

# Bay of Bengal Sea surface salinity variability using a decade of improved SMOS re-processing

Valiya Parambil Akhil, Jérôme Vialard, Matthieu Lengaigne, Madhavan Girijakumari Keerthi, Jacqueline Boutin, Jean-Luc Vergely, Fabrice Papa

# ► To cite this version:

Valiya Parambil Akhil, Jérôme Vialard, Matthieu Lengaigne, Madhavan Girijakumari Keerthi, Jacqueline Boutin, et al.. Bay of Bengal Sea surface salinity variability using a decade of improved SMOS re-processing. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2020, 248, pp.111964. 10.1016/j.rse.2020.111964 . hal-03017400

# HAL Id: hal-03017400 https://hal.science/hal-03017400

Submitted on 21 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# <u>Highlights</u>

- The new debiased CATDS SMOS SSS product resolves major issues in the Bay of Bengal
- New SMOS has a comparable quality with SMAP and Aquarius, but over a full decade
- Confirms the post-monsoon southward transport of low saline water by the EICC
- Confirms that this transport is interannually modulated by the Indian Ocean Dipole

1	
2	Bay of Bengal Sea Surface Salinity variability using a decade of
3	improved SMOS re-processing
4	
5	
6	V.P. Akhil <sup>1</sup> , J. Vialard <sup>2</sup> , M. Lengaigne <sup>2, 3</sup> , M. G. Keerthi <sup>2</sup> , J. Boutin <sup>2</sup> , J.L. Vergely <sup>4</sup> ,
7	and F. Papa <sup>5</sup>
8	
9	
10	<sup>1</sup> CSIR-NIO, Goa-India
11	<sup>2</sup> LOCEAN-IPSL/Sorbonne Universités, CNRS/ IRD/ MNHN, Paris-France
12	<sup>3</sup> Indo-French Cell for Water Sciences, IISc/NIO/IITM/IRD Joint International Laboratory, India
13	<sup>4</sup> ACRI-st, Guyancourt, France
14	<sup>5</sup> LEGOS, IRD/CNES/CNRS/UPS, Toulouse-France
15	
16	Submitted to Remote Sensing of Environment
17	
18	
19 20	Corresponding author: V.P. Akhil (Email: akhil@nio.org)

#### 21 Abstract

Monsoon rain and rivers bring a large freshwater input to the Northern Bay of Bengal 22 23 (BoB), yielding low Sea Surface Salinity (SSS) after the monsoon. The resulting sharp upper-ocean salinity stratification is thought to influence tropical cyclones intensity and 24 biological productivity by inhibiting vertical mixing. Despite recent progresses, the 25 26 density of in situ data is far from sufficient to monitor the BoB SSS variability, even at the seasonal timescale. The advent of satellite remotely-sensed SSS (SMOS, Aquarius, 27 SMAP) offers a unique opportunity to provide synoptic maps of the BoB SSS every ~8 28 29 days. Previous SMOS SSS retrievals did not perform well in the BoB. Here, we show that improved systematic error corrections and quality control procedures yield a much 30 better performance of the new "debiased v4" CATDS level-3 SSS from SMOS (~0.8 31 correlation, 0.04 bias and 0.64 root-mean-square difference to more than 28000 32 collocated in situ data points over 2010-2019). The SMOS product now performs 33 34 equivalently to Aquarius, and is slightly inferior to SMAP over the BoB. In particular, SMAP and SMOS are able to capture salinity variations close to the east coast of India 35 (r>0.8 within 75-150 km of the coast). They thus capture the seasonal freshening there, 36 37 associated with equatorward advection of the Northern BoB low-salinity water by the East Indian Coastal Current (EICC) after the summer monsoon. The 10-year long SMOS 38 record further allows to describe the BoB interannual SSS variability, which is strongest 39 in boreal fall in relation with the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD). Positive IOD events 40 induce a weakening of the southward export of freshwater by the EICC, and hence 41 42 negative SSS anomalies in the Northern BoB and positive ones along the East Indian coast. This confirms results from earlier studies based on modelling, sparse in situ data, 43 or shorter satellite records, but this time from a 10-year long SSS record. Overall, our 44 study indicates that the new SMOS retrieval can be confidently used to monitor the BoB 45

46	SSS and to study its mechanisms. We end by a brief description of the BoB SSS
47	anomalies associated with the extreme 2019 IOD event and highlight the very good
48	performance over the BoB of a new multi-satellite product developed by the European
49	Space Agency merging SMOS, Aquarius and SMAP data.
50	Highlights
51	• The new debiased CATDS SMOS SSS product resolves major issues in the Bay of
52	Bengal
53	• New SMOS has a comparable quality with SMAP and Aquarius, but over a full

- 54 decade
- Confirms the post-monsoon southward transport of low saline water by the EICC
- Confirms that this transport is interannually modulated by the Indian Ocean Dipole

58 Keywords: Bay of Bengal, Sea Surface Salinity, SMOS, SMAP, AQUARIUS, East
59 Indian Coastal Current, Indian Ocean Dipole
60

# 61 **1. Introduction**

The Bay of Bengal (hereafter, BoB) is one of the rainiest areas of the Asian 62 63 summer monsoon region. As a result, this relatively small basin receives large freshwater inputs during and shortly after the summer monsoon, dominantly as rain in 64 the northeastern basin, but also from two large rivers: the Ganga-Brahmaputra in the 65 north and the Irrawaddy in the northeast (e.g. Akhil et al., 2014; Chaitanya et al., 2014; 66 Papa et al., 2010; see Figure 1 for the location of these rivers). This basin is hence 67 characterized by low surface salinity during and after the summer monsoon (e.g. Rao 68 and Sivakumar, 2003), leading to a sharp upper-ocean salinity stratification (e.g. Shetye, 69 70 1993; Thadathil et al., 2016).

71 The stabilizing effect of this near-surface freshening is thought to have important climatic consequences by inhibiting vertical mixing. The haline stratification indeed 72 73 reduces the vertical mixing of heat during and after the summer monsoon (e.g. de Boyer Montegut et al., 2007; Thadathil et al., 2016; Shenoi et al., 2002; Krishnamohan et al., 74 2018), although the overall influence on climatological sea surface temperature (and 75 76 thus rainfall) may be weak due to compensating processes (Krishnamohan et al., 2018). The salinity stratification also inhibits vertical mixing below tropical cyclones (e.g. 77 Neetu et al., 2012; Sengupta et al., 2008), thereby reducing the air-sea coupling negative 78 feedback, and strengthening post-monsoon cyclones (Neetu et al., 2019). It is also 79 believed to inhibit vertical mixing of nutrients and to reduce marine biological 80 productivity in the BoB (Prasanna Kumar et al., 2002). For all those reasons, it is 81 important to monitor Sea Surface Salinity (hereafter, SSS) variations in this basin. 82

83 The strong seasonality of the freshwater forcing yields strong SSS seasonal 84 variations in the BoB. The northeastern BoB indeed experiences an intense freshening

85 right after the monsoon, attributable to the freshwater forcing from rivers and rain (e.g. Rao and Sivakumar, 2003; Akhil et al., 2014). This fresh pool expands southward in the 86 following months as a narrow fresh tongue in a ~100km wide strip along the East Indian 87 coast, a feature nicknamed "river in the sea" by Chaitanya et al. (2014). This river in the 88 sea results from the southward export of the northeastern BoB freshening by the East 89 Indian Coastal Current (hereafter, EICC), a narrow western boundary current that flows 90 southward in boreal fall, before vertical mixing restores higher salinities during winter 91 92 (Chaitanya et al., 2014; Akhil et al., 2014). The Indian Ocean Dipole (hereafter, IOD; 93 Saji et al., 1999), an Indian Ocean interannual climate mode, modulates the "river in the sea" southward expansion, through its remote impact on the EICC (Akhil et al., 2016a; 94 95 Fournier et al., 2017; Sherin et al., 2018). At smaller scales, oceanic eddies also induce 96 meandering of the salinity front, exporting freshwater offshore (Benshila et al., 2014; Hareesh Kumar et al., 2013; Sengupta et al., 2016; Fournier et al., 2017). 97

98 The sparse in situ SSS data has for long prevented a detailed description of basin-scale BoB SSS variability, especially at the relatively fine spatial scales associated 99 with the "river in the sea". Salinity climatologies built from historical in situ data 100 compilation (e.g. Chatterjee et al., 2012; Antonov et al., 2010) provided a rough 101 description of the BoB SSS seasonal cycle, but their limited data coverage resulted in an 102 excessive smoothing of the SSS structure and particularly of coastally confined river in 103 the sea (Chaitanya et al., 2014). While punctual near-shore cruises provided snapshots of 104 this coastal freshening (Shetye et al., 1996) as well as its meandering induced by eddies 105 106 (Hareesh Kumar et al., 2013), *in situ* observations are still not dense enough to allow a systematic and detailed description of the BoB SSS spatio-temporal variability despite 107 recent improvements due to the Argo program. As an illustration, Figure 1a,b shows that 108 available in situ observations are not able to capture the basin-scale seasonal mean SSS 109

pattern associated with the 2015 positive IOD and the 2016 negative IOD, with a dearth of *in situ* data near the coastline where SSS signals are the strongest. The surface salinity measurements from recent satellite missions may help to improve this description but satellite monitoring of BoB SSS is complex, because of strong "Radio-Frequency Interferences" (RFI) in Asia (Oliva et al., 2016), and pollution of the signal over sea by nearby land signals (Anterrieu et al., 2015).

Three recent spaceborne missions may help improving the BoB SSS description. 116 Measurements from the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) mission of the 117 118 European Space Agency (ESA) launched in 2009 have a mean resolution close to 50km, theoretically sufficient to monitor small-scale structures such as the narrow "river in the 119 sea". But unfortunately, because of the complex antennas geometry, the land 120 contamination and radio frequency interference (RFI) extends up to ~1000km away 121 from coast (Reul et al., 2013, Oliva et al 2016). The large antenna lobes make the land 122 123 contamination very dependent on the orientation of the satellite track relative to the coast (Boutin et al., 2018). Until recently, this resulted in virtually useless SSS retrievals in the 124 BoB (Boutin et al., 2013; Subrahmanyam et al., 2013; Akhil et al., 2016b). The land 125 contamination mitigation is conceptually simpler for the two other spaceborne missions 126 of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), namely the 127 Aquarius/Sac-D mission launched in 2011 and the Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) 128 mission launched in 2015 (Meissner et al., 2018; Fore et al., 2017). Given the resolution 129 of its main antenna lobes, Aquarius however only provides SSS measurements at an 130 effective resolution of ~150km, which can only resolve the BoB large-scale SSS patterns 131 but not the "river in the sea" (Akhil et al., 2016b). In addition, the Aquarius mission 132 ended in 2015 due to an unrecoverable hardware failure. In contrast, SMAP provides 133 SSS retrieval at a spatial resolution similar to that of SMOS, and suffers from lighter 134

land contamination issues compared to SMOS (Reul et al., 2013). As a result, SMAP
provides unprecedented views of small-scale BoB SSS features, including the influence
of mesoscale eddies and of the IOD on the river in the sea (Fournier et al., 2017). As
already demonstrated in Fournier et al. (2017), Figure 1c,d indeed illustrates that the
river in the sea extends ~800 km further south in fall 2016 (negative IOD) than in fall
2015 (positive IOD), hence providing the first observational confirmations of previous
modelling results (Akhil et al., 2016a).

