

## Indirect facilitation drives species composition and stability in drylands

Alain Danet, Florian Dirk Schneider, Fabien Anthelme, Sonia Kéfi

## ▶ To cite this version:

Alain Danet, Florian Dirk Schneider, Fabien Anthelme, Sonia Kéfi. Indirect facilitation drives species composition and stability in drylands. Theoretical Ecology, 2020, 14, pp.189-203. 10.1007/s12080-020-00489-0 . hal-03023812

## HAL Id: hal-03023812 https://hal.science/hal-03023812v1

Submitted on 25 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Indirect facilitation drives species composition and stability in drylands

- 3 Alain Danet · Florian Dirk Schneider · Fabien
- 4 Anthelme · Sonia Kéfi

6 Received: date / Accepted: date

7 Abstract Dryland ecosystems are likely to respond discontinuously to gradual changes

8 in environmental conditions. Direct facilitation between plants, whereby plants im-

<sup>9</sup> prove the local environmental conditions for others, has been shown to be a mech-

<sup>10</sup> anism contributing to these discontinuous ecosystem transitions. Theoretical models

describing dryland vegetation dynamics often consider a single plant species and one

12 type of facilitation, namely direct facilitation. However, another type of facilitation –

indirect facilitation – is widespread in dryland ecosystems as well; it is performed

by plants protected against grazing, the nurses, when this protection extends to other

<sup>15</sup> plants growing in their neighborhood that are deprived of such protection, the pro-

<sup>16</sup> tegees. Little is known about the long term effects of indirect facilitation on dry-

land dynamics. Here, we developed and analyzed a theoretical model including two
 species – a nurse and a protegee – and indirect facilitation through grazing. We in-

vestigated the effects of indirect facilitation on species composition, species spatial

<sup>20</sup> clustering and the stability of dryland ecosystems. We showed that indirect facili-

<sup>21</sup> tation through grazing enables the stable coexistence of the nurse and the protegee

<sup>22</sup> and allows the reversibility of the protegee extinction. Surprisingly, the strength of

<sup>23</sup> indirect facilitation affected neither the total nor the inter-specific vegetation cluster-

<sup>24</sup> ing. Our study highlights that spatially explicit grazing protection may affect species

Alain Danet, Florian Dirk Schneider, Sonia Kéfi

ISEM, CNRS, Univ. Montpellier, IRD, EPHE, Montpellier, France

Alain Danet, Fabien Anthelme

Alain Danet Centre d'Ecologie et des Sciences de la Conservation, UMR 7204 MNHN-CNRS-Sorbonne Université, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle de Paris, 43 rue Buffon, 75005, Paris, France

Florian Dirk Schneider

ISOE – Institute for Social-Ecological Research, Biodiversity and People, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Center BiK-F, Ecosystem Services and Climate, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

E-mail:

a lain.dan et @mnhn.fr, florian.dirk.schneider @gmail.com, fabien.anthelme@ird.fr, sonia.kefi@umontpellier.fr

AMAP, CIRAD, IRD, CNRS, INRA, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

composition, the stability of dryland ecosystems and gives hints about how species
 interactions translate into spatial clustering.

27 Keywords grazing · indirect facilitation · stability · drylands · alternative stable

28 states · catastrophic shifts · resilience

### 29 1 Introduction

It has long been recognized in the ecological literature that facilitation has been rela-30 tively understudied compared to other types of ecological interactions, such as com-31 petition and predation (e.g. Stachowicz, 2001; Bruno et al., 2003; Bulleri et al., 2016), 32 and especially so theoretically. Facilitation has nonetheless been reported as a central 33 mechanism in the organisation of plant communities across many ecosystem types, 34 such as salt marches, intertidal (Bertness and Callaway, 1994), alpine (Cavieres et al., 35 2014), tropical alpine (Anthelme and Dangles, 2012), Mediterreanean and dryland 36 ecosystems (Lortie and Callaway, 2006). The term 'facilitation' refers to a positive 37 effect of one organism, often called a 'nurse', on another organism, often called a 38 'protegee' (Callaway, 2007). In plant communities, the nurse is often an adult and 39 the protegee a sapling, which is a critical stage for plant recruitment. 40 Facilitation can be categorized as 'direct' or 'indirect'. Direct facilitation has been 41 more frequently studied and occurs when a nurse improves the recruitment success 42 of the protegee below or close to its canopy by improving the local environmental 43 conditions, e.g. by decreasing soil erosion, evapotranspiration, and temperature am-44 plitudes (Callaway, 2007; Filazzola and Lortie, 2014). Indirect facilitation requires 45 that a third organism be involved in the interaction. This occurs for example in com-46 petitive networks, when the direct negative effect of one species on a second species 47 reduces the competitive effect of that second species on a third one (Aschehoug et al., 48 2016; Levine et al., 2017). There has been a growing research interest for such indi-49 rect facilitative effects, which are common but still understudied in plant communities 50 (Atsatt and O'Dowd, 1976; Olff et al., 1999; Bisigato et al., 2005; Smit et al., 2006; 51 Callaway, 2007; Graff et al., 2007; Anthelme and Michalet, 2009; Graff and Aguiar, 52 2011; Lortie et al., 2016; Danet et al., 2018). Particularly in drylands and open shrub-53 lands with large herbivores, indirect facilitation is frequently observed through as-54 55 sociational grazing resistance: if an unpalatable nurse is present in the landscape, a palatable protegee sapling growing close to it will be less likely to be consumed 56 (Graff et al., 2007; Smit et al., 2006). The nurses, by decreasing the feeding rate of 57 the grazers on neighbourhing protegees, have an indirect positive effect on the pro-58 tegees. 59 By impacting local-scale plant performance under stress and disturbance, direct 60

and indirect facilitation affect community structure and ecosystem functioning. For instance, the presence of direct or indirect facilitation in plant communities supports a wider variety of species traits, thus promoting functional diversity, i.e. the coexistence of different species strategies (Schöb et al., 2012, 2017; Danet et al., 2017, 2018). Direct facilitation has also been shown to increase species richness (Cavieres

et al., 2014) and phylogenetic diversity (Valiente-Banuet and Verdú, 2007) because

67 it allows the recruitment of species that are not adapted to local environmental con-

ditions. It can increase productivity by improving resource use efficiency in stream

<sup>69</sup> mesocosms (Cardinale et al., 2002) or by concentrating scarce resources in space,

<sup>70</sup> such as water and organic nutrients in drylands (Rietkerk et al., 2002; Kéfi et al.,

71 2007b, 2010). Moreover, direct facilitation has been suggested to be a mechanism 72 which can contribute to the emergence of catastrophic shifts, meaning abrupt ecosys-

which can contribute to the emergence of catastrophic shifts, meaning abrupt ecosys tem responses to gradual changes in environmental conditions (see Kéfi et al., 2016,

<sup>74</sup> for a review).

In drylands, direct facilitation has been well studied and has been shown to have 75 remarkable ecosystem-wide consequences. Notably, it is a key mechanisms in the 76 emergence of the characteristic spatial organization of the vegetation observed in 77 most drylands (Rietkerk et al., 2004; Rietkerk and van de Koppel, 2008; Kéfi et al., 78 2007b,a, 2011). Indeed, the presence of plants improves the local environmental con-79 ditions, e.g. by increasing the infiltration rate of water in the soil, decreasing soil 80 erosion and creating shading below and close to the plants' canopy (Rietkerk et al., 81 2000). This increases plant recruitment under the canopy of an adult plant compared 82 to the surrounding bare soil, leading to spatial aggregation of plants and the formation 83 of vegetation patches. By improving conditions that favor plants, direct facilitation 84 contributes to generating a positive feedback loop, i.e. more vegetation leads to better 85 local environmental conditions, which leads to more plants in the landscape. Such 86 a positive feedback can generate bistability and catastrophic shifts at the ecosystem 87 scale once a threshold, or 'tipping point', in environmental conditions or external 88 pressure is passed (Kéfi et al., 2007b; Rietkerk et al., 2004). Overall, the spatial het-89 erogeneity in environmental conditions, and thereby in the recruitment probability of 90 new individuals, created by direct facilitation determines for a large part the spatial 91 structure of the vegetation (Couteron et al., 2014) and plays a key role in the stability 92 of the ecosystem. 93 In a similar way as direct facilitation, indirect facilitation through grazing also 94 creates spatial heterogeneity in the environmental conditions perceived by plants (see 95

e.g. Olff et al., 1999, for a review). Unpalatable nurse plants indeed create pockets 96 of habitat for the protegees that are less accessible to grazers, thereby reducing the 97 probability for a given protegee to be eaten by a grazer compared to areas farther away 98 from the nurse. Therefore, nurse plants induce spatial heterogeneity in the protegees' 99 mortality due to grazing. While most of the studies mentioned above have focused on 100 the effect of direct facilitation among plants in general, there is much less research 101 on indirect facilitation, and in particular on the mechanism of associational resistance 102 to grazing and its effects at the ecosystem level. Therefore, how indirect facilitation 103 affects dryland ecosystems, their spatial organization and stability, remains largely an 104 open question. 105

Schneider and Kéfi (2016) studied the effects of intraspecific indirect facilitation through grazing in a model of dryland ecosystems (Kéfi et al., 2007b, 2011). They showed that indirect facilitation leads to more sudden and unexpected catastrophic ecosystem shifts to desertification than in the case of spatially homogeneous grazing pressure. A drawback of these previous modelling approaches is that they have typically modelled one vegetation compartment, thereby ignoring the diversity of species characteristics (Soliveres et al., 2012).

