

# Better off alone? New insights in the symbiotic relationship between the flatworm Symsagittifera roscoffensis and the microalgae Tetraselmis convolutae

Thibault Androuin, Christophe Six, François Bordeyne, Florian de Bettignies,

Fanny Noisette, Dominique Davoult

## ▶ To cite this version:

Thibault Androuin, Christophe Six, François Bordeyne, Florian de Bettignies, Fanny Noisette, et al.. Better off alone? New insights in the symbiotic relationship between the flatworm Symsagittifera roscoffensis and the microalgae Tetraselmis convolutae. Symbiosis, 2020, 81, p.161-171. 10.1007/s13199-020-00691-y. hal-03019696

# HAL Id: hal-03019696 https://hal.science/hal-03019696

Submitted on 25 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Better off alone? New insights in the symbiotic relationship between the			
2	flatworm Symsagittifera roscoffensis and the microalgae Tetraselmis			
3	convolutae			
4				
5				
6	Thibault Androuin <sup>1,2</sup> , Christophe Six <sup>2</sup> , François Bordeyne <sup>2</sup> , Florian de Bettignies <sup>2</sup> , Fanny Noisette <sup>1</sup> ,			
7	Dominique Davoult <sup>2</sup> .			
8				
9	<sup>1</sup> Institut des Sciences de la Mer, Université du Québec à Rimouski, 310 Allée des Ursulines,			
10 11	Rimouski, Québec, G5L3A1, Canada			
12	<sup>2</sup> Sorbonne Université, Centre National pour le Recherche Scientifique, Station Biologique de Roscoff,			
13	UMR SU-CNRS 7144, 29680 Roscoff, France.			
14				
15	Corresponding author: Thibault Androuin, thibault.androuin01@gmail.com			
16	<b>ORCID</b> : 0000-0001-9310-026X			
17				
18	Short title: Photobiology of Symsagittifera roscoffensis and Tetraselmis convolutae			
19				
20	Keywords: symbiosis, animal-plant, photobiology, Symsagittifera roscoffensis, Tetraselmis			
21	convolutae			
22				

### 23 Abstract

24 The acoel flatworm Symsagittifera roscoffensis lives in obligatory symbiosis with the microalgal 25 chlorophyte *Tetraselmis convolutae*. Although this interaction has been studied for more than a century, little is known on the potential reciprocal benefits of both partners, a subject that is still controversial. 26 27 In order to provide new insights into this question, we have compared the photophysiology of the freeliving microalgae to the symbiotic form in the flatworm, both acclimated at different light irradiances. 28 Photosynthesis – Irradiance curves showed that the free-living T. convolutae had greater photosynthetic 29 performance (i.e., oxygen production rates, ability to harvest light) than their symbiotic form, regardless 30 31 of the light acclimation. However, they were affected by photoinhibition under high irradiances, which 32 did not happen for the symbiotic form. The resistance of symbiotic microalgae to photoinhibition were 33 corroborated by pigment analyses, which evidenced the induction of photoprotective mechanisms such 34 as xanthophyll cycle as well as lutein and  $\beta$ -carotene accumulation. These processes were induced even under low light acclimation and exacerbated upon high light acclimation, suggesting a global stress 35 situation for the symbiotic microalgae. We hypothesize that the internal conditions in the sub-epidermal 36 37 zone of the flatworm (e.g., osmotic and pH), as well as the phototaxis toward high light imposed by the worm in its environment, would be major reasons for this chronic stress situation. Overall, our study 38 39 suggests that the relationship between S. roscoffensis and T. convolutae may be a farming strategy in favor of the flatworm rather than a symbiosis with mutual benefits. 40

- 41
- 42

#### 43 **1. Introduction**

44 Symbiosis is an interspecific interaction where two or more organisms live in close association, 45 often supported by a high level of integration of the physiology of both partners (Muscatine 1980). Depending on the benefit reciprocity, symbiosis range along a continuum from parasitism, where one 46 partner benefits at the expense of the other(s), to mutualism, where all partners benefit (Tipton et al. 47 48 2019). Symbiosis with photosynthetic microalgae occurs in many groups of marine invertebrates such as Porifera, Cnidaria, Mollusca or Chordata (Venn et al. 2008; Rumpho et al. 2011; Melo Clavijo et al. 49 2018). Among the most studied animal-algal relationships are the reef-building corals, hosting 50 51 photosynthetic dinoflagellates in their polyps. More discreet and less known, the flatworm Symsagittifera roscoffensis (Graff 1891) establishes an obligatory symbiosis with the green alga 52 53 Tetraselmis convolutae (Parke and Manton 1967; Arora 2016; Arboleda et al. 2018). Their interaction 54 is set up at each generation by worm juveniles ingesting microalgae which they will keep for the lifetime 55 of the animal (Keebles 1910). The adult stage does not ingest any organic food and lives autotrophically in the light, in phosphate and nitrate-rich environments, thus depending entirely on the microalgal 56 57 photosynthetic products (e.g., fatty acid, amino acid, organic acid) (Taylor 1974; Boyle and Smith 1975; 58 Meyer et al. 1979). During the vacuolization process, microalgae lose their cell wall, flagella and evespot 59 and stay under the epidermis of the worm (Douglas, 1983a). Benefitting the symbiosis, T. convolutae 60 uses the uric acid produced by the worm as an endogenous nitrogen source (Boyle & Smith, 1975; 61 Douglas, 1983b). This association is not only biologically remarkable but also ecologically relevant, as 62 the flatworm can form large populations on sandy shores, easily noticeable as dark green patches (Keebles 1910; Doonan and Gooday 1982). The exploitation of this ecological niche would generate an 63 annual primary production rates of 872.9 g C m<sup>-2</sup> (Doonan and Gooday 1982), a value similar to those 64 measured on coral reefs (Muscatine, 1990). Furthermore, the ability of the symbiotic algae to actively 65 uptake nitrates makes S. roscoffensis a possible important in situ nitrate interceptor in the sediment at 66 67 local scales (Carvalho et al. 2013).

In many animal-algal associations (i.e., symbiosis, keptoplasty), the fact that the photosynthetic 68 69 activity of the algal partner is often increased compared to the free-living form, has led to the 'hostmediated enhanced photosynthetic performance' hypothesis (Johnson et al. 2006; Johnson 2011; 70 71 Serôdio et al. 2014; Decelle et al. 2019). By providing a suitable environment regarding light and 72 nutrient availability, the host may increase the ability of the algae to maximize photosynthetic carbon 73 fixation. In the case of S. roscoffensis and T. convolutae, the flatworm can also adjust its position along 74 the vertical light gradient of the sediment photic zone, therefore regulating light acclimation of its symbiont (Serôdio et al. 2011; Worley et al. 2019). It has also been suggested that the typical circular 75 76 milling of the flatworm, which allow the formation of dense and stable biofilm, may promote the 77 photosynthesis of the microalgae (Sendova-Franks et al. 2018). In their study, Serôdio et al. (2011) 78 suggested that non photochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence (i.e., dissipation of excess 79 energy by heat), a mechanism involved in photoprotection, could also play a role in the regulation of light utilization by the symbiotic algae. This ability to maximize the photobiology of the symbiont might 80 81 constitute a real advantage for T. convolutae, in comparison to other free-living forms of non-motile 82 microalgae in intertidal areas. On the other hand, the study of Nissen et al. (2015) demonstrated that the 83 host's reaction to light stimuli was not adjusted to the symbionts' needs, which questions its behavioral 84 capacity of photosynthetic regulation (Serôdio et al. 2011). Considering the lack of evidence for a true 85 mutualistic relationship (sensus Mushegian and Ebert 2015) between S. roscoffensis-T. convolutae (Selosse 2000; Kiers and West 2016), and the somewhat contradictory results about the behavioral 86 photosynthetic regulation of the flatworm (Serôdio et al. 2011; Nissen et al. 2015), more experiment are 87 88 needed to addressed the benefit of the symbiosis for the algae and a potential exploitative host control 89 by the flatworm.

