

Microplastic fluxes in a large and a small Mediterranean river catchments: The Têt and the Rhône, Northwestern Mediterranean Sea

Mel Constant, Wolfgang Ludwig, Philippe Kerhervé, Jennifer Sola, Bruno Charrière, Anna Sanchez-Vidal, Miquel Canals, Serge Heussner

▶ To cite this version:

Mel Constant, Wolfgang Ludwig, Philippe Kerhervé, Jennifer Sola, Bruno Charrière, et al.. Microplastic fluxes in a large and a small Mediterranean river catchments: The Têt and the Rhône, Northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Science of the Total Environment, 2020, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136984. hal-03024569

HAL Id: hal-03024569 https://hal.science/hal-03024569

Submitted on 30 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Microplastic fluxes in a large and a small Mediterranean river catchments: the Têt

2

and the Rhône, Northwestern Mediterranean Sea

3 Mel Constant, Wolfgang Ludwig, Philippe Kerhervé, Jennifer Sola, Bruno Charrière, Anna Sanchez-

4 Vidal, Miquel Canals, Serge Heussner.

5 Abstract

This paper aims at quantifying current riverine fluxes of microplastics (MPs) in two Mediterranean 6 7 river catchments, a large one and a small one, namely the Rhône and the Têt, which are discharging to the Gulf of Lion in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea. MP fluxes change markedly through time and 8 9 space in both river systems. However, no clear relationships between MP concentrations and 10 hydroclimatic conditions have been observed. In the Rhône River a non-linear dilution pattern of MPs in total suspended matter (TSM) during flood conditions could be observed. Although dilution is im-11 12 portant, samples during floods exert a strong control on average MP fluxes. Compared to the Rhône River, average MP concentrations in the Têt River were throughout greater and more variable in shape 13 and polymer composition. However, as the study year was exceptionally dry, the average specific MP 14 flux, 76 g km⁻² y⁻¹, is only slightly larger than the non-flooding value of the Rhône River. We further 15 16 monitored MP concentrations in shoreline sediments at the mouth of the Têt River to test whether these 17 sediments can represent MP transport in the river. Besides fibers, which probably are easily washed out and transported offshore, MP concentrations and compositions are in agreement with MP loads up-18 19 stream the river. We also examined the potential role of atmospheric deposition as a source of MP to the Têt River. The average atmospheric MP deposition of 6 kg km⁻² y⁻¹ exceeds by far the river average 20 21 specific MP flux. Moreover, all MPs in atmospheric deposits were fibers, which in terms of mass are of 22 minor importance in the bulk river fluxes. Atmospheric MP deposits may either have been overestimat-23 ed and/or may be removed from surface waters by efficient removal processes (such as waste water 24 treatment plants).

25 **1. Introduction**

26 Terrestrial environments are exposed to a wide variety of pollutants and anthropogenic litter. Among them, plastics are omnipresent and tend to accumulate within soils, rivers and lakes (e.g. Gang Xu et 27 28 al., 2006; Gasperi et al., 2014; Faure et al., 2015; Mani et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019). Plastics are resistant, malleable, and degrade slowly. They are nowadays used to make a wide variety of items 29 30 (Barnes et al., 2009) and their production and subsequent introduction into the environment have steadily increased since the middle of the last century (Geyer et al., 2017). These plastic inputs often 31 32 result from human negligence, such as inadequate management of waste disposals, urban runoff, 33 releases from wastewater treatment plants or accidental spills (GESAMP, 2015).

34 Plastics can be separated into different size classes, with macroplastics being larger than 2.5 cm, 35 mesoplastics ranging between 2.5 cm and 5 mm, microplastics (MPs) ranging between 5 mm and 1 µm 36 and nanoplastics being smaller than 1 µm (Cheshire *et al.*, 2009; Lippiatt *et al.*, 2013; MSFD Technical 37 Subgroup on Marine Litter, 2013). Small plastics come either from the degradation of larger parts or 38 are directly manufactured in micrometric sizes (e.g. micro-beads or pellets; Barnes et al., 2009). Plastic 39 debris in the natural environment generate a growing public awareness and concern because they can 40 be easily ingested by organisms (GESAMP, 2015) and accumulate via the trophic web (Farrell and 41 Nelson, 2013; Provencher et al., 2018) up to humans (Rochman et al., 2015; Catarino et al., 2018). MP 42 compounds, monomers and additives, can have deleterious effects on organisms (Lithner et al., 2011; 43 Rochman et al., 2013; Boots et al., 2019) also because they can adsorb organic pollutants and heavy 44 metals at their surface (Hirai et al., 2011; Turner and Holmes, 2015; Godoy et al., 2019). MPs have 45 consequently been proposed as one of ten emerging issues in the UNEP Year Book 2014 and can pose a 46 potential threat to human health and activities (Eerkes-Medrano et al., 2015; Gasperi et al., 2018).

The Mediterranean region is particularly interesting for the study of MP dynamics in the environment. The coastal waters receive freshwaters from European, Asian and African watersheds. Many of them host high population densities and the Mediterranean coastline is one of the most popular tourist destinations in the world (UNEP/PAM, 2015). These high anthropogenic pressures combined with an overall poor waste management capacity lead to high amount of litter dumped into the sea (Schmidt *et al.*, 2017). Its semi-enclosed nature favors litter recirculation and limits its further transfer to other ocean areas. As a consequence, the Mediterranean Sea is highly exposed to marine litter pollution (Galgani *et al.*, 1995, 1996; Cózar *et al.*, 2015; Deudero and Alomar, 2015; Tubau *et al.*, 2015; Fossi *et al.*, 2018; Prevenios *et al.*, 2018) and MP concentrations at the sea surface are comparable to those found in accumulation zones of the large ocean gyres (Cózar *et al.*, 2015).

57 Compared to marine environments, on which most of the public concern on the plastic litter problem is focused on, knowledge on the distribution and toxicity of MPs in terrestrial environments is poor 58 59 (Lambert and Wagner, 2018; Rochman, 2018). This is particularly true for freshwater systems that 60 receive waters, sediments and various compounds from distant areas and are closely linked to human 61 activities (Eerkes-Medrano et al., 2015). Some of them, like reservoirs and lakes, act as sinks and accumulate MPs on their shores, surface waters and bottom sediments (Faure et al., 2015; Ballent et 62 al., 2016; Turner et al., 2019). Others, such as rivers, transport MPs from their headwaters down to the 63 64 coastal zone (Moore et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2017). They represent the major pathways of MP 65 transfer between the atmosphere, land surfaces and aquatic environments, and are suspected to be the 66 dominant source of MPs to the sea (Lebreton et al., 2017).

Only a few studies investigated the transfer of MPs within watersheds discharging into the Mediterranean Sea (Faure *et al.*, 2015; Schmidt *et al.*, 2018). This study aims to fill this data gap by carrying out a seasonal MP monitoring in two contrasting river systems of the Gulf of Lion: The Rhône and the Têt rivers. Both rivers are part of the French monitoring observatory MOOSE and are consequently well documented in terms of their peculiarities for the transfer of water, sediments and pollutants (e.g., Dumas *et al.*, 2015; Sadaoui *et al.*, 2016). Our main objective was to better understand the variabilities of concentrations, fluxes, as well as the main shapes and polymeric composition of 74 MPs collected in relation with their potential forcing (precipitation, flow rate, wind regime and specific 75 events) in order to further foster modelling of the river transfer of MPs to the Mediterranean Sea. In the case of the Têt River, we completed our approach with two concomitant monitoring points: one on the 76 77 atmospheric deposition and the second on sand deposits along the river shore line close to its mouth. 78 This sampling strategy within the Têt watershed should allow a comparison of MP fluxes between 79 potential sources (river and atmosphere) and the sink of MPs in the drainage basin (Constant *et al.*, 80 2017). In the case of the Rhône River, we succeeded sampling during a major flood event, which is 81 crucial for the establishment of reliable budget calculations for particulate matter transfer in rivers in 82 general.

83 2. Materials and Methods

84 **2.1. Sampling sites**

Samples were collected in two drainage basins (Rhône and Têt rivers) connected to the Gulf of Lion. 85 The Rhône River is the largest catchment area (about 100,000 km², Tab.1) in western Europe and 86 nowadays the largest Mediterranean river in terms of freshwater and sediment inputs (Ludwig et al., 87 88 2009; Sadaoui et al., 2016). The Têt River on its part is a typical coastal Mediterranean river with a drainage catchment area lower than 5,000 km². When all coastal Mediterranean rivers inputs are 89 90 cumulated, they reach a significant role in the total riverine matter fluxes to the Mediterranean Sea 91 (Sadaoui *et al.*, 2018). The coastal plain of the Têt watershed is characterized by agricultural activities, 92 as well as the location of the largest city of the district (Perpignan, about 150,000 inhabitants). 93 However, population density in the Têt River basin is 1.7 times greater than in the Rhône River basin 94 (Tab. 1). Population hotspots in the Rhône River basin are situated further upstream and an unknown 95 fraction of the litter caught by the river basin may be intercepted by one of its 130 dams (Sadaoui et al., 2018). Two major dams exist in the Têt River basin but they lie upstream the densely populated coastal 96 plains. Both river basins are regularly exposed to strong continental winds (gusts often >100 km h⁻¹, 97

98 called "Mistral" and "Tramontane" winds for the Rhône and Têt plains, respectively) associated with 99 dry periods. Such intense winds can mobilize sediments from bare grounds and therefore, plastic debris 100 from dumps and other mismanaged plastic waste sources. These near coast areas are considered to 101 supply most of the plastic debris to the sea (Jambeck *et al.*, 2015).

