

# On The Efficiency of P -Wave Coda Autocorrelation in Recovering Crustal Structure: Examples From Dense Arrays in the Eastern United States

Chuang Wang, Benoit Tauzin, Thanh-son Pham, Hrvoje Tkalčić

# ▶ To cite this version:

Chuang Wang, Benoit Tauzin, Thanh-son Pham, Hrvoje Tkalčić. On The Efficiency of P -Wave Coda Autocorrelation in Recovering Crustal Structure: Examples From Dense Arrays in the Eastern United States. Journal of Geophysical Research : Solid Earth, 2020, 125 (12), 10.1029/2020JB020270 . hal-03025231

# HAL Id: hal-03025231 https://hal.science/hal-03025231v1

Submitted on 7 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# On the efficiency of P-wave coda autocorrelation in recovering crustal structure: examples from dense arrays in the eastern US

# Chuang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Benoît Tauzin<sup>1,2</sup>, Thanh-Son Pham<sup>1</sup>, and Hrvoje Tkalčić<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research School of Earth Sciences, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia.
<sup>2</sup>Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, ENS, CNRS, Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon : Terre, Planètes, Environnement, 69622 Villeurbanne, France.

## **Key Points:**

1

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

- We investigate the efficiency of P-wave coda autocorrelation in recovering crustal architecture
- We compare imaging from P-wave coda vertical autocorrelations and radial receiver functions using dense profiles from US arrays
  - Vertical autocorrelations clearly outperform radial receiver functions in presence of a sedimentary basin

Corresponding author: Benoit Tauzin, benoit.tauzin@univ-lyon1.fr

-1-

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1029/2020JB020270

#### 15 Abstract

Due to a sharp contrast in elastic properties across the basement rocks of sedimentary 16 basins (SBs), strong reverberations are generated during the passage of seismic waves. 17 Traditional receiver function methods become inadequate for imaging crustal structure 18 due to the existence of these strong reverberations. We investigate the feasibility of an 19 auto-correlation technique to extract vertical component receiver functions from teleseis-20 mic earthquake data and the efficiency of the method to image the crustal architecture 21 in presence of a SB. The method involves spectral whitening followed by autocorrelation, 22 and stacking in the depth domain. We show promising results when using temporary seis-23 mic networks in the eastern US. Using synthetic and field-data examples, we demonstrate 24 that autocorrelations are more efficient than classical radial receiver functions in recov-25 ering structural properties in a SB context. We also perform a joint analysis of the am-26 plitudes on radial and vertical receiver functions for characterizing the thickness of the 27 Mohorovičić discontinuity (Moho). We find that the Moho in the eastern US is a tran-28 sitional layer (up to 5 km thick) instead of a sharp boundary. Further, we point out that 29 it is challenging to unambiguously pick and interpret reflected phases on autocorrelations 30 because of the effects of reverberations, cross-mode contaminations, and a narrow fre-31 quency band limiting the resolution of velocity gradients. We believe that this is not a 32 unique problem to our particular dataset, but to most studies based on autocorrelations, 33 and we therefore send a message of caution for future interpretations based on this tech-34 nique. 35

#### 36 1 Introduction

Teleseismic earthquakes occur at distances of more than thousands of kilometers 37 away from the recorders (typically epicentral distances larger than  $30^{\circ}$ ). At these epi-38 central distances, the incoming teleseismic wave is approximately a plane wave with a 39 relatively steep incidence angle. Burdick and Helmberger (1974) noticed that vertically 40 polarized teleseismic waveforms are dominated by the source, the near-source structure 41 and the instrument effects. The near-receiver structure, due to a small incidence angle, 42 influences mainly the compressional wave (P wave) response in the vertical seismograms. 43 Similarly, the shear wave (S wave) response of the structure beneath a receiver can be 44 retrieved from the horizontal seismograms. Langston (1979) proposed a technique to de-45 termine the near-receiver structural response by deconvolving the vertical component of 46 teleseismic seismograms from the horizontal ones. Through deconvolution, the compli-47 cated source and wavefield propagation effects away from the receiver are removed from 48 the horizontal components, and the results are referred to as the radial receiver functions 49 (RFs). Vinnik (1977) introduced slightly earlier the correlation-based approach to com-50 pute receiver functions. 51

The introduction of auto- and cross-correlations to seismology predated the devel-52 opment of the RF method. The autocorrelation of the transmission response of an acous-53 tic plane-wave was used by Claerbout (1968) to extract the reflection response of the strat-54 ification beneath a receiver. Autocorrelation is a mathematical operation that measures 55 the similarity of a waveform with itself in a single time series. A similar operation, cross-56 correlation, involves two seismograms, and is used to build the radial RF with decon-57 volution (Clavton & Wiggins, 1976; Vinnik, 1977; Ammon, 1991). In the following, we 58 will interchangeably use the terminology "autocorrelation" and "vertical RF", because 59 in practice the vertical RF can be built from the autocorrelation of the vertical compo-60 nent seismogram (Tauzin et al., 2019). 61

A few early studies used the principle of correlation for characterizing crustal structure (e.g., L. J. Burdick & Langston, 1977; X.-Q. Li & Nábělek, 1999; C. Langston & Hammer, 2001). In particular, C. Langston and Hammer (2001) suggested that all three components of the RFs should be involved in inferring Earth-structure models, and demon-

strated the reliability of the technique by means of using vertical-component P-wave (au-66 tocorrelation) RFs. More recent developments of the autocorrelation method make use 67 of the vertically propagating component of the seismic ambient noise (e.g., Tibuleac & 68 von Seggern, 2012; Gorbatov et al., 2013; Kennett, 2015; Kennett et al., 2015; Taylor et 69 al., 2016; Saygin et al., 2017; Becker & Knapmeyer-Endrun, 2018). The difference be-70 tween the noise-based and event-based approaches is still under investigation (Kennett, 71 2015; Sun & Kennett, 2016; Romero & Schimmel, 2018; Buffoni et al., 2019; Tauzin et 72 al., 2019). The choice of filtering have been shown to play an important role in the re-73 covery and type of recovered signal from noise autocorrelations (Helffrich, 2019) with two 74 main approaches. The first approach is inherited from standard radial RFs analysis and 75 makes use of frequencies smaller than 1 Hz (Tibuleac & von Seggern, 2012; Taylor et al., 76 2016). This approach allows for the identification of individual reflected phases on au-77 tocorrelograms for subsequent picking and interpretation in terms of stratigraphy. The 78 second approach makes use of the high-frequency component of the noise that involves 79 an analysis within a narrow frequency band (Gorbatov et al., 2013; Kennett, 2015; Becker 80 & Knapmeyer-Endrun, 2018; Romero & Schimmel, 2018). In this second case, determin-81 istic seismic arrivals are not anymore detected, and the interpretation is driven by changes 82 in the reflectivity patterns in autocorrelations. 83

In sedimentary basins (SBs), radial RFs are contaminated by a strong resonance 84 effect. As shown by e.g. Zheng et al. (2005), RFs from recording sites that are filled by 85 sediments are dominated by reverberations in the sedimentary layers within the first few 86 seconds after the direct P-arrival. Indeed, due to a strong, basal, impedance contrast, 87 the low-velocity sedimentary layer (LVZ) generates quite strong P-wave and S-wave re-88 verberations and conversions. The superimposed reflections and conversion at the base the sediments often dominate over the converted phases from deeper crustal disconti-90 nuities and mask corresponding information about Earth structure (Frederiksen & De-91 laney, 2015). Thus, conventional methods based on the analyses of travel-times of con-92 verted waves can lead to erroneous interpretations. 93

Several methods have been developed for mapping the crustal structure in SBs. Those 94 methods, designed to reduce SB reverberations, include downward continuation and wave-95 field decomposition (Langston, 2011), autocorrelation with a resonance removal filter in 96 the frequency domain (Yu et al., 2015), and radial RF method to fit the waveforms and 97 invert for elastic structure of a SB (Piana Agostinetti et al., 2018). Wavefield downward 98 continuation method (Langston, 2011) requires a priori knowledge of Earth structure and 99 modeling to extract wave conversions. This method has been applied to estimate sed-100 imentary and crustal structures (e.g., Tao et al., 2014). Another recent study investi-101 gated sedimentary structure of basins in China from frequency dependent P-wave par-102 ticle motion (Yang & Niu, 2019). Li et al. (2019) reported a joint inversion of Rayleigh 103 wave phase velocity, particle motion, and teleseismic body wave data for sedimentary 104 structures. 105

Here, we investigate the performance of a new method for imaging the crustal ar-106 chitecture based on the spectral whitening and autocorrelation of the vertical compo-107 nent of teleseismic records (Pham & Tkalčić, 2017; Tauzin et al., 2019). We investigate 108 whether this method is appropriate for characterizing the crustal structure in presence 109 of a SB. Spectral whitening is the division in the frequency domain of the original spec-110 trum by the running average of its amplitude. We show that this operation efficiently 111 filters out undesirable resonance associated with SBs. In comparison to the inversion of 112 radial RF waveforms, autocorrelation based on filtering is computationally efficient for 113 achieving direct imaging of the basin and crustal structure. 114

In order to demonstrate our method with dense seismic arrays, we analyze broad band data from temporary seismic arrays with quasi-linear geometry in the eastern US.
 Recently, two studies have used the principle of correlation to analyze the crustal structure in the US. Delph et al. (2019) constrained the Moho depth and crustal Vp/Vs ra-

tio underneath 88 stations from the Transportable Array using vertical and radial component RFs. van IJsseldijk et al. (2019) used a dense seismic array in Minnesota and Wisconsin and a Global Phase Seismic Interferometry approach, which is based on autocorrelations of teleseismic P-waveforms, but recorded at small incidence angles.

