



HAL
open science

Enhanced future warming constrained by past trends in the equatorial Pacific sea surface temperature gradient

Masahiro Watanabe, Jean-Louis Dufresne, Yu Kosaka, Thorsten Mauritsen, Hiroaki Tatebe

► **To cite this version:**

Masahiro Watanabe, Jean-Louis Dufresne, Yu Kosaka, Thorsten Mauritsen, Hiroaki Tatebe. Enhanced future warming constrained by past trends in the equatorial Pacific sea surface temperature gradient. *Nature Climate Change*, 2020, 10.1038/s41558-020-00933-3 . hal-03016527

HAL Id: hal-03016527

<https://hal.science/hal-03016527>

Submitted on 20 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Enhanced future warming constrained by past trends in the equatorial Pacific sea surface temperature gradient

Masahiro Watanabe^{1*}, Jean-Louis Dufresne², Yu Kosaka³, Thorsten Mauritsen⁴ & Hiroaki Tatebe⁵

1: Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Chiba, Japan

2: Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, Institut Pierre et Simon Laplace, Paris, France

3: The Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

4: Department of Meteorology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

5: Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Kanagawa, Japan

* Corresponding author:

Masahiro Watanabe, Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, the University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8568, Japan.

Phone: 81-4-7136-4387, Fax: 81-4-7136-4375

E-mail: hiro@aori.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Nature Climate Change

Submitted on 5 December, 2019; Revised on X March, 2020

1 **The zonal gradient in the equatorial Pacific sea surface temperature (SST), high in the**
2 **west and low in the east, is known to be a pacemaker of global warming¹. The zonal SST**
3 **gradient has strengthened since the mid-20th century², but the cause is controversial**
4 **because it is not reproduced by a majority of Coupled Model Intercomparison Project**
5 **Phase 5 (CMIP5) climate models³⁻⁶, which instead suggest a weakening of the zonal SST**
6 **gradient from the past to the future⁷. This discrepancy between observations and models**
7 **has to be reconciled not only for attributing the past climate change but also for assessing**
8 **Earth's climate sensitivity^{8,9}. Here we show using large ensemble (LE) simulations by four**
9 **different climate models, in addition to CMIP5 models, that the intensified trend in the**
10 **Pacific zonal SST gradient for 1951–2010 can be captured by some realisations,**
11 **suggesting that it could arise from internal climate variability. Models and members that**
12 **simulate the past strengthening of the SST gradient in CMIP5 and LE commonly exhibit**
13 **reversed trends in future projections, when the rate of global-mean temperature rise is**
14 **likely to amplify by 9–30% with the larger values occurring in low-emission scenarios.**

15 Atmosphere-ocean state in the tropical Pacific is characterised by the zonal contrast
16 between high SST in the warm pool and low SST in the cold tongue, tied with the Walker
17 circulation accompanying surface easterlies. This mean state is maintained by the well-known
18 Bjerknes feedback¹⁰, which acts against radiative heating that homogenises SST zonally.
19 Specifically, equatorial easterlies pile warm water up to the west and cause upwelling to cool
20 the eastern Pacific. The strengthened SST gradient, in turn, amplifies the easterlies by

21 enhancing atmospheric convection over the warm pool that excites the zonal gradient in sea
22 level pressure. The same feedback explains how El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) can be
23 excited at interannual time scales^{11,12}. Because of the ENSO variability, detecting longer term
24 changes in the zonal SST gradient in 20th century observations remains a challenge.

25 In future projections by CMIP5 Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP)
26 simulations¹³, SST in the equatorial central–eastern Pacific warms more than the western
27 Pacific with a certain degree of uncertainty^{7,14,15}, and the change is sometimes referred to as
28 the ‘El Niño-like’ pattern. There are several hypotheses that explain the long-term SST pattern
29 change; an ocean dynamical thermostat which prevents excessive warming in the warm pool¹⁶
30 and surface wind-evaporation-SST feedback suppressing the western basin warming¹⁷. The
31 weakening of the zonal SST gradient in future projections is coupled with a slowdown of the
32 Walker circulation, which has been supported from both a theory¹⁸ and climate model
33 simulations^{19,20}.

34 The CMIP5 historical simulations similarly show the weakening of the SST gradient
35 during the 20th century³. However, it is not supported by observations, which commonly show
36 that the SST gradient has strengthened since the middle of the 20th century² (Supplementary
37 Fig. 1), consistent with a strengthening of the Walker circulation as suggested from atmospheric
38 data²¹. There exist observational uncertainty²² and a dependence of the trend magnitude on
39 chosen period⁶, but the discrepancy between observations and climate models is obvious and
40 critical not only for assessing the reliability of future climate changes in models but also for

41 estimating the Earth's climate sensitivity (ECS) from historical records. Recent studies show
42 that cloud and lapse rate feedbacks to doubling of CO₂ concentration depend on spatial
43 inhomogeneity in sea surface warming^{8,9,23}, called the 'pattern effect'²⁴. Specifically, if the
44 SST gradient in the tropical Pacific is strengthened, these feedbacks tend to be weak or change
45 the sign from positive to negative, leading to a low estimate of ECS, and vice versa. Thus,
46 reconciling past zonal SST gradient change may aid in narrowing the range of ECS estimates
47 from historical observations and CMIP5 models.

