

On The Efficiency of P -Wave Coda Autocorrelation in Recovering Crustal Structure: Examples From Dense Arrays in the Eastern United States

Chuang Wang, Benoit Tauzin, Thanh-son Pham, Hrvoje Tkalčić

To cite this version:

Chuang Wang, Benoit Tauzin, Thanh-son Pham, Hrvoje Tkalčić. On The Efficiency of P -Wave Coda Autocorrelation in Recovering Crustal Structure: Examples From Dense Arrays in the Eastern United States. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2020, 125 (12), $10.1029/2020JB020270$. hal-03025231

HAL Id: hal-03025231 <https://hal.science/hal-03025231v1>

Submitted on 7 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

¹ On the efficiency of P-wave coda autocorrelation in recovering crustal structure: examples from dense ³ arrays in the eastern US

Chuang Wang¹, Benoît Tauzin^{1,2}, Thanh-Son Pham¹, and Hrvoje Tkalčić¹

¹Research School of Earth Sciences, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia. ²Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, ENS, CNRS, Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon : ⁷ Terre, Plan`etes, Environnement, 69622 Villeurbanne, France.

Key Points:

4

5

6

- We investigate the efficiency of P-wave coda autocorrelation in recovering crustal 10 architecture
- ¹¹ We compare imaging from P-wave coda vertical autocorrelations and radial re-¹² ceiver functions using dense profiles from US arrays
- ¹³ Vertical autocorrelations clearly outperform radial receiver functions in presence ¹⁴ of a sedimentary basin

Corresponding author: Benoit Tauzin, benoit.tauzin@univ-lyon1.fr

–1–

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1029/2020JB020270

Abstract

 Due to a sharp contrast in elastic properties across the basement rocks of sedimentary basins (SBs), strong reverberations are generated during the passage of seismic waves. Traditional receiver function methods become inadequate for imaging crustal structure ¹⁹ due to the existence of these strong reverberations. We investigate the feasibility of an auto-correlation technique to extract vertical component receiver functions from teleseis- mic earthquake data and the efficiency of the method to image the crustal architecture in presence of a SB. The method involves spectral whitening followed by autocorrelation, and stacking in the depth domain. We show promising results when using temporary seis- mic networks in the eastern US. Using synthetic and field-data examples, we demonstrate that autocorrelations are more efficient than classical radial receiver functions in recov- ering structural properties in a SB context. We also perform a joint analysis of the am- plitudes on radial and vertical receiver functions for characterizing the thickness of the Mohorovičić discontinuity (Moho). We find that the Moho in the eastern US is a tran- sitional layer (up to 5 km thick) instead of a sharp boundary. Further, we point out that ³⁰ it is challenging to unambiguously pick and interpret reflected phases on autocorrelations because of the effects of reverberations, cross-mode contaminations, and a narrow fre-³² quency band limiting the resolution of velocity gradients. We believe that this is not a unique problem to our particular dataset, but to most studies based on autocorrelations, ³⁴ and we therefore send a message of caution for future interpretations based on this tech-nique.

1 Introduction

 Teleseismic earthquakes occur at distances of more than thousands of kilometers away from the recorders (typically epicentral distances larger than 30°). At these epi- central distances, the incoming teleseismic wave is approximately a plane wave with a relatively steep incidence angle. Burdick and Helmberger (1974) noticed that vertically polarized teleseismic waveforms are dominated by the source, the near-source structure ⁴² and the instrument effects. The near-receiver structure, due to a small incidence angle, influences mainly the compressional wave (P wave) response in the vertical seismograms. Similarly, the shear wave (S wave) response of the structure beneath a receiver can be retrieved from the horizontal seismograms. Langston (1979) proposed a technique to de- termine the near-receiver structural response by deconvolving the vertical component of teleseismic seismograms from the horizontal ones. Through deconvolution, the compli- cated source and wavefield propagation effects away from the receiver are removed from the horizontal components, and the results are referred to as the radial receiver functions (RFs). Vinnik (1977) introduced slightly earlier the correlation-based approach to com-pute receiver functions.

 The introduction of auto- and cross-correlations to seismology predated the devel- opment of the RF method. The autocorrelation of the transmission response of an acous- tic plane-wave was used by Claerbout (1968) to extract the reflection response of the strat- ification beneath a receiver. Autocorrelation is a mathematical operation that measures the similarity of a waveform with itself in a single time series. A similar operation, cross- correlation, involves two seismograms, and is used to build the radial RF with decon- volution (Clayton & Wiggins, 1976; Vinnik, 1977; Ammon, 1991). In the following, we will interchangeably use the terminology "autocorrelation" and "vertical RF", because in practice the vertical RF can be built from the autocorrelation of the vertical compo-nent seismogram (Tauzin et al., 2019).

 A few early studies used the principle of correlation for characterizing crustal struc-63 ture (e.g., L. J. Burdick & Langston, 1977; X.-Q. Li & Nábělek, 1999; C. Langston & ⁶⁴ Hammer, 2001). In particular, C. Langston and Hammer (2001) suggested that all three components of the RFs should be involved in inferring Earth-structure models, and demon strated the reliability of the technique by means of using vertical-component P-wave (au- tocorrelation) RFs. More recent developments of the autocorrelation method make use 68 of the vertically propagating component of the seismic ambient noise (e.g., Tibuleac $\&$ von Seggern, 2012; Gorbatov et al., 2013; Kennett, 2015; Kennett et al., 2015; Taylor et al., 2016; Saygin et al., 2017; Becker & Knapmeyer-Endrun, 2018). The difference be- tween the noise-based and event-based approaches is still under investigation (Kennett, 2015; Sun & Kennett, 2016; Romero & Schimmel, 2018; Buffoni et al., 2019; Tauzin et al., 2019). The choice of filtering have been shown to play an important role in the re- covery and type of recovered signal from noise autocorrelations (Helffrich, 2019) with two main approaches. The first approach is inherited from standard radial RFs analysis and makes use of frequencies smaller than 1 Hz (Tibuleac & von Seggern, 2012; Taylor et al., π 2016). This approach allows for the identification of individual reflected phases on au- tocorrelograms for subsequent picking and interpretation in terms of stratigraphy. The second approach makes use of the high-frequency component of the noise that involves an analysis within a narrow frequency band (Gorbatov et al., 2013; Kennett, 2015; Becker & Knapmeyer-Endrun, 2018; Romero & Schimmel, 2018). In this second case, determin-⁸² istic seismic arrivals are not anymore detected, and the interpretation is driven by changes in the reflectivity patterns in autocorrelations.

 In sedimentary basins (SBs), radial RFs are contaminated by a strong resonance effect. As shown by e.g. Zheng et al. (2005), RFs from recording sites that are filled by sediments are dominated by reverberations in the sedimentary layers within the first few seconds after the direct P-arrival. Indeed, due to a strong, basal, impedance contrast, the low-velocity sedimentary layer (LVZ) generates quite strong P-wave and S-wave re- verberations and conversions. The superimposed reflections and conversion at the base the sediments often dominate over the converted phases from deeper crustal disconti- nuities and mask corresponding information about Earth structure (Frederiksen & De- laney, 2015). Thus, conventional methods based on the analyses of travel-times of con-verted waves can lead to erroneous interpretations.

 Several methods have been developed for mapping the crustal structure in SBs. Those methods, designed to reduce SB reverberations, include downward continuation and wave- field decomposition (Langston, 2011), autocorrelation with a resonance removal filter in the frequency domain (Yu et al., 2015), and radial RF method to fit the waveforms and invert for elastic structure of a SB (Piana Agostinetti et al., 2018). Wavefield downward continuation method (Langston, 2011) requires a priori knowledge of Earth structure and modeling to extract wave conversions. This method has been applied to estimate sed- imentary and crustal structures (e.g., Tao et al., 2014). Another recent study investi- gated sedimentary structure of basins in China from frequency dependent P-wave par- ticle motion (Yang & Niu, 2019). Li et al. (2019) reported a joint inversion of Rayleigh wave phase velocity, particle motion, and teleseismic body wave data for sedimentary structures.

 Here, we investigate the performance of a new method for imaging the crustal ar- chitecture based on the spectral whitening and autocorrelation of the vertical compo-108 nent of teleseismic records (Pham & Tkalčić, 2017; Tauzin et al., 2019). We investigate whether this method is appropriate for characterizing the crustal structure in presence of a SB. Spectral whitening is the division in the frequency domain of the original spec- trum by the running average of its amplitude. We show that this operation efficiently filters out undesirable resonance associated with SBs. In comparison to the inversion of radial RF waveforms, autocorrelation based on filtering is computationally efficient for achieving direct imaging of the basin and crustal structure.