The seismic arrays that we use for imaging the crust in eastern US run from the 123 Quaternary deposits in the Mississippi embayment, through a region of thickened crust 124 at the western border of the Appalachian, to Maine in the northeast. Our data are di-125 vided into three sub-arrays with various station density and operation time that sam-126 127 ple different geological settings. We investigate in particular the efficiency of the method for imaging a laterally variable crust overlaid in places by SBs. We present the general 128 features of the processing. Given the amount of data and the processing for source equal-129 ization, a data selection procedure is implemented, which is dedicated to improving the 130 signal-to-noise ratio of specific reflected arrivals. We observed coherent signals along the 131 three sub-arrays. A comparison with a reference crustal model suggests that we are able 132 to unambiguously image the Moho and the internal stratification of the crust, in con-133 trary to radial RFs that poorly perform in presence of a SB. We also find in the east-134 ern US the Moho to be a transitional layer (up to 5 km thick) instead of a sharp bound-135 ary. 136

#### 2 Methods and Data

137

An example of vertical component RF for a simple one-layer crust is shown in Fig-138 ure 1b, with labels for major converted and reflected phases Ps, PPp, PPs and PSs. 139 The paths are shown in Figure 1a. We also show in Figure 1b for comparison the the-140 oretical response recorded on the radial component. In our particular implementation 141 of the vertical receiver function method, the extracted signal corresponds to the sequence 142 of reflections (Figure 1b) in the stratification underneath the seismometer (Figure 1a). 143 The main reflection being recorded on this vertical RF is the reflection from the top of 144 the Moho discontinuity, PPmp, where "m" marks the Moho (Figure 1b). Its amplitude 145 results from the interaction of the teleseismic wavefield with the free-surface, with a re-146 flection coefficient close to -1, and with the Moho interface, with a positive reflection co-147 efficient. The combined reflection response gives a negative amplitude for the Moho re-148 flection on the vertical RF record (Figure 1b). This response is not exactly the reflec-149 tion response as obtained within the frame of seismic interferometry (Claerbout, 1968; 150 Wapenaar et al., 2010; Ruigrok & Wapenaar, 2012; Tauzin et al., 2019). Indeed, if we 151 take the reflection response due to a virtual source located at the surface at the reflec-152 tion point of the teleseismic P-wave, then the negative reflection at the free surface is 153 removed through the principle of interferometry, and the remaining reflection at the Moho 154 is positive. Our estimated reflection response has therefore an opposite (negative) sign 155 with respect to the P-wave reflection response in seismic interferometry imaging appli-156 157 cations.

We compute the vertical RFs using spectral whitening, followed by autocorrelation (Pham & Tkalčić, 2017; Tauzin et al., 2019). If Z(f) is the spectrum of the vertical component, the vertical receiver function  $E_z(f)$  is obtained from

$$E_z(f) \approx \frac{Z(f) Z^*(f)}{Z''(f)},\tag{1}$$

where the superscript \* marks the complex conjugate and  $Z(f) Z^*(f)$  is the autocorrelation written in the frequency domain. Z''(f) is the regularized vertical component power spectrum taken as an approximation for the teleseismic source function. Each frequency sample is obtained through smoothing over a window of width W so the regularized vertical spectrum is

$$Z''(f) = \frac{1}{W} \int_{f-W/2}^{f+W/2} ||Z(\nu)||^2 d\nu.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

©2020 American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

In the post-processing stage, we apply a 4th-order Butterworth filter with a bandpass chosen depending on the target structure. The frequency content necessary to image the shallow structure is higher (0.4 - 1 Hz band-pass) than for imaging the Moho (0.2)-1 Hz band-pass). We also use different values of the smoothing width W depending on the target interface (see Pham & Tkalčić, 2018). We discuss the choice of the W parameter in section 5.3. We use a constant value of W = 0.1 Hz for targeting the Moho. We 163 set W to a larger value, 0.4 Hz, when targeting the shallow stratification.

158

159

160

161

162

164

To improve the signal-to-noise ratio, all the vertical component RFs for a single station are stacked to build a high-quality reflection response. As the RFs are obtained over a large range of epicentral distances, the travel-time of P-wave reflected phases follows a move-out due to the variable path-lengths of waves incoming on the stratification. For a single layer of thickness H and P-wave velocity  $v_p$  overlying a homogeneous halfspace, the travel-time of the PPp mode with respect to direct P (Figure 1b) is expressed by

$$T_{PPp}(H, v_p, p) = 2H\sqrt{\frac{1}{v_p^2} - p^2},$$
(3)

where p is the slowness of the impinging P-wave. We use the generalization of this ex-165 pression to horizontally stratified media to compute a time-to-depth relationship and con-166 vert the time-domain auto-correlations into the depth-domain (Tauzin et al., 2019). This 167 relationship is mode-dependent, and leads to destructive interference of signals that do 168 not follow the move-out of P-wave reflections PPp. Single station auto-correlations are 169 then linearly stacked in the depth domain. Due to the fact that the PPms phase has a 170 similar travel-time relation as PPmp, the PPms phase appears as a "second Moho" at 171 deeper depth with weaker amplitude (see Z component in Figure 1b). We tested phase-172 weighted stacking (Schimmel & Paulssen, 1997) but did not find a major improvement 173 in the quality of crustal images. We apply bootstrap resampling (Efron & Tibshirani, 174 1991) for estimating the variability of stacked waveforms. 175

Despite the fact that equation (1) can be modified to extract radial receiver functions (Tauzin et al., 2019), we computed classical radial receiver functions through waterlevel deconvolution (Clayton & Wiggins, 1976). The difference resides in the (quasi-)absence of the PPp mode in water-level receiver functions (Tauzin et al., 2019). In that case, the radial RF is given by

$$E_r(f) \approx \frac{R(f) Z^*(f)}{Z'(f)},\tag{4}$$

where R(f) is the radial component spectrum. The regularized vertical spectrum Z'(f)is the initial spectrum that is leveled to a minimum amplitude defined by the water-level c (Clayton & Wiggins, 1976),

$$Z'(f) = max(||Z(f)||^2, c ||Z||^2_{max}).$$
(5)

We apply in this case a Gaussian filter (Clayton & Wiggins, 1976; Ammon, 1991). The radial RFs are then depth-converted using a time-to-depth relationship for the direct Ps conversion mode

$$T_{Ps}(H, v_p, v_s, p) = H\left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{v_s^2} - p^2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{v_p^2} - p^2}\right].$$
 (6)

Radial RFs at a single station in the depth domain are then linearly stacked to build the 176 conversion response. 177

These methods are applied to broadband stations located in the eastern US (Fig-178 ure 1). This choice is motivated by the presence of seismic arrays with various station 179 density and operation time that sample different geological settings. We investigate in 180 particular the efficiency of the method for imaging a laterally variable crust underlying 181 in places thick sedimentary basins (SB) such as in the Mississippi Embayment. Our data 182



**Figure 1.** a) P-wave reverberations from teleseismic records. The seismic stations (black inverted triangle) sits on top of the crust (orange) above the mantle (brown). The thickness of the crust is denoted as *H*. P-wave is marked by solid lines and S-wave by dashed lines. The phases most relevant in this study are shown as Ps (blue), PPp (red), PPs (green), and PSs (black). b) Vertical (black) and radial (red) responses of the ground below the receiver for a simple, one-layer crust. In the vetical RF, the PPmp (PPp) and PPms (PPs) phases both exists but PPmp is much stronger (Tauzin et al., 2019). c) Topography, together with seismic sub-arrays used in this study and the seismicity (black circles) from the USGS catalog. d) Main geological deposits color-coded as a function of age (P. G. Schruben et al., 1998). e) Seismic sub-arrays and crustal thickness taken from the globally compiled model CRUST1.0 (Laske et al., 2013). f) Sedimentary cover taken from CRUST1.0. The arrays belong to the following networks: Sub-Array I (red triangles) TA, Transportable Array; Sub-Array II (blue triangles) X4, Gulf of Mexico Basin Opening; Sub-Array III (white hexagrams) TA, Transportable Array.

