

Localized Afterslip at Geometrical Complexities Revealed by InSAR After the 2016 Central Italy Seismic Sequence

Lea Pousse-Beltran, Anne Socquet, Lucilla Benedetti, Marie-Pierre Doin, Magali Rizza, Nicola d'Agostino

► To cite this version:

Lea Pousse-Beltran, Anne Socquet, Lucilla Benedetti, Marie-Pierre Doin, Magali Rizza, et al.. Localized Afterslip at Geometrical Complexities Revealed by InSAR After the 2016 Central Italy Seismic Sequence. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2020, 125 (11), 10.1029/2019JB019065. hal-03027096

HAL Id: hal-03027096 https://hal.science/hal-03027096

Submitted on 27 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

2	Title:
3	Localized afterslip at geometrical complexities revealed by InSAR after the 2016 Central Italy
4	seismic sequence
5	Authors:
6	Léa Pousse-Beltran ¹ , Anne Socquet ² , Lucilla Benedetti ¹ , Marie-Pierre Doin ² , Magali Rizza ¹ ,
7	Nicola D'Agostino ³
8	
9	¹ Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, Collège de France, CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence, France
10	² Université Grenoble-Alpes, Université de Savoie Mont-Blanc, CNRS, IRD, IFSTTAR, ISTerre,
11	38000 Grenoble, France
12	³ Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV), Centro Nazionale Terremoti, via di Vigna
13	Murata 605, 00143, Rome, Italy
14	
15	
16	Email list:
17	Léa Pousse-Beltran: pousse@cerege.fr
18	Anne Socquet : <u>anne.socquet@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr</u>
19	Lucilla Benedetti : <u>benedetti@cerege.fr</u>
20	Marie-Pierre Doin : marie-pierre.doin@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr
21	Magali Rizza : <u>rizza@cerege.fr</u>
22	Nicola D'Agostino : <u>nicola.dagostino@ingv.it</u>
23	

25	Keywords:					
26	-	Postseismic				
27	-	InSAR time-series				
28	-	2016-2017 Amatrice-Norcia Seismic Sequence				
29	-	Geometrical complexity				
30						
31	L Key points:					
32	-	We monitor pre and post-seismic deformation of the 2016 seismic sequence using two-				
33		year InSAR time-series				
34	-	Centimetre scale post-seismic surface displacements are detected after October 30,				
35		2016 Mw 6.5 mainshock (Norcia earthquake)				
36	-	Localized shallow afterslip occurred at structural complexity that may have hindered				
37		the propagation of seismic ruptures				
38						
39						

40 Abstract :

41 The Mw 6.5 Norcia earthquake occurred on October 30, 2016, along the Mt Vettore 42 fault (Central Apennines, Italy), it was the largest earthquake of the 2016-2017 seismic 43 sequence that started two months earlier with the Mw 6.0 Amatrice earthquake (August, 24). 44 To detect potential slow slip during the sequence, we produced Interferometric Synthetic 45 Aperture Radar (InSAR) time-series using 12 to 6-day repeat cycles of Sentinel-1A/1B images. 46 Time-series indicates that centimetre-scale surface displacements took place during the 10 47 weeks following the Norcia earthquake. Two areas of subsidence are detected: one in the Castelluccio basin (hanging wall of the Mt Vettore fault), and one in the southern extent of the 48 49 Norcia earthquake surface rupture, near an inherited thrust. Poroelastic and viscoelastic 50 models are unable to explain these displacements. In the Castelluccio basin, the displacement 51 reaches 13.2 ± 1.4 mm in the ascending line of sight (LOS) on January 06, 2017. South of the 52 Norcia earthquake surface rupture (a zone between the Norcia and Amatrice earthquakes), 53 the post-seismic surface displacements affect a smaller area, but reach 35.5 ± 1.7 mm in 54 ascending LOS by January 2017 and follow a logarithmic temporal decay consistent with postseismic afterslip. Our analysis suggests that the structurally complex area located south of the 55 Norcia rupture (30 October) is characterized by a conditionally stable frictional regime. This 56 57 geometrical and frictional barrier likely halted rupture propagation during the Amatrice 58 (August 24) and Norcia (October 30) earthquakes at shallow depth (<3-4 km).

60 1 Introduction

61 Monitoring the spatial and temporal variations of the slip on a fault enables researchers 62 to better assess stress build-up on seismic asperities and slip released during seismic cycle 63 (Avouac, 2015; Bürgmann, 2018; Chen & Bürgmann, 2017; Harris, 2017). In the rate and state 64 formulation, rupture propagation can be hindered by rate-strengthening sections of a fault, 65 which tend to slip via creep rather than in seismic rupture (e.g., H. Perfettini et al., 2010; Hirose 66 et al., 2010). Such barriers are also often associated with structural complexities - such as a 67 change of strike, secondary faulting or interaction with inherited faults (e.g., King & Nabelek, 1985; King, 1986; Wesnousky, 1988). These structural complexities can act as a geometrical 68 69 barrier, and are characterized by an increased equivalent strength (Nielsen & Knopoff, 1998). 70 Locating aseismic slip on the fault and comparing these locations with those of seismic slip and 71 fault segmentation is of pivotal importance to better characterize the frictional behavior of a 72 fault system and its relation with structural complexities.

73 A notable seismic sequence occurred in the Central Apennines (Italy) in 2016-2017 74 (Chiarabba et al., 2018; Perouse et al., 2018; Cheloni et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2017; Cirella et 75 al., 2018; Civico et al., 2018; Scognamiglio et al., 2018; Ragon et al., 2019), with four main 76 events: the 24th August 2016 M_W 6.0 Amatrice event, the 26th October 2016 M_W 5.9 Visso 77 event, the 30^{th} October 2016 M_W 6.5 Norcia event, and the 18^{th} January 2017 M_W 5.5 78 Campotosto event (Figure 1 and Table S1). This seismic sequence ruptured the complex Mt 79 Vettore fault system (in red in Figure 1) (Pizzi et al., 2017; Porreca et al., 2018; Villani, Pucci, et 80 al., 2018), and the adjacent Amatrice-Campotosto fault (in orange in Figure 1). During the 81 Norcia earthquake, the rupturing of an antithetic fault on the opposite side of the Castelluccio 82 basin seems necessary to fit geodetic data and is supported by alignments of relocated 83 aftershocks (Chiaraluce et al., 2017; Walters et al., 2018; Cheloni et al., 2019). In addition, the 84 role of an inherited west-dipping thrust called OAS (Olevano-Antrodoco-Sibillini thrust) in the 85 Norcia earthquake coseismic rupture geometry has been widely discussed. While some studies 86 suggested that only the Mt Vettore fault system was activated (Chiaraluce et al., 2017; Liu et 87 al., 2017; Papadopoulos et al., 2017; Pavlides et al., 2017; Pizzi et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; 88 Xu et al., 2017), others suggested that also the OAS thrust ruptured as a reactivated high-angle 89 normal fault during the event, as suggested by geodetic and seismological observations 90 (Cheloni et al., 2017; Scognamiglio et al., 2018; Walters et al., 2018). Although the reactivation 91 of the thrust is not clearly demonstrated (Cheloni et al., 2019), the OAS appears to have played

a role in the aftershock distribution (Chiarabba et al., 2018; Chiaraluce et al., 2017; Pizzi et al.,
2017). The earthquakes of the 2016 sequence appear to have nucleated near crosscutting
structures that seem to have been loaded by previous ruptures in the sequence (Chiaraluce et
al., 2017; Pino et al., 2019). This seismic sequence is thus an excellent case study to better
understand the link between structural segmentation, aseismic slip and frictional properties
that might control this rupture propagation.

Post-seismic processes during this sequence have been observed in the seismicity (e.g., Albano et al., 2018; Tung & Masterlark, 2018), but no aseismic slip has been detected with geodetic data so far. Using Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) time-series from Sentinel-1 data, we document a small but significant post-seismic deformation transient that we find is best explained by aseismic slip on faults associated with this sequence. Surface displacements are presented and analysed. We also explore simple modelling schemes that provide a framework for our interpretation and discussion.

105 2 Geological setting

106 The Central Apennines were affected by an extensional phase during the Jurassic, 107 followed by a compressive phase during the Neogene (e.g., Calamita et al., 2011). The OAS (Olevano-Antrodoco-Sibillini thrust) is one the main thrusts resulting from the Neogene 108 109 compressive phase (Calamita et al., 1994) and has been interpreted as a transpressive ramp (Di Domenica et al., 2012). In the area affected by the 2016-2017 seismic sequence, the OAS 110 111 thrust is expressed by parallel splays associated with fault-bend folds characteristic of 112 structural ramps (Calamita et al., 2012) (Figure 1). The ongoing ENE oriented extension of 2 to 4 mm/yr (D'Agostino, 2014; Carafa & Bird, 2016; Devoti et al., 2017), which probably began 113 in the Early Pleistocene (e.g., Galadini & Galli, 2000), is currently accommodated through 114 115 normal fault systems such as the Monte Vettore fault system that hosted the 2016-2017 116 seismic sequence.

117

118 3 Surface displacements during the seismic sequence

119 3.1 InSAR processing

Synthetic radar interferometry (InSAR) is now systematically used to constrain deformation fields (Elliott, Walters, et al., 2016) and can document centimetre to millimetre scale slow aseismic ground deformation using an adapted processing chain (Hussain et al.,

123 2018; Aslan et al., 2019). We used C band (5.5 cm wavelength) images from Sentinel-1A/B 124 images (Figure 1-A) spanning almost two years (July 28, 2015, to June 11, 2017) for the 125 ascending track (A117, subswath IW3). To confirm the main observations made on the 126 ascending track, we processed descending track (D22, subswaths IW2 and IW3) images from 127 October 26, 2016 to February 11, 2017. SAR images were processed in VV polarization. We 128 used the NSBAS processing chain (Doin et al., 2011, 2015) modified for Sentinel data by 129 Grandin (2015) to generate differential interferograms. The interferogram network, and 130 examples of unfiltered and uncorrected interferograms, are provided in Figures S1 and S2. The 131 Shuttle Radar Topography Mission digital elevation model (DEM) at 3 arc sec resolution (Rabus 132 et al., 2003), resampled at 45m resolution, has been used to accurately coregister the focused 133 SAR images and to correct interferograms from the topographic contribution to the interferometric phase. We removed a ramp in range and in azimuth for each interferogram 134 135 using the methodology of Cavalié et al., (2007) included in NSBAS. We de-noised the 136 interferograms before unwrapping using collinearity, a criterion to characterize at each pixel 137 the local spatial variability of the phase (Pinel-Puysségur et al., 2012). The collinearity was used 138 to adapt the strength of the filter. We filtered for a window of 12 pixels (400m in range and 139 700m in azimuth); the filter is described in Doin et al. (2011) and is based on the collinearity 140 value which weights the complex phase in a sliding window. For the filter we had the option 141 of adapting the weighting of the phase within windows of different sizes. This size adaptation 142 depends on the collinearity within the windows. Unwrapping was performed in 2D with the 143 NSBAS chain (Grandin et al., 2012; Doin et al., 2015). After unwrapping, to account for errors 144 associated with stratified troposphere, we removed a quadratic cross-function of elevation (z) 145 and azimuth to ramps in azimuth (y) and in range (x) estimation following the function $ax+by+c+ez+fz \times az+g \times (z \times az)^2$ using a least-square approach (Daout et al., 2019). Time-series 146 147 were then calculated following the NSBAS method (Doin et al., 2011; Daout et al., 2016) using an approach based on the Small Baseline Subset time-series Analysis (SBAS) of López-Quiroz 148 et al., (2009)'s algorithm. The smoothing of the pixel time-series is performed by minimizing 149 150 the Laplacian of the temporal evolution of the deformation (Cavalié et al., 2007). The final pixel 151 size is 62 m in azimuth and 37 m in range. We removed pixels with an RMS value greater than 152 0.7. For the ascending track, we build a time series spanning the 2 years (from 28/07/2015 to 153 11/06/2017) (Figure S1 and see missing links in the time series in Figure S3). For this complete 154 2-year time-series, we encounter problems in unwrapping the co-seismic interferograms due

