental window. Marine Ecology Progress Series 578: 1–17.

Lamb J.B., van de Water J.A.J.M., Bourne D.G, Altier C., Hein M.Y., Fiorenza E.A., Abu N., Jompa J., Harvell C.D. (2017) Seagrass ecosystems reduce exposure to bacterial pathogens of humans, fishes and invertebrates. Science 355(6326): 731-733.

Lavorel, S. et al., 2015. Ecological mechanisms underpinning climate adaptation services. Global Change Biology, 21(1), pp.12–31.

Lefebvre A. (2011) Directive Cadre Stratégie pour le Milieu Marin (DCSMM). Définition du Bon État Écologique (BEE) pour le Descripteur 5. Rapport pour le MEDTL - Direction de l'eau et de la biodiversité - Sous-direction du littoral et des milieux marins, juin 2011, Ifremer/RST.LER.BL/11.10/Laboratoire côtier de Boulogne-sur-Mer, 51p.

Le Fur I., R. De Wit, M. Plus, J. Oheix, M. Simier, V. Ouisse (2017) Submerged benthic macrophytes in Mediterranean lagoons: distribution patterns in relation to water chemistry and depth. Hydrobiologia. Springer International Publishing.



Leruste A., N. Malet, D. Munaron, V. Derolez, E. Hatey, Y. Collos, R. De Wit, B. Bec (2016) First steps of ecological restoration in Mediterranean lagoons: shifts in phytoplankton communities. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 180. Elsevier Ltd: 190–203.

Levain A. (2013) Faire face aux « marées vertes », penser les crises du vivant. *ethnographiques.org*, Numéro 27

Levrel H., P. Roche, I. Geijzendorffer, et R. Mongrue. 2016 *Approches écologiques et économiques de l'offre et la demande de services écosystémiques.*» Chap. 6 dans *Valeurs de la biodiversité et services écosystémiques. Perspectives interdisciplinaires*, de P. Roche, I. Geijzendorffer, H. Levrel et V. Maris, 103-112. Versailles: Quæ

Liquete, C. et al., 2013a. Current Status and Future Prospects for the Assessment of Marine and Coastal Ecosystem Services: A Systematic Review. *PLoS ONE*, 8(7), p.e67737.

Loh T.-L. and J.R. Pawlik (2014) Chemical defenses and resource trade-offs structure sponge communities on Caribbean coral reefs. *PNAS* 111 (11) pp.4151-4156

Loreau et al., 2001 Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *Science*, 294, pp. 804-809

MA (Millenium Ecosystem Assessment) (2005) *Ecosystems and Human Well-being. Vol 2: Current states and trends.* Island Press, Washington DC, 917p.

McDermott J.J. (1983) Food web in the surf zone of an exposed sandy beach along the mid-atlantic coast of the united states. In: *Sandy beaches as ecosystems.* pp.529–238

McIntyre, S. & Lavorel, S. (2001) Livestock grazing in sub-tropical pastures: steps in the analysis of attribute response and plant functional types. *Journal of Ecology*, 89, 209–226.

McLachlan A, Brown A (2006) *The Ecology of sandy shores* (Elsevier, Ed.), 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.

McLachlan, A. & Dorvlo, A., 2005. Global Patterns in Sandy Beach Macrobenthic Communities. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 214, pp.674–687.

Meadows D., Randers J., Meadows D. (2004) *Limits to Growth: The 30-Year Update.* Chelsea Green Publishing.

Ménesguen A., Aminot A., Belin C., Chapelle A., Guillaud J-F., Joanny M., Lefebvre A., Merceron M., Piriou J-Y., Souchu P. (2001). *L'eutrophisation des eaux marines et saumâtres en Europe, en particulier en France.* Rapport IFREMER DEL/EC/01.02

Molénat, J., Durand, P., Gascuel-Oudou, C., Davy, P., & Gruau, G. (2002). Mechanisms of nitrate transfer from soil to stream in an agricultural watershed of French Brittany. *Water, air, and soil pollution*, 133(1-4), 161-183.

Mumby P.J. and Steneck R.S. (2008) Coral reef management and conservation in light of rapidly evolving ecological paradigms. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* (10) pp.555-63.

Newton A., J. Icely, S. Cristina, A. Brito, A.C. Cardoso, F. Colijn, S. Dalla Riva et al. (2014) An overview of ecological status, vulnerability and future perspectives of European large shallow, semi-enclosed coastal systems, lagoons and transitional waters. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 140. pp.95–122.