In this context, the present study assessed the effect of the symbiosis on the photobiology of the microalga *Tetraselmis convolutae* by comparing the free-living and the symbiotic forms of the alga, acclimated to different light irradiance levels. To do so, we performed oxygen production measurement and pigment analyses in order to understand whether the photosynthesis is increased and/or regulated differently in the host compared to the free-living form.

95

### 96 2. Material and methods

#### 97 2.1. Biological material and culture conditions

A strain of the green microalga Tetraselmis convolutae was isolated from one individual of the 98 99 acoel flatworm Symsagittifera roscoffensis collected on a sandy shore of the French coast of the English Channel (48°44'N, 3°59'W, Roscoff, Brittany, France) on December 7th, 2007. A T. convolutae culture 100 101 was then obtained by micropipette isolation of a single cell released from the specimen. The resulting monoclonal culture was maintained in filter-sterilized seawater supplemented with modified K/2 102 103 medium (-Tris, -Si; Keller et al., 1975) at 17°C under a light irradiance of 70-80 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> 104 in a 12:12 light: dark cycle. The culture was deposited in the Roscoff Culture Collection (http://roscoff-105 culture-collection.org/) as RCC1563.

106 For the experiment, T. convolutae was grown in pyrex erlenmeyer flasks in autoclaved seawater supplemented with 1% Provasoli solution (Provasoli et al. 1968), in a thermostat-water bath at 19-20°C. 107 The cultures were acclimated during 8 days to low (30  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and high (350  $\mu$ mol 108 photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) white light irradiance upon a 12:12 light: dark cycle, provided by an iodine metal halide 109 light source (400 W, Sylvania, Wilmington, USA). The acclimation time was chosen since it is assumed 110 that light acclimation requires one generation time, which varies between 2 hours and 6 days depending 111 on species and physiology (Reynolds 1984; Grobbelaar et al. 1992; MacIntyre et al. 2002). The 112 irradiance levels were adjusted using a quantameter (Quantum LI-190SA, LiCor, Lincoln, USA) and 113 114 the distance from the light source. The algal cultures were maintained in exponential growth phase by

diluting the cultures with fresh medium every two days and monitoring the optical density at 665 nm with a spectrophotometer (Jenway 6305, JENWAY, Staffordshire, UK). *S. roscoffensis* were collected on a sandy beach in Roscoff in July 2015 (48°44'N, 3°59'W, Brittany, France) and maintained in the same conditions as described above for the cultures of the free-living microalgae.

- 119
- 120

#### 2.2. Oxygen production measurements

121 We used a custom-made light chamber to perform oxygen measurements under different light intensities in order to obtain Photosynthesis-Irradiance (P-I) curves. Briefly, this system consisted in a 122 123 marine water-bath in which the water was maintained at a constant temperature (19-20°C) with 124 continuously running water. The same white light source as described above was placed above the 125 device and a set of 5 neutral density filters (LEE Filters, Andover, UK) placed between the light source and the aquarium, enabling us to set 8 different irradiance levels (from 0 to  $\approx 1200 \,\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-</sup> 126 <sup>1</sup>). Cultures of *Tetraselmis convolutae* in their growth exponential phase and flatworms (40-50 127 individuals), along with two controls containing autoclaved seawater, were placed in 2 mL vials (3 and 128 4 replicates, respectively) and incubated at the different light irradiances. Preliminary experiments 129 130 allowed the determination of the proper incubation time (30-40 minutes depending on light irradiance) 131 to ensure linear oxygen production/consumption and to avoid Warburg effect (Turner and Brittain 1962).

Oxygen production/consumption rate, translated as net primary production (in the light) and respiration rates (in the dark), were calculated by measuring the dissolved oxygen molar concentration (then converted in mass concentration) at the beginning and the end of the incubation period with a noninvasive optical fiber system (FIBOX 3, PreSens, Regensburg, Germany). The system was calibrated prior to the experiment using sodium dithionite (0 %) and air bubbling (100 %). Net oxygen production, respiration and gross oxygen production rates were corrected from controls and calculated as:

138

139 
$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{\Delta O2 \times V}{\Delta t \times \operatorname{chl} a} \qquad \mathbf{NP} = \frac{\Delta O2 \times V}{\Delta t \times \operatorname{chl} a} \qquad \mathbf{GP} = \mathbf{NP} - \mathbf{R}$$

140

where NP is the net oxygen production rate ( $\mu g O_2 h^{-1} \mu g chl a^{-1}$ ), R the respiration rate ( $\mu g O_2 h^{-1} \mu g$ chl  $a^{-1}$ ), GP the gross oxygen production rate ( $\mu g O_2 h^{-1} \mu g chl a^{-1}$ ),  $\Delta O_2$  the difference between initial and final O<sub>2</sub> concentrations ( $\mu g O_2$ ), V the volume of the chamber (mL),  $\Delta t$  the incubation time (h), and chl *a* the chl *a* mass ( $\mu g$ ).

At the end of the experiment, the cultures of *T. convolutae* free-living cells were centrifuged at 4 500  $\times$  g and the supernatant was discarded. For the symbiotic forms, the medium was carefully removed with a micropipette. All samples were then stored at -20°C until further analysis. Pigments were fully extracted in the dark at 4°C, during 6 h in 100 % methanol for the free-living cells and 2 h in 100 % ethanol for the symbiotic forms. Absorbance measurements were made using a spectrophotometer, and chl *a* was quantified using the Ritchie equations (Ritchie 2008).

#### 152 2.3. HPLC pigment analysis

A volume of 3 mL of exponentially growing culture of *T. convolutae* was centrifuged at 4 500  $\times g$  and a total of 60 *Symsagittifera* flatworms by sample were isolated in glass tubes. The cell pellets or the flatworms were resuspended in 100 % acetone for an hour at -20 °C and sonicated during 5 rounds of 10 sec. The extracts were then centrifuged at 20 000  $\times$  g, the supernatants collected and centrifuged again to ensure total removal of particles and cell debris. All sample preparations were carried out under subdued light at 4°C.

159 The extracts were brought to 25 % Milli-Q water to avoid peak distortion (Zapata and Garrido 1991) and a volume of 100  $\mu$ L of the pigment extract was immediately injected into a High Pressure 160 Liquid Chromatography system (Hewlett-Packard HPLC 1100 Series, AGILENT, Santa Clara, USA), 161 162 equipped with a quaternary pump and diode array detector. Pigment separations were performed using a Waters Symmetry C<sub>8</sub> column ( $150 \times 3 \times 4.6$  mm,  $3.5 \mu$ m particle size) for 38 min at a flow rate of 1 163 164 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, according to procedures previously published (Garrido et al. 2009; Lopes dos Santos et al. 2016). The modified protocol of Garrido et al., (2009) was used in order to allow the separation of 165 166 neoxanthin and loroxanthin derivatives, with the solutions A = methanol:acetonitrile:aqueous pyridine 167 solution in the proportions 50:40:10 ( $\sqrt{v}/v$ ), and B = acetonitrile:acetone (80:20  $\sqrt{v}/v$ ), following the 168 gradient (min, %B): 0 min, 0 %; 22 min, 40 %; 28 min, 95 %; 38 min, 95 %. In order to separate the zeaxanthin from the lutein peak, the classical procedure of Zapata et al., (2000) was also applied for 169 each sample, with the mobile phases A = methanol: acetonitrile: aqueous pyridine solution (0.025 M) in170 171 the proportions 50:25:25 ( $\sqrt{v}/v$ ), and B = acetonitrile:acetone (80:20 v/v), following the same solvent 172 gradient.