are separated in three sub-arrays (Figure 1). We use the global crustal model Crust1.0

<sup>(</sup>Laske et al., 2013) and the geology of the conterminous United States (King & Beik-

man, 1974; P. G. A. Schruben et al., 1994) to describe the a priori structure beneath these

arrays (Figure 1). We benefit from the Transportable Array for constructing transects 186 of the crustal structure over several thousand kilometers with an average inter-station 187 spacing of 70 km (sub-arrays I and III). We distinguish Sub-Array I from III because they 188 sample different geological structure. Sub-array I samples the thick sedimentary cover 189 (up to 11 km) and thin crust (25-30 km) in the Mississippi embayment at southwest, and 190 the western border of the Appalachian in the middle with a thicker crust (up to 50 km) 191 and thinner sedimentary cover (3 km-thick) whereas sub-array III in Maine samples a 192 standard crust (30 km thick) with no sedimentary cover. Finally, the Gulf of Mexico Basin 193 Opening experiment (array II) is a linear array with 15 km inter-station spacing sam-194 pling sharp lateral changes of crustal and sedimentary thickness. Over 250 km, the model 195 Crust1.0 indicates that the crust thins from 50 km at northwest to 35 km at southeast, 196 and the sedimentary cover increases in thickness from 0 to 11 km. The 15-km inter-station 197 spacing of sub-array II allows testing another imaging principle, Common Reflection Point 198 (CRP) migration (Tauzin et al., 2019), which unfolds the information on the structure 199 by projecting amplitude on the RFs onto the final leg of the path of reflected waves un-200 derneath the receivers (supplementary Figure S11). 201

The set of data used in this study is the same as used for classical radial RF stud-202 ies. Metadata and data are provided by the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seis-203 mology (IRIS) data center (DMC) (Ahern et al., 2007) and the Global Centroid Moment 204 Tensor (GCMT) catalog (Ekström et al., 2012). We used three-component stations with the broadband channel BH, and selected events from the GCMT catalog with moment 206 magnitudes larger than 5.5 and epicentral distances between 30 and  $90^{\circ}$  to avoid the ef-207 fect of triplications at mantle transition zone discontinuities and the core shadow zone. 208 The processing is implemented using Matlab<sup>®</sup> scientific programing language, with the routine available at http://perso.ens-lyon.fr/benoit.tauzin/data.htm. 210

The seismograms were extracted and preprocessed to remove asynchronous records 211 on the three components, resampled, and projected to the desired vertical-radial-transverse 212 component orientations. We selected data with seismogram signal-to-noise ratio larger 213 than 1.7, the signal and noise being measured from a root mean square over windows 214 following ([0 3.25] s) and preceding ([-2.5 - 0.5] s) the theoretical P-wave arrival (at 0 s) 215 in the *iasp91* model (Kennett & Engdahl, 1991). The seismograms are pre-processed to 216 start 20 s before the P-wave arrival with a duration of 80 s. The seismograms are then 217 processed using equations (1) and (4), i.e. applying spectral whitening and autocorre-218 lation to build vertical RFs and water-level deconvolution for radial RFs. 219

The time-to-depth relationships, i.e. equations (3) and (6), are computed based on 220 the velocity structure in the Crust1.0 model (Laske et al., 2013) at corresponding sta-221 tion locations. Crust 1.0 is not taken here as providing the ground truth, but serves for 222 a comparison with the observed data as well as guiding the interpretation of the recov-223 ered reflectivity. Crust1.0 is a global model that provides crustal types, thickness, and 224 elastic properties of the crystalline crust according to basement age and tectonic setting 225 (Laske et al., 2013). The Moho depth in particular is constrained by data from active-226 source seismic studies as well as radial RF analysis. So this model provides a good ref-227 erence for calibrating our seismic images and discussing the deviation of the first order 228 structure from this model. The model in each cell is described as an eight-layer profile, 229 230 including three sedimentary layers and three crustal layers. The parameters including P- and S-wave velocity,  $v_p$ ,  $v_s$ , density,  $\rho$  and thickness, H, are given for each layer. Crust1.0 231 is discretized over a  $1 \times 1^{\circ}$  pixel spatial grid (Laske et al., 2013). We do not expect to re-232 cover exactly the same structure beneath stations that do not have contributed to the 233 model. This is the case for array II, which is densely sampling the equivalent of  $\sim 2.5$  cells 234 of the Crust1.0 model (Figure 1). In all our applications, we compare the result of pro-235 cessing the observed data to synthetic data computed based on this global crustal model. 236 We simulate the waveforms with the plane-wave matrix algorithm, "respknt" (Kennett, 237 1983; Randall, 1989). Synthetics waveforms are computed for the same acquisition ge-238

<sup>239</sup> ometry as in the observed data and processed with the same strategy: filtering, auto-

correlation, time-to-depth conversion, and stacking. This approach is applied in all our
synthetic experiments (Figures 2, 6, 7, 9e, 10, 11, S4-S7), except Figure 9a-9d where the
slowness is 0.06 s/km.

For observed data, we found in a preliminary attempt that the selection based on 243 a signal-to-noise criterion applied on seismograms alone is not sufficient to ensure good 244 quality auto-correlation stacks. At frequencies investigated here, the noise on single au-245 tocorrelations is a combination of incoherent (ambient) noise and signal-generated noise. 246 The signal-generated noise is a result of the teleseismic source function: it includes the 247 characteristics of the seismic source (slip history, radiation pattern, source spectrum) as 248 well as the effects of wave-propagation near the source. In addition, interfering phases, 249 such as PP or PcP, add complexity unrelated to the receiver-side structure. Stacking a 250 large number of data is required to decrease the noise level to acceptable level (Tauzin 251 et al., 2019). But because we use temporary arrays (Figure 1), the number of available 252 data is relatively small, which limits the performance of the stacking operation. Our ex-253 periments showed that at stations with a small number of data, even an application of 254 a stacking with weighting based on the instantaneous phase (Schimmel & Paulssen, 1997) 255 is not able to provide satisfying results. We therefore discarded all stations with less than 256 10 (for sub-arrays I and III) or 15 (sub-array II) available autocorrelations. 257

We also relied on a more discriminative approach for data selection based on the 258 use of a "phasing depth diagram". We use trial depths of seismic interfaces (phasing depths) 259 and select traces that constructively contribute to the stacked signal at this depth. We 260 provide in Figure S1 an illustrative diagram of the procedure, and in Figures S2 and S3 261 two examples based on simple synthetic signals and field data, respectively. For a neg-262 ative signal corresponding to an interface at X km depth, we first make a selection of the 263 traces with a negative signal within  $\pm 5$  km from X km depth. We then stack the selected 264 traces with bootstrap resampling. Because the traces are depth-converted, a successful 265 selection of traces contributing to build a signal at X km depth should contribute to align 266 (and build after stacking) signals for discontinuities at other depths. We retain only the 267 traces that contribute to these multiple depths. We show in Supplementary Material how 268 we use such a diagram juxtaposing stacked depth domain traces as a function of trial 269 depths (the phasing depth diagram) to select a distribution of good quality data for a 270 given station (supplementary Figures S1 and S3). This selective stacking method is more 271 efficient applied to vertical RFs than to regular radial RFs. The reason is that the method 272 focuses on removing the effect of random noise, but not coherent noise components. In 273 regular radial RFs, a coherent noise component dominates, which is the structure-generated 274 signal due to reverberations in the shallow sedimentary cover. 275

Supplementary tables S1-S3 provide for the three sub-arrays the final number of RFs obtained at each station.

# **3** Synthetic experiments

276

277

We present in Figure 2 the Crust1.0 structure and corresponding synthetic radial 279 and vertical RFs for selected stations ordered by increasing thickness of the sedimentary 280 cover in Crust1.0. Radial RFs have been computed through water-level deconvolution 281 following equations (4)-(5) (Figure 2b). Vertical RFs are calculated using autocorrela-282 tion and spectral whitening following equation (1)-(2) (Figure 2c). Generally, the ver-283 tical RFs provide good predictions for the Moho depth for all selected stations for all the 284 velocity models. However, the radial RFs are more complicated. For a crustal cover with-285 out sedimentary basin (P56A), the 'Moho pulse' appears at the true depth and is reli-286 able. For a thin sedimentary cover (QUA2), oscillations due to reverberations are very 287 strong at shallow depth, while the 'Moho pulse' can still be distinguished in the radial 288 RF. The converted pulses are followed by short duration and low-amplitude oscillations 289



Figure 2. Reference P-wave velocity profiles (a) and corresponding synthetic radial (b) and vertical receiver functions (c) for 10 selected stations with increasing thickness of the sedimentary cover according to Crust1.0. The red lines indicates the Moho depth in Crust1.0. The vertical RFs are processed with W = 0.1 Hz.

associated with post-cursory shear-wave reverberations of the converted wave in the thin 290 sedimentary layer. For thicker sedimentary covers, the 'Moho pulses' are not reliable any-291 more. The pulses result from the interference of conversions at the Moho discontinuity 292 and multiple reverberations (Figure 2b, station sets 3, 4 and 5). The Moho pulse can-293 not be distinguished from shallow multiples. In addition, the maximum amplitude on 294 the radial RF is not the P-wave peak but the conversion at the base of the basin. This 295 suggests that vertical RFs as processed here will be a more reliable source of informa-296 tion about the deep crustal structure than radial RFs. 297



Figure 3. P-wave auto-correlation signals (black traces) along the three sub-arrays in Figure 1c. The arrays are (a) sub-array I, (b) sub-array II, and (c) sub-array III. The top panel in each sub-plot presents the topographic profile (Smith & Sandwell, 1997), with markers positioning seismic stations. The bottom panels are the observed autocorrelograms (black traces) in the depth domain. To target the Moho, the vertical RFs are processed with W = 0.1 Hz and a post-processing filter with 0.2-1.0 Hz bandpass.