Newton A., A. Brito, J. Icely, V. Derolez, I. Clara, S. Angus, G. Schernewski, M. Inácio, A. Lillebø, A. Sousa, B. Bejaoui, C. Solidoro, M. Tomic, M. Cañedo-Argüelles, M. Yamamuro, S. Reizopoulou, H-C. Tseng, D. Melaku Canu, L. Roselli, M. Maanan, S. Cristina, A-C. Ruiz-Fernández, R. de Lima, B. Kjerfve, N. Rubio-Cisneros, A. Pérez-Ruzafa, R. Pastres, M. Snoussi, J. Turpie, Y. Tuchkovenko, V. Khokhlov (2018). Assessing, quantifying and valuing the ecosystem services of coastal lagoons. *Journal of Nature Conservation*.

Nugues MM, Bak RPM. 2006 Differential competitive abilities between Caribbean coral species and a brown alga: a year of experiments and a long-term perspective. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 315, 75–86.

Ouisse V., F. Annie, De Wit R., M. Nathalie (2013). Restauration des écosystèmes lagunaires : évaluation du rôle du sédiment et des herbiers à phanérogames. *RST/LERLR* 13-09.

Paquier A.E., S. Meulé, E.J. Anthony, G. Bernard (2014) Sedimentation and erosion patterns in a low shoot-density *Zostera noltii* meadow in the fetch-limited Berre lagoon, Mediterranean France. *Journal of Coastal Research: Special Issue 70 - Proceedings of the 13th International Coastal Symposium*: pp. 563 – 567.

Pawlik J.R., D.E. Burkepile, R. Vega Thurber (2016) A Vicious Circle? Altered Carbon and Nutrient Cycling May Explain the Low Resilience of Caribbean Coral Reefs. *BioScience*, 66(6) pp.470–476

Pearson, T.H., Rosenberg, R., 1978. Macrobenthic succession in relation to organic enrichment and pollution of the marine environment. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review* 16, 229–311.

Pendleton L.H., Hoegh-Guldberg O., Langdon C. and Comte A. (2016) Multiple Stressors and Ecological Complexity Require a New Approach to Coral Reef Research. *Frontiers in Marine Sciences* 3:36.

Pratte ZA Longo GO, Burns AS, Hay ME, Stewart FJ. 2017. Contact with turf algae alters the coral microbiome: contact versus systemic impacts. *Coral Reefs*. 37:1-13.

Qiu S., S.J. Ge, P. Champagne, R Meldrum Robertson. Potential of *Ulva lactuca* for municipal wastewater bioremediation and fly food. *Desalination and Water Treatment*. 2017,91,23-30.

Quetier F, Thebault A, Lavorel S (2007) Plant traits in a state and transition framework as markers of ecosystem response to land-use change. *Ecological Monographs* 77:33–52

Quillien, N. et al., 2015. Effects of macroalgal accumulations on the variability in zoobenthos of high-energy macrotidal sandy beaches. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 522, pp.97–114.

Quillien, 2016 Dynamic ecosystems under anthropogenic stress : how does macrotidal sandy beach fauna respond to green tides ? PhD thesis. Earth Sciences. Université de Bretagne occidentale – Brest.

Raudsepp-Hearne, C., G. D. Peterson, et E. M. Bennett (2010) Ecosystem service bundles for analyzing tradeoffs in diverse landscapes. *PNAS* 107, n° 11: 5242-5247.

Roberts, C.M., O'Leary, B.C., McCauley, D.J., Cury, P.M., Duarte, C.M., Lubchenco, J., Pauly, D., Ruiz, L., Abiven, S., Durand, P., Martin, C., Vertes, F., & Beaujouan, V. (2002). Effect on nitrate concentration in stream water of agricultural practices in small catchments in Brittany: I. Annual nitrogen budgets. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 6(3), 497-506

Sáenz-Arroyo, A., Sumaila, U.R., Wilson, R.W., Worm, B., Castilla, J.C., 2017. Marine reserves can mitigate and promote adaptation to climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (114) pp.6167–6175.

Saarikoski H., Barton D.N., Mustajoki J., Keune. H., Gomez-Baggethun E., Langemeyer J. (2016): Multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) in ecosystem service valuation. In: Potschin, M. and K. Jax (eds): *OpenNESS Ecosystem Services Reference Book*. EC FP7 Grant Agreement no. 308428

Schramm W. (1999) Factors influencing seaweed responses to eutrophication : some results from EU-project EUMAC. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 11: 69–78.

