

HTLV-1 TRANSACTIVATOR TAX EXPLOITS THE XPB SUBUNIT OF TFIIH DURING VIRAL TRANSCRIPTION

Christophe Martella, Armelle Inge Tollenaere, Laetitia Waast, Benoit Lacombe, Damien Groussaud, Florence Margottin-Goguet, Bertha Cecilia Ramirez, Claudine Pique

To cite this version:

Christophe Martella, Armelle Inge Tollenaere, Laetitia Waast, Benoit Lacombe, Damien Groussaud, et al.. HTLV-1 TRANSACTIVATOR TAX EXPLOITS THE XPB SUBUNIT OF TFIIH DURING VIRAL TRANSCRIPTION. Journal of Virology, 2020. hal-03020807

HAL Id: hal-03020807 <https://hal.science/hal-03020807v1>

Submitted on 24 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HTLV-1 TRANSACTIVATOR TAX EXPLOITS THE XPB SUBUNIT OF TFIIH

DURING VIRAL TRANSCRIPTION

- 3 Christophe Martella ^{1,2,3}, Armelle Inge Tollenaere ^{1,2,3}, Laetitia Waast ^{1,2,3}, Benoit Lacombe
- 4 ^{1,2,3} Damien Groussaud ^{1,2,3}, Florence Margottin-Goguet ^{1,2,3}, Bertha Cecilia Ramirez ^{1,2,3,4} and
- 5 Claudine Pique $1,2,3*$
-
- ¹ INSERM U1016, Retrovirus, Infection and Latency (RIL) Team, Institut Cochin, Paris,
- France.
- 9 ² CNRS UMR8104, Paris, France.
- ³ Université Paris Descartes, Université de Paris, Paris, France.
- ⁴ Current address: Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell, CNRS, Université Paris-
- Saclay, CEA, Gif-Sur-Yvette, France.
-
-
- *** Correspondence to**: Claudine Pique, email: claudine.pique@inserm.fr
-
- **Keywords:** Retrovirus, oncoprotein, transcription, TFIIH, promoter opening

Short title: XPB is a cofactor of HTLV-1 Tax

ABSTRACT

 Human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) Tax oncoprotein is mandatory for viral gene expression. Tax transactivates the viral promoter by recruiting specific transcription factors but also by interfering with general transcription factors involved in the preinitiation step, such as TBP, TFIIA and TFIID. However, data are lacking regarding Tax interplay with TFIIH, which intervenes during the last step of preinitiation. We previously reported that XPB, the TFIIH subunit responsible for promoter opening and promoter escape, is required for Tat-induced Human-Immunodeficiency Virus promoter transactivation. Here, we investigated whether XPB may also play a role in HTLV-1 transcription. We report that endogenous XPB binds to Tax and is recruited on proviral LTR in HTLV-1-transformed T cells but also in HTLV-1-immortalized primary T cells. In contrast, XPB recruitment at the LTR is not detected in Tax-negative HTLV-1-infected T cells and is strongly reduced upon Tax downregulation. XPB overexpression does not affect basal HTLV-1 promoter activation but enhances Tax-mediated transactivation. Conversely, inducing XPB degradation strongly reduces Tax-mediated transactivation. Importantly, this inhibition can be fully compensated by overexpressing wild-type XPB but not a XPB mutant defective for the ATPase activity responsible for promoter opening. Finally, XPB downregulation inhibits proliferation of Tax- positive but not Tax-negative HTLV-1- T cell lines. These findings reveal that XPB is a novel cellular co-factor hijacked by Tax to facilitate HTLV-1 transcription.

IMPORTANCE

 HTLV-1 is considered the most potent human oncovirus and is also responsible for severe inflammatory disorders. HTLV-1 transcription is taken in charge by RNA polymerase II and is controlled by the viral oncoprotein Tax. Tax transactivates the viral promoter first *via* the recruitment of CREB and its co-factors to the LTR. However, how Tax controls subsequent steps of the transcription process remains unclear. In this study, we explore the link between

INTRODUCTION

 Human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) is the etiologic agent of adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL), a very aggressive malignant proliferation of CD4+ T lymphocytes (1, 2). In addition, HTLV-1 is also associated to various inflammatory disorders, notably HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP)(3).

 The HTLV-1 genome encodes for structural, enzymatic, regulatory and auxiliary proteins. Among them, the regulatory protein Tax is a major player for disease development (4, 5). Indeed, Tax is an oncoprotein able to induce leukemia or lymphoma in transgenic mice (6) as well as immortalization of primary human CD4+ T cells *in vitro* (7). Tax is also the transactivator of the viral promoter located in the 5'LTR, thereby controlling its own production as well as that of all sense HTLV-1 transcripts (8).

 Transcription is an ordered process that proceeds through multiple stages including binding of specific transcription factors to the promoter, assembly of the preinitiation complex (PIC), promoter opening and escape, RNA polymerase II (Pol II) pausing, elongation and termination (reviewed in (9, 10)). Tax controls the first step by recruiting the specific transcription factor CREB at viral CREB-response elements (vCRE) located in the U3 region of the 5'LTR (8, 11). This event was initially believed to be the only mechanism by which Tax achieved maximal transcription. However, further data pointed towards additional key roles of Tax on the subsequent steps of transcription (12). Indeed, Tax was also shown to recruit to the LTR the general transcription factors (GTF) TBP (13), TFIIA (14), TFIID (15) involved in PIC assembly as well as the elongation factor pTEF-b (16, 17). In contrast, data are lacking regarding the specific involvement of TFIIH, which ensures transition between preinitiation and elongation, in the context of Tax-dependent transcription.

 TFIIH is a complex playing a dual role in DNA repair and transcription. It consists in five non-enzymatic proteins, the CDK-activation kinase CAK (cyclin H, CDK7 and Mat1) and the XPD and XPB enzymes (18). Within TFIIH, the ATPase and translocase *Xeroderma Pigmentosum* type B (XPB) plays a key role in transcription (19). XPB acts as a molecular wrench able to melt double stranded DNA, allowing opening and insertion of the sequence around the transcription start into the active site of Pol II (19-22). The ATPase activity of XPB is critical for the DNA opening while translocase activity is committed to promoter escape (23-25). The ATPase activity of XPB is carried by the helicase domain 1 motif I and is regulated by other regions of the protein, notably the helicase domain 1 R-E-D motif (24, 26, 27).

