

The RESOLVE project: a multi-physics experiment with a temporary dense seismic array on the Argentière Glacier, French Alps

Florent Gimbert, Ugo Nanni, Philippe Roux, A. Helmstetter, S. Garambois, A. Lecointre, A. Walpersdorf, B. Jourdain, M. Langlais, O. Laarman, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Florent Gimbert, Ugo Nanni, Philippe Roux, A. Helmstetter, S. Garambois, et al.. The RESOLVE project: a multi-physics experiment with a temporary dense seismic array on the Argentière Glacier, French Alps. Seismological Research Letters, 2021, 92 (2A), pp.1185-1201. 10.1785/0220200280. hal-03026304

HAL Id: hal-03026304 https://hal.science/hal-03026304

Submitted on 26 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 The RESOLVE project: a multi-physics experiment with a temporary

2 dense seismic array on the Argentière Glacier, French Alps

3	
4	Gimbert, F. ¹ , U. Nanni ¹ , P. Roux ² , A. Helmstetter ² , S. Garambois ² , A. Lecointre ² , A.
5	Walpersdorf ² , B. Jourdain ¹ , M. Langlais ² , O. Laarman ¹ , F. Lindner ³ , A. Sergeant ⁴ , C. Vincent ¹ , F.
6	Walter ³
7	
8	
9	¹ University Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, IGE, Grenoble, France
10	² University Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, UGE, ISTerre, Grenoble, France
11	³ Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland
12	⁴ Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, LMA, France
13	
14	
15	ABSTRACT
16	Recent work in the field of cryo-seismology demonstrates that high frequency (>1 Hz) seismic
17	waves provide key constraints on a wide range of glacier processes such as basal friction,

18 surface crevassing or subglacial water flow. Establishing quantitative links between the 19 seismic signal and the processes of interest however requires detailed characterization of the 20 wavefield, which at high frequencies necessitates the deployment of large and particularly 21 dense seismic arrays. Although dense seismic array monitoring has recently become 22 increasingly common in geophysics, its application to glaciated environments remains limited. 23 Here we present a dense seismic array experiment made of 98 3-component seismic stations 24 continuously recording during 35 days in early spring 2018 on the Argentière Glacier, French 25 Alps. The seismic dataset is supplemented with a wide range of complementary observations 26 obtained from ground penetrating radar, drone imagery, GNSS positioning and in-situ 27 measurements of basal glacier sliding velocities and subglacial water discharge. We present 28 first results through conducting spectral analysis, template matching, matched-field 29 processing and eikonal wave tomography. We report enhanced spatial resolution on basal 30 stick slip and englacial fracturing sources as well as novel constraints on the heterogeneous 31 nature of the noise field generated by subglacial water flow and on the link between crevasse 32 properties and englacial seismic velocities. We outline in which ways further work using this 33 dataset could help tackle key remaining questions in the field.

34

35 INTRODUCTION

36 The deployment of large and dense seismic arrays is becoming increasingly common in various 37 geophysical contexts thanks to new technological developments of autonomous wireless 38 seismographs and increased computational power. Spatially dense arrays enhance the 39 characterization of high frequency (>1 Hz) body and surface waves propagating in the 40 subsurface, such as for example in near-surface fault systems exhibiting hundreds to few tens 41 of meters long structures (e.g., the Newport-Inglewood Fault, see Lin et al. (2013), and the 42 San Jacinto Fault, see Roux et al. (2016)). The improved resolution provided by dense arrays 43 increases the completeness of impulsive seismic event catalogs (Vandemeulebrouck et al., 44 2013), thus allowing source spatio-temporal dynamics and subsurface structure to be studied 45 in greater details (Meng and Ben-Zion, 2018; Chmiel et al., 2019). Dense arrays also help to 46 detect other sources of radiation (e.g. tremor and anthropogenic sources) compared to what 47 is possible with single stations or regional networks (Inbal et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018; Meng 48 and Ben-Zion, 2018). Despite its strong potential, dense array monitoring is still limited in the 49 study of glaciers, although it could be used to address some of the key open questions in the 50 field of glaciology.

51

Glaciers exhibit damage zones created by surface and/or basal crevasses (Walter *et al.*, 2015;
Lindner *et al.*, 2019; Zhan, 2019; Sergeant *et al.*, 2020) as well as complex three dimensional

54 structures associated with firn/debris layers, bedrock topography or englacial water conduits 55 (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). These features are known to undergo large spatial and temporal 56 changes and to play an important role in glacier dynamics and thermo-dynamics (Durand et 57 al., 2011; Scherler et al., 2011; Gilbert et al., 2020). However, conventional geophysical 58 techniques such as radar sounders capable of resolving englacial structural features (e.g., 59 Evans and Robin, 1966; Church et al., 2019) are not suited for evaluating detailed spatial and 60 temporal changes as well as their effects on the overall glacier behavior. Instead, active and 61 passive surveys using dense seismic arrays may enable accurately monitoring these changes 62 (e.g. using englacial seismic velocities, see Lindner et al. (2019) and Preiswerk et al. (2019)) 63 and thus yield unprecedent constraints on glacier structure and temporal evolution.

64

65 Glaciers and ice sheets generate a large variety of seismic signals, from impulsive transients 66 to emerging and sustained tremors (Podolskiy and Walter, 2016; Aster and Winberry, 2017). 67 Impulsive arrivals from basal stick-slip events have been observed in numerous glaciological 68 contexts (Weaver and Malone, 1979; Allstadt and Malone, 2014; Helmstetter, Nicolas, et al., 69 2015; Lipovsky and Dunham, 2016; Lipovsky et al., 2019; Walter et al., 2020) and may yield 70 crucial information on glacier basal motion, which exerts a primary control on glacier and ice-71 sheet dynamics and the associated eustatic sea level rise (Ritz et al., 2015; Vincent and 72 Moreau, 2016). The mechanisms giving rise to stick-slip sliding as well as its effect on large-73 scale ice flow, however, remain poorly constrained (Lipovsky et al., 2019). Dense array 74 monitoring could allow improving the detection of stick-slip events, yield more accurate 75 inversions of locations and show if and how fast stick-slip asperities migrate.

76

Impulsive events from englacial fracturing are also commonly observed (Neave and Savage, 1970; Roux *et al.*, 2010; Mikesell *et al.*, 2012; Podolskiy *et al.*, 2018; Garcia *et al.*, 2019), and may help elucidate the role of crevassing in iceberg calving, the disintegration of ice-shelves and the occurrence of serac falls (Faillettaz *et al.*, 2008; Krug *et al.*, 2014; Lipovsky, 2018). The improved detection and resolution provided by dense arrays could provide novel constraints on crevasse depth and rupture propagation rates, which are needed to test models (van der Veen, 1998; Weiss, 2004; Tsai and Rice, 2010) and thus better understand ice sheet integrity.

84

85 Recent seismic investigations have also reported widespread emergent and sustained tremor 86 signals generated by resonances in moulins or water-filled crevasses (Helmstetter, Moreau, et 87 al., 2015; Roeoesli et al., 2016; Aso et al., 2017), subglacial water flow (Bartholomaus et al., 88 2015; Eibl et al., 2020; Lindner et al., 2020; Nanni et al., 2020) and subglacial sediment 89 transport (Gimbert et al., 2016). The possibility to calculate physical characteristics of 90 subglacial water flow as well as of subglacial sediment transport from seismic tremor 91 observations (Tsai et al., 2012; Gimbert et al., 2014, 2016; Bakker et al., 2020) is particularly 92 appealing. These processes play an important role in ice sliding speeds (Zwally et al., 2002; 93 Schoof, 2010; Tedstone et al., 2015) and bedrock erosion (Beaud et al., 2016), and yet 94 hydraulic measurements at the ice bed are notoriously difficult, with traditional approaches 95 such as borehole techniques providing point measurements, only (e.g., Iken and Bindschadler, 96 1986; Iken et al., 1993). Theoretical links between discharge, pressure regime, sediment 97 transport rates and geometry of the subglacial drainage system and seismogenic hydraulic 98 noise sources remain to be more fully tested and dense seismic arrays may provide the 99 necessary spatial extent and resolution for doing so.

100

101 Properly evaluating the knowledge gain that dense seismic arrays may provide to address the 102 above-mentioned challenges requires (i) monitoring a glacier that gathers the processes of 103 interest, (ii) deploying instrumentation that covers scales and durations over which significant 104 changes operate, and (iii) acquiring complementary observations to test the seismically-105 derived findings and incorporate these into a wider glaciological context. Here we present 106 data and first analysis from a 98 sensor array deployed over 35 days during early spring 2018 107 on an Alpine Glacier, the Argentière Glacier in the French Alps (Fig. 1). We also provide and 108 analyze key complementary observations from Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), drone 109 imagery, Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) positioning and in-situ instrumentation of 110 basal glacier sliding velocities and subglacial water discharge. We argue that the selected 111 glacier, the time period of investigation as well as the completeness of the present dataset 112 satisfies all of the three above-mentioned conditions. Through application of spectral analysis, 113 template matching, matched-field processing and eikonal wave tomography, we demonstrate 114 that use of the present dataset enhances spatial resolution of basal stick slip activity and near 115 surface crevassing. We further provide novel constraints on the degree of heterogeneity of 116 the seismic noise field generated by subglacial water flow and the variations of englacial 117 seismic velocities. We finally outline how future work using this dataset could help overcome 118 classical observational limitations and address key challenges in the field.

119

120 EXPERIMENT DESIGN

121

122 FIELD SITE

123

124 The Argentière Glacier is located in the Mont Blanc Massif (French Alps, 45°55′ N, 6°57′E, Fig.
125 1a) and is the second largest French glacier. It is about 10 km long, covers an area of about 12

126 km², extends from an altitude of 1700 m above sea level (a.s.l.) up to about 3600 m a.s.l. with 127 an equilibrium line altitude at about 2900 m a.s.l. (Vincent et al., 2018). Over the past three 128 decades, glacier total mass balance has been negative (0.7 meter water equivalent loss per 129 year on average over the period 1976-2019), glacier snout has been retreating by several 130 hundreds of meters (815 m retreat between 1990 and 2019), glacier surface elevation has 131 decreased by several tens of meters and glacier surface velocities have decreased by about a 132 factor of two in the ablation zone (Vincent et al., 2009). The upper part of the glacier is 133 constricted in a typical U-shaped narrow valley where ice sits on granite. The lower part of the 134 glacier is characterized by a sharper incised, V-shaped valley where ice sits on metamorphic 135 rocks (Vallon, 1967; Hantz and Lliboutry, 1983; Vincent et al., 2009). The glacier generally 136 exhibits temperate bed conditions (Vivian and Bocquet, 1973), i.e. basal ice temperature is at 137 the pressure melting point and water flow occurs at the interface as a result of year-round 138 basal melt and summer surface melt (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010).