142 Monitoring the full spectrum of SSS variations in the BoB however requires 143 longer time series than those currently provided by SMAP. A recent reprocessing of SMOS data with an improved correction of systematic errors and refined quality control 144 procedures (Boutin et al., 2018) demonstrated that SMOS data could provide a data 145 quality close to that of SMAP. This recent dataset may allow monitoring the BoB spatio-146 temporal SSS variations over a longer period (~10 years) than SMAP (~5 years). In the 147 148 current paper, we analyse an updated version of this dataset to investigate whether the most recent SMOS reprocessing can provide accurate SSS retrievals in BoB, especially 149 near the coast. SSS patterns derived from this new product in fall 2015 and 2016 are 150 indeed very similar to those depicted by SMAP (Figure 1e-f), suggesting that it may be 151 useful to complement SMAP data before 2015. Section 2 describes our methodology and 152 datasets. Section 3 assesses how the Boutin et al. (2018) reprocessing improves the 153 SMOS SSS retrieval in the BoB, based on a comparison to available *in situ* observations, 154 to previous SMOS retrieval and to Aquarius and SMAP retrievals. Section 4 takes 155 advantage of the 10-year long (2010 to 2019) SMOS record to describe BoB SSS 156 seasonal cycle and interannual variability. Section 5 provides a summary and discussion. 157 We also briefly discuss the very promising performance over the BoB of a new merged 158 SMOS-Aquarius-SMAP data product developed by the European Space Agency (ESA). 159

# 161 **2. Dataset and methods**

# 162 **2.1. SSS datasets**

We analyse SSS datasets derived from different satellites (SMOS, Aquarius and SMAP). The SMOS-old and Aquarius datasets are described in more details in Akhil et al. (2016b), and the SMAP dataset in Fournier et al. (2017). Their most salient characteristics are summarized below.

The SMAP platform was launched on 31 January 2015 and began operating from 167 April 2015. We use the version-4.3 SMAP SSS, gridded Level-3 dataset, distributed by 168 the "Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL)", at 8-days temporal and 0.25° spatial resolution. 169 This product is available from the 6<sup>th</sup> April 2015 to near real time (Yueh et al., 2013, 170 2014) and is analysed up to 30<sup>th</sup> December 2019 in the present paper. For Aquarius 171 172 (Lagerloef et al., 2008), we analyse the Version-5, Level 3 gridded, SSS dataset, 173 released by the NASA "Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center (PODAAC)", which provides 7 day running-means at 1° resolution, between August 174 2011 and June 2015. The approximate effective resolution is ~60km for SMAP and 175 150km for Aquarius. A description of the products version, data repository, temporal 176 and spatial resolution and analysed period is provided in Table 1. 177

As mentioned in the introduction, we also analyse two versions of the SMOS data. The first one ("SMOS-old" in the following) is the dataset described in Akhil et al. (2016b); i.e. the version-2, Level-3 gridded SMOS SSS research product, produced by the "CATDS/Ifremer", at a horizontal resolution of 0.25° for 10-day running means. The second dataset ("SMOS-new" in the following) is the Level-3 gridded SMOS SSS

'debiased' version-4 (Boutin et al., 2018) generated by the "LOCEAN/ACRI-ST 183 Expertise Center", with resolution of 0.25° for 9-day running means, from January 2010 184 to September 2019. The effective resolution of these two datasets is approximately 185 186 70km. SMOS-old SSS were de-biased using a crude method which did not take the geometry of the coast into account and excluded pixels that were too far away from the 187 in situ climatology in 5°x5° pixels. SMOS-new uses an improved systematic error 188 correction near land, and a less rigorous quality control of the radiometric measurements 189 in regions where the SSS variability is large, as in Boutin et al. (2018). One of the main 190 changes between the 'debiased' version-4 we use here and 'debiased' version-2 in 191 Boutin et al. (2018) is an improved adjustment of the absolute SSS close to coast, by 192 193 adjusting the upper quantile of the SMOS SSS to the ISAS in situ climatology (Gaillard 194 et al., 2016) instead of the median (equation 4 of Boutin et al., 2018). This significantly improves the comparison of SMOS 'debiased' v4 to SMAP SSS, relative to the 195 'debiased' v2 (not shown). 196

197

### 198 2.2. In situ salinity data

199 The *in situ* dataset used to quantitatively validate these satellite products gathers 200 all the BoB SSS measurements in the "World Ocean Database" (hereafter WOD; Boyer 201 et al., 2018; Garcia et al., 2018) over the 2010 to 2019 period. The main contributors to this dataset are Argo profilers ("Array for Real-Time Geostrophic Oceanography", 202 Roemmich et al., 2009). This dataset also includes XCTD ("eXpendable Conductivity-203 Temperature-Depth") profiles, moored buoys data, ocean drifters and glider data. As 204 WOD gathers different data sources, the depth at which these measurements are 205 retrieved varies from 1 to 5m. As discussed in Chaitanya et al. (2015) and Akhil et al. 206

207 (2016b), the error arising from these diverse sampling depths is negligible relative to horizontal SSS variations within retrieved data grid cells in this region. This dataset 208 includes more than 28000 valid measurements but its coverage is rather heterogeneous 209 210 as indicated in Figure 2a, with a dense sampling in the central BoB but sparse data close to the coasts and in the Andaman Sea. These in situ data are binned into a 1° x 1° 211 monthly gridded dataset by taking the median of individual measurements in every bin. 212 A similar gridding is performed for the various satellite datasets. We further define four 213 BoB sub-regions that are outlined on Figure 2b. The NBoB ("Northern BoB", 86°E to 214 215 94°E and 16°N to 23°N) exhibits the largest SSS variations. The WBoB ("western BoB", 80°E to 84°E and 6°N to 16°N) encompasses the "river in the sea" during fall. 216 217 The ANDA ("Andaman Sea", 94°E to 99°E and 6°N to 18°N) also host a prominent 218 variability. The CBoB ("Central BoB", 84°E to 94°E and 6°N to 16°N) is the region of weakest variability. 219

We also use the 15°N and 90°E RAMA ("Research Moored Array for African-Asian-Australian Monsoon Analysis and Prediction"; McPhaden et al., 2009) mooring salinity data at 5m-depth to validate the satellite at this location. The mooring does not provide 5m data after May 2018, so the validation of the satellite with RAMA is restricted to the January 2010 to May 2018 period. The newly updated climatology -WOA18 (Zweng et al., 2018) with 0.25°X0.25° degree horizontal resolution is also used for qualitatively validating the satellite data salinity seasonal cycle in Figure 8.

227 2.3. Ancillary datasets

For Sea Level Anomalies (SLA), we use AVISO dataset ("www.aviso.oceanobs.com/fr/accueil/index.html"; Ducet et al., 2000), which merges data from different altimeters. The BoB surface circulation is obtained from the GEKCO ("Geostrophic and Ekman Current Observatory"; Sudre et al., 2013) surface current
available from October 1992 to present. This product includes both surface geostrophic
currents derived from altimetry and the Ekman flow derived from scatterometer winds.
We use satellite-derived monthly estimates of Ganges-Brahmaputra river discharge at
the river mouths (Papa et al., 2010), estimated from the joint use of *in situ* leveldischarge rating curves and altimetry-derived water level at the river mouth (Papa et al.,
2012). This dataset is unfortunately available up to 2016 only.

238 In the following section, we will define several climate modes indices. Those 239 indices are traditionally defined from Sea Surface Temperature (SST), for which we use **OI-SST** NOAA v2 data 240 (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.noaa.oisst.v2.highres.html). But we 241 will see that a SST-based index maybe not the best choice for the Indian Ocean Dipole 242 (IOD), and will propose alternatives, in particular based on Outgoing Longwave 243 244 Radiation (OLR, a proxy of deep atmospheric convection) or Wind Stress (the force exerted by wind per unit ocean surface). Wind stresses up to August 2019 are calculated 245 from the ERA-Interim daily winds by using the bulk formula  $\tau = \rho C_{\rm D} U^{*} |U|$ , where  $\rho$ 246 the density of the air,  $C_D$  the drag coefficient (here assumed to be 1.2 x10<sup>-3</sup>), and U the 247 wind vector at 10-m height. We use the National Oceanic and Atmospheric 248 Administration interpolated OLR data 249 (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.interp\_OLR.html). 250

251 2.4. Climate indices

We will relate interannual SSS variations in the BoB to well-known modes of climate variability. The Indian Ocean Dipole (Saji et al., 1999) peaks in boreal fall (typically September-November). In its positive phase, the IOD is characterized by cold SST anomaly along the coast of Java and Sumatra and warm SST anomaly over the western Indian Ocean (Fig. 3b). Because of this SST anomaly pattern, a classical index proposed to characterize the IOD is the Dipole Mode Index (hereafter DMI; Saji et al., 1999), defined as the September-November (SON) average difference between SST anomalies in the western (50° to 70°E and 10°N to 10°S) and eastern (90° to 110°E and 10°S to 0°) equatorial Indian Ocean.