#### 4 Results

298

299

#### 4.1 Results for the three sub-arrays

We show in supplementary Figures S5, S6, and S7 examples of vertical RF stacks 300 for three selected Transportable Array stations from sub-array I. For these stations, and 301 despite a two-year duration of acquisition, there is no apparent loss in quality of the stacked autocorrelograms with respect to a permanent station such as HRV (Figure S4). The 303 data selection slightly improves the lateral continuity of signals when building a profile 304 from stations with variable numbers of earthquake records (Figure S8). For 71 stations 305 in sub-array I, 10 stations have a set of less than 15 RFs, which are discarded, and among the remaining 61 stations, 43 stations have no more than 50 waveforms. The applica-307 tion of bootstrap resampling allows to estimate the variability of stacked waveforms and 308 demonstrates that we observed robust signals (supplementary Figure S9). 309

Overall, the vertical RFs are relatively simple with 2, at maximum 3, major pulses 310 (Figure 3). This is in contrast with the radial RFs, which show significantly more com-311 plexity (see section 5.2 in discussion; Figure 7). Chains of pulses are consistently observed 312 along the three profiles at  $\sim 30$  km and  $\sim 40$  km depth (Figure 3), likely associated with 313 the deepest crustal layering. Yet, it can be relatively complicated to interpret vertical 314 RFs in terms of a deterministic structure. Multiple arrivals with similar amplitudes are 315 recovered at crustal levels, making the identification of the Mohorovičić discontinuity am-316 biguous. In some cases, arrivals are detected at lithospheric mantle depth, for example 317 at the southwestern portion of sub-array III (Figure 3c), where the Moho is not expected 318

to lie deeper than 35 km according to Crust1.0 (Figure 1e). Although we cannot take the structure provided by Crust1.0 as the ground truth, we can interpret this deep signal as a fictitious interface that results from the arrival of multiple reverberations with cross-mode coupling, PPs (see Figure 1a, b and synthetic experiment based on Crust1.0 in section 4.2).

A priori geological and seismological information show that major holocene to cenozoic sedimentary basin structures are sampled by sub-array I and II (Figure 1d, f). Yet, we do not observe significant lateral variations of signal quality when the arrays cross such structures (Figure 3a). We will show in section 5.2 that this is a clear advantage of the vertical RFs over the traditional radial RF method.

329

4.2

#### Comparison with Crust1.0



Figure 4. Superimposition of observed P-wave auto-correlation signals (black traces) to the P-wave velocity structure (background colors) in the reference crustal model Crust1.0 (Laske et al., 2013). The white circles are associated with the local seismicity (USGS catalog; see Figure 1c). The layers are sed. (sedimentary layers), u.c. (upper crust), m.c. (middle crust), l.c.(lower crust), and m. (mantle).

We first use Crust1.0 as a reference crustal model for comparison, but not acting 330 as constraint to interpret crustal structures. In a second step, we use Crust1.0 as a guide 331 for identifying and picking the Moho interface on vertical autocorrelations. Autocorre-332 lations are plotted against Crust1.0 P-wave velocities in the background of Figure 4. The 333 three sedimentary basin layers are marked with dark blue colors (sed.), the crustal lay-334 ers as light blue to brown (upper, mid and lower crust; u.c., m.c. and l.c.), overlying the 335 mantle (m.) in yellow. This a priori seismological structure allows a more confident in-336 terpretation of the horizons detected with vertical autocorrelations. In all three profiles, 337 we observe a rough agreement between the large negative peaks in observed data and 338 the velocity model. There is good but not perfect agreement between the strongest am-339 plitude negative pulses observed on autocorrelations and the base of the crust (brown-340 to-yellow transition). We find that the northeast portion of sub-array I and sub-array 341

III both produce the best match with the Moho depth in Crust1.0, where the crust con tains no sedimentary layers and is the most laterally homogeneous.

We propose in Figure 5a a guided picking of interfaces of the crust, where the pur-344 ple horizon represents the Moho discontinuity and the shallower blue horizon an intra-345 crustal discontinuity. We demonstrate here that extracting coherent information about 346 P-wave reflections from event-based vertical RFs/autocorrelations computed from tem-347 porary networks and dense arrays is feasible. The continuous horizon between 20 and 348 30 km depth on the profile obtained from sub-array I in blue (Figure 5) is consistent with 349 a reflective interface at the top of the lower crust. A number of arrivals at shallower and 350 deeper levels have low-amplitude and/or poorer lateral continuity, so are more difficult 351 to interpret. The comparison between Figures 4 and 5 suggests that Transportable Ar-352 ray data are of sufficient quality to highlight with autocorrelations the crustal internal 353 stratification. 354



**Figure 5.** The same as Figure 3, but now with the proposed picks of laterally continuous crustal interfaces. The light purple horizon represents the Moho discontinuity and the shallower, blue horizon, an intra-crustal discontinuity.

However, there are differences (Figure 4): (i) there exist minima and maxima in the vertical RFs that are not associated with discontinuities as described by Crust1.0, in particular, in the lithospheric mantle; (ii) many small amplitude arrivals might correspond to features present in the Crust1.0 model but are slightly offset, (iii) along the 250 km extent of the linear sub-array III, the data reveal variations of Moho topography (Figure 4b) that are not present in the model, (iv) at depths < 10 km, the response loses its interpretability as it appears dominated by signal-processing artifacts.

For further comparison, we computed the synthetic vertical RFs for the Crust1.0 model with the same acquisition geometry as observed in the data (Figure 6). This synthetic experiment demonstrates that vertical RFs are fairly simple and, in theory, most of the predicted pulses can be deterministically attributed to interfaces in the input model, although some secondary arrivals at crustal depth and in the uppermost mantle can be



Figure 6. Superposition of synthetic P-wave auto-correlation signals (black traces) to the P-wave velocity structure (background colors) in the global crustal model Crust1.0 (Laske et al., 2013). The light purple and blue horizons are same as Figure 5.

attributed to "peg-leg reverberations" or cross-mode contamination (PPms). In addition, this synthetic experiment shows that the method, with this choice of parameters  $(f_{min} = 0.2 \text{ Hz and } W = 0.1 \text{ Hz})$ , suffers from a loss in resolution at shallow depth (< 10 km). This is the result of the pronounced side-lobe of the peak at zero-lag in the autocorrelations.

We can draw three important conclusions from the comparison of Figures 4 and 372 6. Firstly, observed vertical autocorrelations are more complex than predicted based on 373 Crust1.0, suggesting that there exist at crustal level small-scale heterogeneities and/or 374 3D effects of wave-propagation that are not captured using the reference model. Secondly, 375 our method successfully recovers the crustal information without loss of signal-to-noise 376 ratio or increased complexity in regions with thick sedimentary cover. This latter con-377 clusion is supported by both the synthetic and observed data in the southwest portion 378 of sub-array I and eastern portion of sub-array II (Figures 4 and 6). Finally, in the ob-379 served data, P-wave reflections from the top of the Moho discontinuity are consistently 380 observed with lower amplitudes than predicted by the Crust1.0 model (Figures 4 and 381 6). In contrast, reflected pulses associated with intra-crustal discontinuities have a sim-382 ilar or slightly higher amplitude than predicted by Crust1.0. 383

Supplementary Fig. S10 shows a negative correlation (-0.58) between our observed crustal thickness and the thickness of the sedimentary cover in the Crust1.0 model. This anti-correlation is predicted for a crust in isostatic equilibrium. The fit of a theoretical model to our best fitting line is obtained for a sediment density of 2.15 g/cm3, which is within the continental average range of densities for soft (2.07 g/cm3) and hard (2.38 g/cm3) sediments in the Crust1.0 model (Laske et al., 2013).