48 The observed strengthening of the Pacific zonal SST gradient can be due to either an
49 internal variability of the climate system or a forced response to greenhouse gases (GHGs) and
50 other agents such as aerosols and solar activity. On one hand, individual model simulations do
51 not necessarily reproduce observations if internal variability is the main driver of the observed
52 trend, which should instead be covered by the whole ensemble. On the other hand, the model
53 ensemble mean will capture the observed trend if it is attributable to the external radiative
54 forcing given a possibility that cooling by the mean upwelling in the cold tongue retards the
55 transient warming in this region. Both these cases however may not apply when models have
56 biases due to systematic errors. A similar discussion has continued for understanding the cause
57 of the global warming 'hiatus'²⁵ that lasted about 15 years since around 2000. The differences
58 lie in the length of the linear trend (60 years for the present analysis), and the increasing
59 influence of radiative forcing is expected at this time scale.

60 Analyses of the CMIP5 historical simulations show that the observed strengthening of the
61 zonal SST gradient is outside of the ensemble of simulated trends, suggesting a primary role of
62 external forcing whose impact is not adequately calculated in climate models^{3,4}. A recent study,
63 based on simulations using a simplified atmosphere-ocean model with observed mean states,
64 concluded that the GHG-forced response in CMIP5 models would be consistent with the
65 observations if the bias in mean SST, which is too cold in the eastern Pacific, did not exist⁵.
66 However, this conclusion raises another inconsistency with our current understanding that the
67 SST gradient (and the Walker circulation) would be weakened in the long-term response to
68 global warming¹⁴. Furthermore, it is plausible that the ensemble of opportunity by CMIP5
69 simulations insufficiently samples the spread due to internal variability. Indeed, increased
70 number of realisations, using either long pre-industrial control runs or a large set of historical
71 simulations by a single climate model, made it possible to include ensemble members that show
72 both weakening and strengthening of the Walker circulation, the latter being similar to the
73 observed feature^{6,26}.

74 To examine whether the observed changes in the equatorial Pacific zonal SST gradient,
75 hereafter denoted as $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ (east minus west, see Methods), reflect internal variability, we
76 analysed a combined set of large ensembles (LEs) from four different climate models having
77 220 members in total, in addition to CMIP5 historical runs, and compared them with six SST
78 data sets (Methods). Each LE consists of historical runs made by perturbing the initial condition
79 and thus the ensemble deviations solely represent internal variability. We demonstrate that the

80 large set of simulations covers well the observed trend of $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ for 1951–2010 although the
81 ensemble shows a bias towards weakening $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$; the result indicates that the observed
82 strengthening of the SST gradient can be caused by internal variability at the interdecadal time
83 scale. Furthermore, the SST gradient will turn to a weakening by the end of this century in all
84 RCP scenarios, during which a rate of global-mean surface air temperature (GSAT) increase is
85 temporarily amplified.

86 In the four equatorial ocean strips (Indian Ocean, western and eastern Pacific, and Atlantic),
87 observed SST has increased by about 0.6 K over 1951–2010 (Supplementary Fig. 2). It is well
88 reproduced by the CMIP5 ensemble-mean anomalies except for the eastern Pacific where
89 models overestimate the observed warming: 1.1 versus 0.78 K per century. While the
90 differences in observed and simulated SST trends are apparently small, the trend in $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ is
91 quite distinct; all observational data sets show the negative trend but only a few models do the
92 same (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 3). The observed $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend is robust when shifting
93 the 60-year period from 1951–2010 to 1960–2019 (Supplementary Fig. 4), but the magnitude
94 is systematically different between interpolated analyses (HadISST, COBE-SST2, ERSSTv5,
95 Kaplan) and un-interpolated data sets (HadSST3 and ICOADS). The ensemble of six data sets
96 leads to the value of -0.33 ± 0.16 K per century (the range representing the standard deviation),
97 which may overestimate the true trend given potential errors in the SST analysis²².

98 While CMIP5 models show a large inter-model spread, the average of the observed
99 $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trends is outside the 5–95% range of the CMIP5-based trends (bars in Fig. 1b). This is

100 apparently consistent with the argument that models fail to represent the externally forced
101 signal, which may explain the observed $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend^{4,5}. However, probability distributions of
102 $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trends from 220-member LEs, calculated for individual models, capture the
103 observations (Fig. 1b). The ensemble-mean trends are either positive or negative among the
104 four LEs, indicating a model uncertainty in the externally forced response. Whereas the
105 agreement with the IPSL-CM6A-LR and MIROC6 ensembles are only marginally consistent
106 with observations, CESM1 and MPI-ESM1.1 show 10 and 40% of the ensemble members
107 exceeding the observed $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend. Thus, the CMIP5 ensemble clearly underestimates the
108 uncertainty range arising from natural internal variability, and LEs suggest that the observed
109 negative $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend can be caused by internal variability at the interdecadal time scale. The
110 features found in Fig. 1 change little when interannual ENSO signals were removed before
111 calculating the linear trends (Methods and [Supplementary Fig. 5](#)).