 XPB plays a complex role in transcription that has only been clarified recently. Indeed, Alekseev *et al.* demonstrated that XPB causes a regulatory block during preinitiation, imposed by its translocase/helicase activity, then subsequently relieved by its own ATPase activity (21, 28). Strikingly, this mechanism appears to be dispensable for basal transcription while in contrast, transcription induced by trans-retinoic acid or cytokines was shown to be sensitive to XPB downregulation (29, 30). This elucidation of XPB function has been greatly facilitated by the use of a drug, Spironolactone (SP), originally described as an aldosterone antagonist and later on identified as a compound able to induce rapid degradation of XPB (30). Of note, ability to induce XPB degradation is not related to aldosterone signaling since the SP derivative Eplerenone (EPL) antagonizes aldosterone signaling like SP but has no impact on XPB (30). Strikingly, SP is believed to induce the degradation of XPB within preformed TFIIH complexes, allowing XPB depletion while preserving TFIIH integrity (21, 30). A recent study showing that SP-induced XPB degradation depends on its prior phosphorylation by CDK7 provides the molecular explanation for this selectivity (31).

 In a previous study, we demonstrated that XPB is required for Tat-mediated human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) promoter activation (32). This prompted us to investigate the possibility that XPB could also contribute to HTLV-1 transcription. In this study, we investigated the potential interaction between Tax and XPB as well as the impact of XPB on HTLV-1 promoter transactivation and viral transcription.

RESULTS

XPB binds to Tax

 Since Tax was previously shown to interact with certain GTFs, we examined whether it may also interact with XPB. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments were first performed in 293T cells cotransfected with an XPB-coding plasmid along or not with a Tax expressor or empty vector. Immunoprecipitation of Tax allowed the recovery of XPB only in Tax cotransfected cells (Fig. 1A, lane 4). The same experiment was repeated in C8166 T cells that endogenously produce Tax and XPB. Immunoprecipitation with the anti-Tax antibody allowed the recovery of XPB while only background signal was found upon precipitation with control IgG (Fig. 1B). Hence, XPB binds to Tax in both overexpression and endogenous conditions.

XPB is recruited at proviral LTR in a Tax-dependent manner

 Whether XPB is recruited at the HTLV-1 LTR was examined by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments conducted in either Tax positive (C8166) or Tax negative/low (TL-Om1, MT-1) HTLV-1-transformed T cell lines. TL-Om1 T cells do not expressed Tax at either RNA or protein level (33) while MT-1 T cells were recently shown to produce Tax protein only in a fraction of cells and in a transient manner (34). ChIP experiments were also performed on CB-CD4/HTLV-1 T cells generated upon *in vitro* activation of PBMC obtained from a HAM/TSP patient (35). Importantly, CB-CD4/HTLV-1 T cells remain dependent of exogenous IL-2 and present the same Tax or HBZ intracellular distribution than fresh PBMC from HAM/TSP patients (36).

 Following chromatin immunoprecipitation with a control (IgG) or anti-XPB antibody, recovered DNA fragments were subjected to PCR using primers amplifying either the R or 137 U5 region of the LTR located downstream the transcription site start. The $I_{\kappa}B\alpha$ (*NFKBIA*) promoter region, at which XPB was shown to be recruited (29), was amplified as positive 139 control. In addition, the non-related α -satellite region was also amplified as negative control.

 In both C8166 and CB-CD4/HTLV-1 T cells, XPB recruitment was observed at the R and U5 LTR regions (Fig. 2A). In contrast, no LTR-specific signal (R or U5 region) was detected for either TL-Om1 or MT-1 T cells (Fig. 2B), strongly suggesting that XPB recruitment to the LTR depends on Tax. ChIP experiments were validated by the fact that for all T cell lines, 144 positive XPB signals were found at the $I \kappa B\alpha$ promoter but not at the α -satellite region (Fig. 2A and 2B). Of note, Tax-negative HTLV-1-transformed T cells were shown to maintain 146 permanent NF- κ B activation despite the absence of Tax (37), explaining the positive XPB 147 signal found at the $I \kappa B\alpha$ promoter for both TL-Om1 and MT-1 T cells.

 If Tax is required for XPB recruitment to the LTR, downregulating Tax expression should lower the amount of XPB bound to the promoter. To address this hypothesis, we tried to deplete Tax *via* siRNA in C8166 T cells but obtained only little decrease in global Tax level (data not shown). Instead, we used chaetocin, an HSP90 inhibitor (38), since such inhibitors were previously shown to induce rapid Tax degradation (39). Treating C8166 T cells with chaetocin massively reduced Tax protein level without any effect on endogenous XPB (Fig. 2C). Tax downregulation coincided with a statistically significant reduction of the level of XPB bound to the HTLV-1 LTR (Fig. 2D, left panel). In contrast, XPB was detected at the 156 same level at the $I\kappa B\alpha$ promoter (Fig. 2D, right panel), showing that chaetocin did not prevent XPB recruitment at chromatin in a general manner.

 Collectively, these findings strongly suggest that XPB is recruited on the HTLV-1 LTR in a Tax-dependent manner.

XPB is involved in Tax-mediated but not basal LTR activation

 To assess whether XPB recruitment at the viral promoter impacts LTR activation, luciferase assays were performed in uninfected Jurkat T cells. We used an approach described by Elinoff et al., based on XPB-overexpression in cells treated with SP in order to allow endogenous XPB degradation (29). Eplerenone (EPL) was used as a control drug. Jurkat T cells were transfected with the HTLV-1 LTR (U3R-Luc) reporter construct and pRL-TK normalization plasmid together with a control (basal) or Tax (transactivation) plasmid and with or without the XPB expressor, then treated with DMSO, EPL or SP for 24 hours. Neither SP treatment nor XPB overexpression affected basal activation of the U3R-Luc construct (Fig. 3, upper panel, bars 3 and 4), as compared to control cells (bar 1). In contrast, Tax-induced transactivation was reduced by 50% in SP-treated cells as compared to DMSO-treated cells (Fig. 3, upper panel, bars 7 and 9). The level of transfected Tax was similar in presence of either DMSO or SP (Fig. 3, lower panel, lanes 7 and 9), indicating that SP influenced neither Tax production from a CMV promoter nor Tax stability. Overexpressing XPB together with Tax allowed to recover an XPB level close to the endogenous level (lanes 1 and 12), even though overexpressed XPB was also partly sensitive to SP treatment (lanes 10 and 12). We noticed that the level of intracellular XPB recovered upon XPB plasmid transfection was higher in presence than in absence of Tax (lanes 6 and 12). This may be due to the ability of Tax to transactivate the CMV promoter driving XPB expression, although higher XPB level was not found in DMSO or EPL-treated Tax-transfected cells. Alternatively, this may indicate that overexpressed Tax may somehow protects XPB from degradation triggered by SP. Importantly, this XPB recovery fully reversed the inhibitory effect of SP on Tax-induced LTR transactivation, independently on Tax level (Fig. 3, upper panel, bar 12).