## 390 5 Discussion

391

436

### 5.1 On the interpretation of autocorrelations

As presented in our results section, we unambiguously identify two chains of pulses 392 near 30 and 40 km depth. From synthetic experiments and using of independent infor-393 mation (Crust1.0 model), we demonstrated that these chains of pulses are related to the 394 reflections from the upper side of the Moho discontinuity, namely PPmp and PPms, and 395 from the top of the lower crustal layer. Due to the limited number of data and intrin-396 sic characteristics of the Moho boundary (see section 5.4), it can, however, be challeng-397 ing to unambiguously pick the PPmp phase on autocorrelations. This is not a unique 398 problem to our particular dataset, as most other prior studies based on autocorrelations 399 suffer from similar interpretation problems (cross-mode contaminations and narrow fre-400 quency band limiting the resolution of velocity gradients) (e.g., Tibuleac & von Seggern, 401 2012; Gorbatov et al., 2013; Sun & Kennett, 2016; Taylor et al., 2016; Saygin et al., 2017; 402 Becker & Knapmeyer-Endrun, 2018). In addition, we show in next section that the ver-403 tical RFs behave better than radial RFs in the presence of a sedimentary cover. We there-404 fore cast our analysis as a reference for future interpretations based on this technique. 405

Tauzin et al. (2019) showed that the lateral sampling of the PPp mode increases with the depth of investigation, and the difference with typical Ps conversion modes used in the radial RF imaging is of the order of 5 km at the depth of the Moho. This means that, in the single-station stacking, the cone of sensitivity of the P-wave reflections surrounding the stations will have a 10 to 20 km diameter (this distance is slowness-dependent) while it will have only a 5 to 10 km diameter for the Ps conversions. As such, stationbased gathering will be slightly more vulnerable to the presence of strong lateral variations in the Moho depth (crustal thickness) than in the radial RF imaging.

The effect will be a slight decrease in amplitude of the reflected phase due to out-414 of-phase stacking. In addition to lateral variations of the Moho, crustal heterogeneity 415 and surface topography both contribute to the PPmp-P differential travel time. The max-416 imum topographic difference in our study is about 1 km. We estimate the delays intro-417 duced by a 1-km topography of the Earth surface and at the Moho discontinuity to be 418 0.8 s and 0.3 s respectively. Such delays are significant, but smaller than the width of 419 the reflected pulse (1s). Consequently, if topographic variations over the area surround-420 ing the station may contribute to the decrease in amplitude of the PPmp reflected pulse, 421 they are unlikely to explain the whole difference with Crust1.0. This conclusion is also 422 supported by the observation that the PPmp amplitudes are remarkably consistent across 423 all of our images, and appear uncorrelated with local gradients in surface topography. 424 An additional strong argument for a negligible effect of surface topography is the obser-425 vation of the reflections from the top of the lower crust with amplitudes similar as pre-426 dicted by model Crust1.0. We conclude that the difference between the observed and the 427 Crust1.0 Moho amplitudes must therefore have another origin (see section 5.4). 428

In supplementary Figure S11, we present an image of the crustal structure along the sub-array II based on the common reflection point stacking method (Tauzin et al., 2019), accounting for the geometry of illumination of the structure by the PPp mode. Sub-array II is better positioned for performing such an experiment as the inter-station distance is the smallest among the three subarrays considered here. The image remains consistent with the observed structure from the single-station stacking, although the portions of the image with poor ray coverage suffer from a low signal-to-noise ratio.

#### 5.2 Radial receiver functions

We present in Figure 7 stacked radial RFs for the three sub-arrays. Corresponding seismic sections are shown for the observed data and for the predictions from Crust1.0, both superimposed to the  $v_p$  structure in Crust1.0. Because each interface generates a



**Figure 7.** Observed (a, c, and e) and synthetic (b, d, and f) radial receiver functions (black traces) superimposed to the P-wave velocity structure (background colors) in the global crustal model Crust1.0 (Laske et al., 2013). Panels the others are synthetics. No post-processing filtering is applied.

triplet of phases (Ps, PPs, PSs), the crustal structure has less readability than in the case
of vertical RFs, even in the synthetic case (compare Figure 7b to 6a). The simplest radial RFs are obtained for sub-array III because the crust does not include a near-surface
sedimentary cover. In this case, the Moho has the strongest amplitude and the maxima
of converted Ps pulses can easily be associated with crustal interfaces (Figure 7e-f).

When one or several low-velocity sedimentary layers are present, radial RFs get more complicated. Instead of being dominated by positive pulses associated with increases of

velocity/impedance at intra-crustal discontinuities (Figure 7e, f), radial RFs incorpo-447 rate a strongly oscillating component (Figure 7a-d) with unreliable converted pulses as-448 sociated with the Moho discontinuity. This behavior is easily explained by the synthetic 449 experiment shown in section 3. In conclusion, even in absence of noise of natural origin, 450 the radial RFs are less reliable tools than the vertical RFs when low-velocity sedimen-451 tary layers exist near the surface. In comparison, the vertical RFs are simpler to inter-452 pret, although it is fair to say that they are not void of artifacts related to these shal-453 low reverberations. We discuss the effect of reverberations and sedimentary basin struc-454 ture in section 5.3, together with the impact of playing with the width of the smooth-455 ing window W for spectral whitening. We show in particular that a well-chosen value 456 of W significantly improves the readability of the crust because spectral whitening can 457 act as a resonance removal filter. 458

#### 459

#### 5.3 Filtering out basin resonance through spectral whitening

In sedimentary basins, a strong resonance effect is recorded on the vertical com-460 ponent as P-wave reverberates multiple times in the low-velocity sedimentary cover. Fig-461 ure 8 shows the sequence of P-wave reverberations in a simple sedimentary structure, with a single layer. The sedimentary layer has lower velocities than the half-space be-463 neath it. Teleseismic P waves reach the basement of the sedimentary layer and trans-464 mits as first-order P waves. The reflection of P waves at the free surface is a total re-465 flection. Due to the significant impedance contrast at the base of the sedimentary layer, the free surface reflected P-waves reverberate multiple times inside the sedimentary layer. 467 These reverberations contribute to a strong resonance effect, which is recorded by the 468 receiver on the Earth's surface mainly on the vertical component. In the time domain, 469 these reverberations correspond to strong amplitude pulses that contaminate the seis-470 mograms. A remark is that on the vertical component, interference effects from converted 471 S-waves are small because of the extremely low-incidence angle of P-waves due to the 472 slow velocities in the SB layer. 473



Figure 8. Sequence of reverberations in a sedimentary layer. The seismic station (black inverted triangle) sits on a sedimentary layer (orange) above the solid crust (brown). The direct P wave reaches the discontinuity between the sedimentary layer and the solid crust, and generates a first-order P wave. Due to the significant impedance contrast, the first-order P waves reverberate multiple times inside of the sedimentary layer. The thickness of the sedimentary layer is denoted as h.  $\theta_{P_c}$  and  $\theta_{P_s}$  are the incident angles of P waves in the crust and sediment layer respectively.

To understand the reverberations caused by SBs, we use an example with a sedimentary layer over a half space bedrock. The power spectrum of an impulse wavefront at normal incidence through a sedimentary layer over a half space bedrock can be expressed as,

$$|Z(f)| = \frac{1-r}{1+r} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+(re^{-2\pi fQ})^2 - 2re^{-2\pi fQ}\cos 2\pi f\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$
(7)

where details can be referred to Appendix A in Pham and Tkalčić (2018) for the full derivation of Equation 7 using the matrix propagation method (Claerbout, 1968; Kennett, 1983). In Equation 7,  $r = (\rho_s v_s - \rho_c v_c)/(\rho_s v_s + \rho_c v_c)$  is the reflection coefficient at the sedimentary basin-crust interface in downward direction.  $\rho$  and v are density and wave speed of the media, where subscript  $_s$  refers to the sedimentary basin and  $_c$  to the crustal rock.  $\tau = 2h/v$  is the two-way travel time in the layer. Q is the attenuation factor of in the sediment layer.

The spectral response in Equation 7 is a function in the frequency domain, which is characterized by peaks that are equally spaced at resonance frequencies,

$$f_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\tau}.\tag{8}$$

Despite the fact that Equation 7 is derived for normal incidence, it represents a good approximation for slight oblique incidence (see Figure S12 in the supplement). This shows
that the contribution of converted S wave on the vertical component in slightly oblique
incidence is negligible.