Souchu P., B. Bec, V.H. Smith, T. Laugier, A. Fiandrino, L. Benau, V. Orsoni, Y. Collos, A. Vaquer (2010) Patterns in nutrient limitation and chlorophyll a along an anthropogenic eutrophication gradient in French Mediterranean coastal lagoons. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 67: 743–753. doi:10.1139/F10-018.

Seppelt, R., C. F. Dormann, F. V. Eppink, et al. 2011. A quantitative review of ecosystem service studies: Approaches, shortcomings and the road ahead. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 48, 630-636

Smetacek V, Zingone A. (2013) Green and golden seaweed tides on the rise. *Nature*. 504:84-88.

Smith V.H. (2003) Eutrophication of freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems a global problem. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 10(2) pp.126–139

Sterling E., Ticktin T., Kipa Kepa Morgan T., Cullman G., Alvira D., Andrade P., Bergamini N., Betley E., Burrows K., Caillon S., Claudet J., Dacks R., Eyzaguirre P., Filardi C., Gazit N., Giardina C., Jupiter S., Kinney K., McCarter J., Mejia M., Morishige K., Newell J., Noori L., Parks J., Pascua P., Ravikumar A., Tanguay J., Sigouin A., Stege T., Stege M., Wali A. (2017) Culturally Grounded Indicators of Resilience in Social-Ecological Systems. *Environment and Society: Advances in Research* (8) pp.63-95.

Tarrasón, D.; Ravera, F.; Reed, M. S.; Dougill, A. J.; Gonzalez, L. (2013) Land degradation assessment through an ecosystem services lens: Integrating knowledge and methods in pastoral semi-arid systems. *Journal of Arid Environments*, vol. 124, pp. 205-213.

Tomascik F. and T. Sander (1987) Effects of eutrophication on reef-building corals. *Marine Biology* 94(1) pp.53-75

Tournois, J., Ferraton, F., Velez, L., McKenzie, D.J., Aliaume, C., Mercier, L., Darnaude, A.M. (2013) Temporal stability of otolith elemental fingerprints discriminates among lagoon nursery habitats. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 131, pp.182-193.

Vega Thurber, R.L., Burkepile, D.E., Fuchs, C., Shantz, A.A., McMinds, R., Zaneveld, J.R. (2013). Chronic nutrient enrichment increases prevalence and severity of coral disease and bleaching. *Global Change Biology* 20(2) pp.544-54.

Vermeij MJA, Van Moorselaar I, Engelhard S, Hörnlein C, Vonk SM, Visser PM (2010) The effects of nutrient enrichment and herbivore abundance on the ability of turf algae to overgrow coral in the Caribbean. *PLoS One* 5:e14312

Villamagna, Amy M., Paul L. Angermeier, et Elena M. Bennett (2013) Capacity, pressure, demand, and flow: a conceptual framework for analyzing ecosystem service provision and delivery. *Ecological complexity*, n° 15 : 114-121

Viaroli P., M. Bartoli, G. Giordani, M. Naldi (2008) Community shifts, alternative stable states, biogeochemical controls and feedbacks in eutrophic coastal lagoons: a brief overview. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* (18) pp.105–117.

Voss M, et al. 2011. Nitrogen processes in coastal and marine systems. In *The European nitrogen assessment* (eds Sutton MA, Howard CM, Erismann JW, Billen G, Bleeker A, Greenfeldt P, Van Grinsven H, Grizzetti B, editors. ), pp. 147–176 Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press

Voss J.D. and Richardson L.L. (2006) Nutrient enrichment enhances black band disease progression in corals. *Coral Reefs* (25) pp.569-576.

Wiedenmann J., D'Angelo C., Smith EG, Hunt AN., Legiret F-E., Postle AD. et al. (2013) Nutrient enrichment can increase the susceptibility of reef corals to bleaching. *Nature Climate Change* (3) pp.160–164.

Wilkinson G.M. (2017) Eutrophication of Freshwater and Coastal Ecosystems. In *Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences*. Elsevier.

Zaneveld J.R.R., Burkepile D., Shantz A.A., Pritchard C.E., Mc Minds R., Payet J.P., Welsh R., Correa A.M.S., Lemoine N.P., Rosales S.M., Fuchs C., Maynard J.A., Vega Thurber R. (2016) Overfishing and nutrient pollution interact with temperature to disrupt coral reefs down to microbial scales. *Nature Communications* (7): 11833.