Indirect facilitation through grazing also raises the question of the mechanisms 113 of coexistence between the nurse and the protegee, two species in competition. Many 114 nurse/protegee species pairs co-occur in nature (Atsatt and O'Dowd, 1976; Olff et al., 115 1999; Callaway, 2007), but the mechanisms leading to such a coexistence are not 116 known. Previous studies have shown that spatial environmental heterogeneity may 117 enable coexistence between competing species (Chesson, 2000a,b; Muko and Iwasa, 118 2000). Furthermore, palatability differences between competing species may cre-119 ate conditions for stable coexistence (Pacala and Crawley, 1992; Chesson, 2000b). 120 Whether the spatial heterogeneity in plant mortality created by indirect facilitation 121 through grazing can promote coexistence between the nurse and the protegee at the 122 landscape scale is still an open question. Additionally Schöb et al. (2014) and García 123 et al. (2016) found that facilitation comes at a cost for the nurse. We however do not 124 know how the individual cost of the nurse for facilitating the protegee is translated at 125 the landscape scale. 126 Overall, current theoretical studies on catastrophic shifts and facilitation in dry-127 lands have neglected the diversity of species and of their characteristics. While empir-128 ical studies have focused on individual performance and local community structure, 129 they have rarely addressed the effects of direct and indirect facilitation at the land-130 scape scale (but see Kikvidze et al., 2015). This study aims to provide new insights 131 into the effects of indirect facilitation through grazing at the landscape scale and on 132 long-term dynamics, thus contributing to bridge the gap between catastrophic shift 133 theory in drylands and community ecology. We ask if indirect facilitation, as a local 134

interaction, can create stable coexistence between two species in competition at the
 landscape scale, by extending the niche of the protegee. We expect that (1) indirect

facilitation promotes the coexistence between the two species in competition. Since stable coexistence means that the nurse plant is supporting a competitor in the land-

<sup>139</sup> scape, we investigate if indirect facilitation through grazing comes at a cost for the

nurse population. We expect that (2) indirect facilitation through grazing may lead to

the exclusion of the nurse due to the cost of maintaining a competitor. By modifying

the dynamics of the protegee species, we expect that (3) indirect facilitation through grazing modifies the regime shift of the protegee along aridity and grazing gradi-

ents. Finally, since indirect facilitation through grazing increases the recruitment of

protegees close to the nurse, we expect that (4) the strength of indirect facilitation

through grazing increases the spatial clustering between nurses and protegees.

#### 147 2 Methods

#### 148 2.1 Model

<sup>149</sup> In the present study, modelled the dynamics of two plant species in a dryland ecosys-

tem. The model includes two species, a nurse and a protegee, respectively a palatable

and an unpalatable species. The key mechanism we are interested in is indirect fa-

<sup>152</sup> cilitation through grazing, which is an interspecific interaction from the unpalatable

species, also called the 'nurse', to the palatable species, also referred to as the 'protegee'. In addition, our model assumes that both species perform direct facilitation on

the local environment, meaning that they both increase the local environmental con-

ditions and thereby the recruitment of seedlings in their neighborhood. We believe

that the model presented in this study is generic enough to provide insights into the

effect of indirect facilitation through grazing on the dynamics of dryland ecosystems.

General description We modelled the spatio-temporal dynamics of two plant species 159 in a dryland landscape, a nurse and a protegee, using a stochastic cellular automaton 160 model (CA). Such models have proven their ability to reproduce realistic spatial veg-161 etation patterns (Kéfi et al., 2007a, 2011). A dryland ecosystem is represented as a 162 two dimensional grid of cells. Each cell of the grid can at any given time be in one 163 of four possible states: occupied by a plant, which can be a nurse (N) or a protegee 164 (P), empty (0) or degraded (-). Each cell is thought to be the size of about  $1 m^2$ , i.e. 165 the space occupied by an average adult shrub. At each time step (time is discrete), the 166 status of each cell of the grid can change from one of the possible states to another 167 of the possible states at a rate that determines the probability per unit time of the dif-168 ferent events (cf dynamical rules described hereafter) (Kéfi et al., 2007b; van Baalen, 169 2000). The transition rate of a cell from one state to another  $(w_{\{i,j\}})$  can depend on the 170 neighbourhood of the focal cell, which is here assumed to be the four nearest neigh-171 bors. The term  $q_{i|i}$  refers to the fraction of cells in state *i* in the neighbourhood of a 172 cell in state j (also referred to as 'local density'). Figure 1A shows the different cell 173 states and the possible transitions between states at each time step. The plant of an 174 occupied cell can die, and it then becomes empty. An empty cell can be colonized by 175 a plant - either a nurse or a protegee - or become degraded. A degraded cell cannot 176

<sup>177</sup> be colonized by a plant but can become empty through regeneration.

Colonization Plants reproduce by spreading seeds in the lattice. The recruitment of a 178 new plant can only be successful if a seed reaches an empty cell, if it germinates and 179 if the sapling reaches the adult stage (Figure 1; see Eq. 1 and 2 for nurses and pro-180 tegees respectively). An empty cell can receive seeds either from the global dispersal 181 of all the cells occupied by plants in the lattice or from the local dispersal of its neigh-182 bouring cells that are occupied by plants. We call  $\delta$  the fraction of seeds dispersed 183 globally in the lattice by a given cell occupied by a plant, while  $1 - \delta$  is the fraction 184 of seeds dispersed in its local neighborhood. The value of  $1 - \delta$  is typically assumed 185 to be low in our simulations (e.g. .1). Indeed, in drylands, plant species disperse their 186 seeds mainly in their local neighbourhood since many dryland plant species have 187 adaptations to atelechory and even to antitelochory (Filner and Shmida, 1981; Gut-188 terman, 1994; Peco et al., 2005), meaning that they have developed mechanisms to 189 prevent long-range dispersal. 190

Competition for resources among the plants present in the lattice can affect the 191 recruitment success of a new plant. The recruitment rate of seeds, summarized in b, is 192 assumed to be maximum in the absence of competition for resource, i.e. when there 193 are no other plants in the grid. The recruitment rate of seeds is assumed to decrease 194 with increasing competition for resources (c), i.e. as the fraction of occupied cells 195  $(\rho_+)$  in the grid increases (with  $\rho_+ = \rho_N + \rho_P$ , with  $\rho_N$  and  $\rho_P$  being respectively 196 the fraction of cells in the grid occupied by the nurse and the protegee). Competition 197 for resources is then assumed to be 'global', i.e. it occurs at the scale of the whole 198

landscape, as in previous studies (Kéfi et al., 2007b,a, 2011; Schneider and Kéfi,

2016). This is coherent with the observation that competition typically occurs at
 a larger spatial scale than facilitation in drylands (e.g. Rietkerk et al., 2000; Rietkerk

and van de Koppel, 2008).