173 Chlorophylls and carotenoids were detected by their absorbance at 440 nm and identified by 174 diode array spectroscopy. Pigments were identified and, when possible, the relative quantities were 175 estimated using standards derived from macroalgae and phytoplankton cultures by preparative HPLC 176 (Repeta and Bjørland 1997), using previously compiled extinction coefficients (Roy et al. 2011). The 177 deepoxydation state (DES) of the xanthophyll cycle was calculated for each sample as:

178 179

180

#### 181 **2.4. Statistical analyses**

182 Oxygen production measurements were normalized to chl *a* and plotted versus irradiance. The 183 gross oxygen production was preferred to the net oxygen production to take into account the respiration 184 of the flatworm and be able to compare the rates between free-living and symbiotic microalgae forms. 185 Non-linear regression models of photosynthesis considering photoinhibition were fitted to each P-I 186 curve (Platt et al. 1980). Classical photosynthetic parameters were then calculated from the model, 187 including  $P_{MAX}$  (maximal oxygen production rate),  $\alpha$  (initial slope of the curve),  $I_K$  (light intensity at the

onset of light saturation) and  $\beta$  (photoinhibition parameter). P<sub>MAX</sub> was interpreted as the maximum, 188 189 potential, light-saturated, oxygen production rate under light condition without photoinhibition (Platt et 190 al. 1980).

191 Two-way factorial analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used to assess differences in model 192 parameters between T. convolutae forms (two levels: free-living and symbiotic) and light condition (two 193 levels: low and high light acclimation). When significant, *post hoc* multiple comparisons were carried 194 out using Tukey HSD. Normality and homocedasticity of the residuals were graphically assessed. When 195 those conditions were not fulfilled, simple factor effect was verified using the non-parametric Wilcoxon 196 test based on ranks. Changes in pigment concentrations were not statistically assessed because only 197 duplicates for free-living cells were available. Statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.3.0 (R 198 Core Team 2016).

199

#### **3. Results** 200

#### 201 **3.1.** Oxygen production measurements

202 P-I curves showed clear different shapes between the free-living and the symbiotic forms of 203 Tetraselmis convolutae, for both light irradiance acclimation (Figure 1a & b). No significant interaction 204 was found between the factors "Living-form" and "Light acclimation" (Table 2).



206

207 Fig. 1 Photosynthesis-irradiance (P-I) curve of *Tetraselmis convolutae* in the free-living (green) and symbiotic (grey) forms acclimated under low (a; 30  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and high (b; 350  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) irradiance. 208 209

210 Overall, the free-living cells exhibited significantly higher values than symbiotic cells for all the photosynthetic parameters calculated: oxygen production rate (PMAX was 3-8 fold higher in free-living 211 cells than symbiotic ones) while the initial slope of the curve ( $\alpha$ ), and the light intensity at the onset of 212 213 light saturation ( $I_K$ ) were in average 2-fold greater in free living cells. Conversely the free-living cells 214 showed strong photoinhibition, as evidenced by the significant higher values of photoinhibition index  $(\beta: 8-17 \text{ fold})$  (Table 1 & 2). Indeed, the oxygen production rate of the free living cells cultures strongly 215

decreased from about 100 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> down to values lower than those of the symbiotic algae.
No significant change in respiration rate were detected.

**Table 1** Mean ( $\pm$  SD) of maximal oxygen production rate ( $P_{MAX}$ ), initial slope ( $\alpha$ ), saturation irradiance ( $I_K$ ), photoinhibition index ( $\beta$ ) and respiration rate (R), in the free-living and symbiotic forms of *Tetraselmis convolutae*, acclimated to low and high light.  $P_{MAX}$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $I_K$  and  $\beta$  were retrieved from the model of Platt *et al.*, (1980) whereas R was experimentally measured.

223

		Low light		High light	
		Free living cells (n=3)	Symbiotic cells (n=4)	Free living cells (n=3)	Symbiotic cells (n=4)
	$P_{MAX}$ (µmol $O_2 h^{-1} µg chla^{-1}$ )	$36.1\pm3.3$	$12.4\pm1.4$	$30.9\pm3.9$	$4.0\pm0.3$
	$\alpha (\mu mol  O_2 h^{-1} \mu g  chla^{-1}  \mu mol  photons  m^{-2}  s^{-1})$	$0.31\pm0.01$	$0.22\pm0.06$	$0.33\pm0.1$	$0.15\pm0.03$
	$I_K (\mu mol photons m^{-2} s^{-1})$	$117.1\pm5.3$	$59.5 \pm 19.8$	$99.3\pm24.2$	$27.9\pm7.9$
	$\beta \ (\mu mol \ O_2 h^{-1} \mu g \ chla^{-1} \ \mu mol \ photons \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1})$	$0.17\pm0.05$	$0.01\pm0$	$0.08\pm0.04$	$0\pm 0$
224	R ( $\mu$ mol O <sub>2</sub> h <sup>-1</sup> $\mu$ g chla <sup>-1</sup> )	$\textbf{-0.7} \pm 0.4$	$-1.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 1.1$	$-0.2 \pm 0.2$

225

In terms of light acclimated, only the  $I_K$  parameter and the respiration varied significantly, regardless of the living form (Table 2). Mean  $I_K$  was 84.2 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for low light acclimated algae compared to 58.5 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for high light acclimated ones. Respiration was 14-fold higher in low light acclimated cell than high-light acclimated ones (-0.96 and -0.07 µg O<sub>2</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> µg chl *a*<sup>-1</sup> respectively).

**Table 2** Factorial two-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey HSD post hoc test showing the effect of light condition (low *vs.* high light acclimation) and living form (free-living *vs.* symbiotic cells) of the microalgae *Tetraselmis convolutae* on the initial slope ( $\alpha$ ), the saturation irradiance (I<sub>K</sub>) and the respiration rate (R). The effect on the maximal oxygen production rate (P<sub>MAX</sub>), and the photoinhibition index ( $\beta$ ) was assessed by two distinct Wilcoxon tests. Bold numbers indicate significant level < 0.05. LL: low light, HL: high light, FL: free-living, S: symbiotic. 236



237 238

#### 239 **3.2. HPLC pigment analysis**

The pigments of the free-living form of *T. convolutae*, *i.e.* in culture, were difficult to extract, even though we carried out a strong extraction procedure. It displayed a particularly complex pigmentation with the major pigments being typical of Chlorophyta microalgae (similar to the so-called PRASINO-2A group; Roy *et al.*, 2011), notably including chlorophyll *b*, lutein, violaxanthin, *cis*neoxanthin and loroxanthin (Figure 2a & b, Table 3, Table S1). *T. convolutae* contain several acylloroxanthin compounds, with the two major ones probably being loroxanthin-decenoate and loroxanthin-dodeceonate as previously reported in several other *Tetraselmis* species (Garrido et al. 247 2009) and in *Pyramimonas parkae* (Kohata and Watanabe 1989). We also detected a high number of 248 more or less minor pigments. Using the modified method of Garrido et al. (2009), one of them eluted 249 early and showed absorbance properties identical to *trans*-neoxanthin (peak 1 in Table S1). Two species 250 of carotene were detected in the cell extracts,  $\beta$ , $\beta$ - and  $\beta$ , $\varepsilon$ -carotene but it is probable that other forms 251 co-eluted with them and/or with phaeophytin *a*.



