

Progressive Folding and Adaptive Multivalent Recognition of Alkyl Amines and Amino Acids in p -Sulfonatocalix[4]arene Hosts: Solid-State and Solution Studies

Liya Dai, Wei-xu Feng, Shao-ping Zheng, Ji-jun Jiang, Dawei Wang, Arie van Der Lee, Dan Dumitrescu, Mihail Barboiu

▶ To cite this version:

Liya Dai, Wei-xu Feng, Shao-ping Zheng, Ji-jun Jiang, Dawei Wang, et al.. Progressive Folding and Adaptive Multivalent Recognition of Alkyl Amines and Amino Acids in p -Sulfonatocalix[4]arene Hosts: Solid-State and Solution Studies. ChemPlusChem, 2020, 85 (8), pp.1623 - 1631. 10.1002/cplu.202000232 . hal-03028969

HAL Id: hal-03028969 https://hal.science/hal-03028969

Submitted on 27 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Progressive Folding and Adaptive Multivalent Recognition of Alkyl Amines and Amino Acids in *p*-Sulfonatocalix[4] arene Hosts: Solid-State and Solution Studies

Liya Dai,^[a] Wei-Xu Feng,^[c] Shao-Ping Zheng,^[a] Ji-Jun Jiang,^[a] Dawei Wang,^[a] Arie van der Lee,^[b] Dan Dumitrescu,^[d] and Mihail Barboiu^{*[a, b]}

Calix[4]arenes have the ability to encapsulate biomimetic guests, offering interesting opportunities to explore their molecular recognition, very close to biological scenarios. In this study, *p*-sulfonatocalix[4]arene (**C4 A**) anions and hydrated alkali cations have been used for the in situ recognition of cationic 1, ω -diammonium-alkanes and 1, ω -amino-acids of variable lengths. NMR spectroscopy illustrates that these systems are stable in aqueous solution and the interaction process involves several binding states or stabilized conformations within the **C4 A** anion, depending of the nature of the guest. DOSY

Introduction

The deciphering of the supramolecular binding events under confined conditions or pore environments is of paramount importance for understanding interactional mechanisms.^[1] Highly flexible molecules could in principle self-assemble with a variable probability under confined conditions, depending on its own and host structural behaviors. Precise structural characterization of such complex interactions is a necessary step towards the development of new methods of non-covalent modification for interaction and function modulation or for increasing the crystallization abilities of such non-crystalline molecules.^[2,3]

Synthetic water soluble calixarenes, curcubiturils or foldamers enable the recognition of various molecules of bio-

[a]	L. Dai, SP. Zheng, Dr. JJ. Jiang, Dr. D. Wang, Dr. M. Barboiu Lehn Institute of Functional Materials
[b]	School of Chemistry
	Sun Yat-Sen University
	Guangzhou 510275 (P. R. China)
	Dr. A. van der Lee, Dr. M. Barboiu
	Institut Europeen des Membranes
	Adaptive Supramolecular Nanosystems Group
	University of Montpellier, ENSCM-CNRS
	Place E. Bataillon CC047, 34095 Montpellier (France)
	E-mail: mihail-dumitru.barboiu@umontpellier.fr
[c]	Dr. WX. Feng
	Department of Applied Chemistry
	School of Science
	Northwestern Polytechnical University
	i'an, Shannxi 710129 (P. R. China)
[d]	D. Dumitrescu
	XRD2 beamline, Elettra - Sincrotrone Trieste S.C.p.A.
	Strada Sta4tale 14 - km 163,5 in AREA Science Park
	34149 Basovizza, Trieste (Italy)
	Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202000232

ChemPlusChem 2020, 85, 1–10 Wiley Online Library 1 These are not the final page numbers!

experiments showed that monomeric 1:1 host-guest species are present, while the cation does not influence their selfassembly in solution. The folded conformations observed in the solid-state X-ray single-crystal structures shed light on the constitutional adaptivity of flexible chains to environmental factors. Futhermore, a comprehensive screening of 30 single crystal structures helped to understand the in situ conformational fixation and accurate determination of the folded structures of the confined guest molecules, with a compression up to 40% compared with their linear conformations.

logical interest.^[1-3] The water soluble p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene, first reported by Shinkai et al. in 1984,^[4] have been shown to have a variety of biomedical applications including antiviral or anti-thrombotic activities, conducted via enzyme or protein recognition processes.^[5] The binding of different hosts by psulfonatocalix[4]arene receptors is largely driven in water by an hydrophobic effect, assisted by secondary non-covalent electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions. The structural information available to date has been used for potential applications in generating single crystals of cytochrome c^[3a] or lysozyme, ^[3b] for which specific interactional mechanisms can be described from X-ray crystallographic studies. From these studies, we know that lysine protein sites adopt folded conformation of their alkyl chains buried on hydrophobic psulfonatocalix[4]arene cavity, enabling further formation of salt bridges between the ammonium and sulfonate substituents, favoring the displacement of water molecules initially located in the cavity.^[3]

Encapsulation methods offer excellent reasons for a complete characterization of molecular compression and recognition of small molecules under confinement inside artificial Hbonded capsules in aqueous solution.^[6,7] As in biological systems, the constitutional hydrophobic recognition of the alkyl chains is supplementary reinforced *via* strong interactions between the host-guest components.^[8,9] Of practical interest in such processes is to precisely determine the molecular conformations of the guest molecules themselves or their synergetic supramolecular interactions with the host molecules.^[10] If a crystal may be obtained in a solution containing a compound of interest, the compound is integrated into the cavity and if this is then analyzed by X-ray crystallography, the structure of the encapsulated compound can be elucidated. The reduced degrees of freedom of encapsulated guests can be limited by



anchoring them to the crystalline host molecules/systems, allowing a reduced amount of disorder. $^{\scriptscriptstyle [10,11]}$

The use of water-soluble p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene alkali metal cation salts leads to a remarkably diverse range of crystalline bilayers, capsules, spheres or nanotubes that can encapsulate small, biologically important molecules in water.^[12] Crystalline Calix[4]box architectures, self-assembled from psulfonatocalix[4]arene, C4 A anions and guanidinium cations have been used for encapsulation of flexible non-crystalline biogenic guests, very close to confined biological conditions.^[10,11,13] We know from these studies that folded conformations of the guest molecules under confinement, are dramatically different from that observed in bulk solution. The ability to encapsulate molecular guests within crystalline psulfonatocalix[4]arene, offers novel interesting options to explore their flexible conformations and a whole range of interactional algorithms under confined biomimetic conditions, close to those observed for active pockets of natural proteins or enzymes.