River surface waters were sampled close to both river mouths (Fig. 1). The sampling station for the Rhône was at the city of Arles (48 km from the river mouth; 43.678973 N, 4.623029 E), just before the river splits into two branches entering the Mediterranean Sea separately. The sampling location on the Têt River was at Sainte-Marie-la-mer (5.5 km from the river mouth; 42.7136938 N, 2.9827452 E) downstream Perpignan. As the Mediterranean Sea is a microtidal sea, the sampling sites are not affected by marine intrusion.

For MP flux comparison, river shore sediments were taken at the river mouth (42.713048 N, 3.038715 E) and atmospheric deposits were collected in the city of Perpignan (42.683934 N, 2.898160 E) on the roof of our laboratory (Fig. 1). Perpignan is the main city in the department and concentrates ³/₄ of the population in the Têt River basin (Garcia-Esteves *et al.*, 2007).

112 **2.2. Sampling strategy**

Rivers were sampled using an Oceomic® Manta trawl (60 x 25 cm opening; 333 µm mesh) placed at 113 114 the top 20 cm of the river surface for 15-60 min periods. Sampled water volumes were recorded with a mechanical flowmeter (Hydro-bios[®]; model 438 110) and varied from 32 to 500 m³ (mean: 191 ± 144 115 116 m^{3}). Both rivers were sampled during at least one complete hydrological year. Three consecutive 117 samples were collected every month in the Têt River from December 2015 to October 2016, except the 118 summer months June, July and August, for which a single sample was taken with a weekly frequency. 119 The trawl was attached to the central part of a bridge downstream. In total, 35 trawls were carried out at 120 the Têt River station. Water flow conditions during this sampling period were dryer than on average. 121 Taking the 2016 year as reference, the mean annual flow rate of the Têt corresponded to only 40% of 122 its long-term mean (about 10 m³ s⁻¹, Table 1; Ludwig *et al.*, 2004). This low-flow period has also 123 strongly impacted the transport of total suspended matter (TSM) in this river. On the basis of the rating 124 curves published by Sadaoui *et al.* (2016), the averaged TSM flux of the Têt River in 2016 reached 125 only about 10% of its long-term average (Table 1).

Monthly Manta trawl sampling occurred in the Rhône River from October 2015 to October 2016, 126 resulting in 13 samples. Then, fifteen additional samples were collected with a conical plankton net (10 127 128 cm opening radius; 300 µm mesh) from November 2016 to August 2017. This conical net was first used three consecutive times (5 min long) during a major flood event of the Rhône River (5,446 m³ s⁻¹ 129 on the 22th November 2016) because of the impossibility to use the large Manta trawl under such strong 130 131 flow conditions. Then, from February to July 2017, two conical plankton nets were simultaneously deployed once a month at the central and the right part of the bridge during 15 min. Filtered water 132 volumes with the conical plankton net varied from 5 to 18 m³ (mean: 12 ± 4 m³). Taking the mean 133 134 water discharge of the year 2016 as reference (see Tab.1), the Rhône had an average water discharge close to its long-term mean of about 1700 m³ s⁻¹ (Ludwig *et al.*, 2009). Using the same method than for 135 136 the Têt River, the average TSM flux of the Rhône River in 2016 was estimated to 85% of its long-term 137 average.

Shore sediments at the Têt River mouth were collected at four locations spaced 30 m apart. This sampling was repeated 8 times from October 2015 to February 2017 with the purpose to obtain samples before and after rainfall events. The sampling area was delimited by a 0.5 x 0.5 m wood quadrat placed across the last fresh deposit line. This line corresponds to the last water mark and separates dry and wet sediments. The top first centimeter of sand was sampled with a steel trowel and sieved through an 8 mm steel sieve placed on top of a metallic funnel to avoid obstruction of the funnel by plant residues and/or large gravels. The <8 mm fraction was funneled into cleaned glass bottles.

6

Atmospheric deposits were collected using a vertical cylindrical container with similar dimensions (height: 50 cm; internal diameter: 30 cm; surface: 0.071 m^2) as the containers used in standard atmospheric deposition samplers. The container was placed on the flat roof of the laboratory, inside a higher (90 cm) metallic container to limit air movement and resuspension. In total, 28 samples were collected at various frequencies (from 5 to 115 days, with a mean of 27 ± 25 days), before and after rainfall events, from October 2015 to February 2018.

151 **2.3. Sample treatments and analysis**

Back to the laboratory, the trawl and net were rinsed with a high-pressure hose to recover particles stuck in the net. Three different treatments were required on the three matrices we analyzed (water, sediment and atmospheric deposits). In all steps, contamination of the samples was minimized by wearing laboratory cotton coats, glassware and steel tools. All handling was performed under a laminar flow hood and beakers were covered by an aluminum foil to protect samples from air contamination. In most cases, control manipulations that underwent the same treatment than samples were carried out for the determination of blanks.

River samples were transferred from the cod-end trawl collector over a stacked series of 10 mm, 5 mm, 1 mm and 200 μ m metal sieves. The contents of the sieve were emptied into glass bottles and sieves were carefully rinsed with tap water. Then, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂ 40%) was used only when necessary for removing the remaining biological debris (modified from Faure *et al.*, 2015). During the entire digestion process, beakers were heated at 50°C on a heating plate. The resulting solutions were filtered on Whatman® filter papers (47 mm diameter; 2 µm porosity).

Sediment samples were also first introduced on a column of sieves (5, 2.5, 1 mm; 500, 315, 63 μ m). MPs were then separated from sediments by flotation in a hypersaline solution (density 1.2 g mL⁻¹) following the widely-used method of Thompson (2004). Extraction of MPs was identical to those described by Constant *et al.* (2019) in a study on MPs in nearby beach sediments. Samples from

7

atmospheric deposits were transferred from the collector to a glass beaker and then filtered on
Whatman® filter papers according to the method of Dris *et al.* (2016).

All filters from river, sediment and atmospheric samples were dried at 50 °C overnight and then examined under a Wild Heerbrugg dissecting stereo-microscope (6 x, 12 x, 25 x and 50 x magnification). All suspected MPs were sorted into 5 shape categories: fibers (including filaments and fishing line fragments), fragments (items with a three-dimensional shape), micro-beads (solid spheres), films (items with a two-dimensional shape), and foams (pieces or spheres with a spongy, soft structure).

176 Photos of the different shape categories considered can be found in Constant *et al.* (2019).

177 Finally, particles longer than 5 mm were sorted out and discarded from counts all suspected MPs from

178 each individual sample were weighed together to the nearest 0.01 mg.

179 A total of 370 items was randomly selected and analyzed for polymeric composition (Löder and Gerdts,

180 2015). 120 fibers (40 fibers per compartment) were analyzed with a microscope IR-Plan Spectra Tech®

181 coupled to an ABB® FTLA2000 FTIR spectrometer. 130 fragments, 64 films, 44 foams and 12 beads

from river water and shore samples (80-85 items per river basin) were analyzed with a Frontier FTIR spectrometer (PerkinElmer®). The identification of each polymer was performed by comparison with a self-collected spectrum database including polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polyamide (PA), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polystyrene (PS), poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate) (PEVA), poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET), polyester (PES), polyurethane (PUT), acrylic (A) and some non-plastic materials such as cotton, wool, wood, paper, calcium carbonate, talcum powder and plant fibers (see details in

188 Constant *et al.*, 2019).

FTIR analysis was used to correct the initial sorting and to accurately determine the true number and mass of MPs in our samples. For each shape category, an identified plastic ratio was accordingly applied. This ratio was calculated by dividing the number of true MPs (particles with a "plastic spectra") by the total number of sorted items and visually described as "potential plastics". All MP 193 contents and relative contents of shapes given in the following text, figures and tables are based on true 194 MPs. Mean values are provided ± 1 standard error. Fibers in river samples were mostly found gathered 195 to lint composed of an innumerable amount of tangled fibers. Each lint was weighed to estimate the 196 number of fibers using the equations of Napper and Thompson (2016). Briefly, the mass of lint was 197 divided by the theoretical mass of one fiber. Parameters used in this study to calculate the mass of a 198 single fiber were length, diameter and density. Length (mean: 1.6 mm \pm 0.9 mm) and diameter (mean: 199 22 μ m \pm 6 μ m) were based on 60 measures performed with a stereo-microscope equipped with a 200 camera (Leica®) and an image treatment software (Leica®). Density was calculated based on FTIR results and mean weighted density of PES (1.24 g cm⁻³, 70%), A (1.02 g cm⁻³, 20%), PP (0.9 g cm⁻³, 201 202 10%). Concentrations of MPs were estimated by dividing the corrected numbers of identified plastic 203 items and the corrected mass of identified plastic by the volume of filtered water (items and mg per m ³) for river samples, the surface (items and mg per m⁻²) for sediment shore samples, the weight of the 204 dry sampled sand (items and mg per kg^{-1}) for sediment shore or the surface and the sampling interval 205 (items per m^{-2} per day) for atmospheric deposits. Statistical analyses were performed using R software 206 207 (see details in Constant et al., 2019).