252

253 Fig. 2 Chromatographic analysis of Tetraselmis convolutae pigmentation (Garrido et al. 2009) as free-living cells 254 acclimated to low (a) and high (b) light, and as a symbiont of Symsagittifera roscoffensis acclimated to low (c) and 255 high (d) light. The retention times and wavelength maxima are mentioned in the supplementary material (Table 256 S1). 1: trans-neoxanthin like; 2: loroxanthin; 3: cis-neoxanthin; 4: violaxanthin; 5: neochrome like; 6: Auroxanthin 257 like; 7: Antheraxanthin; 8: cis-neoxanthin like; 9: Auroxanthin like; 10: Dihydrolutein like; 11: Lutein (+ 258 zeaxanthin); 12: unidentified; 13: unidentified; 14: unidentified; 15: Loroxanthin decenoate; 16: Acyl Loroxanthin 259 like; 17: Unidentified; 18: Unidentified; 19: Loroxanthin dodeceonate; 20: Unidentified; 21: Unidentified; 22: 260 Chlorophyll b; 23: Chlorophyll b derivative; 24: Chlorophyll a derivatives; 25: Chlorophyll a; 26: Chlorophyll a 261 derivative; 27:  $\beta_{,\varepsilon}$ -carotene; 28: Phaeophytin *a*; 29:  $\beta_{,\beta}$ -carotene.

In contrast to the cells in culture, we had no difficulty to achieve complete extraction of the pigments for the symbiotic forms. The pigmentation was globally similar to the one of the free-living forms (Figure 2c & d, Table 3). However, a higher proportion of the minor pigments neochrome and auroxanthin was measured in *hospite* microalgae, whereas these pigments were hardly detectable in the free-living cells. In addition, two other pigments showing wavelength maxima quasi identical to *cis*neoxanthin and dihydrolutein eluted at about 4.5 and 7.5 min, respectively, only in the symbiotic form (Figure 2c & d).

270

**Table 3** Mean ( $\pm$  SD) of the major pigments relatively to chlorophyll *a* (mg/g) in the free-living and symbiotic

forms of *Tetraselmis convolutae*, acclimated to low and high light. We were not able to quantify all the detected

pigments because many of them were in too low amounts to allow purification for calibration of the HPLC system.

	Low light		High light	
	Free living cells (n=2)	Symbiotic cells (n=3)	Free living cells (n=2)	Symbiotic cells (n=3)
Loroxanthin	$9.2\pm0.8$	$4.2\pm0.6$	$2.7\pm2.7$	$2.9 \pm 1.3$
cis-neoxanthin	$4.8 \pm 2.2$	$5.1 \pm 2.7$	$3.3 \pm 3.3$	$15.4 \pm 2.8$
Chlorophyll b	$479.5 \pm 18.7$	$499.3\pm67.2$	$549.4\pm32.6$	$628.5\pm32.7$
Loroxanthin decenoate	$6.9\pm0.1$	$7.4 \pm 1.4$	$11.1\pm1.0$	$16.2\pm0.6$
Loroxanthin dodeceonate	$7.8\pm0.2$	$9.3 \pm 1.2$	$11.6\pm1.1$	$18.4\pm0.8$
Lutein	$29.5\pm2.1$	$33.4\pm4.2$	$36.6\pm2.1$	$91.0\pm8.1$
β,β-carotene	$7.6 \pm 1.0$	$12.9\pm2.8$	$3.1 \pm 1.0$	$43.9\pm4.6$
Violaxanthin	$25.5\pm1.3$	$9.5\pm0.1$	$15.7\pm3.7$	7.3 ±1.1
Antheraxanthin	0.3 ±0.1	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$5.3 \pm 1.8$	$2.7 \pm 0.2$
Zeaxanthin	$0.2 \pm 0.3$	$4.1 \pm 1.6$	$2.5\pm0.3$	$11.4 \pm 1.7$



277 Acclimation to high light levels induced similar changes in the pigmentation for both free-living 278 and symbiotic forms of T. convolutae (Table 3). Several pigments decreased relatively to chlorophyll a 279 in response to high light, including *trans*-neoxanthin, loroxanthin, *cis*-neoxanthin (only in the free-living 280 cells), while the chlorophyll *b*:*a* ratio remained fairly stable in all conditions. By contrast, the two major acyl-loroxanthin compounds increased in response to high light acclimation. Photoprotective 281 mechanisms such as the xanthophyll cycle were clearly activated (Demmig-Adams and Adams 1996; 282 Jahns and Holzwarth 2012), as shown by the successive deepoxydation of violaxanthin into 283 antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin in both the free-living and symbiotic forms of *T. convolutae* (Figure 3). 284 285 This process was accompanied by a significant lutein synthesis (Table 3).

286



Fig. 3 Deepoxydation state of the xanthophyll cycle in the free-living (green) and symbiotic (grey) forms of
 *Tetraselmis convolutae*, acclimated to low and high light (mean ± SD).

Interestingly, there were clear differences in the amplitude of these acclimation processes between the free-living and the symbiotic cells. Under low light, the symbiotic *T. convolutae* showed much higher levels of the photoprotective pigments lutein, zeaxanthin and  $\beta$ -carotene. These marked differences were exacerbated under high light acclimation, upon which the symbiotic cells developed high xanthophyll deepoxydation state and a pronounced induction of *cis*-neoxanthin, lutein along with a strong  $\beta$ -carotene accumulation.

297

### 298 **4. Discussion**

The symbiosis between the flatworm S. roscoffensis and the chlorophyte T. convolutae is a 299 300 remarkable and rare model system that allows studying the level of reciprocity of such interactions 301 (Serôdio et al. 2011; Dupont et al. 2012; Bailly et al. 2014; Nissen et al. 2015; Arboleda et al. 2018). Defining the status of this symbiosis has remained nevertheless challenging. In particular, a number of 302 questions have been recently raised about egalitarian partnerships in nature, with some authors arguing 303 304 that there is still a lack of evidence for a mutualistic relationship for the S. roscoffensis-T. convolutae 305 couple, especially for the algae, and that the relationship might be considered as a form of imprisonment 306 of the symbiotic partner (Selosse 2000; Kiers and West 2016). In order to give new insights into these 307 questions, we studied the influence of the symbiotic lifestyle on the algal photobiology.

308

309

#### 4.1 The microalgal lifestyle is associated with distinct net oxygen production

The oxygen production curves measured for both algal forms acclimated to two light irradiance 310 levels, unambiguously showed oxygen production was higher in algal free-living form compared to the 311 312 symbiotic one, at most of the tested irradiances. The only published data on the oxygen production rate 313 of *T. convolutae* (Nozawa et al. 1972), using comparable light acclimation (i.e., 73  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>) and under similar irradiance levels (i.e., 100-200 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), indicated 3-4 fold lower values 314 315 than in our study, for both algal living-forms. Since both light during growing and measurement period were overall comparable (estimated by converting the published data, in foot-candle to units of 316 317 irradiance), the difference could be attributed to the measurement technique (polarographic electrode vs. 318 optical fiber). Interestingly, the difference between the two living forms was almost identical. The maximal oxygen production rate modelled (i.e., P<sub>MAX</sub>) in free-living cells, which occurred between 100 319 320 and 200 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, was 3 and 8 fold higher at low light and high light, respectively, than in 321 the symbiotic forms, while Nozawa et al. (1972) found a factors of 3 between the two living forms at 322 low light acclimation. To explained this difference, authors proposed that the lower net oxygen 323 production rate observed for the symbiotic form might be due to the host respiration, an increased 324 symbiont photo-respiration, shading effect thanks to the arrangement of the algae within the host, and/or 325 a reduced or host-regulated photosynthetic rate.