Herein, we take advantage of hydrophobic folding *via* recognition within p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene of two series of $1,\omega$ -diammonium-alkanes and $1,\omega$ -amino acids of variable lengths and possessing variable ammonium and carboxylic anchoring groups, as presented in the most cases of natural protein recognition studies (Scheme 1). The exact structures of the complexes and, more important for this study, the novel folded conformations adopted by the bound molecular guests are revealed by X-ray crystallography.

The crystallization of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene is promoted in the presence of hydrated alkali cations. It relies on the formation of alternative layers of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene anions and hydrated alkali cations / water molecules stabilized in a hydrogen-bonded network interacting on the upper-rim with the sulfonate moieties and presenting a variable relative spatial disposition within the crystal, depending on the nature of the alkali cation which strongly impacts on confined guest molecules recognition within crystals. The inclusion of alkali cations allows access to different binding geometries, changing the shape and size of the confined space for the particular recognition regimes. Stabilization of folded alkyl chains is not a common process and very few reports show evidence of constrained adaptive conformations, giving answers on how



Scheme 1. p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene anion hosts C4 A, hydrated alkali cations $M(H_2O)_n^+$ (M⁺ = Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺) and 1, ω -diammonium-alkanes AC2-AC5 and 1, ω -amino acids C2-C5 guests.

ChemPlusChem 2020, 85, 1–10 www.chempluschem.org 2 These are not the final page numbers!

alkane compression occurs and how this can impact further supplementary interactions under confined conditions.^[14]

We have dedicated our studies to the confinement of two classes of guest molecules of biological interest with variable length and functional groups a) 1,@-diammonium-alkanes: 1,5diammonium-pentane, AC5 1,4-diammonium-butane, AC4 1,3diammonium-propane, AC3 and 1,2-diammonium-ethane, AC2 or b) 1,*w*-amino-acids: 6-aminohexanoic acid, C5 5-aminopentanoic acid, C4 4-aminobutyric acid, C3 and 2-aminoethanoic acid, C2. The host-matrixes has been readily obtained and crystallized in aqueous solutions of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene anions C4 A and hydrated alkali cations $M(H_2O)_n^+$ (M⁺ = Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺). At this point, several important questions are shedding light on: a) how important is the length of molecules squeezing progressively inside a fixed smaller chemical space and how does their folding contribute to the stabilization of the overall host-guest superstructure? b) How important is the nature of anchoring groups interacting with the sulfonate groups of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene anions for the recognition? Systematic attempts to encapsulate biogenic protein side arms in confined space may generally furnish very interesting interactional details on their constitutional flexibility and interactional behaviors, inducing simultaneous changes of both capsule and host molecules. The conformation and compression could be precisely understood and confirmed by X-ray single-crystal structures in the solid state, as well as by NMR spectroscopy showing strong quest binding in aqueous solution.

Results and Discussion

¹H-NMR Studies in Aqueous Solution. The host-guest interactions between the C4 A p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene host anions and protonated $1,\omega$ -diammonium-alkanes, AC5 to AC2 or $1,\omega$ amino acids C5 to C2 cationic guests can be detected in aqueous solution. (Figure 1 and Figure 1S-7S in the Supporting Information). As a general trend, similar downfield shielding effects of 0.06-0.09 ppm are detected for the singlet aromatic H_A and methylene H_B protons of the C4 A platform adapting a cone conformation, when binding 1,@-diammonium-alkanes or 1, w-amino-acids, indicating the alkali metal cations and cavity included guests are placed as connecting bridges between sulfonate moieties to compensate their overall charges. The formation of inclusion complexes of C4 A host anions with AC5 - AC2 or C5 - C2 protonated cationic guests in saline aqueous solutions of NaCl, KCl, RbCl, CsCl can be clearly observed from the strong upfield shifts of the protons of the encapsulated quests (Figure 1) when compared with their spectral signals recorded in the absence of the C4 A. The signals corresponding to the protons are shielded: H₁ (1.12 and 1.27 ppm) for AC4 or (0.8 and 1.22 ppm) for C4; H₂ (1.21 and 1.29 ppm) for AC4 or (0.6 and 0.8 ppm) for C4 and $H_{3,4}$ (1.0 and 1.3 ppm) for C4 in the presence of Na⁺ and K⁺ cations. These broad proton signals are reminiscent of complex behaviors with the alkane chains fixed in two different conformations in fast dynamic exchange within the cavity. The prevalent interactions are C–H- $\!\pi$ between



Figure 1. ¹H-NMR spectra at 25 °C (400 MHz, D₂O) of C4 A host, AC4, C4 guests and p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene hosts: a) C4 A-AC4-MCI and b) C4 A-C4-MCI prepared in saline solutions of MCI: LiCl NaCl, KCl, RbCl, CsCl. See Figures 1S-7S in the Supporting Information for NMR data of all other systems.