208 **3. Results**

209 **3.1 Riverine MP concentrations, shapes and polymeric composition**

MP numerical and mass concentrations were significantly higher in the Têt River than in the Rhône River for Manta samples (Wilcoxon test, p = 0.05 and p < 0.01, respectively; Figs. 2A and E). Samples taken at the Rhône River with the Manta trawl and the conical net did not show significant differences in MP numerical concentrations (Wilcoxon test, p = 0.24; Fig. 2A). However, mass concentrations were significantly higher with conical nets than Manta trawls (Wilcoxon test, p = 0.03; Fig. 2E). Numerical concentrations of MPs in the Rhône River ranged from 0.3 to 58.9 with a mean of 11.6 ± 17.7 items m⁻³ when using the Manta trawl (Figs. 2A and Tab. 2). Mass concentrations ranged from 0.007 to 0.6 mg m⁻³ with a mean of 0.1 ± 0.2 mg m⁻³ (Figs. 2E and Tab. 2). Using the conical plankton net, MP concentrations ranged from 2.4 to 88.4 items m⁻³ with a mean of 18.8 ± 28.1 items m⁻³ and from 0.01 to 3.9 mg m⁻³ with a mean of 0.5 ± 1.0 mg m⁻³ (Figs. 2A, E and Tab. 2). The position of the conical net on the bridge (center or right) across the Rhône riverbed did not affect significantly the numerical and mass concentrations of MPs (paired Wilcoxon test, p = 0.16 and p = 0.44, respectively; Figs. 2B and F).

Three consecutive replicates were performed on the Têt River with a Manta trawl at nine sampling dates (Figs. 2C and G). Numerical concentrations varied within two orders of magnitude between replicates and four orders of magnitude over the survey, from 0.8 to 618.5 items m⁻³ with a mean of 42.3 ± 107.3 items m⁻³ (Tab. 2). Mass concentrations showed much less variability and ranged from 0.02 to 3.4 mg m⁻³ with a mean of 0.8 ± 0.8 mg m⁻³.

228 Riverine MPs showed a similar distribution pattern of shapes between the Rhône and Têt rivers (Fig. 229 3). Whatever the sampling gear used (Manta trawl or conical net), fibers were by far the most abundant shape (Rhône: 98.0%; Têt: 92.5%), followed by fragments (Rhône: 1.4%; Têt: 4.5%). Foams (Rhône: 230 231 0.3%; Têt: 1.2%) and films (Rhône: 0.3%; Têt: 1.1%) were less represented. Micro-beads were 232 negligible in the Rhône River and slightly more numerous in the Têt River (Rhône: <0.1%; Têt: 0.7%). 233 Variability of MP shapes was hence greater in the Têt River than in the Rhône River. Despite the large 234 predominance of fibers in both rivers, they only represented on average 3.6% (Têt) and 6.7% (Rhône) 235 of all MP masses.

Most of binocular-sorted items in river surface waters and considered as "suspected MPs" were validated as "true MPs" after FTIR control (85%). Fibers in rivers were dominantly made of PES (63%). A, PP, and non-plastic items were also found (< 15%). Fragments and films were mostly made of plastics (fragments: Rhône 71%, Têt 92%; films: Rhône 96%, Têt 86%) and composed of PE and PP (Rhône fragments: 42% PE, 16% PP; Rhône films: 56% PE, 12% PP; Têt fragments: 39% PE, 33% PP;

- 241 Têt films: 41% PE, 36% PP). Foams were mostly composed of PS (Rhône: 73%; Têt: 87%). All sorted
- 242 beads were exclusively made of PE.

243 **3.2 Variability of MP concentrations as related to hydroclimatic forcing**

Manta trawls in the Rhône River were performed from Oct. 2015 to Oct. 2016 over a large range of daily flow rates $(676 - 2,526 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$ and TSM $(3 - 92 \text{ mg} \text{ of suspended particles L}^{-1})$, both ranges being however clearly below flood levels. Numerical and mass concentrations did not show the same pattern along the year (Fig. 4). The highest numerical concentration (58.9 items m⁻³) at the end of May 2016 occurred during a small rainfall event (4 mm) at Arles and with a flow rate around 2,000 m³ s⁻¹. The highest mass concentration (0.6 mg.m⁻³) was recorded at the end of October 2016 during a rainfall event (16 mm) but a low flow rate (936 m³ s⁻¹).

251 In November 2016, we changed our sampling strategy with the repeated use of a conical net to sample 252 high flow rate conditions until July 2017. In conjunction with a local rainfall event of 15 mm, the flow rate and the TSM concentration of the Rhône River increased to 8,260 m³ s⁻¹ and 6,309 g L⁻¹ at the end 253 254 of November (Fig.4), indicating a strong flood with a 13-year return period (Sadaoui et al., 2016). Despite other rainfall events between March and May 2017, flow rates decreased and oscillated 255 between 1.000 and 2.000 m³ s⁻¹. Numerical and mass concentrations during the two first sampling dates 256 257 with the conical net did not show the same patterns than during the rest of our study period. Highest 258 numerical concentrations occurred during the flood, whereas the mass concentration peaked in the beginning of February 2017 during a dry day and with a medium flow rate of $1.582 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. 259

The sampling period on the Têt River was characterized by few rainfall events >10 mm, mainly occurring in spring and autumn (Fig. 5), whereas winter and summer were rather dry. The highest numerical concentration (618 items m⁻³), one order of magnitude higher than the other concentrations, happened in May 2016 during a dry period with a low daily flow rate (3 m³ s⁻¹; Fig. 6). This high MP content was not related to a high mass of plastic. The highest mass concentrations were found in one of the triplicates of December 2015 and February 2016 (both at 3.4 mg m⁻³). Both samples were collected after a long period of low water levels without precipitation and demonstrate the large variability of results within triplicates (Fig. 2 G). As for the Rhône River, no clear patterns could hence be observed between both numerical and mass concentrations of MP and river flow rate, rainfall and TSM concentrations (Fig. 6). Nevertheless, most trawls in the Têt River were performed during low flow conditions (< 5 m³ s⁻¹), which represented 80% of all daily flow rates measured during our 1-yr sampling period.

3.3 Deposition of MP in the Têt River basin

273 3.3.1 Shoreline sediments

274 Contrary to surface waters, numerical and mass concentrations of MPs in the shore sediments of the 275 Têt mouth followed a similar pattern. Concomitant samples collected 30 m apart showed a high spatial 276 heterogeneity that tended to increase with high concentrations (Fig. 5). MP concentrations ranged from 7 to 1,029 items kg⁻¹ and from 4 to 633 mg kg⁻¹ with means over the sampling period of 258 ± 259 277 items kg⁻¹ and 133 \pm 136 mg kg⁻¹ (Tab. 3). Highest concentrations were found in October 2015 and 278 September 2016 (1,029 items kg⁻¹ and 708 mg kg⁻¹, 755 items kg⁻¹ and 257 mg kg⁻¹, respectively). Both 279 280 samples were collected just after a rain event (13 and 14 mm, respectively) which provoked a weak flow rate increase (to 9 and 4 m³ s⁻¹, respectively). Replicates from the end of August 2016, which had 281 low mean concentrations (184 \pm 110 items kg⁻¹ and 94 \pm 60 mg kg⁻¹), have been collected after a long 282 283 period of low water stage without precipitation. The lowest mean concentrations of December 2016 and February 2017 (44 \pm 52 items kg⁻¹ and 26 \pm 30 mg kg⁻¹, 24 \pm 19 items kg⁻¹ and 16 \pm 13 mg kg⁻¹, 284 285 respectively) were both taken a few days after an important rain event (7 and 59 mm, respectively), which also provoked peaks in the river flow rates (56 and 87 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively). 286

Shapes and polymers in shore sediments of the Têt mouth were different compared to the river surface waters (Fig. 3). Fragments were the most abundant shape (54.8%), followed by fibers (19.5%), foams (13.0%), films (7.0%) and beads (5.7%). Most of binocular-sorted items in shore sediments (79%) were plastic, but 40% of fibers were non plastic. Among the plastic fibers, PES, PP, PA and A were
identified. Fragments and films were mostly plastic (82% and 76%, respectively) and composed of PE
and PP (fragments: 45% PE, 23% PP; films 29% PP, 35% PP). Half of foams were composed of PS and
all beads were made of PE.