Although it is quite possible that the flatworm respired some of the oxygen produced by the alga, it is unlikely that the large differences in oxygen production we observed originated only in the

- worm respiration, especially considering the small differences in the calculated respiration rates. Our data suggest that, although it was not statistically significant, the symbiotic system displays slightly higher respiration rates than the free-living algae alone because of the flatworm respiration, and that the microalgae respired at similar rate in any condition and lifestyle. In addition, the P-I curves of the freeliving and symbiotic alga showed different shapes that are typical of differences in photosynthesis regulation (see below), which would thus be induced by the alga itself.
- Our results thus suggest a different perspective to the idea that algal photosynthetic activity would be enhanced in the host (Johnson 2011; Serôdio et al. 2014; Decelle et al. 2019), as the symbiosis would leads here to a decrease of the global photosystem II activity of the microalgal symbiont.
- 337

#### **338 4.2 Different photoacclimation capacities in different lifestyles**

339 The different shapes of the oxygen production rate vs. irradiance curves strongly suggest that the algae regulate the photosynthesis process differently depending on the acclimation irradiance and its 340 341 lifestyle. The symbiotic microalgae showed lower  $\alpha$  and I<sub>K</sub> values than the free-living ones, indicating 342 lower efficiency to harvest light and a saturation at lower irradiance level, respectively (Platt et al. 1980; 343 MacIntyre et al. 2002). This is often associated with a reduced functional photosynthetic antenna which, 344 in green algae, is composed of the so-called light harvesting complex proteins. Even though the chl b:a 345 ratio did not change, our results thus suggest a downregulation of at least some of these proteins in the alga in the symbiotic form. 346

347 In addition, the symbiotic microalgae were much less sensitive to high light irradiances than the freeliving ones, as indicated by the photoinhibition phenomenon. This photoinhibition suggests a strong 348 photosystem II photoinactivation at irradiances higher than 200 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in the free-living 349 350 cells. These differences between the two lifestyles were exacerbated upon high light acclimation. In particular, when acclimated to 350 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, the symbiotic algae were able to maintain a 351 352 constant photosystem II oxygen evolving up to the highest irradiance tested (i.e.  $\approx 1200 \,\mu$ mol photons  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ). These observations suggest that, in contrast to the free-living form, the symbiotic *T. convolutae* 353 may chronically induce photoprotection mechanisms that prevent both photoinhibition and 354 355 photodamages.

Pigment analyses showed clear differences between the two light irradiance acclimation states in both lifestyles and are globally in agreement with a previous study on *Tetraselmis* photoacclimation (Garrido et al. 2009). The reduction of some of the pigments in response to high light in both algal forms may be related to a reduction of the functional size of the photosynthetic antenna (Melis et al. 1999).

The most obvious changes concerned the photoprotection mechanisms. High light acclimation resulted in an increase of the photoprotective xanthophyll cycle activity (Demmig-Adams and Adams light), indicating an activation of the violaxanthin deepoxydase enzyme in the symbiont plastids. In addition, lutein accumulation was observed in response to high light pre-exposure in both lifestyles. Although the mechanism has not been yet extensively described, this pigment has been shown to be

induced in response to high light in a number of planktonic chlorophytes (see e.g. Six et al., 2008; 365 366 Garrido et al., 2009; Lopes dos Santos et al., 2016) and is thought to be involved in photosystem 367 photoprotection (Niyogi et al. 1997; Horton and Ruban 2005; Jahns and Holzwarth 2012). Noteworthy, 368 these photoprotective mechanisms were activated much more intensively in the symbiotic lifestyle than 369 in the free-living microalgae. Indeed, the deepoxydation state of the xanthophyll cycle of the symbiotic algae acclimated to 30  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was twofold higher than the one of free-living algae grown 370 under 350 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, the lutein accumulation was particularly pronounced in the 371 372 symbiotic microalgae acclimated to high light. These photoprotection mechanisms were likely 373 associated with non-photochemical quenching of fluorescence, although we did not carry out pulse-374 amplitude modulation (PAM) fluorimetry measurements. At last, the symbiotic microalgae accumulated 375 large amounts of  $\beta$ -carotene. This is a well-known phenomenon in chlorophytes, which triggers this 376 photoprotective and antioxidant mechanism in response to various types of stresses (Ahmed et al. 2015). Our results thus suggest that the symbiotic lifestyle is associated with a stress signal in the alga. The 377 fact that such protective mechanisms are induced even under low light suggests that they can be 378 379 considered as a global stress, which is not necessarily directly linked to light. It is indeed well known 380 that photoprotection can be related and induced by other environmental factors than light, for instance in temperature and osmotic stress situations, with the aim of decreasing the intraplastidial production of 381

reactive oxygen species originating in photosystem II activity (Foyer and Shigeoka 2011). Considering that free-living cells of *T. convolutae* strain RCC1563 were grown since 2007 at a controlled temperature of 17°C and that symbiotic cells naturally experienced temperature ranging from 16-22°C at the moment of the collection (Doonan and Gooday 1982), the temperature of 20°C in our experiment is not considered as stressful.

387

#### **4.3** The flatworm as a stressful environment for the symbiotic alga

389 As recently observed in planktonic symbiotic Acantharians, the algal symbiont may undergo profound ultrastructural and physiological changes upon inclusion in the host (Decelle et al. 2019). It 390 391 has been shown that symbiotic *Tetraselmis* have a different cell structure compare to the free-living cells 392 (Oschman 1966; Provasoli et al. 1968). In particular, the inclusion in the flatworm is associated with the 393 loss of a part of the cell envelope, leading to an intimate contact between the algal plasma membrane and the flatworm internal medium (Douglas 1983b). The large differences in the pigment extraction 394 395 rates we observed are probably related to the presence of the cell envelope, since the free-living cells 396 were much more difficult to extract. The internal medium of the flatworm might thus constitute a 397 stressful environment for T. convolutae. As already suggested for kleptoplasts in sea slugs (Jesus et al. 398 2010), the direct contact between the symbiotic algae (then devoid of cell envelope) and the flatworm 399 intern media, which is very different from the seawater, can dramatically change the acido-basic balance 400 of the algal cells. Such drastic medium modification might be considered as a chronic osmotic stress 401 that might activate protective mechanisms in the alga such as, for example, the violaxanthin

deepoxydase gene and those involved in the lutein synthesis. The accumulation of  $\beta$ -carotene is also 402 403 very well-known to occur in osmotic stress situations, notably in Dunaliella salina (Oren 2005). 404 Interestingly, we detected minor amounts of the pigments neochrome and auroxanthin only in the worm 405 samples, whereas these pigments were hardly detectable in the free-living cells. In prasinophytes, these 406 two pigments are often derived from the acidic catalyse of *cis*-neoxanthin and violaxanthin, respectively 407 (Haugan and Liaaen-Jensen 1994). Even though these two carotenoids might have been synthetized 408 during the pigment extraction, their formation support the idea that the flatworm constitutes a very acidic 409 medium for the marine microalga. In addition, it is worth noting that a previous study also suggested 410 that the flatworm may be a stressful environment for the alga. Indeed, Van Bergeijk and Stal (2001) 411 showed that *in hospite* algae contain a 6-fold higher concentration of the algal molecule DMSP than in the free-living cells. The induction of this protectant molecule suggests a stress situation for the algae in 412 413 the flatworm (Dickson and Kirst 1986; Sunda et al. 2002; Archer et al. 2010).