To keep the model simple and tractable, the nurse and the protegee species are 203 assumed to be identical, except that the nurse has defences against grazing. Nurse 204 species are therefore not (or less) eaten by grazers than protegees. We choose to stick 205 to the case where the nurse is unpalatable, i.e. not affected by grazing at all. Develop-206 ing defences against grazers is costly for plants (Lind et al., 2013). In general, defence 207 development occurs at the expense of growth and competitive ability (Yoshida et al., 208 2004; Lind et al., 2013). We therefore added a cost ( $\gamma$ , Eq. 1) for developing grazing 209 defences on nurse recruitment. The nurse has thereby a lower colonization rate than 210 the protegee in the absence of grazing (i.e.  $c\rho_+ - \gamma \le c\rho_+$ ). 211

$$w_{\{0,N\}} = (\delta \rho_N + (1 - \delta)q_{N|0})(b - c\rho_+ - \gamma)$$
(1)

$$w_{\{0,P\}} = (\delta \rho_P + (1-\delta)q_{P|0})(b - c\rho_+ - g(1-p))$$
(2)

Indirect facilitation through grazing The recruitment rate of the protegee saplings 212 decreases with grazing rate (g, Eq. 2), while the grazing rate can, in turn, be decreased 213 by indirect facilitation (1 - p, Eq. 2). The strength of indirect facilitation through 214 grazing (p) is a function of the cost of grazing defences ( $\gamma$ ), thereby assuming a 215 positive relationship between the cost of grazing defences and their efficiency against 216 grazing. p is also function of the fraction of occupied neighbours around the cell 217 in which the protegee sapling is growing  $(q_{N|0})$ . We first define indirect facilitation 218 when only one nurse is present  $(p_{1/z})$  in the neighborhood of the protegee sapling, i.e. 219  $q_{N|0} = 1/z$ , z being the number of neighbouring cells around a given cell). We set an 220 exponential relationship between  $p_{1/z}$  and  $\gamma$ , with u as a shape parameter:  $p_{1/z} = 1 - 1$ 221  $e^{-u\gamma}$  (Figure 1b). It corresponds to an accelerating cost of altruism (Le Galliard et al., 222 2003), meaning that, to some extent, a given increase in the cost of the defence for the 223 nurse will lead to less and less increase of indirect facilitation to the protegee. We then 224 define p for any  $q_{N|0}$ , i.e. any number of nurse neighbours around a protegee sapling 225 as an exponential relationship,  $p = 1 - e^{-\tau q_{N|0}}$ , with  $\tau$  as a shape parameter. This 226 means that we made the assumption that the relationship between indirect facilitation 227 and the number of nurse is also decelerating. Finally, the expression of p simplifies 228 to  $p = 1 - e^{-u\gamma z q_{N|0}}$  (the calculus is detailed in supplementary information 2). 229

Based on the indirect facilitation literature (Burt-Smith et al., 2003; Smit et al.,
2006, 2007), we assumed that grazing only affects the recruitment of protegee saplings,
unlike previous more generic models, which assumed that grazing affected plant mortality (Kéfi et al., 2007b; Schneider and Kéfi, 2016). Indeed, the sapling stage is often
considered to be the most crucial life stage for plants in drylands (Filner and Shmida,
1981; Gutterman, 1994; Chu and Adler, 2015).

*Death* Adult plants die at a constant rate (*m*). The corresponding cells then become empty.

$$w_{\{N,0\}} = w_{\{P,0\}} = m \tag{3}$$

<sup>238</sup> Degradation Empty cells can become degraded through soil erosion due to wind and

water run-off. Degradation is assumed to happen at a constant rate, *d*:

$$w_{\{0,-\}} = d$$
 (4)

Regeneration Regeneration of a degraded cell can happen spontaneously at a constant rate (*r*) but it increases with the amount of vegetation in the neighbourhood of the degraded cell because of direct facilitation effect (*f*). The intensity of direct facilitation is assumed to be the same for nurses and protegees. The transition rate from a degraded to an empty state is maximum when a degraded cell is surrounded by occupied cells, i.e. when  $q_{+|-} = 1$ , with  $q_{+|-} = q_{N|-} + q_{P|-}$ .

$$w_{\{-,0\}} = r + q_{+|-}f \tag{5}$$

#### 246 2.2 Analysis

We ran the stochastic cellular automaton (CA) model as spatially-explicit simulations 247 on a two-dimensional grid of cells. In addition, a pair approximation (PA) modeling 248 approach was used for the bifurcation analyses. The PA allows deriving a system of 249 ODE from the transition rules previously described. To limit the set of ODE obtained, 250 the PA approach assumes that the density of cell triplets can be approximated by the 251 density of cell pairs (see van Baalen, 2000, for details). The PA tracks the changes in 252 the densities of both single and pairs of cells (e.g. respectively  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_{ij}$  for all i and 253 j). PA models are more general, analytically tractable (van Baalen, 2000), run much 254 faster than the full CA and allow for a deeper exploration of the parameter space. 255 CA simulations were used to analyze the effects of the combined facilitation types 256 - direct and indirect - on the spatial association between the two plant species. In-257

deed, the species densities predicted by the PA deviated from the results of the CA for strong indirect facilitation. For example, the CA resulted in 86% more nurses than the PA for u = 5 (Figure S1). It seemed therefore more reasonable to use the CA simulations in this case to get more accurate results.

#### 262 2.2.1 Cellular automaton simulation

The cellular automaton was run on a 200 by 200 grid of cells. We assumed that the grid was a torus i.e. it had periodic boundary conditions. This means that the cells of the most right columns were neighbouring the cells of the most left columns. The same principle applied to the down and top cells of the lattice. The locations of the cells initially occupied by a nurse or a protegee adult plant were drawn randomly. The



**Fig. 1** Model details. (A) Schematic representation of the possible transitions between cell states. (B) Relationship between the grazing protection provided by one nurse  $(q_{N|0} = \frac{1}{z})$  and the cost of the nurse to develop defences. (C) Example of a numeric simulation comparing the output of the pair approximation model and of the cellular automaton model. Parameters used: b = 1, g = 0.13, u = 5; see Table 1 for the other parameter values.

CA simulations were initiated with a vegetation cover of .80, i.e.  $\rho_N = \rho_P = .4$ . The 268 simulations were run until the density of each species reached a stability criterion or 269 when both species became extinct. Each 200 time units, we computed the average 270 density of both species over the 200 last time units. Starting at 400 and each 200 271 time units, we computed the absolute density difference for both species between two 272 subsequent averages (for example, from 0 to 199 and from 200 to 399 time units). We 273 considered that stability was reached when the former absolute difference fell below 274 0.005, this threshold being chosen after careful examination of simulation time series. 275

When the stability criterion was reached, we kept the average density of each species over the last 300 time steps of the simulations.

The clustering between species was computed following van Baalen (2000).  $C_{i,j}$ compared the average number of *i* cells in the neighbourhood of *j* cells,  $q_{i|j}$ , to the

<sup>279</sup> compared the average number of *i* cells in the neighbourhood of *j* cells,  $q_{i|j}$ , to the <sup>280</sup> expected number of *i* neighbours expected by chance, i.e.  $\rho_i$ . Values of  $C_{i,j}$  inferior

and superior to 1 indicate that cells i and j are found next to each other respectively

less and more frequently than expected by chance To link our study with empirical

investigations (Bisigato et al., 2005; Graff and Aguiar, 2011), we also recorded the

average number of protegee cells in the neighbourhood of nurse cells (i.e.  $q_{P|N}$ ).

$$C_{\{i,j\}} = rac{q_{i|j}}{
ho_i}$$
  
 $C_{\{+,+\}} = rac{q_{+|+}}{
ho_+}$   
 $C_{\{N,P\}} = rac{q_{P|N}}{
ho_P} = rac{q_{N|I}}{
ho_N}$ 

285 2.2.2 Pair approximation model analysis

<sup>286</sup> The pair approximation model contains ten ordinary differential equations (ODE) de-

scribing the dynamics of the cell pairs ( $\rho_{NN}, \rho_{N0}, \rho_{NP}, \rho_{N-1}, \rho_{PP}, \rho_{P0}, \rho_{P-1}, \rho_{00}, \rho_{0-1}, \rho_{-1}$ 

with  $\rho_{\sigma\sigma'} = \rho_{\sigma'\sigma}$ ) as well as four equations describing the singleton variables ( $\rho_N, \rho_P, \rho_0, \rho_-$ ).

<sup>289</sup> Thanks to five conservation equations (supplementary information 3), we can reduce

the system of equations to 9 (10+4-5=9) equations. We chose to retain the fol-

lowing variables:  $\rho_{NN}$ ,  $\rho_{NP}$ ,  $\rho_{N-}$ ,  $\rho_{PP}$ ,  $\rho_{P-}$ ,  $\rho_{--}$ ,  $\rho_N$ ,  $\rho_P$ ,  $\rho_-$ . The system of ODE is

displayed in supplementary information 3.1.

The baseline model parametrization was done according to previous versions of the model (Table 1) (Kéfi et al., 2007b; Schneider and Kéfi, 2016). The simulations were run for 10000 time units maximum. The simulations were stopped either when 10000 time steps were reached or when the sum of the absolute values of the derivatives fell below 10<sup>-</sup>10, meaning that the system had reached a steady state. We ensured the consistency of the parameter space explored by checking for the absence of negative densities and numerical errors.