 These findings show that XPB is required for Tax-induced HTLV-1 LTR activation in T cells. In addition, the rescue effect of XPB overexpression confirms that SP acts on promoter activation in a XPB dependent manner, which validates SP as a relevant tool to analyze the impact of XPB downregulation on transcription.

The R-E-D domain of XPB is required for LTR transactivation and endogenous Tax production

 To confirm that XPB is required for LTR transactivation by Tax, luciferase assays were performed in C8166 T cells that produce Tax endogenously. In addition, we took advantage of the ability of XPB to compensate SP-mediated XPB degradation to characterize the XPB activity required for LTR transactivation. XPB contains various functional domains (25). In particular, the R-E-D motif (Fig. 4A) was shown to be required for optimal ATPase activity and promoter opening (26). We then used the XPB-E473A protein mutated in the R-E-D region and two other XPB mutants retaining transcriptional activity but either defective (F99S) or normal (T119P) for DNA repair activity (27). Importantly, the three mutants were previously shown to be still properly incorporated within the TFIIH complex (26, 27). Moreover, coimmunoprecipitation experiments performed in cotransfected 293T cells demonstrated that each mutant remains capable of interacting with Tax (Fig. 4B).

 Luciferase assays were then performed in HTLV-1-infected C8166 T cells transfected with the reporter plasmids and one of the XPB construct in presence of DMSO, EPL or SP. As expected, treating C8166 T cells with SP induced XPB downregulation, which coincided with reduced production of endogenous Tax (Fig. 4C). In contrast, SP treatment did not affect the production of phospho-CREB or that of specific protein 1 (Sp1), known to modulate Tax- induced or basal LTR activation (40) (Fig. 4C). Strong reduction in LTR transactivation was found in SP-treated control cells (Fig. 4D, upper panel, bar 3). Overexpressing wild-type (wt) XPB, XPB-F99S or XPB-T119P reversed the effect of SP on LTR activation by Tax (Fig. 4D, upper panel, bars 6, 9 and 12). In contrast, despite XPB-E473A was capable of increasing the level of intracellular XPB like wt XPB and the two other mutants (Fig. 4D, lower panel, lane 15), this mutant was unable to rescue LTR transactivation in SP-treated cells (Fig. 4D, upper panel, bar 15). Immunoblot analysis showed that overexpressing wt XPB, XPB-F99S or XPB- T119P increased the level of endogenous Tax in SP-treated C8166 T cells (Fig. 4C, lower panel, lanes 6, 9 and 12). In contrast, no such increase was observed for XPB-E473A (Fig. 4D, lower panel, compared lane 15 to lane 1).

 These results show that XPB is involved in Tax-mediated LTR transactivation in the context of integrated proviruses and further indicate that the R-E-D domain of XPB, regulating its ATPase activity, is involved in this process.

SP-mediated XPB dowregulation inhibits viral transcription

 We next directly investigated the impact of XPB downregulation on HTLV-1 mRNA production by RTqPCR experiments. C8166 and MT-4 HTLV-1-transformed T cells as well as CB-CD4/HTLV-1-immortalized T cells were treated with DMSO, SP or EPL for 24 hours. Of note, C8166 T cells exhibit a defect in viral RNA export and therefore do not produce Gag proteins while they still produce Gag at the mRNA level (41). SP treatment inhibited the production of Gag mRNA in C8166 (Fig. 5A), MT-4 (Fig. 5B, left panel) and HTLV-1- immortalized CB-CD4/HTLV-1 (Fig. 5C, left panel) T cells. In both MT-4 and CB- CD4/HTLV-1 T cells, this was accompanied by a reduction in Gag protein production (Fig. 5B and 5C, right panels).

 To further study the link between HTLV-1 RNA production and XPB, we quantified the level of HTLV-1 Gag mRNA in the course of SP treatment. C8166 T cells were treated with DMSO, EPL or SP up to 6 hours. In agreement with previous data (30), XPB decrease can already be seen 30 min post-treatment with a maximal reduction at 120 min (Fig. 5D). Reduction of Gag mRNA began at 30 min (Fig. 5E, left panel), coinciding with the beginning

 of XPB downregulation (Fig. 5D). Moreover, a solid positive correlation was found between 239 the level of Gag mRNA and the amount of XPB protein over the course of SP treatment (Fig. 5E, right panel). Importantly, no significant variation in the level of HPRT and EEF1G housekeeping gene mRNAs was observed in the course of SP treatment (Fig. 5F), confirming that SP does not act as a general inhibitor of Pol II-mediated transcription.

SP-mediated XPB downregulation inhibits growth of Tax-positive HTLV-1-infected T cells

 We finally studied the effect of XPB downregulation on the growth of HTLV-1-infected T cells. Cells were treated every day with DMSO or with EPL or SP and the number of living cells was quantified by the MTT method after 72h of culture. As compared to DMSO and EPL, SP reduced the viability of C8166 (Fig. 6A) and MT-4 (Fig. 6B) T cells in a dose- dependent manner. The same treatments were applied on Tax negative/low HTLV-1-infected T cells (MT-1, TL-Om1) and uninfected T cells (Jurkat). Neither SP nor EPL impacted 252 viability of TL-Om1 (Fig. 6C) or Jurkat (Fig. 6E) T cells. Interestingly, SP at 10 μ M slightly decreased viability of MT-1 T cells (Fig. 6D), in agreement with the transient expression of Tax by this cell line (34). Importantly, SP treatment also reduced viability of CB-CD4/HTLV- 1 T cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6F), demonstrating that its cytotoxic effect also applied on HTLV-1-immortalized primary T cells.

 These data show that the effect of XPB downregulation on HTLV-1 RNA production translates into inhibition of proliferation of Tax-positive HTLV-1-infected T cells, reinforcing the link between Tax expression and XPB function.