139

140 The monitored site is located in the lower part of the glacier (about 2 km from the glacier 141 front) and at about 2400 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1b). In this area the surface slope is gentle (1-2%) and 142 crevasses are restricted to an area of about 200 m from the glacier sides. The glacier flows at 143 a yearly average velocity of about 60 m.yr⁻¹ in its center, about half of which is due to sliding 144 at the ice-bed interface and the other half to internal ice deformation (Vincent and Moreau, 145 2016). Internal ice deformation likely occurs primarily through ice creep except near the 146 glacier sides where crevasses are large and potentially deep, such that englacial fracturing 147 could also play a role. A strong seasonality is observed in glacier dynamics, with summer 148 (typically May to September) velocities equal to about 1.5 times winter velocities (Vincent and

149 Moreau, 2016). This behavior is known to result from melt water input lubricating the ice-bed

150 interface and enhancing basal sliding (Lliboutry, 1959, 1968; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010).

Previous seismic observations at this site report various seismogenic sources associated with
 surface and intermediate depth crevassing (Helmstetter, Moreau, *et al.*, 2015), basal stick-slip

(Helmstetter, Nicolas, *et al.*, 2015), subglacial water flow (Nanni *et al.*, 2020), and serac
instabilities in the glacier front (Roux *et al.*, 2008).

155

156 SEISMIC INSTRUMENTATION AND GEOPHYSICAL CHARATERISATION OF GLACIER STRUCTURE157 AND DYNAMICS

158

159 Seismic instrumentation

160

161 Sensors of the dense seismic array (red dots in Fig. 1b) are Fairfield ZLand 3-component nodal 162 seismographs with a sampling frequency of 500 Hz (hereafter referred to as nodes). These 163 sensors have a low-corner cut-off frequency of 5Hz, a sensitivity of 76.7 V.m⁻¹.s⁻¹ (see Ringler 164 et al. (2018) for a detailed laboratory analysis of sensor response characteristics) and a typical 165 power autonomy of about 35 days. We deployed the nodes on 24 April 2018 when the glacier 166 was entirely covered by a snow layer of about 3 m thick. We placed the sensors about 40 m 167 apart from each other in the along-flow direction and about 50 m apart in the across-flow 168 direction in order to enable subwavelength analysis in the 4-50 Hz frequency range of interest. 169 Given that snow melt occurs at an average rate of 2-4 cm.day⁻¹ water equivalent at this 170 location (Vincent and Moreau, 2016), we decided to bury the nodes into snow about 30 cm 171 below the surface to ensure the sensors be (i) well coupled to their surroundings and 172 maintained levelled over a week-long time period until snow melt uncovered them and (ii)

173 shallow enough for the GNSS signal to pass through the snow layer and ensure proper 174 reception for time synchronization. Given the limited melt that occurred over the first half of 175 the monitoring period, we had to re-bury the sensors only once over the monitored period, 176 on 11 May 2018. This strategy ensured that little data was lost due to melt-out-induced tilt.

177

We supplemented the seismic array with one three-component borehole seismic station placed at 5 m below the ice-surface (orange dot in Fig. 1b). This Geobit-C100 sensor was connected to a Geobit-SRi32L digitizer and provides higher sensitivity (1500 V.m⁻¹.s⁻¹), higher frequency sampling (1000 Hz) and a lower low-corner cut-off frequency (0.1 Hz) compared to the nodes. This seismic station is the same as the one used for the two-year long seismic study of Nanni *et al.* (2020).

184

185 Recovery of surface and bed digital elevation models from structure from motion surveys
 186 and ground penetrating radar

187

188 We construct a digital surface elevation model based on a drone geodetic survey that we 189 conducted on September 5, 2018 when the glacier surface was snow free and crevasses could 190 be identified. We used a senseFly eBee+ Unmanned Aerial Vehicle and acquired a total of 720 191 photos using the onboard senseFly S.O.D.A. camera (20 Mpx RGB sensor with 28 mm focal 192 lens). We generate a digital elevation model of 10-cm resolution (see white contours in Fig. 193 1b) using differential Global Positioning System (GPS) measured ground control points (see 194 green stars in Fig. 2a) and the Structure for Motion algorithm implemented in the software 195 package Agisoft Metashape Professional version 1.5.2. A detailed description of the 196 processing steps can be found in Brun et al. (2016) and Kraaijenbrink et al. (2016).

197

We calculate a crevasse map (black dots in Fig. 2a) based on the surface digital elevation model, which has been shown to be more reliable and precise than using optical/radar images (Foroutan *et al.*, 2019). We first apply a 2D highpass filter with a low cut-off wavelength of 10 m and then define any location with elevation lower than -50 cm as being part of crevasses. Finally, we apply a 2D median filter with a 1 by 1 m kernel in order to remove artifacts from boulders and moraines.

204

205 To establish a digital elevation model of the glacier bed we primarily use Ground Penetrating 206 Radar (GPR) data acquired using a system of two transmitting and receiving 4.2 MHz antennas 207 connected to a time triggered acquisition developed especially for glacial applications by the 208 Canadian company Blue System Integration Ltd (Mingo and Flowers, 2010). The GPR signal 209 processing consists of correcting for source time excitation. We use both dynamic corrections 210 to reproduce a zero-incidence acquisition from data acquired with a 20 m offset between 211 source and receiver (Normal Moveout correction) and static corrections to highlight elevation 212 variations along a profile. We do so using a constant wave velocity of 0.168 m.ns^{-1} that is 213 typical for ice (Garambois et al., 2016). We then apply a [1-15 MHz] Butterworth band-pass 214 filter followed by a squared time gain amplification to the signal in order to increase signal-to-215 noise ratio. We show an illustration of the processed GPR data in Fig. 2b, where the direct air-216 wave first arrival is followed by a large reflectivity V-shape pattern reaching 3000 ns around 217 the center of the profile. This latter profile corresponds to the ice/bedrock interface, although 218 its apparent shape is biased by reflections off the closest point on the ice-bed interface rather 219 than off the bed portion directly below the instrument. We correct for this bias by applying a 220 frequency-wavenumber Stolt migration technique (Stolt, 1978) and convert time into distance

using the constant wave velocity of 0.168 m.ns⁻¹. We note that prior to migration we add null traces (i.e. with null amplitudes) in places where harsh glacier surface conditions (mainly crevasses) prevented us from acquiring data. As illustrated in Fig. 2c the migration process is effective in correcting the artefacts due to the geometrical variation of the interface along the profile, which now appears smooth and continuous. We then pick the ice-bed reflection (yellow line in Fig. 2c) over all GPR profiles, such that a three-dimensional bed DEM can be reconstructed.

228

229 We reconstruct a three-dimensional bed DEM over a larger area than that covered by GPR 230 surveys by incorporating additional constraints like glacier edge elevation as measured from 231 drone imagery (blue area in Fig. 2a) and bed elevations obtained through rock drilling to the 232 ice-bed interface from bedrock excavated tunnels located further down-glacier (purple area 233 in Fig. 2a). Furthermore, we interpolated all data using a kriging method onto a 10 by 10 m 234 grid. From different first onset pickings we estimate that the recovered depth uncertainty is 235 of about 5 m below the seismic array and likely on the order of a few tens of meters outside 236 of the array where observations are sparser.

237

In Fig. 2a we show the ice thickness map (using 25-m bin contours) as reconstructed based on subtracting the bed DEM from the surface DEM. The glacier bed exhibits a gently dipping valley, with a maximum ice thickness of about 255 m at the center of the seismic array. Glacier thickness decreases relatively sharply on the glacier margins where surface crevasses are observed. We also observe that bed elevation significantly increases down glacier, which results in a decrease by more than 150 m in glacier thickness (Fig. 2d). Beyond these generic characteristics we identify two interesting reflectivity features in the migrated GPR images (yellow ellipses in Fig. 2c) that correspond to localized scattering observed near the surface and a large reflectivity pattern observed just above the deepest portion of the interface. The near surface scattering feature could be caused by deep crevasses, and the deeper feature could be caused by englacial and/or subglacial water conduits as recently proposed by Church *et al.* (2019), who made similar GPR observations in a temperate glacier and were able to bolster their interpretation with in-situ borehole observations.

251

252 Meteorological and water discharge characteristics

253

254 We use air temperature and precipitation measurements obtained at a 0.5 h time step with 255 an automatic weather station maintained by the French glacier-monitoring program 256 GLACIOCLIM (Les GLACIers un Observatoire du CLIMat; https://glacioclim.osug.fr/), which is 257 located on the moraine next to the glacier at 2400 m a.s.l. (green diamond in Fig. 1b). 258 Precipitation is measured with an OTTPluvio weighing rain gauge. Subglacial water discharge 259 is monitored at a 15 min time step in tunnels excavated into bedrock by the Emossons 260 hydraulic power company, which are located 600 m downstream of the array center (at 2173 261 m a.s.l.) near the glacier ice fall (see blue star in Fig. 1b).

262

Temperature generally increases over the instrumented period, from a multi-daily average of about 0° C at the beginning of the measurement period to about 5 °C at the end (Fig. 3a). This drives the general increase in water discharge, which varies from few tenths of m³.s⁻¹ to several m³.s⁻¹ over the period. Episodic rain events also occur during the instrumented period, but have little to no effect on subglacial discharge likely as a result of the snow cover acting as a water storage buffer (Fountain and Walder, 1998).