261 It has been argued before that the DMI not only tracks dynamical perturbations 262 associated with the IOD, but also more high frequency SST anomalies driven by 263 synoptic atmospheric variability (e.g. Dommenget and Jansen, 2009), and that some other indices may be more accurate to represent the ocean-atmosphere coupling that 264 characterizes the IOD (e.g. Shaaban and Roundy, 2017). Positive IOD events are for 265 instance also characterized by reduced atmospheric convection in the eastern Indian 266 Ocean, as evidenced by the positive OLR anomalies in this region (Fig. 3b). It has hence 267 268 been suggested that an OLR based index could better distinguish IOD events than the classical SST-based DMI index (Shaaban and Roundy, 2017). We have thus defined an 269 OLR-based Dipole Index (hereafter, ODI) inspired from that of Shaaban and Roundy 270 (2017), but simply defined as average SON OLR interannual anomalies in the western 271 (50° to 70°E and 10°N to 10°S) equatorial Indian Ocean minus those in the eastern (90° 272 to 110°E and 10°S to 0°) equatorial Indian Ocean. Those are the same boxes as those 273 used for the DMI, and their choice is justified by the statistically significant OLR signals 274 there (Fig. 3b). 275

Positive phase of IOD are also associated with easterly wind stress anomalies over the eastern Equatorial Indian Ocean (Fig. 3a). We have thus defined a Wind stressbased Dipole Index (WDI) as the average SON zonal wind stress anomaly over the

eastern equatorial Indian Ocean (EEIO, 5°N-5°S; 75°E-100°E). Those wind stress 279 anomalies induce a clear basin-scale Sea Level Anomalies (SLA) response (e.g. Webster 280 et al. 1999; Suresh et al. 2018), with downwelling (i.e. positive SLA) along the south 281 282 central Indian Ocean (Fig. 3a) in boreal fall (Webster et al. 1999; Keerthi et al., 2013) and upwelling (i.e. negative SLA) along the java Sumatra coast. We hence also defined a 283 SLA-based Dipole Index (SDI) as the average SON SLA in the south central Indian 284 Ocean SCIO, 5°S-15°S; 65°E-90°E) minus that near the Java/Sumatra coast (JSC; 0°-285 10°S; 95°E-105°E). 286

287 The time evolution of the four IOD indices (the classical DMI and our SDI, WDI, and ODI indices) over the 1993-2018 period is displayed on Figure 3c. While all 288 these indices share a lot in common, the DMI index departs from all the other indices in 289 both 2017 and 2018, two years within our 2010-2018 study period. Statistics in Table 2 290 indicate that the DMI is the least consistent with the other indices (Table 2), with 291 292 correlations ranging from 0.83 with the ODI to 0.89 with the SDI. In contrast, the SDI is strongly correlated with the WDI (correlation 0.97) a to a slightly lesser extend with the 293 ODI. I.e. the IOD ocean-atmosphere signals seem to be better characterized by its SLA, 294 295 OLR (atmospheric convection) and wind stress signals than by the DMI. This is not only true over the entire 1993-2018 period, but even more over our 2010-2018 study period 296 (number in brackets in Table 2). In the text, we will thus use the SDI to characterize the 297 IOD, and find that SSS interannual anomalies are more correlated with the SDI than 298 with the DMI. We will not mention correlations with the ODI and WDI for the sake of 299 brevity, but these two last indices also yield higher correlations with the IOD signals that 300 we will discuss. In short, the SDI, ODI or WDI are better indices of the IOD than the 301 DMI. 302

Finally, we will also use the Nino3.4 index, which corresponds to SSTA averaged over central equatorial Pacific (170°W-120°W; 5°N-5°S) from November to January, to characterize the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phase. The IOD is indeed often phase-locked with ENSO (e.g. Annamalai et al. 2003), and it is sometimes delicate to distinguish a signal caused by one or the other (e.g. Currie et al. 2013; Keerthi et al. 2013).

309

# 310 **3. Validation**

In this section, we evaluate SMOS-new SSS dataset in terms of spatial coverage and agreement with *in situ* observations. We first illustrate the much better performance of SMOS-new against SMOS-old dataset (section 3.1), and then focus on SMOS-new and compare its performance to that of the Aquarius and SMAP datasets (section 3.2).

#### 315

## 5 **3.1. SMOS-new vs. SMOS-old**

316 Figure 2 displays the percentage of 1° x 1° monthly values that can be retrieved from in situ, SMOS-old, SMOS-new, Aquarius and SMAP data. As discussed in Akhil 317 et al. (2016b), many pixels of the SMOS-old product are flagged as bad values (Fig. 2c), 318 in particular over the northern BoB. As a result, SMOS-old only achieves a nearly 319 complete coverage south of 15°N, with a northward decrease of the data coverage down 320 to < 10% close to the Ganges-Brahmaputra estuary. In contrast, the SMOS-new product 321 achieves a complete coverage over the entire domain (Fig. 2d). This coverage is far 322 better than the one achieved from *in situ* data (Fig. 2b,d). In situ data typically achieves a 323 ~20% coverage in central BoB, but does neither sample coastal regions nor the 324 Andaman Sea. SMOS-new has thus a superior coverage to SMOS-old and very superior 325 326 coverage to *in situ* data.

Figure 4 compares SMOS-new and SMOS-old observations against in situ data. 327 The statistics for this comparison are provided for the entire datasets, but also between 328 brackets for common data between SMOS-old, SMOS-new and in situ data, to allow a 329 330 fair comparison. Both sets of statistics in fact indicate a clear improvement of SMOSnew relatively to SMOS-old, with an increase in correlation (~0.8 vs. 0.6) as well as a 331 reduction of the bias ( $\sim 0.1$  vs. -0.14) and root mean square difference (rmsd;  $\sim 0.6$  vs. 332 0.95). This clear improvement remains valid when comparing both datasets separately 333 for the four regions displayed in Figure 2b (not shown). As shown in Figure 4, this 334 335 improvement is partly due to the tendency of SMOS-old to overestimate low SSS (in the 25-32 pss range) and to underestimate high SSS (above 34 pss), which largely 336 disappears in SMOS-new. 337

This broad analysis demonstrates the clear improvement of SMOS-new relative 338 to SMOS-old and we will focus in the following on a more thorough evaluation of 339 340 SMOS-new, in comparison with SMAP and Aquarius.

341

# 3.2. SMOS-new vs. Aquarius and SMAP

Figures 2d,e,f allow comparing the spatial coverage of SMOS-new, Aquarius and 342 SMAP. The three products achieve a full coverage in most of the BoB, and only differ 343 through their spatial resolution and coverage close to coasts. SMAP intermittently 344 provides a couple of additional values close to coasts relative to SMOS-new. Both 345 products are clearly superior to Aquarius in terms of data coverage, due to the lower 346 347 resolution of Aquarius and some missing values in grid-points in the vicinity of coasts.

Figure 5 further provides statistics for comparisons between satellite products 348 and co-located in situ data over their common periods (August 2011 to June 2015 for 349 350 SMOS-New vs. Aquarius on Figure 5a; April 2015 to October 2019 for SMOS-new vs. 351 SMAP on Figure 5b), *i.e.* these statistics are strictly comparable as they compare the satellites to identical common *in situ* samples. SMOS-new and Aquarius have an almost 352 identical performance (r~ 0.8, rmsd ~ 0.65 and bias ~ 0.15). One may however argue 353 354 that this is not a fair comparison, since SMOS SSS has a higher spatial resolution  $(0.25^{\circ})$ for the new SMOS product) than Aquarius (1°). We have hence re-gridded SMOS to the 355 Aquarius 1° grid through spatial averaging. The resulting 1° SMOS-new has an almost 356 identical fit to observations compared to SMOS-new on its native grid. This is a bit 357 surprising, because there are a lot of fine-scale ( $< 1^{\circ}$ ) salinity structures in the BoB (e.g. 358 359 Wijesekera et al., 2016), and one would thus expect finer-resolution product to capture them better, and yield less representativity errors. The almost equivalent performance of 360 SMOS-new product at these two resolutions could either arise from the fact that the 361 362 SMOS-new product effective resolution is about 70km in the BoB, or that SMOS has grid-point, unphysical noise, and whatever is gained in term of representativity is lost 363 due to this noise. SMAP behaves slightly better than SMOS-new in the BoB (Fig. 5b), 364 365 with slightly higher correlation (0.85 vs. 0.81) and lower rmsd (0.59 vs. 0.65). It does, however, have a slightly larger bias (0.08 vs. 0.11). 366

367 Figure 5 evaluated the three remotely sensed SSS over the entire BoB. This validation has also been performed separately for the NBoB, WBoB, CBoB and ANDA 368 regions (not shown), resulting in a similar conclusion: statistics of comparison with in 369 situ SSS are generally equivalent with Aquarius and SMOS-new, and slightly better with 370 SMAP than both products. Aquarius however performs slightly better than SMOS-new 371 in the central BoB but this is compensated by a better SMOS-new performance in the 372 Northern BoB and Andaman regions. Figure 6 provides an alternative regional 373 validation of the SMOS-new dataset, by plotting box-averaged time series of in situ and 374 SMOS-new SSS, both for co-located data at in situ points (continuous lines) and for the 375

376 box-average SMOS-new data (dashed line), for the NBoB, WBoB, CBoB and ANDA regions. Figure 6 first clearly demonstrates that the *in situ* data sampling is clearly not 377 sufficient for estimating the box-averaged SSS (compare the red dashed and continuous 378 379 lines), especially in the NBoB and ANDA boxes. This figure also indicates an outstanding phase agreement between SMOS-new and in situ collocated data, with 380 correlations exceeding 0.8 in all boxes other than CBoB. The correlation is weakest for 381 the CBoB region (0.75), where the SSS variability is the weakest, hence yielding an 382 unfavourable signal to noise ratio. 383

384 Since the central BoB seems to be a region where SMOS-new performs slightly worse than elsewhere in the BoB (Fig. 6), we provide a comparison of SMOS-new, 385 SMAP and Aquarius with monthly-averaged salinity at 5 m depth from the 15°N and 386 90°E RAMA mooring in Figure 7. This comparison confirms that SMOS-new has a 387 degraded performance relative to SMAP and Aquarius in the central BoB, with lower 388 389 correlations and higher rmsd to in situ data (both over the entire period or when compared based on the same observational sample). Despite this degraded performance 390 compared to other products, SMOS-new still captures SSS variability in the central BoB 391 392 relatively well, with a 0.79 correlation and 0.40 pss rmsd over the entire period (January 2010- May 2018). Even if SMOS-new performs less accurately in the central BoB, it is 393 still perfectly capable of detecting interannual anomalies such as the  $\sim 2$  pss freshening 394 events in 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2017 (Fig. 7). 395

Another traditionally difficult region for satellite products to accurately retrieve SSS is the coastal region of WBoB, due to more prevalent RFI (Oliva et al., 2016) and contamination by signals from land (land brightness temperatures being typically about twice larger as those over the sea). This is particularly unfortunate along the East Indian