112 As Bjerknes feedback operates at any time scale, the change in ocean subsurface
113 temperature should be different between models that reproduce or fail the observed $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$
114 trend. When we select six CMIP5 models that show the SST gradient strengthening (called S-
115 models) and also weakening (W-models), we see a contrast in their means and spreads: –
116 0.24 ± 0.1 and 0.39 ± 0.1 K per century (red and blue bars in Fig. 1a). Linear trends in the
117 equatorial ocean temperature for 1951–2010 from observations, CMIP5 ensemble mean, and
118 averages of S- and W-models, reveal an intriguing difference in addition to an overall similarity
119 (Fig. 2).

120 The most prominent feature of the observed ocean temperature trend is the contrast
121 between warming near the surface and cooling near the thermocline (Fig. 2a). The subsurface
122 cooling is indicative of a rising of the thermocline from the dateline to the eastern Pacific. This
123 causes the upwelling to cool the surface layer in the eastern basin, consistent with the negative
124 $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend. The CMIP5 ensemble-mean trend qualitatively simulates this subsurface
125 cooling, which is regarded as a forced oceanic response (Fig. 2b). The shoaling trend of the
126 equatorial thermocline has been reported in the literature⁷ and is accompanied by a deepening
127 of the thermocline in subtropical oceans as measured by the trend in a depth of 20 °C isotherm
128 in both observations and the CMIP5 ensemble mean (Supplementary Fig. 6).

129 Compared to the vertical structure of the observed temperature trend, the surface layer is
130 thicker besides subsurface cooling weaker and shifted to a deeper layer in the CMIP5 ensemble
131 mean. These models suggest that the upwelling does not effectively cool the eastern Pacific
132 despite the rising of the thermocline. This is more clearly identified in W-models where
133 subsurface cooling occurs only weakly in the western Pacific, in contrast to a large subsurface
134 cooling in the eastern Pacific seen in S-models (Fig. 2c, d). The difference between S- and W-
135 models may partly be attributed to a different structure of the externally forced response, but a
136 quite similar contrast in the magnitude and location of subsurface cooling has been identified
137 by categorising CESM1 LE into members that show a strengthening and a weakening of the
138 Walker circulation²⁵. This suggests that the difference between S- and W-models is primarily
139 due to the effect of internal variability.

140 A dominant low-frequency mode of internal variability in the Pacific is the Interdecadal
141 Pacific Oscillation (IPO), featuring a similar spatial pattern to ENSO but modulating the
142 eastern Pacific SST and hence $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ at interdecadal time scales²⁷ (Supplementary Figs. 7-9).
143 The phase of the IPO varies irregularly²⁸ and has changed more than once during 1951–2010
144 in both observations and each member of the historical ensemble. However, S-models tend to
145 show a positive phase in the early period and a negative phase in the late period, and vice versa
146 for W-models. The difference in the IPO trend is subtle, but it is clearer in the MPI LE. While
147 irregularity of the IPO results in uncertainty to a certain degree, its turnabout is expected to
148 occur in coming decades. The IPO phase reversal, in addition to the forced ‘El Niño-like’
149 pattern in future projections, is likely to amplify the positive $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend for 2001–2050 in
150 S-models but suppress it in W-models (Supplementary Fig. 10).

151 Indeed, the linear $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trends calculated using 50-year moving segments change the
152 sign from negative to positive by the mid-21st century in S-models while they are positive
153 throughout the period in W-models; their difference is maximum for 1991–2040 (Fig. 3a).
154 Because the IPO predictability is less than a decade²⁹, this result does not indicate that the
155 future phase of the IPO can be predicted by its past state but rather suggests that the recovery
156 from negative or positive phase during the historical period influences the future multi-decadal
157 trend in $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$. A very similar result was obtained from a single LE using MPI-ESM1.1,
158 supporting the premise that near-term change in $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ is modulated by internal variability
159 (Fig. 3b). In both CMIP5 and the MPI LE, the $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trends are not well separated between

160 S- and W-groups in the late 21st century. This indicates that the amplification of weakening the
161 SST gradient is a temporary phenomenon.