269

270 Glacier dynamics instrumentation and general features

271

272 We evaluate changes in glacier dynamics over the instrumented period by means of two 273 observational methods. The first one is unique to the present site, and consists of basal sliding 274 velocity measurements made continuously in the down glacier serac fall area (see red star in 275 Fig. 1b) by means of a bicycle wheel placed directly in contact with the basal ice at the 276 extremity of an excavated tunnel (Vivian and Bocquet, 1973; Moreau, 1999). The wheel is 277 coupled with a potentiometer that retrieves its rotation rate, which is then recorded digitally 278 and converted back to a sliding velocity at a 1-s sampling time with a displacement increment 279 resolution of 0.07 mm. The second type of measurements consists of 4 glacier surface and 1 280 reference bedrock GNSS stations (yellow stars in Fig. 1b) of type Leica GR25 acquiring the GNSS 281 signals every second. This temporary array is supplemented by a permanent ARGR GNSS 282 station from the RESIF-RENAG network (http://renag.resif.fr) on the bedrock close to the 283 glacier 3 km uphill (yellow star in Fig. 1a). The GNSS antennas on the glacier are installed on 284 8-m long aluminum masts anchored 4-m deep in the ice and thus emerging about a meter 285 above the snow surface at the beginning of the measurement period. The temporary station 286 placed next to the glacier side provides a reference for validating kinematic GNSS processing 287 approaches, evaluating station positions from every single set of GNSS signal recordings (i.e. 288 every second, as opposed to static processing, which cumulates GNSS signals over a much 289 longer time). We conduct such kinematic processing using the TRACK software ((Herring et al., 290 2018), http://geoweb.mit.edu/gg/docs.php). Our processing chain includes the on-line tool 291 SARI (https://alvarosg.shinyapps.io/sari/) for the removal of outliers that arise from low 292 satellite coverage in the glacier valley and to perform a de-trend and re-trend analysis to

293 estimate and correct for offsets due to manual antenna mast shortening as snow melt 294 progresses. We also correct for multi-path effects induced by GNSS signal reflections from the 295 ground, although we find that those are attenuated by the combination of GPS and GLONASS 296 signals thanks to their different sidereal periods (~24 h for GPS and ~8 days for GLONASS). We 297 finally calculate position time series at a 30-s time step sufficient to capture glacier dynamics 298 and subsequently evaluate three-dimensional velocities by the linear trends of the position components. The horizontal velocity is calculated as $v_h = \sqrt{v_N^2 + v_E^2}$ where v_N and v_E are 299 300 the North and East components, respectively.

301

302 To facilitate comparison of basal sliding and surface velocity here we smooth both timeseries 303 at a 36-hr timescale (Fig. 3b), since daily down to sub-daily fluctuations in basal sliding 304 velocities are largely affected by unconstrained variations in the local ice roughness in contact 305 with the wheel, as for example, when an entrained rock passes over the wheel. Although basal 306 sliding velocity is to be lower than surface velocity, here both quantities have similar absolute 307 values because the sliding velocity is measured at a place where the glacier is much steeper 308 (25% slope as opposed to 1-2%) and thus driving stress is much larger than at the GNSS 309 locations. We observe an increase in basal sliding velocity from 4.5 mm/h to more than 6 310 mm/h at the very beginning of the monitored period. Such an acceleration is commonly 311 observed in spring on alpine glaciers (Iken and Bindschadler, 1986; Mair et al., 2002; Vincent 312 and Moreau, 2016) and is known to correspond to water pressurization caused by an increase 313 in water input at the bed due to surface melt water supply, which causes the reduction of 314 friction and thus the enhancement of sliding (Lliboutry, 1959, 1968; Iken, 1981; Schoof, 2005; 315 Gagliardini et al., 2007). This acceleration is not seen in the GNSS observations, which could 316 be due to the glacier seasonal acceleration occurring earlier at this location. We also observe

317 one major acceleration event in the location of the dense seismic array occurring between 4 318 May and 8 May 2018 likely due to the large concomitant increase in water discharge (see 319 blue line in Fig. 3a) causing basal water pressurization (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). 320 321 322 **FIRST RESULTS** 323 324 SEISMIC NOISE CHARACTERISTICS 325 326 We investigate the spatial and temporal variability of seismic power P (in dB) across a wide 327 range of frequencies by applying Welch's method (Welch, 1967) over 4 seconds-long vertical 328 ground motion timeseries (with 50 % overlap) prior to averaging power (in the decibel space) 329 over 15 minutes-long time windows. This two-step strategy allows limiting the influence of 330 impulsive events (which are studied in more details in the next sections) on the seismic power 331 while enhancing that of the continuous background noise (Bartholomaus et al., 2015; Nanni 332 et al., 2020). In Fig. 4 we present 1-100 Hz spectrograms (i.e. seismic power at any given 333 frequency and time) over the first half of the instrumented period (15 April to 14 May 2018) 334 together with timeseries of 2-20 Hz frequency median seismic power at five different stations 335 of the array, four stations located on the four array sides and one located in the array center 336 (see node numbers in Fig. 1b and Fig. S1 for spectrograms across all stations and over the 337 entire frequency range and experimental period). Time periods when sensors tilted beyond 338 their specifications (and thus were no longer deemed functional) as a result of snow melt 339 causing them to be no longer buried are manifested by drastically reduced seismic power 340 across the whole frequency range (see node 6 from 8 May to 11 May 2018). Fortunately,

341 sensor tilt only occurred at a small number of seismic stations (11 out of 98) and during a 342 restricted time duration (less than 2 days on average, see Fig. S1). We also observe that 343 spectrograms do not undergo significant change from prior to after sensor reinstallation on 344 11 May 2018. This suggests that these are not significantly affected by potential changes in 345 sensor coupling to snow, which is pleasant given that a previous study found that coupling can 346 strongly affect nodes recorded signals (Farrell *et al.*, 2018).

347

348 All stations generally experience similar multi-day (e.g. four days' average, see black lines in 349 Fig. 4) variations in seismic power that are highly correlated with multi-day discharge 350 variations, although seismic power precedes discharge variations by about a day or two. The 351 likely reason is that seismic power is controlled by the hydraulic pressure gradient, which is 352 highest during periods of rising discharge (Gimbert et al., 2016; Nanni et al., 2020). Although 353 shorter term (e.g. diurnal) variations in seismic power are also similar across stations when 354 discharge is low (from 24 April to 28 April and from 30 April to 4 May) and anthropogenic noise 355 dominates (Nanni et al., 2020), the picture is different at higher discharges when seismic 356 power is dominated by subglacial water flow (Nanni et al., 2020). On 29 April and from 5 May 357 to 10 May seismic power exhibits pronounced (up to 10 dB) and broad frequency (1-100 Hz) 358 short time scale (sub-diurnal to diurnal) variations that are particularly marked at certain 359 stations (e.g. node 6 (Fig. 4a), node 44 (Fig. 4c) and node 50 (Fig. 4d)) and not at others (e.g. 360 node 38 (Fig. 4b) and 95 (Fig. 4e)). We also observe that at certain stations seismic power 361 appears to be continuously or intermittently enhanced within narrow frequency bands. For 362 instance node 38 systematically presents higher seismic power above 20 Hz. These 363 discrepancies suggest that measurements of ground motion amplitude are sensitive to 364 heterogenous and intermittent subglacial water flow, although certain features discussed

365 here could be due to extraneous noise sources associated with sensor coupling (Farrell et al.,

366 2018), to localized sources other than subglacial fluid flow or to site effects.

367

368 DETECTING AND LOCATING BASAL STICK SLIP IMPULSIVE EVENTS USING TEMPLATE 369 MATCHING

370

371 We detect high-frequency (>50 Hz) basal stick-slip events using template matching. This 372 follows a two-step analysis as in Helmstetter et al. (2015). We first build a catalog of events 373 through applying a short-term-average over long-term-average (STA/LTA) detection method 374 (Allen, 1978) to the continuous high-pass filtered signal (>20 Hz) using a STA time window of 375 0.1 s and a LTA time window of 1 s. We identify an event when the STA/LTA ratio exceeds a 376 factor of 2. We then manually select all events with short duration (<0.2 s) and high average 377 frequency (>50 Hz) and define groups of events referred to as clusters when their correlation 378 with each other exceeds 0.8. For each cluster, we compute the average waveform to define 379 its "template" signal (using a time window of 0.25 s). We take the sum of seismograms 380 normalized by their peak amplitude and weighted by the square correlation between each 381 event and the template event, iterating this procedure several times until convergence. We 382 visually check that events present distinct P and S wave arrivals and use a polarization analysis 383 to ensure that they are not associated with surface waves (Fig. 5a). We then use the template 384 matching filter method (Gibbons and Ringdal, 2006) to further detect smaller amplitude 385 events not picked with the above strategy but exhibiting a correlation higher than 0.5 with the 386 template signal.

387

388 We first conduct the analysis using the borehole station ARG, which has a higher sensor 389 sensitivity, signal-to-noise and sampling rate compared to the nodes. We identify 31 active 390 clusters during the dense array experiment. Interestingly, these clusters constitute a large part 391 of the 46 clusters identified on a much longer period (from December 2017 to June 2018, using 392 the borehole sensor which ran almost continuously, see Fig. 6). Although the amplitude of these signals varies strongly through time (from $1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ m.s⁻¹ to $4 \cdot 10^{-6}$ m.s⁻¹ Fig. 6a), 393 394 waveform characteristics remain strikingly similar (Fig. 6b and 6c). All 46 identified clusters 395 exhibit similar characteristics to that shown in Fig. 6, and their activity does not appear to be 396 temporally correlated with each other, nor with external drivers related to meteorology, 397 hydrological or glacier dynamics.

398

399 We also apply the template matching algorithm on a subset of 10 nodes covering the whole 400 study area, using the same 31 clusters as previously identified using station ARG. At each time 401 step we compute the correlation coefficient between each template signal and the continuous 402 signal averaged over all nodes and all components (using a time window of 0.35 s instead of 403 0.25 s in order to match signal duration at all selected nodes). The large number of sensors 404 allows us to lower the correlation threshold from 0.5 to 0.2 while reducing the number of false 405 detections. Indeed, events belonging to different clusters with very different locations can end 406 up being correlated above the detection threshold when using one station, while using several 407 stations this scenario is much more unlikely. We detect 79% more events using the nodes 408 compared to using the station ARG. The newly detected events are mostly smaller amplitude 409 events. Most (83%) of the events detected using ARG are also detected using the nodes. This 410 shows that increasing the number of sensors allows detecting more events and reducing the 411 number of false detections despite signal-to-noise ratio and sampling rate not being optimum.