DISCUSSION

 As emphasized in recent reviews, HTLV-1 is considered the most potent cancer-associated virus and still remains a significant threat to human health (42, 43). Deciphering the mechanisms by which the HTLV-1 transactivator Tax regulates HTLV-1 gene expression is therefore a central issue for both fundamental and therapeutic aspects. In this study, we describe a novel physical and functional interaction between Tax and the XPB subunit of the general transcription factor TFIIH.

 First, we found that Tax coprecipitates with XPB in cotransfected 293T cells as well as in HTLV-1-infected T cells, in which the two proteins are produced endogenously. Second, we showed that endogenous XPB is bound to the HTLV-1 LTR in Tax-positive but not Tax- negative HTLV-1-tranformed T cells, suggesting that XPB recruitment is mediated by Tax. Importantly, XPB recruitment at the LTR was also observed in HTLV-1-immortalized T cells generated from PBMC from an HAM/TSP patient. The role of Tax was confirmed by data showing that inducing Tax degradation significantly reduced XPB recruitment at the LTR. Of note, we checked that chaetocin treatment used to induce Tax degradation did not affect XPB stability. Furthermore, chaetocin did not prevent XPB recruitment at a cellular promoter, showing that XPB functionality was preserved. These data provide direct evidence that XPB is a novel partner of Tax recruited by Tax at the LTR of integrated viral genomes in an HTLV-1-transformed T cell line as well as in *in vivo*-infected T cells.

 To assess the functional role of XPB, we next studied the impact of XPB overexpression on HTLV-1 LTR activation using a reporter construct. We found that overexpressing XPB in uninfected Jurkat T cells increases luciferase production only in presence of Tax, showing that XPB is involved in Tax-induced but not basal LTR activation. Conversely, inducing XPB degradation using the validated drug SP significantly reduces Tax-mediated LTR transactivation in Jurkat T cells with no impact on Tax stability. SP-mediated XPB

 downregulation also inhibits LTR transactivation mediated by endogenous Tax in HTLV-1- transformed T cells, while the level of CREB or Sp1 was not affected. Of note, no significant change in Tax-mediated LTR transactivation is observed upon treatment with EPL, arguing against a role of aldosterone signaling modulation in LTR inhibition. The importance of XPB is also attested by the fact that XPB overexpression is sufficient to reverse the negative effect of SP on LTR transactivation in either Tax-transfected Jurkat T cells or HTLV-1-infected C8166 T cells. This compensation effect gave us the opportunity to identify the domain of XPB involved in Tax-mediated HTLV-1 transcription. Thus, we found that wild type XPB as two XPB mutants defective for DNA repair but retaining transcriptional activity, fully compensate SP-mediated XPB downregulation in C8166 T cells. In contrast, no compensation is achieved with a mutant bearing a mutation in the R-E-D domain regulating XPB ATPase activity (26). This strongly suggests that the ATPase-dependent activity of XPB, necessary for the promoter opening activity, is indeed the one cooperating with Tax during HTLV-1 transcription.

 Importantly, we also provide evidence that inducing XPB degradation had major consequences on viral RNA expression and viability of HTLV-1-infected T cells. Indeed, we showed that SP reduces the production of HTLV-1 Gag mRNA and that there is a solid correlation between the level of Gag mRNA and the level of XPB. Moreover, we found that as compared to EPL, SP inhibits the proliferation of HTLV-1-infected T cells. This was observed in C8166 and MT-4 T cells but also in HTLV-1-immortalized CB-CD4/HTLV-1 T cells, proving that the effect of XPB downregulation is not restricted to HTLV-1-transformed T cells. In contrast, SP had no effect on either uninfected T cells or Tax-negative HTLV-1- infected T cells. This reinforces the functional link between XPB and Tax and confirms the key role of Tax in the survival of Tax-positive HTLV-1-infected T cells (44). These findings also reveal that *via* its ability to downregulate XPB, SP is a potent inhibitor of Tax-induced HTLV-1 gene expression as well as Tax-driven T cell proliferation.

 Since XPB is member of a complex of general transcription factors, one could assume that its downregulation would block transcription in a general manner. However, the role of XPB is more complex. Indeed, while XPB appears to be dispensable for basal transcription, it is required for transcription induced by certain stimuli. In good agreement with this, TFIIH was shown to be at least partially dispensable in the case of promoters with preformed transcription bubbles (45) while the promoter opening step, controlled by XPB, is considered an important regulatory step for inducible promoters (46). We found here that SP-mediated degradation of XPB impacts Tax-mediated but not basal HTLV-1-LTR activity in Jurkat T cells. Noteworthy, we previously reported that SP inhibits Tat-dependent but not basal transcription of the HIV-1 LTR (32). Our data therefore provide new evidence for a role of XPB in inducible viral transcription by showing that XPB is also involved in HTLV-1 RNA production.

 Our demonstration that Tax interacts with XPB, raises the issue of whether XPB could also directly interact with Tat to accomplish its function on the HIV-1 LTR promoter. In this line, it would be also of interest to study the recruitment of XPB on the HIV-1 LTR sequence. Both HIV-1 Tat (47) and HTLV-1 Tax (16) interact with pTEF-b but, in contrast to Tat, Tax is able as well to recruit TFIIA and TFIID to the promoter. These findings, along with our present data, support a model in which Tax not only recruits specific transcription factors to the promoter but controls as well subsequent steps of the transcription process by successively recruiting at least one subunit of each GTF complex acting together with RNA Pol II (Fig. 7). This provides another example of the powerful ability of Tax to manipulate cellular machineries.

 In conclusion, this study demonstrates that XPB is a member of the Tax-dependent transcription complexes assembled at the HTLV-1 LTR. Our data also suggest that the promoter opening step of transcription plays a key role in HTLV-1 gene expression. These findings provide new insights on the molecular players governing HTLV-1 transcription and may open new avenues of research for the development of therapeutic interventions targeting HTLV-1 transcription.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and transfection

 HEK-293T (American Type Culture Collection CRL-3216) were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Dutcher), 2 mM glutamine, 1 mM pyruvate and antibiotics (Invitrogen) and were transfected using the phosphate calcium procedure. Uninfected CD4+ Jurkat T-cells (kindly provided by S. Emiliani, Institut Cochin, France) were grown in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented as above. The HTLV-1-infected CD4+ T-cell lines C8166 and MT-4 (NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, USA), TL-Om1 and MT-1 (kindly provided by Dr. Harhaj, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA) were grown in supplemented RPMI 1640 containing also 25mM glucose, 20 mM HEPES and 5mL of 100X non-essential aminoacid solution (Invitrogen). T cell lines were transfected using the DMRIE-C reagent (Roche). HTLV-1-immortalized CB-CD4/HTLV-1 T cells generated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells of a TSP/HAM patient have been described in (35). These cells were grown in supplemented RPMI medium in the presence of 50U/ml of IL-2 (Roche, France).