162 Previous debates on the global warming hiatus have made it clear that the equatorial
163 Pacific SST pattern, as measured by $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$, is an important driver of GSAT to slow down or
164 accelerate the radiatively forced response^{1,21,25,30}. Therefore, the evolution of multi-decadal
165 trends in GSAT is expected to reveal a discernible difference between S- and W-models (Fig.
166 4). Consistent with the reversal of the $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend in the future, a positive GSAT trend in S-
167 models is larger than in W-models in all the RCP simulations, although the timing of this
168 amplified global warming is dependent on the scenarios. In a stabilisation scenario of RCP2.6,
169 the rates of warming are clearly different between S- and W-models (larger in the former than
170 the latter) by about 2050, but the difference eventually diminishes thereafter (Fig. 4a). With a
171 strong GHG forcing in RCP8.5, the difference in GSAT trend between S- and W-models
172 appears throughout the century (Fig. 4c). Yet, the implications of attributing historical changes
173 in zonal SST gradient is evident; the rate of global warming will be temporarily amplified if
174 climate models reproduce the observed strengthening of the SST gradient for 1951–2010. S-
175 models show the greatest amplification in the 50-year trend by 29.6% in RCP2.6 for 1981–
176 2030 while the least by 8.9% in RCP8.5 for 2051–2100. This dependence on scenario can be
177 interpreted in terms of the signal-to-noise ratio; the influence of internal variability (noise) on
178 future warming rates is larger if the GHG-induced warming (signal) is smaller.

179 The small inter-model spread of the $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend in CMIP5 may be partly due to an
180 underestimation of the SST variance associated with the IPO^{28,31} (Supplementary Figs. 8 and
181 11). The four LEs except IPSL-CM6A-LR simulate the IPO with a larger amplitude than many
182 CMIP5 models, and it might be a reason why LEs successfully captured the observed $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$
183 trend.

184 Our results do not exclude the possibility that climate models systematically fail to
185 simulate a forced component in the $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend⁵ given that the observed large trend lies
186 outside the CMIP5 range (Fig. 1). This may not happen due solely to an extreme internal
187 fluctuation at interdecadal time scales⁶. However, additional analyses show that the failure to
188 reproduce the observed trend only spans over the recent two decades, but not the entire 60-year
189 period (Supplementary Figs. 12 and 13). For 1991–2010, the observed $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend is far
190 stronger than the simulated trends in CMIP5 and even any of LEs. This period corresponds to
191 the hiatus when the Pacific trade winds were stronger than ever since the early 20th century²¹.
192 The intensified $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend for 1991–2010, 15 times as large as the trend for 1951–2010, has
193 been suggested to be forced partially by sulphate aerosols³² or the Atlantic warming³³. Although
194 such a process might have not been represented by climate models well, our results indicate
195 that considering internal climate variability is critical for understanding long-term changes in
196 the Pacific zonal SST gradient and global-mean temperature not only for the past decades but
197 also for the future projection.

198

199 **References**

- 200 1. Kosaka, Y. & Xie S.-P. The tropical Pacific as a key pacemaker of the variable rates of
201 global warming. *Nature Geosci.* **9**, 669–673 (2016).
- 202 2. Solomon, A. & Newman, M. Reconciling disparate twentieth-century Indo-Pacific Ocean
203 temperature trends in the instrumental record. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2**, 691–699 (2012).
- 204 3. Coats, S. & Karnauskas, K. B. Are simulated and observed twentieth century tropical
205 Pacific sea surface temperature trends significant relative to internal variability? *Geophys.*
206 *Res. Lett.* **44**, 9928–9937 (2017).
- 207 4. Luo, J.-J., Wang, G. & Dommenges, D. May common model biases reduce CMIP5’s ability
208 to simulate the recent Pacific La Niña-like cooling? *Clim. Dynam.* **50**, 1335–1351 (2018).
- 209 5. Seager, R., Cane, M., Henderson, N. et al. Strengthening tropical Pacific zonal sea surface
210 temperature gradient consistent with rising greenhouse gases. *Nat. Clim. Change* **9**, 517–
211 522 (2019).
- 212 6. Bordbar, M. H., Martin, T., Latif, M. & Park, W. Role of internal variability in recent
213 decadal to multidecadal tropical Pacific climate changes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **44**, 4246–
214 4255 (2017).
- 215 7. Collins, M., An, S., Cai, W. et al. The impact of global warming on the tropical Pacific
216 Ocean and El Niño. *Nature Geosci.* **3**, 391–397 (2010).

- 217 8. Andrews, T., Gregory, J. M., Paynter, D. et al. Accounting for changing temperature
218 patterns increases historical estimates of climate sensitivity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **45**, 8490–
219 8499 (2018).
- 220 9. Ceppi, P. & Gregory, J. M. Relationship of tropospheric stability to climate sensitivity and
221 Earth’s observed radiation budget. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **114**, 13126–13131 (2017).
- 222 10. Bjerknes, J. Atmospheric teleconnections from the equatorial Pacific. *Mon. Weather Rev.*
223 **97**, 162–172 (1969).
- 224 11. Jin, F.-F. Tropical ocean-atmosphere interaction, the Pacific cold tongue, and the El Niño
225 Southern Oscillation. *Science* **274**, 76–78 (1996).
- 226 12. Timmermann, A., An, S.-I., Kug, J.-S. et al. El Niño–Southern Oscillation complexity.
227 *Nature* **559**, 535–545 (2018).
- 228 13. Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J. & Meehl, G. A. An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment
229 design. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* **93**, 485–498 (2012).
- 230 14. Christensen, J. H. et al. Climate phenomena and their relevance for future regional Climate
231 change. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working*
232 *Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.*
233 Stocker, T. F. et al. (eds.), Cambridge University Press (2013).
- 234 15. Kim, S. T., Cai, W., Jin, F.-F. et al. Response of El Niño sea surface temperature variability
235 to greenhouse warming. *Nat. Clim. Change* **4**, 786–790 (2014).