aliphatic protons of AC4 or C4 and aromatic phenyls of C4 A and the ion-pairing between the sulfonate groups of C4 A and protonated ammonium groups of AC4 or C4. It is important to note that stronger upfield shielding is observed for the signals of the dicationic guest AC4 (Figure 1a) when compared with the mono-cationic amino-acid C4 (Figure 1a). It is supposing that AC4 molecule is doubly anchored via bis-ammonium groups and it is positioned on the deep part of the cavity, while mono-anchored C4 guest is situated more at the entry of the cavity. Moreover, differently to central H_2 protons of AC4 which remain identical upon binding, the signals of central protons H₃ and H₄ of C4 present two sets of distinct signals, consistent their presence in different environments of C4 A, showing that host-guest interactions induce symmetric (AC4) or asymmetric (C4) confined placement of the respective guests upon guest recognition. The proton resonances are becoming sharp in the presence of $Rb^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ and $Cs^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ (AC4) or $K^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ and $Rb^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ (C4) alkali cations, reminiscent with a lower mobility of included guest molecules AC4 or C4 guests within the cavity of C4 A host, better stabilized in the presence of bigger cations bridging the sulfonate anions in solution. In addition, comparing the proton signals H_1 (1.02 ppm) and H_2 (0.71 ppm) for Cs⁺ with the proton signals H_1 (1.19 ppm) and H_2 1.29 ppm for Rb⁺, they are both shifted upfield **G**K? by about 0.2 ppm in the presence

ChemPlusChem 2020, 85, 1–10 www.chempluschem.org 3 These are not the final page numbers!

of Cs⁺. This is consistent with that the guest AC4 changes the orientation toward the entry of host C4 A cavity in the presence of Cs⁺, while its binding is highly increased in the presence of Rb⁺ cations, dimensionally more adapted and better stabilizing the rim of the C4 A molecules than Cs⁺. Very interestingly the addition of different alkali cations (Figure 2b) do not induce any further changes in the recognition of monocationic C4 by C4 A anions and not affect much the equilibria in solution, as observed for AC4 guests in the presence of different alkali cations.

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

Furthermore, the DOSY spectroscopy (Figure 8S-14S) showed that monomeric 1:1, host : guest complexes are present in solution for the most of the studied systems. The calculated diffusion coefficients are presented in Table 1. The molecular volumes for C4 A, C4 A-AC4-RbCl and C4 A-C4-RbCl calculated from available crystal structure data reported in this paper, are 515.5 Å³, 730.4 Å³ and 910.8 Å³ respectively, Assuming that all molecules and self-assemblies are spherical, these volumes provide average hydrodynamic radii of 4.98 Å for C4 A, 5.58 Å for C4 A-AC4-RbCl and 6.02 Å for C4 A-C4-RbCl, values, that predict the theoretical D $_{\text{C4A-AC4-RbCl}}$ /D $_{\text{C4A}}$ ratio of 0.89 and D C4A-C4-RbCI /D C4A ratio of 0.82. The experimental diffusion coefficients agree well with the theoretical ratios, indicating the presence of 1:1 complexes in solution and showing a small influence of the nature of alkali cations (D_{C4A-C4-KCI} /D $_{C4A}$ ration of 0.72) on self-assembly behavior of monomeric 1:1 host : guest species in solution. The theoretical D C4A-AC4-RbCI /D C4A= 0.56 provide an average hydrodynamic radius of 8.89 Å and a total volume of 2941.5 Å³ for C4 A-AC4-RbCl complex prepared at C4 A:AC4, 2:1, mol : mol ratio, which is consistent with an increase of the aggregation toward the self-assembly of polymolecular capsules, as previously reported by Atwood et al.[12]

On the basis of the NMR studies, one may conclude that alkyl strands of cationic 1, ω -diammonium-alkanes, **AC5** to **AC2** or 1, ω -amino-acids **C5** to **C2** are confined within p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene hosts, with a special emphasis for 1, ω -diammoniumalkanes showing stronger stabilization when compared with the 1, ω -amino-acids, probably due to the double anchoring ammonium-sulfonate bridge-interactions on the rim of **C4 A** platform. This is also consistent with the multiple bent conformations of the alkyl chains under confinement observed

Table 1. DOSY diffusion coefficients for C4 A-AC4-RbCl, (1:1 or 2:1 mol:mol), C4 A-C4-KCl, C4 A-C4-RbCl, C4 A-RbCl, AC4 and C4.			
Complex	Diffusion coefficient, D $[m^2s^{-1}]^{[a]}$	D/D_{C4A}	
C4 A-AC4-RbCl 1:1 C4 A-AC4-RbCl 2:1	2.54×10^{-10} 1.31×10^{-10}	0.89 0.56	
C4A–C4-KCI	2.32×10^{-10}	0.72	
C4A–C4-RbCl	2.44×10^{-10}	0.82	
C4 A-RbCl	2.95×10^{-10}	-	
AC4	5.76×10^{-10}	-	
C4	4.93×10^{-10}	-	
[a] A diffusion constant value of 2.00×0^{-9} m ² s ⁻¹ was assigned to the water			

signal. This value was used as reference for the calibration of the diffusion constant values assigned to the other species present in solution.





Figure 2. X-ray structures of a) C4 A-AC5-NaCl, b) C4 A-AC5-KCl, c) C4 A-AC5-RbCl, d) C4 A-AC5-CsCl, e) C4 A-AC4-NaCl, f) C4 A-AC4-KCl, g) C4 A-AC4-RbCl, h) C4 A-AC4-CsCl, i) C4 A-AC3-NaCl, j) C4 A-AC3-KCl, k) C4 A-AC3-RbCl, l) C4 A-AC3-CsCl: Side view of the inclusion complexes and of the H-bonded matrices formed by *p*-sulfonatocalix[4]arene anion C4 A, hydrated alkali cations $M(H_2O)_n^+$ (M⁺ = Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺) cations and the 1, ω -diammonium-alkanes AC2-AC5 guests.

in the X-ray single-crystal structures presented below. The broad proton signals are reminiscent of important dynamic behaviors of alkane chains during the ion-pairing process in the presence of smaller Na⁺ or K⁺ alkali cations. Oppositely they became sharper in the presence of bigger Rb⁺ or Cs⁺ cations, bringing important conformational stabilization of the interior chemical space, due to their ability to bridge the upper rim sulfonate moieties of C4 A platform. DOSY NMR experiments indicate the presence of 1:1 complexes in solution, with practically no influence of the nature of various alkali cations. Higher, more complex aggregates are formed in solution when an excess of C4 A (2:1 mol: mol) is used in solution.