155 to the large deformation with respect to the Sentinel wavelength in near field (aliasing). 156 Fringes are too close in space and cannot be unwrapped. At pixels that are incoherent in the 157 co-seismic interferogram (i.e. in near field), this causes gaps in the complete (2 yrs) time-series 158 spanning the main earthquakes (Norcia, Amatrice and Campotosto earthquakes) (Figure S3), 159 or lead to an underestimation of the coseismic displacement (brown circles in Figure 2-D). In 160 addition, we also build three time-series in between earthquakes in order to avoid possible bias: before the 24th August (Amatrice earthquake), between 27th August and 26th October 161 162 (between the Amatrice and Visso earthquake), and after the 30th October (Norcia earthquake). 163 We excluded the SAR data from January 18, 2017, which produced noised interferograms. For the descending track, we build two time-series: between 27th August and 26th October (Visso 164 earthquake), and after the 30th October (Norcia earthquake). 165

166 3.2 Time-series results and description of the main features

167 3.2.1 Ascending Track

The ascending time-series built before the seismic sequence (from July 28th 2015 to August 21th 2016) does not show significant nor localized surface displacements along the main faults (Figure S4-A,B). After October 30th, the (post-Norcia) time-series shows centimetre-scale displacements going away from the satellite in the LOS direction in three areas (Figure 2-B):

- South of Amatrice: In the area affected by the Campotosto earthquake (January 18th, 2017 Mw 5.0 -5.5 EQ) the ground surface moved away from the satellite by more than 60 mm in LOS (Figure 2-B). This coseismic displacement results in a step function in the time series, and can therefore be easily separated from any gradual post-seismic deformation.
- Near Arquata del Tronto: At the southern extremity of surface rupture of the Mw
 6.5 October 30th Norcia earthquake (red faults in Figure 2), surface displacements
 are detected over an area of ~12 km², and follow logarithmic evolution (in Figure
 2-D and Figure 3-A see time-series at point 1 where the cumulative post-Norcia
 displacements in LOS on January 06, 2017 is in average ~35.5 ± 1.7 mm and reach
 50.5 ± 2.1 mm on 30 April 2017)
- Castelluccio Basin: On the hanging wall of the Mt Vettore fault, slow deformation
 affects an area of ~50 km² (Figure 2), and is associated with displacements in the

186

LOS direction that are 13.2 ± 1.4 mm in average at Point 2 on 6 January and reach 37.9 ± 1.3 mm on 30 April 2017 (Figure 2-D and Figure 3-A).

187

To rule out possible bias due to the Campotosto earthquakes affecting the area near Castelluccio and near Arquata del Tronto, we confirmed these previous observations with a shorter time-series calculated between the Norcia and Campotosto earthquakes (November 1st – January 12th) (Figure 4). We prefer to use, for the rest of the manuscript, the longer post-Norcia time-series (November 1st – June 11th) that shows a better signal-noise ratio.

193 In the post Amatrice earthquake time series (August 27 to October 26) we did not observe 194 any localized surface displacements similar to the pattern observed after October 30 (Norcia earthquake) (Figure S4-B). Yet near the town of Amatrice, we observe diffuse surface 195 196 displacements (< ~2.5 cm) moving away from the satellite. However, this time-series is 197 constrained by only 9 scenes and 20 interferograms, which prevents from properly (i) 198 constraining a low amplitude signal and (ii) correcting for atmosphere and topography. The 199 surface displacements here have a low signal to noise ratio. The variance of the uncorrelated noise is ~ 40 mm² (see Supplementary Text S1 and Figure S5-A), and the standard deviation 200 201 sigma of the noise is thus 6.3 mm. We take 3*sigma = 20 mm to set our limit of detection. The 202 characteristic length scale of correlated noise is 5.0 km and there is autocovariance for 203 distances smaller than ~15 km (see Figure S5-A). Observed patterns cannot be differentiated 204 from noise, analyses on SAR images from other satellites should be carried out to confirm or 205 not the post-Amatrice (August 24 earthquake) surface displacements.

206 3.2.2 Descending Track

207 To confirm the post-October 30 (Norcia earthquake) observations we processed 208 descending interferograms (Figure 2-C). We used a 3-month dataset for the descending track 209 (November 1st, 2016 to February 11, 2017). Time-series calculated for the descending track 210 also indicate slow deformation after Norcia earthquake (October, 30), that reaches on average 211 20.5 ± 2.7 mm on January 24 in the LOS direction near Arguata del Tronto (point 1). The 212 deformation reached on average 10.8 ± 1.5 mm in the Castelluccio basin (in point 2). Assuming negligible north-south displacements, by combining the results from ascending and 213 214 descending tracks, the displacement is dominated by subsidence in this area (Figure S6).

In the first order, deformation observed in the descending track is compatible with results inferred from the ascending track. Noise and unwrapping issues led us to mask noisy areas and resulted in more blank pixels in the descending track picture which should be used

218 with caution. The relief projected in the descending LOS geometry masked a ridge (Mt Bove -219 Mt Vettore – Mt Gorzano high massifs) (Figure S7). In addition to noise, this resulted in an 220 incoherent area and made unwrapping difficult in that area. The temporal evolution of the features slightly differs from the one in the ascending track. The removal of the 7th November 221 SAR images (affected by strong atmosphere conditions) and the poor constraint on the 19th 222 223 November SAR images (see the network in Figure S1) could affect the time-series in November. 224 Falcucci et al. (2018) had similar difficulties in using descending SAR images to survey the 225 January 2017 Campotosto seismic event. This might be due to the early morning acquisition 226 time, which amplifies decorrelation due to change of moisture level or thawing.

227 In addition, we produced time-series calculated between the Amatrice and Norcia 228 earthquakes. This time-series is also affected by a short-wavelength atmospheric turbulence that makes it difficult to interpret (Figure S5-B-C). The variance of noise is ~21 mm². The 229 230 characteristic length scale of correlated noise is 5.0 km and there is autocovariance for 231 distances smaller than ~15 km (see Figure S5). Again, displacement less than 19 mm for the 232 ascending track and under 14 mm for the descending track, cannot be considered as 233 detectable signal, therefore constraining smaller surface displacements between the Amatrice 234 and Norcia events demands complementary time-series with SAR images from other satellite.

235 3.2.3 Comparison with GNSS

Few GNSS stations exist near the studied area (ARQT, LNSS see station locations in Figure 237 2-A). Those GNSS time-series agree with InSAR time-series sampled at the same locations 238 (Figure S8).

239 3.3 Pattern temporal evolution

By sampling the time-series, the temporal evolution is shown by averaging pixel displacement in area. To enhance the precision of the displacement temporal evolution without using time-series inversion, we temporally track a stable pattern in our unwrapped interferograms (Grandin, 2009). The method is detailed in Supplementary Text S2 and is illustrated by Figures S9-S10.

This method can only be used on areas where the displacement pattern is well defined, and works well in our case to track the evolution of the area near Arquata del Tronto (pattern in Figure 4 which encompasses the point 1). After the Norcia earthquake, the amplitude ratio is higher, and a logarithmic decay is clearly identified there (Figure 3-B). The pattern tracking shows a temporal evolution similar to the averaging surface displacement method. We are

therefore confident that pixel averaging adequately captures the evolution of displacement that we want to describe. For the descending interferograms, the pattern is not precisely trackable and its time evolution is noisy (grey curve in Figure S10-C). This could be due to a poor correlation for the pattern in some interferograms. The evolution of the pattern around Castelluccio Basin (pattern in Figure 4) is poorly resolved too.

255 4 Modelling 3D deformation field

256 4.1 Comparison with topography and geology

257 To interpret the displacement pattern near Arguata del Tronto we compared it with the topography and the geology. There is no correlation with either the slope or the relief (Figure 258 259 S11), thus we can exclude a gravitational process (i.e. landslide) as an explanation for the 260 observed displacements. Concerning geology, the OAS thrusts fractured Meso-Cenozoic 261 carbonate rocks over Neogene pelagic sediments; the carbonates host some of the largest 262 aquifers in the Apennines while the Neogene sediments are thought to be an aquiclude (Figure 263 S12) (Boni et al., 2010). The OAS delimiting these two units therefore acts as an impermeable 264 boundary (Boni et al., 2010). Petitta et al., (2018) and Valigi et al., (2019) show that the 2016-2017 Italian seismic sequence had effects on spring discharge, water-table levels, and 265 266 streamflow of those aquifers. In our results, the extent of the Maiolica unit, which hosts a shallow aquifer (Boni et al., 2010), corresponds well with the deformation area near Arquata 267 268 del Tronto (Figure S11-A-B). The long pre-seismic InSAR time-series did not show any seasonal 269 deformation in this aquifer, which means that groundwater seasonal processes can be 270 excluded (point 1 in Figure 2-D). In addition, it seems difficult to get more than 1 cm of 271 subsidence from winter rain in this area (i.e., Silverii et al., 2016).

272 4.2 Poro-elastic modelling

Poro-elastic effects have been studied during the 2016-2017 seismic sequence to explore 273 274 the aftershocks and earthquakes triggering. Tung & Masterlark (2018) suggest that fluid 275 migration caused by the Amatrice earthquake (August 24, 2016) could have triggered the Visso 276 earthquake (October 26, 2016), as well as some associated aftershocks. They inferred that this 277 poro-elastic triggering should have occurred in an intermediately fractured crust. They also 278 estimated that afterslip and viscoelastic-relaxation were quite negligible with respect to 279 poroelastic effects. Albano et al. (2018) also suggest that post-seismic fluid diffusion after the 280 Amatrice earthquake is related to aftershocks. According to their pore fluid diffusion model, 281 there could be some associated afterslip (\sim 10 cm) after the Amatrice earthquake.