- 236 16. Clement, A., Seager, R., Cane, M. A. & Zebiak, S. E. An ocean dynamical thermostat. *J.*
237 *Climate* **9**, 2190–2196 (2019).
- 238 17. Xie, S.-P., Deser, C. Vecchi, G. A. et al. Global warming pattern formation: Sea surface
239 temperature and rainfall. *J. Climate* **23**, 966–986 (2010).
- 240 18. Held, I. M. & Soden, B. J. Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global warming.
241 *J. Climate* **19**, 5686–5699 (2006).
- 242 19. Vecchi, G. A., Soden, B. J., Wittenberg, A. T. et al. Weakening of tropical Pacific
243 atmospheric circulation due to anthropogenic forcing. *Nature* **441**, 73–76 (2006).
- 244 20. Chadwick, R., Boutle, I. & Martin, G. Spatial patterns of precipitation change in CMIP5:
245 Why the rich do not get richer in the tropics. *J. Climate* **26**, 3803–3822 (2013).
- 246 21. England, M. H., McGregor, S., Spence, P. et al. Recent intensification of wind-driven
247 circulation in the Pacific and the ongoing warming hiatus. *Nat. Clim. Change* **4**, 222–227
248 (2014).
- 249 22. Deser, C., Phillips, A. S. & Alexander, M. A. Twentieth century tropical sea surface
250 temperature trends revisited. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L10701 (2010).
- 251 23. Zhou, C., Zelinka, M. D. & Klein, S. A. Impact of decadal cloud variations on the Earth’s
252 energy budget. *Nat. Geosci.* **9**, 871–874 (2016).
- 253 24. Dong, Y., Proistosescu, C., Armour, K. C & Battisti, D. S. Attributing historical and future
254 evolution of radiative feedbacks to regional warming patterns using a Green’s function
255 approach: The preeminence of the western Pacific. *J. Climate* **32**, 5471–5491 (2019).

- 256 25. Fyfe, J. C., Meehl, G. A., England, M. H. et al. Making sense of the early-2000s warming
257 slowdown. *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 224–228 (2016).
- 258 26. Chung, E.-S., Timmermann, A., Soden, B. J. et al. Reconciling opposing Walker
259 circulation trends in observations and model projections. *Nat. Clim. Change* **9**, 405–412
260 (2019).
- 261 27. Power, S., Casey, T., Folland, C. et al. Inter-decadal modulation of the impact of ENSO on
262 Australia. *Clim. Dynam.* **15**, 319–324 (1999).
- 263 28. Henley, B. J., Meehl, G. A., Power, S. et al. Spatial and temporal agreement in climate
264 model simulations of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation. *Env. Res. Lett.* **12**, 044011
265 (2017).
- 266 29. Meehl, G., Hu, A. & Teng, H. Initialized decadal prediction for transition to positive phase
267 of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation. *Nat. Comm.* **7**, 11718 (2016).
- 268 30. Bordbar, M. H., England, M. H., Gupta, A. S. et al. Uncertainty in near-term global surface
269 warming linked to tropical Pacific climate variability. *Nat. Comm.* **10**, 1990 (2019).
- 270 31. Kociuba, G. & Power, S. B. Inability of CMIP5 models to simulate recent strengthening
271 of the Walker circulation: Implications for projections. *J. Climate* **28**, 20–35 (2015).
- 272 32. Takahashi, C. & Watanabe, M. Pacific trade winds accelerated by aerosol forcing over the
273 past two decades. *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 768–772 (2016).
- 274 33. McGregor, S. et al. Recent Walker circulation strengthening and Pacific cooling amplified
275 by Atlantic warming. *Nat. Clim. Change* **4**, 888–892 (2014).

276

277 **Acknowledgements**

278 We acknowledge the modelling groups, the PCMDI, and the WCRP's WGCM for their efforts
279 in making the CMIP5 multi-model data set available. M.W., Y.K. and H.T. were supported by
280 the Grant-in-Aid 26247079 and the Integrated Research Program for Advancing Climate
281 Models from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT),
282 Japan. T.M. acknowledges funding from the European Research Council grant #770765 and
283 European Union Horizon 2020 project #820829.