400 coast, where the "river in the sea" is associated with strong seasonal and interannual SSS signals (Chaitanya et al., 2014; Akhil et al., 2014; Akhil et al., 2016a). In order to infer 401 whether satellite data can be trusted in this region, Figure 8 provides the correlation 402 403 between the three satellite products and co-located data points and the standard deviation of their difference (STDD), as a function of the distance to the east coast of India (within 404 10°N-20.5°N, 78°E-90°E). In all products, the correlation drops close to the coast. This 405 drop only occurs very close to the coast in SMAP (from r~0.9 at 100km to 0.85 at 406 50km), which is a clearly superior product along the east coast of India. The decrease at 407 408 the coast is however weaker for SMOS-new (from r~0.8 at 200km to r~0.75 at 50km off the coast) than for Aquarius (r~0.83 at 350km to r~0.65 at 150km). Comparing the 409 410 amplitude of the unbiased error (estimated as the standard deviation of the difference 411 between the product and *in situ* data) with the amplitude of SSS variations for each 412 product (estimated from the product and *in situ* data standard deviation) further allows evaluating if the accuracy of the measurements is smaller than the signal, *i.e.* if the 413 414 signal to noise ratio is favourable. This is not so much the case for Aquarius, for which the STDD is ~80% of the amplitude of the variability at 150 km away from the coast. 415 SMOS-new and SMAP both allow to retrieve data closer to the coast, and to clearly 416 monitor the increase in variability due to the "river in the sea" in the last 200 km from 417 418 the coast. While the STDD increases close to the coast in both products, this typical 419 error remains smaller than the signal to be measured, with a signal twice larger than the noise for SMAP. This result thus indicates that SMOS-new and SMAP are both able to 420 capture the coastal SSS variations associated with the "river in the sea", with a better 421 422 performance for SMAP. However, Figure 8a-c also indicates that the typical error becomes closer to the STD away from the coast (at 500km), illustrating that the lower 423 SMOS-new performance in the central BoB (Fig. 6c) is indeed partly due to weaker 424

425 signal-to-noise ratio there, as suggested above.

#### 426

# 4. Bay of Bengal SSS variability from a decade of SMOS data

427 In the previous section, we have established the capability of SMOS-new, SMAP and Aquarius datasets to map large-scale variability of SSS in the BoB, including the 428 regions close to the East Indian coast for SMOS and SMAP. In this section, we will 429 describe the ability of these datasets to describe the BoB SSS seasonal cycle and 430 interannual variability. We will then take advantage of the 10 years of SMOS data to 431 432 describe BoB seasonal cycle. As SMOS-new record only extends up to September 2019 and the dominant modes of interannual variability peaks during and after September, the 433 analysis of interannual SSS variability is restricted to 9 years (January 2010 to 434 December 2018). We will however describe the SSS variability associated with an 435 unusually strong IOD event in 2019 using August-December 2019 SMAP data (and 436 show that it is consistent with SMOS-new data over August and September 2019). 437

#### 438 **4.1. Seasonal cycle**

439 Figure 9 displays seasonal SSS maps constructed from the WOA18, SMOS-new, SMAP and Aquarius products, over the full period over which each product is available. 440 While the SMAP (4-5 years) and Aquarius (3-4 years) records are too short to provide a 441 precise estimate of the seasonal cycle, we underline that we only intend a qualitative 442 443 comparison of the three satellites seasonal cycle with that from WOA18 (we already performed a quantitative comparison to *in situ* data in section 3). The newly available 25 444 445 km-resolution WOA18 has finer structures than previous versions, which were excessively smooth (Akhil et al. 2014). It captures meridional SSS variations, with 446 lowest SSS in the Northern BoB in SON (i.e. after the large rainfall and river runoff 447 448 peak towards the end of the Southwest monsoon, e.g. Chaitanya et al., 2014). WOA18

449 also captures better fine-scale features such as the sharp SSS gradients at the river mouths and the post-monsoon "river in the sea" along the Western BoB, although this 450 freshwater tongue hugging the East Indian coast is discontinuous in this dataset (Fig. 451 452 9c). The three satellite datasets reveal a similar seasonal salinity pattern, with low salinity (below 30 pss) close to the Ganges-Brahmaputra and Irrawaddy river mouths 453 from June to February, but SMOS and Aquarius fail to capture the SSS signature of 454 those two rivers during the dry season (MAM). The "river in the sea", associated with 455 equatorward advection of the low salinity water in the Northern BoB by the southward 456 457 post-monsoon EICC (Akhil et al., 2014; Chaitanya et al., 2014) is clearest in SMOS-new (Fig. 10a) and SMAP during boreal fall, where its freshening signature can be tracked as 458 459 far south as the east coast of Sri Lanka. In contrast, Aquarius and WOA18 display a less 460 coastally-trapped and more discontinuous freshening along the East Indian coast that does not reach the Sri Lankan east coast. Overall, SMOS-new and SMAP thus tend to 461 display finer-scale structures than the other datasets. 462

Figure 10b further displays a SSS (contours) and along-shore currents (colors, 463 with blue/negative indicating southward currents) latitude-time section, averaged within 464 465 200 km of the East Indian coast (red box in Fig. 10a). This Figure is comparable to Figure 6 in Akhil et al. (2014) modelling study or Figure 8 of Chaitanya et al. (2014) 466 observational study and displays very similar features. The Northern BoB starts 467 freshening in June onward during the monsoon onset, reaches its lowest climatological 468 SSS in September, and goes back to pre-monsoon values by January-February. The 469 southward expansion of this low salinity water along the East Indian coast coincides 470 with the development of the southward EICC in October, while the return to pre-471 monsoon values starts occurring before the EICC turns northward (Fig. 10b), as pointed 472 out by Akhil et al. (2014) and Chaitanya et al. (2014). Through a detailed upper ocean 473

474 salinity budget, these last studies demonstrated that advection is the main cause for the 475 "river in the sea" southward expansion, while vertical mixing strongly contributes to 476 restoring the coastal SSS to pre-monsoon values. The 10-year long SMOS-new dataset 477 yields salinity variations that are consistent with those conclusions.

478

# 4.2. Interannual variability

The insufficient *in situ* data coverage (Fig. 2a,b) only allows a rough description 479 of the observed BoB SSS interannual variations. Based on gridded in situ data products, 480 481 Pant et al. (2015) and Chaitanya et al. (2015) both reported strong interannual SSS variations in the western BoB. The observational study of Pant et al. (2015) and the 482 483 modelling results of Akhil et al. (2016a) indicate that the IOD plays a prominent role in 484 driving interannual SSS variability in boreal fall, in the northern and western BoB. Both studies indicate that the IOD remotely drives current anomalies through the coastal 485 Kelvin waves propagation, which modulates the EICC intensity. The remote control of 486 the IOD on the EICC is confirmed by the observational & modelling study of Sherin et 487 al. (2018), which however does not discuss the resulting SSS anomalies. Here, we take 488 489 advantage of the 9 year-long SMOS-new dataset to investigate if satellite SSS data yields a consistent description to that in those studies. 490

Figure 11 confirms that largest year-to-year SSS variations in the northern and western BoB occur during September-November in the SMOS-new data, in agreement with Akhil et al. (2016a) modelling study. We have hence performed an "Empirical Orthogonal Function" (hereafter, EOF) analysis on the September to November (SON) average SSS anomalies (Fig. 12). We focus on the first EOF as it represents 43.2% of the total SSS anomalies variance, compared to less than 20% for higher modes. It is associated with opposite polarity SSS anomalies in the northern and western BoB (Fig.

498 12b). Its principal component (PC1) has a 0.65 to 0.84 correlation with the four different IOD indices described in the method section (significantly different from zero above the 499 95% confidence level when considering each year as an independent sample). The 500 501 correlation with ENSO is much weaker (r=0.07), and not statistically significant. This confirms that the leading mode of SSS anomalies in the BoB is strongly related to the 502 IOD variability, with positive IOD events generally leading to negative SSS anomalies 503 in the northern BoB and positive SSS anomalies along the west coast of BoB, in 504 agreement with Akhil et al. (2016a) modelling study and Pant et al. (2015) in situ data 505 506 analysis. The correlation with PC1 is largest when considering the SDI (SLA-based IOD index, r~0.84). This indicates a tight relation between the BoB SSS interannual 507 508 anomalies and the SLA (and hence circulation) anomalies associated with the IOD, 509 consistently with the results of Pant et al. (2015) and Akhil et al. (2016a). Because of this tight link, and because the DMI erroneously points to IOD events to 2017 and 2018 510 (Fig. 3c), we will hereafter mostly use the SDI as our best indicator of the IOD 511 512 circulation anomalies.

Red arrows on Figure 12b display the GEKCO surface current anomalies 513 obtained through a linear regression on PC1 time series. They indicate northward current 514 anomalies along the East Indian coast, i.e. EICC weakening. The associated SLA signal 515 (Fig. 12c) confirms that the EICC weakens during positive IOD events, in association 516 with upwelling coastal Kelvin waves emanating from the equatorial region, as suggested 517 by previous studies (Aparna et al., 2012, Akhil et al., 2016a, Suresh et al. 2018; Sherin et 518 519 al., 2018). The EICC normally exports Northern BoB fresh water southward along the East Indian coast (Fig. 10a). Positive IODs weaken the EICC, hence resulting in a 520 weaker southward fresh water export along the East Indian coast, qualitatively consistent 521 with the typical negative anomalies in the northern BoB and positive anomalies in the 522

523 western BoB (Fig. 12b). This is qualitatively consistent with the role of advection.

Figures 13 and 14 further allow detailing the year-to-year SSS variations along 524 525 the East Indian coast over the 2010-2018 period and their main drivers. Figure 13 shows 526 the SDI, and the SSS and along-shore currents latitude-time section along the Indian coast (red box in Fig. 10a). This period encompasses two positive IOD years (2011 and 527 528 2015) and two negative IOD years (2010, 2016; Fig. 13a). The EOF analysis in Figure 529 12ab and the case study of Fournier et al. (2017) indicate that the "river in the sea" tends to be less (more) developed during positive (negative) IOD years. This is confirmed by 530 531 Figure 13bc: no clear "river in the sea" and positive SSS anomalies along the East Indian coast are depicted in 2011 and 2015, while a clearer "river in the sea" and negative SSS 532 anomalies are seen in 2010 and 2016. The 2016 negative SSS anomalies are weak, 533 however, and there are other years (such as 2013) that can also yield negative SSS 534 anomalies along the East Indian coast. This indicates that the IOD is not the sole 535 536 controller of year-to-year SSS variations along the East Indian coast, and we will come back to this point in the discussion. 537

Let us now examine the year-to-year variations of SSS in the two regions with 538 the strongest SSS variability (East Indian coast and northern BoB) in more details. 539 Figure 14 displays time series of Northern BoB Ganges-Brahmaputra runoff anomalies, 540 SSS anomalies in the northern and western BoB as well as along-shore current 541 anomalies along the East Indian coast over the 2010-2018 period. The dashed line shows 542 the part of the interannual anomalies that are attributable to the IOD (obtained as the part 543 544 that is linearly related to the IOD, through regression on the SDI). In general, the interannual variability of the northern BoB freshwater fluxes is quite independent from 545 that of the IOD over the period we consider (r~-0.4 between Ganges-Brahmaputra runoff 546

and SDI). There is, however, a stronger control of the Northern (r $\sim$ -0.7) and southwestern BoB SSS (r $\sim$ 0.8) and along-shore current (r $\sim$ 0.7) anomalies by the IOD, as discussed earlier.