 In order to demonstrate our method with dense seismic arrays, we analyze broad- band data from temporary seismic arrays with quasi-linear geometry in the eastern US. Recently, two studies have used the principle of correlation to analyze the crustal struc- μ_{18} ture in the US. Delph et al. (2019) constrained the Moho depth and crustal Vp/Vs ra tio underneath 88 stations from the Transportable Array using vertical and radial com- ponent RFs. van IJsseldijk et al. (2019) used a dense seismic array in Minnesota and Wis- consin and a Global Phase Seismic Interferometry approach, which is based on autocor-relations of teleseismic P-waveforms, but recorded at small incidence angles.

 The seismic arrays that we use for imaging the crust in eastern US run from the Quaternary deposits in the Mississippi embayment, through a region of thickened crust at the western border of the Appalachian, to Maine in the northeast. Our data are di- vided into three sub-arrays with various station density and operation time that sam- ple different geological settings. We investigate in particular the efficiency of the method for imaging a laterally variable crust overlaid in places by SBs. We present the general features of the processing. Given the amount of data and the processing for source equal- ization, a data selection procedure is implemented, which is dedicated to improving the signal-to-noise ratio of specific reflected arrivals. We observed coherent signals along the three sub-arrays. A comparison with a reference crustal model suggests that we are able to unambiguously image the Moho and the internal stratification of the crust, in con- trary to radial RFs that poorly perform in presence of a SB. We also find in the east- ern US the Moho to be a transitional layer (up to 5 km thick) instead of a sharp bound-ary.

137 2 Methods and Data

 An example of vertical component RF for a simple one-layer crust is shown in Fig-139 ure 1b, with labels for major converted and reflected phases Ps , PPp , PPs and PSS . The paths are shown in Figure 1a. We also show in Figure 1b for comparison the the- oretical response recorded on the radial component. In our particular implementation of the vertical receiver function method, the extracted signal corresponds to the sequence of reflections (Figure 1b) in the stratification underneath the seismometer (Figure 1a). The main reflection being recorded on this vertical RF is the reflection from the top of the Moho discontinuity, PPmp, where "m" marks the Moho (Figure 1b). Its amplitude results from the interaction of the teleseismic wavefield with the free-surface, with a re- flection coefficient close to -1, and with the Moho interface, with a positive reflection co- efficient. The combined reflection response gives a negative amplitude for the Moho re- flection on the vertical RF record (Figure 1b). This response is not exactly the reflec- tion response as obtained within the frame of seismic interferometry (Claerbout, 1968; Wapenaar et al., 2010; Ruigrok & Wapenaar, 2012; Tauzin et al., 2019). Indeed, if we take the reflection response due to a virtual source located at the surface at the reflec- tion point of the teleseismic P-wave, then the negative reflection at the free surface is removed through the principle of interferometry, and the remaining reflection at the Moho is positive. Our estimated reflection response has therefore an opposite (negative) sign with respect to the P-wave reflection response in seismic interferometry imaging appli-cations.

We compute the vertical RFs using spectral whitening, followed by autocorrelation (Pham & Tkalčić, 2017; Tauzin et al., 2019). If $Z(f)$ is the spectrum of the vertical component, the vertical receiver function $E_z(f)$ is obtained from

$$
E_z(f) \approx \frac{Z(f) Z^*(f)}{Z''(f)},\tag{1}
$$

where the superscript $*$ marks the complex conjugate and $Z(f) Z^*(f)$ is the autocorrelation written in the frequency domain. $Z''(f)$ is the regularized vertical component power spectrum taken as an approximation for the teleseismic source function. Each frequency sample is obtained through smoothing over a window of width W so the regularized vertical spectrum is

$$
Z''(f) = \frac{1}{W} \int_{f-W/2}^{f+W/2} ||Z(\nu)||^2 d\nu.
$$
 (2)

©202<u>0</u> American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

 In the post-processing stage, we apply a 4th-order Butterworth filter with a band- pass chosen depending on the target structure. The frequency content necessary to im- age the shallow structure is higher (0.4 -1 Hz band-pass) than for imaging the Moho (0.2 -1 Hz band-pass). We also use different values of the smoothing width W depending on the target interface (see Pham & Tkalčić, 2018). We discuss the choice of the W param-₁₆₃ eter in section 5.3. We use a constant value of $W = 0.1$ Hz for targeting the Moho. We 164 set W to a larger value, 0.4 Hz, when targeting the shallow stratification.

To improve the signal-to-noise ratio, all the vertical component RFs for a single station are stacked to build a high-quality reflection response. As the RFs are obtained over a large range of epicentral distances, the travel-time of P-wave reflected phases follows a move-out due to the variable path-lengths of waves incoming on the stratification. For a single layer of thickness H and P-wave velocity v_p overlying a homogeneous halfspace, the travel-time of the PPp mode with respect to direct P (Figure 1b) is expressed by

$$
T_{PPp}(H, v_p, p) = 2H\sqrt{\frac{1}{v_p^2} - p^2},
$$
\n(3)

 $\frac{1}{165}$ where p is the slowness of the impinging P-wave. We use the generalization of this ex- pression to horizontally stratified media to compute a time-to-depth relationship and con- vert the time-domain auto-correlations into the depth-domain (Tauzin et al., 2019). This relationship is mode-dependent, and leads to destructive interference of signals that do 169 not follow the move-out of P-wave reflections PPp . Single station auto-correlations are then linearly stacked in the depth domain. Due to the fact that the PPms phase has a similar travel-time relation as PPmp, the PPms phase appears as a "second Moho" at deeper depth with weaker amplitude (see Z component in Figure 1b). We tested phase- weighted stacking (Schimmel & Paulssen, 1997) but did not find a major improvement in the quality of crustal images. We apply bootstrap resampling (Efron & Tibshirani, 1991) for estimating the variability of stacked waveforms.

Despite the fact that equation (1) can be modified to extract radial receiver functions (Tauzin et al., 2019), we computed classical radial receiver functions through waterlevel deconvolution (Clayton & Wiggins, 1976). The difference resides in the (quasi-)absence of the PPp mode in water-level receiver functions (Tauzin et al., 2019). In that case, the radial RF is given by

$$
E_r(f) \approx \frac{R(f) Z^*(f)}{Z'(f)},\tag{4}
$$

where $R(f)$ is the radial component spectrum. The regularized vertical spectrum $Z'(f)$ is the initial spectrum that is leveled to a minimum amplitude defined by the water-level c (Clayton & Wiggins, 1976),

$$
Z'(f) = max(||Z(f)||^2, c||Z||^2_{max}).
$$
\n(5)

We apply in this case a Gaussian filter (Clayton & Wiggins, 1976; Ammon, 1991). The radial RFs are then depth-converted using a time-to-depth relationship for the direct Ps conversion mode

$$
T_{Ps}(H, v_p, v_s, p) = H\left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{v_s^2} - p^2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{v_p^2} - p^2}\right].
$$
 (6)

¹⁷⁶ Radial RFs at a single station in the depth domain are then linearly stacked to build the ¹⁷⁷ conversion response.

 These methods are applied to broadband stations located in the eastern US (Fig- ure 1). This choice is motivated by the presence of seismic arrays with various station density and operation time that sample different geological settings. We investigate in particular the efficiency of the method for imaging a laterally variable crust underlying in places thick sedimentary basins (SB) such as in the Mississippi Embayment. Our data

Figure 1. a) P-wave reverberations from teleseismic records. The seismic stations (black inverted triangle) sits on top of the crust (orange) above the mantle (brown). The thickness of the crust is denoted as H . P-wave is marked by solid lines and S-wave by dashed lines. The phases most relevant in this study are shown as Ps (blue), PPp (red), PPs (green), and PSs (black). b) Vertical (black) and radial (red) responses of the ground below the receiver for a simple, onelayer crust. In the vetical RF, the PPmp (PPp) and PPms (PPs) phases both exists but PPmp is much stronger (Tauzin et al., 2019). c) Topography, together with seismic sub-arrays used in this study and the seismicity (black circles) from the USGS catalog. d) Main geological deposits color-coded as a function of age (P. G. Schruben et al., 1998). e) Seismic sub-arrays and crustal thickness taken from the globally compiled model CRUST1.0 (Laske et al., 2013). f) Sedimentary cover taken from CRUST1.0. The arrays belong to the following networks: Sub-Array I (red triangles) TA, Transportable Array; Sub-Array II (blue triangles) X4, Gulf of Mexico Basin Opening; Sub-Array III (white hexagrams) TA, Transportable Array.