414

#### 415 **4.4 From a planktonic to a benthic light niche**

Our results show that, in response to the inclusion in the flatworm, the algae induce permanent light-dissipating photoprotection mechanisms that are typical of a pronounced stress situation. The consequence is that the alga in its symbiotic lifestyle is quite resistant to light stress and is able to maintain photosystem II activity up to high irradiances in spite of a lower photosynthetic efficiency than free-living form. This is likely associated with a lower synthesis of photosynthetic compounds available for the host (Hoogenboom et al. 2006).

422 The chronic induction of protective mechanism appears to be crucial for the survival of the alga in 423 the flatworm because the symbiosis may be associated, for the alga, to dramatic changes in the 424 osmolarity of its environment but also of its light niche. Indeed, in its planktonic form, T. convolutae 425 deals with light irradiances more or less attenuated in the water column, depending on the depth. By 426 contrast, in the symbiotic lifestyle, the acclimation to light is dependent of S. roscoffensis migrations. 427 The flatworms are often observed at the surface of the sediments at low tide and show a positive 428 phototaxis (Doonan and Gooday 1982; Serôdio et al. 2011). This behavior, associated with a shading 429 effect due to the arrangement of the algae within the host (Nozawa et al. 1972), may explain why the 430 symbiotic cells were acclimated to lower light levels than the free-living ones. However, other studies 431 showed that the flatworm could move in areas where the irradiance was higher than optimal for the 432 algae, likely harming their photosynthetic apparatus (Nissen et al. 2015). It is worth noting that during 433 our 8 days of acclimation, the flatworm did not have sediment to potentially escape from the high 434 irradiance provided. However we did observe migration pattern toward less illuminated areas, which is 435 in agreement with the photoaccumulation experiment of Serôdio et al. (2011). The microalgae must then 436 cope with "abnormally" high light irradiances and it is probable that they could not survive without strong photoprotection. Thus, the symbiotic system S. roscoffensis - T. convolutae appears to use a 437 438 similar photophysiological strategy as the intertidal diatom-dominated microphytobenthos, which show

adaptation traits to high irradiances (Cartaxana et al. 2013), while using motility to select the optimal
light acclimation (Ezequiel et al. 2015). Our study thus highlights the physiological consequences of a
change from a planktonic to a benthic light niche.

442

#### 443 **4.5** The flatworm as a parasite of the microalga?

444 The traditional ideas behind mutualism are reciprocal benefits and partner cooperation. However, 445 this concept has been revisited for a few years toward a more parsimonious explanation known as 446 exploitative host control (Wooldridge 2010; Lesser et al. 2013; Keeling and McCutcheon 2017). For instance, in Paramecium bursaria and its algal symbiont Chlorella sp., the photosynthetic efficiency of 447 448 the chlorophyte is higher in the free-living microalgae than in the symbiotic ones. The Chlorella 449 symbionts can escape their host, but only under conditions where the latter does not benefit from 450 symbiosis, and there are no conditions where this nutritional symbiosis is mutually beneficial (Lowe et 451 al. 2016). In the case of the S. roscoffensis - T. convolutae relationship, there is still no observation or 452 evidence for possibility for the microalgae to escape from the flatworm (Muscatine et al., 1974), even 453 though once free (mechanically during our isolation process), the symbiotic algae could recover cell 454 envelope, flagella and eyespot (Ian Probert, person. com.). In addition, our data suggest that the 455 microalgae undergo chronic stress in the flatworm, which induce the locking of the photosynthetic 456 apparatus in photoprotective mode, while the flatworm assimilates photosynthetic compounds from the 457 algae (Taylor 1974; Boyle and Smith 1975; Meyer et al. 1979).

The vertical transmission of symbionts is one important feature that can help understand whether a relationship is mutualistic or parasitic (Garcia and Gerardo 2014). Vertical transmission (i.e., symbionts inherited from parents) is expected to lead to a certain level of phylogenetic homogeneity of the symbionts and to increase symbiont effectiveness for the host (Herre et al. 1999). In the case of *T. convolutae*, algae are ingested as free-living cells by juveniles of *S. roscoffensis* and are therefore replaced at each generation (i.e., horizontal transmission), impeding any transgenerational selection. Overall, these results question the mutualistic nature of this relationship (Selosse 2000).

The symbiotic association between *S. roscoffensis* and *T. convoluta* could thus be a farming strategy where the flatworm would use the algae to its own needs, without mutualism retribution (Kiers and West 2016; Sørensen et al. 2019). Further research on the molecule exchanges between both partners and on the consequences of the association on the fitness of *T. convolutae* would allow a deeper understanding of the nature of the relationship.

470

#### 471 **5. Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the French program Investissement d'Avenir IDEALG. We thank the Roscoff Aquarium Services, especially Sébastien Henry and Ronan Garnier for their technical help and Xavier Bailly for his advices regarding *Symsagittifera roscoffensis* ecology and physiology (Research Federation 2424). We thank the Roscoff Culture Collection, in particular Ian Probert, for the

- 476 isolation and maintenance and the *Tetraselmis convoluta* culture. We also thank Alexandra Michiels for
- 477 help with R coding, and Francisco Rodriguez and José Luis Garrido for the interesting discussions on
- 478 the HPLC results. Finally, we are grateful to the anonymous reviewer whose suggestions greatly
- 479 improved the manuscript.
- 480
- 481 Authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 482

#### 483 6. References

- Ahmed F, Fanning K, Netzel M, Schenk PM (2015) Induced carotenoid accumulation in *Dunaliella salina* and *Tetraselmis suecica* by plant hormones and UV-C radiation. Appl Microbiol
   Biotechnol 99:9407–9416. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6792-x
- 487 Arboleda E, Hartenstein V, Martinez P, et al (2018) An emerging system to study photosymbiosis,
  488 brain regeneration, chronobiology, and behavior: the marine acoel *Symsagittifera roscoffensis*.
  489 BioEssays 40:1800107. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201800107
- Archer SD, Ragni M, Webster R, et al (2010) Dimethyl sulfoniopropionate and dimethyl sulfide
   production in response to photoinhibition in *Emiliania huxleyi*. Limnol Oceanogr 55:1579–
   1589. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.4.1579
- 493 Arora M (2016) Tetraselmis, an introduction. The Botanica 66:155–175
- Bailly X, Laguerre L, Correc G, et al (2014) The chimerical and multifaceted marine acoel
   *Symsagittifera roscoffensis*: from photosymbiosis to brain regeneration. Front Microbiol 5:498
   https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00498
- 497 Boyle JE, Smith DC (1975) Biochemical interactions between the symbionts of *Convoluta* 498 *roscoffensis*. Proc R Soc Lond [Biol] 189:121–135. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1975.0046
- Cartaxana P, Domingues N, Cruz S, et al (2013) Photoinhibition in benthic diatom assemblages under
   light stress. Aquat Microb Ecol 70:87–92. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01648
- Carvalho LF, Rocha C, Fleming A, et al (2013) Interception of nutrient rich submarine groundwater
   discharge seepage on European temperate beaches by the acoel flatworm, *Symsagittifera roscoffensis*. Mar Pollut Bull 75:150–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.07.045
- Decelle J, Stryhanyuk H, Gallet B, et al (2019) Algal remodeling in a ubiquitous planktonic
   photosymbiosis. Curr Biol 29:968-978.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.01.073
- Demmig-Adams B, Adams WW (1996) The role of xanthophyll cycle carotenoids in the protection of
   photosynthesis. Trends Plant Sci 1:21–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(96)80019-7
- Dickson DMJ, Kirst GO (1986) The role of β-dimethylsulphoniopropionate, glycine betaine and
   homarine in the osmoacclimation of *Platymonas subcordiformis*. Planta 167:536–543
- Doonan S, Gooday G (1982) Ecological studies of symbiosis in *Convoluta roscoffensis*. Mar Ecol
   Prog Ser 8:69–73. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps008069
- 512 Douglas AE (1983a) Uric acid utilization in *Platymonas convolutae* and symbiotic *Convoluta* 513 roscoffensis. J Mar Biol Ass 63:435–447. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400070788