282 The Maiolica karst aquifer is shallow and unconfined but Roeloffs (1996) postulates that 283 every aquifer reacts as a confined aquifer to a disturbance at short timescale. Here, to compare 284 with the displacement pattern near Arquata del Tronto, we thus test a forward model of poro-285 elastic rebound using Relax software (Barbot & Fialko, 2010). We perform a simple poro-elastic 286 model with no lateral variation of diffusivity, that does not account for the spatially 287 heterogeneous distribution of local aquifers. We use the slip distribution models of the Norcia 288 and Visso mainshocks (Maubant et al., 2017), (Figure S13 and Figure 5–A). We do not take into 289 account the Amatrice earthquake since poroelastic rebound from the Amatrice earthquake 290 would likely have stabilized by the time of the Visso and Norcia earthquakes (Figure 4-f in 291 Albano et al., (2018)). We tested several diffusivities for a shallow layer (0-5 km depth), from 292 1.5 m².s proposed by the Tung and Masterlark (2018)'s aftershocks analysis to 10⁴ m²/s 293 (maximum diffusivity value for karst) (Roeloffs, 1996). Other parameters are described in detail 294 in Figure 5. This model predicts uplift in the Castelluccio Basin and near Arquata del Tronto (Figure 5-D) where we observe subsidence (Figure 5-C). This discrepancy allows us to rule out 295 296 poro-elastic rebound as the main driver of observed deformation. These poroelastic models 297 are not exhaustive, we only explore simple geometrical configurations using parameter values 298 informed by the local geology, however, other karstic configurations would likely predict uplift 299 as well.

300 4.3 Viscoelastic modelling

301 Viscoelastic relaxation in the crust and in the mantle is also an important aseismic 302 process, and has been inferred to be the driver of post-seismic deformation following many 303 earthquakes (e.g., Pollitz et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2017). To test whether viscoelastic relaxation 304 is a plausible driving mechanism for post-Norcia deformation, we used Relax to perform 305 forward modelling in a simple layered framework. Our model uses the stress perturbation 306 from the three mainshocks: the Amatrice earthquake using the slip distribution of Ragon et 307 al., (2019) added to the Visso and Norcia earthquakes using the slip distribution model of Maubant et al., (2017). We set the upper, middle and lower crust thickness to the values 308 proposed in Laske et al., (2013) and Verdecchia et., al. (2018) (see Table 1). We tested a 309 viscoelastic relaxation governed by a Newtonian rheology with $\dot{\gamma} = \frac{\tau}{n}$ where $\dot{\gamma}$ is the viscous 310 strain rate, τ is the deviatoric stress and η is the Newtonian viscosity. We used viscosity values 311 n inferred in studies of viscoelastic relaxation modelling using GPS measurements following 312 313 1997 Umbria-Marche earthquakes, or using levelling line measurements following the 1915

Fucino earthquake (Amoruso et al., 2005; Aoudia et al., 2003; Riva et al., 2007). These studies inferred that the ductile structure of the Central Apennines consists of an elastic upper crust overlaying a middle crust (10¹⁸-10¹⁹ Pa s), a viscous lower crust (10¹⁷-10¹⁸ Pa s) and the upper mantle (10²¹ Pa s) (Table 1).

318 Although those models are geometrically simple and do not take into account earthquakes prior 2016, they predict at a long-wavelength uplift (>50 km) in the area where 319 320 we observe subsidence (Figure 6). This discrepancy in both sign and wavelength allows us to 321 rule out a simple visco-elastic relaxation as the main driver of observed deformation. Visco-322 elastic models are not exhaustive here: nonlinear viscoelastic rheologies (e.g., power law creep) in lower crust (Freed & Bürgmann, 2004) could also be investigated; however finite 323 324 element models with creeping lower crust seem also to predict uplift in similar settings of normal fault systems (e.g., Thompson & Parsons, 2016). It is worth also mentioning that the 325 326 observed logarithmic decay could also potentially be associated with Burgers rheology or shear zone (e.g., Hetland & Zhang, 2014) and could also be investigated in future work. Although, as 327 328 with the poroelastic modeling, it seems unlikely that a different geometry or rheology could 329 completely reverse the sign of uplift and subsidence (for example, a different rheology would 330 only affect the temporal evolution/spatial distribution).

331 4.4 Modelling the temporal evolution of afterslip

The logarithmic-like temporal evolution of displacement (Figure 3-A), and the disagreement between the observations and the predictions of poroelastic and viscoelastic models, suggest that afterslip may have been the main driver of postseismic deformation (Marone et al., 1991). Thus to characterize the temporal evolution of the deformation, we fitted the deformation decay (of the raw time-series and of the pattern tracking evolution) with the logarithmic function (Figure 3-A-B respectively) from the Marone et al. (1991) model and Zhou et al. (2018) reformulation for rate-strengthening afterslip:

339
$$U(t) \approx \alpha \times \ln(1 + c \times t)$$

341 In which U: afterslip in time t, α : characteristic length scale, $\beta \times V_i$: initial rate at the 342 beginning of the post-seismic period, with β a scaling vector by which the sliding rate evolves 343 in response to the stress and V_i the pre-seismic slip rate.

 $c = \frac{\beta \times V_i}{\alpha}$

344 At point 1 (near Arquata del Tronto), the good fit of the afterslip model suggests that the 345 main post-seismic process is likely an afterslip phenomenon. Since we found similar results for 346 c value (describing the temporal decay) using the raw pixel time series and using the pattern 347 tracking (independent for the time-series inversion), we are confident in our fitting (Table 2). 348 The first post-Norcia Sentinel image has been acquired 2.6 days after the Norcia earthquake; 349 therefore those 2.6 days of early afterslip are missing in our data. We compare the temporal 350 decay of the deformation with the cumulative moment and number of aftershocks (blue and 351 green curves in Figure S14). Although the completeness magnitude is high (ML 3 in Figure S5 352 in Chiaraluce et al., (2017)), those two curves obtained with less than 50 events are not 353 following the same trends, aftershocks do not seem to be induced by afterslip. At point 2 (near 354 Castelluccio di Norcia), the temporal decay does not fit with the afterslip law as the rate at the beginning of the post-seismic period is close to zero (c value for the purple curve fit in Figure 355 356 3-A). Other post-seismic processes could be a complementary driver of the deformation here and should be taken into account in further modelling (i.e. finite element forward model 357 accounting for afterslip superimposed with poro-elastic rebound and viscoelastic relaxation). 358

359 4.5 Afterslip modelling on faults

360 4.5.1 Inversion strategy and fit to the data

To obtain the afterslip distribution we use the Classic Slip Inversion (CSI) Python tools 361 362 (Elliott, Jolivet, et al., 2016; Jolivet et al., 2015) to invert for the slip. We use the constrained 363 least-squares formula of Tarantola (2005) to solve the inverse problem (see details in Supplementary Text S3 and Figures S15 to S18). We chose a dip of 40° for the Mt Vettore fault 364 (as Cheloni et al., (2017) see Figure S15) and project the fault down dip from the mapped fault 365 366 at the surface (modeled fault geometry in yellow Figure 2-A). We discretize the fault into 88 367 rectangular patches. The smoothed cumulative surface displacement on February 11, 2017, measured in both ascending and descending tracks are inverted to obtain the afterslip 368 369 distribution. The resolution is good for short-wavelength features at shallow depths (<5 km 370 depth) (Figure S16). As several geometries have been used to model the mainshock rupture 371 (OAS reactivation, antithetic fault), we explored three cases: (1) slip only on the Mt Vettore 372 Fault, (2) slip on the Mt Vettore Fault and on the OAS and (3) slip on the Mt Vettore Fault and 373 an antithetic fault with a geometry similar to the one proposed by Cheloni et al., (2019) and 374 Maubant et al., (2017) (dip 65°) (Figures S17 to S20). We also explored the rake: (1) dip-slip 375 only and (2) variable rake. A rigorous statistical comparison between the cases is difficult due

to variation in model parameters and number of degrees of freedom, as explained by Cheloni et al., (2019). We thus used the RMS values to compare the different cases. Here the case that reduces the residuals the most is the inversion with an antithetic fault. This case is in addition consistent with geological and seismological observations (i.e. Cheloni et al., 2019). The different cases were compared using the RMS (root mean square), and allows us to choose the inversion with an antithetic fault (Table S2).

Both ascending and descending tracks are well modelled (Figure 7). It is worth noting that the resolution is low at depth (Figure S16). The total geodetic moment released by the afterslip model is equivalent to Mw ~5.78 (without taking into account the Campotosto earthquake) which corresponds to ~8.7% of the geodetic moment released during the Norcia earthquake (Mw 6.5).

387

388 4.5.2 Afterslip distribution

389 4.5.2.1 Near Arquata del Tronto

390 We obtain a maximum afterslip of ~100 mm below Arquata del Tronto at shallow depth (0- 2 km depth) (Figure 7 Figure 8). The shallow part depth < 3-4 km toward the south is 391 392 associated with very low coseismic slip for both the Norcia and Amatrice earthquakes and is 393 located at the edge of the coseismic asperities. This is also consistent with an afterslip process: 394 the afterslip is located where the slip gradient increased the shear stress on the unruptured 395 portions. This feature has also been observed after the L'Aquila earthquake (D'Agostino et al., 2012). We calculate a geodetic moment released equivalent to Mw ~5.1 in this area (patches 396 397 above 2km depth and south of Arquata del Tronto). The cumulative moment released by the 398 seismicity (Figure S14-D) at this date corresponds to ~2.0% of the moment released by the 399 afterslip model. The deformation is thus mainly aseismic.

Brozzetti et al., (2019) found some surface ruptures south of the 30th October Norcia rupture (42°47'N in their Fig. 1-C) mapped by Villani et al., (2018). The ruptures mapped by Brozzetti et al., (2019) are subtle and according to us may correspond to post-seismic deformation, as was observed after the L'Aquila earthquake (D'Agostino et al., 2012).

404 4.5.2.2 Castelluccio Basin

We observe a maximum slip of ~ 170 mm below Castelluccio at ~5 km depth. Some afterslip overlaps with the coseismic rupture area, but not in the area of maximum coseismic slip (Figure 7). As also the shape of the measured time-series did not fit with the afterslip's law 408 (Figure 3-A), a sole afterslip process is unlikely. It is possible that poroelastic and fluid flow
409 processes could be at work here since there is a large basal aquifer (Boni et al., 2010).
410 Modelling accounting for both processes could be performed, for example fully coupled
411 poroelastic finite element numerical modelling with spatially variable material properties (e.g.,
412 Albano et al., 2017).