412

413 We determine the position of the 31 identified clusters by first manually picking on each node 414 the P and S arrival times associated with the event in each cluster that is associated with the 415 largest correlation with the template event, and then inverting for the location of each event 416 and the associated P and S wave velocities assuming velocities are homogeneous and identical 417 for all events. We only consider first arrivals that are usually geometrically predicted to be 418 direct (as opposed to refracted) waves for most sensors and most events. Assuming a simple 419 1D velocity model with V_P =3620 m/s in the ice and V_P =4300 m/s in the bedrock (see Fig 10b) 420 and a glacier thickness of 200 m, the direct wave is faster for epicentral distances shorter than 421 306 m. Moreover, even when the refracted wave is faster, it is usually less impulsive and has 422 a smaller amplitude than the direct wave. We estimate P and S wave velocities using a grid 423 search inversion with a step of 10 m.s⁻¹ and the Nonlinloc software (Lomax et al., 2000) to locate clusters. We assume a standard error of arrival times of $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ s for P waves, $4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ s 424 for S waves and of $3.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ s for calculated travel times. We can see in Fig. 5a that the picked 425 426 arrival times (black circles) are consistent with the computed travel times (green lines). The 427 root-mean-square error for this event is 2.4 ms, which corresponds to about one sample (2 428 ms).

429

We show the locations of basal icequakes versus depth in an average transverse section in Fig. 7a and on a two-dimensional map in Fig. 8. They are mainly located in the down-glacier part of the array and in the central part of the glacier or near the right side, while there is no event observed towards the left side. Icequake depths range between 80 m and 285 m, and are in good agreement with the bedrock topography estimated from the radar profiles. Uncertainty on absolute source depth is on the order of 10 m (see errorbars in Fig. 7a), and the estimated 436 seismic wave velocities of V_P =3620 m.s⁻¹ and V_S =1830 m.s⁻¹ (Fig. 7b) are in good agreement 437 with velocities measured on other alpine glaciers (Podolskiy and Walter, 2016). V_S is much 438 better constrained by the data compared to V_P (Fig. 7b).

439

440 SYSTEMATIC LOCATION OF EVENTS USING MATCHED-FIELD PROCESSING

441

442 Contrary to in the previous section where a priori constraints on waveform characteristics and 443 wave velocity are used to target basal stick-slip events, we next test location of a wide range 444 of seismic events generated by impulsive or emergent sources with no a priori knowledge on 445 waveform characteristics and minimal a priori knowledge on medium properties. The 446 rationale is that the limited a-priori knowledge for source identification is balanced by the high 447 spatial and temporal resolution provided by array processing techniques, which may provide 448 spatial or temporal characteristics facilitating source identification (Vandemeulebrouck et al., 449 2013; Chmiel et al., 2019).

450

451 We conduct Matched-Field Processing (MFP), which consists of recursively matching a 452 synthetic field of phase delays between sensors with that obtained from observations using 453 the Fourier transform of time-windowed data. We obtain the synthetic field from a source 454 model with a frequency-domain Green's function that depends on 4 parameters, which are 455 the source spatial coordinates x, y and z and the medium phase speed c. MFP output is 456 normalized to range from 0 to 1 with higher values corresponding to better matches between 457 modelled and observed signal phases, and therefore a higher confidence in true source 458 location. Here we use a spatially homogeneous velocity field within the glacier, which the 459 advantage of a fast-analytical computation, although it also results in a higher degree of

460 ambiguity between z and c. Contrary to classical beamforming techniques in which a planar 461 wave front is often assumed, our MFP approach considers spherical waves and allows locating 462 sources closer to and within the array. To build a large catalog of events, we apply MFP over 463 short time windows of 1-s with 0.5-s overlap, across 16 frequency bands of ±2 Hz width equally 464 spaced from 5 to 20 Hz and over the entire study period. Calculating source locations over 465 such a large number of windows requires minimizing computational cost. We do so by using 466 a minimization algorithm that relies on the downhill simplex search method (Nelder-Mead 467 optimization) of Nelder and Mead (1965) and Lagarias et al. (1998) instead of using a multi-468 dimensional grid search approach. As the exploration of the solution space is characterized by 469 a certain level of randomness, we maximize the likelihood that our minimization technique 470 finds a global minima and thus the dominant source over the considered time window through 471 (i) starting the optimized algorithm from a set of 29 points located at a depth of 250 m inside 472 and near the array (see black crosses in Fig. 9d) with a starting velocity c=1800 m.s⁻¹ and (ii) 473 taking the highest MFP output out of the 29 inversions found after convergence.

474

475 In Fig. 9b,c we present two examples of events located inside and outside the array and 476 associated with a high MFP output of 0.92. The half-size of the focal spot in the MFP output 477 field gives a measure of the location uncertainty (Rost and Thomas, 2002), which is about 10 478 m for events located inside the array and can increase up to 40 m when for events up to 100 479 m away from the array edges. Gathering all sources over one continuous day of record, we 480 find that the associated MFP output distribution exhibits a heavy tail towards high values (red 481 area in Fig. 9a for an example at 13 Hz). Such a heavy tail is not obtained for a random field, 482 in which case MFP output exhibits a distribution shifted towards almost one order of 483 magnitude lower values. This suggests that most identified sources correspond to real and 484 detectable seismic events. Well resolved seismic events with MFP outputs higher than 0.8 are 485 located near the surface and delineate crevasse geometries, such that they likely correspond 486 to englacial fracturing (red dots in Fig. 8). Few (less than one percent) of these events are 487 however located outside of the glacier and likely correspond to rock falls. Typical waveforms 488 associated with englacial fracturing events are dominated by surface waves arrivals (Fig. 5b), 489 although P waves arrivals as well as arrivals showing hyperbolic moveout (black arrows in Fig. 490 5b) are also distinguishable. Although P waves arrivals associated with surface crevassing 491 events are not commonly observed (Walter et al., 2009; Helmstetter, Moreau, et al., 2015), 492 their observation here may result from improved detection thanks to the dense seismic array. 493 Arrivals showing hyperbolic moveout likely correspond to reflected waves at the 494 glacier/bedrock interface.

495

496

497 USING EVENT CATALOGS FOR STRUCTURE INVERSION

498

499 Dense-array techniques for seismic imaging often involve interferometry analysis on 500 continuous seismic noise. Such techniques however require an equipartitioned wavefield 501 inherited directly from homogenously distributed noise sources and/or indirectly from 502 sufficiently strong scattering (Lobkis and Weaver, 2001; Fichtner et al., 2019). These 503 conditions strongly limit the applicability of such techniques on glaciers where sources are 504 often localized and waves in ice are weakly scattered (Sergeant et al., 2020). An alternative 505 way is to use localized and short-lived sources with known positions (Walter et al., 2015) as 506 those previously identified using our systematic MFP technique, which are numerous and 507 more evenly distributed in space (Fig. 8).

508

509 We consider the catalog of sources associated with MFP outputs larger than 0.6, located near 510 the surface (z<10m) and close to the array (within a radius of 400 m from the array center). 511 With these criteria our catalog includes about 10^6 sources gathered over the 35 days of 512 continuous recordings. In order to further demonstrate that our MFP calculations yield 513 reliable velocities (i.e. the ambiguity between z and c is limited for these sources), we use the 514 velocities given from our MFP calculation (which for shallow events recover the dominant 515 surface waves) to construct dispersion curves, as opposed to classical f-k analysis (Capon, 516 1969). We infer surface wave phase velocity at each frequency between 3.5 Hz and 25 Hz by 517 fitting a Gaussian function to the probability density distributions of velocities in each 518 frequency bin, and taking the center of the Gaussian function as the most representative 519 velocity in that frequency bin (see Fig. 10a (inset) for an example at 13 Hz). We note that the 520 presently constructed dispersion curve is similar to the one that would be obtained using a 521 classical f-k analysis (not shown). We find that surface wave velocity increases gently from 522 1560 m.s⁻¹ to 1630 m.s⁻¹ as frequency decreases from 25 Hz down to 7 Hz, and then increases 523 sharply up to 2300 m.s⁻¹ as frequency decreases down to 3.5 Hz. These observations can be 524 reproduced using a three-layer one-dimensional elastic model (using the Geopsy package, 525 Wathelet et al. (2020)) that incorporates a gentle velocity increase (from 1670 to 1720 m.s⁻¹ 526 for V_s) at 40 m depth and a drastic velocity increase (from 1720 to 2800 m.s⁻¹ for V_s) located 527 between 200 and 220 m depth (Fig. 10b). These values were obtained by trial and error tests. 528 The slightly slower velocities and density within the first 40-m deep layer may be due to 529 surface crevasses, and are consistent with surface events being associated with smaller P wave 530 velocities than those associated with stick-slip events at the ice/bedrock interface (Fig. 5). The

531 200- to 220-m deep drastic discontinuity results from the ice/bedrock interface, consistent 532 with the radar-derived average glacier thickness beneath the seismic network (Fig. 2a).

533

534 We go one step further and perform two-dimensional surface wave inversions from eikonal 535 wave tomography (Roux et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2013; Mordret et al., 2013). We first extract 536 \sim 200,000 Rayleigh wave travel times using the best (associated with MFP outputs larger than 537 0.9) seismic events and then perform a simple linear inversion for the slowness (starting from 538 a homogeneous initial model with a phase velocity of 1580 m.s⁻¹, see Fig. 10a) assuming 539 straight rays as propagation paths and an *a-priori* error covariance matrix that decreases 540 exponentially with distance over 10 m. The weight of the spatial smoothing is chosen at the 541 maximum curvature of the standard trade-off analysis (L-curve) based on the misfit value 542 (Hansen and O'Leary, 1993), and the inversion produces a residual variance reduction of ~98% 543 relative to the arrival times for the homogeneous model. In Fig. 8 we show the Rayleigh wave 544 phase velocity maps obtained as a result of the travel-time inversion on a regular horizontal 545 grid with steps of 5 m and using 13-Hz Rayleigh waves, which have largest sensitivity between 546 20 m and 60 m depth (Fig. 10c) according to kernel sensitivity computations performed on the 547 three layer elastic model (Fig. 10b) using the code of Herrmann (2013). We observe that 548 locations with higher crevasse density are generally associated with lower phase velocities, as 549 observed in the left glacier side and in the down glacier part of the array. This observation is 550 however not systematic, since high velocities are also observed in the right glacier side and in 551 the up glacier part of the array where crevasses are also present. This could be explained by 552 shallower crevasses or by crevasse orientations, which affect different wave propagation 553 directions in these regions. This latter potential source of bias could be investigated by

554 explicitly accounting for anisotropy in the tomography inversion scheme (Mordret et al.,

555 2013).

