

Tropical Instability Waves in the Atlantic Ocean: investigating the relative role of sea surface salinity and temperature from 2010 to 2018

Léa Olivier, Gilles Reverdin, Audrey Hasson, Jacqueline Boutin

► To cite this version:

Léa Olivier, Gilles Reverdin, Audrey Hasson, Jacqueline Boutin. Tropical Instability Waves in the Atlantic Ocean: investigating the relative role of sea surface salinity and temperature from 2010 to 2018. Journal of Geophysical Research. Oceans, 2020, 10.1029/2020JC016641. hal-03014578

HAL Id: hal-03014578 https://hal.science/hal-03014578

Submitted on 19 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Tropical Instability Waves in the Atlantic Ocean: investigating the relative role of sea surface salinity and temperature from 2010 to 2018

- 3 L. Olivier¹, G. Reverdin¹, A. Hasson^{1,2} and J. Boutin¹
- ¹Sorbonne Université, CNRS, IRD, MNHN, UMR 7159, Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du 4 Climat : Expérimentations et Approches Numériques, LOCEAN-IPSL F-75005, Paris, France 5 ²Université de Toulouse, CNES, CNRS, IRD, UPS, Laboratoire d'Etudes en Géophysique et 6 7 Océanographie Spatiales (LEGOS), Toulouse, France 8 9 10 Corresponding author: Léa Olivier (lea.olivier@locean-ipsl.upmc.fr) 11 **Key Points:** 12 Satellite and in situ data highlight large seasonal and interannual variability in the 13 • respective roles of salinity and temperature on TIWs 14 TIWs maximum surface salinity signal leads the temperature one by one month 15 •
- In the top 60-m of the ocean, salinity and temperature each contribute to about 50% of the TIWs perturbation potential energy
- 18

19 Abstract

We identify and analyse tropical instability waves (TIWs) in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean 20 during 2010-2018 using satellite derived observations of sea surface salinity (SSS), sea surface 21 temperature (SST), sea level anomaly and Argo profiles. In particular, the weekly 50-km 22 resolution SSS time series from the climate change initiative project provides an unprecedented 23 opportunity to observe the salinity structure at a scale closer to the SST scale. We examine the 24 25 relative contributions of SSS and SST to the horizontal surface density gradient on seasonal and interannual time scales and how they contribute to the TIW properties and energetics. For the 26 central Atlantic TIWs, the maximum of the SST contribution to the density anomaly lags the SSS 27 one by approximately one month. Argo vertical profiles indicate that temperature and salinity 28 both significantly contribute to TIW-related density anomalies. In May-June, salinity contributes 29 to 50% of the perturbation potential energy (PPE) in the top 60-m, and between 30 and 45 % 30 from July to September. While variations in SST appear to be related to dynamic processes, the 31 interannual variability of SSS is also influenced by precipitations. However, the two leading 32 modes of variability in the region (Atlantic Meridional and Zonal modes) do not well explain at 33 1°N these interannual variations. 34

35

36 Plain Language Summary

Density is a key variable, influenced by both salinity and temperature and essential to understand the equatorial Atlantic dynamics. Each spring and summer, the horizontal density gradient generated by the equatorial upwelling is subject to undulations associated with tropical instability waves (TIWs). These waves are important features that influence both the ocean dynamics and air-sea interactions.

The scarcity of historical salinity observations has been a limiting factor in the study of the relative role of salinity and temperature (and therefore density) in the TIWs dynamics. To do so, we use here an unprecedented 9-year satellite-based sea surface salinity dataset together with sea surface temperature and in situ Argo floats data.

We show that the Atlantic TIWs seasonal and interannual variations are different in salinity and temperature. The TIWs surface salinity seasonal cycle leads the temperature one by one month. Concerning the wave energetics, salinity is responsible for almost half of the potential energy generated by the density gradient. Contributions of temperature and salinity are similar in May and June, while temperature dominates in July to September. Further characterization of the seasonal cycle, interannual variations and energetics of TIWs will be necessary to better understand their role in climate.