Plasmids

 The pSG5M empty vector and pSG5M-Tax plasmids were described elsewhere (48). The U3R-Luc (Firefly) plasmid was kindly provided by A. Kress (Germany). The normalization plasmid pRL-TK (Renilla) was obtained from Promega. Human XPB cDNA was cloned from total RNA extracted from Jurkat T cells by using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). One µg of total RNA was subjected to reverse transcription using the Maxima Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). PCR amplification was performed on a fraction of RT products with the high fidelity Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen) using the oligonucleotides XPB-BamHI Fw (5' GCGCCTCGAGGATCCACCATGGGCAAAAGAGACCGAGGC 3') and XPB NheI Rev (5' GCGCACGCGTGCGGCCGCTAGCTCATTTCCTAAAGCGCTTGAAG 3') and products were then cloned in the pCR-Blunt II-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). The XPB insert was then digested with BamHI and NheI and cloned into the pcDNA3 vector (Invitrogen). XPB mutagenesis was performed on the pcDNA3-XPB plasmid by PCR amplification with the high fidelity proof reading Pfu Turbo DNA Polymerase (Stratagene) using the following primers: F99S (Fw: 5' CAAATATGCCCAAGACTCCTTGGTGGCTATTGC 3', F99S Rev: 5' GCAATAGCCACCAAGGAGTCTTGGGCATATTTG 3); T119P (Fw: 5'CATGAGTACAAACTA 373 CCTGCCTACTCCTTG 3', T119P Rev: 5' CAAGGAGTAGGCAGGTAGTTTGTACTCATG 3'); K346R (Fw: 5' CCCTGCGGTGCTGGAAGGTCCCTGGTTGGTGTC 3', K346R Rev: 5'CACACCAACGGGACCTTCCAGCACCGCAGGG 3'); E473A (Fw: 5'GCGACCCTCGTCCGCG CAATGACAAAATTGTG 3', E473A Rev: 5'CACAATTTTGTCATCTGCGCGGA CGAGGGTCGC 3'). Sequencing of the wt and mutated plasmids showed than the XPB ORF was identical in each cDNA excepted for the presence of the introduced mutation.

Antibodies and reagents

 The anti XPB monoclonal antibody from Novusbio (NB10061060) was used for ChIP experiment. The following other primary antibodies were used in immunoblots: anti-XPB (Santa Cruz, s19), anti-pCREB-Ser133 (Millipore, CS 204400), anti-Sp1 (Abcam ab13370), anti-GAPDH (Santa Cruz, sc32233), anti-gammatubulin (Abcam ab16504), anti-lamin A/C (Cell signaling, 2032S) and anti-IgG rabbit (Millipore PP64B). HRP-conjugated anti-human, anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgG (Promega) were used as secondary antibodies. Spironolactone (SP), Eplerenone (EPL) and Chaetocin (all from Sigma) were diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as 10 mM stock solutions.

Luciferase assays

392 T cells $(3x10^5/24 \text{ well in duplicate})$ were cotransfected using the DMRIE-C reagent (Roche) with 100 ng of the U3R-Luc plasmid, 10 ng of pRL-TK and 250 ng of the control, Tax or XPB plasmid/well. After 48 hours, cells were lysed in 100 µL of Passive lysis buffer (Promega). Luciferase activities were quantified using the Dual Luciferase Assay System (Promega) and Firefly activity values were normalized to that of Renilla activity.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot

399 293T cells $(1.5x10^6$ cells in 10 cm dish) were transfected with 6µg of the control, Tax or XPB 400 plasmid and lysed 48h post-transfection. Transfected 293T cells or C8166 T cells (5x10⁶) were lysed in 500 µL of RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH8, 1% NP40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS and 150 mM NaCl) supplemented with protease inhibitor and benzonase nuclease (Sigma). 500µg of the lysates were incubated overnight with 3µg of primary antibodies at 4°C and 10µg of the lysates were used for western blot analysis. Immunocomplexes were then captured on protein A/G-agarose beads (Thermoscientific 20421) 1h at 4°C. Sepharose beads were then washed 5 times in wash buffer (120 mM NaCl, 20mM Tris-HCl pH8, 0.2 mM NaF, 0.2 mM EGTA, 0.2% deoxycholate, 0.5% NP40) before elution in 50 µL of Laemmli buffer (0.3 M Tris-HCl pH6.8, 10% SDS, 20% Glycerol, 0.04% Bromophenol Blue, DTT 100 mM). Immunoprecipitated proteins or total cell lysates were separated on 4-15% SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (0.45 µm) and blotted with specific antibodies. Images were acquired using a Fusion Fx camera (Vilber Lourmat). Band quantification was performed with the Image J software after subtraction of the background.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Total RNAs were prepared with the Nucleospin RNAII kit (Macherey Nagel, France) and 1µg

 was reverse-transcribed using the Maxima first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific, France). Real-time PCR were performed on 1/10 of the reverse transcription reaction. HTLV- 1 genomic RNA (gRNA) and housekeeping control RNAs were amplified using the Sybr Green method with the following primers: unspliced Gag mRNA (region 2036 to 2224, Fw 5'CAGAGGAAGATGCCCTCCTATT 3', Rev: 5' GTCAACCTGGGCTTTAATTACG 3'); Total sense RNA (Fw: TTCCCAGGGTTTGGACAGAG, Rev: GATGGGGTCCCAGGTGATCT); EEF1G (Fw: AGATGGCCCAGTTTGATGCTAA, Rev: GCTTCTCTTCCCGTGAACCCT), HPRT (Fw: TGACACTGGCAAAACAATGCA, Rev: GGTCCTTTTCACCAGCAAGCT). PCR conditions were as followed: pre-incubation 95° 5 425 min x1; amplification x45: denaturation 95° 10 sec; annealing 60° 20 sec; extension 72° 10 sec; acquisition, melting curve, cooling. The normalized quantity (NQ) of each mRNA was determined by normalizing their level to that of the housekeeping genes EEF1G and HPRT 428 according to the following formula: $NQ=2^{-(cp-((cpEEFIG+cpHPRT)/2))}$.