The simulations were started with two initial vegetation covers: high and low 300 (respectively .8 and .01, one half for each of the two species). The initial density of 301 the cell pairs was defined as the product of initial single cell density, i.e. for example 302  $\rho_{NN} = \rho_N * \rho_N$  and  $\rho_{NP} = \rho_N * \rho_P$ . The low initial vegetation cover allows testing 303 whether a few individuals can colonize the system. From a mathematical point of 304 view, starting from a low cover represents a perturbation of the desert state, allowing 305 to see if it is stable. We wanted to see if the simulation outcomes would be different 306 for the two initial vegetation covers. 307

At the end of each simulation of the PA model, we kept the average species densities over the 10 last time units. This number is low because the simulations stopped when the system reached stability and the PA model did not include any stochasticity. A species was considered extinct if its densities was below .01 at the end of the simulation. This was repeated for all parameter combinations studied.

Symbol	Ecological meaning	Default values
δ	Fraction of seeds produced by an occupied cell that is globally dispersed, i.e. all over the grid	0.1
b	Maximum recruitment rate of a new plant on an empty cell, realized in a system without vegetation. $b$ is assumed to reflect the environmental quality.	0.8
с	Competitive effect of vegetated cells on the establishment of new individuals	0.2
γ	Additional mortality rate of seedlings due to the development of defence against grazing, i.e. cost of the defenses to nurse seedlings	0.1
g	Additional mortality rate of protegee seedlings due to grazing, realized in ab- sence of indirect facilitation through grazing	0.1
$p_{1/z}$	Indirect facilitation through grazing; Decrease in the mortality rate due to grazing experienced by the protegee seedlings when one nurse is present in its neighborhood.	0; .39
и	Parameter of the exponential relationship between the protection against grazing provided by one nurse plant and the cost $\gamma$ of the protection for the nurse: $p_{\frac{1}{z}} = 1 - e^{-u\gamma}$	0; 5
т	Mortality rate of adult plants. Life expectancy: $\frac{1}{m}$	0.1
d	Degradation rate of empty sites (0)	0.1
r	Spontaneous regeneration rate of degraded cells into fertile empty cells	0.01
f	Direct facilitation; Maximum facilitative effect of the neighborhood on the re- generation rate of degraded cells into fertile empty cells, realized when all near- est neighbors sites are occupied by vegetation	0.9
z	Number of cells in the direct neighbourhood of a focal cell	4

 Table 1
 Parameter definition and values of the model. Based on Kéfi et al. (2007b); Schneider and Kéfi (2016).

We defined a stable state as a unique community composition: either desert (no 313 species maintained), protegee alone, nurse alone or coexistence, meaning that both 314 species are maintained in the landscape at equilibrium. For some parameter combi-315 nations, the stable states reached at the end of the simulations differed between the 316 two initial vegetation covers tested, i.e. the low and high initial vegetation covers. 317 This is a sign that the system exhibits alternative stable states, or 'bistability' for this 318 parameter combination. 319 The model was implemented in R (R Core Team, 2017) with the simecol package 320

(Petzoldt and Rinke, 2007). The PA model was numerically solved with the deSolve (Soetaert et al., 2010) package. We used the lsoda solver, which adapts dynamically the resolution of the numerical integration. The implementation of the model and the code used to analyze the simulations are available as a R package structure on github (github.com/alaindanet/indirect\_facilitation\_model).

#### 326 3 Results

- <sup>327</sup> Independently of the species present in the landscape, a decrease in environmental
- quality always leads to a decrease in vegetation cover until the ecosystem reaches a



**Fig. 2** State diagram of the system along a gradient of environmental quality (parameter *b* reflecting e.g. total rainfall) and of grazing intensity (*g*), in the absence (left panel, u = 0) and in the presence (right panel, u = 5) of indirect facilitation. Simulation results from the pair approximation model. For the parameter values chosen (u = 5 and  $\gamma = 0.1$ ), the grazing effect decreases by 39% for a protegee sapling which is surrounded by one nurse plant. The parameter values are displayed in Table 1. The resolution of *b* and *g* is .005. For each parameter combination, the type of equilibrium reached is indicated by a different color (see legends). For some parameter combinations, there are two possible stable states coexisting depending on the initial condition of cover with which the simulation stated (e.g. 'Coexistence / Desert' in the legend indicates that for this combination of parameter values, the ecosystem can be either a desert or vegetated with nurses and protegees coexisting with each other).

tipping point at which it eventually drops in a discontinuous way from a vegetated to a 329 desert state (i.e. a state where there was no vegetation left in the landscape; Figure 2). 330 Once the transition to a desert state occurs (Figure 3: sand color), the environmental 331 quality has to be restored to higher values than before the shift to recover a vegetated 332 state (Figure 3: light and dark blue colors), meaning that the ecosystem exhibits hys-333 teresis. This is due to the fact that at intermediate values of environmental quality, 334 the system shows bistability, i.e. there is a range of environmental quality values for 335 which the system can either be vegetated or a desert (either "Coexistence / Desert", 336 "Nurse / Desert" or "Protegee / Desert", Figure 2). The presence of indirect facilita-337 tion does not affect the location of the tipping points along the environmental quality 338 gradient at a given grazing level (Figure 2 and 3). 339

340

At low grazing levels, only the protegee maintains itself (Figure 2; light blue colors; "Protegee", "Protegee / Desert"). In the absence of indirect facilitation, there

is a thin area of coexistence of the nurse and the protegee (g = .1, Figure 2, left 343 panel; "Coexistence", "Coexistence / Desert"), which occurs when the colonization 344 rates of the nurse and the protegee are exactly identical (i.e. for  $g = \gamma = .1$ , meaning 345 that  $w_{\{0,N\}} = w_{\{0,P\}}$ , see Eq. 1 and 2). Higher grazing intensities lead to the survival 346 of the nurse only (Figure 2; "Nurse", "Nurse / Desert"). In the presence of indirect 347 facilitation, the area of stable coexistence between the nurse and the protegee in-348 creases considerably (Figure 2, right panel; "Coexistence", "Coexistence / Desert", 349 "Coexistence / Nurse", "Coexistence / Protegee"). It is noticeable that, with indirect 350 facilitation, the nurse is excluded by the protegee for g = .1, i.e. at a value for which 351 the nurse maintains itself in the case without indirect facilitation. This suggests that, 352 with indirect facilitation, a cost for the nurse emerged in the model from competition 353 despite the fact the two species are considered to be equal competitors in the model. 354 355

Overall, there are more configurations of alternative stable states with indirect facilitation than without (9 versus 7), suggesting an emergent complexity in the presence of indirect facilitation. Considering the stable states along a gradient of environmental gradient (*b*, from high to low) for a grazing intensity of .25, the ecosystem goes successively through a "Coexistence" state, a "Coexistence / Nurse" bistability area , a "Coexistence / Desert" bistability area , a "Nurse / Desert" bistability area and a "Desert" state (Figure 2, right panel; Figure 3, right column for details).

363

The densities of each of the two species are lower in the presence of the nurse 364 than when alone, i.e as soon as coexistence occurs (Fig 3 D vs F, H vs I and K vs 365 L). This occurs because the total vegetation cover remains constant for a given en-366 vironmental quality value (b), since the model assume that the scale of competition 367 for resources (c) is global and that competition intensity scales linearly with the to-368 tal density of vegetation ( $\rho_+$ ). Moreover, as expected, when both species is present, protegees tend to have higher densities in the presence than in the absence of indirect 370 facilitation (Fig 3 D vs E, G vs H and J vs K). Conversely, the nurse density is lower 371 with than without indirect facilitation (Figure 3 D vs E, G vs H and J vs K). Indeed, 372 as soon as the protegees are present in the landscape, the cover of nurses decreases 373 because of the competition for resources. At the same time, the nurse density exhibits 374 bimodal high cover values when indirect facilitation is present (Fig 3 H, K; middle 375 column). For intermediate and high values of both grazing intensity (g = [.25; 3]) and 376 environmental quality ( $b \simeq [.88;.93]$ ), the nurse density can take two different paths 377 depending on whether the protegee is extinct or present ("Coexistence / Nurse" bista-378 bility area). 379

380

Looking at the bifurcation diagrams of each of the two species taken individually, 381 indirect facilitation affects the catastrophic behavior of the protegee along the aridity 382 gradient (Figure 3). Trivially, without indirect facilitation (Figure 3 A, D, G, J; left 383 column), each species totally dominates successively along the grazing intensity gra-384 dient (except at g = .1 where the two species are identical) and the position of the 385 tipping point to desertification does not change. The protegee alone in the landscape 386 shows a catastrophic behavior for all grazing intensity values (Figure 3 C, F, I, L; 387 right column). As grazing intensity increases, the tipping point at which the protegee 388

density shifts from high to no cover and conversely (i.e. from no to high cover), oc-

<sup>390</sup> curs at higher environmental quality values, indicating that the ecosystem collapses

and recovers earlier when grazing is higher. At moderate to high grazing intensities

<sup>392</sup> (Figure 3 I, L; right column), the shift from high to low cover becomes irreversible,

i.e., the protegee cannot not recolonize the system even if the environmental quality is high.