In equation (2), the frequency-dependent spectral-whitening operation allows bal-485 ancing the frequency content of the RFs (Bensen et al., 2007; Pham & Tkalčić, 2017; Tauzin 486 et al., 2019), and also removing specific spectrum-maxima related to the resonance of 487 the layered structure beneath a receiver. To illustrate this, we proceed with a numer-488 ical experiment with simple one-dimensional models (Figure 9). The first model has a 489 one-layer crust (no SB). The second model has a two-layer crust including a layer near 490 the surface with low-velocities mimicking the effect of a sedimentary cover (SB model). 491 This layer is 2 km thick. The Moho is located at 30 km depth in both models. The elas-492 tic parameters chosen for the SB layer and the contrast in impedance across its base are 493 consistent with the structure given for station QUA2 in Crust1.0. 494

We simulate vertical-component waveforms with the same plane-wave matrix al-495 gorithm (Kennett, 1983; Randall, 1989). Figure 9b shows the results of the numerical 496 experiment in the time-domain for vertical component waveforms. In addition to the peak 497 at zero-lag, the black trace (no SB model) shows the pulses of the P-wave reflection at 498 the Moho (PPmp). For the SB model (red trace), the large contrast of elastic proper-499 ties at the base of the SB introduces a strong resonance effect which contaminates the 500 seismic response. This resonance corresponds to the ringing due to P-wave reverbera-501 tions in the shallow sedimentary layer (Equation (7)). This makes it difficult to distin-502 guish the reflection at the base of the crust (Figure 9b). In the frequency domain (Fig-503 ure 9c), the fundamental-mode resonance on the red spectrum appears as a broad and strong amplitude peak centered at  $\sim 0.3$  Hz, consistent with the 2-km thick, near-surface 505 layer according to equation (3). 506

Spectral whitening offers a way to turn off such a resonance. The first step con-507 sists in smoothing the vertical component spectrum running an average over the pre-defined 508 width W. We use W = 0.1 Hz in this example (Figure 9c). The smoothed spectrum 509 (green) only preserves the broad peaks due to resonance in the shallow sedimentary layer. The deconvolution in the frequency domain (Equation (1)) gives a spectrum close to the 511 model without SB (Figure 9d), and the exponentially-decaying ringing is partially re-512 moved from the corresponding time-domain trace (Figure 9b). The whitehed SB trace 513 can now be interpreted, in particular to find the phase reflected at the Moho disconti-514 nuity. A residual ringing persists because the spectral whitening does not completely fil-515 ter out the effect of the shallow SB on the vertical component spectrum. 516



**Figure 9.** Numerical experiment illustrating turning off the resonance associated with a shallow sedimentary layer. (a) Crustal velocity models with a "normal" crust (no SB) and a sedimentary basin (SB model). (b) Simulated vertical responses aligned by P-wave arrival. The black seismogram is the vertical response for the normal crust (no SB). The red one is for the sedimentary basin (SB model). The blue one is the result of spectral whitening for the SB model (SB white). Major identified phases are the P-wave reflections (PPmp) and the P-to-S reflection (PPms) above the Moho. The slowness p = 0.06 s/km. (c) Spectra Z(f) of the vertical component responses. The spectra in black and red represent the responses  $ZZ^*$  for the normal crust (no SB) and sedimentary basin (SB model) respectively. The spectrum in green represents the smoothed response Z''(f) for the SB model. (d) The spectrum after spectral whitening for the SB model (blue), compared with the spectrum for the "normal crust" model (black). (e) Synthetic vertical autocorrelations for the same 10 stations as in Figure 2 computed with W=0.4 Hz. Combined with Figure 2c, this figure shows filtering-on or -off the resonance in the shallow sedimentary cover with different value of smoothing parameter W.

Figures 9e and Figure 2c compare synthetic vertical autocorrelations for the various structures shown in Figure 2a, and show the effect of choosing different values of <sup>519</sup> W. Choosing a large value of W (here W = 0.4 Hz) corresponds to applying the autocorrelation method without turning on the resonance removal filter (Figure 9e). When using W = 0.1 Hz, oscillations associated with SB reverberations are damped, and this eases the interpretation of the crustal structure (Figure 2c). In general, W=0.1 Hz is a reasonable value to choose when targeting a Moho near 35 km depth.

Initially designed for improving the resolution of autocorrelations (Pham & Tkalčić, 524 2017), spectral whitening is a simple method that also acts as a resonance removal fil-525 ter. Here, we demonstrate that spectral whitening can effectively remove the resonance 526 associated with SB. There exists other methods performing such a function. For exam-527 ple, Yu et al. (2015) used RFs to determine the crustal structures for seismic stations 528 on top of a low-velocity sedimentary layer. They applied autocorrelation with a resonance 529 removal filter in the frequency domain to reduce the amplitude of reverberations in the 530 layer. Langston (2011) used downward continuation and wave-field decomposition to re-531 duce the effect of SB reverberations. This method requires a prior knowledge of the Earth 532 structure and modeling to extract Ps conversions from the deeper crust. Tao et al. (2014) 533 used wavefield downward continuation to estimate sedimentary and crustal structure. 534 The same authors used the H- $\kappa$  grid searching technique to study the Moho depth and 535 average Vp/Vs ratio. They applied H- $\beta$  method to remove the reverberations caused by 536 unconsolidated sediments. Yang and Niu (2019) studied sedimentary structure of basins 537 in China from frequency dependent P-wave particle motion. Li et al. (2019) successfully isolated the Moho P-to-S conversion from sediment reverberations with a wavefield-downward-539 continuation technique. They reported a joint inversion that can retrieve the input sed-540 imentary models. A comprehensive comparison between these methods and the resonance 541 removal filter described here would be interesting but is out of the scope of this study. 542

#### 5.4 The Moho as a transitional layer

543

In high-frequency (> 10 Hz) active seismic reflection experiments, it is common to 544 observe a laterally variable crustal reflectivity between geological terrances, but also a 545 vertical contrast in reflectivity at the transition between the crust and upper mantle (e.g., 546 Kennett & Saygin, 2015). The Mohorovičić discontinuity is regularly identified as the 547 base of the lower crust, but is often itself not marked by a strong reflectivity. The up-548 per mantle shows no distinct reflections probably because the vertical scale of the struc-549 ture is longer than the seismic wavelength used in active reflection surveys (Kennett & 550 Furumura, 2016). At the same time, seismic surveys based on radial RFs with lower fre-551 quency content (< 1 Hz) point at the Moho being the most reflective interface. 552

The Moho is a first-order discontinuity in Crust1.0 with contrasts of  $\sim 16\%$  in  $v_p$ 553 and  $\sim 13\%$  in  $v_s$  and density. Here, we find that amplitudes of low-frequency (0.2-1.0 Hz) 554 P-waves reflections on top of the Moho, measured using autocorrelations (Figure 4), are 555 weaker than predicted by the Crust1.0 model (Figure 6). We discussed in section 5.1 the 556 effects of surface and boundary topography, pointing that these are unlikely explanations 557 for such weak amplitudes. We here consider three alternative scenarios: (i) the elastic 558 impedance contrast at the boundary is smaller than predicted by Crust1.0, (ii) there is 559 an effect of anelasticity that is not accounted for in the seismic modeling, and/or (iii) 560 the Moho is a transitional layer rather than a sharp boundary. The first scenario is un-561 likely as a smaller impedance contrast would lead to decreased Ps conversion amplitudes 562 on radial component RFs as well. Nonetheless, where observed, the pulse of the direct 563 Moho Ps (Figures 7a, c, and e) conversion has a similar amplitude as predicted by Crust1.0 (Figures 7b, d, and f). For the same reason, the second case is unlikely. The effect of at-565 tenuation is expected to be stronger on shear-waves than on P-waves, thus should affect 566 more responses on the radial components. 567

For petrological reasons, the seismic Moho is likely a gradual transition (e.g., Furlong & Fountain, 1986; White & McKenzie, 1989; Arndt & Goldstein, 1989; Dufek & Bergantz,



Figure 10. Sensitivity of PPmp reflections and Pms transmissions to a gradual Moho layer. (a) Variation of the topside P-wave reflection coefficient Rpp (solid lines) and bottom-up transmission coefficient Tps (dashed lines) with the thickness of the velocity gradient at the Moho. Coefficients are calculated for a P plane wave with different slowness: p = 0.04 s/km (red), p = 0.065 s/km (black), and p = 0.09 s/km (blue). Here, the elastic properties across the Moho is defined by Crust1.0 for station KVTX in sub-array I. The value of coefficient is taken in the middle of the frequency band used in an analysis of vertical RFs (0.2 - 1.0 Hz). (b) Histogram of measured amplitude of reflections  $(A_{PPp})$  for sub-arrays I and III. (c) Histogram of measured amplitude of conversions  $(A_{Ps})$  for sub-arrays I and III. (d) Measured amplitudes of reflections  $(A_{PPp})$  as a function of amplitudes of transmissions  $(A_{Ps})$  for observed data of sub-arrays I (blue circles) and III (red triangles). (e)  $A_{PPp}$  v.s.  $A_{Ps}$  from synthetic (rectangle and diamond points) and observed data (grey circles and triangles) with Moho thickness h = 0 km and h = 6 km. Data belongs to sub-arrays I and III. (f) Theoretical curves of  $A_{PPp}$  as a function of  $A_{Ps}$ , with points indicating the Moho thickness (h = 0, 5, 10, 15 km). The grey points are extracted from observed data that is same as (c).