550 For instance, the northern BoB exhibits the strongest anomalous saltening in 2010 and 2016 (plain lines in Fig. 14b) in agreement with typical negative IOD years 551 552 (compare the dashed and plain lines for these two years in Fig. 14b). The northern BoB 553 freshens during the 2011 and 2015 positive IOD years, but more than would normally be 554 expected in 2011. The anomalous saltening along the East Indian coast is strongest in 555 2011 and 2015, consistent with a positive IOD those two years (Fig. 14d). The anomalous freshening along the East Indian coast is however weaker than expected in 556 2016 (e.g. weaker than in 2012, a neutral IOD year). This underlines again that, with 557 only 40% of the variance explained at the basin scale, the IOD is not the sole 558 phenomenon that controls the interannual SSS variability in the BoB. Snapshots of the 559 560 SSS (not shown) for instance suggest that a mesoscale eddy resulted in exporting a lot of freshwater from the "river in the sea" to the basin interior in 2016, hence diverting the 561 fresh water from its along-shore southward path, and resulting in the relatively weak 562 563 SSS anomalies for a negative IOD year in 2016.

Finally, some years with no IOD event can be associated with non-negligible SSS anomalies in the BoB. Let us focus on year 2012, which exhibits a positive but modest IOD index value. This year is associated with anomalously fresh SSS anomalies in the northern BoB, larger than what expected from the IOD index value that year (Fig. 14a). This behaviour may be attributable to anomalously strong Ganges-Brahmaputra runoff in 2012 (Fig. 14a,b). This is coherent with Akhil et al. (2016a) modelling results that indicate that the second EOF of BoB SSS variability during boreal fall is associated

with northern BoB SSS variations in response with the Ganges-Brahmaputra interannual
anomalies. We will discuss this further in section 5.2. A strong anomalous freshening in
the northern BoB is also observed in 2017, a year with a very weak IOD index value.
The unavailability of Ganges-Brahmaputra runoffs data after 2016 however prevents
assessing the role of freshwater forcing on this anomalous event.

576

4.3.

# The 2019 positive IOD

The availability of SMOS data until September 2019 and of SMAP data up to present gives us the opportunity to describe the anomalous SSS pattern in the BoB related to the extreme positive IOD event in fall 2019 (Doi et al., 2020). We used the common SMOS and SMAP period to compute interannual anomalies, and the common August and September 2019 months indeed confirm that SMOS and SMAP are quite consistent over these two months. We will thus focus on SMAP to describe SSS anomalies associated with the strong 2019 IOD.

The circulation and SSS anomalies in October-November 2019 are qualitatively 584 consistent with those deduced from the Figure 12 EOF analysis, with a weaker than 585 586 usual EICC associated with salty anomalies along the southern portion of coast of India, and fresh anomalies in the Northern BoB. The salty anomaly along the entire coast of 587 India, associated with an unusually weak EICC had actually already started in August 588 and September, when the fresh anomaly was more confined to the vicinity of the 589 Ganges-Brahmaputra river mouth. While those anomalies are roughly consistent with 590 those of the EOF analysis, they are very large, possibly due to the very large IOD 591 592 amplitude in 2019 (Doi et al., 2020). There is also a very strong modulation of the salinity field by mesoscale activity, as noted previously by Fournier et al. (2017). 593 Finally, the case of 2019 suggests that the EOF analysis pattern develops in two stages, 594

first with positive SSS anomalies along the coast of India, and then with negative anomalies in the Northern BoB. The exact timing of this development and strong modulation by the mesoscale field suggest that more years will be needed in order to obtain a robust estimate of the dominant pattern of IOD-induced SSS variability in the BoB from remotely sensed data. This is further discussed in section 5.

600

# 601 5. Summary and Discussion

## 602 **5.1.** Summary

Past studies have underlined that the BoB SSS distribution can influence the 603 intensity of tropical cyclones and the marine productivity by acting as a barrier to the 604 upward mixing of colder water and nutrients into the surface mixed layer (Prasanna 605 Kumar et al., 2002; Neetu et al., 2019). This is a strong motivation for a reliable 606 monitoring of the year-to-year SSS variations in the BoB. In this paper, we show that 607 608 currently available in situ data are not sufficiently dense to allow a proper mapping of the fine SSS structure in the BoB, even at seasonal timescale. In contrast, the SMOS, 609 Aquarius, and SMAP satellite missions can provide synoptic maps of the basin SSS 610 every ~8 days with a resolution of ~50-100 km. The first attempts to estimate BoB SSS 611 from SMOS were unsuccessful (Boutin et al., 2013; Subrahmanyam et al., 2013; Akhil 612 et al., 2016b), because of a suboptimal processing of systematic errors and too stringent 613 quality control in that dataset. The new processing of Boutin et al. (2018) introduces an 614 improved systematic-error correction and better accounts for the amplitude of the SSS 615 signal during the quality control. Over the BoB, this results in a 100% spatial coverage 616 for 1/4° SSS maps every 4 days over the 2010-2019 period that capture the basin-scale 617 618 SSS variability well (0.81 correlation, 0.10 bias and 0.65 rms-difference relative to more 619 than 26000 collocated 1-4 m depth in situ data over the basin). Comparison with SMAP and Aquarius data further indicate that the new SMOS processing has a similar 620 performance to Aquarius (but better spatial resolution) and slightly inferior performance 621 622 to SMAP over the BoB. The new SMOS processing has a degraded performance in the central BoB but still compares well with RAMA mooring data at 15°N-90°E (0.79 623 correlation, 0.00 bias and 0.37 rms-difference). Despite errors that increase toward the 624 coast of India, SMOS and SMAP still retain a favourable signal-to-noise ratio, and high 625 correlations with available in situ observation datas close to the coast (r~0.74 for SMOS 626 627 and 0.83 for SMAP 75 km away from the coast).

The advantage of the SMOS new processing relative to SMAP and Aquarius is 628 the length of its record (~10 years), that allows examining the BoB SSS seasonal cycle 629 and interannual variability and to compare it with previous studies, based on either 630 modelling or sparse in situ data. The new SMOS processing confirms that the largest 631 632 seasonal signals are related to a northern BoB freshening during and shortly after the southwest monsoon, and its southward expansion along the west coast of India in fall, 633 due to advection by the EICC. The strongest interannual variability occurs during 634 635 September-November, in association with the IOD. Remote forcing associated with the IOD modulates the EICC intensity through coastal Kelvin waves radiating from the 636 equatorial strip to the western BoB. The EICC is anomalously weak during positive IOD 637 events, resulting in less southward freshwater export, and hence negative SSS anomalies 638 to the north of the BoB and positive SSS anomalies along the East Indian coast. 639 Opposite anomalies occur during negative IOD events. These anomalies are however 640 modulated by other processes than the IOD. For instance, Ganges-Brahmaputra River 641 discharge variations seem to also contribute to northern BoB SSS anomalies during 642 some years. Similarly, eddies induce strong offshore transport, and thus modulate SSS 643

644 along the East Indian coast.

# 645 **5.2.** Discussion

646 Let us first compare the results of the present study with previous analyses. Previous studies of the BoB SSS either relied on modelling or on sparse in situ data that 647 do not resolve the strong salinity gradients. First, the present study confirms large SSS 648 seasonal signals in the northern BoB during and after the monsoon (e.g. Akhil et al., 649 2014; Rao and Sivakumar, 2003). Most importantly, it confirms that a fresh salinity 650 651 tongue associated with steep salinity gradients develop along the East Indian coast after the summer monsoon, in agreement with sparse observations from cruises (e.g. Shetye et 652 al., 1993; Hareesh Kumar et al., 2013), modelling results (Jensen, 2001; Akhil et al., 653 654 2014), observations collected directly at the coast (Chaitanya et al., 2014) and a case study using SMAP data (Fournier et al., 2017). It also confirms that the IOD is the 655 dominant contributor to BoB SSS interannual variability, as suggested from in situ 656 observations (Pant et al., 2015), modelling (Akhil et al., 2016a) or a case study with 657 SMAP data (Fournier et al., 2017). Our results also show a clear link between those SSS 658 anomalies and the EICC modulation in response to the IOD remote influence through 659 coastal Kelvin wave circumnavigating the BoB, confirming the modelling results of 660 Akhil et al. (2016a). 661

But our results also illustrate that, with 43% of explained variance, the IOD is not the only phenomenon to control the boreal fall BoB SSS anomalies. Akhil et al. (2016a) modelling study found a second EOF mode (16% of the total variance) associated with local SSS anomalies in the northern BoB in response to Ganges-Brahmaputra River discharge variations. While those discharge variations seem to qualitatively match some of the deviations from the expected IOD signals over the

668 period we consider (Fig. 14), the higher order EOFs of the SMOS data or a regression to Ganges Brahmaputra runoff data do not reveal any consistent signal with those 669 discussed by Akhil et al. (2016a). We suspect that this is because the SMOS satellite 670 671 record is still too short to extract the weaker-amplitude variability (relative to the IOD signal) associated with the Ganges-Brahmaputra river discharge variations. We also find 672 possible influences of mesoscale eddies in modulating the SSS interannual signals along 673 the East Indian coast, consistent with Fournier et al. (2017). Finally, wind intensity may 674 also partly control SSS variations through their impact on vertical mixing, which has 675 676 been shown to have a prominent vertical mixing processes in eroding the upper-ocean salinity stratification in the BoB (Akhil et al., 2014, 2016a). 677