In the presence of the nurse and of indirect facilitation (Figure 3 H, K; middle 395 column), the density of the protegee shows a linear decrease from high to low cover 396 instead of an abrupt shift at a tipping point. However, the reverse transition (from low 397 to high cover) still exhibits a tipping point. Unlike when the protegee is alone (Fig-398 ure 3 I, L; right column), the transition of the protegee density from low to high cover 399 is possible and happens at moderately high environmental quality values. Overall, our 400 results showed that indirect facilitation allows for coexistence of the two species at 401 intermediate and high grazing levels. Another effect of indirect facilitation through 402 grazing is a modification of the catastrophic behavior of the protegee. Indirect fa-403 cilitation progressively transforms the transition of the protegee to extinction from a 404 discontinuous to a continuous one, thereby making the transition reversible. 405

Overall, the inter-specific clustering (i.e. between the nurse and the protegee, Fig-407 ure 4 C, D) and the total vegetation clustering (i.e. ignoring the species identity, Fig-408 ure 4 E, F) are two to four times higher than expected by chance. The strength of 409 indirect and direct facilitation has no or little effect on inter-specific and total vege-410 tation clustering and is driven by global dispersal, i.e. the value of  $\delta$  (Figure 4 C, D, 411 E, F). Total vegetation clustering decreases from 4.5 to 4.0 with an increase in the 412 fraction of global dispersal from 0 to 1 (Figure 4 C, D), whereas inter-specific clus-413 tering increases from about 2.5 to 4 with the same increase in global dispersal. The 414 average number of protegee cells surrounding nurse cells increases with increasing 415 global dispersal but also with the strength of both facilitation types, indirect (Figure 4 416 G) and direct (Figure 4 H). However, the effect is greater for indirect (from 0 to 1.61 417 protegee cells) than for direct facilitation (from 0.08 to 1.03 protegee cells). For in-418 termediate global dispersal values ( $\delta \simeq 0.5$ ), the effect of indirect facilitation on the 419 average number of protegee cells surrounding nurse cells is two times higher than the 420 effect of direct facilitation. 421

#### 422 4 Discussion

406

Previous modelling studies on catastrophic shifts in drylands have largely oversimpli-423 fied the role played by community composition and plant functional types in shaping 424 ecosystem dynamics. Our study paves the way for reconciling approaches from com-425 munity ecology with those from catastrophic shifts theory in drylands. We expanded 426 on previous dryland vegetation models (Kéfi et al., 2007b; Schneider and Kéfi, 2016) 427 by integrating two species, a nurse and a protegee, and two types of facilitation that 428 are common in dryland ecosystems, direct and indirect. In particular, we integrated 429 indirect facilitation, i.e. an indirect interaction between two plant species, modulated 430

431 by a third component, here grazing. We showed with a generic model that adding

**Protegee and Nurse** Protegee alone Without indirect facilitation (u = 0)High indirect facilitation (u = 5)Without indirect facilitation (u = 0)1.00 Α В С 0.75 9 U 0.50 0 0.25 0.00 1.00 D Е F (D) 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 g = 0.1 1.00 G Н t g II 0.25 0.00 1.00 Κ L 0.75 g = 0.3 0.50 0.25 0.00 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 Environmental quality (b) Protegee Species Nurse

Alain Danet et al.

**Fig. 3** Equilibrium densities of the nurse and the protegee along a gradient of environmental quality with the protegee and the nurse present (left and middle column, A, B, D, E, G, H, J, K) and the protegee alone at the beginning of the simulation (right column, C, F, I, L). Simulations without (left column, A, D, G, J, u = 0) and with (middle column, u = 5) indirect facilitation for different levels of grazing intensity (g). The top bars indicate the type of stable states. See Figure 2 for color legend. Simulation results from the pair approximation model. See the legend of Figure 2 for the parameter values used for the initial covers of each species.

indirect facilitation through grazing from a nurse to a protegee can create stable coexistence between two species which would otherwise exclude each other. Our result
also suggest that indirect facilitation through grazing may modify the type of ecosystem transition to desertification. Finally, we, surprisingly, did not find any effect of

<sup>436</sup> indirect facilitation through grazing on inter-specific or total vegetation clustering.

#### 437 4.1 Species coexistence

In agreement with our first expectation, we found that indirect facilitation through
 grazing can create stable coexistence between two competing species. This result
 contributes to improving our understanding of plant coexistence in drylands. First,
 even if interspecific interactions are globally negative in the community and if there

is dominance of one of the species (of either the protegee or the nurse), our results
suggest that two species in competition can coexist if there is indirect facilitation
between them.

One interpretation of this coexistence is that indirect facilitation creates hetero-445 geneity in the spatial distribution of grazing pressure on the protegee saplings, result-446 ing in a spatially heterogeneous colonization rate of the protegee in the landscape. 447 Previous studies have shown that spatial heterogeneity in environmental conditions, 448 in mortality rate or in competition could lead to stable coexistence between species in 449 competition (Muko and Iwasa, 2000; Chesson, 2000a,b). Chesson (2000a,b) demon-450 strated that this is even the case in the presence of a competitive hierarchy between 451 species. In line with these previous studies, our results showed that heterogeneity 452 in the mortality rate – the consumption rate of protegee saplings in our study – can 453 lead to the stable coexistence of two species in competition. Pacala and Crawley 454 (1992) have furthermore shown that spatial variation of predator abundance and ap-455 parent competition can also create the conditions for stable coexistence. Our results 456 contribute to the previous literature by showing that stable coexistence between com-457 peting species can emerge in cases where the spatial heterogeneity is created by one 458 of the competing species itself, here the nurse plant. 459

We suggest that the coexistence between the nurse and the protegee is stabilized 460 by a negative feedback loop. Since the nurse density decreases as the protegee density 461 increases, the amount of grazing refuges decreases as well, which results in a decrease 462 in the protegee density. In the same way, the increase in nurse density increases the 463 occurrence of grazing refuges, i.e. sites where the protegee will outcompete the nurse. 464 This mechanism appears to be similar to that modelled in a previous studies. Gross 465 (2008) defined positive interactions as dependent of species density. He showed that coexistence can also be achieved by a negative feedback loop. The nurse and protegee 467 densities determine the number of grazing refuges, which determines the competitive 468 hierarchy between the protegee and the nurse species. Then, even in absence of an 469 explicit spatial heterogeneity, i.e. mean field approximation, we should observe co-470 existence as in the study of Gross (2008). 471

#### 472 4.2 Facilitation cost

We found that indirect facilitation through grazing can be costly for the nurse, in line 473 with our second expectation. In the presence of indirect facilitation, the nurse is ex-474 cluded by the protegee at higher grazing intensities than in the absence of indirect 475 facilitation. Indirect facilitation through grazing increases the density of the protegee 476 until it reaches a sufficient density to progressively exclude the nurse. The question of 477 the nurse cost is relatively new in plant facilitation research (Schöb et al., 2014; Gar-478 cía et al., 2016; Dangles, 2019). Previous studies showed that a beneficiary species 479 can have a negative effect on the reproductive output of its benefactor (Schöb et al., 480 2014; García et al., 2016). In our case, rather than focusing on the individual level, 481 we investigated the effect of facilitation at the landscape level. In this light, this study 482

adds a population perspective to the cost of being a nurse plant.