2005; Thybo & Artemieva, 2013). We demonstrate here that a gradual transition could 570 jointly explain our observations of amplitudes for topside P-wave reflections from ver-571 tical autocorrelations and P-to-S transmissions on radial RFs. P-wave reflections and 572 P-to-S transmissions have a different sensitivity to velocity gradients (e.g. Bostock, 1999; 573 Tauzin et al., 2019). We illustrate this by plotting the transmission and reflection co-574 efficients Tps and Rpp as a function of the vertical extent h of a transitional layer adopt-575 ing the contrasts in elastic properties for the Moho from Crust1.0 (Figure 10a). For this 576 computation, we decompose the gradient in thin homogeneous layers and use a plane-577 wave matrix algorithm (Chapman, 2003; Ma et al., 2012; Tauzin et al., 2019). We use 578 a reference frequency of 0.6 Hz, which is the center of the frequency band of analysis for 579

long-period vertical RFs. We also show the coefficient dependence on various slowness.

Generally, the transmission coefficients Tps decrease smoothly from a sharp interface to a ~10 km-thick interface. In contrast, the reflection coefficients Rpp decrease abruptly and non-linearly with h from ~10% to 0% and reach at 0.6 Hz a minimum for a ~ 8 kmthick layer. This means that a transitional layer tends to affect the reflectivity of P-wave reflections more than the reflectivity from direct P-to-S conversions (Bostock, 1999; Tauzin et al., 2019).



Figure 11. Superposition of synthetic P-wave auto-correlation signals (black traces) to the P-wave velocity structure (background colors) in the global crustal model Crust1.0 (Laske et al., 2013) modified using a transitional Moho layer 6 km thick.

When possible, we measured the amplitudes of the Moho conversions and reflec-587 tions on the stacked observed vertical and radial waveforms for sub-arrays I and III from 588 Figures 3 and 7. Those observations are shown in Figure 10b-d, e(grey) and f (grey). As 589 shown by observation histograms in Figure 10b, the distribution of amplitude of the Moho 590 reflection  $A_{PPp}$  has a maximum at 6%, whereas the amplitudes of conversion are more 591 uniformly distributed over the range 0-15%. We observe a larger scatter in  $A_{Ps}$  than in 592  $A_{PPp}$ . These observations can be explained by the following: 1) the larger scatter in  $A_{Ps}$ 593 can result from the effect of slowness variations, which is stronger on P-to-S conversions 594 than on P-P reflections (Figure 10a); 2) the  $A_{Ps}$  scatters more in sub-array I (with SBs) 595 than sub-array III (on a simple crust), possibly due to the effect of interferences from 596 reverberations in shallow SB layers. Those effects can be simulated through wave-propagation 597 in the Crust1.0 structure (Figures 10e, and 6, 12). The synthetic experiments confirm 598 larger scatter in  $A_{Ps}$  for sub-array I, which spreads between ~0% to more than 10%, while 599 the coefficients  $A_{PPp}$  fall within the ranges of 8-12% for a Moho width h = 0 km (black 600 squares) and 2-6% for h = 6 km (blue squares). Meanwhile, results from sub-array III 601 (red and magenta diamond points) have narrow distributions in  $A_{PPp}$  and  $A_{Ps}$ , possi-602 bly due to the exemption of contaminations by shallow SB layers. In conclusion,  $A_{PPp}$ 603 offers more reliable constraints on the values of h. Therefore, from Figure 10f, we find that 604 the solution explaining the amplitudes of the reflections is a transitional layer with a thick-605 ness slightly lower than 5 km. In Figure 11, we show the predicted waveforms for a 6 km-606

thick gradient, from which we reported the measurements shown in Figure 10d. The pre-607 dicted Moho amplitudes appear to be in better agreement with the observed data (Fig-608 ure 4) than the predictions from a first-order discontinuity (Figure 6). We note that the 609 Moho transition can also be reduced to a value thinner than 5 km if we consider a com-610 bination of possible mechanisms that can reduce the Moho reflection amplitudes, such 611 as crustal transmission losses, 3D scattering, and effects of inelastic attenuation. How-612 ever, we would have to explain why such mechanisms do not affect similarly affect the 613 reflections from the top of the lower crust. 614

## 615 6 Conclusion

We investigated the efficiency of an autocorrelation technique to extract vertical 616 component receiver functions from teleseismic earthquake data and create images of the 617 crust beneath dense seismic arrays. Using synthetic and field-data examples, we com-618 pared the performances of both vertical and radial RFs. We examined in particular the 619 contribution of reverberations in shallow sedimentary (SB) layers to the images formed 620 from both data types. Vertical RFs are simpler to interpret than radial RFs, although 621 they are not void of complexities associated with shallow reverberations. We show that 622 the operation of spectral whitening is critical for obtaining good quality images beneath 623 SBs, as it acts as a resonance removal filter. We processed broadband data from three 624 temporary USArray sub-arrays with quasi-linear geometry in the eastern US. By iden-625 tifying pulses of reflected P-waves on the vertical autocorrelograms, we were able to iden-626 tify the Moho and a laterally-variable crust structure beneath these subarrays. We ob-627 served coherent signals along the three sub-arrays despite the presence of SBs. Compared 628 with the crustal model Crust1.0, our analysis suggests the existence of additional com-629 plexity related to fine-scale layering and/or 3D structure. We developed a method to con-630 strain the thickness of the Moho boundary from an amplitude analysis of reflected and 631 converted phases on vertical and radial component RFs. This analysis shows that the 632 Moho beneath eastern US is a transitional layer extending over a depth interval of up 633 to 5 km. The proposed method is highly complementary to other receiver-based meth-634 ods and has the potential to advance our understanding of the crustal architecture with 635 or without SBs. 636

### 637 Acknowledgments

The IRIS Data Management Center was used for access to waveform data (available from

http://service.iris.edu/fdsnws/dataselect/1/). IRIS Data Services are funded through
 the Seismological Facilities for the Advancement of Geoscience and EarthScope (SAGE)

Proposal of the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement EAR-1261681.

We acknowledge Crust1.0 model (https://igppweb.ucsd.edu/~gabi/crust1.html).

<sup>643</sup> We acknowledge the comments from reviewer Elmer Ruigrok, Associate Editor Sebastien

<sup>644</sup> Chevrot, and an anonymous reviewer. B.T. has received funding from the European Union's

<sup>645</sup> Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant <sup>646</sup> agreement No 793824.

### 647 References

- Ahern, T., Casey, R., Barnes, D., Benson, R., & Knight, T. (2007). Seed standard
   for the exchange of earthquake data reference manual format version 2.4. In *corporated Research Institutions for Seismology (IRIS), Seattle.*
- Ammon, C. (1991). The isolation of receiver effects from teleseismic P waveforms.
   Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 81, 2504-2510.
- Arndt, N. T., & Goldstein, S. L. (1989). An open boundary between lower continen tal crust and mantle: its role in crust formation and crustal recycling. *Tectono- physics*, 161(3-4), 201–212.

- (2018).Becker, G., & Knapmeyer-Endrun, B. Crustal thickness across the trans-656 european suture zone from ambient noise autocorrelations. Geophysical Journal 657 International, 212(2), 1237–1254. 658
  - Bensen, G., Ritzwoller, M., Barmin, M., Levshin, A., Lin, F., Moschetti, M., ...