CHIP experiments

431 Before the experiment, 10^7 cells were crosslinked using 1.1% Formaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 8 minutes at room temperature. Chromatin was then sheared using a Bioruptor Pico sonicator to obtain fragments of approximately 300 bp. IP were performed using the ChIP-IT high sensitivity kit (Active motif) on 10 μg of chromatin using 4 µg of specific or control antibodies and 10% of chromatin was kept for inputs. qPCR were conducted with the following primers: a-sat (Fw: CTGCACTACCTGAAGAGGAC, Rev: 437 GATGGTTCAACACTCTTACA), IκBα (SimpleChIP® Human IκBα Promoter Primers #5552, Cell Signaling Technology), LTR R region (Fw: CGCATCTCTCCTTCACGCGC, Rev: CGGTCTCGACCTGAG) or LTR U5 region (Fw: GACAGCCCATCCTATAGCACTC, Rev: CTAGCGCTACGGGAAAAGATT).

Cell viability assay

 Cell viability was quantified by measuring the rate of mitochondrial reduction of yellow tetrazolium salt MTT (3-(4.5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2.5- diphenyltetrazolium bromide (Sigma) to insoluble purple crystals. Cells were cultivated for 72h with DMSO, EPL or SP and MTT solution (25 μL of a solution at 5 mg/mL) was added to each well for 2 hours. The supernatant was then removed and 50 μL of DMSO added to dissolve formazan crystal. Optical densities (OD) were measured at 590 nm with a Tecan infinite Pro 2000 spectrophotometer.

Statistical analysis

 Statistical analyses were conducted with the GraphPad Prism 6 software. Two-group comparison was done using the paired t test and multiple-group analysis with the one-way ANOVA Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Correlation analysis was done using the Pearson test. Statistical significance was defined for p-values < 0.05.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

 We thank the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH, for the HTLV-1 infected T-cell lines. We also thank the staff of the Genomic core facilities of the Cochin Institute for their expertise in RTqPCR.

 This work was supported by grants from the Ligue contre le Cancer (Comité Ile de France, url:https://www.ligue-cancer.net/cd75) and from Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM, grant number DEQ20140329528 attributed to FMG, url:https://www.frm.org/). CM and AT were recipients of PhD grants from the Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer (url: https://www.ligue-cancer.net) or from Université Paris Descartes (url: https://www.parisdescartes.fr/) and Fondation ARC (url: [https://www.fondationarc.](https://www.fondationarc/) org/), respectively. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. XPB binds to Tax.

 (A) Coprecipitation of Tax and XPB in cotransfected 293T cells. Total proteins prepared 24 hours post-transfection were analyzed by immunoblot before (Lysates) or after anti-Tax immunoprecipitation (IP Tax). Data correspond to one representative experiment out of two. (**B**) Coprecipitation of endogenous Tax and XPB produced in HTLV-1-transformed C8166 T cells. Immunoprecipitated proteins (IP Tax, left panel) or total proteins obtained before (input) or after (flowthrough) IP (right panels) were analyzed by immunoblot. Data correspond to one representative experiment out of two. Ig: signal corresponding to immunoglobulins used for the IP.

Figure 2. Endogenous XPB is recruited at proviral LTR in a Tax-dependent manner

 (**A,B**) ChIP experiments to detect XPB recruitment at various genomic loci. Sonicated chromatins prepared for (A) Tax-positive C8166 and CB-CD4/HTLV-1 or (B) Tax- negative/low MT-1 and TL-Om1 HTLV-1-infected T cells were used before (input) or after immunoprecipitation with control IgG or the anti-XPB antibody. PCR reactions were then 485 performed using primers specific for the R or U5 region of the HTLV-1 LTR or for the I κ B α 486 promoter (positive control) and the α -satellite regions (negative control). PCR amplification gave positive signals for both R and U5 regions in the four T cell lines (18 to 22 PCR cycles as compared to 37 to 45 for water), confirming that they all carried integrated HTLV-1 489 genomes. Data correspond to means \pm SEM of two independent experiments performed in triplicate. (**C**) Effect of Tax downregulation on XPB recruitment to the HTLV-1 promoter. C8166 T cells were treated with 100 nM of chaetocin for 24 hours. Left panel shows the effect of chaetocin on the levels of endogenous Tax and XPB analyzed by immunoblot (one representative experiment out of two). (**D**) Effect of chaetocin treatment on XPB recruitment 494 to either the LTR or the $I\kappa B\alpha$ promoter. ChIP analysis was performed as in (A). Data 495 correspond to means \pm SEM of one experiment performed in triplicate out of 2. Statistical 496 significance: ns: not significant, *: $p<0.05$, **: $p<0.01$, ***: $p<0.001$, ****: $p<0.0001$.

Figure 3. XPB is involved in Tax-mediated but not basal HTLV-1 LTR activation

 Effect of XPB overexpression on HTLV-1 LTR activation in Jurkat T cells. Cells were transfected with the LTR U3R-Luc and pRL-TK reporter plasmids along with control (basal), Tax (transactivation) or the XPB plasmid and then treated with DMSO or with EPL or SP at 10 µM for 24 hours. Upper panel: relative luciferase activity calculated by normalizing Firefly/Renilla ratios to that of DMSO-treated Tax-transfected cells (set to 1). Data are means $504 \pm SEM$ of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. Lower panel: Tax and XPB expression levels detected by immunoblot. Data are from one representative experiment out of two. Statistical significance: *: p<0.05, **: p<0.01.

Figure 4. The R-E-D domain of XPB is required for LTR transactivation and endogenous Tax production

 (**A**) Schematic representation of the XPB protein and position of the XPB mutations. (**B**) Interaction of Tax with wild type XPB or XPB mutants. 293T cells were cotransfected with one of the XPB constructs along with a control or Tax expressor. Total proteins prepared 24 hours post-transfection were separated before (lysates) or after the anti-Tax immunoprecipitation (IP Tax). Data correspond to one representative experiment out of two. (**C**) Immunoblots performed in HTLV-1-transformed C8166 T cells to analyze expression levels of XPB, Tax, phospho-CREB (Ser133) and Specific factor 1 (Sp1) upon SP treatment. (**D**) Capacity of wt or mutated XPB proteins to rescue SP-mediated inhibition of either LTR transactivation or endogenous Tax production. HTLV-1-transformed C8166 T cells were transfected with the LTR U3R-Luc and pRL-TK reporter plasmids and one of the XPB construct and were then treated with DMSO or with EPL or SP at 10 µM for 48 hours. Upper panel: relative luciferase activity calculated by normalizing Firefly/Renilla ratios to that of 522 DMSO-treated control cells (set to 1). Data are means \pm SEM of five independent experiments performed in duplicate. Lower panel: Tax and XPB expression levels detected by immunoblot. Data are from one representative experiment out of three. Statistical significance: ns: not significant, ****: p<0.0001.