294 3.3.2 Atmospheric fallout

MP concentrations in atmospheric deposits at Perpignan ranged from 5 to 65 items $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ with a mean 295 of 22 ± 14 items m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 7; Tab. 3). During the two sampling years (790 days), 75% of days were 296 297 dry and the average daily precipitation was 4 mm per rain event. Only 20 events surpassed 10 mm and 298 the maximum value of 54 mm was recorded at the end of March 2017 (Fig. 7). Winds with a mean velocity of 9 m s⁻¹ originated half of the time from the NNW (continental and dry wind, see details in 299 300 section 2.1), and for 20% from E (moist wind). For 49 days, the intense and dry NNW wind blew with a daily mean velocity greater than 15 m s⁻¹. The peak of 65 items m $^{-2}$ d⁻¹ occurred in April 2017 during 301 a rainfall event of 6 mm. The April 2017 sample (11 items m⁻² d⁻¹) was the single sample only 302 303 associated with dry deposits. When cumulated rainfall of the sampling period was at its maximum (178 304 mm between December 2016 and February 2017), the MP concentration reached an intermediate value with 21 items $m^{-2} d^{-1}$. As in both studied rivers, no clear patterns were found during the sampling period 305 306 between MP concentrations in atmospheric fallout and cumulated precipitation (Fig. 6), nor with mean 307 wind velocity.

Fibers were the only shape found in atmospheric deposits (Fig. 3). Most of the suspected fibers (67.5%) were non-plastic. Such a low percentage of validated plastic fibers illustrates the difficulty in discriminating plastic fibers from cotton and plant fibers with a binocular or a dissecting stereomicroscope. Polymers of fibers are in a descending order made of PES, PP, PA and A.

312 **4. Discussion**

313 **4.1 Export fluxes of MP by the Rhône and Têt rivers**

314 The establishment of reliable MP fluxes from river basins to the sea is one of the major challenges for 315 our understanding of cycling and impact of plastic litter in the marine environment. This is particularly 316 true for the Mediterranean Sea, which has been identified as a hotspot region for MP accumulation in 317 surface waters (Cózar et al., 2015) and which is strongly influenced by its surrounding drainage basins 318 (Ludwig et al., 2009). It is only very recently that a few pioneering studies proposed general modelling 319 approaches to quantify these fluxes (Lebreton et al., 2017; Schmidt et al., 2017). However, they are 320 based on relatively few field observations and Mediterranean rivers are under-represented in these 321 studies. A major problem for the development of general budget calculations is the great spatial and 322 temporal variabilities of MP concentrations in river samples, which complicate the definition of 323 representative levels based on isolated sampling. Our results clearly confirm this variability. Only long-324 term monitoring, which contributes to the identification of the major controls on MP fluxes in rivers, 325 can reduce uncertainties in this respect.

326 Methodological problems contribute also to the difficulties in the determination of representative flux-327 es. Manta nets are frequently used for the collection of MPs in rivers, in analogy to studies on MPs in 328 seawater. Since Manta nets cannot be deployed in rivers during flood conditions, due to a high risk of 329 deterioration or loss, measurements refer to low flow conditions, which are representative of a typical 330 hydrological year but not of annual water and sediment budgets. In our river samples (both Rhône and 331 Têt), no clear relationships were found between MP concentration patterns and those of external factors 332 such as precipitation, flow rate, and TSM concentrations (Fig. 6). Nevertheless, Figure 8 shows that the 333 pool of MP within TSM does not decrease linearly with the increase of TSM concentration, as it is fre-334 quently found in rivers for other particulate compounds, such as particulate organic carbon (Ludwig 335 and Probst, 1996; Higueras et al., 2014). In other words, there is a dilution of MP within TSM in both

rivers, but the dilution is lower than expected at the highest TSM concentration (flood events). The 336 337 dilution is consequently not only the result of the increasing TSM concentrations since flood samples 338 are somewhat enriched in MPs compared to what might be expected from dilution alone. In addition, 339 this dilution is not only visible in the Rhône River where we could sample a wider range of TSM con-340 centrations, but also in the Têt River, despite the narrower range of TSM concentrations. Data points of 341 the Têt River are mostly plotted above the data points for the Rhône which is consistent with the great-342 er MP concentrations we observed for this river compared to the Rhône River. Figure 8 also indicates that there is no fundamental difference between the use of a Manta trawl (330µm, 0.15 m²) and a coni-343 cal net ($300\mu m$, $0.03 m^2$). All data points could consequently be used for calculation of the average MP 344 345 fluxes in both rivers during the study period.

When only referring to samples collected with a Manta net (n=13 for the Rhône River and n=35 for the 346 Têt River), we obtain arithmetic averages of MP mass concentrations of 0.121 mg m⁻³ for the Rhône 347 and 0.831 mg m⁻³ for the Têt. Weighting each concentration with the corresponding TSM 348 concentrations, in order to better consider hydrological condition, only slightly modify mean values to 349 0.111 mg m⁻³ and 0.828 mg m⁻³, respectively, probably due to the narrow range of TSM concentrations. 350 351 Multiplying these concentrations by the 2016 average water discharges yields mean annual MP fluxes of 5.92 and 0.09 t yr⁻¹ for the Rhône and Têt rivers, respectively. Then, dividing them by the 352 corresponding basin areas, yields specific MP fluxes of 61 (Rhône) and 76 g km⁻² (Têt). However, 353 354 when we include samples from the Rhône River performed with a conical net (increasing the total 355 samples to n= 28), the average TSM-weighted MP concentration for the Rhône increases to 0.404 mg m^{-3} , and therefore, the simple and specific MP fluxes become 22 t yr⁻¹ and 224 g km⁻², *i.e.* 3-4 times the 356 357 previous values. These results clearly demonstrate that "flood" samples collected with the small conical 358 net have a dominant role in the calculation of realistic MP fluxes in rivers

4.2 Comparison with other European watersheds

Sampling methods and treatments vary substantially between the different studies on MPs in aquatic 360 361 environments (Hidalgo-Ruz et al., 2012). In Europe, surface water samples were generally taken with 362 nets of 80 to 800 µm mesh size, including the Manta trawl, stationary conical nets and driftnets. Other devices such as glass jars, Niskin bottles, continuous centrifugation systems and motor water pumps 363 364 have been used (Lechner et al., 2014; Dris et al., 2015; Faure et al., 2015; Mani et al., 2015; Leslie et al., 2016; Rodrigues et al., 2018; Schmidt et al., 2018). All studies sorted MPs visually with a stereo-365 366 microscope and results are dependent on observer abilities. Comparison between studies are therefore quite difficult to achieve, and only studies using a similar sampling device (net with >300 µm mesh) 367 368 are discussed thereafter.

369 Individual numerical MP concentrations in the Rhône and Têt rivers varied within the range of MP 370 concentrations measured in other European rivers, but average concentrations were greater by one to 371 two orders of magnitude (Tab. 4). This shift is likely due to fibers. They represented the dominant 372 shape in our samples (>92.5%), but they only accounted for 2.5% in the Rhine River (Mani et al., 2015) and 10% in the upstream part of the Rhône River (Faure et al., 2015). Dris et al. (2015) for the 373 374 Seine River and Lechner et al. (2014) for the Danube River did not mention any fiber percentages. 375 Fibers were also excluded by Schmidt et al. (2018) in their study on the Rhône River at Arles. Without fibers, our mean MP concentrations (Rhône net: 1.4 ± 1.1 items m⁻³; Rhône Manta: 0.3 ± 0.2 items m⁻³; 376 Têt Manta: 1.8 ± 1.3 items m⁻³) perfectly match values found in the Danube, Seine and upper Rhône 377 378 rivers but remain lower compared to the Rhine River where fibers were almost negligible. In all cases 379 however plastic fibers have to be considered to provide accurate worldwide assessments of the MP pollution. 380

4.3 Trapping of MPs in the Têt River bank sediments

River bank sediments are transitory sinks for particulate material transported by river waters and provisory deposited there due to the reduction of flow energy. One can therefore reasonably question

the possible role that these sediments, especially those at or nearby river mouths, could play as 384 385 indicators of the nature and/or quantity of MPs transported by rivers before they enter the sea. This 386 could be particularly helpful since shore sediments are easier to sample and theoretically less prone to 387 short temporal changes than surface waters. Since riparian sediments undergo grain size sorting once 388 deposited, they are not necessarily representative of the riverine transport in general and direct comparison should be done with caution. They are mainly composed of sand, contrary to river 389 390 sediments in transit that are largely dominated by finer grain size fractions. It can nevertheless be 391 supposed that trapping efficiency of riverine MP, with the noticeable exception of fibers, may be 392 comparable to the trapping efficiency of riverine sand. They are relatively coarse in size preventing 393 them to be backwashed to the river through water percolation in the sediments. A rough budget 394 calculation can thus be based on the assumptions that the mass ratios of MP to sand in riparian sediments and in TSM are similar and that the average MP concentration of 133 mg kg⁻¹ in the Têt river 395 396 bank sediments might be representative for the transport of the sand fraction in general. During 2016, 397 the Têt River transported about 4,500 t of TSM with an average 20% of sand (unpublished results). This would correspond to an overall riverine MP flux of 0.12 t yr⁻¹, close to the MP flux of 0.09 t yr⁻¹ or 398 76 g km⁻² calculated above (see section 4.1). The similarity between the two independent estimates is 399 400 striking and in favor of using river bank sediments as a convenient, first-order indicator of riverine 401 MPs.