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

667

668

669

670

674

675

676

677

681

682

683

684

685

686

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

- Yang, Y. (2007).Processing seismic ambient noise data to obtain reliable broad-band surface wave dispersion measurements. Geophysical Journal International, 169(3), 1239–1260.
- Bostock, M. (1999). Seismic waves converted from velocity gradient anomalies in the Earth's upper mantle. Geophys. J. Int., 138, 747–756.
- Buffoni, C., Schimmel, M., Sabbione, N. C., Rosa, M. L., & Connon, G. (2019).Crustal structure beneath tierra del fuego, argentina, inferred from seismic 666
  - p-wave receiver functions and ambient noise autocorrelations. Tectonophysics, 751, 41–53.
  - Burdick, & Helmberger. (1974). Time functions appropriate for deep earthquakes. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 64(5), 1419–1427.
- Burdick, L. J., & Langston, C. A. (1977). Modeling crustal structure through the 671 use of converted phases in teleseismic body-wave forms. Bulletin of the Seismo-672 logical Society of America, 67(3), 677-691. 673
  - Chapman, C. (2003). Yet another elastic plane-wave, layer-matrix algorithm. Geophysical Journal International, 154(1), 212–223.
  - Claerbout, J. F. (1968). Synthesis of a layered medium from its acoustic transmission response. Geophysics, 33(2), 264-269.
- Clayton, R. W., & Wiggins, R. A. (1976). Source shape estimation and deconvolu-678 tion of teleseismic bodywaves. Geophysical Journal International, 47(1), 151– 679 177.680
  - Delph, J. R., Levander, A., & Niu, F. (2019). Constraining crustal properties using receiver functions and the autocorrelation of earthquake-generated body waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.
  - Dufek, J., & Bergantz, G. (2005). Lower crustal magma genesis and preservation: a stochastic framework for the evaluation of basalt–crust interaction. Journal of Petrology, 46(11), 2167–2195.
- Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. (1991). Statistical Data Analysis in the Computer Age. 687 Science, 253, 390–395. 688
  - Ekström, G., Nettles, M., & Dziewoński, A. (2012). The global cmt project 2004– 2010: Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 200, 1–9.
    - Frederiksen, A., & Delaney, C. (2015). Deriving crustal properties from the p coda without deconvolution: the southwestern superior province, north america. Geophysical Journal International, 201(3), 1491–1506.
  - Furlong, K. P., & Fountain, D. M. (1986). Continental crustal underplating: Thermal considerations and seismic-petrologic consequences. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 91(B8), 8285-8294.
  - Gorbatov, A., Saygin, E., & Kennett, B. (2013). Crustal properties from seismic station autocorrelograms. Geophysical Journal International, 192(2), 861–870.
  - Helffrich, G. (2019, 02).Comment on "Crustal thickness across the Trans-European Suture Zone from ambient noise autocorrelations" by G. Becker
  - Geophysical Journal International, 217(2), and B. Knapmeyer-Endrun. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz072 906-908. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggz072
- Kennett, B. (1983). Seismic wave propagation in stratified media. Cambridge, New 705 York: Cambridge University Press. 706
- Kennett, B. (2015). Lithosphere–asthenosphere p-wave reflectivity across australia. 707 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 431, 225–235. 708
- Kennett, B., & Engdahl, E. (1991). Travel times for global earthquake location and 709 phase identification. Geophys. J. Int., 105, 429–465. 710

- Kennett, B., & Furumura, T. (2016). Multiscale seismic heterogeneity in the continental lithosphere. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 17(3), 791–809.
- Kennett, B., & Saygin, E. (2015). The nature of the moho in australia from reflection profiling: A review. *GeoResJ*, 5, 74–91.
- Kennett, B., Saygin, E., & Salmon, M. (2015). Stacking autocorrelograms to map
  moho depth with high spatial resolution in southeastern australia. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(18), 7490–7497.
- King, P. B., & Beikman, H. M. (1974). Geologic map of the united states. USGS
   Map Distribution.
- Langston. (1979). Structure under mont Rainier, Washington, inferred from teleseismic body waves. J. Geophys. Res., 84.
- Langston. (2011). Wave-field continuation and decomposition for passive seismic
   imaging under deep unconsolidated sediments. Bulletin of the Seismological
   Society of America, 101(5), 2176–2190.
- Langston, C., & Hammer, J. (2001). The vertical component p-wave receiver function. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 91(6), 1805–1819.
- Laske, G., Masters, G., Ma, Z., & Pasyanos, M. (2013). Update on crust1. 0-a 1degree global model of earth's crust. In *Geophys. res. abstr* (Vol. 15, p. 2658).
- Li, Niu, F., Yang, Y., & Tao, K. (2019). Joint inversion of rayleigh wave phase velocity, particle motion, and teleseismic body wave data for sedimentary structures. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(12), 6469–6478.

732

733

734

735

736

737

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

765

- Li, X.-Q., & Nábělek, J. L. (1999). Deconvolution of teleseismic body waves for enhancing structure beneath a seismometer array. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 89(1), 190–201.
- Ma, Y., Wang, R., & Zhou, H. (2012). A note on the equivalence of three major propagator algorithms for computational stability and efficiency. *Earthquake Science*, 25(1), 55–64.
- Pham, T.-S., & Tkalčić, H. (2017). On the feasibility and use of teleseismic p wave coda autocorrelation for mapping shallow seismic discontinuities. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 122, 3776-3791.
- Pham, T.-S., & Tkalčić, H. (2018). Antarctic ice properties revealed from teleseismic
   p-wave coda autocorrelation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.
- Piana Agostinetti, N., Martini, F., & Mongan, J. (2018). Sedimentary basin in vestigation using receiver function: an east african rift case study. *Geophysical Journal International*, 215(3), 2105–2113.
- Randall, G. (1989). Efficient calculation of differential seismograms for lithospheric
   receiver functions. *Geophysical Journal International*, 99(3), 469–481.
  - Romero, P., & Schimmel, M. (2018). Mapping the basement of the ebro basin in spain with seismic ambient noise autocorrelations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 123(6), 5052–5067.
    - Ruigrok, E., & Wapenaar, K. (2012). Global-phase seismic interferometry unveils pwave reflectivity below the himalayas and tibet. Geophysical Research Letters, 39(11).
    - Saygin, E., Cummins, P. R., & Lumley, D. (2017). Retrieval of the p wave reflectivity response from autocorrelation of seismic noise: Jakarta basin, indonesia. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(2), 792–799.
  - Schimmel, M., & Paulssen, H. (1997). Noise reduction and detection of weak, coherent signals through phase-weighted stacks. *Geophysical Journal International*, 130(2), 497–505.
- Schruben, P. G., Arndt, R. E., & Bawiec, W. J. (1998). Geology of the conterminous united states at 1: 2,500,000 scale a digital representation of the 1974 *pb king and hm beikman map* (No. 11). The Survey; For sale by USGS Map Distribution,.
- Schruben, P. G. A., Bawiec, R. E., King, W. J., Beikman, P. B., & Helen, M.
  - (1994). Geology of the conterminous united states at 1: 2,500,000 scale–a

766	digital representation of the 1974 pb king and hm beikman map.
767	Smith, W. H., & Sandwell, D. T. (1997). Global sea floor topography from satellite
768	altimetry and ship depth soundings. Science, 277(5334), 1956–1962.
769	Sun, W., & Kennett, B. (2016). Receiver structure from teleseisms: Autocorrelation
770	and cross correlation. Geophysical Research Letters, $43(12)$ , $6234-6242$ .
771	Tao, K., Liu, T., Ning, J., & Niu, F. (2014). Estimating sedimentary and crustal
772	structure using wavefield continuation: theory, techniques and applications.
773	Geophysical Journal International, 197(1), 443–457.
774	Tauzin, B., Pham, TS., & Tkalčić, H. (2019). Receiver functions from seismic inter-
775	ferometry: A practical guide. Geophysical Journal International, 217, 1–24.
776	Taylor, G., Rost, S., & Houseman, G. (2016). Crustal imaging across the north ana-
777	tolian fault zone from the autocorrelation of ambient seismic noise. <i>Geophysical</i>
778	Research Letters, 43(6), 2502–2509.
779	Thybo, H., & Artemieva, I. M. (2013). Moho and magmatic underplating in conti-
780	nental lithosphere <i>Tectononhusics</i> 609 605–619
701	Tibuleac I M & von Seggern D (2012) Crust-mantle boundary reflectors in
701	nevada from ambient seismic noise autocorrelations. Geonbusical Journal Inter-
702	national 189(1) 403–500
783	van Heeddijk I Bujgrok F Vordal A & Woomstra $C = (2010)$ Shallow crustal
784	imaging using distant high magnitude earthquakes. Combusical Journal Inter
785	national
786	Vinnik I $(1077)$ Detection of waves converted from D to SV in the month.
787	Forth Dianat Inter 15 20 45
788	Wananaan V. Draganay, D. Snieden D. Compress V. & Vandel A. (2010). The
789	tarial an asiamic interferometry. Dart 1, hasis principles and applications. Con-
790	torial on seismic interferometry: Part 1-basic principles and applications. Geo-
791	physics, 75(5), 75A195-75A209.
792	white, R., & McKenzie, D. (1989). Maginatism at rift zones: the generation of vol-
793	canic continental margins and nood basaits. Journal of Geophysical Research: $C_{cl}(J E_{curl}) = O(DC)$ , 7005, 7700
794	Solut Editif, $94$ (D0), $1000-1129$ .
795	rang, C., & Niu, F. (2019). Sedimentary structure of the western bonal bay basin
796	and other basins in north china revealed by frequency dependent p-wave parti-
797	cle motion. Geodesy and Geodynamics, $10(5)$ , $312-381$ .
798	Yu, Y., Song, J., Liu, K. H., & Gao, S. S. (2015). Determining crustal structure be-
799	neath seising stations overlying a low-velocity sedimentary layer using receiver $\int \int \int \int \int \int \partial f dx$
800	runctions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solia Earth, 120(5), 3208–3218.
801	Zheng, T., Zhao, L., & Chen, L. (2005). A detailed receiver function image of the
802	sedimentary structure in the bohai bay basin. <i>Physics of the Earth and Plane-</i>
803	$tary \ Interiors, \ 152(3), \ 129-143.$
1	