Figure 5. XPB downregulation inhibits viral transcription

 (**A**) C8166 and (**B**) MT-4 HTLV-1-transformed T cells or (**C**) HTLV-1-immortalized CB- CD4/HTLV-1 T cells were treated with DMSO or with SP or EPL at 10 µM for 24 hours. The level of unspliced Gag mRNA was quantified by RTqPCR and normalized to the level of housekeeping genes (left panels). For MT-4 and CB-CD4/HTLV-1 T cells, the level of Gag protein (p19) was also analyzed by immunoblot (right panels). Data correspond to one representative experiment out of two or three. (**D-F**) Time-course experiment to quantify the level of Gag mRNA over the course of SP treatment. C8166 T cells were either untreated (NT) or treated with DMSO or with SP or EPL at 10 µM. (**D**) The level of XPB was analyzed at indicated times by immunoblot in half of the cells. At each time-point, the intensity of the XPB signal in EPL or SP-treated cells was compared to that of DMSO-treated cells (set to 1). Data correspond to one representative experiment out of three. (**E**) The other half of the cells was used to quantify the level of Gag mRNA. Left panel shows the SP/DMSO ratios calculated from the level of RNA normalized to the level of housekeeping genes (NQ). Right panel shows correlation between the level of XPB protein quantified from (A) and the SP/DMSO ratios shown in the left panel. (**F**) Effect of SP on the levels of housekeeping gene mRNAs. Data show the SP/DMSO ratios for HPRT or EEF1G calculated from relative 544 quantities using the formula: $Q=2^{-Cp}$. Data correspond to one representative experiment performed in triplicate out of 3.

 Figure 6. **XPB downregulation reduces viability of Tax-positive HTLV-1-infected T cells.**

 (**A**) C8166, (**B**) MT-4, (**C**) TL-om1, (**D**) MT-1 and (**E**) Jurkat transformed T cells or (**F**) HTLV-1 immortalized CB-CD4/HTLV-1 were treated every day with DMSO (0) or with EPL or SP at indicated concentrations and cell viability was determined by the MTT method after 3 days of culture. The viability values for SP or EPL were normalized to that of DMSO- treated cells (set as 100%). For each cell line, viability results correspond to two independent experiments performed in triplicate. Ns: not significant, *: p<0.05, **: p<0.01; ***: p<0.001, ****: p<0.0001.

 Figure 7. Model illustrating the capacity of Tax to target essential steps of the Pol II-mediated transcription process, including the promoter opening step controlled by the XPB subunit of TFIIH.

-
-

REFERENCES

- 1. Hermine O, Ramos JC, Tobinai K. 2018. A Review of New Findings in Adult T-cell Leukemia-Lymphoma: A Focus on Current and Emerging Treatment Strategies. Adv Ther 35:135-152.
- 2. Panfil AR, Martinez MP, Ratner L, Green PL. 2016. Human T-cell leukemia virus-associated malignancy. Curr Opin Virol 20:40-46.
- 3. Bangham CR, Araujo A, Yamano Y, Taylor GP. 2015. HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 1:15012.
- 4. Currer R, Van Duyne R, Jaworski E, Guendel I, Sampey G, Das R, Narayanan A,
- Kashanchi F. 2012. HTLV tax: a fascinating multifunctional co-regulator of viral and cellular pathways. Front Microbiol 3:406.
- 5. Kfoury Y, Nasr R, Journo C, Mahieux R, Pique C, Bazarbachi A. 2012. The
- multifaceted oncoprotein Tax: subcellular localization, posttranslational modifications,

and NF-kappaB activation. Adv Cancer Res 113:85-120.

- 6. Hasegawa H, Sawa H, Lewis MJ, Orba Y, Sheehy N, Yamamoto Y, Ichinohe T,
- Tsunetsugu-Yokota Y, Katano H, Takahashi H, Matsuda J, Sata T, Kurata T,
- Nagashima K, Hall WW. 2006. Thymus-derived leukemia-lymphoma in mice
- transgenic for the Tax gene of human T-lymphotropic virus type I. Nat Med 12:466-
- 72.

7. Bellon M, Baydoun HH, Yao Y, Nicot C. 2010. HTLV-I Tax-dependent and -

- independent events associated with immortalization of human primary T lymphocytes.
- Blood 115:2441-8.
- 8. Nyborg JK, Egan D, Sharma N. 2010. The HTLV-1 Tax protein: revealing
- mechanisms of transcriptional activation through histone acetylation and nucleosome
- disassembly. Biochim Biophys Acta 1799:266-74.

- 18. Rimel JK, Taatjes DJ. 2018. The essential and multifunctional TFIIH complex. Protein Sci 27:1018-1037.
- 19. Compe E, Egly JM. 2016. Nucleotide Excision Repair and Transcriptional Regulation: TFIIH and Beyond. Annu Rev Biochem 85:265-90.
- 20. Fishburn J, Tomko E, Galburt E, Hahn S. 2015. Double-stranded DNA translocase
- activity of transcription factor TFIIH and the mechanism of RNA polymerase II open complex formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:3961-6.
- 21. Alekseev S, Nagy Z, Sandoz J, Weiss A, Egly JM, Le May N, Coin F. 2017.
- Transcription without XPB Establishes a Unified Helicase-Independent Mechanism of
- Promoter Opening in Eukaryotic Gene Expression. Mol Cell 65:504-514 e4.
- 22. Kim TK, Ebright RH, Reinberg D. 2000. Mechanism of ATP-dependent promoter melting by transcription factor IIH. Science 288:1418-22.
- 23. Tirode F, Busso D, Coin F, Egly JM. 1999. Reconstitution of the transcription factor
- TFIIH: assignment of functions for the three enzymatic subunits, XPB, XPD, and cdk7. Mol Cell 3:87-95.
- 24. Lin YC, Choi WS, Gralla JD. 2005. TFIIH XPB mutants suggest a unified bacterial-
- like mechanism for promoter opening but not escape. Nat Struct Mol Biol 12:603-7.
- 25. Oksenych V, Coin F. 2010. The long unwinding road: XPB and XPD helicases in damaged DNA opening. Cell Cycle 9:90-6.
- 26. Oksenych V, Bernardes de Jesus B, Zhovmer A, Egly JM, Coin F. 2009. Molecular
- insights into the recruitment of TFIIH to sites of DNA damage. EMBO J 28:2971-80.
- 27. Coin F, Oksenych V, Egly JM. 2007. Distinct roles for the XPB/p52 and XPD/p44
- subcomplexes of TFIIH in damaged DNA opening during nucleotide excision repair.
- Mol Cell 26:245-56.