X-ray single crystal structures. The recognition of guests observed in solution is supported by the X-ray single-crystal structures of C4 A host anions and of AC5 - AC2 or C5 - C2 protonated guests (Table S1-S5),^[15] obtained by slow evaporation of aqueous saline solutions (MCI: LiCI NaCl, KCl, RbCl, CsCl). They are resulting from the self-assembly of C4 A anions, AC5 - AC2 or C5 - C2 guests and hydrated Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺ alkali cations and additional water molecules (Figure 2, 3). The guests penetrate and interact with C4 A cavity positioning their ammonium or carboxyl/water terminal moieties on the close proximity of the sulfonate groups, to form anchoring H-bonds

on the rim of the **C4 A** host molecules. The hydrogen bonding is reinforced by bridging water molecules, playing an important role in filling the interstices of the network.

In the C4 A-AC5-LiCl (Figure 15S), C4 A-AC5-NaCl (Figure 2a), C4 A-AC5-KCl, (Figure 2b) C4 A-AC5-RbCl (Figure 2c) and C4 A-AC5-CsCl (Figure 2d) two protonated 1,5-Diammoniumpentane, AC5 guests are confined in the inner space between two C4 A anions, spatially disposed in a slightly slipped arrangement in parallel organic layers. They are stabilized with alternating inorganic lamellar phases of four hydrated Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺ or Cs⁺ / Na⁺ cations and water molecules, bridging the sulfonate groups of C4 A, respectively. In all cases, the molecules of AC5 are doubly anchored *via* hydrogen bonding of one ammonium group between two sulfonate moieties ($d_{N=0} = 2.03 - 2.05$ Å) and of the second ammonium group H-bonded to bridging water molecules ($d_{N=0} = 1.99$ Å) positioned between the opposite sulfonate moieties of the C4 A.

Additional hydrogen bonding/bridging interactions take place between the ammonium groups of the AC5 guests and sulfonate groups of vicinal C4 A anions. In these structures the AC5 guest molecules are longer to fit the constraints of the recognition environment. The confined molecules of AC5



guests present folded conformations adapting and asymmetrically filling the space of the C4 A platform. The conformers of AC5 present slightly different geometries depending on the nature of alkali cation. They have two gauche conformations between the C1-C2 and C2-C3 for C4 A-AC5-LiCl, C4 A-AC5-NaCl, C4 A-AC5-KCl or C4 A-AC5-RbCl and one gauche conformation between C1-C2 for C4 A-AC5-CsCl, respectively. They are unsymmetrically positioned at one end of the alkane chains, presenting close hydrophobic C–H (alkyl)/ π aromatic centroids (calix[4]arene) contacts of 2.50-2.90 Å and floating in the aromatic cavity of C4 A. The N_1-N_5 distances between the ammonium groups of the confined AC5 guests in C4 A-AC5-LiCl, C4 A-AC5-NaCl, C4 A-AC5-KCl or C4 A-AC5-RbCl, are around 5.62-5.83 Å, corresponding to a 23.98-20.40% compression of the extended linear length of AC5, while conformer AC5 immobilized in C4 A-AC5-CsCl, is more elongated at 6.71 Å, with a compression of 9.26%.

In the C4 A-AC4-NaCl (Figure 2e), C4 A-AC4-KCl (Figure 2f), C4 A-AC4-RbCl (Figure 2g) and C4 A-AC4-CsCl (Figure. 2 h) two protonated 1,4-Diammonium-butane, AC4 guests are confined within the inner space between two C4 A anions spatially disposed in a slipping paralleled near face to face arrangement. Four Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺ or Cs⁺ cations with eight bridging water molecules constitute the inorganic layer, stabilizing parallel C4 A anion layers. The guest molecules are anchored via hydrogen bonding of bridging ammonium groups between two sulfonates groups ($d_{N=0} = 1.96 - 1.98$ Å) and via two water molecules bridging the other two opposite sulfonate groups $(d_{N-O} = 2.05 - 2.37 \text{ Å})$. The stability of the network is reinforced *via* six or eight anchoring H-bonds ($d_{N=0} = 1.95$ - 2.33 Å) between the ammonium moieties of AC4 guests and the sulfonate moieties of the vicinal C4 A host anions. The guests AC4 get compressed and present folded asymmetrical conformations inside the C4 A cavity, with one gauche C1-C2 conformation positioned at one end of the alkane chains. This buried part of the chains is in hydrophobic C–H (alkyl) / π aromatic centroids (calix[4]arene) contacts of 2.90-3.00 Å and floating in the aromatic cavity of C4 A The N_1-N_4 distances between the ammonium groups of confined AC4 guests in C4 A-AC4-NaCl, C4 A-AC4-KCI, C4 A-AC4-RbCl or C4⁻-AC4-CsCl are 5.09 -5.44 Å, corresponding to a 10.20-17.05% compression of the extended linear length of AC4.