53 **1 Introduction**

Ocean salinity is one of the key variables governing the density-driven global circulation, which 54 in turn redistributes heat around the globe (Durack et al., 2012). In the tropical Atlantic Ocean, 55 horizontal salinity gradients contribute much to density gradients and therefore to the large scale 56 57 ocean circulation's baroclinicity (Murtugudde & Busalacchi, 1998; Foltz et al., 2004; Johnson et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2014). Horizontal surface salinity gradients are present in the tropical 58 Atlantic for a large range of scales (Reverdin et al., 2007; Grodsky et al., 2014; Kolodziejczyk et 59 60 al., 2015). At basin scale, the strongest horizontal salinity gradients are observed between the tropics and the subtropics. This is due to a combination of four main processes: (1) the high 61 evaporation rates of the northern subtropics and south of the equator, (2) the Amazon's outflow 62 which water spreads north of the equator, (3) the tropical rainfall excess north of the equator 63 64 (Da-Allada et al., 2013; Yu, 2015), as well as (4) advection by a complex current system. The

near-surface ocean circulation of the region is indeed dominated by a series of seasonally varying currents and countercurrents bounded by the equatorial edges of the northern and southern subtropical gyres (Lumpkin & Garzoli, 2005; Behera et al., 2013). The tropical Atlantic Ocean is furthermore characterized by a strong mesoscale activity (Chelton et al., 2011) playing a major role in the transport of physical and chemical properties, such as heat, salt and nutrients and presenting strong horizontal gradients.

71

Close to the equator, horizontal gradients are especially strong because of the presence of the 72 Atlantic cold tongue in spring and summer (Camara et al., 2015; Da-Allada et al., 2017) and the 73 seasonal migration of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). Therefore, salinity does not 74 only vary in space but also with time. In spring and summer this region is subject to strong 75 76 current shears: between the North Equatorial Counter Current (NECC) and the northern branch of the South Equatorial Current (SEC) at the surface, and between the SEC and the Equatorial 77 Undercurrent (EUC) at subsurface. At the end of the 1970's, Düing et al. (1975) and Legeckis 78 79 (1977) observed that the meridional position of the temperature front associated with the Atlantic (and Pacific) cold tongue was subject to intraseasonal horizontal fluctuations due to tropical 80 instability waves (TIWs). This discovery fuelled numerous studies based on satellite and in-situ 81 observations, as well as on models. Located within a few degrees of the equator, these waves 82 83 propagate westward with a time-period of 20-30 days and a wavelength on the order of a thousand kilometres (Legeckis & Reverdin, 1987; Steger & Carton, 1991; Qiao & Weisberg, 84 1995). They have a marked seasonal variability, peaking in July (Jochum et al., 2004), as well as 85 an interannual variability influenced to a certain extent by the El Niño phenomenon in the Pacific 86 87 (An, 2008; Im et al., 2012) and Atlantic Niño in the Atlantic (Perez et al., 2012).

88

TIWs have a strong influence on their environment. Indeed, through air-sea coupling they 89 interact with the winds, clouds and precipitations at local scales (Chelton et al., 2001; Caltabiano 90 et al., 2005; Seo et al., 2007; Wen et al., 2012). Their associated transports contribute to the 91 warming of the mixed layer in the equatorial band (Jochum et al., 2007), as the equatorward heat 92 flux generated by the TIWs in the upper 50-m approximately reaches 100 W.m⁻² (Weisberg & 93 Weingartner, 1988) which is comparable to the atmospheric heat flux in the tropics. They also 94 play an important role in the heat exchanges between the surface and the deep ocean (Jochum & 95 Murtugudde, 2006). TIWs are associated with an undulation of the chlorophyll front (Strutton et 96 97 al., 2001; Jochum et al., 2004) and through their effect on the nutrient distribution (Murray et al., 1994), they impact the development of marine ecosystems (Menkes et al., 2002; Martinez et al., 98 2018). TIWs hence are important dynamical features that influence the air-sea interactions and 99 biogeochemistry of the tropical Atlantic Ocean. CMIP (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project) 100 models suggest that Atlantic TIWs will be energized under global warming scenarios (Seo & 101 Xie, 2011). Further investigating TIWs from observations would therefore provide useful 102 information to improve their representation in models (Ham & Kang, 2011). 103

Historically, TIWs were first detected from Sea Surface Temperature (SST) and current
observations (Düing et al., 1975; Legeckis, 1977). They have then been observed in sea surface
height (Musman, 1992; Katz, 1997), and they have also recently been observed in Sea Surface
Salinity (SSS) from novel satellite missions, both in the Pacific (Lee et al., 2012; Yin et al.,
2014) and in the Atlantic (Lee et al., 2014).