Regarding the quality of MPs, shapes differed markedly between the Têt surface waters (93% of fibers) and the river bank sediments at its mouth (only 20%). Fibers did not likely settle along the shore but they might have been washed to the sea before sedimentation. As fibers only weakly contributed to the mass concentration of MPs in the Têt River (4%), this difference in the MP shapes should only be of minor importance for MP mass fluxes. PE and PP fragments in both river surface waters and shore sediments were the dominant plastic polymers with about the same relative proportions (Fig. 3). This result suggests that MP deposits at the shoreline are roughly representative of the coarser MPstransported by the Têt River.

410 MP concentrations in sediments of the Têt River shore were highly variable along the year. No seasonal 411 trends were observed and no clear relationship between MP accumulation in shore sediments and MPs 412 floating in river waters could be detected (Fig. 5). Both parameters could be however closely linked, as 413 MPs in the shore sediments of the mouth may be accumulated for long periods of low water levels. 414 Indeed, MP concentrations in shore sediments were higher after dry periods and rainfall events that were not followed by concomitant increases of the water flow rate. A stable height of the river is 415 416 probably needed for accumulation of MPs at the sediment and water interface. Moderate and short 417 precipitation can still increase accumulation by either leaching MPs from the upper part of the shore or 418 by increasing MP concentrations in the river. Conversely, peaks in flow rate provoke lower 419 concentrations. An increase of flow rate increases the river height and may either deposit MPs on the 420 upper parts of the shore or wash them back to the river in the lower parts.

In Europe, only few studies investigated MPs in river shore sediments (Klein et al., 2015; Scheurer and 421 422 Bigalke, 2018; Blair et al., 2019) using a similar methodology (surface samples, NaCl gravity 423 separation, oxidation of natural debris and FTIR verification). Klein et al. (2015) monitored shores 424 along the Rhine and one of its major tributaries, the Main River, at a larger (~40 km) spatial scale than 425 we did and with only a single sampling period. No mean values were given, but the highest MP 426 concentrations (Tab. 3) they provided indicate that Rhine River shores are probably more contaminated 427 than the Têt River shore. This is consistent with the greater population densities in this area (according to the authors, 300-2900 inhabitant km⁻² for the Rhine and Main watersheds). They also reported 428 429 fragments and fibers as the dominant MP compounds in their samples. Scheurer and Bigalke (2018) 430 found microplastics in 90% of the 29 floodplain soils analyzed across Switzerland, with concentrations 431 ranging from 0 and 593 MPs kg⁻¹, correlated with the population of the catchment. Blair *et al.* (2019) 432 observed 161 and 432 MPs kg^{-1} within two samples along the River Kelvin in Glasgow (UK), with

433 fibers as the dominant shape (> 88%).

434 **4.4 Atmospheric deposits of MP in the Têt River basin**

Atmospheric deposits represent a potential source of MPs in rivers. They can enter the aquatic 435 environment after being washed off from the ground during rainy periods or directly by wind transport. 436 437 However, connecting atmospheric MP fallout and MPs in rivers is a difficult task with the available data. Taking an average deposition rate of 22 fibers $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ converted into mass fluxes on the basis of 438 the conversion factor for fibers (0.7 µg fiber⁻¹; see section 2.3 for details), the only MP shape in our 439 atmospheric deposits, we determined a fiber deposition rate of 6 kg km⁻² yr⁻¹, which is closed to the 440 estimation made for the Parisian agglomeration (1-4 kg km⁻² yr⁻¹; Dris *et al.*, 2016), but two order of 441 magnitude higher than the mean specific MP flux (0.07 kg km⁻² yr⁻¹) of the Têt River. Even assuming 442 443 that our deposition rate is only valid for the urban area of Perpignan (about 5% of the Têt drainage 444 basin area) and that no MPs were emitted within natural and agricultural areas, the MP mass flux of 445 atmospheric deposition would still surpass the river export by a factor of almost 4. In addition, as fibers 446 only represent a minor part (4%) of the MP mass flux in the Têt River, the difference is even more 447 important when considering only this shape. Simple mass balance calculations have hence to be done with caution. Considerable parts of surface water discharge in urban areas pass through waste-water 448 449 treatment plants (WWTP), which, according to Murphy et al. (2016), can retain up to 98% of MPs. 450 Moreover, one has also to keep in mind that atmospheric deposition rates of fibers are difficult to 451 determine with traps, as the same fibers might be remobilized several times by winds. The deposition rate given here is probably overestimated. 452

453 Comparing atmospheric MP deposition and riverine MP export is nevertheless interesting for two 454 reasons. First, it has to be noticed that only fibers (100%) were present in our atmospheric samples, 455 while the other MP shapes represented 96% of the mean MP mass flux in the Têt River. In other words, riverine MPs have been essentially mobilized through overland water flow and not through atmospheric deposition. On the other hand, our results suggest that atmospheric transport of MP fibers could be order(s) of magnitude higher than riverine transport. Direct atmospheric fallout might potentially represent an important source of MPs entering the sea, especially along the Mediterranean coast where several continental and intense winds are recorded (*e.g.* Tramontane, Mistral, Bora, Etesian, Meltemi and Sirocco winds). Further studies should focus more precisely on the quantification and distribution of MPs in atmospheric deposition along the Mediterranean coast.

Dris et al. (2016) and Cai et al. (2017) investigated atmospheric fallout at two and three urban sites in 463 464 Paris (France) and Dongguan city (China), respectively. In both studies, corrected numerical 465 concentrations (without non plastic items) of MPs were similar to what we found in our study, with 15 \pm 11 and 32 \pm 28 items m⁻² day⁻¹ for Paris (plastic ratio: 0.29; Dris *et al.*, 2016) and 36 \pm 7 items m⁻² 466 day⁻¹ for Dongguan city (plastic ratio: 0.16; Cai *et al.*, 2017; see Tab. 3 for uncorrected values). Both 467 studies also confirm that fibers are the main particle shape in atmospheric MPs and that only about 468 25% of the suspected plastic fibers are made of plastics. Klein and Fischer (2019) investigated three 469 470 urban and three rural sites in the metropolitan region of Hamburg (Germany). Median abundance ranged between 136.5 and 512.0 items $m^{-2} day^{-1}$ and most of their particles were fragments smaller than 471 63 µm which is below our detection limit (100µm) and the detection limits of previous studies. Allen et 472 473 al. (2019) showed the direct atmospheric fallout of MPs in a remote area of the Pyrenees Mountains, with an average flux of 365 ± 69 items m⁻² d⁻¹. Most of their particles were fragments smaller than 50 474 475 μm.

476 MP concentrations, in our atmospheric fallout samples, were highly variable. Deposition rate did not 477 show any relationship with neither precipitation nor wind velocity during the sampling periods (Fig. 6 478 and 7). Dris *et al.* (2016) also observed highly variable levels of MPs within atmospheric fallout of the 479 Parisian agglomeration, with no significant correlation with mean daily rainfall.

480 **5. Conclusions**

This study was designed to investigate the contamination by microplastics (MPs) in rivers and atmospheric fallout. Three environmental matrices (water, sediment, atmosphere) were sampled monthly during, at least, one year. The conclusions drawn are supported by the results obtained within two contrasting river systems opening into the Gulf of Lion, a large one (Rhône River) and a small one (Têt River). These are the following:

(1) Relationships between concentrations, fluxes and potential hydroclimatic forcing parameters are
difficult to establish under regular conditions (*i.e.* without extreme events). However, our flood samples suggest a strong contribution of floods to total MP fluxes.

(2) In terms of concentration by the number, fibers are the dominant shape within surface river samples
(>90%). However, in terms of mass concentrations, fibers are only a minor part of riverine MP fluxes
(Rhône: 2%; Têt: 4%). Specific conversion factors of numerical concentrations to mass concentrations
should therefore be applied to each shape category.

(3) MP average concentrations, shape and polymer compositions within river bank sediments are consistent with their riverine counterparts, except for fibers that might be easily washed further offshore.
Shoreline sediments nearby river mouths could therefore be informative regarding MP pollution levels
in rivers.

(4) In the Têt River basin, MPs within atmospheric fallout is exclusively composed by fibers. Atmos pheric deposition rates largely exceed riverine export fluxes, suggesting that atmospheric transport of
 microplastic fibers to the sea is potentially significant.

500 6. Acknowledgement

We acknowledge the "Ecole Doctorale ED305" from the University of Perpignan Via Domitia for funding the PhD grant of Mel Constant. We thank Núria Ferrer from the "Centres Científics i Tecnològics" of the University of Barcelona for her technical support during FTIR analyses. We are

- sold also grateful to "Météo-France" for the access to weather data and the Banque Hydro hosted by the
- 505 "French Ministère de l'Environnement et du Développement durable" for hydrological data. This re-
- search has also been supported by a Catalan Government Grups de Recerca Consolidats grant (2014
- 507 SGR 1068). The authors thank the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and valuable
- 508 advices.