In the C4 A-AC3-NaCl (Figure 2i), C4 A-AC3-KCl (Figure 2j), C4 A-AC3-RbCl (Figure 2k) and C4 A-AC3-CsCl (Figure 2l) two 1,3-Diammonium-propane, AC3 protonated guests are perfectly fitting to the distance between the sulfonate groups in the inner space of the C4 A anions, spatially disposed in a slipping paralleled near face to face arrangement. Four Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺ or Cs⁺ cations with bridging water molecules are stabilizing the inorganic layer, parallel to the layer of C4 A anions. The molecular chains of AC3 adopting a classical 'zig-zag' linear conformation, are symmetrically anchored *via* multiple hydrogen bonding (d_{N-O}=1.91–2.10 Å) of ammonium groups bridging the two sulfonates groups, on the opposite sides of C4 A. Remarkably any water molecule is present to reinforce this recognition motif. The N₁–N₃ distances of confined AC3 guest in C4 A-AC3-NaCl, C4 A-AC3-KCl, C4 A-AC3-RbCl or C4 A-AC3**CsCl** are 4.82-4.90 Å, corresponding to practically no-compression, 1.44-3.27% of the extended linear length of the **AC3** guest. More remarkable, two confined molecules of **AC3** guest with linear non-folded conformations are filling the space within **C4 A** platforms, showing practically no hydrophobic C–H (alkyl) / π aromatic (calix[4]arene) contacts which are greater than 3.00 Å with the **C4 A** cavity.

When shorter deprotonated AC2 1,2-Diammoniumethane guests are used, interestingly they are not included in the aromatic cavity of C4 A. The single crystal structures of C4 A-AC2-LiCl (Figure 16Sa) and C4 A-AC2-NaCl (Figure 16Sb), consists of layered structures with C4 A anions, confining three water molecules within their cavity as previously observed^[16] and having lower hydroxyl rims connected by four Li⁺ cations or upper rim of sulfonate moieties connected by four hydrated Na⁺ cations, respectively. The protonated 1,2-Diammoniumethane, AC2 guest are probably too hydrophilic to fill the inner space of the C4 A anions and they are disposed together with hydrated Li⁺ and Na⁺ cations and bridging water molecules outside the cavity of the C4 A anion. The AC2 guests have gauche conformations of the C1-C2 alkane chain and they are H-bonded via ammonium groups to sulfonate groups of the C4 A anion.

In the C4 A-C5-LiCl (Figure 3a) and C4 A-C5-KCl (Figure 3b) two confined guests protonated 6-aminohexanoate, C5 guest molecules are filling in the inner space between two C4⁴⁻ anion hosts, spatially disposed in a slipping parallel arrangement. The guest molecules C5 are anchored via hydrogen bonding of bridging ammonium groups between two sulfonates groups of C4 A ($d_{N-O} = 2.16 - 2.3$ Å). The hydrogen bonding network is reinforced by four hydrated Li⁺ or K⁺ cations and four bridging water molecules which are H-bonded to carboxyl groups of C5 $(d_{0\dots0}=2.02-2.17 \text{ Å})$, playing a crucial role in the stabilization of the guests inside the cavity of the C44- anions network. In addition, each carboxyl groups of one guest is H-bonded $(d_{N-O} = 1.89 \text{ Å})$ to the ammonium moiety of a vicinal C5 pair guest generating dimers of C5₂ within the capsule. The molecules of C5 are presenting one gauche conformation (C1-C2) at the 'carboxylate' end of the alkane chain showing close hydrophobic C–H (alkyl) / π (calix[4]arene) contacts of 2.70–2.90 Å with the aromatic cavity of C4 A. The N_1 – O_6 distances between the ammonium and carboxylate groups of confined C5 guest in C4 A-C5-LiCl and C4 A-C5-KCl, are strongly dependent on the nature of the cation 6.61 Å (Li⁺) and 5.66 Å (K⁺) and directly influenced by the anchoring position of the carboxylate group within the network. They correspond to 11.53% and 24.03% compression of the extended linear length of C5. In theC4 A-C4-NaCl (Figure 3c), C4 A-C4-KCl (Figure 3d) C4 A-C4-RbCl (Figure 3e) C4 A-C4-CsCl (Figure 3f) two protonated 5-aminopentanoic acid, C4 guests are confined within the confined inner cavity defined between two C4 A anions, variably disposed in a slipping parallel to face to face arrangements depending on the nature of hydrated cations. The hydrated Na^+ , K^+ , Rb^+ or Cs^+/Na^+ cations forming inorganic layers are compensating the negative charge of C4 A and are subsequently coordinating the carboxylates of C4 guests and

Full Papers doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202000232





Figure 3. X-ray structures of a) C4 A-C5-LiCl, b) C4 A-C5-KCl, c) C4 A-C4-RbCl, d) C4 A-C4-KCl, e) C4 A-C4-RbCl, f) C4 A-C4-CsCl, g) C4 A-C3-LiCl, h) C4 A-C3-NaCl, i) C4 A-C3-KCl, j) C4 A-C3-CsCl, k) C4 A-C2-LiCl, l) C4 A-C2-NaCl, m) C4 A-C2-KCl n) C4 A-C2-RbCl, o) C4 A-C2-CsCl: Side view of the inclusion complexes side view and of the H-bonded matrices formed by *p*-sulfonatocalix[4]arene anion C4 A, hydrated alkali cations $M(H_2O)_n^+$ ($M^+ = Li^+$, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺) cations and the 1, ω -diammonium-alkanes C5-C2 guests.

hydrogen bonding *via* bridging water molecules to the sulfonate groups of **C4 A**.

The hydrogen bonding network is reinforced by anchoring H-bonds between the ammonium moieties of C4 and sulfonate groups ($d_{N-0} = 2.18$ and 2.07 Å) or *via* bridging water molecules to the sulfonate groups of different C4 A platforms. Depending on the nature of the cation and of the positioning of the anchoring points in the network, the C4 guest is differently packed within the capsule. In the C4 A-C4-NaCl (Figure 3c) the C4 quest adopts an asymmetrical highly compressed conformation, with three gauche conformations among C1-C2, C2-C3, C3-C4 positioned all the length of the alkyl chain so that a strong hydrogen bonding ($d_{N-0} = 2.07 \text{ Å}$) is intramolecularly established between the ammonium and carboxyl groups of the folding C4 guest. The C4 guests in C4 A-C4-KCI (Figure 3d) have two gauche conformations between C2-C3 and C3-C4 unsymmetrically and contiguously positioned at the end of ammonium group of the alkane chains, while in C4 A-C4-RbCl (Figure 3e) C4 A-C4-CsCl (Figure 3f) the C4 guests became more relaxed having one gauche conformations of C3-C4 unsymmetrically positioned at the neighboring end of carboxyl group of the alkyl chain. All the structures show close hydrophobic C–H (alkyl)/ π (calix[4]arene) contacts of contacts of 2.50-2.70 Å of folded C4 guests with the aromatic cavity of C4 A. The N₁–O₅ distances between the ammonium and carboxylate groups of confined C4 guest in C4 A-C4-NaCl, C4 A-C4-KCl C4 A-C4-RbCl C4 A-C4-CsCl are strongly dependent on the nature of the cation and the relative intramolecular compression of the folded molecule of C4 directly influenced by the anchoring position of the carboxylate and ammonium group within the network and corresponding to strong 43.06% (Na⁺) to 17.87% (Cs⁺) compression of the extended linear length of C4.