109

110 TIWs extract their energy through interactions with the mean background state. Some studies 111 proposed that the undulations of the SST front associated with TIWs originate from barotropic 112 instabilities fuelled by the shear of the tropical currents system: between the NECC and the

northern branch of the SEC (Philander, 1976, 1978; Weisberg & Weingartner, 1988; Kelly et al., 113 1995; de Decco et al., 2018) and between the SEC and the EUC (Jochum et al., 2004; Von 114 Schuckmann et al., 2008). Several studies show nevertheless the importance of baroclinic 115 instabilities in the generation of TIWs (e.g. Cox, 1980; McCreary Jr & Yu, 1992; Grodsky et al., 116 2005). The baroclinic conversion indeed makes the density gradient contribute to the energetics 117 118 of the TIWs. It represents the energy conversion between the background available potential energy (APE) and the perturbation potential energy (PPE). The accurate representation of the 119 120 horizontal density gradient has been a great limitation in studying the importance of baroclinic 121 instabilities in the past. Most observation-based studies (e.g. Weisberg & Weingartner, 1988) did not consider the effects of salinity because of the scarcity of observations. Grodsky et al. (2005) 122 123 based on data from a single mooring showed that salinity contributed to the energetics of the TIWs in the mixed layer. Lee et al. (2014) supported this observation and drew a first estimate of 124 the salinity gradient contribution to the wave's PPE at basin scale based on unprecedented 125 126 satellite observations. However, the computation of the energy associated with the waves based solely on surface observations implies strong assumptions on the contribution of salinity and 127 temperature to the density gradient at depth. 128

129

The main objective of this study is therefore to investigate the TIWs properties and energetics in the Atlantic Ocean. This study takes advantage of a combination of SSS, SST and sea level anomaly products from remote sensing, as they provide a full dynamical spatial and temporal sampling of the wave surface variability. In particular, the most recent satellite-borne SSS dataset combining the observations of three missions enables us to perform this study over a 9year period (2010-2018) at a horizontal resolution close to 50 km and at a weekly time scale. The satellite and in-situ data from Argo float profiles are furthermore associated in order to have the

most accurate representation of the TIWs' horizontal and vertical structure as well as seasonalvariability.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the satellite and in situ data products are 139 presented as well as the methodology. Results are reported in the four subsections of section 3. 140 The first subsection presents the analysis of the propagating characteristics and spatial structure 141 of TIWs. The variability on seasonal timescales of TIW signature in SSS and SST and their 142 respective contribution to the density gradient is presented in subsection 3.2, while the 143 interannual variability of TIWs is described in subsection 3.3. The relative contribution of 144 salinity and temperature on the TIW energetics is characterized in subsection 3.4, by estimating 145 146 the PPE integrated over the upper water column impacted by TIWs. Section 4 discusses the results and section 5 concludes and underlines the limits of this study. 147

148 **2 Materials and Methods**

149 2.1 Data

We use satellite-derived SSS, SST, chlorophyll (Figure 1), sea level anomaly and precipitation
datasets, as well as in situ data from Argo floats. These datasets are presented below.




Figure 1: 5^{th} June 2017 snapshot of a) sea surface salinity, b) sea surface temperature, c) sea surface density $(\sigma = \text{density} - 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3)$, d) surface chlorophyll.

A core product for this study is the ESA CCI (European Space Agency Climate Change Initiative) version 1.8 Sea Surface Salinity (Sea_Surface_Salinity_cci) available from 2010 to 2018 (Boutin et al., 2019). It provides, at near-global scale, integrated homogenised multimission time series of SSS data. It is a long-term multi-mission salinity Climate Data Record based on a temporal optimal interpolation of three L-Band radiometric missions: the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS, Jan. 2010-present), Aquarius/SAC-D (Aug. 2011; June 2015) and the Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP, Apr. 2015-present). Systematic