509 7. References

- Allen, S., Allen, D., Phoenix, V.R., Roux, G.L., Jiménez, P.D., Simonneau, A., Binet, S., Galop, D., 2019. At mospheric transport and deposition of microplastics in a remote mountain catchment. Nat. Geosci. 1.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0335-5
- Ballent, A., Corcoran, P.L., Madden, O., Helm, P.A., Longstaffe, F.J., 2016. Sources and sinks of microplastics
 in Canadian Lake Ontario nearshore, tributary and beach sediments. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 110, 383–395.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.06.037
- Barnes, D.K.A., Galgani, F., Thompson, R.C., Barlaz, M., 2009. Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic
 debris in global environments. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 364, 1985–1998.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0205
- Blair, R.M., Waldron, S., Phoenix, V.R., Gauchotte-Lindsay, C., 2019. Microscopy and Elemental Analysis
 Characterisation of Microplastics in Sediment of a Freshwater Urban River in Scotland, UK. Environ.
 Sci. Pollut. Res. 26, 12491–504. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04678-1</u>.
- Boots, B., Russell, C.W., Green, D.S., 2019. Effects of Microplastics in Soil Ecosystems: Above and Below
 Ground. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 11496–11506. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03304
- Cai, L., Wang, J., Peng, J., Tan, Z., Zhan, Z., Tan, X., Chen, Q., 2017. Characteristic of microplastics in the at mospheric fallout from Dongguan city, China: preliminary research and first evidence. Environ. Sci.
 Pollut. Res. 24, 24928–24935. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0116-x</u>
- 527 Catarino, A.I., Macchia, V., Sanderson, W.G., Thompson, R.C., Henry, T.B., 2018. Low levels of microplastics
 528 (MP) in wild mussels indicate that MP ingestion by humans is minimal compared to exposure via
 529 household fibres fallout during a meal. Environmental Pollution 237, 675–684.
 530 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.02.069
- Cheshire, A. C., Adler, E., Barbière, J., Cohen, Y., Evans, S., Jarayabhand, S., Jeftic, L., Jung, R. T., Kinsey, S.,
 Kusui, E. T., Lavine, I.,Manyara, P., Oosterbaan, L., Pereira, M. A., Sheavly, S., Tkalin, A., Varadarajan,
 S., Wenneker, B. and Westphalen, G., 2009. UNEP/IOC guidelines on survey and monitoring of marine
 litter. UNEP Regional Seas Reports and Studies No. 186, IOC Technical Series No. 83, 120 p.
- Constant, M., Kerhervé, P., Heussner, S., 2017. Source, Transfer, and Fate of Microplastics in the Northwestern
 Mediterranean Sea: A Holistic Approach, in: Baztan, J., Jorgensen, B., Pahl, S., Thompson, R.C.,
 Vanderlinden, J.-P. (Eds.), Fate and Impact of Microplastics in Marine Ecosystems. Elsevier, pp. 115–
 116.

- Constant, M., Kerhervé, P., Mino-Vercellio-Verollet, M., Dumontier, M., Sànchez Vidal, A., Canals, M.,
 Heussner, S., 2019. Beached microplastics in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Mar. Pollut. Bull.
 142, 263–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.03.032
- 542 Constant, M., Kerherve, P., Sola, J., Sanchez-Vidal, A., Canals, M., Heussner, S., 2018. Floating Microplastics
 543 in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea: Temporal and Spatial Heterogeneities, in: Cocca, M., Di Pace,
 544 E., Errico, M.E., Gentile, G., Montarsolo, A., Mossotti, R. (Eds.), Proceedings of the International Con545 ference on Microplastic Pollution in the Mediterranean Sea. Springer International Publishing, Cham,
 546 pp. 9–15.
- 547 Cózar, A., Sanz-Martín, M., Martí, E., González-Gordillo, J.I., Ubeda, B., Gálvez, J.Á., Irigoien, X., Duarte,
 548 C.M., 2015. Plastic accumulation in the Mediterranean Sea. PLoS One 10, e0121762.
- Dehghani, S., Moore, F., Akhbarizadeh, R., 2017. Microplastic pollution in deposited urban dust, Tehran metro polis, Iran. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9674-1
- Deudero, S., Alomar, C., 2015. Mediterranean marine biodiversity under threat: Reviewing influence of marine
 litter on species. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 98, 58–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.07.012
- Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Rocher, V., Saad, M., Renault, N., Tassin, B., 2015. Microplastic contamination in an urban area: a case study in Greater Paris. Environ. Chem. 12, 592. https://doi.org/10.1071/EN14167
- Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Saad, M., Mirande, C., Tassin, B., 2016. Synthetic fibers in atmospheric fallout: A source of
 microplastics in the environment? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 104, 290–293.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.01.006
- Dumas, C., Ludwig, W., Aubert, D., Eyrolle, F., Raimbault, P., Gueneugues, A., Sotin, C., 2015. Riverine transfer of anthropogenic and natural trace metals to the Gulf of Lions (NW Mediterranean Sea). Appl.
 Geochem. 58, 14–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2015.02.017
- Eerkes-Medrano, D., Thompson, R.C., Aldridge, D.C., 2015. Microplastics in freshwater systems: A review of
 the emerging threats, identification of knowledge gaps and prioritisation of research needs. Water Res.
 75, 63–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.02.012
- Farrell, P., Nelson, K., 2013. Trophic level transfer of microplastic: Mytilus edulis (L.) to Carcinus maenas (L.).
 Environ. Pollut. 177, 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.01.046
- Faure, F., Demars, C., Wieser, O., Kunz, M., de Alencastro, L.F., 2015. Plastic pollution in Swiss surface waters:
 nature and concentrations, interaction with pollutants. Environ. Chem. 12, 582–591.
- Fossi, M.C., Pedà, C., Compa, M., Tsangaris, C., Alomar, C., Claro, F., Ioakeimidis, C., Galgani, F., Hema, T.,
 Deudero, S., Romeo, T., Battaglia, P., Andaloro, F., Caliani, I., Casini, S., Panti, C., Baini, M., 2018.
 Bioindicators for monitoring marine litter ingestion and its impacts on Mediterranean biodiversity. Environ. Pollut. 237, 1023–1040. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.019
- Galgani, F., Jaunet, S., Campillo, A., Guenegen, X., His, E., 1995. Distribution and abundance of debris on the
 continental shelf of the north-western Mediterranean Sea. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 30, 713–717.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326X(95)00055-R
- Galgani, F., Souplet, A., Cadiou, Y., 1996. Accumulation of debris on the deep sea floor off the French Mediter ranean coast. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 142, 225–234. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps142225

- Gang Xu, Qunhui Wang, Qingbao Gu, Yunzhe Cao, Xiaoming Du, Fasheng Li, 2006. Contamination Character istics and Degradation Behavior of Low-Density Polyethylene Film Residues in Typical Farmland Soils
 of China. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B -- Pestic. Food Contam. Agric. Wastes 41, 189–199.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/03601230500365069
- Gao, F., Li, J., Sun, C., Zhang, L., Jiang, F., Cao, W., Zheng, L., 2019. Study on the capability and characteris tics of heavy metals enriched on microplastics in marine environment. Marine Pollution Bulletin 144,
 61–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.04.039
- Garcia-Esteves, J., Ludwig, W., Kerherve, P., Probst, J.L., Lespinas, F., 2007. Predicting the impact of land use
 on the major element and nutrient fluxes in coastal Mediterranean rivers: the case of the Tet River
 (Southern France). Appl. Geochem. 22, 230–248.
- 587 Gasperi, J., Dris, R., Bonin, T., Rocher, V., Tassin, B., 2014. Assessment of floating plastic debris in surface
 588 water along the Seine River. Environ. Pollut. 195, 163–166.
 589 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.09.001</u>
- Gasperi, J., Wright, S.L., Dris, R., Collard, F., Mandin, C., Guerrouache, M., Langlois, V., Kelly, F.J., Tassin,
 B., 2018. Microplastics in air: Are we breathing it in? Current Opinion in Environmental Science &
 Health, Micro and Nanoplastics Edited by Dr. Teresa A.P. Rocha-Santos 1, 1–5.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2017.10.002
- 594 GESAMP, 2015. Sources, Fate and Effects of Microplastics in the Marine Environment: A Global Assessment.
- Geyer, R., Jambeck, J.R., Law, K.L., 2017. Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. Sci. Adv. 3,
 e1700782.Klein, M., Fischer, E.K., 2019. Microplastic Abundance in Atmospheric Deposition within the
 Metropolitan Area of Hamburg, Germany. Sci. Total Environ. 685, 96–103.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.405.
- Hidalgo-Ruz, V., Gutow, L., Thompson, R.C., Thiel, M., 2012. Microplastics in the Marine Environment: A
 Review of the Methods Used for Identification and Quantification. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 3060–
 3075. https://doi.org/10.1021/es2031505
- Hirai, H., Takada, H., Ogata, Y., Yamashita, R., Mizukawa, K., Saha, M., Kwan, C., Moore, C., Gray, H.,
 Laursen, D., Zettler, E.R., Farrington, J.W., Reddy, C.M., Peacock, E.E., Ward, M.W., 2011. Organic
 micropollutants in marine plastics debris from the open ocean and remote and urban beaches. Mar.
 Pollut. Bull. 62, 1683–1692. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.06.004
- Jambeck, J.R., Geyer, R., Wilcox, C., Siegler, T.R., Perryman, M., Andrady, A., Narayan, R., Law, K.L., 2015.
 Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. Science 347, 768–771.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260352
- Klein, S., Worch, E., Knepper, T.P., 2015. Occurrence and Spatial Distribution of Microplastics in River Shore
 Sediments of the Rhine-Main Area in Germany. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 6070–6076.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00492
- Lambert, S., Wagner, M., 2018. Microplastics Are Contaminants of Emerging Concern in Freshwater Environ ments: An Overview, in: Freshwater Microplastics, The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry.
 Springer, Cham, pp. 1–23.