Our model shows that when the spatial scale of competition is large, there is a 484 cost for the nurse in terms of density since it maintains a competitor in the landscape, 485 increasing competition for resources. For the sake of simplicity, we considered in 486 the model that the cost for developing defences was fixed. Further research could 487 incorporate this cost in asymmetric competition between the nurse and the protegee 488 (i.e. different c values for each species), according to the competition/defence trade 489 off (Bazzaz et al., 1987; Viola et al., 2010). Then, the cost would be density dependent 490 as in the study of Dangles (2019). Our results on facilitation cost indicates that a better 491 understanding of the effects of facilitation on the benefactor necessitates to scale up 492 studies from the individual plant to the community and ecosystem level. 493

#### 494 4.3 Ecosystem dynamics

Our results indicate that indirect facilitation, through its effect on species coexis-495 tence, increases the complexity of the ecosystem dynamics along grazing and aridity 496 gradients. This is related to the fact that, in our model, dryland ecosystems exhibit 497 catastrophic transitions to desertification, such transitions being typical of dryland 498 ecosystems but also of other ecological systems (Scheffer et al., 2001). In the pres-499 ence of indirect facilitation, only the nurse can recover from low vegetation cover, 500 while both species coexist and are abundant at high cover (i.e. before the collapse to 501 the desert state). The latter was true for a large range of grazing and aridity intensi-502 ties. This suggests that the community composition of the ecosystem is not resilient 503 when indirect facilitation is present. Therefore, taking indirect interactions into con-504 sideration could enhance our understanding of the resilience of species composition 505 and ecosystem properties in drylands. If a perturbation or a change in environmental 506 condition affect species composition, one can expect key ecosystem properties, such 507 as productivity and resilience to upcoming perturbations, to be affected as well. 508

Our results show that there are situations where, even if ecosystem properties such as vegetation cover recover, the community composition may not recover. This observation can have important implications, notably in restoration ecology. Starting from low vegetation cover and after having restored the environmental condition preceding the ecosystem shift to a degraded state, it might be difficult to restore the community composition that was known before the collapse of the ecosystem.

Looking closer at the species dynamics, our results showed that indirect facilita-515 tion can change the catastrophic nature of the transition of the protegee to extinction, 516 transforming it from a discontinuous to a gradual transition. Nonetheless, despite the 517 gradual transition toward a desert state, the reverse transition, i.e. the transition from 518 low to high cover, is not gradual. This result has important conceptual consequences. 519 By favoring the presence of a nurse that provides indirect facilitation through grazing, 520 one can expect that a possible loss of the protegee in the landscape will be more easily 521 to reverse. Here, in particular, indirect facilitation has a different effect from direct 522 facilitation. Unlike direct facilitation, which creates a positive feedback at the land-523 scape level enhancing catastrophic shifts, indirect facilitation through grazing seems 524 to decrease the irreversibility of catastrophic shifts. 525

Our model assumes that the nurse and the protegee provide the same strength 526 of direct facilitation, meaning that the two species have the same effect on the pos-527 itive feedback which generates catastrophic shifts in the model. To our knowledge, 528 there are no empirical evidence about if and how the strength of direct facilitation 529 is correlated to the strength of indirect facilitation provided by a given species. A 530 given nurse could for example be a "super facilitator", i.e. providing strong direct 531 and strong indirect facilitation. There could also be trade-offs between both types of 532 facilitation, where a nurse would provide weak direct but strong indirect facilitation, 533 for example. Because the strength of the positive feedback loop between generating 534 catastrophic shifts depends on the strength of direct facilitation, either of these two 535 cases is expected to have important consequences on the ecosystem dynamics along 536 stress gradients. Further research is needed to improve our understanding of the ef-537 fects of different types of facilitation on dryland ecosystem dynamics. 538

#### 539 4.4 Species clustering

Contrary to our expectation, we found that the clustering between the nurse and the 540 protegee was not driven by the strength of facilitation but by dispersal limitation. 541 542 Our results showed however that the strength of indirect facilitation and the fraction of global dispersal jointly drive the number of protegees in the neighbourhood 543 of the nurse, in agreement with empirical results (Bisigato et al., 2005; Graff and 544 Aguiar, 2011). By maintaining favorable sites in their neighborhood, nurse species 545 allow protegees to increase in abundance locally and globally in the landscape. At 546 the landscape scale, protegees and nurses do not become more clustered because as 547 the average number of protegees in the neighbourhood of a nurse increases, the over-548 all density of protegees increases as well. Then, the probability of finding a protegee 549 next to a nurse by chance increases conjointly with the average number of protegee in 550 the neighborhood of nurse plant. This result challenges the intuitive expectation that 551 an increase in positive interaction intensity should result in a higher nurse-protegee 552 clustering. In this model, the overall positive co-occurence that we found was driven 553 by the fact that, at any given moment in time, a large part of the landscape cannot 554 be colonized because it is in a degraded state, which is typical of drylands (Rietkerk 555 et al., 2004; Kéfi et al., 2007a). The sites suitable for recruitment are often created 556 by direct facilitation. Vegetation clustering in drylands is thus strongly constrained 557 by direct facilitation, and this may mask the effect of indirect facilitation through 558 grazing on the clustering between the nurse and the protegee. 559

#### 560 5 Conclusion

Our study highlights that indirect facilitation through grazing can promote species coexistence in drylands, while nonetheless being costly for the nurse. We showed that indirect facilitation by a nurse changes the way the protegee transitions to extinction, making this transition more reversible. We also found that, despite the fact that in-

direct facilitation strength increases the protegee density, this effect is not translated

into higher species clustering. Our work is a step toward integrating different in-566 teraction types and species strategies in models of dryland vegetation dynamics. Our 567 work opens perspectives about the study of both positive interactions and catastrophic 568 shifts in drylands. While positive interactions are largely absent from the conceptual 569 framework of species coexistence, future studies should include positive interactions 570 and broaden the types of interactions considered (Kéfi et al., 2016). With this study, 571 we make a case for the importance of including species' functional trait diversity, for 572 example dispersal, resource management strategies and palatability, into ecological 573 theory. 574

Author contribution AD, FDS and SK contributed to the study conception and de-575 sign. Model development and analysis were performed by AD. The first draft of the 576 manuscript was written by AD and all authors commented on previous versions of 577 the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

578

Funding The research study has received a funding from the European Union Sev-579

enth Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 283068 580

(CASCADE project). The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. 581

Acknowledgements We are grateful to the two anonymous reviewers who have helped us improve the 582

manuscript. The analysis of the model benefited from the Montpellier Bioinformatics Biodiversity platform 583 supported by the LabEx CeMEB, an ANR "Investissements d'avenir" program (ANR-10-LABX-04-01). 584

#### References 585

Anthelme F, Dangles O (2012) Plant-plant interactions in tropical alpine environ-586

ments. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 14(5):363-372, 587

DOI 10.1016/j.ppees.2012.05.002, URL http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/ 588 retrieve/pii/S1433831912000352

589

Anthelme F, Michalet R (2009) Grass-to-tree facilitation in an arid grazed envi-590 ronment (Aïr Mountains, Sahara). Basic and Applied Ecology 10(5):437-446,

591 DOI 10.1016/j.baae.2008.10.008, URL http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/ 592

retrieve/pii/S1439179108000947 593

Aschehoug ET, Brooker R, Atwater DZ, Maron JL, Callaway RM (2016) The 594 Mechanisms and Consequences of Interspecific Competition Among Plants. 595

Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 47(1):263-281, DOI 596

10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-121415-032123, URL http://www.annualreviews. 597

org/doi/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-121415-032123 598

Atsatt PR, O'Dowd DJ (1976) Plant defense guilds. Science 193(4247):24-29, URL 599

http://www.planta.cn/forum/files\_planta/1452\_1196055247.pdf\_ 600

462.pdf 601

van Baalen M (2000) Pair Approximations for Different Spatial Geometries. In: The 602

Geometry of Ecological Interactions: Simplifying Spatial Complexity, Simplifying 603

- Spatial Complexity, Cambridge University Press, International Institute for Ap-604
- plied Systems Analysis 605