413 5 Discussion

414 5.1 Afterslip mechanism near Arquata del Tronto

Based on Dietrich (1979), Ruina (1983) and Marone et al., (1991) equations, we estimated α (the characteristic length scale over which the elastic stress changes by order of the frictional stress) in Figure 3 and Table 2. We can now estimate the friction parameter (a - b) since according to Perfettini and Avouac (2004) and Zhou et al., (2018):

419
$$\alpha = \frac{(a-b) \times \sigma}{k}$$

with k: the effective stiffness, and σ the effective normal stress. This relation can be applied 421 to our case since the duration of our analysis (~200 days) is much shorter than the 422 characteristic time td (Gualandi et al., 2014). Where td= $\frac{\alpha}{v_{pl}}$ (>12 years) where V_{pl} is the local 423 plate loading rate (<2.1 mm/yr (Puliti et al., 2020)). The fit to the displacement of the time-424 425 series sampled in point 1 by an afterslip law (Figure 3) leads to α = 30.9±4.0 mm. To estimate 426 σ , we assume that the mean normal stress may vary from the hydrostatic to lithostatic 427 pressure, with a rock density of 2.5 kg.m⁻³ (Albano et al., 2018) and a depth of the slipping area 428 of 3-5 km. This leads to σ = 44-124 MPa. For k =G/h with G=30 GPa (the shear modulus near the surface) and h=8 km (rate-strengthening depth based on the coseismic slip models), we 429 obtain (a-b)=3 x 10⁻³ - 7.8 x 10⁻⁴. More complex models (e.g. finite element model, 430 431 heterogeneous (a-b) values) could be performed by future studies in order to reproduce the 432 surface displacements and to propose a more precise value of (a-b). However, our estimation 433 is in agreement with experiments on carbonates. Scuderi and Collettini (2016) found values of (a - b) evolve from velocity strengthening behaviour $(a - b \approx 0.005)$ at fluid pressure condition 434 435 of sub-hydrostatic to a velocity neutral behaviour (a - b approaching 0), when the fault is at near lithostatic fluid pressure. Pluymakers et al., (2016) found that wet anhydrite and dolomite 436 gouges at depths <6 km, exhibit (a-b) values ranging from 10^{-2} to 10^{-4} . 437

438 In Arquata del Tronto, the obtained (a-b) positive value (0.0026) is in agreement with 439 a velocity-strengthening area. The obtained (a-b) value is also very low and corresponds to a 440 quasi-neutral rate-dependency of friction implying a high sensitivity to stress perturbations. 441 Potential stress perturbations needed to reach strengthening may involve either a decrease in 442 effective normal stress and / or an increase in shear stress (Scholz, 1998). Walters et al., (2018) 443 calculated the Coulomb stress change (CFF) after the Norcia earthquake. At shallow depths, 444 they found a positive CFF change below Arquata del Tronto, which might have triggered the 445 observed afterslip in this area. An increase in pore fluid pressure would have a similar effect. 446 The afterslip is located near to the Olevano-Antrodoco-Sibillini (OAS) thrust. Chiarabba et al., 447 (2018) detected a high Vp/Vs near the OAS thrust (around 3 km depth), indicating high pore 448 pressure that could have been further increased by nearby major shocks (Amatrice and Norcia earthquake). This high pore pressure could have induced the observed afterslip allowing for 449 450 the release of the residual accumulated stress. This scenario is also supported by the fact that 451 pore fluid pressure variation is common in this area, where the inherited structures seem to 452 control the rupture pattern and could also concentrate high shear stress. Future work could 453 examine detailed Coulomb Stress modelling with high spatial resolution taking all fault 454 complexity and pore fluid effects into account. These works could be useful, despite some 455 limitations related to the resolution of the coseismic slip and by the ambiguity about which 456 faults were involved.

457 If this overlapping of coseismic slip and afterslip is real below 4-5 km depth (below 458 Arquata del Tronto) could be an artefact caused by the smoothing used to regularize the 459 inversion of the slip distribution, combined with the fact that the resolution at depth is limited. 460 If this overlapping of co-seismic and slow slip was confirmed, a simple interpretation of rate 461 and state friction cannot be proposed here. Overlaps between coseismic slip and afterslip have 462 been observed after several earthquakes. For example after 2004 M_w 6 Parkfield earthquake, afterslip was inferred to overlap the coseismic slip (Freed, 2007). Johnson et al., (2006) 463 proposed frictional spatial heterogeneity to explain the afterslip distribution, and proposed (a-464 b) values on the order of $10^{-4} - 10^{-3}$. After the 2015 llapel M_W 8 megathrust earthquake, 465 466 Barnhart et al. (2016) also observed afterslip and coseismic slip overlapping, and advocated 467 that stress heterogeneities likely provide the primary control on the afterslip distribution. After 468 the 1978 M_w 7.3 Tabas-e-Golshan earthquake, Zhou et al., (2018) also observe such overlapping and found (a-b)~3.10⁻³. They proposed that the fault is creeping during the whole 469

470 interseismic period and that the earthquake propagates through the rate-strengthening region 471 although they also stated that a change in frictional properties is also possible due to shear heating. Thomas et al., (2017), after the 2003 M_W 6.8 Chengkung earthquake estimated that 472 473 (a-b) varies with depth from 0.018 near the surface to less than 0.001 at depth larger than 19 474 km, to explain areas showing both seismic and aseismic behavior they advocated shear heating 475 processes. Using numerical modelling, Noda and Lapusta (2013) argued that coseismic slip 476 could propagate into velocity-strengthening regions of a fault, and that these regions can 477 appear locked or creeping during the interseismic period. They observed this behavior due to 478 rapid shear heating. For our case it is difficult to pinpoint the particular fault zone mechanism 479 here, but we can propose a switch to (a-b) positive value below 4-5 km depth, due to coseismic 480 shear heating processes (Thomas et al., 2017) requiring temperature changes of several hundred degrees (e.g., Rice, 2006). This could be a potential explanation since Smeraglia et al., 481 482 (2017) suggested that nanostructures from the Mt Vettoreto Fault rocks were generated by 483 coseismic shear heating and grain comminution (reduction of particle sizes).

484

485 5.2 Barrier of rupture propagation

486 Near Arquata del Tronto, at shallow depth (< 3-4 km) we observe that the aseismic slip is 487 localized on an area associated with limited coseismic slip, for both the Amatrice and Norcia earthquakes. The observed aseismic slip seems located in an area where coseismic ruptures 488 489 have not propagated during the Norcia or Amatrice earthquakes. This slowly slipping zone is 490 located near a structural complexity (inherited thrust OAS), that Chiaraluce et al., (2017), Pizzi 491 et al., (2017) and Puliti et al. (2020) interpreted as a barrier that concentrated stress after the 492 Amatrice earthquake. We propose that maybe as a result of this geometric complexity (that 493 may concentrate high stress and high porosity resulting from fracturation), this zone might be also characterized by frictional properties at shallow depth that could have favoured the arrest 494 495 of the ruptures (e.g., Kaneko et al., 2010). Scholz (1998) shows that when an earthquake propagates into a velocity strengthening field it will produce a negative stress drop, that rapidly 496 497 terminates propagation. Our simple calculations yield here a slightly positive (a-b) value, 498 consistent with this model.

499 6 Conclusion

500 The Sentinel-1 InSAR time-series show cm-scale post-seismic displacements after the 501 Norcia earthquake (October 30). The displacements are more obvious in the ascending track 502 than in the descending track, and correspond to ~1 to 5 cm of subsidence in the Castelluccio 503 basin and at the southern tip of the Norcia surface rupture. In the area of the Castelluccio 504 basin, whether the deformation can be explained by afterslip only is less clear and would 505 require additional modelling to include the effects of poro-elastic release or shear zone 506 deformation. At the southern edge of the Norcia coseismic rupture, deformation evolves with 507 time following a logarithmic decay consistent with afterslip triggered by the Norcia 508 earthquake. Although good observations of the 2.6 first days of afterslip are not available, after 509 3 months the slow deformation evidenced in this study released a geodetic moment of Mw ~5.78, which corresponds to ~8.7 % of the geodetic moment released during the Norcia 510 511 earthquake. Cumulated moment released by aftershocks in the southern tip of the Norcia rupture accounts for ~2.0 % of the moment released by the modelled deformation, the 512 513 deformation is thus here mainly aseismic. This afterslip takes place at the southern tip of the Norcia rupturing patch, and seems to have acted as a barrier to the propagation of Norcia and 514 515 Amatrice ruptures at shallow depth (<3-4 km). Our results suggest that the observed post-516 Norcia earthquake afterslip might have been triggered in response to heterogeneities of pore 517 fluid pressure, potentially facilitated by structural complexity and intense faulting in the area. 518 Such small and localized slow deformation due to afterslip may be best detected with InSAR 519 time-series in regions of sparse GNSS coverage. It might actually be quite common and could be an underestimated phenomenon. This likely points toward a bias in the literature in favour 520 of high and wider afterslip, that is easier to detect. However detection of small afterslip 521 522 transient is crucial to further understand the physics of earthquakes, and the link between 523 slow slip and seismic rupture (e.g., Kaneko et al., 2010; Rousset et al., 2017).

525 7 Tables

526

527 7.1 Table 1

528

	Depths (km)	Model 1 η	Model 2 η	Model 3 η	Model 4 η
Upper crust	0-10.7	Elastic	Elastic	Elastic	Elastic
Middle crust	10.7-21.7	Elastic	10 ¹⁹ Pa s	10 ¹⁸ Pa s	10 ¹⁸ Pa s
Lower crust	21.7-33.1	10 ¹⁸ Pa s	10 ¹⁸ Pa s	10 ¹⁸ Pa s	10 ¹⁷ Pa s
Upper mantle	33.1-below	10 ²¹ Pa s			

529

530 Table 1 : Crust and mantle viscosities (η) tested in Figure 6.

531

532

533 7.2 Table 2

534

Fit	α	C (days⁻¹)	γ	R2
Point 1 near Arquata del Tronto	30.9 ± 4.0	0.26 ± 0.26	-6.4 ± 6.2	0.87
Point 2 near Castelluccio di Norcia	156.4 ± 230.7	0.003 ± 0.007	2.54 ± 3.5	0.81
Pattern tracking	1.6 ± 0.1	0.24 ± 0.1	-0.37 ± 0.21	0.95

535

536 Table 2: Parameters obtained from the fit of the afterslip model in Figure 3 using a non-linear

537 least squares method (Moré, 1978). R2 is the coefficient of determination of the regression.