- Lebreton, L.C.M., van der Zwet, J., Damsteeg, J.-W., Slat, B., Andrady, A., Reisser, J., 2017. River plastic emis sions to the world's oceans. Nat. Commun. 8, 15611. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15611
- Lechner, A., Keckeis, H., Lumesberger-Loisl, F., Zens, B., Krusch, R., Tritthart, M., Glas, M., Schludermann,
 E., 2014. The Danube so colourful: A potpourri of plastic litter outnumbers fish larvae in Europe's second largest river. Environ. Pollut. 188, 177–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.02.006
- Leslie, H.A., Brandsma, S.H., van Velzen, M.J.M., Vethaak, A.D., 2016. Microplastics en route: Field measure ments in the Dutch river delta and Amsterdam canals, wastewater treatment plants, North Sea sediments
 and biota. Environ. Int. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.01.018</u>
- Lippiatt, S., Opfer, S., and Arthur, C., 2013. Marine debris monitoring and assessment. NOAA Technical Memo randum NOS-OR&R-46.
- Lithner, D., Larsson, Å., Dave, G., 2011. Environmental and health hazard ranking and assessment of plastic
 polymers based on chemical composition. Sci. Total Environ. 409, 3309–3324.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.04.038
- Löder, M.G.J., Gerdts, G., 2015. Methodology Used for the Detection and Identification of Microplastics—A
 Critical Appraisal, in: Bergmann, M., Gutow, L., Klages, M. (Eds.), Marine Anthropogenic Litter.
 Springer International Publishing, pp. 201–227.
- Ludwig, W., Dumont, E., Meybeck, M., Heussner, S., 2009. River discharges of water and nutrients to the Mediterranean and Black Sea: Major drivers for ecosystem changes during past and future decades? Prog.
 Oceanogr. 80, 199–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2009.02.001
- Ludwig, W., Probst, J.-L., 1996. A global modelling of the climatic, morphological, and lithological control of
 river sediment discharges to the oceans. IAHS Publ.-Ser. Proc. Rep.-Intern Assoc Hydrol. Sci. 236, 21–
 22.
- Mani, T., Hauk, A., Walter, U., Burkhardt-Holm, P., 2015. Microplastics profile along the Rhine River. Sci. Rep.
 5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep17988</u>
- Mani, T., Primpke, S., Lorenz, C., Gerdts, G., Burkhardt-Holm, P., 2019. Microplastic Pollution in Benthic Midstream Sediments of the Rhine River. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 6053–6062.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01363</u>
- Miller, R.Z., Watts, A.J.R., Winslow, B.O., Galloway, T.S., Barrows, A.P.W., 2017. Mountains to the sea: River
 study of plastic and non-plastic microfiber pollution in the northeast USA. Marine Pollution Bulletin
 124, 245–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.07.028
- MSFD Technical Subgroup on Marine Litter, 2013. Guidance on monitoring of marine litter in European seas.
 Joint Research Centre Scientific and Policy Reports, European Commission. 128 p.
- Murphy, F., Ewins, C., Carbonnier, F., Quinn, B., 2016. Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) as a Source of
 Microplastics in the Aquatic Environment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 5800–5808.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05416
- Napper, I.E., Thompson, R.C., 2016. Release of synthetic microplastic plastic fibres from domestic washing
 machines: Effects of fabric type and washing conditions. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 112, 39–45.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.025

- Prevenios, M., Zeri, C., Tsangaris, C., Liubartseva, S., Fakiris, E., Papatheodorou, G., 2018. Beach litter dynam ics on Mediterranean coasts: Distinguishing sources and pathways. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 129, 448–457.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.10.013
- Provencher, J.F., Ammendolia, J., Rochman, C.M., Mallory, M.L., 2018. Assessing plastic debris in aquatic food
 webs: what we know and don't know about uptake and trophic transfer. Environ. Rev.
 https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2018-0079
- Rochman, C.M., Hoh, E., Kurobe, T., Teh, S.J., 2013. Ingested plastic transfers hazardous chemicals to fish and
 induces hepatic stress. Sci. Rep. 3, 3263. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03263
- Rochman, C.M., Tahir, A., Williams, S.L., Baxa, D.V., Lam, R., Miller, J.T., Teh, F.-C., Werorilangi, S., Teh,
 S.J., 2015. Anthropogenic debris in seafood: Plastic debris and fibers from textiles in fish and bivalves
 sold for human consumption. Sci. Rep. 5, 14340. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14340</u>
- Rochman, C.M., 2018. Microplastics research—from sink to source. Science 360, 28–29.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar7734
- Rodrigues, M.O., Abrantes, N., Gonçalves, F.J.M., Nogueira, H., Marques, J.C., Gonçalves, A.M.M., 2018. Spatial and temporal distribution of microplastics in water and sediments of a freshwater system (Antuã River, Portugal). Sci. Total Environ. 633, 1549–1559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.233
- Sadaoui, M., Ludwig, W., Bourrin, F., Raimbault, P., 2016. Controls, budgets and variability of riverine sedi ment fluxes to the Gulf of Lions (NW Mediterranean Sea). J. Hydrol. 540, 1002–1015.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.07.012
- Sadaoui, M., Ludwig, W., Bourrin, F., Romero, E., 2018. The impact of reservoir construction on riverine sediment and carbon fluxes to the Mediterranean Sea. Prog. Oceanogr., Special issue of MERMEX project:
 Recent advances in the oceanography of the Mediterranean Sea 163, 94–111.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.08.003
- Scheurer, M., Bigalke, M., 2018. Microplastics in Swiss Floodplain Soils. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 3591–98.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b06003.Schmidt, C., Krauth, T., Wagner, S., 2017. Export of Plastic
 Debris by Rivers into the Sea. Environ. Sci. Technol. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02368
- Schmidt, N., Thibault, D., Galgani, F., Paluselli, A., Sempéré, R., 2018. Occurrence of microplastics in surface
 waters of the Gulf of Lion (NW Mediterranean Sea). Prog. Oceanogr., Special issue of MERMEX project: Recent advances in the oceanography of the Mediterranean Sea 163, 214–220.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.11.010
- Thompson, R.C., Olsen, Y., Mitchell, R.P., Davis, A., Rowland, S.J., John, A.W.G., McGonigle, D., Russell,
 A.E., 2004. Lost at Sea: Where Is All the Plastic? Science 304, 838–838.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1094559
- Tubau, X., Canals, M., Lastras, G., Rayo, X., Rivera, J., Amblas, D., 2015. Marine litter on the floor of deep
 submarine canyons of the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea: The role of hydrodynamic processes. Prog.
 Oceanogr. 134, 379–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2015.03.013
- Turner, A., Holmes, L.A., 2015. Adsorption of trace metals by microplastic pellets in fresh water. Environ.
 Chem. 12, 600–610. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/EN14143</u>

- Turner, S., Horton, A.A., Rose, N.L., Hall, C., 2019. A temporal sediment record of microplastics in an urban
 lake, London, UK. J Paleolimnol 61, 449–462. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-019-00071-7
- 693 UNEP 2003. Riverine transport of water, sediments and pollutants to the Mediterranean Sea. MAP
 694 TechnicalReports Series No. 141, UNEP/MAP, Athens.
- Zhou, Y., Liu, X., Wang, J., 2019. Characterization of microplastics and the association of heavy metals with
 microplastics in suburban soil of central China. Science of The Total Environment 694, 133798.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133798

Watershed characteristics	Rhône	Têt	
Watershed area (km ²)		96364	1373
Length (km)		783	116
Population (10 ⁶ inhabitants)		9.3	0.3
Population density (inhabitants km ⁻²)		97	165
Urban and other artificial land use (%)		4.8	5.1
Agricultural land use (%)		42.1	19.3
Forest (%)		36	36.6
Scrub and/ or herbaceous vegetation (%)		10.9	32.8
No vegetation (%)		6	6.1
Wetlands (%)		0.2	0.1
Water and TSM discharge*	Rhône	Têt	
Long-term water discharge (m s ⁻¹)		1686	9.5
Long-term average TSM (mg L^{-1})		151	159
Water and TSM discharge during sampling	Rhône	Têt	
Mean discharge (m ³ s ⁻¹)		1692	4.0
Min – max discharge $(m^3 s^{-1})$		356 - 8260	1 – 54
Mean TSM (mg L^{-1})		41	8
Min – max TSM (mg L ⁻¹)		2 - 4355	2 - 70

Table 1. Main characteristics of the two sampled watersheds from the Gulf of Lion (NW Mediterranean Sea).