- 556
- 557
- 558 **DISCUSSION**
- 559

560 INTERPRETING SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL VARIATIONS IN GROUND MOTION AMPLITUDES

561

562 Although our seismic array observations generally exhibit spatially homogenous multi-day 563 changes in seismic power, there exist specific times when changes in seismic power are 564 spatially heterogeneous (Fig. 4). A surprising observation is that these heterogeneous changes 565 are observed down to the lowest frequencies (3 to 10 Hz) associated with wavelengths larger 566 than the inter-station spacing, such that the observed spatial heterogeneity is unlikely solely 567 caused by wave attenuation. It remains to be investigated as to which processes mainly cause 568 the observed spatial variability in seismic power. Punctual sources identified from the MFP 569 analysis could be used to investigate the respective control of wave attenuation, wave 570 scattering and site effects on amplitude field heterogeneity and its potential dependency on 571 site attributes like crevasse density, glacier thickness or snow layer thickness. Full waveform 572 modelling combined with wave polarity analysis could also be conducted in order to further 573 understand how wave focusing in the near field domain as well as source heterogeneity and 574 directivity may cause heterogenous amplitude wavefields. Incorporating these constraints 575 into an improved model describing the control of both source and wave propagation physics 576 on the seismic wave amplitude field (Gimbert et al., 2016) could allow using our dense array 577 observations to infer the spatial variability in subglacial water flow parameters such as 578 subglacial channel size and pressure.

579

580

581 PHYSICS OF STICK SLIP EVENTS

582

583 We demonstrate that dense array observations provide enhanced resolution on stick-slip 584 motion. Applying template matching on an array of sensor as opposed to on a single station 585 enables detecting many more events within clusters. Using the whole array for location 586 inversions also allows significantly reducing location uncertainties. Future studies may focus 587 on applying template matching across all sensors of the array in order to detect and locate 588 more events within clusters and potentially more clusters. With our present analysis we find 589 that events are all located in the down-glacier part of the array and in the central part of the 590 glacier or near the right side, while there is no event observed towards the left side (Fig. 7 and 591 8). This provides further observational support that specific bed conditions (e.g. water 592 pressure, bed shear stress, bed roughness, bed topography, carried sediments) are necessary 593 for these events to occur (Zoet et al., 2013; Lipovsky et al., 2019). Further insights into the 594 physics controlling the spatio-temporal dynamics of these events could be gained by 595 performing relative event location within each cluster using double-differences (Waldhauser 596 and Ellsworth, 2000) instead of simply inferring single cluster locations as presently done. 597 These improvements could allow identifying whether or not stick-slip asperities migrate.

598

599 USING MFP TO RETRIEVE SOURCES AND STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES

600

601 Systematic MFP analysis with adequate parametrization opens a route to continuous, 602 automatic, and statistics-based monitoring of glaciers. A wide diversity of seismic sources may 603 be identified and studied separately with this technique by scanning through different values 604 of MFP outputs. High MFP outputs may be used to study the dynamics of crevasse propagation 605 with particularly high spatio-temporal resolution. Such observations may allow to better 606 understand the underlying mechanisms associated with crack propagation, in particular 607 through providing an opportunity to better bridge the gap between laboratory and theoretical 608 material physics of crack propagation (van der Veen, 1998; Weiss, 2004) and crevasse 609 propagation under realistic glacier conditions in which water is expected to play an important 610 role (van der Veen, 2007). Lower MFP outputs may be used to locate spatially distributed 611 sources generating coherent signals over only a limited spatial extent. These distributed 612 sources may include tremor sources (e.g. water flow) or various glacier features (e.g. 613 crevasses, englacial conduits) acting as scatterers. One could also combine MFP with 614 eigenspectral decomposition to reveal weaker noise sources that would otherwise be hidden 615 within the background noise (Seydoux et al., 2016). Additional constraints for seismic imaging 616 may also be provided through identifying specific events generating indirect arrivals of 617 particular interest for structural analysis, such as in bed-refracted waves shown in Fig. 5 (black 618 arrows).

619

620 SUMMARY

621

We present a dense seismic array experiment made of 98 3-component seismic stations continuously recording during 35 days in early spring 2018 on the Argentière Glacier, French Alps. The seismic dataset is supplemented by complementary observations obtained from 625 ground penetrating radar, drone imagery, GNSS positioning and in-situ instrumentation of

- basal glacier sliding velocities and subglacial water flow discharge. We show that a wide range
- 627 of glacier sources and structure characteristics can be extracted through multiple seismic
- 628 processing techniques such as spectral analysis, template matching, matched-field processing
- 629 and eikonal wave tomography. Future studies focusing more specifically on each aspect of the
- 630 herein presented observations may yield novel quantitative insights into spatio-temporal
- 631 changes in glacier dynamics and structure.
- 632

633 DATA AND RESOURCES

- 634 Raw seismic data can be found at:
- 635

Roux, P., Gimbert, F., & RESIF. (2021). Dense nodal seismic array temporary experiment on
Alpine Glacier of Argentière (RESIF-SISMOB) [Data set]. RESIF - Réseau Sismologique et
géodésique Français. <u>https://doi.org/10.15778/RESIF.ZO2018</u> (see also link
<u>http://seismology.resif.fr/#NetworkConsultPlace:ZO%5B2018-01-01T00:00:00_2018-12-</u>
<u>31T23:59:59%5D</u>).

641 642

643 Processed data used in this paper can be found at:

- 644 <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3701519</u> for meteorological, subglacial water flow
 645 discharge and glacier sliding speed data
- 646 <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3971815</u> for bed thickness, surface elevation, nodes
 647 positions, crevasses positions, surface velocity, noise PSDs, event occurrences and
 648 locations derived from template matching for stick-slip events and MFP for englacial
 649 fracturing events
- 650 <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3556552</u> for drone orthophotos
- 651

652 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work has been supported by a grant from Labex OSUG (Investissements d'avenir – ANR10
LABX56). IGE and IsTerre laboratories are part of Labex OSUG (ANR10 LABX56).
Complementary funding sources have also been provided for instrumentation by the French
"GLACIOCLIM (Les GLACIers comme Observatoire du CLIMat)" organization and by l'Agence
Nationale de la recherche through the SAUSSURE (, ANR-18-CE01-0015) and SEISMORIV (ANR17-CE01-0008) projects. We thank C. Aubert, A. Colombi, L. Moreau, L. Ott, I. Pondaven, B.
Vial, L. Mercier, O. Coutant, L. Baillet, M. Lott, E. LeMeur, L. Piard, S. Escalle, V. Rameseyer, A.

660 Palanstjin, A. Wehrlé and B. Urruty for their help in the field, as well as Martin, Fabien and 661 Christophe for mountain guiding the group.

- 662
- 663

664 REFERENCES

- 665
- 666 Allen, R. V. (1978). Automatic earthquake recognition and timing from single traces, Bulletin
- 667 of the Seismological Society of America 68, no. 5, 1521–1532.
- 668 Allstadt, K., and S. D. Malone (2014). Swarms of repeating stick-slip icequakes triggered by
- snow loading at Mount Rainier volcano, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 669
- 119, no. 5, 1180–1203, doi: 10.1002/2014JF003086. 670
- Aso, N., V. C. Tsai, C. Schoof, G. E. Flowers, A. Whiteford, and C. Rada (2017). 671
- Seismologically Observed Spatiotemporal Drainage Activity at Moulins, Journal of 672
- Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 122, no. 11, 9095–9108, doi: 10.1002/2017JB014578. 673
- Aster, R. C., and J. P. Winberry (2017). Glacial seismology, Reports on Progress in Physics 80, 674
- no. 12, 126801, doi: 10.1088/1361-6633/aa8473. 675
- 676 Bakker, M., F. Gimbert, T. Geav, C. Misset, S. Zanker, and A. Recking (2020). Field
- Application and Validation of a Seismic Bedload Transport Model, Journal of Geophysical 677 678 Research: Earth Surface 125, no. 5, e2019JF005416, doi: 10.1029/2019JF005416.
- 679 Bartholomaus, T. C., J. M. Amundson, J. I. Walter, S. O'Neel, M. E. West, and C. F. Larsen
- 680 (2015). Subglacial discharge at tidewater glaciers revealed by seismic tremor, Geophys. Res.
- 681 Lett. 42, no. 15, 2015GL064590, doi: 10.1002/2015GL064590.
- Beaud, F., G. E. Flowers, and J. G. Venditti (2016). Efficacy of bedrock erosion by subglacial 682
- 683 water flow, Earth Surface Dynamics 4, no. 1, 125-145, doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-4-684 125-2016.
- 685 Brun, F., P. Buri, E. S. Miles, P. Wagnon, J. Steiner, E. Berthier, S. Ragettli, P. Kraaijenbrink,
- W. W. Immerzeel, and F. Pellicciotti (2016). Quantifying volume loss from ice cliffs on 686
- 687 debris-covered glaciers using high-resolution terrestrial and aerial photogrammetry, Journal 688 of Glaciology 62, no. 234, 684–695, doi: 10.1017/jog.2016.54.
- 689 Capon, J. (1969). High-resolution frequency-wavenumber spectrum analysis, *Proceedings of*
- 690 the IEEE 57, no. 8, 1408–1418, doi: 10.1109/PROC.1969.7278.
- 691 Chmiel, M., P. Roux, and T. Bardainne (2019). High-sensitivity microseismic monitoring:
- 692 Automatic detection and localization of subsurface noise sources using matched-field
- 693 processing and dense patch arraysHigh-sensitivity microseismic monitoring, *Geophysics* 84,
- 694 no. 6, KS211-KS223, doi: 10.1190/geo2018-0537.1.
- Church, G., A. Bauder, M. Grab, L. Rabenstein, S. Singh, and H. Maurer (2019). Detecting and 695
- 696 characterising an englacial conduit network within a temperate Swiss glacier using active
- 697 seismic, ground penetrating radar and borehole analysis, Annals of Glaciology 60, no. 79, 698 193-205, doi: 10.1017/aog.2019.19.
- 699 Cuffey, K. M., and W. S. B. Paterson (2010). The Physics of Glaciers, 4th edn, Butterworth-700
- Heinemann, Burlington, Burlington, MA, USA.
- 701 Durand, G., O. Gagliardini, L. Favier, T. Zwinger, and E. le Meur (2011). Impact of bedrock
- 702 description on modeling ice sheet dynamics, Geophysical Research Letters 38, no. 20, doi: 703 10.1029/2011GL048892.
- 704 Eibl, E. P. S., C. J. Bean, B. Einarsson, F. Pàlsson, and K. S. Vogfjörd (2020). Seismic ground
- 705 vibrations give advanced early-warning of subglacial floods, 1, Nature Communications 11, 706 no. 1, 2504, doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15744-5.
- 707 Evans, S., and G. de Q. Robin (1966). Glacier Depth-Sounding from the Air, 5039, Nature 210,
- 708 no. 5039, 883-885, doi: 10.1038/210883a0.