538 The pattern encompasses the point 1 (see Figure 4)

540 8 Figures

541 8.1 Figure 1



542

543 Figure 1: Neotectonic framework of the Central Apennines. Black lines are main normal active 544 faults compiled from Benedetti (1999), Tesson et al., (2016) and references therein (VBFS: Mt 545 Vettore – Mt Bove Fault System, A-C F: Amatrice-Campotosto Fault). In red, coseismic surface ruptures observed in the field after the mainshocks of the Central Italy 2016-2017 seismic 546 sequence (Villani, Civico, et al., 2018). (A) Blue and red rectangles are, respectively, the 547 548 descending (D22 subswaths IW2 and IW3) and ascending (A117, subswath IW3) Sentinel tracks processed in this study. (B) Black dashed line represents the Neogene OAS thrust (Olevano-549 550 Antrodoco-Sibillini thrust) (Di Domenica et al., 2012). In orange the Amatrice-Campotosto fault 551 which was activated during the 2016—2017 seismic sequence. ARQT and LNSS (in B) are GNSS 552 stations available this in region from http://geodesy.unr.edu/NGLStationPages/gpsnetmap/GPSNetMap MAG.html (Blewitt et al., 553 554 2018).

556 8.2 Figure 2



Post 30th oct. earthquake :

557

558 Figure 2: (A) Map of the studied area, green squares are the GPS stations, points 1-2 are the points of sampling. The modeled geometries of the Mt Vettore and antithetic faults are plotted 559 (see section 4.5). Circles are the earthquakes (see Figure 1). (B-C): Time-series calculated 560 561 cumulative post-Norcia (30 oct) displacements for ascending (B) and descending track (C), respectively. In panels A,B,C,E : Red lines are surface ruptures associated with 30th October 562 2016 Norcia earthquake (Villani, Civico, et al., 2018), and black lines are active faults. Black 563 dashed line is the OAS thrust. (VBFS: Mt Vettore - Mt Bove Fault System, A-C F: Amatrice-564 565 Campotosto Fault, OAS: Olevano-Antrodoco-Sibillini thrust). (D) Displacement through time averaged over a circle of 20 pixels (dashed circles in panel E) in diameter around points 1-2. (E) 566 Cumulative displacement on June 11, 2017, with respect to the 1st November 2016 date. 567 568







573 Figure 3: (A) Temporal variation of displacement averaged over a circle of 20 pixels in diameter

574 through time of point 1 (black curve) and 2 (purple dashed curve) localized in Figure 2-E. We

575 fitted the curve with afterslip model (see results in Table 2).

576 **(B)** Temporal variation of pattern amplitude. Pattern is indicated in Figure 4. In red, the fit with

- 577 an afterslip model (see results in Table 2).
- 578





Figure 4: Mean velocity map between Mw 6.5 Norcia and Mw 5.7 Campotosto earthquakes
(November 1st – January 12th). This map is computed using 35 ascending interferograms. The
swath A-B is used in Figure S11 to compare InSAR displacements and topography. Circles
represent the earthquakes (see color code in Figure 1).

589 8.5 Figure 5



Figure 5: Poro-elastic rebound modelled with Relax software (Barbot & Fialko, 2010). We used the coseismic slip models of the Norcia and Visso earthquakes from Maubant et al., (2017). (A) Mapview of the coseismic slip distribution. (B) Coseismic – σ kk modelled at 500m depth, calculated in an undrained homogenous elastic medium (Poisson v=0.34; Lamé λ =6.36E+04 MPa, shear modulus G=30 GPa, Gravity wavelength γ =5.39E-04 km⁻¹). To remind, the pore pressure change (Δp) is equal to -B* σ kk/3, with (B the Skempton's coefficient). (C) Post-Norcia cumulative displacement map (11th February 2017) observed by InSAR (uplift component). (D)

Model-predicted poro-elastic rebound as of 25th February 2017, obtained using the difference 598 between drained and undrained conditions. Poisson drained is 0.26 (cf. layer 1 in Albano et al. 599 2018), and for undrained conditions is 0.34 (from beta=0.4 in the table 1 and equation 15 in 600 601 Barbot et al., (2010)). The layer between (0 and 5 km) is characterized by a diffusivity equals 602 to 1.5 m²/s (Tung & Masterlark, 2018). (E) Modelled poro-elastic rebound time-series at point A using several diffusivities, from 1.5 m²/s (Tung & Masterlark, 2018) to 10⁴ m²/s (Roeloffs, 603 604 1996). Because the delay time is inversely proportional to the assumed diffusivity, the 605 response for high diffusivity is shorter. (VBFS: Mt Vettore - Mt Bove Fault System, A-C F: 606 Amatrice-Campotosto Fault, OAS: Olevano-Antrodoco-Sibillini thrust).





620 8.7 Figure 7

621



623 Figure 7: Result of afterslip inversion using the CSI software (see Supplementary text S3 for details). (A) Subsampled displacement maps for the ascending (left column) and descending 624 (right column) tracks. Top row shows the input subsampled data, middle row shows the 625 synthetic displacements predicted by the model, and bottom row shows the residuals (i.e. 626 627 model - data). The modeled fault geometries used for the inversion are plotted in Figure 2-A. 628 The input maps are the smoothed cumulative displacement maps on February 11th (calculated in the ascending and descending post-30th October time-series). (B) Afterslip distribution 629 630 inverted from the data on the main fault and the antithetic fault. Black arrows in patches show 631 the rake. Our model has low resolution at depth (Figure S16). Coseismic slip distributions for

- 632 the Amatrice and Norcia earthquakes (Scognamiglio et al., (2018) are superimposed in white
- 633 and red , respectively. Comparison with the Cheloni et al.,(2019) model in Figure S21 shows
- 634 similar patterns.
- 635
- 636

637 8.8 Figure 8



- 639 Figure 8: Afterslip distribution model plotted on mapview. The slip distribution is inverted from
- 640 the data on the main fault and the antithetic fault (see Figure 7). (VBFS: Mt Vettore Mt Bove
- 641 Fault System, A-C F: Amatrice-Campotosto Fault). Circles are the main earthquakes of the
- 642 seismic sequence.
- 643

644 9 Acknowledgments

645 We would like to thank the Editor, Associate Editor, Mong-Han Huang and two anonymous 646 reviewers for their constructive suggestions, which helped to improve the manuscript substantially. We 647 acknowledge the French Spatial Agency CNES (Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales) for funding this 648 study (Program THEIA and CNES post-doctorate fellowship for L.Pousse-Beltran). This work was also 649 funded through the TELLUS-ALEAS program from Institut des Sciences de l'Univers (INSU). CNES is 650 warmly acknowledged from providing us with the Pléaides satellite images through ISIS and 651 CEOS seismic pilot (ESA) programs. Nicola D'Agostino was under an invited researcher position at Univ. 652 Grenoble Alpes - OSUG - ISTerre when most of the work was performed. Most of the computations 653 presented in this paper were performed using the Luke platform of the CIMENT infrastructure 654 (https://ciment.ujf-grenoble.fr), which is supported by the Rhône-Alpes region (grant CPER07_13 655 CIRA), the OSUG@2020 labex (reference ANR10 LABX56), and the Equip@Meso project (reference 656 ANR-10-EQPX-29-01) of the programme Investissements d'Avenir supervised by the Agence Nationale 657 pour la Recherche. Franck Thollard, Christophe Laurent, Erwan Pathier, Louise Maubant and Simon 658 Daout are warmly acknowledged for help and advice on NSBAS processing chain. We are also grateful 659 to Théa Ragon and Romain Jolivet for support and advice in slip distribution modelling, the CSI software 660 will be soon released online. We thank Louise Maubant and James Hollingsworth for sharing the Norcia 661 slip distribution model. Alberto Pizzi, Bruno Pace, Paolo Boncio and Irene Puliti are also warmly acknowledged for fruitful discussions. The European Space Agency (ESA) Copernicus program has 662 provided 663 free and high-quality SAR data from Sentinel-1A and 1B (ESA, 664 https://scihub.copernicus.eu) through the PEPS platform of CNES (Copernicus 2018 for Sentinel 665 data. We thank Simone Tarquini for the supply of 10 m resolution TINITALY DEM. The afterslip 666 distribution model will be provided in 10.5281/zenodo.3755127 . Seismicity used for this research is 667 included in (Chiaraluce et al., 2017) and in http://cnt.rm.ingv.it/. The GNSS stations are downloaded 668 from http://geodesy.unr.edu/NGLStationPages/stations/

Albano, M., Barba, S., Solaro, G., Pepe, A., Christian, B., Moro, M., et al. (2017). Aftershocks,
groundwater changes and postseismic ground displacements related to pore pressure
gradients: Insights from the 2012 Emilia-Romagna earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 122(7), 5622–5638. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014009

- Albano, M., Barba, S., Saroli, M., Polcari, M., Bignami, C., Moro, M., et al. (2018). Aftershock
 rate and pore fluid diffusion: Insights from the Amatrice-Visso-Norcia (Italy) 2016
 seismic sequence. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, O*(ja).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB015677
- Amoruso, A., Crescentini, L., D'Anastasio, E., & Martini, P. M. D. (2005). Clues of postseismic
 relaxation for the 1915 Fucino earthquake (central Italy) from modeling of leveling
 data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *32*(22). https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024139
- Aoudia, A., Borghi, A., Riva, R., Barzaghi, R., Ambrosius, B. a. C., Sabadini, R., et al. (2003).
 Postseismic deformation following the 1997 Umbria-Marche (Italy) moderate normal
 faulting earthquakes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(7).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016339
- Aslan, G., Lasserre, C., Cakir, Z., Ergintav, S., Özarpaci, S., Dogan, U., et al. (2019). Shallow Creep
 Along the 1999 Izmit Earthquake Rupture (Turkey) From GPS and High Temporal
 Resolution Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar Data (2011–2017). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 124(2), 2218–2236.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB017022
- Avouac, J.-P. (2015). From Geodetic Imaging of Seismic and Aseismic Fault Slip to Dynamic
 Modeling of the Seismic Cycle. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *43*(1),
 233–271. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-060614-105302
- Barbot, S., & Fialko, Y. (2010). A unified continuum representation of post-seismic relaxation
 mechanisms: semi-analytic models of afterslip, poroelastic rebound and viscoelastic
 flow: Semi-analytic models of postseismic transient. *Geophysical Journal International*, *182*(3), 1124–1140. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04678.x

699 Barnhart, W. D., Murray, J. R., Briggs, R. W., Gomez, F., Miles, C. P. J., Svarc, J., et al. (2016).

- 700 Coseismic slip and early afterslip of the 2015 Illapel, Chile, earthquake: Implications for
- 701 frictional heterogeneity and coastal uplift. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,

702 *121*(8), 6172–6191. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013124