We have attempted a budget approach to estimate the processes that explain the 678 seasonal and interannual variability of SSS more quantitatively. We were however not 679 able to close the budget, and we suspect that this is associated with the large 680 681 uncertainties on the mixed layer depth estimate, that translate into large uncertainties on the estimation of the effect of rain and runoff freshwater fluxes. We hence think that an 682 approach combining modelling and a validation by *in situ* observations would currently 683 684 be the best approach to explain the mechanisms of SSS variability. The good agreement between the SMOS SSS seasonal cycle and that described by Akhil et al. (2014) and 685 with the IOD-induced SSS signals in Akhil et al. (2016a) hence suggest that advection is 686 the main process that induces the seasonal southward expansion of the Northern BoB 687 freshwater along the East Indian coast and its interannual modulation. The existence of a 688 second mode of interannual variability of the northern BoB, in relation with Ganges-689 Brahmaputra runoff variations in Akhil et al. (2016a) modeling study yet has to be 690 confirmed from direct observations. Future research, relying on the synergy between 691 satellite and *in situ* observations along with modeling will be essential in order to tackle 692

A first step in that direction is the recent release of a merged dataset, that 694 695 combines the SMOS, Aquarius and SMAP satellite retrievals into a single SSS dataset, as part of the Climate Change Initiative (CCI) of the European Space Agency (ESA). A 696 description of this dataset and its data are both available from http://cci.esa.int/salinity for 697 698 details. The CCI SSS dataset has the advantage of providing calibrated global SSS fields 699 over a long 9-year period (2010-2018), as SMOS, but also to further reduce SSS errors 700 by also using the Aquarius and SMAP data. Figure 16 provides a first evaluation of the 701 quality of this product for the BoB, by comparing its fit to co-located in situ data over the SMOS-new, Aquarius and SMAP data periods. Note that, as for Figure 5, only 702 common data samples to each pair of datasets are used to compute the statistics in each 703 panel of Figure 16. This analysis demonstrates that the CCI-SSS product performs better 704 than any of the single-satellite datasets over their common period. This is also the case 705 706 when this analysis is performed for the BoB subregions on Figure 2b (not shown). This analysis indicates that CCI-SSS product is likely to be the best alternative to describe 707 and understand the BoB SSS variability in future studies. As this dataset becomes 708 709 longer, it will allow an increasingly accurate description of the BoB SSS interannual variability. 710

711

712

713

714

715

716 Acknowledgments. The authors thank CNES ("Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales") for the funding support to this Research (project "TOSCA SMOS-Ocean"). The authors 717 thank Stéphane Marchand for his help in handling SMOS data. We also thank IRD 718 ("Institut de Recherche pour le Développement") for the financial support to the 719 collaboration on Indian Ocean research with the CSIR-NIO ("CSIR- National Institute 720 of Oceanography, India"). AVP thank the Director of CSIR-NIO for his encouragement. 721 ML and FP are grateful to "Indo-French Cell for Water Sciences". Keerthi MG is 722 supported through a postdoctoral fellowship from CNES. This is NIO contribution 723 724 number xxxx.

# 726 **References**

- Akhil, V.P., Durand, F., Lengaigne, M., Vialard, J., Keerthi, M.G., Gopalakrishna, V.V.,
  Deltel, C., Papa, F. and de Boyer Montégut, C., 2014. A modeling study of the
  processes of surface salinity seasonal cycle in the Bay of Bengal. Journal of
  Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119(6), pp.3926-3947.
- Akhil, V.P., Lengaigne, M., Vialard, J., Durand, F., Keerthi, M.G., Chaitanya, A.V.S.,
  Papa, F., Gopalakrishna, V.V. and de Boyer Montégut, C., 2016a. A modeling
  study of processes controlling the Bay of Bengal sea surface salinity interannual
  variability. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 121(12), pp.8471-8495.
- Akhil, V.P., Lengaigne, M., Durand, F., Vialard, J., Chaitanya, A.V.S., Keerthi, M.G.,
  Gopalakrishna, V.V., Boutin, J. and de Boyer Montégut, C., 2016b. Assessment of
  seasonal and year-to-year surface salinity signals retrieved from SMOS and
  Aquarius missions in the Bay of Bengal. International Journal of Remote Sensing,
  37(5), pp.1089-1114.
- Annamalai, H., Murtugudde, R., Potemra, J., Xie, S. P., Liu, P., & Wang, B. (2003).
  Coupled dynamics over the Indian Ocean: Spring initiation of the zonal mode.
  Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 50(12-13), 23052330.
- Anterrieu, E., Suess, M., Cabot, F., Spurgeon, P., and Khazâal, A., 2015. An Additive
  Mask Correction Approach for Reducing the Systematic Floor Error in Imaging
  Radiometry by Aperture Synthesis. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*,
  vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 944 1441-1445, doi: 10.1109/LGRS.2015.2406912.
- Antonov, J.I., Seidov, D., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Garcia, H. E.,
  Baranova, O. K., Zweng, M. M. and Johnson, D. R., 2010. World Ocean Atlas
  2009, Volume 2: Salinity, edited by S. Levitus, 184 pp., U.S. Govt. Print. Off.,
  Washington, D.C. Aquarius User Guide, Document # JPL D-70012, National
  Aeronautics and Space Administration, Physical Oceanography Distributed Active
  Archive Center (PO.DAAC), Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 41 pp., 2011.
- Aparna, S.G., McCreary, J.P., Shankar, D. and Vinayachandran, P.N., 2012. Signatures

- of Indian Ocean Dipole and El Niño–Southern Oscillation events in sea level
  variations in the Bay of Bengal. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,
  117(C10).
- Benshila, R., Durand, F., Masson, S., Bourdallé-Badie, R., de Boyer Montégut, C., Papa,
  F. and Madec, G., 2014. The upper Bay of Bengal salinity structure in a highresolution model. Ocean Modelling, 74, 36-52.
- Boutin, J., Martin, N., Reverdin, G., Yin, X. and Gaillard, F., 2013. Sea surface
  freshening inferred from SMOS and ARGO salinity: impact of rain. Ocean
  Science, 9(1), 183–192
- Boutin, J., Vergely, J.-L. and Khvorostyanov, D., 2018. SMOS SSS L3 maps generated
  by CATDS CEC LOCEAN. debias V3.0. SEANOE.
  http://doi.org/10.17882/52804#57467
- Boyer, T.P., Baranova, O.K., Coleman, C., Garcia, H.E., Grodsky, A., Locarnini, R.A.,
  Mishonov, A.V., Paver, C.R., Reagan, J.R., Seidov, D., Smolyar, I.V., Weathers,
  K.W. and Zweng, M.M., 2018. World Ocean Database 2018. A. V. Mishonov,
  Technical Editor, NOAA Atlas NESDIS 87.
- Chaitanya, A.V.S., Lengaigne, M., Vialard, J., Gopalakrishna, V.V., Durand, F.,
  Kranthikumar, C., Amritash, S., Suneel, V., Papa, F. and Ravichandran, M., 2014.
  Salinity measurements collected by fishermen reveal a "river in the sea" flowing
  along the eastern coast of India. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society,
  95(12), pp.1897-1908.
- Chaitanya, A.V.S., Durand, F., Mathew, S., Gopalakrishna, V.V., Papa, F., Lengaigne,
  M., Vialard, J., Kranthikumar, C. and Venkatesan, R., 2015. Observed year-toyear sea surface salinity variability in the Bay of Bengal during the 2009–2014
  period. Ocean Dynamics, 65(2), pp.173-186.
- Chatterjee, A., Shankar, D., Shenoi, S.S.C., Reddy, G.V., Michael, G.S., Ravichandran,
  M., Gopalkrishna, V.V., Rao, E.R., Bhaskar, T.U. and Sanjeevan, V.N., 2012. A
  new atlas of temperature and salinity for the North Indian Ocean. Journal of Earth
  System Science, 121(3), pp.559-593.

- Currie, J.C., M. Lengaigne, J. Vialard, D.M. Kaplan, O. Aumont, S.W.A. Naqvi and O.
   Maury, 2013: Indian Ocean Dipole and El Niño/Southern Oscillation impacts on
   regional chlorophyll anomalies in the Indian Ocean, Biogeosciences, 10, 6677 6698.
- de Boyer Montégut, C., Mignot, J., Lazar, A. and Cravatte, S., 2007. Control of salinity
  on the mixed layer depth in the world ocean: 1. General description. Journal of
  Geophysical Research: Oceans, 112(C6).
- Doi, T., Behera, S. K., & Yamagata, T., 2020. Predictability of the super IOD event in
  2019 and its link with El Niño Modoki. *Geophysical Research Letters*, doi:
  10.1029/2019GL086713.
- Dommenget, D., & Jansen, M. (2009). Predictions of Indian Ocean SST indices with a
   simple statistical model: A null hypothesis. *Journal of climate*, 22(18), 4930-4938.
- Ducet, N., Le Traon, P. Y. and Reverdin, G., 2000. Global high-resolution mapping of
  ocean circulation from TOPEX/POSEIDON and ERS-1 and -2, J. Geophys. Res.:
  Oceans, 105(C8), 19,477–19,498.
- Durand, F., Shankar, D., Birol, F. and Shenoi, S.S.C., 2009. Spatiotemporal structure of
  the East India Coastal Current from satellite altimetry. Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Oceans, 114(C2).
- Fore A., Yueh, S., Tang, W. and Hayashi, A., 2017. SMAP Salinity and Wind Speed
  Data User's Guide Version 4.0, Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of
  Technology.
- Fournier, S., Vialard, J., Lengaigne, M., Lee, T., Gierach, M.M. and Chaitanya, A.V.S.,
  2017. Modulation of the Ganges- Brahmaputra River plume by the Indian Ocean
  dipole and eddies inferred from satellite observations. Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Oceans, 122(12), pp.9591-9604.
- Garcia, H. E., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A., Baranova, O. K. and Zweng, M. M., 2018.
  World Ocean Database 2018: User's Manual (prerelease). A.V. Mishonov,
  Technical Ed., NOAA, Silver Spring, MD (Available at https://www.NCEI.noaa.gov/OC5/WOD/pr\_wod.html).