In the C4 A-C3-LiCl (Figure 3g), C4 A-C3-NaCl (Figure 3h), C4 A-C3-KCl (Figure 3i), C4 A-C3-CsCl (Figure 3j), two confined protonated γ -aminobutyric acid C3 guests are encapsulated in the inner space between two C4⁴⁻ anions, variably disposed in a slipping parallel to face to face arrangements. This network is reinforced by hydrogen bonding of six hydrated Li⁺, Na⁺ K⁺ or four Cs⁺ cations and six bridging water molecules, playing a crucial role in stabilizing the network. Both guest molecules of C3 are anchored via hydrogen bonding of ammonium groups forming two anchoring H-bonds with sulfonates (d_{N-0} = 2.28 Å) and two H-bonds with bridging water molecule connecting to sulfonate (d_{N-0} = 2.18 Å) and carboxyl groups (d_{N-0} = 2.07 Å)



forming encapsulated dimers. In addition, the carboxy groups is anchored via hydrogen bonding to bridging water molecule in the inorganic cationic layer of the network ($d_{N=0} = 1.78$ Å). The molecules of C3 are highly compressed inside the cavity, presenting two all-gauche conformations C1-C2 and C2-C3 and in the case of C4 A-C3-NaCl and C4 A-C3-KCl an intramolecular hydrogen bond (d_{\text{\tiny N-O}}\!=\!2.28\!-\!2.36 Å) between ammonium and carboxyl groups is stabilizing the highly folded conformation of the guests. In the case of C4 A-C3-CsCl one gauche conformation of C1-C2 is positioned at the neighboring end of carboxyl group coordinated to hydrated Cs⁺ cations, which are subsequently connected to sulfonate groups via hydrogen bonding to the C4A platforms. The encapsulated C3 guests are stabilized via hydrophobic C-H (alkane chains) / π (calix[4] arene) short contact of contacts of 2.50-2.70 Å, which play important role in confining guests inside the C4 A cavity. The N_1-O_3 distances between the ammonium and carboxylate groups of confined C3 guest in C4 A-C3-LiCl and C4 A-C3-KCl, are strongly dependent on the nature of the cation, directly determining the anchoring positions within the network or internal hydrogen bonding and presenting S-type gauchegauche 3.83 Å (Li⁺) or gauche-4.28 Å (Cs⁺) conformers or highly compressed gauche-gauche 3.30 Å (Na⁺) or 3.23 Å (K⁺) conformers, corresponding to 13.51% to 34.81% compression of the extended linear length of C3.

In the C4 A-C2-LiCl (Figure 3k), C4 A-C2-NaCl (Figure 3l), C4 A-C2-KCI (Figure 3m), C4 A-C2-RbCI (Figure 3n), C4 A-C2-CsCl (Figure 3o), on contrary to their more hydrophilic diprotonated 1,2-Diammoniumethane AC2, two protonated 2-aminoethanoic acid, C2 guests are confined within the inner cavity consisting of two C4 A platforms disposed in a slipping parallel to face to face arrangements. Several hydrated Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺ or Cs⁺ cations and bridging water molecules play a crucial role in stabilization of the network. The molecules of C2 are anchored via hydrogen bonding of ammonium groups to sulfonates ($d_{N-O} = 2.09$ Å) or via two bridging water molecules further connecting to sulfonates ($d_{N-O} = 1.97 - 2.05$ Å). In addition, the carboxyl groups are H-bonded ($d_{0\dots0} = 1.93$ Å) to sulfonate moieties of C4 A platform. The intramolecular hydrogen bonding ($d_{N-0} = 2.28$ Å) between ammonium group and carboxyl group contributes to the stabilization of the folded conformation of the guests C2 under confinement. The guests of C2 present one gauche conformations of C1-C2 of the alkane chains and they are not interacting via hydrophobic C-H(alkane chains)/ π (calix[4]arene) inside the C4 A cavity. The N₁ – O₃ distances between the ammonium and carboxylate groups of confined guests C2 are 3.02-3.15 Å and are related to the gauche conformation of the confined C2 guest within the cavity of C4 A and corresponding to 15.00% compression of the extended linear length of C2.

Conclusion

Molecular recognition of the flexible biogenic molecules has long intrigued chemists on account of their important role in regulating protein structures, functions, and aggregation behaviours.¹⁻³ Simple molecular receptors can be used to recognize these systems with strained geometries and variable adaptive behaviours.

In this paper we highlighted that the 1, ω -diammoniumalkanes or the 1, ω -amino acids chains may be successfully constrained/anchored inside the p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene. Detailed comprehensive crystallographic characterization with more than 30 single crystal structures are supporting a complete survey on different structural behaviours that can affect the recognition events.