¹⁸³ are separated in three sub-arrays (Figure 1). We use the global crustal model Crust1.0

¹⁸⁴ (Laske et al., 2013) and the geology of the conterminous United States (King & Beik-

¹⁸⁵ man, 1974; P. G. A. Schruben et al., 1994) to describe the a priori structure beneath these

 arrays (Figure 1). We benefit from the Transportable Array for constructing transects of the crustal structure over several thousand kilometers with an average inter-station spacing of 70 km (sub-arrays I and III). We distinguish Sub-Array I from III because they sample different geological structure. Sub-array I samples the thick sedimentary cover (up to 11 km) and thin crust (25-30 km) in the Mississippi embayment at southwest, and the western border of the Appalachian in the middle with a thicker crust (up to 50 km) and thinner sedimentary cover (3 km-thick) whereas sub-array III in Maine samples a standard crust (30 km thick) with no sedimentary cover. Finally, the Gulf of Mexico Basin Opening experiment (array II) is a linear array with 15 km inter-station spacing sam- pling sharp lateral changes of crustal and sedimentary thickness. Over 250 km, the model Crust1.0 indicates that the crust thins from 50 km at northwest to 35 km at southeast, and the sedimentary cover increases in thickness from 0 to 11 km. The 15-km inter-station spacing of sub-array II allows testing another imaging principle, Common Reflection Point (CRP) migration (Tauzin et al., 2019), which unfolds the information on the structure by projecting amplitude on the RFs onto the final leg of the path of reflected waves un-derneath the receivers (supplementary Figure S11).

 The set of data used in this study is the same as used for classical radial RF stud- ies. Metadata and data are provided by the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seis- mology (IRIS) data center (DMC) (Ahern et al., 2007) and the Global Centroid Moment Tensor (GCMT) catalog (Ekström et al., 2012). We used three-component stations with the broadband channel BH, and selected events from the GCMT catalog with moment ₂₀₇ magnitudes larger than 5.5 and epicentral distances between 30 and 90[°] to avoid the ef- fect of triplications at mantle transition zone discontinuities and the core shadow zone. ²⁰⁹ The processing is implemented using Matlab[®] scientific programing language, with the $_{210}$ routine available at http://perso.ens-lyon.fr/benoit.tauzin/data.htm.

 The seismograms were extracted and preprocessed to remove asynchronous records on the three components, resampled, and projected to the desired vertical-radial-transverse component orientations. We selected data with seismogram signal-to-noise ratio larger than 1.7, the signal and noise being measured from a root mean square over windows $_{215}$ following ([0 3.25] s) and preceding ([-2.5 -0.5] s) the theoretical P-wave arrival (at 0 s) 216 in the iasp91 model (Kennett & Engdahl, 1991). The seismograms are pre-processed to start 20 s before the P-wave arrival with a duration of 80 s. The seismograms are then processed using equations (1) and (4), i.e. applying spectral whitening and autocorre-lation to build vertical RFs and water-level deconvolution for radial RFs.

 The time-to-depth relationships, i.e. equations (3) and (6), are computed based on the velocity structure in the Crust1.0 model (Laske et al., 2013) at corresponding sta- tion locations. Crust1.0 is not taken here as providing the ground truth, but serves for a comparison with the observed data as well as guiding the interpretation of the recov- ered reflectivity. Crust1.0 is a global model that provides crustal types, thickness, and elastic properties of the crystalline crust according to basement age and tectonic setting (Laske et al., 2013). The Moho depth in particular is constrained by data from active- source seismic studies as well as radial RF analysis. So this model provides a good ref- erence for calibrating our seismic images and discussing the deviation of the first order structure from this model. The model in each cell is described as an eight-layer profile, including three sedimentary layers and three crustal layers. The parameters including 231 P- and S-wave velocity, v_p , v_s , density, ρ and thickness, H, are given for each layer. Crust1.0 is discretized over a $1\times1^{\circ}$ pixel spatial grid (Laske et al., 2013). We do not expect to re- cover exactly the same structure beneath stations that do not have contributed to the model. This is the case for array II, which is densely sampling the equivalent of ∼2.5 cells of the Crust1.0 model (Figure 1). In all our applications, we compare the result of pro- cessing the observed data to synthetic data computed based on this global crustal model. We simulate the waveforms with the plane-wave matrix algorithm, "respknt" (Kennett, 1983; Randall, 1989). Synthetics waveforms are computed for the same acquisition geometry as in the observed data and processed with the same strategy: filtering, auto-

 correlation, time-to-depth conversion, and stacking. This approach is applied in all our synthetic experiments (Figures 2, 6, 7, 9e, 10, 11, S4-S7), except Figure 9a-9d where the $_{242}$ slowness is 0.06 s/km.

 For observed data, we found in a preliminary attempt that the selection based on a signal-to-noise criterion applied on seismograms alone is not sufficient to ensure good quality auto-correlation stacks. At frequencies investigated here, the noise on single au- tocorrelations is a combination of incoherent (ambient) noise and signal-generated noise. The signal-generated noise is a result of the teleseismic source function: it includes the characteristics of the seismic source (slip history, radiation pattern, source spectrum) as well as the effects of wave-propagation near the source. In addition, interfering phases, such as PP or PcP, add complexity unrelated to the receiver-side structure. Stacking a large number of data is required to decrease the noise level to acceptable level (Tauzin et al., 2019). But because we use temporary arrays (Figure 1), the number of available data is relatively small, which limits the performance of the stacking operation. Our ex- periments showed that at stations with a small number of data, even an application of a stacking with weighting based on the instantaneous phase (Schimmel & Paulssen, 1997) is not able to provide satisfying results. We therefore discarded all stations with less than 10 (for sub-arrays I and III) or 15 (sub-array II) available autocorrelations.

 We also relied on a more discriminative approach for data selection based on the use of a "phasing depth diagram". We use trial depths of seismic interfaces (phasing depths) and select traces that constructively contribute to the stacked signal at this depth. We provide in Figure S1 an illustrative diagram of the procedure, and in Figures S2 and S3 two examples based on simple synthetic signals and field data, respectively. For a neg- ative signal corresponding to an interface at X km depth, we first make a selection of the traces with a negative signal within ± 5 km from X km depth. We then stack the selected traces with bootstrap resampling. Because the traces are depth-converted, a successful selection of traces contributing to build a signal at X km depth should contribute to align (and build after stacking) signals for discontinuities at other depths. We retain only the traces that contribute to these multiple depths. We show in Supplementary Material how we use such a diagram juxtaposing stacked depth domain traces as a function of trial depths (the phasing depth diagram) to select a distribution of good quality data for a given station (supplementary Figures S1 and S3). This selective stacking method is more efficient applied to vertical RFs than to regular radial RFs. The reason is that the method focuses on removing the effect of random noise, but not coherent noise components. In regular radial RFs, a coherent noise component dominates, which is the structure-generated signal due to reverberations in the shallow sedimentary cover.

 Supplementary tables S1-S3 provide for the three sub-arrays the final number of RFs obtained at each station.

3 Synthetic experiments

 We present in Figure 2 the Crust1.0 structure and corresponding synthetic radial and vertical RFs for selected stations ordered by increasing thickness of the sedimentary cover in Crust1.0. Radial RFs have been computed through water-level deconvolution following equations (4)-(5) (Figure 2b). Vertical RFs are calculated using autocorrela- $\frac{283}{283}$ tion and spectral whitening following equation $(1)-(2)$ (Figure 2c). Generally, the ver- tical RFs provide good predictions for the Moho depth for all selected stations for all the velocity models. However, the radial RFs are more complicated. For a crustal cover with- out sedimentary basin (P56A), the 'Moho pulse' appears at the true depth and is reli- able. For a thin sedimentary cover (QUA2), oscillations due to reverberations are very strong at shallow depth, while the 'Moho pulse' can still be distinguished in the radial RF. The converted pulses are followed by short duration and low-amplitude oscillations

Figure 2. Reference P-wave velocity profiles (a) and corresponding synthetic radial (b) and vertical receiver functions (c) for 10 selected stations with increasing thickness of the sedimentary cover according to Crust1.0. The red lines indicates the Moho depth in Crust1.0. The vertical RFs are processed with $W = 0.1$ Hz.

 associated with post-cursory shear-wave reverberations of the converted wave in the thin sedimentary layer. For thicker sedimentary covers, the 'Moho pulses' are not reliable any- more. The pulses result from the interference of conversions at the Moho discontinuity and multiple reverberations (Figure 2b, station sets 3, 4 and 5). The Moho pulse can- not be distinguished from shallow multiples. In addition, the maximum amplitude on the radial RF is not the P-wave peak but the conversion at the base of the basin. This suggests that vertical RFs as processed here will be a more reliable source of informa-tion about the deep crustal structure than radial RFs.