- Gaillard, F., Reynaud, T., Thierry, V., Kolodziejczyk, N. and von Schuckmann, K.,
  2016. In situ-based reanalysis of the global ocean temperature and salinity with
  ISAS: Variability of the heat content and steric height. Journal of Climate, 29(4),
  pp.1305-1323.
- Hareesh Kumar, P.V., Mathew, B., Kumar, M.R.R., Rao, A.R., Jagadeesh, P.S.V.,
  Radhakrishnan, K.G. and Shyni, T.N., 2013. 'Thermohaline front'off the east coast
  of India and its generating mechanism. Ocean Dynamics, 63(11-12), pp.11751180.
- Keerthi, M. G., M. Lengaigne, J. Vialard, C. de Boyer Montégut and P.M.
  Muraleedharan, 2013: Interannual variability of the Tropical Indian Ocean mixed
  layer depth, Clim. Dyn., 40, 743-759.
- Krishnamohan, K.S., Vialard, J., Lengaigne, M., Masson, S., Samson, G., Pous, S.,
  Neetu, S., Durand, F., Shenoi, S.S.C., Madec, G., Is there an effect of Bay of
  Bengal salinity on the northern Indian Ocean climatological rainfall?, Deep-Sea
  Research Part II (2019), doi: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2019.04.003
- Lagerloef, G., Colomb, F.R., Le Vine, D., Wentz, F., Yueh, S., Ruf, C., Lilly, J., Gunn,
  J., Chao, Y.I., DECHARON, A. and Feldman, G., 2008. The Aquarius/SAC-D
  mission: Designed to meet the salinity remote-sensing challenge. Oceanography,
  21(1), pp.68-81.
- McPhaden, M.J., Meyers, G., Ando, K., Masumoto, Y., Murty, V.S.N., Ravichandran,
  M., Syamsudin, F., Vialard, J., Yu, L. and Yu, W., 2009. RAMA: the research
  moored array for African–Asian–Australian monsoon analysis and prediction.
  Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 90(4), pp.459-480.
- Meissner, T., Wentz, F. and Le Vine, D., 2018. The salinity retrieval algorithms for the
  NASA Aquarius version 5 and SMAP version 3 releases. Remote Sensing, 10(7),
  p.1121.
- Neetu, S., Lengaigne, M., Vincent, E.M., Vialard, J., Madec, G., Samson, G., Ramesh
  Kumar, M.R. and Durand, F., 2012. Influence of upper- ocean stratification on
  tropical cyclone- induced surface cooling in the Bay of Bengal. Journal of

- Neetu, S., Lengaigne, M., Vialard, J., Samson, G., Masson, S., Krishnamohan, K.S. and
  Suresh, I., 2019. Premonsoon/Postmonsoon Bay of Bengal Tropical Cyclones
  Intensity: Role of Air- Sea Coupling and Large- Scale Background State.
  Geophysical Research Letters, 46(4), pp.2149-2157.
- Oliva, R., Daganzo, E., Richaume, P., Kerr, Y., Cabot, F., Soldo, Y., Anterrieu, E., Reul,
  N., Gutierrez, A., Barbosa, J. and Lopes, G., 2016. Status of Radio Frequency
  Interference (RFI) in the 1400–1427 MHz passive band based on six years of
  SMOS mission. Remote sensing of environment, 180, pp.64-75.
- Pant, V., Girishkumar, M.S., Udaya Bhaskar, T.V.S., Ravichandran, M., Papa, F. and
  Thangaprakash, V.P., 2015. Observed interannual variability of near- surface
  salinity in the B ay of B engal. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120(5),
  pp.3315-3329.
- Papa, F., Bala, S.K., Pandey, R.K., Durand, F., Gopalakrishna, V.V., Rahman, A. and
  Rossow, W.B., 2012. Ganga- Brahmaputra river discharge from Jason- 2 radar
  altimetry: An update to the long- term satellite- derived estimates of continental
  freshwater forcing flux into the Bay of Bengal. Journal of Geophysical Research:
  Oceans, 117(C11).
- Papa, F., Durand, F., Rossow, W.B., Rahman, A. and Bala, S.K., 2010. Satellite
  altimeter- derived monthly discharge of the Ganga- Brahmaputra River and its
  seasonal to interannual variations from 1993 to 2008. Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Oceans, 115(C12).
- Prasanna Kumar, S., Muraleedharan, P.M., Prasad, T.G., Gauns, M., Ramaiah, N., De
  Souza, S.N., Sardesai, S. and Madhupratap, M., 2002. Why is the Bay of Bengal
  less productive during summer monsoon compared to the Arabian Sea?.
  Geophysical Research Letters, 29(24), pp.88-1.
- Rao, R.R. and Sivakumar, R., 2003. Seasonal variability of sea surface salinity and salt
  budget of the mixed layer of the north Indian Ocean. Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Oceans, 108(C1), pp.9-1.

871	Reul, N., Fournier, S., Boutin, J., Hernandez, O., Maes, C., Chapron, B., Alory, G.,
872	Quilfen, Y., Tenerelli, J., Morisset, S. and Kerr, Y., 2014. Sea surface salinity
873	observations from space with the SMOS satellite: A new means to monitor the
874	marine branch of the water cycle. Surveys in Geophysics, 35(3), pp.681-722.
875	Roemmich, D., Johnson, G. C., Riser, S., Davis, R., Gilson, J., Owens, W. B., Garzoli,
876	S. L., Schmid, C. and Ignaszewski, M., 2009. The Argo Program: Observing the
877	global ocean with profiling floats. Oceanography, 22(2), 34-43.
878	Saji, N.H., Goswami, B.N., Vinayachandran, P.N. and Yamagata, T., 1999. A dipole
879	mode in the tropical Indian Ocean. Nature, 401(6751), p.360.
880	Sengupta, D., Goddalehundi, B.R. and Anitha, D.S., 2008. Cyclone- induced mixing
881	does not cool SST in the post- monsoon north Bay of Bengal. Atmospheric
882	Science Letters, 9(1), pp.1-6.
883	Sengupta, D., Bharath Raj, G.N., Ravichandran, M., Sree Lekha, J. and Papa, F., 2016.
884	Near- surface salinity and stratification in the north Bay of Bengal from moored
885	observations. Geophysical Research Letters, 43(9), pp.4448-4456.
886	Shaaban, A. A., & Roundy, P. E. (2017). OLR perspective on the Indian Ocean Dipole
887	with application to East African precipitation. Quarterly Journal of the Royal
888	Meteorological Society, 143(705), 1828-1843.
889	Shenoi, S.S.C., Shankar, D. and Shetye, S.R., 2002. Differences in heat budgets of the
890	near- surface Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal: Implications for the summer
891	monsoon. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 107(C6), pp.5-1.
892	Sherin, V.R., Durand, F., Gopalkrishna, V.V., Anuvinda, S., Chaitanya, A.V.S.,
893	Bourdallé-Badie, R., and Papa, F., 2018. Signature of Indian Ocean Dipole on the
894	western boundary current of the Bay of Bengal. Deep Sea Research Part I:
895	Oceanographic Research Papers, 136, 91-106.
896	Shetye, S.R., 1993. The movement and implications of the Ganges-Bramhaputra runoff
897	on entering the. Current Science, 64(1), 32–38.
898	Shetye, S. R., Gouveia, A, D., Shankar, D., Michael, G. S. and Nampoothiri, G., 1996.

- Hydrography and circulation of the western Bay of Bengal during the Northeast
  Monsoon, Journal of Geophysical Research, 101, 14,011 14,025.
- Sudre, J., Maes, C. and Garçon, V., 2013. On the global estimates of geostrophic and
  Ekman surface currents. Limnology and Oceanography: Fluids and Environments,
  3(1), pp.1-20.
- Subrahmanyam, B., Grunseich, G. and Nyadjro, E.S., 2012. Preliminary SMOS salinity
  measurements and validation in the Indian Ocean. IEEE Transactions on
  Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 51(1), pp.19-27.
- Suresh, I., Vialard, J., Lengaigne, M., Izumo, T., Parvathi, V. and Muraleedharan, P.M.,
  2018. Sea level interannual variability along the west coast of India. Geophysical
  Research Letters, 45(22), pp.12-440.
- Thadathil, P., Suresh, I., Gautham, S., Prasanna Kumar, S., Lengaigne, M., Rao, R.R.,
  Neetu, S. and Hegde, A., 2016. Surface layer temperature inversion in the Bay of
  Bengal: Main characteristics and related mechanisms. Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Oceans, 121(8), pp.5682-5696.
- Webster, P. J., Moore, A. M., Loschnigg, J. P. and Leben, R. R., 1999. Coupled
  ocean- atmosphere dynamics in the Indian Ocean during 1997–98, Nature, 401,
  356–360, doi:10.1038/43848.
- Wijesekera, H.W., Shroyer, E., Tandon, A., Ravichandran, M., Sengupta, D., Jinadasa,
  S.U.P., Fernando, H.J., Agrawal, N., Arulananthan, K., Bhat, G.S. and
  Baumgartner, M., 2016. ASIRI: An ocean–atmosphere initiative for Bay of
  Bengal. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 97(10), pp.1859-1884.
- Yueh, S.H., Tang, W., Fore, A.G., Neumann, G., Hayashi, A., Freedman, A., Chaubell,
  J. and Lagerloef, G.S., 2013. L-band passive and active microwave geophysical
  model functions of ocean surface winds and applications to Aquarius retrieval.
  IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 51(9), pp.4619-4632.
- Yueh, S., Tang, W., Fore, A., Hayashi, A., Song, Y.T. and Lagerloef, G., 2014. Aquarius
  geophysical model function and combined active passive algorithm for ocean
  surface salinity and wind retrieval. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,

928 119(8), pp.5360-5379.