- Benedetti, L. (1999). Sismotectonique de l'Italie et des régions adjacentes: fragmentation du
 promontoire adiratique (PhD Thesis). Paris 7.
- Blewitt, G., Hammond, W., & Kreemer, C. (2018). Harnessing the GPS Data Explosion for
 Interdisciplinary Science. *Eos*, *99*. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO104623
- Boni, C. F., TARRAGONI, C., MARTARELLI, L., & PIERDOMINICI, S. (2010). STUDIO
 IDROGEOLOGICO NEL SETTORE NORD-OCCIDENTALE DEI MONTI SIBILLINI: UN
 CONTRIBUTO ALLA CARTOGRAFIA IDROGEOLOGICA UFFICIALE. *Italian Journal of Engineering Geology and Environment, 2,* 16. https://doi.org/10.4408/IJEGE.201002.0-02
- Brozzetti, F., Boncio, P., Cirillo, D., Ferrarini, F., Nardis, R. de, Testa, A., et al. (2019). HighResolution Field Mapping and Analysis of the August–October 2016 Coseismic Surface
 Faulting (Central Italy Earthquakes): Slip Distribution, Parameterization, and
 Comparison With Global Earthquakes. *Tectonics*, *O*(0).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005305
- Bürgmann, R. (2018). The geophysics, geology and mechanics of slow fault slip. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 495, 112–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.062
- Calamita, F., Cello, G., Deiana, G., & Paltrinieri, W. (1994). Structural styles, chronology rates of
 deformation, and time-space relationships in the Umbria-Marche thrust system
 (central Apennines, Italy). *Tectonics*, 13(4), 873–881.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/94TC00276
- Calamita, F., Satolli, S., Scisciani, V., Esestime, P., & Pace, P. (2011). Contrasting styles of fault
 reactivation in curved orogenic belts: Examples from the Central Apennines (Italy). *Geological Society of America Bulletin, 123*(5–6), 1097–1111.
 https://doi.org/10.1130/B30276.1

- Calamita, F., Satolli, S., & Turtù, A. (2012). Analysis of thrust shear zones in curve-shaped belts:
 Deformation mode and timing of the Olevano-Antrodoco-Sibillini thrust
 (Central/Northern Apennines of Italy). *Journal of Structural Geology, 44,* 179–187.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.07.007
- Carafa, M. M. C., & Bird, P. (2016). Improving deformation models by discounting transient
 signals in geodetic data: 2. Geodetic data, stress directions, and long-term strain rates
 in Italy. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *121*(7), 5557–5575.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013038
- Cavalié, O., Doin, M.-P., Lasserre, C., & Briole, P. (2007). Ground motion measurement in the
 Lake Mead area, Nevada, by differential synthetic aperture radar interferometry time
 series analysis: Probing the lithosphere rheological structure. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *112*(B3), n/a–n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JB004344
- Cheloni, D., De Novellis, V., Albano, M., Antonioli, A., Anzidei, M., Atzori, S., et al. (2017).
 Geodetic model of the 2016 Central Italy earthquake sequence inferred from InSAR
 and GPS data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(13), 2017GL073580.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073580
- Cheloni, D., Falcucci, E., & Gori, S. (2019). Half-graben rupture geometry of the 30 October
 2016 MW 6.6 Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove earthquake, central Italy. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *0*(ja). https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB015851
- Chen, K. H., & Bürgmann, R. (2017). Creeping faults: Good news, bad news? *Reviews of Geophysics*, 55(2), 2017RG000565. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017RG000565

Chiarabba, C., Gori, P. D., Cattaneo, M., Spallarossa, D., & Segou, M. (2018). Faults geometry
and the role of fluids in the 2016-2017 Central Italy seismic sequence. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *0*(ja). https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077485

Chiaraluce, L., Stefano, R. D., Tinti, E., Scognamiglio, L., Michele, M., Casarotti, E., et al. (2017).
The 2016 Central Italy Seismic Sequence: A First Look at the Mainshocks, Aftershocks,
and Source Models. *Seismological Research Letters, 88*(3), 757–771.
https://doi.org/10.1785/0220160221

Cirella, A., Pezzo, G., & Piatanesi, A. (2018). Rupture Kinematics and Structural - Rheological
Control of the 2016 Mw6.1 Amatrice (Central Italy) Earthquake from Joint Inversion of
Seismic and Geodetic Data. *Geophysical Research Letters, O*(ja).
https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080894

Civico, R., Pucci, S., Villani, F., Pizzimenti, L., Martini, P. M. D., Nappi, R., & Group, the O. E.
W. (2018). Surface ruptures following the 30 October 2016 Mw 6.5 Norcia earthquake,
central Italy. *Journal of Maps*, 14(2), 151–160.
https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2018.1441756

D'Agostino, N. (2014). Complete seismic release of tectonic strain and earthquake recurrence
in the Apennines (Italy). *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(4), 1155–1162.
https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059230

- D'Agostino, N., Cheloni, D., Fornaro, G., Giuliani, R., & Reale, D. (2012). Space-time distribution
 of afterslip following the 2009 L'Aquila earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *117*, n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011jb008523
- Daout, S., Jolivet, R., Lasserre, C., Doin, M.-P., Barbot, S., Tapponnier, P., et al. (2016). Alongstrike variations of the partitioning of convergence across the Haiyuan fault system
 detected by InSAR. *Geophysical Journal International*, 205(1), 536–547.

Daout, S., Sudhaus, H., Kausch, T., Steinberg, A., & Dini, B. (2019). Interseismic and Postseismic
Shallow Creep of the North Qaidam Thrust Faults Detected with a Multitemporal InSAR
Analysis. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(7), 7259–7279.

775 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JB017692

776 Devoti, R., D'Agostino, N., Serpelloni, E., Pietrantonio, G., Riguzzi, F., Avallone, A., et al. (2017).

A Combined Velocity Field of the Mediterranean Region. *Annals of Geophysics*, *60*(2).

- 778 https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-7059
- Di Domenica, A., Turtù, A., Satolli, S., & Calamita, F. (2012). Relationships between thrusts and
 normal faults in curved belts: New insight in the inversion tectonics of the CentralNorthern Apennines (Italy). *Journal of Structural Geology*, *42*, 104–117.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.06.008

- Dieterich, J. H. (1979). Modeling of rock friction: 1. Experimental results and constitutive
 equations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 84(B5), 2161–2168.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/JB084iB05p02161
- Doin, M.-P., Lodge, F., Guillaso, S., Jolivet, R., Lasserre, C., Ducret, G., et al. (2011). Presentation
 of the small baseline NSBAS processing chain on a case example: the Etna deformation
 monitoring from 2003 to 2010 using ENVISAT data. In *Proceedings of the Fringe symposium, Frascati, Italy, ESA SP-697*.
- Doin, M.-P., Twardzik, C., Ducret, G., Lasserre, C., Guillaso, S., & Jianbao, S. (2015). InSAR
 measurement of the deformation around Siling Co Lake: Inferences on the lower crust
 viscosity in central Tibet. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *120*(7), 5290–
 5310. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011768
- Elliott, J. R., Jolivet, R., González, P. J., Avouac, J.-P., Hollingsworth, J., Searle, M. P., & Stevens,
 V. L. (2016). Himalayan megathrust geometry and relation to topography revealed by
 the Gorkha earthquake. *Nature Geoscience, 9*(2), 174–180.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2623
- Elliott, J. R., Walters, R. J., & Wright, T. J. (2016). The role of space-based observation in
 understanding and responding to active tectonics and earthquakes. *Nature Communications*, 7, 13844. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13844
- Falcucci, E., Gori, S., Bignami, C., Pietrantonio, G., Melini, D., Moro, M., et al. (2018). The
 Campotosto seismic gap in between the 2009 and 2016-2017 seismic sequences of
 central Italy and the role of inherited lithospheric faults in regional seismotectonic
 settings. *Tectonics*, *O*(ja). https://doi.org/10.1029/2017TC004844
- Freed, A. M. (2007). Afterslip (and only afterslip) following the 2004 Parkfield, California,
 earthquake. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(6), L06312.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL029155
- Freed, A. M., & Bürgmann, R. (2004). Evidence of power-law flow in the Mojave desert mantle. *Nature*, 430(6999), 548–551. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02784

Galadini, F., & Galli, P. (2000). Active Tectonics in the Central Apennines (Italy) –Input Data for
Seismic Hazard Assessment. *Natural Hazards*, 22(3), 225–268.

812 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008149531980

Grandin, R. (2009). L' apport de la géodésie spatiale dans la compréhension du processus de
rifting magmatique : l'exemple de l'épisode en cours en Afar Ethiopien (2005-2009).
Institut de physique du globe (Paris). Retrieved from
http://www.theses.fr/2009GLOB0013

- Grandin, R. (2015). Interferometric Processing of SLC Sentinel-1 TOPS Data. In *FRINGE'15: Advances in the Science and Applications of SAR Interferometry and Sentinel-1 InSAR Workshop, Frascati, Italy, 23-27 March 2015.* Frascati, Italy.
 https://doi.org/10.5270/Fringe2015.pp116
- Grandin, R., Doin, M.-P., Bollinger, L., Pinel-Puysségur, B., Ducret, G., Jolivet, R., & Sapkota, S.
 N. (2012). Long-term growth of the Himalaya inferred from interseismic InSAR
 measurement. *Geology*, 40(12), 1059–1062. https://doi.org/10.1130/G33154.1
- Gualandi, A., Serpelloni, E., & Belardinelli, M. E. (2014). Space–time evolution of crustal
 deformation related to the Mw 6.3, 2009 L'Aquila earthquake (central Italy) from
 principal component analysis inversion of GPS position time-series. *Geophysical Journal International*, 197(1), 174–191. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggt522
- Harris, R. A. (2017). Large earthquakes and creeping faults. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 55(1),
 2016RG000539. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016RG000539
- Hetland, E. A., & Zhang, G. (2014). Effect of shear zones on post-seismic deformation with application to the 1997 Mw 7.6 Manyi earthquake. *Geophysical Journal International*,

832 198(1), 259–269. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggu127

- Hirose, H., Asano, Y., Obara, K., Kimura, T., Matsuzawa, T., Tanaka, S., & Maeda, T. (2010). Slow
 Earthquakes Linked Along Dip in the Nankai Subduction Zone. *Science*, *330*(6010),
- 835 1502–1502. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1197102
- Huang, M.-H., Fielding, E. J., Liang, C., Milillo, P., Bekaert, D., Dreger, D., & Salzer, J. (2017).
 Coseismic deformation and triggered landslides of the 2016 Mw 6.2 Amatrice

838earthquakeinItaly.GeophysicalResearchLetters.839https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071687

- Hussain, E., Wright, T. J., Walters, R. J., Bekaert, D. P. S., Lloyd, R., & Hooper, A. (2018). Constant
 strain accumulation rate between major earthquakes on the North Anatolian Fault. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1392. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03739-2
- Johnson, K. M. (2006). Frictional Properties on the San Andreas Fault near Parkfield, California,
 Inferred from Models of Afterslip following the 2004 Earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 96*(4B), S321–S338.
 https://doi.org/10.1785/0120050808
- Jolivet, R., Simons, M., Agram, P. S., Duputel, Z., & Shen, Z.-K. (2015). Aseismic slip and
 seismogenic coupling along the central San Andreas Fault. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062222
- Kaneko, Y., Avouac, J.-P., & Lapusta, N. (2010). Towards inferring earthquake patterns from
 geodetic observations of interseismic coupling. *Nature Geoscience*, *3*(5), 363–369.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo843
- King. (1986). Speculations on the geometry of the initiation and termination processes of
 earthquake rupture and its relation to morphology and geological structure. *Pure and Applied Geophysics, 124, 19. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00877216*
- King, & Nabelek. (1985). Role of Fault Bends in the Initiation and Termination of Earthquake
 Rupture. *Science*, *228*(4702), 984–987. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.228.4702.984

Laske, G., Masters, G., Ma, Z., & Pasyanos, M. (2013). Update on CRUST1.0 - A 1-degree Global
Model of Earth's Crust, *15*, EGU2013-2658. Presented at the EGU General Assembly
Conference Abstracts.