284

285 **Author Contributions**

286 M.W. designed the research and wrote the paper. H.T. conducted the MIROC large ensemble
287 experiments. J.-L.D., Y.K. and T.M. helped analysing the large ensemble simulations. All
288 authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

289

290 **Additional information**

291 Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints and
292 permissions information is available online at www.nature.com/reprints. Correspondence and
293 requests for materials should be addressed to M.W. (hiro@aori.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

294

295 **Competing financial interests**

296 The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

297

298 **Methods**

299 **Observed SST and ocean temperature data sets.** To evaluate the observed SST trends, we
300 analysed six different SST data sets from COBE-SST2³⁴, the Hadley Centre Sea Ice and SST
301 version 1.1 (HadISST1.1)³⁵, the Hadley Centre SST version 3.1 (HadSST3.1)³⁶, the National
302 Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Extended Reconstructed SST version 5 (ERSSTv5)³⁷,
303 the International Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set (ICOADS)³⁸, and the Kaplan
304 Extended SST version 2³⁹. Two out of six data sets (HadSST3 and ICOADS) are un-
305 interpolated analyses, i.e., without any spatial/temporal smoothing or interpolation, and may
306 be more reliable than the interpolated analyses²². The data are not available after 2014 in
307 COBE-SST2 and after 2016 in HadISST, but other four archives provide data available until
308 2019. Annual mean data for 1951–2010 were analysed for all the data sets, and the linear trend
309 was calculated using annual anomalies relative to the 1971–2000 mean. We also used the
310 gridded ocean temperature data compiled with COBE-SST2, having 14 vertical levels above
311 500 m⁴⁰.

312 **Large ensemble historical simulations.** For comparing the SST trend with observations and
313 CMIP5 simulations, we analysed large ensemble (LE) climate simulations from Community
314 Earth System Model Version 1 (CESM1)⁴¹, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology Earth System
315 Model version 1.1 (MPI-ESM1.1)⁴², Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate version

316 6 (MRIOC6)⁴³, and Institut Pierre et Simon Laplace Earth System Model version 6A (IPSL-
317 CM6A-LR)⁴⁴. Each LE was generated by repeating climate simulations with the identical
318 external forcing but perturbing their initial conditions. The CESM1 LE has 40 members driven
319 by the CMIP5 historical and RCP8.5 forcing, and provide data publicly via the NCAR Large
320 Ensemble project. The MPI-ESM1.1 has 100 members driven by the CMIP5 forcing as well
321 and the data are open to the research community. We used the surface skin temperature data,
322 which is nearly the same as SST, from historical and RCP8.5 runs. LEs from other two,
323 MIROC6 including 50 members and IPSL-CM6-LR including 30 members, have recently been
324 produced using the CMIP6 version models and external forcing for the CMIP6 historical
325 simulation for 1850–2015⁴⁵. Some of the radiative forcing agents have been updated in CMIP6,
326 but the updates to models presumably have a larger impact on the simulations. Given that the
327 CMIP6-based LEs are not systematically different from the CMIP5-based LEs (Fig. 1b), we
328 treated the four LEs equally.

329 **CMIP5 Models.** We employed 27 climate model simulations from the CMIP5 archive¹³. We
330 used one member of the historical and three RCP experiments (RCP2.6, 4.5, and 8.5) for each
331 model. Annual mean anomalies, relative to the 1971–2000 mean, were first compiled on a
332 regular 2.5°×2.5° grid. The linear trends for 1951–2010 were then calculated using the annual
333 mean anomalies from historical runs for 1951–2005 combined with RCP4.5 simulations for
334 2006–2010. The results have been confirmed to change little when using other RCP simulations.
335 The models used in the present study are listed in Supplementary Table 1, wherein the ΔSST_{eq}

336 trend for 1951–2010 is also presented. Although historical and RCP4.5 simulations are
337 available for more than 40 models^{3,5}, in this study we used only those models that provided
338 subsurface ocean temperature data. We did not use GSAT for the RCP2.6 simulations by
339 CMCC-CM and CESM1-BGC because the data were not available.

340 **Metric for the SST pattern change in the tropical Pacific.** The zonal SST gradient in the
341 equatorial Pacific, $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$, is defined as the difference between the western Pacific (110°E–
342 180°, 5°S–5°N) and the eastern Pacific (180°–80°W, 5°S–5°N), so that the positive change in
343 $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ represents a weakening of the zonal gradient. The eastern box is very similar to the
344 Niño 3 region (150°W–90°W, 5°S–5°N) but only slightly wider. While anomaly in the western
345 Pacific is often ignored in the ENSO theory assuming a radiative-convective equilibrium over
346 the warm pool¹¹, the $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend for 1951–2010 depends on SST changes in both regions
347 (Supplementary Figs. 2 and 7).

348 **Separation between interannual and decadal/interdecadal anomalies.** Most of analyses are
349 based on annual mean anomalies, but some of analyses were conducted after the anomalies
350 were separated into high- and low-frequency components using a 10-year lowpass filter
351 (Supplementary Figs. 5, 7-9, 11). Namely, filtered anomalies are regarded as low-frequency
352 components that contain decadal/interdecadal variability and linear trends, whereas the
353 residuals are referred to as high-frequency components representing the interannual variability.