Figure 3. P-wave auto-correlation signals (black traces) along the three sub-arrays in Figure 1c. The arrays are (a) sub-array I , (b) sub-array II, and (c) sub-array III. The top panel in each sub-plot presents the topographic profile (Smith & Sandwell, 1997), with markers positioning seismic stations. The bottom panels are the observed autocorrelograms (black traces) in the depth domain. To target the Moho, the vertical RFs are processed with $W = 0.1$ Hz and a post-processing filter with 0.2-1.0 Hz bandpass.

²⁹⁸ 4 Results

²⁹⁹ 4.1 Results for the three sub-arrays

 We show in supplementary Figures S5, S6, and S7 examples of vertical RF stacks for three selected Transportable Array stations from sub-array I. For these stations, and despite a two-year duration of acquisition, there is no apparent loss in quality of the stacked autocorrelograms with respect to a permanent station such as HRV (Figure S4). The data selection slightly improves the lateral continuity of signals when building a profile from stations with variable numbers of earthquake records (Figure S8). For 71 stations in sub-array I, 10 stations have a set of less than 15 RFs, which are discarded, and among the remaining 61 stations, 43 stations have no more than 50 waveforms. The applica- tion of bootstrap resampling allows to estimate the variability of stacked waveforms and demonstrates that we observed robust signals (supplementary Figure S9).

 Overall, the vertical RFs are relatively simple with 2, at maximum 3, major pulses ³¹¹ (Figure 3). This is in contrast with the radial RFs, which show significantly more com- plexity (see section 5.2 in discussion; Figure 7). Chains of pulses are consistently observed along the three profiles at ∼30 km and ∼40 km depth (Figure 3), likely associated with the deepest crustal layering. Yet, it can be relatively complicated to interpret vertical RFs in terms of a deterministic structure. Multiple arrivals with similar amplitudes are ₃₁₆ recovered at crustal levels, making the identification of the Mohorovičić discontinuity am- biguous. In some cases, arrivals are detected at lithospheric mantle depth, for example at the southwestern portion of sub-array III (Figure 3c), where the Moho is not expected

 to lie deeper than 35 km according to Crust1.0 (Figure 1e). Although we cannot take the structure provided by Crust1.0 as the ground truth, we can interpret this deep sig-³²¹ nal as a fictitious interface that results from the arrival of multiple reverberations with cross-mode coupling, PPs (see Figure 1a, b and synthetic experiment based on Crust1.0 in section 4.2).

 A priori geological and seismological information show that major holocene to ceno- zoic sedimentary basin structures are sampled by sub-array I and II (Figure 1d, f). Yet, we do not observe significant lateral variations of signal quality when the arrays cross such structures (Figure 3a). We will show in section 5.2 that this is a clear advantage of the vertical RFs over the traditional radial RF method.

³²⁹ 4.2 Comparison with Crust1.0

Figure 4. Superimposition of observed P-wave auto-correlation signals (black traces) to the P-wave velocity structure (background colors) in the reference crustal model Crust1.0 (Laske et al., 2013). The white circles are associated with the local seismicity (USGS catalog; see Figure 1c). The layers are sed. (sedimentary layers), u.c. (upper crust), m.c. (middle crust), l.c.(lower crust), and m. (mantle).

 We first use Crust1.0 as a reference crustal model for comparison, but not acting as constraint to interpret crustal structures. In a second step, we use Crust1.0 as a guide for identifying and picking the Moho interface on vertical autocorrelations. Autocorre- lations are plotted against Crust1.0 P-wave velocities in the background of Figure 4. The three sedimentary basin layers are marked with dark blue colors (sed.), the crustal lay- ers as light blue to brown (upper, mid and lower crust; u.c., m.c. and l.c.), overlying the mantle (m.) in yellow. This a priori seismological structure allows a more confident in- terpretation of the horizons detected with vertical autocorrelations. In all three profiles, we observe a rough agreement between the large negative peaks in observed data and ³³⁹ the velocity model. There is good but not perfect agreement between the strongest am- plitude negative pulses observed on autocorrelations and the base of the crust (brown-to-yellow transition). We find that the northeast portion of sub-array I and sub-array

³⁴² III both produce the best match with the Moho depth in Crust1.0, where the crust con-³⁴³ tains no sedimentary layers and is the most laterally homogeneous.

³⁴⁴ We propose in Figure 5a a guided picking of interfaces of the crust, where the pur- ple horizon represents the Moho discontinuity and the shallower blue horizon an intra- crustal discontinuity. We demonstrate here that extracting coherent information about ³⁴⁷ P-wave reflections from event-based vertical RFs/autocorrelations computed from tem- porary networks and dense arrays is feasible. The continuous horizon between 20 and 349 30 km depth on the profile obtained from sub-array I in blue (Figure 5) is consistent with a reflective interface at the top of the lower crust. A number of arrivals at shallower and deeper levels have low-amplitude and/or poorer lateral continuity, so are more difficult to interpret. The comparison between Figures 4 and 5 suggests that Transportable Ar- ray data are of sufficient quality to highlight with autocorrelations the crustal internal stratification.

Figure 5. The same as Figure 3, but now with the proposed picks of laterally continuous crustal interfaces. The light purple horizon represents the Moho discontinuity and the shallower, blue horizon, an intra-crustal discontinuity.

 However, there are differences (Figure 4): (i) there exist minima and maxima in the vertical RFs that are not associated with discontinuities as described by Crust1.0, in particular, in the lithospheric mantle; (ii) many small amplitude arrivals might cor- respond to features present in the Crust1.0 model but are slightly offset, (iii) along the 250 km extent of the linear sub-array III, the data reveal variations of Moho topogra- $\frac{1}{360}$ phy (Figure 4b) that are not present in the model, (iv) at depths $\lt 10$ km, the response loses its interpretability as it appears dominated by signal-processing artifacts.

 For further comparison, we computed the synthetic vertical RFs for the Crust1.0 model with the same acquisition geometry as observed in the data (Figure 6). This syn- thetic experiment demonstrates that vertical RFs are fairly simple and, in theory, most of the predicted pulses can be deterministically attributed to interfaces in the input model, although some secondary arrivals at crustal depth and in the uppermost mantle can be

Figure 6. Superposition of synthetic P-wave auto-correlation signals (black traces) to the P-wave velocity structure (background colors) in the global crustal model Crust1.0 (Laske et al., 2013). The light purple and blue horizons are same as Figure 5.

 attributed to "peg-leg reverberations" or cross-mode contamination (PPms). In addi- tion, this synthetic experiment shows that the method, with this choice of parameters s_{69} (f_{min} = 0.2 Hz and $W = 0.1$ Hz), suffers from a loss in resolution at shallow depth (< 10 km). This is the result of the pronounced side-lobe of the peak at zero-lag in the autocorrelations.

³⁷² We can draw three important conclusions from the comparison of Figures 4 and 6. Firstly, observed vertical autocorrelations are more complex than predicted based on Crust1.0, suggesting that there exist at crustal level small-scale heterogeneities and/or 375 3D effects of wave-propagation that are not captured using the reference model. Secondly, our method successfully recovers the crustal information without loss of signal-to-noise ratio or increased complexity in regions with thick sedimentary cover. This latter con- clusion is supported by both the synthetic and observed data in the southwest portion of sub-array I and eastern portion of sub-array II (Figures 4 and 6). Finally, in the ob- served data, P-wave reflections from the top of the Moho discontinuity are consistently observed with lower amplitudes than predicted by the Crust1.0 model (Figures 4 and 6). In contrast, reflected pulses associated with intra-crustal discontinuities have a sim-ilar or slightly higher amplitude than predicted by Crust1.0.

 Supplementary Fig. S10 shows a negative correlation (-0.58) between our observed crustal thickness and the thickness of the sedimentary cover in the Crust1.0 model. This anti-correlation is predicted for a crust in isostatic equilibrium. The fit of a theoretical 387 model to our best fitting line is obtained for a sediment density of 2.15 g/cm3, which is 388 within the continental average range of densities for soft (2.07 g/cm^3) and hard (2.38 m) $\text{sg}/\text{cm}3$) sediments in the Crust1.0 model (Laske et al., 2013).