- 709 Faillettaz, J., A. Pralong, M. Funk, and N. Deichmann (2008). Evidence of log-periodic
- 710 oscillations and increasing icequake activity during the breaking-off of large ice masses,
- Journal of Glaciology 54, no. 187, 725-737, doi: 10.3189/002214308786570845. 711
- 712 Farrell, J., S.-M. Wu, K. M. Ward, and F.-C. Lin (2018). Persistent Noise Signal in the
- 713 FairfieldNodal Three-Component 5-Hz Geophones, Seismological Research Letters 89, no. 5, 714 1609-1617, doi: 10.1785/0220180073.
- 715 Fichtner, A., L. Gualtieri, and N. Nakata (Editors) (2019). Theoretical Foundations of Noise
- 716 Interferometry, in Seismic Ambient Noise, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 109–143,
- 717 doi: 10.1017/9781108264808.006.
- 718 Foroutan, M., S. J. Marshall, and B. Menounos (2019). Automatic mapping and
- 719 geomorphometry extraction technique for crevasses in geodetic mass-balance calculations at
- 720 Haig Glacier, Canadian Rockies, Journal of Glaciology 65, no. 254, 971–982, doi:
- 721 10.1017/jog.2019.71.
- Fountain, A. G., and J. S. Walder (1998). Water flow through temperate glaciers, *Reviews of* 722 Geophysics 36, 299-328, doi: 10.1029/97RG03579. 723
- 724 Gagliardini, O., D. Cohen, P. Råback, and T. Zwinger (2007). Finite-element modeling of
- 725 subglacial cavities and related friction law, J. Geophys. Res. 112, no. F2, F02027, doi: 726 10.1029/2006JF000576.
- 727 Garambois, S., A. Legchenko, C. Vincent, and E. Thibert (2016). Ground-penetrating radar and
- 728 surface nuclear magnetic resonance monitoring of an englacial water-filled cavity in the
- 729 polythermal glacier of Tête Rousse, GEOPHYSICS 81, no. 1, WA131-WA146, doi:
- 730 10.1190/geo2015-0125.1.
- Garcia, L., K. Luttrell, D. Kilb, and F. Walter (2019). Joint geodetic and seismic analysis of 731
- surface crevassing near a seasonal glacier-dammed lake at Gornergletscher, Switzerland, 732 733 Annals of Glaciology, 1-13, doi: 10.1017/aog.2018.32.
- 734 Gibbons, S. J., and F. Ringdal (2006). The detection of low magnitude seismic events using
- 735 array-based waveform correlation, Geophysical Journal International 165, no. 1, 149-166, 736
- doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.02865.x.
- Gilbert, A., A. Sinisalo, T. R. Gurung, K. Fujita, S. B. Maharjan, T. C. Sherpa, and T. Fukuda 737
- 738 (2020). The influence of water percolation through crevasses on the thermal regime of a
- 739 Himalavan mountain glacier. The Cryosphere 14. no. 4, 1273–1288, doi:
- 740 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1273-2020.
- 741 Gimbert, F., V. C. Tsai, J. M. Amundson, T. C. Bartholomaus, and J. I. Walter (2016).
- 742 Subseasonal changes observed in subglacial channel pressure, size, and sediment transport,
- 743 Geophys. Res. Lett. 43, no. 8, 2016GL068337, doi: 10.1002/2016GL068337.
- 744 Gimbert, F., V. C. Tsai, and M. P. Lamb (2014). A physical model for seismic noise generation
- 745 by turbulent flow in rivers, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 119, no. 10, 2209–2238, doi: 10.1002/2014JF003201. 746
- 747 Hansen, P. C., and D. P. O'Leary (1993). The Use of the L-Curve in the Regularization of
- 748 Discrete Ill-Posed Problems, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 14, no. 6, 1487-1503, doi:
- 749 10.1137/0914086.
- Hantz, D., and L. Lliboutry (1983). Waterways, Ice Permeability at Depth, and Water Pressures 750
- 751 at Glacier D'Argentière, French Alps, Journal of Glaciology 29, no. 102, 227–239, doi: 752 10.3189/S0022143000008285.
- Helmstetter, A., L. Moreau, B. Nicolas, P. Comon, and M. Gay (2015). Intermediate-depth 753
- 754 icequakes and harmonic tremor in an Alpine glacier (Glacier d'Argentière, France): Evidence
- 755 for hydraulic fracturing?, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 120, no. 3, 2014JF003289, doi:
- 756 10.1002/2014JF003289.
- Helmstetter, A., B. Nicolas, P. Comon, and M. Gay (2015). Basal icequakes recorded beneath 757
- 758 an Alpine glacier (Glacier d'Argentière, Mont Blanc, France): Evidence for stick-slip

- 759 motion?, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 120, no. 3, 2014JF003288, doi:
- 760 10.1002/2014JF003288.
- Herring, T. A., R. W. King, M. A. Floyd, and S. C. McClusky (2018). Introduction to 761
- 762 GAMIT/GLOBK, Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachussetts 763 Institute of Technology, 54.
- 764 Herrmann, R. B. (2013). Computer Programs in Seismology: An Evolving Tool for Instruction
- 765 and Research, Seismological Research Letters 84, no. 6, 1081-1088, doi:
- 766 10.1785/0220110096.
- Iken, A. (1981). The Effect of the Subglacial Water Pressure on the Sliding Velocity of a 767
- 768 Glacier in an Idealized Numerical Model, Journal of Glaciology 27, no. 97, 407-421, doi: 769 10.3189/S0022143000011448.
- 770 Iken, A., and R. A. Bindschadler (1986). Combined measurements of Subglacial Water
- Pressure and Surface Velocity of Findelengletscher, Switzerland: Conclusions about Drainage 771 772 System and Sliding Mechanism, Journal of Glaciology 32, no. 110, 101–119, doi:
- 773 10.3189/S0022143000006936.
- Iken, A., K. Echelmeyer, W. Harrison, and M. Funk (1993). Mechanisms of fast flow in 774
- 775 Jakobshavns Isbræ, West Greenland: Part I. Measurements of temperature and water level in
- 776 deep boreholes, Journal of Glaciology 39, no. 131, 15–25, doi: 10.3189/S0022143000015689.
- 777 Inbal, A., J. P. Ampuero, and R. W. Clayton (2016). Localized seismic deformation in the upper
- mantle revealed by dense seismic arrays, Science 354, no. 6308, 88-92, doi: 778
- 779 10.1126/science.aaf1370.
- 780 Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., J. M. Shea, F. Pellicciotti, S. M. de Jong, and W. W. Immerzeel (2016).
- Object-based analysis of unmanned aerial vehicle imagery to map and characterise surface 781
- 782 features on a debris-covered glacier, Remote Sensing of Environment 186, 581-595, doi: 783 10.1016/j.rse.2016.09.013.
- Krug, J., J. Weiss, O. Gagliardini, and G. Durand (2014). Combining damage and fracture 784
- 785 mechanics to model calving, The Cryosphere 8, no. 6, 2101–2117, doi: 10.5194/tc-8-2101-786 2014.
- 787 Lagarias, J., J. Reeds, M. Wright, and P. Wright (1998). Convergence Properties of the Nelder--
- Mead Simplex Method in Low Dimensions, SIAM Journal on Optimization 9, 112–147, doi: 788 789 10.1137/S1052623496303470.
- 790 Li, Z., Z. Peng, D. Hollis, L. Zhu, and J. McClellan (2018). High-resolution seismic event
- 791 detection using local similarity for Large-N arrays, 1, Sci Rep 8, no. 1, 1–10, doi: 792 10.1038/s41598-018-19728-w.
- 793 Lin, F.-C., D. Li, R. W. Clayton, and D. Hollis (2013). High-resolution 3D shallow crustal
- 794 structure in Long Beach, California: Application of ambient noise tomography on a dense 795 seismic array, Geophysics 78, no. 4, Q45–Q56, doi: 10.1190/geo2012-0453.1.
- 796 Lindner, F., G. Laske, F. Walter, and A. K. Doran (2019). Crevasse-induced Rayleigh-wave
- 797 azimuthal anisotropy on Glacier de la Plaine Morte, Switzerland, Annals of Glaciology, 1–16, 798 doi: 10.1017/aog.2018.25.
- 799 Lindner, F., F. Walter, G. Laske, and F. Gimbert (2020). Glaciohydraulic seismic tremors on an
- 800 Alpine glacier, The Cryosphere 14, no. 1, 287–308, doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-287-
- 801 2020.
- Lipovsky, B. P. (2018). Ice Shelf Rift Propagation and the Mechanics of Wave-Induced 802
- Fracture, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 123, no. 6, 4014-4033, doi: 803
- 804 10.1029/2017JC013664.
- Lipovsky, B. P., and E. M. Dunham (2016). Tremor during ice-stream stick slip, The 805
- 806 Cryosphere 10, no. 1, 385-399, doi: 10.5194/tc-10-385-2016.
- 807 Lipovsky, B. P., C. R. Meyer, L. K. Zoet, C. McCarthy, D. D. Hansen, A. W. Rempel, and F.
- 808 Gimbert (2019). Glacier sliding, seismicity and sediment entrainment, Annals of Glaciology,