Douglas AE (1983b) Establishment of the symbiosis in Convoluta roscoffensis. J Mar Biol Ass 514 515 63:419-434. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400070776 Dupont S, Moya A, Bailly X (2012) Stable photosymbiotic relationship under CO<sub>2</sub>-induced 516 517 acidification in the acoel worm Symsagittifera Roscoffensis. PLoS ONE 7:e29568. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029568 518 519 Ezequiel J, Laviale M, Frankenbach S, et al (2015) Photoacclimation state determines the 520 photobehaviour of motile microalgae: The case of a benthic diatom. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 521 468:11-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2015.03.004 522 Foyer CH, Shigeoka S (2011) Understanding oxidative stress and antioxidant functions to enhance photosynthesis. Plant Physiol 155:93-100. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.166181 523 Garcia JR, Gerardo NM (2014) The symbiont side of symbiosis: do microbes really benefit? Front 524 Microbiol 5:510 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00510 525 526 Garrido JL, Rodríguez F, Zapata M (2009) Occurence of loroxanthin, loroxanthin decenoate, and 527 loroxanthin dodecenoate in Tetraselmis species (Prasinophyte, Cholorophyta). J Phycol 45:366-374. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2009.00660.x 528 529 Grobbelaar JU, Schanz F, Dubinsky Z, et al (1992) Photosynthetic characteristics of five high light and low light exposed microalgae as measured with <sup>14</sup>C-uptake and oxygen electrode 530 531 techniques. Mar Microb Food Webs 6:3-19 532 Haugan JA, Liaaen-Jensen S (1994) Blue carotenoids. Part 2. The chemistry of the classical colour 533 reaction of common carotoind 5,6-Epoxides with acid. Acta Chem Scand 48:152-159 534 Herre E, Knowlton N, Mueller U, Rehner S (1999) The evolution of mutualisms: exploring the paths 535 between conflict and cooperation. Trends Ecol Evol 14:49-53. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01529-8 536 537 Hoogenboom M, Anthony K, Connolly S (2006) Energetic cost of photoinhibition in corals. Mar Ecol 538 Prog Ser 313:1-12. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps313001 539 Horton P, Ruban A (2005) Molecular design of the photosystem II light-harvesting antenna: 540 photosynthesis and photoprotection. J Exp Bot 56:365–373. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eri023 541 Jahns P, Holzwarth AR (2012) The role of xanthophyll cycle and of lutein in photoprotection of 542 photosystem II. Biochim Biophys Acta - Bioenergetics 1817:182–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2011.04.012 543 544 Jesus B, Ventura P, Calado G (2010) Behaviour and a functional xanthophyll cycle enhance photo-545 regulation mechanisms in the solar-powered sea slug *Elysia timida* (Risso, 1818). J Exp Mar 546 Biol Ecol 395:98–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2010.08.021 547 Johnson MD (2011) The acquisition of phototrophy: adaptive strategies of hosting endosymbionts and 548 organelles. Photosynth Res 107:117-132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-010-9546-8 549 Johnson MD, Tengs T, Oldach D, Stoecker DK (2006) Sequestration, performance, amd functional 550 control of Cryptophyte plastids in the ciliate Myrionecta rubra (Ciliophora). J of Phycol 42:1235-1246. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2006.00275.x 551 552 Keebles F (1910) Plant Animals, A Study in Symbiosis, Cambridge: University press. Cambridge, 553 UK

- Keeling PJ, McCutcheon JP (2017) Endosymbiosis: The feeling is not mutual. J Theor 434:75–79.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2017.06.008
- Keller MD, Selvin RC, Claus W, Guillard RRL (1975) Media for the culture of oceanic ultraplankton.
   J Phycol 23:633–638
- Kiers ET, West SA (2016) Evolution: welcome to symbiont prison. Curr Biol 26:R66–R68.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.009
- Kohata K, Watanabe M (1989) Diel changes in the composition of photosynthetic pigments and
   cellular carbon and nitrogen in *Pyramimonas parkeae* (Prasinophyte). J Phycol 25:377–385
- Lesser MP, Stat M, Gates RD (2013) The endosymbiotic dinoflagellates (*Symbiodinium* sp.) of corals
   are parasites and mutualists. Coral Reefs 32:603–611. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-013 1051-z
- Lopes dos Santos A, Gourvil P, Rodríguez F, et al (2016) Photosynthetic pigments of oceanic
   Chlorophyta belonging to prasinophytes clade VII. J Phycol 52:148–155.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12376
- Lowe CD, Minter EJ, Cameron DD, Brockhurst MA (2016) Shining a light on exploitative host
   control in a photosynthetic endosymbiosis. Curr Biol 26:207–211.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.052
- MacIntyre HL, Kana TM, Anning T, Geider RJ (2002) Photoacclimation of photosynthesis irradiance
   response curves and photosynthetic pigments in microalgae and cyanobacteria. J Phycol
   38:17–38. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.2002.00094.x
- Melis A, Neidhardt J, Benemann JR (1999) *Dunaliella salina* (Chlorophyta) with small chlorophyll
   antenna sizes exhibit higher photosynthetic productivities and photon use efficiencies than
   normally pigmented cells. J Appl Phycol 10:515–525
- 577 Melo Clavijo J, Donath A, Serôdio J, Christa G (2018) Polymorphic adaptations in metazoans to
   578 establish and maintain photosymbioses: Evolution of photosymbiosis. Biol Rev 93:2006–
   579 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12430
- 580 Meyer H, Provasoli L, Meyer F (1979) Lipid biosynthesis in the marine flatworm *Convoluta* 581 *roscoffensis* and its algal symbiont *Platymonas comvoluta*. Biochim Biophys Acta 573:464–
   582 480
- 583 Muscatine L (1980) Productivity of zooxanthellae. In: Primary productivity in the sea, Plenum. P.G.
   584 Falkowski, New York
- 585 Muscatine L (1990) The role of symbiotic algae in carbon and energy flux in reef corals. In: Coral
   586 Reefs, Elsevier. Z. Dubinsky, Amsterdam
- 587 Muscatine L, Elizabeth Boyle J, Smith DC (1974) Symbiosis of the acoel flatworm *Convoluta* 588 *roscoffensis* with the alga *Platymonas convolutae*. Proc R Soc Lond [Biol] 187:221–234
- 589 Mushegian AA, Ebert D (2015) Rethinking "mutualism" in diverse host-symbiont communities.
   590 BioEssays 38:100–108. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201500074
- Nissen M, Shcherbakov D, Heyer A, et al (2015) Behaviour of the plathelminth *Symsagittifera roscoffensis* under different light conditions and the consequences for the symbiotic algae
   *Tetraselmis convolutae*. J Exp Biol 218:1693–1698. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.110429