5 Discussion

391 5.1 On the interpretation of autocorrelations

 As presented in our results section, we unambiguously identify two chains of pulses near 30 and 40 km depth. From synthetic experiments and using of independent infor- mation (Crust1.0 model), we demonstrated that these chains of pulses are related to the reflections from the upper side of the Moho discontinuity, namely PPmp and PPms, and from the top of the lower crustal layer. Due to the limited number of data and intrin- sic characteristics of the Moho boundary (see section 5.4), it can, however, be challeng- ing to unambiguously pick the PPmp phase on autocorrelations. This is not a unique problem to our particular dataset, as most other prior studies based on autocorrelations suffer from similar interpretation problems (cross-mode contaminations and narrow fre- quency band limiting the resolution of velocity gradients) (e.g., Tibuleac & von Seggern, 2012; Gorbatov et al., 2013; Sun & Kennett, 2016; Taylor et al., 2016; Saygin et al., 2017; Becker & Knapmeyer-Endrun, 2018). In addition, we show in next section that the ver- tical RFs behave better than radial RFs in the presence of a sedimentary cover. We there-fore cast our analysis as a reference for future interpretations based on this technique.

 Tauzin et al. (2019) showed that the lateral sampling of the PPp mode increases with the depth of investigation, and the difference with typical Ps conversion modes used in the radial RF imaging is of the order of 5 km at the depth of the Moho. This means that, in the single-station stacking, the cone of sensitivity of the P-wave reflections sur- rounding the stations will have a 10 to 20 km diameter (this distance is slowness-dependent) ⁴¹¹ while it will have only a 5 to 10 km diameter for the Ps conversions. As such, station- based gathering will be slightly more vulnerable to the presence of strong lateral vari-ations in the Moho depth (crustal thickness) than in the radial RF imaging.

 The effect will be a slight decrease in amplitude of the reflected phase due to out- of-phase stacking. In addition to lateral variations of the Moho, crustal heterogeneity ⁴¹⁶ and surface topography both contribute to the PPmp-P differential travel time. The max-⁴¹⁷ imum topographic difference in our study is about 1 km. We estimate the delays intro- duced by a 1-km topography of the Earth surface and at the Moho discontinuity to be 0.8 s and 0.3 s respectively. Such delays are significant, but smaller than the width of ⁴²⁰ the reflected pulse (1s). Consequently, if topographic variations over the area surround-⁴²¹ ing the station may contribute to the decrease in amplitude of the PPmp reflected pulse, they are unlikely to explain the whole difference with Crust1.0. This conclusion is also supported by the observation that the PPmp amplitudes are remarkably consistent across ⁴²⁴ all of our images, and appear uncorrelated with local gradients in surface topography. An additional strong argument for a negligible effect of surface topography is the obser- vation of the reflections from the top of the lower crust with amplitudes similar as pre- dicted by model Crust1.0. We conclude that the difference between the observed and the Crust1.0 Moho amplitudes must therefore have another origin (see section 5.4).

 In supplementary Figure S11, we present an image of the crustal structure along ⁴³⁰ the sub-array II based on the common reflection point stacking method (Tauzin et al., 2019), accounting for the geometry of illumination of the structure by the PPp mode. Sub-array II is better positioned for performing such an experiment as the inter-station distance is the smallest among the three subarrays considered here. The image remains consistent with the observed structure from the single-station stacking, although the por-tions of the image with poor ray coverage suffer from a low signal-to-noise ratio.

5.2 Radial receiver functions

 We present in Figure 7 stacked radial RFs for the three sub-arrays. Correspond- ing seismic sections are shown for the observed data and for the predictions from Crust1.0, 439 both superimposed to the v_p structure in Crust1.0. Because each interface generates a

Figure 7. Observed (a, c, and e) and synthetic (b, d, and f) radial receiver functions (black traces) superimposed to the P-wave velocity structure (background colors) in the global crustal model Crust1.0 (Laske et al., 2013). Panels the others are synthetics. No post-processing filtering is applied.

 triplet of phases (Ps, PPs, PSs), the crustal structure has less readability than in the case of vertical RFs, even in the synthetic case (compare Figure 7b to 6a). The simplest ra- dial RFs are obtained for sub-array III because the crust does not include a near-surface sedimentary cover. In this case, the Moho has the strongest amplitude and the maxima of converted Ps pulses can easily be associated with crustal interfaces (Figure 7e-f).

⁴⁴⁵ When one or several low-velocity sedimentary layers are present, radial RFs get more ⁴⁴⁶ complicated. Instead of being dominated by positive pulses associated with increases of

 velocity/impedance at intra-crustal discontinuities (Figure 7e, f), radial RFs incorpo- rate a strongly oscillating component (Figure 7a-d) with unreliable converted pulses as- sociated with the Moho discontinuity. This behavior is easily explained by the synthetic experiment shown in section 3. In conclusion, even in absence of noise of natural origin, the radial RFs are less reliable tools than the vertical RFs when low-velocity sedimen- tary layers exist near the surface. In comparison, the vertical RFs are simpler to inter- pret, although it is fair to say that they are not void of artifacts related to these shal- low reverberations. We discuss the effect of reverberations and sedimentary basin struc- ture in section 5.3, together with the impact of playing with the width of the smooth- $\frac{456}{456}$ ing window W for spectral whitening. We show in particular that a well-chosen value ⁴⁵⁷ of W significantly improves the readability of the crust because spectral whitening can act as a resonance removal filter.

⁴⁵⁹ 5.3 Filtering out basin resonance through spectral whitening

 In sedimentary basins, a strong resonance effect is recorded on the vertical com- ponent as P-wave reverberates multiple times in the low-velocity sedimentary cover. Fig- ure 8 shows the sequence of P-wave reverberations in a simple sedimentary structure, with a single layer. The sedimentary layer has lower velocities than the half-space be- neath it. Teleseismic P waves reach the basement of the sedimentary layer and trans- mits as first-order P waves. The reflection of P waves at the free surface is a total reflection. Due to the significant impedance contrast at the base of the sedimentary layer, ₄₆₇ the free surface reflected P-waves reverberate multiple times inside the sedimentary layer. These reverberations contribute to a strong resonance effect, which is recorded by the receiver on the Earth's surface mainly on the vertical component. In the time domain, ₄₇₀ these reverberations correspond to strong amplitude pulses that contaminate the seis-⁴⁷¹ mograms. A remark is that on the vertical component, interference effects from converted S-waves are small because of the extremely low-incidence angle of P-waves due to the ⁴⁷³ slow velocities in the SB layer.

Figure 8. Sequence of reverberations in a sedimentary layer. The seismic station (black inverted triangle) sits on a sedimentary layer (orange) above the solid crust (brown). The direct P wave reaches the discontinuity between the sedimentary layer and the solid crust, and generates a first-order P wave. Due to the significant impedance contrast, the first-order P waves reverberate multiple times inside of the sedimentary layer. The thickness of the sedimentary layer is denoted as h. θ_{P_c} and θ_{P_s} are the incident angles of P waves in the crust and sediment layer respectively.

To understand the reverberations caused by SBs, we use an example with a sedimentary layer over a half space bedrock. The power spectrum of an impulse wavefront at normal incidence through a sedimentary layer over a half space bedrock can be expressed as,

$$
|Z(f)| = \frac{1-r}{1+r} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + (re^{-2\pi fQ})^2 - 2re^{-2\pi fQ}\cos 2\pi f\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
$$
\n⁽⁷⁾

where details can be referred to Appendix A in Pham and Tkalčić (2018) for the full deriva-⁴⁷⁵ tion of Equation 7 using the matrix propagation method (Claerbout, 1968; Kennett, 1983). ⁴⁷⁶ In Equation 7, $r = (\rho_s v_s - \rho_c v_c)/(\rho_s v_s + \rho_c v_c)$ is the reflection coefficient at the sedi- 477 mentary basin-crust interface in downward direction. ρ and v are density and wave speed $\frac{478}{478}$ of the media, where subscript s refers to the sedimentary basin and c to the crustal rock. $\tau = 2h/v$ is the two-way travel time in the layer. Q is the attenuation factor of in the ⁴⁸⁰ sediment layer.

The spectral response in Equation 7 is a function in the frequency domain, which is characterized by peaks that are equally spaced at resonance frequencies,

$$
f_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\tau}.\tag{8}
$$

 Despite the fact that Equation 7 is derived for normal incidence, it represents a good ap- proximation for slight oblique incidence (see Figure S12 in the supplement). This shows that the contribution of converted S wave on the vertical component in slightly oblique incidence is negligible.