- Bazzaz FA, Chiariello NR, Coley PD, Pitelka LF (1987) Allocating Resources to
   Reproduction and Defense. BioScience 37(1):58–67, DOI 10.2307/1310178, URL
- http://bioscience.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.2307/1310178
- Bertness MD, Callaway R (1994) Positive interactions in communities. Trends in
   ecology & evolution 9(5):191-193, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/
   science/article/pii/0169534794900884
- <sup>612</sup> Bisigato AJ, Bertiller MB, Ares JO, Pazos GE (2005) Effect of graz-<sup>613</sup> ing on plant patterns in arid ecosystems of Patagonian Monte. Ecography
- 614 28(5):561-572, URL http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j. 615 2005.0906-7590.04170.x/full
- Bruno JF, Stachowicz JJ, Bertness MD (2003) Inclusion of facilitation into ecolog ical theory. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 18(3):119–125, URL http://www.
- sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169534702000459
- Bulleri F, Bruno JF, Silliman BR, Stachowicz JJ (2016) Facilitation and the niche:
   implications for coexistence, range shifts and ecosystem functioning. Functional Ecology 30(1):70–78, DOI 10.1111/1365-2435.12528, URL http://doi.
- wiley.com/10.1111/1365-2435.12528
- Burt-Smith GS, Grime JP, Tilman D (2003) Seedling resistance to herbivory as a pre dictor of relative abundance in a synthesised prairie community. Oikos 101(2):345–
- 353, DOI 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.11052.x, URL http://onlinelibrary.
   wiley.com/doi/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.11052.x/abstract
- <sup>627</sup> Callaway RM (2007) Positive interactions and interdependence in plant communities.
- 628 Springer, Dordrecht
- 629 Cardinale BJ, Palmer MA, Collins SL (2002) Species diversity enhances ecosystem
- functioning through interspecific facilitation. Nature 415(6870):426–429, DOI
- 10.1038/415426a, URL http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v415/
   n6870/full/415426a.html
- Cavieres LA, Brooker RW, Butterfield BJ, Cook BJ, Kikvidze Z, Lortie CJ, Michalet
  R, Pugnaire FI, Schöb C, Xiao S, Anthelme F, Björk RG, Dickinson KJM,
  Cranston BH, Gavilán R, Gutiérrez-Girón A, Kanka R, Maalouf JP, Mark AF,
  Noroozi J, Parajuli R, Phoenix GK, Reid AM, Ridenour WM, Rixen C, Wipf
  S, Zhao L, Escudero A, Zaitchik BF, Lingua E, Aschehoug ET, Callaway RM
  (2014) Facilitative plant interactions and climate simultaneously drive alpine plant
  diversity. Ecology Letters 17(2):193–202, DOI 10.1111/ele.12217, URL http:
- 640 //dx.doi.org/10.1111/ele.12217
- 641 Chesson P (2000a) General Theory of Competitive Coexistence in Spatially-Varying
- Environments. Theoretical Population Biology 58(3):211–237, DOI 10.1006/tpbi.
- 2000.1486, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
   S0040580900914862
- Chesson P (2000b) Mechanisms of Maintenance of Species Diversity. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 31(1):343–366, DOI 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.
- 647 31.1.343, URL https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsvs.31.1.343
- <sup>648</sup> Chu C, Adler PB (2015) Large niche differences emerge at the recruitment stage
- to stabilize grassland coexistence. Ecological Monographs 85(3):373–392, URL
- http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1890/14-1741.1/full

- 651 Couteron P, Anthelme F, Clerc M, Escaff D, Fernandez-Oto C, Tlidi M (2014) Plant
- clonal morphologies and spatial patterns as self-organized responses to resource-
- limited environments. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathe matical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 372(2027):20140102
- <sup>655</sup> Danet A, Kéfi S, Meneses RI, Anthelme F (2017) Nurse species and indirect facil-
- itation through grazing drive plant comunity functional traits in tropical alpine peatlands. Ecology and Evolution 7(24):11265–11276, DOI 10.1002/cce3.3537,
- <sup>658</sup> URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/ece3.3537
- Danet A, Anthelme F, Gross N, Kéfi S (2018) Effects of indirect facilitation on func tional diversity, dominance and niche differentiation in tropical alpine communi ties. Journal of Vegetation Science
- 662 Dangles O (2019) A dynamic model of facilitation on environmental stress
- gradients. Oikos 128(8):1206–1214, DOI 10.1111/oik.06136, URL https://
   onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/oik.06136
- <sup>665</sup> Filazzola A, Lortie CJ (2014) A systematic review and conceptual framework for the
- mechanistic pathways of nurse plants: A systematic review of nurse-plant mech anisms. Global Ecology and Biogeography 23(12):1335–1345, DOI 10.1111/geb.
- 668 12202, URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/geb.12202
- Filner S, Shmida A (1981) Why are adaptations for long-range seed dispersal rare
   in desert plants? Oecologia 51(1):133–144, URL http://link.springer.com/
   article/10.1007/BF00344663
- Grzía MC, Bader MY, Cavieres LA (2016) Facilitation consequences for reproduc-
- tion of the benefactor cushion plant Laretia acaulis along an elevational gradient:
- costs or benefits? Oikos 125(3):434-442, URL http://onlinelibrary.wiley.
   com/doi/10.1111/oik.02592/full
- <sup>676</sup> Graff P, Aguiar MR (2011) Testing the role of biotic stress in the stress gradi-
- ent hypothesis. Processes and patterns in arid rangelands. Oikos 120(7):1023– 1030, DOI 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.19059.x, URL http://doi.wiley.com/
- <sup>679</sup> 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.19059.x
- Graff P, Aguiar MR, Chaneton EJ (2007) Shifts in positive and negative
   plant interactions along a grazing intensity gradient. Ecology 88(1):188–199,
   URL http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1890/0012-9658(2007)
- 683 88%5B188:SIPANP%5D2.0.C0%3B2
- Gross K (2008) Positive interactions among competitors can produce species-
- rich communities. Ecology Letters 11(9):929–936, DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248. 2008.01204.x, URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.
- 687 01204.x
- 688 Gutterman Y (1994) Strategies of seed dispersal and germination in plants inhabiting
- deserts. The Botanical Review 60(4):373–425, DOI 10.1007/BF02857924, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02857924
- Kikvidze Z, Brooker RW, Butterfield BJ, Callaway RM, Cavieres LA, Cook BJ, Lor tie CJ, Michalet R, Pugnaire FI, Xiao S, Anthelme F, Björk RG, Cranston BH,
   Gavilán RG, Kanka R, Lingua E, Maalouf JP, Noroozi J, Parajuli R, Phoenix
- 694 GK, Reid A, Ridenour WM, Rixen C, Schöb C (2015) The effects of founda-
- tion species on community assembly: a global study on alpine cushion plant
- <sup>696</sup> communities. Ecology 96(8):2064–2069, DOI 10.1890/14-2443.1, URL http:

- 697 //doi.wiley.com/10.1890/14-2443.1
- Kéfi S, Rietkerk M, Alados CL, Pueyo Y, Papanastasis VP, ElAich A, de Ruiter
   PC (2007a) Spatial vegetation patterns and imminent desertification in Mediter-
- ranean arid ecosystems. Nature 449(7159):213–217, DOI 10.1038/nature06111,
   URL http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nature06111
- <sup>702</sup> Kéfi S, Rietkerk M, van Baalen M, Loreau M (2007b) Local facilitation, bistabil-
- <sup>703</sup> ity and transitions in arid ecosystems. Theoretical Population Biology 71(3):367–
- <sup>704</sup> 379, DOI 10.1016/j.tpb.2006.09.003, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/ <sup>705</sup> science/article/pii/S0040580906001250
- 706 Kéfi S, Eppinga MB, de Ruiter PC, Rietkerk M (2010) Bistability and
- regular spatial patterns in arid ecosystems. Theoretical Ecology 3(4):257–
   269, DOI 10.1007/s12080-009-0067-z, URL http://link.springer.com/10.
- <sup>709</sup> 1007/s12080-009-0067-z
- Kéfi S, Rietkerk M, Roy M, Franc A, de Ruiter PC, Pascual M (2011) Robust scaling in ecosystems and the meltdown of patch size distributions before extinction:
  Patch size distributions towards extinction. Ecology Letters 14(1):29–35, DOI
- 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01553.x, URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/
- <sup>714</sup> j.1461-0248.2010.01553.x
- 715 Kéfi S, Holmgren M, Scheffer M (2016) When can positive interactions cause al-
- ternative stable states in ecosystems? Functional Ecology 30(1):88–97, DOI 10.
   1111/1365-2435.12601, URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/1365-2435.
- 718 12601
- <sup>719</sup> Le Galliard JF, Ferrière R, Dieckmann U (2003) The adaptive dynamics of altru-<sup>720</sup> ism in spatially heterogeneous populations. Evolution 57(1):1–17, DOI 10.1111/j.
- 721 0014-3820.2003.tb00211.x, URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/ 722 abs/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00211.x
- Levine JM, Bascompte J, Adler PB, Allesina S (2017) Beyond pairwise mechanisms
- of species coexistence in complex communities. Nature 546(7656):56–64, DOI
- 725 10.1038/nature22898, URL http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ 726 nature22898
- Lind EM, Borer E, Seabloom E, Adler P, Bakker JD, Blumenthal DM, Crawley M,
   Davies K, Firn J, Gruner DS, Stanley Harpole W, Hautier Y, Hillebrand H, Knops J,
- Melbourne B, Mortensen B, Risch AC, Schuetz M, Stevens C, Wragg PD (2013)
- Life-history constraints in grassland plant species: a growth-defence trade-off is
- the norm. Ecology Letters 16(4):513–521, DOI 10.1111/ele.12078, URL http:
- //onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ele.12078/abstract
- <sup>733</sup> Lortie CJ, Callaway RM (2006) Re-analysis of meta-analysis: support for the stress-
- <sup>734</sup> gradient hypothesis. Journal of Ecology 94(1):7–16, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2745.
- 735 2005.01066.x, URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2005. 736 01066.x
- <sup>730</sup> Lortie CJ, Filazzola A, Sotomayor DA (2016) Functional assessment of animal
- <sup>738</sup> interactions with shrub-facilitation complexes: a formal synthesis and concep-
- tual framework. Functional Ecology 30(1):41-51, DOI 10.1111/1365-2435.12530,
   URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/1365-2435.12530
- <sup>740</sup> URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/1365-2435.12530
   <sup>741</sup> Muko S, Iwasa Y (2000) Species Coexistence by Permanent Spatial Heterogeneity in
- <sup>742</sup> a Lottery Model. Theoretical Population Biology 57(3):273–284, DOI 10.1006/