- 28. Sandoz J, Coin F. 2017. Unified promoter opening steps in eukaryotic gene expression. Oncotarget 8:84614-84615.
- 29. Elinoff JM, Chen LY, Dougherty EJ, Awad KS, Wang S, Biancotto A, Siddiqui AH,
- Weir NA, Cai R, Sun J, Preston IR, Solomon MA, Danner RL. 2018. Spironolactone-
- induced degradation of the TFIIH core complex XPB subunit suppresses NF-kappaB
- and AP-1 signalling. Cardiovasc Res 114:65-76.
- 30. Alekseev S, Ayadi M, Brino L, Egly JM, Larsen AK, Coin F. 2014. A small molecule screen identifies an inhibitor of DNA repair inducing the degradation of TFIIH and the chemosensitization of tumor cells to platinum. Chem Biol 21:398-407.
- 31. Ueda M, Matsuura K, Kawai H, Wakasugi M, Matsunaga T. 2019. Spironolactone-
- induced XPB degradation depends on CDK7 kinase and SCF(FBXL) (18) E3 ligase.

Genes Cells doi:10.1111/gtc.12674.

- 32. Lacombe B, Morel M, Margottin-Goguet F, Ramirez BC. 2016. Specific Inhibition of
- HIV Infection by the Action of Spironolactone in T Cells. J Virol 90:10972-10980.
- 33. Hironaka N, Mochida K, Mori N, Maeda M, Yamamoto N, Yamaoka S. 2004. Tax-
- independent constitutive IkappaB kinase activation in adult T-cell leukemia cells. Neoplasia 6:266-78.
- 34. Mahgoub M, Yasunaga JI, Iwami S, Nakaoka S, Koizumi Y, Shimura K, Matsuoka M.
- 2018. Sporadic on/off switching of HTLV-1 Tax expression is crucial to maintain the
- whole population of virus-induced leukemic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
- 115:E1269-E1278.
- 35. Ozden S, Cochet M, Mikol J, Teixeira A, Gessain A, Pique C. 2004. Direct evidence
- for a chronic CD8+-T-cell-mediated immune reaction to tax within the muscle of a
- human T-cell leukemia/lymphoma virus type 1-infected patient with sporadic
- inclusion body myositis. J Virol 78:10320-7.

- 36. Forlani G, Baratella M, Tedeschi A, Pique C, Jacobson S, Accolla RS. 2019. HTLV-1
- HBZ Protein Resides Exclusively in the Cytoplasm of Infected Cells in Asymptomatic Carriers and HAM/TSP Patients. Front Microbiol 10:819.
- 37. Mori N, Fujii M, Ikeda S, Yamada Y, Tomonaga M, Ballard DW, Yamamoto N. 1999. Constitutive activation of NF-kappaB in primary adult T-cell leukemia cells. Blood
- 93:2360-8.
- 38. Song X, Zhao Z, Qi X, Tang S, Wang Q, Zhu T, Gu Q, Liu M, Li J. 2015.
- Identification of epipolythiodioxopiperazines HDN-1 and chaetocin as novel inhibitor
- of heat shock protein 90. Oncotarget 6:5263-74.
- 39. Gao L, Harhaj EW. 2013. HSP90 protects the human T-cell leukemia virus type 1
- (HTLV-1) tax oncoprotein from proteasomal degradation to support NF-kappaB

activation and HTLV-1 replication. J Virol 87:13640-54.

- 40. Yao J, Grant C, Harhaj E, Nonnemacher M, Alefantis T, Martin J, Jain P, Wigdahl B.
- 2006. Regulation of human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 gene expression by Sp1 and

Sp3 interaction with TRE-1 repeat III. DNA Cell Biol 25:262-76.

- 41. Bhat NK, Adachi Y, Samuel KP, Derse D. 1993. HTLV-1 gene expression by
- defective proviruses in an infected T-cell line. Virology 196:15-24.
- 42. Martin F, Tagaya Y, Gallo R. 2018. Time to eradicate HTLV-1: an open letter to WHO. Lancet 391:1893-1894.
- 43. Tagaya Y, Gallo RC. 2017. The Exceptional Oncogenicity of HTLV-1. Front Microbiol 8:1425.
- 44. Dassouki Z, Sahin U, El Hajj H, Jollivet F, Kfoury Y, Lallemand-Breitenbach V,
- Hermine O, de The H, Bazarbachi A. 2015. ATL response to arsenic/interferon
- therapy is triggered by SUMO/PML/RNF4-dependent Tax degradation. Blood
- 125:474-82.

- 45. Hahn S. 2004. Structure and mechanism of the RNA polymerase II transcription machinery. Nat Struct Mol Biol 11:394-403.
- 46. Kouzine F, Wojtowicz D, Yamane A, Resch W, Kieffer-Kwon KR, Bandle R, Nelson
- S, Nakahashi H, Awasthi P, Feigenbaum L, Menoni H, Hoeijmakers J, Vermeulen W,
- Ge H, Przytycka TM, Levens D, Casellas R. 2013. Global regulation of promoter
- melting in naive lymphocytes. Cell 153:988-99.
- 47. Rice AP. 2017. The HIV-1 Tat Protein: Mechanism of Action and Target for HIV-1 Cure Strategies. Curr Pharm Des 23:4098-4102.
- 48. Nasr R, Chiari E, El-Sabban M, Mahieux R, Kfoury Y, Abdulhay M, Yazbeck V,
- Hermine O, de The H, Pique C, Bazarbachi A. 2006. Tax ubiquitylation and
- sumoylation control critical cytoplasmic and nuclear steps of NF-kappaB activation.

Blood 107:4021-9.

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 3

A. B.