- Zweng, M. M, Reagan, J. R., Seidov, D., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A., Garcia, H. E.,
  Mishonov, A. V., Baranova, O. K., Paver, C. R., Weathers, K. W., Smolyar, I.
- 931 (2018): World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 2: Salinity. NOAA Atlas NESDIS (Vol.
- 932 82). https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/woa18/pubwoa18.html

Name in this paper	Obtained from	Product version	Temporal resolution	Spatial grid resolution	Analyze d period
SMOS- old	https://www.catds.fr/Produ cts/Available-products- from-CEC-OS/CEC- Ifremer-Dataset-V02	"SSS_SMOS_ L3_CATDS_C ECOS_V02"	10day running mean maps every 10 days	0.25°X0.25°	May 2010 to June 2017
SMOS- new	https://www.catds.fr/Produ cts/Available-products- from-CEC-OS/CEC- Locean-L3-Debiased-v4	"L3_DEBIAS_ LOCEAN_v4"	9day running mean maps every 4 days	0.25°X0.25°	January 2010 to September 2019
Aquariu s	https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/ dataset/AQUARIUS_L3_S SS_CAP_7DAY_V5	"L3m_7D_SCI _V5.0"	7day running mean maps every 7days	1°X1°	August 2011 to June 2015
SMAP	https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/ dataset/SMAP_JPL_L3_S SS_CAP_8DAY- RUNNINGMEAN_V43	"L3V4.3_SSS_ 8DAYS_R130 80"	8day running mean maps every day	0.25°X0.25°	April 2015 to December 2019

Table 1. Sea Surface Salinity products used in the current study. More details on the 934 differences between the two SMOS datasets used in this study can be found on the 935 CATDS (Centre Aval de Traitement des Données SMOS) website 936 at "https://www.catds.fr/content/download/68781/file/OS\_L3\_products\_Differences\_and\_ 937 ProsCons.pdf". 938

Correlation	DMI	SDI	ODI	WDI
DMI	1 (1)	0.89 (0.86)	0.83 (0.74)	0.88 (0.78)
SDI	0.89 (0.86)	1 (1)	0.91 (0.92)	0.97 (0.96)

**Table 2.** Correlation between the four IOD indices used in this study over the 1993-2018
 940 period, with values over the 2010-2018 study period within brackets The DMI index is 941 the SSTA-based index introduced by Saji et al. (1999). ODI (Outgoing longwave 942 radiation-based dipole index) is the alternative index proposed by Shaaban and Roundy 943 944 (2017). We also propose two indices that focus on the oceanic dynamical response based on sea level anomalies (SDI for Sea level Dipole Index) and zonal wind stress anomalies 945 946 (WDI for Wind stress Dipole Index). The definition of these four IOD indices is detailed in Figure 3 and in the method section. The correlations provided in this table are all 947 significantly different from zero above the 99% confidence level, considering each year 948 as an independent sample. 949



951

Figure 1. September to November (SON) average map of (a, b) World Ocean Data (WOD) sea surface salinity (SSS), (c, d) SMAP SSS (color) and GEKCO currents (vector), (e, f) SMOS-new SSS (color) and GEKCO currents (vector) for (1<sup>st</sup> column) 2015 and (2<sup>nd</sup> column) 2016. The location of the Ganges-Brahmaputra (GB) and Irrawaddy (IRR) estuaries, the two main river systems in to the Bay of Bengal (BoB), are marked on the panels.



Figure 2. (a) Total number of WOD *in situ* observations, per year and per 1°x1° boxes
above 5m depth. (b - f) Percent of months with SSS data from (b) WOD (only 1°x1°
pixels having more than 5 data per month are considered as valid SSS data), (c) SMOSold, (d) SMOS-new, (e) Aquarius and (f) SMAP. See Table 1 for the time period
covered by each dataset. The star indicates the [15°N, 90°E] RAMA mooring location.



967

Figure 3. Regression of interannual anomalies of (a) wind stress (vectors) and sea level 968 (colors) and (b) SST (colors) and Outgoing Longwave Radiation (OLR, W.m<sup>-2</sup>, 969 contours) onto the DMI in September-October-November (SON) over the 1993-2018 970 period. Values are masked only when they are not significantly different from zero at the 971 90% confidence level. (c) Time series of the four IOD indices used in this study over 972 1993-2018 period. The sea level dipole index (SDI) is computed as the SLA difference 973 974 between the south central Indian Ocean and Java/Sumatra Coast (see black frames on panel a denoted respectively SCIO and JSC). The wind stress dipole index (WDI) is 975 taken as the zonal wind stress averaged over the eastern equatorial Indian Ocean (see 976 977 blue box denoted EEIO on panel a). The OLR-based index (ODI) is computed as the difference of OLR anomalies between the western Indian Ocean and the southeastern 978 979 Indian Ocean (see black frames on panel b denoted respectively WIO and SEIO, those are the same boxes as those used to define the DMI by Saji et al. 1999). Correlations 980 981 between these different indices are provided on Table 2. The shading on panel (c) highlights the 2010-2018 period, for which the interannual variability of Sea Surface 982 983 Salinity is analyzed in the current paper.



985

Figure 4. Scatterplot of co-located WOD Bay of Bengal (BoB) SSS against (a) SMOSold (2010-2017) and (b) SMOS-new (2010 to 2019). The correlation (r), bias, and rootmean-square difference (rmsd) to the WOD data are indicated on each panel (the number in brackets provide those statistics for the common period to WOD, SMOS-old and SMOS-new).



Figure 5. (a) Bar diagrams of statistics of comparisons between co-located SMOS-new and Aquarius with *in situ* data over their common period (August 2011 to June 2015) and over the entire BoB. (b) Same as (a) but for SMOS-new & SMAP (April 2015 to October 2019). The statistics on this figure are strictly comparable, since both satellite datasets on each panel are compared to their common co-located *in situ* data sample. The Y-axis scale in the middle is common to both panels. The correlation has no unit, and the RMSD and bias are in °C.



1002

Figure 6. Timeseries of averaged co-located SMOS-new (red continuous line) and 1003 WOD SSS (black continuous line) within the (a) NBoB, (b) WBoB, (c) CBoB and (d) 1004 ANDA regions (see figure 2 for their definitions). The corresponding statistics are 1005 indicated on each panel (these statistics differ from the ones in Figure 7, because these 1006 are based on box-averaged quantities, not on individual co-located measurements). The 1007 red dashed curve shows the box averaged SMOS-new data in each region (different from 1008 the red full curve because it accounts for values everywhere in the box, not just for co-1009 located values with in situ data). 1010



1012

Figure 7. Time series of the salinity at 5m depth from the 15°N-90°E RAMA mooring 1013 (black) and co-located SMOS-new (red), Aquarius (green) and SMAP (blue) satellite 1014 data. The correlation (r), bias and root-mean-square difference (rmsd) of each dataset to 1015 RAMA are given in red for SMOS-new, green for Aquarius and blue for SMAP. The 1016 1017 number in brackets give the SMOS-new statistics for the period common to Aquarius (in green) and SMAP (in blue). 1018





**Figure 8. (a)** Standard deviation (STD, °C) of co-located SMOS-new SSS (red) and WOD SSS (black), and standard deviation of their difference (STDD, °C, green) as a function of distance to the coast (40-km wide bins) from east coast of India 10°N-20.5°N and 78°E-90°E. (d) Correlation coefficient between SMOS-new SSS (blue) and colocated WOD SSS as a function of distance to the coast (40-km wide bins) from east coast of India 10°N-20.5°N and 78°E-90°E. (**b**, **e**) Same as a, d but for SMAP. (**c**, **f**) Same as a, d but for Aquarius (but using 100-km wide bins).



Figure 9. Seasonal climatology of SSS in the BoB from (a-d) WOA18, (e-h) SMOS-new (January 2010-September 2019), (i-l) SMAP (April 2015-December 2019) and (m-p) Aquarius (August 2011-June2015). 



Figure 10. (a) Climatological SON SMOS-new SSS (color) and SON GEKCO surface current (vectors). The red frame on panel (a) indicates the region used for the latitudetime section on panel (b). (b) Latitude-time section of the SMOS-new SSS (contours, pss) and GEKCO along-shore current (color, negative values indicate southward current) seasonal cycle in the coastal box defined in (a).

1043



**Figure 11**. Standard deviation of SMOS-new (January 2010-September 2019) SSS interannual anomalies for (**a**) MAM, (**b**) JJA, (**c**) SON and (**d**) DJF. The black frame on panel (**a**) indicates the box that is used for the latitude-time section in Figure 12.



Figure 12. (a) Time series of the normalized principal component (PC1) of the first 1050 1051 mode from an empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis of SON SMOS-new SSS interannual anomalies (black), normalized SON SLA-based Dipole Mode Index (SDI, 1052 red, see figure 3 and method section for definition) and ENSO index (Niño34, green) 1053 over the 2010-2018 period. The correlation of PC1 with the four different IOD indices 1054 and Nino3.4 index defined in the method section are indicated at the bottom of the panel. 1055 (b) Regression of SON SMOS-new SSS interannual anomalies (SSS', color) and 1056 GEKCO surface current interannual anomalies (Current', vectors) to PC1 over the 2010-1057 2018 period. Signals that are not significantly different from zero at the 90% confidence 1058 1059 level are masked. (c) Regression of SON AVISO SLA (shading), GEKCO surface 1060 current interannual anomalies (vectors) to SON SDI over the 2010-2018 period. The frames on panels (b) and (c) are used in Figure 14. 1061





**Figure 13.** (a) Bar diagram of average SON SLA-based dipole index (SDI) from 2010 to 2018. Latitude time section along the East Indian coast (boxes on Figure 10a and 11a) of monthly (b) SMOS-new SSS (colors, pss) and GEKCO along-shore current (Contour, cm/s; only southward currents are plotted as continuous contours every 0.1 m.s<sup>-1</sup>), (c) SMOS-new SSS interannual anomalies (colors, pss). The blue (red) frames delineate the September-November period of the two negative (positive) IOD years in 2010 and 2016 (2011 and 2015).



Figure 14: Time series of June to December for (a) Ganges-Brahmaputra River 1072 discharge anomaly (GBA, Blue; converted in mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in NBoB oceanic area depicted 1073 on Figure 2b), (b) SSS anomaly in NBoB (red), (c) along-shore current anomaly (blue) 1074 in northwestern BoB (NWBoB, i.e. 2° from the coast along the east Indian coast; 14°N-1075 20.5°N; see frame on Figure 12c), (d) SSS anomaly (red) in southwestern BoB 1076 (SWBoB; 80°E-82°E-10°N-16°N; see frame on Figure 12b). Doted lines correspond to 1077 "typical" IOD signals, obtained by regressing interannual anomalies on the normalized 1078 SDI). Bars indicate the SON period (red for positive IOD years, blue for negative years 1079 and grey for normal years, derived from the SDI). Stars represent the mean SON values. 1080 1081 The correlation (r) between the SON SDI and SON interannual anomalies of each variable is given on each panel. 1082



1083

Figure 15: (a-b) August and September 2019 SMOS-new SSS anomalies (color) overlaid with GEKCO current anomaly (vector). (c-f) August to November 2019 SMAP SSS anomalies (color) overlaid with GEKCO current anomaly (vector). For this plot, the climatology is computed using the common June 2015 to May 2019 SMOS-new and SMAP period.



Figure 16: Bar diagrams of comparisons between satellite products and co-located *in situ* SSS data over the entire BoB. The merged CCI-SSS product (2010 to October 2018) is compared with (a) SMOS-new, (b) Aquarius and (c) SMAP over their common periods. The statistics on this figure are strictly comparable, since both satellite datasets on each panel are compared to the same common *in situ* data sample.