743	tpbi.2000.1456, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/
744	pii/S0040580900914564
745	Olff H, Vera FWM, Bokdam J, Bakker ES, Gleichman JM, Maeyer Kd, Smit R (1999)
746	Shifting mosaics in grazed woodlands driven by the alternation of plant facilitation
747	and competition. Plant Biology 1(2):127-137, URL http://onlinelibrary.
748	wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1999.tb00236.x/abstract
749	Pacala SW, Crawley MJ (1992) Herbivores and Plant Diversity. The Amer-
750	ican Naturalist 140(2):243–260, DOI 10.1086/285411, URL https://www.
751	journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/285411, publisher: The Univer-
752	sity of Chicago Press
753	Peco B, de Pablos I, Traba J, Levassor C (2005) The effect of grazing abandonment on
754	species composition and functional traits: the case of dehesa grasslands. Basic and
755	Applied Ecology 6(2):175–183, DOI 10.1016/j.baae.2005.01.002, URL http://
756	linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1439179105000034
757	Petzoldt T, Rinke K (2007) simecol: An Object-Oriented Framework for Ecological
758	Modeling in R. Journal of Statistical Software 22(9):1–31, DOI 10.18637/jss.v022.
759	i09, URL http://www.jstatsoft.org/v22/i09
760	R Core Team (2017) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
761	R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, URL https://www.
762	R-project.org/
763	Rietkerk M, van de Koppel J (2008) Regular pattern formation in real
764	ecosystems. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 23(3):169-175, DOI 10.1016/j.
765	tree.2007.10.013, URL http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/
766	S0169534708000281
767	Rietkerk M, Ketner P, Burger J, Hoorens B, Olff H (2000) Multiscale soil and vegeta-
768	tion patchiness along a gradient of herbivore impact in a semi-arid grazing system
769	in West Africa. Plant Ecology 148(2):207-224, URL http://link.springer.
770	com/article/10.1023/A:1009828432690
771	Rietkerk M, Boerlijst MC, Langevelde Fv, HilleRisLambers R, Koppel Jvd, Kumar
772	L, Prins HHT, Roos aAMd (2002) Self-Organization of Vegetation in Arid Ecosys-
773	tems. The American Naturalist 160(4):524–530, URL http://www.jstor.org/
774	stable/10.1086/342078
775	Rietkerk M, Dekker SC, Ruiter PCd, Koppel Jvd (2004) Self-Organized Patchi-
776	ness and Catastrophic Shifts in Ecosystems. Science 305(5692):1926-1929, DOI
777	10.1126/science.1101867, URL http://www.sciencemag.org/content/305/
778	5692/1926
779	Scheffer M, Carpenter S, Foley J, Folke C, Walker B (2001) Catastrophic shifts in
780	ecosystems. NATURE 413(6856):591-596, DOI 10.1038/35098000
781	Schneider FD, Kéfi S (2016) Spatially heterogeneous pressure raises
782	risk of catastrophic shifts. Theoretical Ecology 9(2):207-217, DOI
783	10.1007/s12080-015-0289-1, URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/
784	s12080-015-0289-1
705	Schöh C. Butterfield BI. Pugnaire EI. (2012). Foundation species influence

- 787 11111/j.1469-8137.2012.04306.x, URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.
- 788 1469-8137.2012.04306.x

- 789 Schöb C, Callaway RM, Anthelme F, Brooker RW, Cavieres LA, Kikvidze Z, Lortie
- <sup>790</sup> CJ, Michalet R, Pugnaire FI, Xiao S, Cranston BH, García MC, Hupp NR, Llambí
- <sup>791</sup> LD, Lingua E, Reid AM, Zhao L, Butterfield BJ (2014) The context dependence
- <sup>792</sup> of beneficiary feedback effects on benefactors in plant facilitation. New Phytol-
- <sup>793</sup> ogist 204(2):386-396, DOI 10.1111/nph.12908, URL http://doi.wiley.com/
- <sup>794</sup> 10.1111/nph.12908
- Schöb C, Macek P, Pistón N, Kikvidze Z, Pugnaire FI (2017) A trait-based approach
   to understand the consequences of specific plant interactions for community struc ture. Journal of Vegetation Science 28(4):696–704, DOI 10.1111/jvs.12523, URL
- 798 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jvs.12523/abstract
- <sup>799</sup> Smit C, Den Ouden J, MüLler-SchäRer H (2006) Unpalatable plants facilitate tree
- sapling survival in wooded pastures: Unpalatable plants facilitate tree saplings
- survival. Journal of Applied Ecology 43(2):305–312, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2664.
- <sup>802</sup> 2006.01147.x, URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.
- 803 01147.x
- Smit C, Vandenberghe C, den Ouden J, Müller-Schärer H (2007) Nurse plants, tree
   saplings and grazing pressure: changes in facilitation along a biotic environmen tal gradient. Oecologia 152(2):265–273, DOI 10.1007/s00442-006-0650-6, URL
- http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00442-006-0650-6
- Soetaert K, Petzoldt T, Setzer RW (2010) Solving Differential Equations in R: Pack age deSolve. Journal of Statistical Software 33(9):1–25, DOI 10.18637/jss.v033.
- i09, URL http://www.jstatsoft.org/v33/i09
- Soliveres S, Eldridge DJ, Hemmings F, Maestre FT (2012) Nurse plant effects on
   plant species richness in drylands: The role of grazing, rainfall and species speci-
- ficity. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 14(6):402–410,
- B14 DOI 10.1016/j.ppees.2012.09.003, URL http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/
- 815 retrieve/pii/S1433831912000558
- Stachowicz JJ (2001) Mutualism, Facilitation, and the Structure of Ecological Com munities Positive interactions play a critical, but underappreciated, role in ecolog ical communities by reducing physical or biotic stresses in existing habitats and
   by creating new habitats on which many species depend. Bioscience 51(3):235 246, URL http://bioscience.oxfordjournals.org/content/51/3/235.
- see short
- Valiente-Banuet A, Verdú M (2007) Facilitation can increase the phylogenetic diversity of plant communities. Ecology Letters 10(11):1029–1036, DOI 10.
  1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01100.x, URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.
  1461-0248.2007.01100.x
- Viola DV, Mordecai EA, Jaramillo AG, Sistla SA, Albertson LK, Gosnell JS,
   Cardinale BJ, Levine JM (2010) Competition-defense tradeoffs and the main tenance of plant diversity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107(40):17217–17222, DOI 10.1073/pnas.1007745107, URL http://www.
- pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1007745107
- Yoshida T, Hairston NG, Ellner SP (2004) Evolutionary trade-off between defence against grazing and competitive ability in a simple unicellular alga,
  Chlorella vulgaris. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 271(1551):1947–1953, DOI 10.1098/rspb.2004.2818, URL http://rspb.

royalsocietypublishing.org/cgi/doi/10.1098/rspb.2004.2818



**Fig. 4** Vegetation clustering as a function of the fraction of global dispersal ( $\delta$ ) in abscissa, and the strength of indirect facilitation (u) (left column, A, C, E, G) or the strength of direct facilitation (f) (right column, B, D, F, H). A and B: stable states considering high starting vegetation cover (cf Figure 2). C and D: clustering of the vegetation without species distinction. E and F: clustering between the nurse and the protegee species. G and H: the average number of protegee cells in the direct neighbourhood of nurse cells. Simulation results from the cellular automaton. The resolution of the parameter gradient is .1, .01 and .01 for respectively u, f and  $\delta$ .