Variable relative spatial disposition of the p-sulfonatocalix[4] arene C4 A anionic platforms within the crystal strongly depend on the nature of alkali hydrated counter cations and induce a different dimensional disposition of the sulfonate and of the alkali cation anchoring points used for the guest binding. The guest molecules are double H-bonded via ammonium and carboxylate groups to the sulfonates and present a bentgeometry with one/two gauche conformations, unsymmetrically positioned in the terminal part of the alkane chain, buried within the hydrophobic part of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene. The 1,ω-diammonium-alkanes are anchored via double ammoniumsulfonate bridges while $1,\omega$ -amino acids are connected via combined ammonium-sulfonate / carboxylate water/alkali cation interactions on the rim of C4 A platform. The nature of the hydrated metal cations it is highly important in the positioning of the guest and determines its conformation upon recognition. In the case of 1, w-diammonium-alkanes, the compression of longer molecules AC5 and AC4 follows the sequence: $Na^+ > K^+$ $> Rb^+ > Cs^+$ (Table 3), with a higher compression of the guests observed for Na⁺ cations, which is almost twice stronger than for Cs⁺ cations. This is related to their position closer to the sulfonate moieties on the upper-rim of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene, that better stabilize the rigid cone conformation for the recognition space of the host. The Na⁺ cations are probably fulfilling the best compromise to compensate the charge/Hbond interactions within the network. The same effect has been observed for $1,\omega$ -amino acid guests for which the Na⁺ cations are inducing a maximum folding with three or two gauche conformations, so that a strong hydrogen bonding is intramolecularly established between the ammonium and carboxyl groups of the folding C4 and C3 guests (Table 2).

Another key function of p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene as receptor in water, is the burial of a part of the molecule of the guest within a confining space offered by its concave cavity and it is directly relating to progressive bent conformations of the alkyl chains under confinement. Regardless, it appears that the main driving forces for recognition are the steric compatibility of the alkyl chains fitting the cavity, optimal for the uncompressed 1,3-Diammonium-propane **AC3** guests, as well as intramolecular electrostatic/ hydrogen bonding stabilization of U-shape guests of γ -aminobutyric acid **C3** guests. The longer ones adopt folded conformations at different levels of compression depending on their molecular dimensional behaviours that can be detected in solution and are sufficiently kinetic stable under the confined conditions in the solid state, to allow a conventional structure determination by X-ray diffraction.





[a] d_{N-N} distance between the N atoms of the ammonium groups of encapsulated conformers, [b] Compression rate relative to the extended linear conformer. Compression% = L_{inear} - $L_{conformer}/L_{inear}$.



[a] d_{N-O} distance between the terminal N atom of the ammonium and O atom of the carboxylate groups of encapsulated conformers; [b] Compression rate relative to the extended linear conformer. Compression% = L_{iinear} - $L_{conformer}/L_{linear}$.

We mostly noticed the presence of broad signals in ¹H-NMR spectra of the guest molecules enclosed within p-sulfonatocalix [4]arene host. However in several cases, sharp signals have

been observed, but any clear correlation can be established between coupling constants obtained in solution and torsion angles measured in the solid state structures (Table 6S). This is



reminiscent with dynamic conformational equilibria of the confined guest with p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene host or with fast exchanges of the guest molecules in solution.

This contribution adds several new behaviours to the systematic rationalization and prediction of hydrophobic clustering in the p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene. Overall, these studies may provide new knowledge for understanding the dynamics and adaptivity of complex molecules under confined conditions, reminiscent of the specific binding of substrates in biological pockets.

Acknowledgements

This work was conducted within NSFC (National Natural Science Foundation of China, 21720102007), China. S.-P. Z. wishes to thank China Scholarship Council for the financial support. This work was also supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche ANR-15-CE29-0009 DYNAFUN and 1000 Talent Plan, WQ20144400255 and 111 project 90002-18011002 of SAFEA, China.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: amino acids · calixarenes · hydrogen bonding · molecular recognition · self-assembly

- [1] J. Voskuhl, B. J. Ravoo, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 495–505.
- [2] a) K. D. Daze, F. Hof, Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 937–945; b) D.-S. Guo, Y. Liu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1925–1934.
- [3] a) R. E. McGovern, H. Fernandes, A. R. Khan, N. P. Power, P. B. Crowley, Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 527–533; b) R. E. McGovern, A. A. McCarthy, P. B. Crowley, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 10412–10415; c) A. M. Doolan, M. L. Rennie, P. B. Crowley, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 984–991; d) R. E. McGovern, B. D. Snarr, J. A. Lyons, J. McFarlane, A. L. Whiting, I. Paci, F. Hof, P. B. Crowley, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 442–449; e) M. Selkti, A. W. Coleman, I. Nicolis, N. Douteau-Guevel, F. Villain, A. Tomas, C. Rango, Chem. Commun. 2000, 161–162; f) L. Memmi, A. Lazar, A. Brioude, V. Ball, A. W. Coleman, Chem. Commun. 2001, 2474–2475; g) A. Hogeweg, A. Sowislok, T. Schrader, C. Beuck, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 14758–14762; h) D. Bier, S. Mittal, K. Bravo-Rodriguez, A. Sowislok, J. Briels, C. Heid, M. Bartel, B. Wettig, L. Brunsveld, E. Sanchez-Garcia, T. Schrader, C.

Ottmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 16256–16263; i) T. Schrader, G. Bitan, F.-G. Klärner, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 11318–11334.