- Liu, C., Zheng, Y., Xie, Z., & Xiong, X. (2017). Rupture features of the 2016 Mw 6.2 Norcia
 earthquake and its possible relationship with strong seismic hazards. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(3), 1320–1328. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071958
- López-Quiroz, P., Doin, M.-P., Tupin, F., Briole, P., & Nicolas, J.-M. (2009). Time series analysis of
 Mexico City subsidence constrained by radar interferometry. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 69(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2009.02.006
 - 37

- 867 Marone, C. J., Scholtz, C. H., & Bilham, R. (1991). On the mechanics of earthquake afterslip.
- 868 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 96(B5), 8441–8452.
 869 https://doi.org/10.1029/91JB00275
- 870 Maubant, L., Socquet, A., Hollingsworth, J., Pathier, E., & Pousse-Beltrán, L. (2017). The Seismic
- 871 Sequence of the Norcia Earthquake, Italy 2016, seen by geodesy. In *Cargese, 2nd of*872 *October 6th of October 2017*. France.
- Moré, J. J. (1978). The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm: Implementation and theory. In G. A.
 Watson (Ed.), *Numerical Analysis* (pp. 105–116). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Nielsen, S. B., & Knopoff, L. (1998). The equivalent strength of geometrical barriers to
 earthquakes. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *103*(B5), 9953–9965.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/97JB03293
- Noda, H., & Lapusta, N. (2013). Stable creeping fault segments can become destructive as a
 result of dynamic weakening. *Nature*, 493(7433), 518–521.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11703
- Papadopoulos, G. A., Ganas, A., Agalos, A., Papageorgiou, A., Triantafyllou, I., Kontoes, C., et
 al. (2017). Earthquake Triggering Inferred from Rupture Histories, DInSAR Ground
 Deformation and Stress-Transfer Modelling: The Case of Central Italy During August
 2016–January 2017. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 174(10), 3689–3711.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1609-8
- Pavlides, S., Chatzipetros, A., Papathanasiou, G., Georgiadis, G., Sboras, S., & Valkaniotis, S.
 (2017). Ground deformation and fault modeling of the 2016 sequence (24 Aug. 30
 Oct.) in central Apennines (Central Italy). *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Greece*,
 51(0), 76–112. https://doi.org/10.12681/bgsg.14334
- Perfettini, H., & Avouac, J.-P. (2004). Postseismic relaxation driven by brittle creep: A possible
 mechanism to reconcile geodetic measurements and the decay rate of aftershocks,
 application to the Chi-Chi earthquake, Taiwan. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 109(B2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002488

Perfettini, H., Avouac, J.-P., Tavera, H., Kositsky, A., Nocquet, J.-M., Bondoux, F., et al. (2010).
Seismic and aseismic slip on the Central Peru megathrust. *Nature*, *465*(7294), 78–81.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09062

Perouse, E., Benedetti, L., Fleury, J., Rizza, M., Puliti, I., Billant, J., et al. (2018). Coseismic Slip
Vectors of 24 August and 30 October 2016 Earthquakes in Central Italy: Oblique Slip
and Regional Kinematic Implications. *Tectonics*, *37*(10), 3760–3781.
https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005083

- Petitta, M., Mastrorillo, L., Preziosi, E., Banzato, F., Barberio, M. D., Billi, A., et al. (2018). Water table and discharge changes associated with the 2016–2017 seismic sequence in
 central Italy: hydrogeological data and a conceptual model for fractured carbonate
 aquifers. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-017-1717-7
- 905 Pinel-Puysségur, B., Michel, R., & Avouac, J.-P. (2012). Multi-link InSAR time series: 906 Enhancement of a wrapped interferometric database. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics 907 Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 5(3), 784-794. in 908 https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2012.2196758

Pino, N. A., Convertito, V., & Madariaga, R. (2019). Clock advance and magnitude limitation
through fault interaction: the case of the 2016 central Italy earthquake sequence. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 5005. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41453-1

Pizzi, A., Di Domenica, A., Gallovič, F., Luzi, L., & Puglia, R. (2017). Fault segmentation as
constraint to the occurrence of the main shocks of the 2016 Central Italy seismic
sequence. *Tectonics*, 2017TC004652. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004652

Pluymakers, A. M. H., Niemeijer, A. R., & Spiers, C. J. (2016). Frictional properties of simulated
anhydrite-dolomite fault gouge and implications for seismogenic potential. *Journal of Structural Geology*, *84*, 31–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2015.11.008

- Pollitz, F. F., Wicks, C., & Thatcher, W. (2001). Mantle Flow Beneath a Continental Strike-Slip
 Fault: Postseismic Deformation After the 1999 Hector Mine Earthquake. *Science*, *293*(5536), 1814–1818. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1061361
- Porreca, M., Minelli, G., Ercoli, M., Brobia, A., Mancinelli, P., Cruciani, F., et al. (2018). Seismic
 reflection profiles and subsurface geology of the area interested by the 2016-2017

923

earthquake (Central Italy). Tectonics. sequence 924 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004915

925 Puliti, I., Pizzi, A., Benedetti, L., Domenica, A. D., & Fleury, J. (2020). Comparing slip distribution of an active fault system at various timescales: insights for the evolution of the Mt. 926 927 Vettore- Mt. Bove fault system in Central Apennines. *Tectonics*, n/a(n/a), 928 e2020TC006200. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020TC006200

- 929 Rabus, B., Eineder, M., Roth, A., & Bamler, R. (2003). The shuttle radar topography mission—a 930 new class of digital elevation models acquired by spaceborne radar. ISPRS Journal of 57(4), 931 Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 241-262. 932 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2716(02)00124-7
- 933 Ragon, T., Sladen, A., & Simons, M. (2019). Accounting for uncertain fault geometry in 934 earthquake source inversions - II: application to the Mw 6.2 Amatrice earthquake, 935 Central Italy. Geophysical Journal International. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz180
- 936 Rice, J. R. (2006). Heating and weakening of faults during earthquake slip. Journal of 937 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 111, n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jb004006 938
- 939 Riva, R. E. M., Borghi, A., Aoudia, A., Barzaghi, R., Sabadini, R., & Panza, G. F. (2007). Viscoelastic 940 relaxation and long-lasting after-slip following the 1997 Umbria-Marche (Central Italy) 941 earthquakes. International, 169(2), Geophysical Journal 534-546. 942 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03315.x
- 943 Roeloffs, E. (1996). Poroelastic Techniques in the Study of Earthquake-Related Hydrologic 944 Phenomena. In Advances in Geophysics (Vol. 37, pp. 135–195). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2687(08)60270-8 945
- 946 Rousset, B., Campillo, M., Lasserre, C., Frank, W. B., Cotte, N., Walpersdorf, A., et al. (2017). A 947 geodetic matched filter search for slow slip with application to the Mexico subduction
- 948 zone: GEODETIC MATCHED FILTER FOR SLOW SLIP. Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 949 Solid Earth, 122(12), 10,498-10,514. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014448

Ruina, A. (1983). Slip instability and state variable friction laws. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *88*(B12), 10359–10370.
https://doi.org/10.1029/JB088iB12p10359

953 Scholz, C. H. (1998). Earthquakes and friction laws. *Nature*, *391*(6662), 37–42.
954 https://doi.org/10.1038/34097

- Scognamiglio, L., Tinti, E., Casarotti, E., Pucci, S., Villani, F., Cocco, M., et al. (2018). Complex
 fault geometry and rupture dynamics of the Mw 6.5, 2016, October 30th central Italy
 earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2018JB015603
- Scuderi, M. M., & Collettini, C. (2016). The role of fluid pressure in induced vs. triggered
 seismicity: insights from rock deformation experiments on carbonates. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 24852. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24852
- 962 Silverii, F., D'Agostino, N., Métois, M., Fiorillo, F., & Ventafridda, G. (2016). Transient
 963 deformation of karst aquifers due to seasonal and multiyear groundwater variations
 964 observed by GPS in southern Apennines (Italy). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*965 *Earth*, 121(11), 8315–8337. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013361
- Smeraglia, L., Billi, A., Carminati, E., Cavallo, A., & Doglioni, C. (2017). Field- to nano-scale
 evidence for weakening mechanisms along the fault of the 2016 Amatrice and Norcia
 earthquakes, Italy. *Tectonophysics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2017.05.014

969 Tarantola, A. (2005). Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation.

970SocietyforIndustrialandAppliedMathematics.971https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9780898717921

- Tesson, J., Pace, B., Benedetti, L., Visini, F., Delli Rocioli, M., Arnold, M., et al. (2016). Seismic
 slip history of the Pizzalto fault (central Apennines, Italy) using in situ-produced 36Cl
 cosmic ray exposure dating and rare earth element concentrations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121(3), 2015JB012565.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JB012565
- Thomas, M. Y., Avouac, J.-P., & Lapusta, N. (2017). Rate-and-state friction properties of the
 Longitudinal Valley Fault from kinematic and dynamic modeling of seismic and aseismic
 - 41

979 slip. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2016JB013615.
 980 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013615

Thompson, G. A., & Parsons, T. (2016). Vertical deformation associated with normal fault
systems evolved over coseismic, postseismic, and multiseismic periods. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121(3), 2153–2173.
https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JB012240