 In equation (2), the frequency-dependent spectral-whitening operation allows balas ancing the frequency content of the RFs (Bensen et al., 2007; Pham & Tkalčić, 2017; Tauzin et al., 2019), and also removing specific spectrum-maxima related to the resonance of ⁴⁸⁸ the layered structure beneath a receiver. To illustrate this, we proceed with a numer- ical experiment with simple one-dimensional models (Figure 9). The first model has a one-layer crust (no SB). The second model has a two-layer crust including a layer near the surface with low-velocities mimicking the effect of a sedimentary cover (SB model). This layer is 2 km thick. The Moho is located at 30 km depth in both models. The elas- tic parameters chosen for the SB layer and the contrast in impedance across its base are consistent with the structure given for station QUA2 in Crust1.0.

 We simulate vertical-component waveforms with the same plane-wave matrix al- gorithm (Kennett, 1983; Randall, 1989). Figure 9b shows the results of the numerical experiment in the time-domain for vertical component waveforms. In addition to the peak at zero-lag, the black trace (no SB model) shows the pulses of the P-wave reflection at the Moho (PPmp). For the SB model (red trace), the large contrast of elastic proper- ties at the base of the SB introduces a strong resonance effect which contaminates the seismic response. This resonance corresponds to the ringing due to P-wave reverbera- tions in the shallow sedimentary layer (Equation (7)). This makes it difficult to distin- guish the reflection at the base of the crust (Figure 9b). In the frequency domain (Fig s ure 9c), the fundamental-mode resonance on the red spectrum appears as a broad and strong amplitude peak centered at ∼0.3 Hz, consistent with the 2-km thick, near-surface layer according to equation (3).

 Spectral whitening offers a way to turn off such a resonance. The first step con- sists in smoothing the vertical component spectrum running an average over the pre-defined $_{509}$ width W. We use $W = 0.1$ Hz in this example (Figure 9c). The smoothed spectrum (green) only preserves the broad peaks due to resonance in the shallow sedimentary layer. ⁵¹¹ The deconvolution in the frequency domain (Equation (1)) gives a spectrum close to the model without SB (Figure 9d), and the exponentially-decaying ringing is partially re- moved from the corresponding time-domain trace (Figure 9b). The whitened SB trace can now be interpreted, in particular to find the phase reflected at the Moho disconti- nuity. A residual ringing persists because the spectral whitening does not completely fil-ter out the effect of the shallow SB on the vertical component spectrum.

(SB white). Major identified phases are the P-wave reflections (PPmp) and the P-to-S reflection (PPms) above the Moho. The slowness $p = 0.06$ s/km. (c) Spectra $Z(f)$ of the vertical compo-Figure 9. Numerical experiment illustrating turning off the resonance associated with a **9.**
ary b sedimentary basin (SB model). (b) Simulated vertical responses aligned by P-wave arrival. The black seismogram is the vertical response for the normal crust (no SB). The red one is for the sedimentary basin (SB model). The blue one is the result of spectral whitening for the SB model shallow sedimentary layer. (a) Crustal velocity models with a "normal" crust (no SB) and a nent responses. The spectra in black and red represent the responses ZZ^* for the normal crust (no SB) and sedimentary basin (SB model) respectively. The spectrum in green represents the smoothed response $Z''(f)$ for the SB model. (d) The spectrum after spectral whitening for the SB model (blue), compared with the spectrum for the "normal crust" model (black). (e) Synthetic vertical autocorrelations for the same 10 stations as in Figure 2 computed with $W=0.4$ Hz. Combined with Figure 2c, this figure shows filtering-on or -off the resonance in the shallow sedimentary cover with different value of smoothing parameter W.

⁵¹⁷ Figures 9e and Figure 2c compare synthetic vertical autocorrelations for the var-⁵¹⁸ ious structures shown in Figure 2a, and show the effect of choosing different values of

 W . Choosing a large value of W (here $W = 0.4$ Hz) corresponds to applying the au- tocorrelation method without turning on the resonance removal filter (Figure 9e). When $\frac{521}{221}$ using $W = 0.1$ Hz, oscillations associated with SB reverberations are damped, and this eases the interpretation of the crustal structure (Figure 2c). In general, W=0.1 Hz is a reasonable value to choose when targeting a Moho near 35 km depth.

 Initially designed for improving the resolution of autocorrelations (Pham & Tkalčić, 2017), spectral whitening is a simple method that also acts as a resonance removal fil- ter. Here, we demonstrate that spectral whitening can effectively remove the resonance associated with SB. There exists other methods performing such a function. For exam- ple, Yu et al. (2015) used RFs to determine the crustal structures for seismic stations ₅₂₉ on top of a low-velocity sedimentary layer. They applied autocorrelation with a resonance $\frac{530}{100}$ removal filter in the frequency domain to reduce the amplitude of reverberations in the layer. Langston (2011) used downward continuation and wave-field decomposition to re- duce the effect of SB reverberations. This method requires a prior knowledge of the Earth structure and modeling to extract Ps conversions from the deeper crust. Tao et al. (2014) used wavefield downward continuation to estimate sedimentary and crustal structure. The same authors used the H- κ grid searching technique to study the Moho depth and 536 average Vp/Vs ratio. They applied H- β method to remove the reverberations caused by unconsolidated sediments. Yang and Niu (2019) studied sedimentary structure of basins in China from frequency dependent P-wave particle motion. Li et al. (2019) successfully isolated the Moho P-to-S conversion from sediment reverberations with a wavefield-downward- continuation technique. They reported a joint inversion that can retrieve the input sed-₅₄₁ imentary models. A comprehensive comparison between these methods and the resonance removal filter described here would be interesting but is out of the scope of this study.

5.4 The Moho as a transitional layer

 In high-frequency (> 10 Hz) active seismic reflection experiments, it is common to observe a laterally variable crustal reflectivity between geological terrances, but also a vertical contrast in reflectivity at the transition between the crust and upper mantle (e.g., $\frac{547}{454}$ Kennett & Saygin, 2015). The Mohorovičić discontinuity is regularly identified as the base of the lower crust, but is often itself not marked by a strong reflectivity. The up- per mantle shows no distinct reflections probably because the vertical scale of the struc- $\frac{1}{550}$ ture is longer than the seismic wavelength used in active reflection surveys (Kennett & Furumura, 2016). At the same time, seismic surveys based on radial RFs with lower fre- $\frac{552}{2}$ quency content (< 1 Hz) point at the Moho being the most reflective interface.

 $\frac{553}{100}$ The Moho is a first-order discontinuity in Crust1.0 with contrasts of ~16% in v_p 554 and ∼13% in v_s and density. Here, we find that amplitudes of low-frequency (0.2-1.0 Hz) P-waves reflections on top of the Moho, measured using autocorrelations (Figure 4), are weaker than predicted by the Crust1.0 model (Figure 6). We discussed in section 5.1 the effects of surface and boundary topography, pointing that these are unlikely explanations for such weak amplitudes. We here consider three alternative scenarios: (i) the elastic impedance contrast at the boundary is smaller than predicted by Crust1.0, (ii) there is an effect of anelasticity that is not accounted for in the seismic modeling, and/or (iii) the Moho is a transitional layer rather than a sharp boundary. The first scenario is un- likely as a smaller impedance contrast would lead to decreased Ps conversion amplitudes on radial component RFs as well. Nonetheless, where observed, the pulse of the direct Moho Ps (Figures 7a, c, and e) conversion has a similar amplitude as predicted by Crust1.0 (Figures 7b, d, and f). For the same reason, the second case is unlikely. The effect of at- tenuation is expected to be stronger on shear-waves than on P-waves, thus should affect more responses on the radial components.