- [4] S. Shinkai, S. Mori, T. Tsubaki, T. Sone, O. Manabe, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, 25, 5315–5318.
- [5] a) F. Perret, A. N. Lazar, A. W. Coleman, Chem. Commun. 2006, 2425– 2438; b) F. Perret, A. W. Coleman, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 7303–7319.
- [6] a) L. A. C. Trembleau, J. Rebek Jr., *Science* **2003**, *301*, 1219–1220; b) R. J. Hooley, H. Van Anda, J. Rebek Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 13464–13473; c) R. J. Hooley, J. V. Gavette, M. Mettry, D. Ajami, J. Rebek Jr., *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 4382–4387; d) A. Asadi, D. Ajami, J. Rebek, Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 10682–10684.
- [7] a) B. C. Gibb, in Organic Nanostructures, Eds. J. L Atwood. and J. W. Steed, Wiley-VCH, 2008, pp. 291–304; b) H. Y. Gan, B. C. Gibb, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 1656–1658; c) S. M. Liu, D. H. Russell, N. F. Zinnel, B. C. Gibb, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4314–4324; d) C. L. D. Gibb, B. C. Gibb, Chem. Commun. 2007, 1635–1637; e) C. L. D. Gibb, B. C. Gibb, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16498–16499.
- [8] a) F. Kotzyba-Hibert, J. M. Lehn, K. Saigo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4266–4268; b) K. Baek, Y. Kim, H. Kim, M. Yoon, I. Hwang, Y. H. Ko, K. Kim, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4091–4093.
- [9] D. G. Dumitrescu, W. X. Feng, Y.-M. Legrand, A van der Lee, E. Petit, M. Barboiu, CrystEngComm 2018, 20, 261–270.
- [10] a) Y. M. Legrand, A. van der Lee, M. Barboiu, *Science* 2010, *329*, 299–302;
 b) Y. M. Legrand, A. Gilles, E. Petit, A. van der Lee, M. Barboiu, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 10021–10028; c) S. Lee, E. Kapustin, O. M. Yaghi, *Science* 2016, *353*, 808–811.
- [11] a) W. Xiao, C. Hu, M. D. Ward Cryst. Growth & Des. 2013, 13, 3197–3200;
 b) Y. Liu, M. D. Ward, Cryst. Growth Des. 2009, 9, 3859–3861.
- [12] a) S. J. Dalgarno, J. L. Atwood, C. L. Raston, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4567–4574 ; b) J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour, M. J. Hardie, C. L. Raston, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2001, 222, 3–32; c) J. L. Atwood, T. Ness, P. J. Nichols, C. L. Raston, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2002, *2*, 171–176; d) K. Suwinska, E. Janneau, Y. Tauran, A. W. Coleman, *J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocyclic Chem.* 2014, *79*, 177–183; e) A. Lazar, E. Da Silva, A. Navaza, C. Barbey, A. W. Coleman, *Chem. Commun.* 2004, *19*, 2162–2163.
- [13] a) W. Feng, L. Dai, S.-P. Zheng, A. van der Lee, C.-Y. Su, M. Barboiu, *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, 54, 9738–9740; b) M. Barboiu, D. Dumitrescu, E. Petit, Y.-M. Legrand, A. van der Lee, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2015**, *15*, 3525– 3531.
- [14] a) D. Dumitrescu, Y.-M. Legrand, E. Petit, A. van der Lee, M. Barboiu, *Chem. Commun.* 2014, *50*, 14086–14088; b) D. Dumitrescu, Y.-M. Legrand, E. Petit, A. van der Lee, M. Barboiu, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, *6*, 2079– 2086; c) D. Dumitrescu, F. Dumitru, Y.-M. Legrand, E. Petit, A. van der Lee, M. Barboiu, *Org. Lett.* 2015, *17*, 2178–2181.
- [15] CCDC 11981763–1981792 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. a) J. L. Atwood, F. Hamada, K. R. Robison, G. W. Orr, R. L. Vincent, *Nature* 1991, 349, 683–684; b) K. Fucke, K. M. Anderson, M. H. Filby, M. Henry, J. Wright, S. A. Mason, M. J. Gutmann, L. J. Barbour, C. L. Oliver, A. W. Coleman, J. L. Atwood, J. A. K. Howard, J. W. Steed, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 10259–10271.

Manuscript received: March 23, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: March 28, 2020

FULL PAPERS

Flexible guests: Molecular recognition of cationic 1, ω -diammoniumalkanes and 1, ω -amino-acids of variable lengths within *p*-sulfonatocalix[4]arene hosts sheds light on the constitutional adaptivity of flexible folded chains by maximizing multivalent contacts with the host receptor under confinement. A comprehensive screening of 30 single-crystal structures allowed the accurate determination of the folded guest molecules structures under confinement.



L. Dai, Dr. W.-X. Feng, S.-P. Zheng, Dr. J.-J. Jiang, Dr. D. Wang, Dr. A. van der Lee, D. Dumitrescu, Dr. M. Barboiu*

1 – 10

Progressive Folding and Adaptive Multivalent Recognition of Alkyl Amines and Amino Acids in *p*-Sulfonatocalix[4]arene Hosts: Solid-State and Solution Studies Report by @MihailBarboiu et al. @umontpellier @CNRS on progressive folding and adaptive multivalent recognition of alkyl amines and amino acids in p-sulfonatocalix[4]arene hosts both in solution and the solid state

Share your work on social media! ChemPlusChem has added Twitter as a means to promote your article. Twitter is an online microblogging service that enables its users to send and read short messages and media, known as tweets. Please check the pre-written tweet in the galley proofs for accuracy. If you, your team, or institution have a Twitter account, please include its handle @username. Please use hashtags only for the most important keywords, such as #catalysis, #nanoparticles, or #proteindesign. The ToC picture and a link to your article will be added automatically, so the tweet text must not exceed 250 characters. This tweet will be posted on the journal's Twitter account (follow us @ChemPlusChem) upon publication of your article in its final (possibly unpaginated) form. We recommend you to re-tweet it to alert more researchers about your publication, or to point it out to your institution's social media team.

ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor ID)

Please check that the ORCID identifiers listed below are correct. We encourage all authors to provide an ORCID identifier for each coauthor. ORCID is a registry that provides researchers with a unique digital identifier. Some funding agencies recommend or even require the inclusion of ORCID IDs in all published articles, and authors should consult their funding agency guidelines for details. Registration is easy and free; for further information, see http://orcid.org/.

Liya Dai Dr. Wei-Xu Feng Shao-Ping Zheng Dr. Ji-Jun Jiang Dr. Dawei Wang Dr. Arie van der Lee Dan Dumitrescu Dr. Mihail Barboiu http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0042-9483