- 809 1–11, doi: 10.1017/aog.2019.24.
- Lliboutry, L. (1968). General theory of subglacial cavitation and sliding of temperate glaciers,
 Journal of Glaciology 7, 21–58.
- 812 Lliboutry, L. (1959). Une théorie du frottement du glacier sur son lit, Annales de Geophysique
 813 15, 250.
- 814 Lobkis, O. I., and R. L. Weaver (2001). On the emergence of the Green's function in the
- 815 correlations of a diffuse field, *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **110**, no. 6,
- 816 3011–3017, doi: 10.1121/1.1417528.
- 817 Lomax, A., J. Virieux, P. Volant, and C. Berge-Thierry (2000). Probabilistic Earthquake
- 818 Location in 3D and Layered Models, in Advances in Seismic Event Location C. H. Thurber,
- and N. Rabinowitz(Editors), Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, Modern Approaches in
- 820 Geophysics, 101–134, doi: 10.1007/978-94-015-9536-0_5.
- Mair, D., P. Nienow, M. Sharp, T. Wohlleben, and I. Willis (2002). Influence of subglacial
 drainage system evolution on glacier surface motion: Haut Glacier d'Arolla, Switzerland, J. *Geophys. Res.* 107, no. B8, EPM 8-1, doi: 10.1029/2001JB000514.
- 824 Meng, H., and Y. Ben-Zion (2018). Detection of small earthquakes with dense array data:
- example from the San Jacinto fault zone, southern California, *Geophys J Int* **212**, no. 1, 442–
- 826 457, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggx404.
- 827 Mikesell, T. D., K. van Wijk, M. M. Haney, J. H. Bradford, H. P. Marshall, and J. T. Harper
- 828 (2012). Monitoring glacier surface seismicity in time and space using Rayleigh waves,
- Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 117, no. F2, doi: 10.1029/2011JF002259.
- Mingo, L., and G. E. Flowers (2010). An integrated lightweight ice-penetrating radar system, *Journal of Glaciology* 56, no. 198, 709–714, doi: 10.3189/002214310793146179.
- 832 Mordret, A., M. Landès, N. M. Shapiro, S. C. Singh, P. Roux, and O. I. Barkved (2013). Near-
- surface study at the Valhall oil field from ambient noise surface wave tomography, *Geophys J Int* 193, no. 3, 1627–1643, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggt061.
- 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000
- 835 Moreau, L. (1999). Explications et synthèse des variations de l'hydrographie sous-glaciaire du
- 836 glacier d'Argentière, Mont-Blanc, grâce aux mesures de l'écoulement du glacier sur son lit
- 837 rocheux de 1970 à 1998, *La Houille Blanche*, no. 5, 40–46, doi: 10.1051/lhb/1999056.
- 838 Nanni, U., F. Gimbert, C. Vincent, D. Gräff, F. Walter, L. Piard, and L. Moreau (2020).
- 839 Quantification of seasonal and diurnal dynamics of subglacial channels using seismic
- 840 observations on an Alpine glacier, *The Cryosphere* **14**, no. 5, 1475–1496, doi:
- 841 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1475-2020.
- 842 Neave, K. G., and J. C. Savage (1970). Icequakes on the Athabasca Glacier, *Journal of*
- 843 *Geophysical Research (1896-1977)* **75**, no. 8, 1351–1362, doi: 10.1029/JB075i008p01351.
- 844 Nelder, J. A., and R. Mead (1965). A Simplex Method for Function Minimization, The
- 845 *Computer Journal* **7**, no. 4, 308–313, doi: 10.1093/comjnl/7.4.308.
- 846 Podolskiy, E. A., K. Fujita, S. Sunako, A. Tsushima, and R. B. Kayastha (2018). Nocturnal
- 847 Thermal Fracturing of a Himalayan Debris-Covered Glacier Revealed by Ambient Seismic
- 848 Noise, *Geophysical Research Letters* **45**, no. 18, 9699–9709, doi: 10.1029/2018GL079653.
- 849 Podolskiy, E. A., and F. Walter (2016). Cryoseismology, Rev. Geophys. 54, no. 4,
- 850 2016RG000526, doi: 10.1002/2016RG000526.
- 851 Preiswerk, L. E., C. Michel, F. Walter, and D. Fäh (2019). Effects of geometry on the seismic
- 852 wavefield of Alpine glaciers, *Annals of Glaciology* **60**, no. 79, 112–124, doi:
- 853 10.1017/aog.2018.27.
- 854 Ringler, A. T., R. E. Anthony, M. S. Karplus, A. A. Holland, and D. C. Wilson (2018).
- 855 Laboratory Tests of Three Z-Land Fairfield Nodal 5-Hz, Three-Component Sensors,
- 856 Seismological Research Letters 89, no. 5, 1601–1608, doi: 10.1785/0220170236.
- 857 Ritz, C., T. L. Edwards, G. Durand, A. J. Payne, V. Peyaud, and R. C. A. Hindmarsh (2015).
- 858 Potential sea-level rise from Antarctic ice-sheet instability constrained by observations,

- 859 Nature 528, no. 7580, 115–118, doi: 10.1038/nature16147.
- 860 Roeoesli, C., F. Walter, J.-P. Ampuero, and E. Kissling (2016). Seismic moulin tremor, J.

861 Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 121, no. 8, 2015JB012786, doi: 10.1002/2015JB012786.

- 862 Rost, S., and C. Thomas (2002). Array Seismology: Methods and Applications, Reviews of
- 863 *Geophysics* **40**, no. 3, 2-1-2–27, doi: 10.1029/2000RG000100.
- 864 Roux, P.-F., D. Marsan, J.-P. Métaxian, G. O'Brien, and L. Moreau (2008). Microseismic
- 865 activity within a serac zone in an alpine glacier (Glacier d'Argentière, Mont Blanc, France),

Journal of Glaciology **54**, no. 184, 157–168, doi: 10.3189/002214308784409053.

- 867 Roux, P., L. Moreau, A. Lecointre, G. Hillers, M. Campillo, Y. Ben-Zion, D. Zigone, and F.
- 868 Vernon (2016). A methodological approach towards high-resolution surface wave imaging of
- the San Jacinto Fault Zone using ambient-noise recordings at a spatially dense array, *Geophys*
- 870 *J Int* **206**, no. 2, 980–992, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggw193.
- 871 Roux, P.-F., F. Walter, P. Riesen, S. Sugiyama, and M. Funk (2010). Observation of surface
- seismic activity changes of an Alpine glacier during a glacier-dammed lake outburst, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* 115, no. F3, doi: 10.1029/2009JF001535.
- 874 Roux, P., M. Wathelet, and A. Roueff (2011). The San Andreas Fault revisited through seismic-
- noise and surface-wave tomography, *Geophysical Research Letters* 38, no. 13, doi:
- 876 10.1029/2011GL047811.
- 877 Scherler, D., B. Bookhagen, and M. R. Strecker (2011). Spatially variable response of
- Himalayan glaciers to climate change affected by debris cover, 3, *Nature Geoscience* 4, no. 3,
 156–159, doi: 10.1038/ngeo1068.
- 880 Schoof, C. (2010). Ice-sheet acceleration driven by melt supply variability, Nature 468, no.
- 881 7325, 803–806, doi: 10.1038/nature09618.
- 882 Schoof, C. (2005). The effect of cavitation on glacier sliding, Proceedings of the Royal Society
- *of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 461, no. 2055, 609–627, doi:
 10.1098/rspa.2004.1350.
- 885 Sergeant, A., M. Chmiel, F. Lindner, F. Walter, P. Roux, J. Chaput, F. Gimbert, and A. Mordret
- (2020). On the Green's function emergence from interferometry of seismic wave fields
 generated in high-melt glaciers: implications for passive imaging and monitoring, *The*
- 888 *Cryosphere* **14**, no. 3, 1139–1171, doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1139-2020.
- 889 Seydoux, L., N. M. Shapiro, J. de Rosny, F. Brenguier, and M. Landès (2016). Detecting
- seismic activity with a covariance matrix analysis of data recorded on seismic arrays,
- 891 Geophys J Int 204, no. 3, 1430–1442, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggv531.
- 892 Stolt, R. H. (1978). Migration by Fourier transform, *Geophysics* 43, no. 1, 23–48, doi:
- 893 10.1190/1.1440826.
- 894 Tedstone, A. J., P. W. Nienow, N. Gourmelen, A. Dehecq, D. Goldberg, and E. Hanna (2015).
- Becadal slowdown of a land-terminating sector of the Greenland Ice Sheet despite warming,
 Nature 526, no. 7575, 692–695, doi: 10.1038/nature15722.
- Nature 520, 10.7575, 092-095, uoi. 10.1056/hatter 15722.
- 897 Tsai, V. C., B. Minchew, M. P. Lamb, and J.-P. Ampuero (2012). A physical model for seismic
- noise generation from sediment transport in rivers, *Geophysical Research Letters* 39, no. 2,
 L02404, doi: 10.1029/2011GL050255.
- 900 Tsai, V. C., and J. R. Rice (2010). A model for turbulent hydraulic fracture and application to
- 901 crack propagation at glacier beds, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* 115, no.
 902 F3, n/a–n/a, doi: 10.1029/2009JF001474.
- 903 Vallon, M. (1967). Contribution à l'étude de la Mer de Glace Alpes françaises, phdthesis,
 904 Faculté des Sciences de l'Université de Grenoble.
- 905 Vandemeulebrouck, J., P. Roux, and E. Cros (2013). The plumbing of Old Faithful Geyser
- revealed by hydrothermal tremor, *Geophysical Research Letters* 40, no. 10, 1989–1993, doi:
 10.1002/grl.50422.
- 908 Veen, C. J. van der (2007). Fracture propagation as means of rapidly transferring surface