discrepancies between different satellite acquisitions in various geometries are adjusted in a 162 similar way as the adjustments applied to SMOS datasets available at Centre Aval de Traitement 163 des Données SMOS (Boutin et al., 2018). The European SMOS mission consists of a sun-164 synchronous polar-orbiting satellite (Font et al., 2009; Kerr et al., 2010; Reul et al., 2020). Its 165 orbit covers the entire globe within 3 days and the retrieved SSS has a spatial resolution of \sim 45 166 167 km. The Aquarius/SAC-D mission was developed collaboratively between NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Agency) and Argentina's space agency, Comisión Nacional de 168 Actividades Espaciales (CONAE). Aquarius has a 7-day repeat cycle and provides SSS data with 169 170 a spatial resolution on the order of 150 km (Lagerloef et al., 2008). Developed by NASA, SMAP mission covers the entire globe in 3 days, and has a spatial resolution of ~40 km (Entekhabi et 171 al., 2010; Piepmeier et al., 2017). The merged SSS CCI product dataset used in the present study 172 has therefore an effective resolution of nearly 50 km. It is smoothed with a 7-day Gaussian filter 173 and is sampled daily on a 25-km EASE (Equal Area Scalable Earth) grid. The TIWs interannual 174 175 variability in the CCI dataset is coherent with the one observed by the individual satellites (supplementary Figure S1). The CCI v1.8 used in this study is very similar in our area of interest 176 to the recently available CCI v2.3. Therefore, no significant changes of the results on TIWs and 177 178 salinity structures are expected.

The Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis (OSTIA) SST product, distributed by the Copernicus Marine and Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS) combines satellite data provided by the Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHRSST) project, together with in-situ observations (Donlon et al., 2012). The analysis is performed using a variant of optimal interpolation described by Martin et al. (2007). Daily maps of SST are produced at a resolution of 1/20° (approx. 5 km). OSTIA SST uses most SST data available for a

day, from both infrared and microwave inferred SST. This product is available from 1981 topresent.

Sea level anomaly maps are produced by Ssalto/Duacs and distributed by CMEMS. Sea level
anomaly is mapped using an optimal interpolation method. It is produced daily on a 0.25°x 0.25°
grid. The product chosen (SEALEVEL_GLO_PHY_L4_REP_OBSERVATIONS_008_047)
combines data from all satellites available for the period 1993 to present.

We also use rainfall data from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) in its version 2.3. This product merges data from rain gauge stations, satellites, and sounding observations to estimate monthly rainfall. Precipitations are then available on a 2.5° global grid from 1979 to present, and a monthly climatology over the years 2010-2018 is made. The GPCP data is provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD (Adler et al., 2003).

Surface chlorophyll data are the GlobColour dataset derived from ocean color at a 1/24°
resolution. It is a merged product from multiple satellite missions observations (SeaWiFS,
MERIS, MODIS, VIIRS NPP, OLCI-A, VIIRS JPSS-1 and OLCI-B; Maritorena et al., (2010)).
GlobColour data is developed, validated, and distributed by ACRI-st.

200

In addition to the satellite-based data, we use Argo float temperature and salinity (flagged as good) profiles located in the band 0°N-3°N, 10°W-30°W from January 2010 to December 2018 (supplementary Figure S2). All Argo float profiles for the period and area of interest (648 in total) are interpolated with a 1-m resolution. The top 5-m measurements are discarded due to the lack of numerous good quality data close to the surface and the value at 6 m is extrapolated to the surface.

2.2 Methods

Various studies have shown that the most energetic TIWs have frequency and wavelength in the 208 equatorial band in the 20-50 day period range and close to 10° zonal wavelength (Legeckis & 209 Reverdin, 1987; Steger & Carton, 1991; Qiao & Weisberg, 1995; Jochum et al., 2004). In order 210 to detect changes due to the TIWs variability (and following the approach proposed by Lee et al. 211 (2014)), SSS, SST and sea level anomaly data are filtered with a 20-50 day 0-phase second order 212 Butterworth band-pass filter. Further very long wavelength zonal variability structures are 213 removed with a 20° high-pass second order 0-phase Butterworth filter. These long wavelength 214 structures are particularly intense in the first half of the year. Special treatment was applied to 215 216 SSS in order to reduce the noise and enhance the signal of the SSS gradient in the central tropical Atlantic: SSS data are averaged over 5 pixels (5x0.25°) in longitude, on the basis of a 217 comparison with ship-mounted thermosalinograph and satellite data presented in the 218 supplementary information (Figure S3). For all variables the amplitude (envelope) of the wave 219 packet is deduced from the filtered TIW anomalies. We assume that the filtered signal can be 220 approximated by a harmonic wave 221


$$222 \quad A(t)\cos(\omega t + \phi), \tag{1}$$

where A(t) is the amplitude, ω the local pulsation, and ϕ the local phase. A(t) varies with a time period *T* longer than the one of the waves. From the cosine function properties, the temporal mean of squared cosine is $\frac{1}{2}$ over one period. Therefore, a low-passed $\tilde{A}(t)$ is estimated as:

226
$$\tilde{A}(t) = \left(\frac{2}{T} \int_0^T dt \, A^2(t) \cos^2(\omega t + \phi)\right)^{1/2},\tag{2}$$

the integration over the time period T is achieved through a 50-days, 0-phase second-order lowpass Butterworth filter.