 For petrological reasons, the seismic Moho is likely a gradual transition (e.g., Fur-long & Fountain, 1986; White & McKenzie, 1989; Arndt & Goldstein, 1989; Dufek & Bergantz,

Figure 10. Sensitivity of PPmp reflections and Pms transmissions to a gradual Moho layer. (a) Variation of the topside P-wave reflection coefficient Rpp (solid lines) and bottom-up transmission coefficient Tps (dashed lines) with the thickness of the velocity gradient at the Moho. Coefficients are calculated for a P plane wave with different slowness: $p = 0.04$ s/km (red), p $= 0.065$ s/km (black), and $p = 0.09$ s/km (blue). Here, the elastic properties across the Moho is defined by Crust1.0 for station KVTX in sub-array I. The value of coefficient is taken in the middle of the frequency band used in an analysis of vertical RFs (0.2 -1.0 Hz). (b) Histogram of measured amplitude of reflections (A_{PP_p}) for sub-arrays I and III. (c) Histogram of measured amplitude of conversions (A_{Ps}) for sub-arrays I and III. (d) Measured amplitudes of reflections (A_{PPp}) as a function of amplitudes of transmissions (A_{Ps}) for observed data of sub-arrays I (blue circles) and III (red triangles). (e) A_{PPp} v.s. A_{Ps} from synthetic (rectangle and diamond points) and observed data (grey circles and triangles) with Moho thickness $h = 0$ km and $h = 6$ km. Data belongs to sub-arrays I and III. (f) Theoretical curves of A_{PPp} as a function of A_{Ps} , with points indicating the Moho thickness ($h = 0, 5, 10, 15$ km). The grey points are extracted from observed data that is same as (c).

 2005; Thybo & Artemieva, 2013). We demonstrate here that a gradual transition could jointly explain our observations of amplitudes for topside P-wave reflections from ver- tical autocorrelations and P-to-S transmissions on radial RFs. P-wave reflections and P-to-S transmissions have a different sensitivity to velocity gradients (e.g. Bostock, 1999; Tauzin et al., 2019). We illustrate this by plotting the transmission and reflection co- efficients Tps and Rpp as a function of the vertical extent h of a transitional layer adopt- ing the contrasts in elastic properties for the Moho from Crust1.0 (Figure 10a). For this ₅₇₇ computation, we decompose the gradient in thin homogeneous layers and use a plane- wave matrix algorithm (Chapman, 2003; Ma et al., 2012; Tauzin et al., 2019). We use 579 a reference frequency of 0.6 Hz, which is the center of the frequency band of analysis for long-period vertical RFs. We also show the coefficient dependence on various slowness.

 $\frac{581}{100}$ Generally, the transmission coefficients T_{ps} decrease smoothly from a sharp interface to $\frac{582}{100}$ a ∼10 km-thick interface. In contrast, the reflection coefficients Rpp decrease abruptly 583 and non-linearly with h from ∼10% to 0% and reach at 0.6 Hz a minimum for a \sim 8 km-⁵⁸⁴ thick layer. This means that a transitional layer tends to affect the reflectivity of P-wave ⁵⁸⁵ reflections more than the reflectivity from direct P-to-S conversions (Bostock, 1999; Tauzin ⁵⁸⁶ et al., 2019).

Figure 11. Superposition of synthetic P-wave auto-correlation signals (black traces) to the P-wave velocity structure (background colors) in the global crustal model Crust1.0 (Laske et al., 2013) modified using a transitional Moho layer 6 km thick.

⁵⁸⁷ When possible, we measured the amplitudes of the Moho conversions and reflec-⁵⁸⁸ tions on the stacked observed vertical and radial waveforms for sub-arrays I and III from 589 Figures 3 and 7. Those observations are shown in Figure 10b-d, e(grey) and f (grey). As ⁵⁹⁰ shown by observation histograms in Figure 10b, the distribution of amplitude of the Moho ϵ_{591} reflection A_{PPp} has a maximum at 6%, whereas the amplitudes of conversion are more $\frac{592}{2}$ uniformly distributed over the range 0-15%. We observe a larger scatter in A_{Ps} than in A_{PPp} . These observations can be explained by the following: 1) the larger scatter in A_{Ps} ⁵⁹⁴ can result from the effect of slowness variations, which is stronger on P-to-S conversions than on P-P reflections (Figure 10a); 2) the A_{Ps} scatters more in sub-array I (with SBs) ⁵⁹⁶ than sub-array III (on a simple crust), possibly due to the effect of interferences from ⁵⁹⁷ reverberations in shallow SB layers. Those effects can be simulated through wave-propagation ⁵⁹⁸ in the Crust1.0 structure (Figures 10e, and 6, 12). The synthetic experiments confirm 599 larger scatter in A_{Ps} for sub-array I, which spreads between ~0% to more than 10%, while ₆₀₀ the coefficients A_{PPp} fall within the ranges of 8-12% for a Moho width $h = 0$ km (black ϵ_{001} squares) and 2-6% for $h = 6$ km (blue squares). Meanwhile, results from sub-array III ϵ_{602} (red and magenta diamond points) have narrow distributions in A_{PPp} and A_{Ps} , possi- $\frac{603}{100}$ bly due to the exemption of contaminations by shallow SB layers. In conclusion, A_{PPp} $\frac{604}{1000}$ offers more reliable constrains on the values of h. Therefore, from Figure 10f, we find that ₆₀₅ the solution explaining the amplitudes of the reflections is a transitional layer with a thick-⁶⁰⁶ ness slightly lower than 5 km. In Figure 11, we show the predicted waveforms for a 6 km thick gradient, from which we reported the measurements shown in Figure 10d. The pre- dicted Moho amplitudes appear to be in better agreement with the observed data (Figure 4) than the predictions from a first-order discontinuity (Figure 6). We note that the Moho transition can also be reduced to a value thinner than 5 km if we consider a com- ϵ_{01} bination of possible mechanisms that can reduce the Moho reflection amplitudes, such as crustal transmission losses, 3D scattering, and effects of inelastic attenuation. How- ever, we would have to explain why such mechanisms do not affect similarly affect the reflections from the top of the lower crust.

6 Conclusion

 We investigated the efficiency of an autocorrelation technique to extract vertical component receiver functions from teleseismic earthquake data and create images of the crust beneath dense seismic arrays. Using synthetic and field-data examples, we com- pared the performances of both vertical and radial RFs. We examined in particular the contribution of reverberations in shallow sedimentary (SB) layers to the images formed ϵ_{621} from both data types. Vertical RFs are simpler to interpret than radial RFs, although they are not void of complexities associated with shallow reverberations. We show that the operation of spectral whitening is critical for obtaining good quality images beneath SBs, as it acts as a resonance removal filter. We processed broadband data from three temporary USArray sub-arrays with quasi-linear geometry in the eastern US. By iden- tifying pulses of reflected P-waves on the vertical autocorrelograms, we were able to iden- tify the Moho and a laterally-variable crust structure beneath these subarrays. We ob- served coherent signals along the three sub-arrays despite the presence of SBs. Compared with the crustal model Crust1.0, our analysis suggests the existence of additional com- plexity related to fine-scale layering and/or 3D structure. We developed a method to con- $\frac{631}{100}$ strain the thickness of the Moho boundary from an amplitude analysis of reflected and converted phases on vertical and radial component RFs. This analysis shows that the Moho beneath eastern US is a transitional layer extending over a depth interval of up to 5 km. The proposed method is highly complementary to other receiver-based meth- ods and has the potential to advance our understanding of the crustal architecture with or without SBs.

637 Acknowledgments

 The IRIS Data Management Center was used for access to waveform data (available from $\frac{\text{http://service.iris.edu/fdsmws/dataselect/1/)}}{\text{http://service.iris.edu/fdsmws/dataselect/1/)}}$. IRIS Data Services are funded through

the Seismological Facilities for the Advancement of Geoscience and EarthScope (SAGE)

Proposal of the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement EAR-1261681.

We acknowledge Crust1.0 model (https://igppweb.ucsd.edu/~gabi/crust1.html).

We acknowledge the comments from reviewer Elmer Ruigrok, Associate Editor Sebastien

Chevrot, and an anonymous reviewer. B.T. has received funding from the European Union's

 Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 793824.