- 909 meltwater to the base of glaciers, Geophysical Research Letters 34, no. 1, doi:
- 910 10.1029/2006GL028385.
- 911 van der Veen, C. J. (1998). Fracture mechanics approach to penetration of surface crevasses on
- glaciers, *Cold Regions Science and Technology* 27, no. 1, 31–47, doi: 10.1016/S0165232X(97)00022-0.
- 914 Vincent, C., and L. Moreau (2016). Sliding velocity fluctuations and subglacial hydrology over
- 915 the last two decades on Argentière glacier, Mont Blanc area, *Journal of Glaciology*, 1–11,
- 916 doi: 10.1017/jog.2016.35.
- 917 Vincent, C., A. Soruco, M. F. Azam, R. Basantes-Serrano, M. Jackson, B. Kjøllmoen, E.
- 918 Thibert, P. Wagnon, D. Six, A. Rabatel, et al. (2018). A Nonlinear Statistical Model for
- 919 Extracting a Climatic Signal From Glacier Mass Balance Measurements, *Journal of*
- 920 Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 123, no. 9, 2228–2242, doi: 10.1029/2018JF004702.
- 921 Vincent, C., A. Soruco, D. Six, and E. L. Meur (2009). Glacier thickening and decay analysis
- 922 from 50 years of glaciological observations performed on Glacier d'Argentière, Mont Blanc
- 923 area, France, Annals of Glaciology 50, no. 50, 73–79, doi: 10.3189/172756409787769500.
- 924 Vivian, R., and G. Bocquet (1973). Subglacial Cavitation Phenomena Under the Glacier
- 925 D'Argentière, Mont Blanc, France, *Journal of Glaciology* **12**, no. 66, 439–451, doi:
- 926 10.3189/S0022143000031853.
- 927 Waldhauser, F., and W. L. Ellsworth (2000). A Double-Difference Earthquake Location
- Algorithm: Method and Application to the Northern Hayward Fault, California, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* **90**, no. 6, 1353–1368, doi: 10.1785/0120000006.
- 930 Walter, F., J. F. Clinton, N. Deichmann, D. S. Dreger, S. E. Minson, and M. Funk (2009).
- 931 Moment Tensor Inversions of Icequakes on Gornergletscher, SwitzerlandMoment Tensor
- 932 Inversions of Icequakes on Gornergletscher, Switzerland, Bulletin of the Seismological
- 933 Society of America **99**, no. 2A, 852–870, doi: 10.1785/0120080110.
- 934 Walter, F., D. Gräff, F. Lindner, P. Paitz, M. Köpfli, M. Chmiel, and A. Fichtner (2020).
- 935 Distributed acoustic sensing of microseismic sources and wave propagation in glaciated
- 936 terrain, 1, *Nature Communications* **11**, no. 1, 2436, doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15824-6.
- 937 Walter, F., P. Roux, C. Roeoesli, A. Lecointre, D. Kilb, and P.-F. Roux (2015). Using glacier
- seismicity for phase velocity measurements and Green's function retrieval, *Geophys J Int* 201,
 no. 3, 1722–1737, doi: 10.1093/gii/ggv069.
- 940 Wathelet, M., J.-L. Chatelain, C. Cornou, G. Di Giulio, B. Guillier, M. Ohrnberger, and A.
- Savvaidis (2020). Geopsy: A User-Friendly Open-Source Tool Set for Ambient Vibration
 Processing, *Seismological Research Letters* 91, doi: 10.1785/0220190360.
- 943 Weaver, C. S., and S. D. Malone (1979). Seismic Evidence for Discrete Glacier Motion at the
- 944 Rock–Ice Interface, *Journal of Glaciology* **23**, no. 89, 171–184, doi:
- 945 10.1017/S0022143000029816.
- 946 Weiss, J. (2004). Subcritical crack propagation as a mechanism of crevasse formation and
- 947 iceberg calving, *Journal of Glaciology* **50**, no. 168, 109–115, doi:
- 948 10.3189/172756504781830240.
- 949 Welch, P. D. (1967). The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: A
- 950 method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms, IEEE Transactions on
- 951 *audio and electroacoustics* **15**, no. 2, 70–73.
- 952 Zhan, Z. (2019). Seismic Noise Interferometry Reveals Transverse Drainage Configuration
- Beneath the Surging Bering Glacier, *Geophysical Research Letters* 46, no. 9, 4747–4756, doi:
 10.1029/2019GL082411.
- 955 Zoet, L. K., B. Carpenter, M. Scuderi, R. B. Alley, S. Anandakrishnan, C. Marone, and M.
- Jackson (2013). The effects of entrained debris on the basal sliding stability of a glacier, J.
- 957 Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 118, no. 2, 656–666, doi: 10.1002/jgrf.20052.
- 958 Zwally, H. J., W. Abdalati, T. Herring, K. Larson, J. Saba, and K. Steffen (2002). Surface Melt-

Induced Acceleration of Greenland Ice-Sheet Flow, *Science* **297**, no. 5579, 218–222, doi: 10.1126/science.1072708.

1 **FIGURES**

2





Fig. 1: Maps of the Argentière Glacier and of the instruments deployed during the dense array 5 experiment (see associated legend for symbols correspondence). (a) Aerial picture of the 6 Argentière Glacier taken in 2003. The red rectangle indicates the area shown in Fig. 1(b), which 7 we focus on in this study. The yellow star refers to a permanent GNSS station and the red dot 8 in the inset shows the location of the glacier with respect to French and Swiss borders. (b) Map 9 showing the lower part of the Argentière Glacier along with instrument positions. White 10 contours indicate glacier surface topography as retrieved from structure from motion, and color 11 contours indicate topography outside of the glacier. Symbols refer to instruments as specified 12 in the legend. Numbers associated with red circles indicate nodes that are used for illustrative 13 examples in Fig. 4.



15 16 Fig. 2: (a) Ice thickness (black contours) and surface crevasse (black dots) maps. Green stars 17 correspond to the GNSS measured ground control points, while colored areas differentiate 18 between observations used to constrain the bed DEM: the blue area is from a 2018 surface 19 DEM, the purple area corresponds to regions where ice-bed coordinates are known from in-situ

borehole measurements and from excavated tunnels, and the red area corresponds to a region where glacier depth is inferred from the GPR measurements. The green line shows the track associated with the selected GPR profile shown in (b) and (c). The red line shows the profile shown in (d). (b) and (c) Examples of processed (b) unmigrated and (c) migrated GPR data acquired along the AB profile shown in (a). The yellow curve corresponds to the picked interface and the yellow ellipses highlight local reflectivity anomalies. (d) Surface elevation and bed elevation along the CD profile shown in (a).



31

Fig. 3: Time series of meteorological, hydrological and ice dynamic parameters during the dense array experiment (from 25 April to 6 June 2018, shaded in green). (a) Proglacial water discharge (blue), surface temperature (grey) and precipitation (green). (b) Horizontal ice surface measured with GNSS stations (orange lines) and basal sliding measured with at the ice-bed interface (thick purple line). See Nanni et al. (2020) for longer time series over the 2016-2018 period.



44 45 45 array (see corresponding numbers in Fig. 1) from 25 April to 5 May 2018. Curves indicate 2stations. (f) Proglacial water discharge timeseries (same as in Fig. 3). long (4 days, black lines) periods. See Fig. S1 for spectrograms over the whole period and all 20 Hz frequency-averaged seismic power as smoothed over short (3 hours, green lines) and Fig. 4: (a-e) Vertical-component spectrograms calculated at five selected stations across the



and (b) a surface event identified from match-field-processing. Corresponding event locations are shown in Fig. 8. Black circles correspond to picked P, S and Rayleigh arrival times and green lines on (a) correspond to predicted arrival times using a P-wave velocity of 3620 m.sec corresponds to the inferred source time panel b, likely corresponding to a refracted or reflected wave (black arrows). Fig. 5: Vertical-component seismograms of (a) a basal event identified from template matching and an S-wave velocity of 1830 m.sec⁻¹. A hyperbolic arrival is also visible at large offsets on Time origin

51 52 54 55

48

47



events. The grey scale indicates correlation with the template signal. Grey areas indicate gaps in the data and the blue area highlights the time period spanned by our dense-array experiment.

(b) Waveforms of all events of a cluster normalized by peak amplitude (using the North component of the borehole station). The color bar indicates normalized waveform amplitude.
Each horizontal line represents one event. Time origin corresponds to the source time. (c) Median seismogram of all events shown in panel (b).



Fig. 7: (a) Two-dimensional representations of stick-slip event locations (red crosses) along the EF profiles shown in Fig. 8. Red error bars show the 95% confidence interval. Green circles indicate the projected depth at the exact location of each event. Black dots show node positions. (b) Average time residuals (background color coded image) and average icequake depth (white contours) as a function of the seismic wave velocities V_P and V_S used to locate basal icequakes. The red cross indicates the velocities $V_P=3620 \text{ m.sec}^{-1}$ and $V_S=1830 \text{ m.sec}^{-1}$ that minimize the average time residuals. The red line delineates the range of V_P and V_S associated with an average residual that is smaller than 105% of the minimum value.



Fig. 8: Map showing the positions of basal stick-slip clusters (filled blue circles) and of icequake
events (filled red circles, using signal at 13 Hz and sources with MFP output higher than 0.8).
The colored area shows phase velocities from Rayleigh-wave travel-time tomography at 13 Hz.
The CD profile refers to the profile used in Fig. 7 and the blue and red stars refer to the events
shown in Fig. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. Black dots show crevasses, contour lines show ice
thickness (m) and the red lines delineate the glacier extent.



86 87

Fig. 9: (a) Probability distribution functions (PDF) of MFP outputs obtained at 13 Hz when applying MFP on one day (1 May 2018) of real data (red) and on a numerically-generated random wavefield (green). The bottom panels (b) and (c) show the phase fields observed over a 1-s time window at 13 Hz for two selected events. Locations obtained from MFP using our minimization process are shown by the pink arrow/green crosses, while the contour lines show 0.1 and 0.8 MFP outputs iso-contours calculated by applying a grid search over the glacier

94 surface. Panel (d) shows the locations of the 29 starting points (black crosses) used for MFP 95 along with node positions (black circles).







97 98 Fig. 10. Inversion of an average one-dimensional structure using an average surface wave 99 dispersion curve. (a) Comparison between the observationally-derived dispersion curve (black crosses) and synthetic Rayleigh wave dispersion curves computed using the elastic model 100 101 displayed in (b) using glacier thicknesses of 180 m (purple), 200 m (blue) or 220 m (red). The 102 inset shows the distribution of phase velocity obtained from match-field-processing at 13Hz 103 (green) along with a Gaussian fit (red). The central value of the gaussian fit is used to establish 104 the dispersion curve. (b) Synthetic model used to predict the observed dispersion curve. (c) 105 Sensitivity kernels of Rayleigh waves as a function of depth for 16 Hz (green), 13 Hz (black) 106 and 10 Hz (orange) associated with the glacier model shown in (b).

107

- 110

Glacier Row

200

400

2800

2700

2600

2500 22500 Altitude (m asl)

2300

2200

(b) (a) 600 Northing (m from array center) Glac 400 km 200 Seismic measurements 0 Nodes sensors Surface borehole seismometer Complementary measurements -200 gNSS antennas GPR tracks ★ Subglacial wheel -400 200 m Weather station 🖌 Water discharge gauge -600 -400 -200 0 200 Easting (m from array center) -800







































Fig. S1: Spectrograms calculated at all stations over the whole period. The lower panel shows a map view of the node number nomenclature. The reader should refer to Fig. 1 for absolute positioning of the dense array. The color scale represents seismic power, as calculated in Fig. 4, and is identic across all panels.