- Tung, S., & Masterlark, T. (2018). Delayed poroelastic triggering of the 2016 October Visso
 earthquake by the August Amatrice earthquake, Italy. *Geophysical Research Letters*.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076453
- Valigi, D., Mastrorillo, L., Cardellini, C., Checcucci, R., Di Matteo, L., Frondini, F., et al. (2019).
 Springs discharge variations induced by strong earthquakes: the Mw 6.5 Norcia event
 (Italy, October 30th 2016). *Rendiconti Online Della Società Geologica Italiana*, 47, 141–
 146. https://doi.org/10.3301/ROL.2019.25
- Verdecchia, A., Pace, B., Visini, F., Scotti, O., Peruzza, L., & Benedetti, L. (2018). The Role of
 Viscoelastic Stress Transfer in Long-Term Earthquake Cascades: Insights After the
 Central Italy 2016–2017 Seismic Sequence. *Tectonics*, *37*(10), 3411–3428.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005110
- Villani, F., Civico, R., Pucci, S., Pizzimenti, L., Nappi, R., De Martini, P. M., et al. (2018). A
 database of the coseismic effects following the 30 October 2016 Norcia earthquake in
 Central Italy. *Scientific Data*, *5*, 180049. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.49
- Villani, F., Pucci, S., Civico, R., Martini, P. M. D., Cinti, F. R., & Pantosti, D. (2018). Surface faulting
 of the 30 October 2016 Mw 6.5 central Italy earthquake: detailed analysis of a complex
 coseismic rupture. *Tectonics*, *0*(ja). https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005175
- 1002 Walters, R. J., Gregory, L. C., Wedmore, L. N. J., Craig, T. J., McCaffrey, K., Wilkinson, M., et al.
- 1003 (2018). Dual control of fault intersections on stop-start rupture in the 2016 Central Italy
 1004 seismic sequence. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 500, 1–14.
 1005 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.07.043

Wang, L., Gao, H., Feng, G., & Xu, W. (2018). Source parameters and triggering links of the
earthquake sequence in central Italy from 2009 to 2016 analyzed with GPS and InSAR
data. *Tectonophysics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.07.013

- Wesnousky, S. G. (1988). Seismological and structural evolution of strike-slip faults. *Nature*, *335*(6188), 340. https://doi.org/10.1038/335340a0
- Xu, G., Xu, C., Wen, Y., & Jiang, G. (2017). Source Parameters of the 2016–2017 Central Italy
 Earthquake Sequence from the Sentinel-1, ALOS-2 and GPS Data. *Remote Sensing*,
 9(11), 1182. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9111182
- Zhao, B., Bürgmann, R., Wang, D., Tan, K., Du, R., & Zhang, R. (2017). Dominant Controls of
 Downdip Afterslip and Viscous Relaxation on the Postseismic Displacements Following
 the Mw7.9 Gorkha, Nepal, Earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,

1017 122(10), 8376–8401. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014366

Zhou, Y., Thomas, M. Y., Parsons, B., & Walker, R. T. (2018). Time-dependent postseismic slip
following the 1978 Mw 7.3 Tabas-e-Golshan, Iran earthquake revealed by over 20 years
of ESA InSAR observations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 483,* 64–75.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.12.005

1022

1030

1023 11 References for supplementary materials

1024Blewitt, G, WC Hammond, and C Kreemer. 2018. "Harnessing the GPS Data Explosion for1025Interdisciplinary Science." *Eos* 99. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO104623.

1026 Boni, CARLO FELICE, CLAUDIA TARRAGONI, LUCIO MARTARELLI, and SIMONA PIERDOMINICI.

- 10272010. "STUDIO IDROGEOLOGICO NEL SETTORE NORD-OCCIDENTALE DEI MONTI1028SIBILLINI: UN CONTRIBUTO ALLA CARTOGRAFIA IDROGEOLOGICA UFFICIALE." Italian1029Journal of Engineering Geology and Environment 2: 16.
- 1031 Cheloni, D., E. Falcucci, and S. Gori. 2019. "Half-Graben Rupture Geometry of the 30 October
 1032 2016 MW 6.6 Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove Earthquake, Central Italy." *Journal of Geophysical*
- 1033 Research: Solid Earth 0 (ja). https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB015851.

https://doi.org/10.4408/IJEGE.2010-02.0-02.

- 1034 Chiaraluce, L., R. Di Stefano, E. Tinti, L. Scognamiglio, M. Michele, E. Casarotti, M. Cattaneo, et
 1035 al. 2017. "The 2016 Central Italy Seismic Sequence: A First Look at the Mainshocks,
 1036 Aftershocks, and Source Models." *Seismological Research Letters* 88 (3): 757–71.
 1037 https://doi.org/10.1785/0220160221.
- 1038 Chiles, Jean-Paul, and Pierre Delfiner. 2009. *Geostatistics: Modeling Spatial Uncertainty*. Vol.
 1039 497. John Wiley & Sons.
- Grandin, R. 2009. L' Apport de La Géodésie Spatiale Dans La Compréhension Du Processus de
 Rifting Magmatique : L'exemple de l'épisode En Cours En Afar Ethiopien (2005-2009). Institut de physique du globe (Paris). http://www.theses.fr/2009GLOB0013.
- Hansen, P. 1992. "Analysis of Discrete Ill-Posed Problems by Means of the L-Curve." SIAM *Review* 34 (4): 561–80. https://doi.org/10.1137/1034115.
- 1045 Improta, Luigi, Diana Latorre, Lucia Margheriti, Anna Nardi, Alessandro Marchetti, Anna Maria 1046 Lombardi, Barbara Castello, et al. 2019. "Multi-Segment Rupture of the 2016 Amatrice-1047 Visso-Norcia Seismic Sequence (Central Italy) Constrained by the First High-Quality 1048 Catalog of Early Aftershocks." Scientific Reports 9 (1): 6921. 1049 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43393-2.
- Jolivet, R., C. Lasserre, M.-P. Doin, S. Guillaso, G. Peltzer, R. Dailu, J. Sun, Z.-K. Shen, and X. Xu.
 2012. "Shallow Creep on the Haiyuan Fault (Gansu, China) Revealed by SAR
 Interferometry." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 117 (B6): B06401.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008732.
- Jolivet, R., M. Simons, P. S. Agram, Z. Duputel, and Z.-K. Shen. 2015. "Aseismic Slip and
 Seismogenic Coupling along the Central San Andreas Fault." *Geophysical Research Letters* 42 (2). https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062222.
- Lohman, Rowena B., and Mark Simons. 2005. "Some Thoughts on the Use of InSAR Data to
 Constrain Models of Surface Deformation: Noise Structure and Data Downsampling." *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 6 (1): Q01007.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GC000841.
- Maubant, Louise, Anne Socquet, James Hollingsworth, Erwan Pathier, and Pousse Lea. 2017.
 "The Seismic Sequence of the Norcia Earthquake, Italy 2016, Seen by Geodesy." In

1063 Colloque G2 2017 Géodésie - Rhéologie, 13-15 Nov. 2017 Nice. France. https://g21064 2017.sciencesconf.org/program.

Petitta, Marco, Lucia Mastrorillo, Elisabetta Preziosi, Francesca Banzato, Marino Domenico
 Barberio, Andrea Billi, Costanza Cambi, et al. 2018. "Water-Table and Discharge
 Changes Associated with the 2016–2017 Seismic Sequence in Central Italy:
 Hydrogeological Data and a Conceptual Model for Fractured Carbonate Aquifers."
 Hydrogeology Journal, January, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-017-1717-7.

Pierantoni, Pietro, Giovanni Deiana, and Sandro Galdenzi. 2013. "Stratigraphic and Structural
 Features of the Sibillini Mountains (Umbria-Marche Apennines, Italy)." *Italian Journal of Geosciences* 132 (3): 497–520. https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2013.08.

Puliti, I., A. Pizzi, L. Benedetti, A. Di Domenica, and J. Fleury. 2020. "Comparing Slip Distribution
of an Active Fault System at Various Timescales: Insights for the Evolution of the Mt.
Vettore- Mt. Bove Fault System in Central Apennines." *Tectonics* n/a (n/a):
e2020TC006200. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020TC006200.

Radiguet, M., F. Cotton, M. Vergnolle, M. Campillo, B. Valette, V. Kostoglodov, and N. Cotte.
2011. "Spatial and Temporal Evolution of a Long Term Slow Slip Event: The 2006
Guerrero Slow Slip Event." *Geophysical Journal International* 184 (2): 816–28.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04866.x.

1081 Ragon, Théa, Anthony Sladen, and Mark Simons. 2019. "Accounting for Uncertain Fault
1082 Geometry in Earthquake Source Inversions – II: Application to the Mw 6.2 Amatrice
1083 Earthquake, Central Italy." *Geophysical Journal International*.
1084 https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz180.

Scognamiglio, L., E. Tinti, E. Casarotti, S. Pucci, F. Villani, M. Cocco, F. Magnoni, A. Michelini,
 and D. Dreger. 2018. "Complex Fault Geometry and Rupture Dynamics of the Mw 6.5,
 2016, October 30th Central Italy Earthquake." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*

1088 *Earth*. https://doi.org/10.1002/2018JB015603.

1089 Sudhaus, Henriette, and Sigurjón Jonsson. 2009. "Improved Source Modelling through 1090 Combined Use of InSAR and GPS under Consideration of Correlated Data Errors: 1091Application to the June 2000 Kleifarvatn Earthquake, Iceland." Geophysical Journal1092International 176 (2): 389–404. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2008.03989.x.

1093 Tarantola, Albert. 2005. Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation.

1094SocietyforIndustrialandAppliedMathematics.1095https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9780898717921.

Tarantola, Albert, and Bernard Valette. 1982. "Generalized Nonlinear Inverse Problems Solved
 Using the Least Squares Criterion." *Reviews of Geophysics* 20 (2): 219.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/RG020i002p00219.

1099 Tarquini, Simone, Stefano Vinci, Massimiliano Favalli, Fawzi Doumaz, Alessandro Fornaciai, and 1100 Luca Nannipieri. 2012. "Release of a 10-m-Resolution DEM for the Italian Territory: 1101 Comparison with Global-Coverage DEMs and Anaglyph-Mode Exploration via the Web." 1102 Computers & Geosciences 38 (1): 168–70. 1103 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2011.04.018.

Valigi, Daniela, Lucia Mastrorillo, Carlo Cardellini, Roberto Checcucci, Lucio Di Matteo,
Francesco Frondini, Francesco Mirabella, Stefano Viaroli, and Isotta Vispi. 2019.
"Springs Discharge Variations Induced by Strong Earthquakes: The Mw 6.5 Norcia Event
(Italy, October 30th 2016)." *Rendiconti Online Della Società Geologica Italiana* 47
(March): 141–46. https://doi.org/10.3301/ROL.2019.25.

Villani, Fabio, Riccardo Civico, Stefano Pucci, Luca Pizzimenti, Rosa Nappi, Paolo Marco De
Martini, the Open EMERGEO Working Group, et al. 2018. "A Database of the Coseismic
Effects Following the 30 October 2016 Norcia Earthquake in Central Italy." *Scientific Data* 5 (March): 180049. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.49.

Wackernagel, Hans. 2003. *Multivariate Geostatistics: An Introduction with Applications*. 3rd
ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-05294-5.

1115 Wright, Tim J., Barry E. Parsons, and Zhong Lu. 2004. "Toward Mapping Surface Deformation

in Three Dimensions Using InSAR." *Geophysical Research Letters* 31 (1).
https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018827.

1118