594	Niyogi KK, Bjorkman O, Grossman AR (1997) The roles of specific xanthophylls in photoprotection.
595	Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:14162–14167. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.25.14162
596	Nozawa K, Taylor DL, Provasoli L (1972) Respiration and photosynthesis in <i>Convoluta roscoffensis</i>
597	Graff, infected with various symbionts. Biol Bull 143:420-430
598	Oren A (2005) A hundred years of Dunaliella research: 1905-2005. Saline Syst 1:1–14.
599	https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-1448-1-2
600 601	Oschman JL (1966) Development of the symbiosis of <i>Convoluta roscoffensis</i> Graff and <i>Platymonas</i> sp. J Phycol 2:105–111
602 603	Parke M, Manton I (1967) The specific identity of the algal symbiont in <i>Convoluta roscoffensis</i> . J Mar Biol Ass 47:445–464
604	Platt T, Gallegos CL, Harrison WG (1980) Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in natural assemblages
605	of marine phytoplankton. J Mar Res 38:103–111
606	Provasoli L, Yamasu T, Manton FRS (1968) Experiments on the resynthesis of symbiosis in
607	<i>Convoluta roscoffensis</i> with different flagellate cultures. J Mar Biol Ass 48:465–479
608 609	R Core Team (2016) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
610 611	Repeta DJ, Bjørland T (1997) Preparation of carotenoids standards. In: Phytoplankton Pigments in Oceanography, UNESCO Publishing. Jeffrey SW, Mantoura RFC, Wright SW, Paris
612	Reynolds CS (1984) The ecology of freshwater phytoplankton., Cambridge University Press.
613	Cambridge, UK
614 615 616	Ritchie RJ (2008) Universal chlorophyll equations for estimating chlorophylls <i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> , and <i>d</i> and total chlorophylls in natural assemblages of photosynthetic organisms using acetone, methanol, or ethanol solvents. Photosynthetica 46:115–126. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-008-0019-7
617	Roy S, Llewellyn CA, Egland ES, Johnsen G (2011) Phytoplamkton Pigments, Characterization,
618	Chemotaxonomy, and Applications in Oceanography, Cambridge University Press.
619	Cambridge, UK
620 621	Rumpho ME, Pelletreau KN, Moustafa A, Bhattacharya D (2011) The making of a photosynthetic animal. J Exp Biol 214:303–311. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.046540
622 623 624	Selosse M-A (2000) Un exemple de symbiose algue-invertébré à Belle-Isle-en-Mer: la planaire <i>Convoluta roscoffensis</i> et la prasinophycée <i>Tetraselmis convolutae</i> . Acta Bot Gallica 147:323–331. https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.2000.10515864
625 626	Sendova-Franks AB, Franks NR, Worley A (2018) Plant–animal worms round themselves up in circular mills on the beach. R Soc open sci 5:180665. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.180665
627	Serôdio J, Cruz S, Cartaxana P, Calado R (2014) Photophysiology of kleptoplasts: photosynthetic use
628	of light by chloroplasts living in animal cells. Phil Trans R Soc B 369:20130242.
629	https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0242
630	Serôdio J, Silva R, Ezequiel J, Calado R (2011) Photobiology of the symbiotic acoel flatworm
631	Symsagittifera roscoffensis: algal symbiont photoacclimation and host photobehaviour. J Mar
632	Biol Ass 91:163–171. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315410001001

- Six C, Finkel ZV, Rodríguez F, et al (2008) Contrasting photoacclimation costs in ecotypes of the
   marine eukaryotic picoplankter *Ostreococcus*. Limnol Oceanogr 53:255–265.
   https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.1.0255
- 636 Sørensen MES, Lowe CD, Minter EJA, et al (2019) The role of exploitation in the establishment of
   637 mutualistic microbial symbioses. FEMS Microbiol Lett 366:fnz148.
   638 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnz148
- Sunda W, Kieber DJ, Kiene RP, Huntsman S (2002) An antioxidant function for DMSP and DMS in marine algae. Nature 418:317–320. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature00851
- Taylor DL (1974) Nutrition of algal-invertebrate symbiosis. I. Utilization of soluble organic nutrients
   by symbiont-free hosts. Proc R Soc Lond [Biol] 186:357–368
- 643 Tipton L, Darcy JL, Hynson NA (2019) A developing symbiosis: enabling cross-talk between
  644 ecologists and microbiome scientists. Front Microbiol 10:292.
  645 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00292
- Turner JS, Brittain EG (1962) Oxygen as a factor in photosynthesis. Biol Rev 37:130–170
- Van Bergeijk SA, Stal LJ (2001) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate and dimethylsulfide in the marine
   flatworm *Convoluta roscoffensis* and its algal symbiont. Mar Biol 138:209–216
- Venn AA, Loram JE, Douglas AE (2008) Photosynthetic symbioses in animals. J Exp Bot 59:1069–
   1080. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erm328
- Wooldridge SA (2010) Is the coral-algae symbiosis really 'mutually beneficial' for the partners?
   Bioessays 32:615–625. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.200900182
- Worley A, Sendova-Franks AB, Franks NR (2019) Social flocculation in plant–animal worms. R Soc
   open sci 6:181626. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.181626
- Zapata M, Garrido JL (1991) Influence of injection conditions in reversed-phase high-performance
   liquid chromatography of chlorophylls and carotenoids. Chromatographia 31:589–594
- Zapata M, Rodríguez F, Garrido JL (2000) Separation of chlorophylls and carotenoids from marine
   phytoplankton; a new HPLC method using a reversed phase C<sub>8</sub> column and pyridine
   containing mobile phases. Mar Ecol Prog Series 195:29–45

## 662 Supplementary material

Table S1: Chromatogram peak identification, retention time and online spectral characteristics of the pigments
detected with the modified method of Garrido et al. (2009) in the free-living and symbiotic forms of *Tetraselmis convolutae*.

Peak #	Pigment	Retention time (min)	Wavelength maxima (nm)		
1	<i>trans</i> - neoxanthin like	3.85	418	442	471
2	Loroxanthin	4.21	(423)	447	474
3	cis - neoxanthin	4.48	414	438	466
4	Violaxanthin	4.86	414	441	470
5	Neochrome like	5.74	400	423	450
6	Auroxanthin like	5.94	381	402	427
7	Antheraxanthin	6.35	(423)	448	475
8	cis - neoxanthin like	4.48	413	437	465
9	Auroxanthin like	6.96	381	402	427
10	Dihydrolutein like	7.53	406	430	455
11	Lutein (+ zeaxanthin)	8.31	(422)	447	475
12	Unidentified	10	423	441	468
13	Unidentified	10.73	(422)	443	471
14	Unidentified	10.85	(421)	443	469
15	Loroxanthin decenoate	11.51	(424)	449	475
16	Acyl Loroxanthin like	12.56	(424)	449	475
17	Unidentified	15.49	(423)	444	472
18	Unidentified	16.41	(423)	445	470
19	Loroxanthin dodeceonate	17.81	(424)	449	476
20	Unidentified	19.25	(424)	448	477
21	Unidentified	20.59	(423)	444	472
22	Chlorophyll b	21.71	464	600	649
23	Chlorophyll b derivative	23.3	463	599	649
24	Chlorophyll a derivatives	24-27	430	617	663
25	Chlorophyll a	28.24	431	617	663
26	Chlorophyll a derivative	29.35	433	617	663
27	$\beta,\epsilon$ -carotene	32.33	(424)	448	476
28	Phaeophytin a	32.42	410	608	666
29	β,β-carotene	32.59	(427)	453	478