354 **Statistical significance and uncertainty estimates.** We evaluated if the linear trend is
355 statistically significant against fluctuations around the trend, by using the Student's t-test. The

356 result is shown where the pattern of linear trends is presented (Fig. 2, Supplementary Figs. 1,
357 6, and 10). For model ensembles, we also calculate the level of agreement across models, i.e.,
358 number of models that reveal the same sign of the trend. This is another measure of confidence,
359 and more than 80% of models agree with the sign where the linear trend is statistically
360 significant at the 95% level (Supplementary Fig. 6). For estimating uncertainty of the linear
361 trend, we plotted either the 66% or 90% range for samples assuming a Gaussian distribution.
362 We compared probability density functions of linear trends in each LE (Fig. 1b) with those
363 estimated using a non-parametric least-squares cross validation technique⁴⁶, and confirmed that
364 the Gaussian distribution is a good approximation (Supplementary Fig. 14). Small sample sizes
365 for observations leads to a potential error in the Gaussian fitting, but we applied the same
366 method for comparing with uncertainty ranges in GCMs.

367 **Data and code availability**

368 COBE-SST2, ERSSTv5, ICOADS, and Kaplan SST data sets are all available from the
369 NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD website (<https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/>). Two other
370 SST data sets compiled at the Met Office Hadley Centre are all available from
371 <https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/>. The CMIP5 model output analysed in this study is
372 available from the Earth System Grid Federation server (<https://esgf->
373 node.llnl.gov/search/cmip5/). The CESM Large Ensemble project simulation output can be
374 obtained from <http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/projects/community-projects/LENS/data-sets.html>.
375 The MPI-ESM1.1 large ensemble data are available at the MPI Grand Ensemble project

376 website (<https://www.mpimet.mpg.de/en/grand-ensemble/>). The IPSL-CM6-LR and MIROC6
377 large ensemble simulation data are not accessible via website, but are available upon request.
378 The Fortran codes used for creating plots in this study can also be made available on request.
379

380 34. Hirahara, S., Ishii, M. & Fukuda, Y. Centennial-scale sea surface temperature analysis and
381 its uncertainty. *J. Climate* **27**, 57–75 (2014).

382 35. Rayner, N. A. et al. Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine
383 air temperature since the late nineteenth century. *J. Geophys. Res.* **108**, 4407 (2003).

384 36. Kennedy J. J., Rayner, N. A., Smith, R. O., Saunby, M. & Parker, D.E. Reassessing biases
385 and other uncertainties in sea-surface temperature observations since 1850 Part 1:
386 Measurement and sampling errors. *J. Geophys. Res.* **116**, D14103 (2011).

387 37. Huang, B. et al. Extended reconstructed sea surface temperature, version 5 (ERSSTv5):
388 Upgrades, validations, and intercomparisons. *J. Climate* **30**, 8179–8205 (2017).

389 38. Woodruff, S. D., Worley, S. J., Lubker, S. J. et al. ICOADS release 2.5: Extensions and
390 enhancements to the surface marine meteorological archive. *Int. J. Climatol.* **31**, 951–967
391 (2011).

392 39. Kaplan, A., Cane, M. A., Kushnir, Y. et al. Analyses of global sea surface
393 temperature 1856–1991. *J. Geophys. Res.* **103**, 18567–18589 (1998).

394 40. Ishii, M. & Kimoto, M. Reevaluation of historical ocean heat content variations with time-
395 varying XBT and MBT depth bias corrections. *J. Oceanogr.* **65**, 287–299 (2009).

- 396 41. Kay, J. E. et al. The Community Earth System Model (CESM) large ensemble project: A
397 community resource for studying climate change in the presence of internal climate
398 variability. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* **96**, 1333–1349 (2015).
- 399 42. Maher, N. et al. The Max Planck Institute grand ensemble: Enabling the exploration of
400 climate system variability. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Sys.* **11**, 1–21 (2019).
- 401 43. Tatebe, H. et al. Description and basic evaluation of simulated mean state, internal
402 variability, and climate sensitivity in MIROC6. *Geo. Model Dev.* **12**, 2727–2765 (2019).
- 403 44. Boucher, O. et al. Presentation and evaluation of the IPSL-CM6A-LR climate model. *J.*
404 *Adv. Model. Earth Sys.* submitted (2019).
- 405 45. Eyring, V. et al. Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6)
406 experimental design and organization. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **9**, 1937–1958 (2016).
- 407 46. Kimoto, M. & Ghil, M. Multiple flow regimes in the Northern Hemisphere winter. Part I:
408 Methodology and hemispheric regimes. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **50**, 2625–2643 (1993).