References

- Ahern, T., Casey, R., Barnes, D., Benson, R., & Knight, T. (2007). Seed standard ϵ_{649} for the exchange of earthquake data reference manual format version 2.4. In-corporated Research Institutions for Seismology (IRIS), Seattle.
- μ_{651} Ammon, C. (1991). The isolation of receiver effects from teleseismic P waveforms. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 81 , 2504-2510.
- Arndt, N. T., & Goldstein, S. L. (1989). An open boundary between lower continen- tal crust and mantle: its role in crust formation and crustal recycling. Tectono $physics, 161 (3-4), 201-212.$
- Becker, G., & Knapmeyer-Endrun, B. (2018). Crustal thickness across the trans- european suture zone from ambient noise autocorrelations. Geophysical Journal International, 212 (2), 1237–1254.
- Bensen, G., Ritzwoller, M., Barmin, M., Levshin, A., Lin, F., Moschetti, M., . . .
- Yang, Y. (2007). Processing seismic ambient noise data to obtain reliable broad-band surface wave dispersion measurements. Geophysical Journal Inter-national, $169(3)$, $1239-1260$.
- Bostock, M. (1999). Seismic waves converted from velocity gradient anomalies in the ϵ_{664} Earth's upper mantle. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 138, 747–756.
- Buffoni, C., Schimmel, M., Sabbione, N. C., Rosa, M. L., & Connon, G. (2019). Crustal structure beneath tierra del fuego, argentina, inferred from seismic
- p-wave receiver functions and ambient noise autocorrelations. Tectonophysics, $\frac{668}{751}$, 41–53.
- Burdick, & Helmberger. (1974). Time functions appropriate for deep earthquakes. $\omega_{\rm 670}$ Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, $64(5)$, 1419–1427.
- Burdick, L. J., & Langston, C. A. (1977). Modeling crustal structure through the ₆₇₂ use of converted phases in teleseismic body-wave forms. Bulletin of the Seismo- ω_{673} logical Society of America, 67(3), 677–691.
- Chapman, C. (2003). Yet another elastic plane-wave, layer-matrix algorithm. Geo- μ_{p} ₆₇₅ physical Journal International, 154(1), 212–223.
- Claerbout, J. F. (1968). Synthesis of a layered medium from its acoustic transmis- δ ⁵⁷⁷ sion response. Geophysics, $33(2)$, 264–269.
- Clayton, R. W., & Wiggins, R. A. (1976). Source shape estimation and deconvolu- ϵ_{679} tion of teleseismic bodywaves. Geophysical Journal International, $47(1)$, 151– 177.
- Delph, J. R., Levander, A., & Niu, F. (2019). Constraining crustal properties using receiver functions and the autocorrelation of earthquake-generated body waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.
- Dufek, J., & Bergantz, G. (2005). Lower crustal magma genesis and preservation: a stochastic framework for the evaluation of basalt–crust interaction. Journal of Petrology, $46(11)$, $2167-2195$.
- Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. (1991). Statistical Data Analysis in the Computer Age. Science, 253, 390–395.
- 689 Ekström, G., Nettles, M., & Dziewoński, A. (2012). The global cmt project 2004– 2010: Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes. Physics of the Earth ω_{1} and Planetary Interiors, 200, 1–9.
- ϵ_{92} Frederiksen, A., & Delaney, C. (2015). Deriving crustal properties from the p coda without deconvolution: the southwestern superior province, north america. $Geophysical\ Journal\ International, 201(3), 1491-1506.$
- Furlong, K. P., & Fountain, D. M. (1986). Continental crustal underplating: Ther- mal considerations and seismic-petrologic consequences. Journal of Geophysical F_{697} Research: Solid Earth, 91(B8), 8285–8294.
- Gorbatov, A., Saygin, E., & Kennett, B. (2013). Crustal properties from seismic sta-tion autocorrelograms. Geophysical Journal International, 192 (2), 861–870.
- Helffrich, G. (2019, 02). Comment on "Crustal thickness across the Trans-European Suture Zone from ambient noise autocorrelations" by G. Becker
- and B. Knapmeyer-Endrun. Geophysical Journal International, $217(2)$, 906-908. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz072 doi: 10.1093/gji/ggz072
- Kennett, B. (1983). Seismic wave propagation in stratified media. Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kennett, B. (2015). Lithosphere–asthenosphere p-wave reflectivity across australia. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 431 , 225–235.
- Kennett, B., & Engdahl, E. (1991). Travel times for global earthquake location and phase identification. Geophys. J. Int., 105 , 429–465.
- ⁷¹¹ Kennett, B., & Furumura, T. (2016). Multiscale seismic heterogeneity in the conti-⁷¹² nental lithosphere. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 17 (3), 791–809.
- $_{713}$ Kennett, B., & Saygin, E. (2015). The nature of the moho in australia from reflec- τ ¹⁴ tion profiling: A review. $GeoRes J$, 5, 74–91.
- ⁷¹⁵ Kennett, B., Saygin, E., & Salmon, M. (2015). Stacking autocorrelograms to map ⁷¹⁶ moho depth with high spatial resolution in southeastern australia. Geophysical 717 **Research Letters**, $\frac{1}{2}(18)$, 7490–7497.
- ⁷¹⁸ King, P. B., & Beikman, H. M. (1974). Geologic map of the united states. USGS ⁷¹⁹ Map Distribution.
- ⁷²⁰ Langston. (1979). Structure under mont Rainier, Washington, inferred from teleseis- 721 mic body waves. J. Geophys. Res., 84 .
- ⁷²² Langston. (2011). Wave-field continuation and decomposition for passive seismic ⁷²³ imaging under deep unconsolidated sediments. Bulletin of the Seismological $50 \, \text{city of America}, \, 101(5), \, 2176-2190.$
- I_{725} Langston, C., & Hammer, J. (2001). The vertical component p-wave receiver func- τ_{26} tion. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 91(6), 1805–1819.
- ⁷²⁷ Laske, G., Masters, G., Ma, Z., & Pasyanos, M. (2013). Update on crust1. 0-a 1- α ₇₂₈ degree global model of earth's crust. In *Geophys. res. abstr* (Vol. 15, p. 2658).
- $_{729}$ Li, Niu, F., Yang, Y., & Tao, K. (2019). Joint inversion of rayleigh wave phase ⁷³⁰ velocity, particle motion, and teleseismic body wave data for sedimentary 731 structures. Geophysical Research Letters, $46(12)$, 6469-6478.
- 732 Li, X.-Q., & Nábělek, J. L. (1999). Deconvolution of teleseismic body waves for ⁷³³ enhancing structure beneath a seismometer array. Bulletin of the Seismological $50 \text{, } 50 \text{, } 734$ Society of America, $89(1)$, 190-201.
- M a, Y., Wang, R., & Zhou, H. (2012). A note on the equivalence of three major ⁷³⁶ propagator algorithms for computational stability and efficiency. Earthquake $Science, 25(1), 55-64.$
- μ_{738} Pham, T.-S., & Tkalčić, H. (2017). On the feasibility and use of teleseismic p wave ₇₃₉ coda autocorrelation for mapping shallow seismic discontinuities. *Journal of* ⁷⁴⁰ Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 122 , 3776-3791.
- $_{741}$ Pham, T.-S., & Tkalčić, H. (2018). Antarctic ice properties revealed from teleseismic ⁷⁴² p-wave coda autocorrelation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.
- ⁷⁴³ Piana Agostinetti, N., Martini, F., & Mongan, J. (2018). Sedimentary basin in-⁷⁴⁴ vestigation using receiver function: an east african rift case study. Geophysical ⁷⁴⁵ Journal International, 215 (3), 2105–2113.
- ⁷⁴⁶ Randall, G. (1989). Efficient calculation of differential seismograms for lithospheric ⁷⁴⁷ receiver functions. Geophysical Journal International, 99 (3), 469–481.
- ⁷⁴⁸ Romero, P., & Schimmel, M. (2018). Mapping the basement of the ebro basin in ⁷⁴⁹ spain with seismic ambient noise autocorrelations. *Journal of Geophysical Re-* F_{50} search: Solid Earth, 123(6), 5052-5067.
- ⁷⁵¹ Ruigrok, E., & Wapenaar, K. (2012). Global-phase seismic interferometry unveils p-⁷⁵² wave reflectivity below the himalayas and tibet. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 753 $39(11)$.
- ⁷⁵⁴ Saygin, E., Cummins, P. R., & Lumley, D. (2017). Retrieval of the p wave reflec-⁷⁵⁵ tivity response from autocorrelation of seismic noise: Jakarta basin, indonesia. $F₇₅₆$ Geophysical Research Letters, $44(2)$, 792–799.
- ⁷⁵⁷ Schimmel, M., & Paulssen, H. (1997). Noise reduction and detection of weak, coher-⁷⁵⁸ ent signals through phase-weighted stacks. Geophysical Journal International, $130(2), 497-505.$
- 760 Schruben, P. G., Arndt, R. E., & Bawiec, W. J. (1998). Geology of the contermi-⁷⁶¹ nous united states at 1: 2,500,000 scale a digital representation of the 1974 762 pb king and hm beikman map (No. 11). The Survey; For sale by USGS Map ⁷⁶³ Distribution,.
- ⁷⁶⁴ Schruben, P. G. A., Bawiec, R. E., King, W. J., Beikman, P. B., & Helen, M.
- ⁷⁶⁵ (1994). Geology of the conterminous united states at 1: 2,500,000 scale–a