* Sadaoui et al, 2016; TSM: Total Suspended Matter

	Rhône net	Rhône manta	Têt manta	
Mean	19 ± 28	12 ± 18	42 ± 18	(Items m ⁻³)
	0.5 ± 1.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.8	(mg m ⁻³)
Min	2.4	0.3	0.8	(Items m ⁻³)
	0.01	0.01	0.02	(mg m ⁻³)
Max	88	59	618	(Items m ⁻³)
	3.9	0.6	3.4	(mg m ⁻³)
Total number of fibers*	3,584	44,448	143,654	(Items)
Total number of other shapes	259	853	11,151	(Items)

Table 2. Means and ranges of MP concentrations in the Rhône and Têt rivers. *The number of fiber is estimated from the weight of lint (see detail in section 2.3).

	Têt shore		Atmosphere fallout	
Mean	258 ± 259	(Items kg ⁻¹)	22 ± 14	(Items $m^{-2} d^{-1}$)
	133 ± 125	$(mg kg^{-1})$		
Min	7.3	(Items kg ⁻¹)	5	(Items $m^{-2} d^{-1}$)
	3.95	$(mg kg^{-1})$		
Max	1,029	(Items kg ⁻¹)	65	(Items $m^{-2} d^{-1}$)
	458	$(mg kg^{-1})$		
Fiber	1,345	(Items)	926	(Items)
Other shapes	7,691	(Items)	0	(Items)

Table 3. Means and ranges of MP concentrations on the Têt shore and in atmospheric fallout.

Table 4. Comparison of MP concentrations observed in river waters, on shores of European watersheds and in atmospheric fallout worldwide. Concentration values in brackets are maximum values.

Sites	Samples	Size range (mm)	Concentrat	ion	Reference
Surface			(Items m ⁻³)		
Danube, Vienna (Austria)	1700	ND	0.31 ± 4.66	(147)	Lechner et al. (2014)
Rhine (Germany)	31	0.3-5	5 ± 4.96	(22)	Mani et al. (2015)
Seine, Paris (France)	3	0.3-5	0.35	(0.45)	Dris et al. (2015)
Rhône, Chancy (Switzerland)	5	0.3-5	0.29 ± 0.08		Faure et al. (2015)
Rhône, Arles (France)	8	0.8-5	0.19	(0.46)	Schimdt et al. (2018)
Rhône, Arles (France)	15	0.3-5	19 ± 28	(88)	This study (net)
Rhône, Arles (France)	13	0.3-5	12 ± 18	(59)	This study (manta)
Têt, Villongue de la Sallanque (France)	35	0.3-5	<i>42</i> ± <i>107</i>	(618)	This study
Shore			(Items kg ⁻¹)		
Rhine (Germany)	12	0.063-5	ND	(3763)	Klein et al. (2015)
Main (Germany)	2	0.063-5	ND	(1368)	Klein et al. (2015)
29 sites (Switzerland)	87	0.125-5	5	(593)	Scheurer and Bigalke (2018)
Kelvin (UK)	2	0.011-5	297	(432)	Blair et al. (2019)
Têt, Canet-en-Roussillon (France)	33	0.063-5	258 ± 259	(1,029)	This study
Atmospheric fallout			(Items m ⁻² d	·1)	
Paris (France)	24	>0.1	110 ± 96	(355)	Dris et al. (2016)
Paris (France)	24	>0.1	53 ± 38	(114)	Dris et al. (2016)
Donggun city (China)	9	>0.1	228 ± 43	(313)	Cai et al. (2017)
Bernadouze (France)	10	0.001-5	365 ± 69	(462)	Allen et al. (2019)
Hambourg (Germany)	108	0.001-5	275*		Klein and Fischer (2019)
Perpignan (France)	28	0.063-5	22 ± 14	(65)	This study

* median value

Figure 1: Location maps of the study areas. A) General map of the Mediterranean Sea with the NW Mediterranean part in shaded area. B) Both sampling areas (shaded areas) of the Têt and the Rhône river basins. C) The sampling site on the Rhône River. D) The sampling site on the Têt River. Grey numbered diamonds represent locations of both weather stations of Perpignan (1) and Arles (4), both gauging stations of Villelongue-de-la-Salanque (2; Têt) and Beaucaire (3; Rhône) and the TSM sampling sites of Villelongue-de-la-Salanque and Arles. The green pentagon indicates the atmospheric sampling site (Perpignan), blue-green circles designate both river sampling sites (Villelongue-de-la-Salanque and Arles) and the pink triangle points out the river shore sampling site at the Têt mouth.



Figure 2. MP concentrations in the Rhône and the Têt river basins expressed in items m⁻³ (A-C), items kg⁻¹ (D), mg m⁻³ (E-G) and mg kg⁻¹ (H). A, E) Mean concentrations in the Rhône and Têt rivers surface water (see period details in section 2.2). B,F) Mean concentrations at two river positions (center and right) of the Rhône River surface water and using two conical nets (see period details in section 2.2). C,G) Concentrations in the Têt River surface water during 9 monthly sampling periods (from December 2015 to October 2016) where triplicates were performed with the Manta trawl. D, H) Mean concentrations at four shoreline sediment stations (quadra) along the Têt River's mouth, repeated 8 times from October 2015 to February 2017. Statistical significance of differences: ns = non significant difference (p-value >0.05), * = significant (p-value <0.01), *** = very highly significant (p-value <0.001).



Figure 3. Relative shape distribution and synthetic organic polymer composition of MPs found in both rivers (Rhône and Têt), in the shore sediments of the Têt mouth and in atmospheric deposits at Perpignan. Shapes: fibers, fragments, foams, films and beads. Polymers: polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS), polyester (PES), polyamide (PA), acrylic (A), other plastics (polyvinyl chloride, polyethylene-vinyl acetate, polyethylene terephthalate, polyurethane), unidentified and non plastics determined after FTIR spectrometer analysis (see details in section 2.3). Notice differences in % scale between matrices for fragments, foams, films and beads.



Figure 4. Temporal evolution (from Oct. 2015 to July 2017) of MP concentrations from the Rhône River (Arles station) in relation with hydrological and meteorological parameters. A) Numerical concentration of MPs (items m^{-3}). B) Mass concentration of MPs (mg m^{-3}). C) Rhône River flow rate ($m^3 s^{-1}$; measured at Beaucaire: 43.8045752 N, 4.6491328 E; data from Hydro service) and Total Suspended Matter or TSM (mg L^{-1} ; measured at Arles: 43.679019 N, 4.623012 E). D) Precipitation (in mm) measured at Arles (43.5100000 N, 4.6933333 E), data from Publithèque (2018). Blue points represent Manta trawl samples and yellow points conical net samples.



Figure 5. Temporal evolution (from Oct. 2015 to Feb. 2017) of MP concentrations from the Têt River in relation with hydrological and meteorological parameters. A and B) numerical (items m⁻³) and mass (mg m⁻³) concentrations of MPs in the Têt waters (Villellongue-de-la-Salanque station), respectively. C and D) numerical (items m⁻³) and mass (mg m⁻³) concentrations of MPs along the shore at the Têt mouth, respectively. E) Têt River flow rate (m³ s⁻¹; measured at Perpignan: 42.7034175 N, 2.8930531 E; data from Hydro service) and Total Suspended Matter or TSM (mg L⁻¹; measured at Villongue-de-la-Sallanque: 42.713738 N, 2.982379 E). F) Precipitation in mm, measured at Perpignan (42.7366667 N, 2.8716667 E), data from Publithèque (2018).



Figure 6. Relationships between MP concentrations and external parameters. A-F) Samples from the Rhône River expressed in items m^{-3} (A-C) and mg m^{-3} (D-F). G-L) Samples from the Têt River expressed in items m^{-3} (G-I) and mg m^{-3} (J-L). Concentration are plotted against the flow rate (A, D, J, G; m^3 s⁻¹), precipitation (B, E, H, K; mm) and TSM (C, F, I, L; mg L⁻¹) occurring during the same sampling day. M, N) Atmospheric fallout samples from Perpignan (items m^{-2} d⁻¹) according to cumulated daily precipitation (M; mm) and daily mean wind (N; m s⁻¹).



Figure 7. Temporal evolution (from Oct. 2015 to Feb. 2018) of MP flux and precipitation. A) MP flux within atmospheric fallout (items $m^{-2} d^{-1}$) at Perpignan in relation. B) Daily (barplot) and cumulated precipitation (in mm) for each sampling intervals (line). Precipitation at Perpignan, data from Publithèque (2018).



Figure 8. Relationship between the Log *ratio* mass MPs on mass TSM from the Rhône and the Têt Rivers according to Log of TSM (mg L^{-1}).