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417 **Figure captions**

418

419 **Figure 1 | Linear trends in the equatorial Pacific zonal SST gradient ($\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$) during**
420 **1951–2010.**

421 **a**, Trends in six observational data sets (black bars) and 27 CMIP5 models, arranged from
422 strengthening (negative) to weakening (positive) $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$. The unit is K per century. Red bars
423 indicate models showing the strengthening of $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ consistent with the observations (S-
424 models), whereas blue bars indicate models simulating large weakening trend opposite to the
425 observations (W-models). **b**, Probability density function of $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trends in four large
426 ensemble simulations: CESM1 (blue), IPSL-CM6-LR (green), MPI-ESM1.1 (pink), and
427 MIROC6 (cyan). Numbers in parentheses indicate their ensemble size. Means and the 5–95%
428 ranges for observations, CMIP5 models, and combined LEs are represented, respectively, by
429 black, grey, and orange dots with bars at the left.

430 **Figure 2 | Linear trends in the equatorial ocean temperature during 1951–2010.**

431 The trend is calculated for the 5°S–5°N strip for **a**, observations, **b**, CMIP5 multi-model mean,
432 **c**, CMIP5 S-model mean, and **d**, CMIP5 W-model mean. The unit is K per century. The grey
433 shading represents the trend statistically significant at the 95% level. White contours indicate
434 19, 20, and 21 °C isotherms in the climatological states, measuring the depth of mean
435 thermocline.

436 **Figure 3 | Linear trends in $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ for 50 years with a sliding window between 1951 and**
437 **2100.**

438 **a**, Ensemble-mean values of the trend from the CMIP5 historical and RCP4.5 simulations by
439 S-models (red), W-models (blue), and all models (grey) represented by squares and dashed
440 curves, with error bars indicating the 66% range. The unit is K per century. The abscissa shows
441 the end year for the 50-year segment. **b**, Same as **a**, but for the MPI-ESM1.1 100-member LE,
442 in which ten S- and W-members are selected following the values of $\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{eq}}$ trend for 1951–
443 2010.

444 **Figure 4 | Linear trends in GSAT for 50 years with a sliding window between 1951 and**
445 **2100.**

446 Ensemble-mean values of the trend from the CMIP5 S-models (red curve) and W-models (blue
447 curve) and the 66% range (shading) for **a**, RCP2.6, **b**, RCP4.5, and **c**, RCP8.5 simulations. The
448 abscissa shows the end year for the 50-year segment. The unit is K per century. The linear
449 trends for 1951–2000 are calculated using the historical runs and are highlighted by squares.

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477





Figure 1 | Linear trends in the equatorial Pacific zonal SST gradient (ΔSST_{eq}) during 1951–2010.

a, Trends in six observational data sets (black bars) and 27 CMIP5 models, arranged from strengthening (negative) to weakening (positive) ΔSST_{eq} . The unit is K per century. Red bars indicate models showing the strengthening of ΔSST_{eq} consistent with the observations (S-models), whereas blue bars indicate models simulating large weakening trend opposite to the observations (W-models). **b**, Probability density function of ΔSST_{eq} trends in four large ensemble simulations: CESM1 (blue), IPSL-CM6-LR (green), MPI-ESM1.1 (pink), and MIROC6 (cyan). Numbers in parentheses indicate their ensemble size. Means and the 5–95% ranges for observations, CMIP5 models, and combined LEs are represented, respectively, by black, grey, and orange dots with bars at the left.



487

488 **Figure 2 | Linear trends in the equatorial ocean temperature during 1951–2010.**


489 The trend is calculated for the 5°S–5°N strip for **a**, observations, **b**, CMIP5 multi-model mean,
 490 **c**, CMIP5 S-model mean, and **d**, CMIP5 W-model mean. The unit is K per century. The grey
 491 shading represents the trend statistically significant at the 95% level. White contours indicate
 492 19, 20, and 21 °C isotherms in the climatological states, measuring the depth of mean
 493 thermocline.

494

495

496

497



505 **Figure 3 | Linear trends in $\Delta S S T_{e q}$ for 50 years with a sliding window between 1951 and**
 506 **2100.**

507 **a**, Ensemble-mean values of the trend from the CMIP5 historical and RCP4.5 simulations by
 508 S-models (red), W-models (blue), and all models (grey) represented by squares and dashed
 509 curves, with error bars indicating the 66% range. The unit is K per century. The abscissa shows
 510 the end year for the 50-year segment. **b**, Same as **a**, but for the MPI-ESM1.1 100-member LE,
 511 in which ten S- and W-members are selected following the values of $\Delta S S T_{e q}$ trend for 1951–
 512 2010.

518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531




Figure 4 | Linear trends in GSAT for 50 years with a sliding window between 1951 and 2100.

Ensemble-mean values of the trend from the CMIP5 S-models (red curve) and W-models (blue curve) and the 66% range (shading) for **a**, RCP2.6, **b**, RCP4.5, and **c**, RCP8.5 simulations. The abscissa shows the end year for the 50-year segment. The unit is K per century. The linear trends for 1951–2000 are calculated using the historical runs and are highlighted by squares.