In order to compute the PPE generated by the horizontal density gradient, Argo profiles from 2010-2018 and between 10°W-30°W and 0°N-3°N (black box in Figure 2) are sorted into two categories (positive and negative) based on the sign of the filtered density anomalies. These anomalies for the 5th of June 2017 are represented in Figure 2. Mean profiles of salinity, temperature and density associated with positive (t_+, s_+, ρ_+) and negative (t_-, s_-, ρ_-) density anomalies are computed for each month (supplementary Figure S4).



236

Figure 2: Snapshot of the density anomaly on the 5th of June 2017. The black square corresponds to the region
 where Argo profiles are selected.

Since Argo float profiles are not evenly distributed, the mean profiles located in positive and 239 negative surface density anomaly for each month are not at the same latitude-longitude. The 240 strong meridional and zonal gradients in spring and summer can bias the results. To avoid this, 241 we divided the large 30°W-10°W longitude band into four smaller bands (30°W-25°W, 25°W-242 20°W, 20°W-15°W and 15°W-10°W) and neglected the zonal gradients in each band. The mean 243 meridional gradient of temperature and salinity is then estimated from the Argo data set for each 244 couple of months, at each depth and in each band. Mean profiles t_+, s_+, ρ_+ and t_-, s_-, ρ_- are 245 computed for each month, in each band, corrected from the mean meridional gradient and then 246 averaged over the four longitude bands. 247

In the thermocline near the depth of the core of the EUC (near 60 m), the scatter of temperature 248 and salinity between the different profiles becomes large. This results in large uncertainties 249 (supplementary Figure S5) in the composite monthly profile, so we will not interpret the results 250 deeper than 60 m. The results do not change significantly for a small variation of this limit, but 251 would be impacted if the change is on the order of tens of meter as PPE is sensitive to density 252 253 anomalies at depth. To characterize the density layer directly influenced by salinity anomalies, the top 60 m is divided into two layers: surface to 35 m, and 35 m to 60 m. The 35-m limit is 254 chosen based on the comparison between the positive and negative salinity profiles for the 255 256 months of May, June, July, August and September. It corresponds on average to the depth where the signal is inferior to 90% of the surface one (the vertical salinity gradient is close to 0) and 257 therefore above which surface anomalies are rather evenly distributed in the water column. A 258 variation of a few meters of this limit does not change significantly the results presented in part 259 3.4. 260

The PPE of the water column is defined as the vertical integral of the potential energy associated to a small density perturbation (Lorenz, 1955; Kang & Fringer, 2010):

263
$$PPE = \int_{-60}^{0} \rho' gz dz$$
 (3)

with ρ' the density perturbation computed as $\rho' = \rho_+ - \rho_-$, g the acceleration constant and z the depth in the water column. We are investigating an instability intensified in the upper water column, we therefore integrate from the surface to the EUC depth (60 m). Moreover, below 60 m uncertainties become larger than the difference between the positive and negative profiles (ρ' , *S'* and *T'*, Figure S5). We separate the contribution of salinity and temperature to the density perturbation as:

$$\rho' = \rho_0 \cdot \beta \cdot S' - \rho_0 \cdot \alpha \cdot T'$$

With $S' = S_+ - S_-$, $T' = T_+ - T_-$, $\rho_0 = 1025$ kg/m³ the reference density, α the thermal expansion coefficient and β the haline contraction coefficient computed from the mean temperature and salinity profiles for each month. Therefore, the relative contributions of salinity and temperature to the integrated PPE are, for salinity and temperature respectively:

275
$$\int_{-60}^{0} \rho_0 \beta S' gz dz$$
 (4)

276 and

277
$$-\int_{-60}^{0} \rho_0 \alpha T' gz dz$$
(5).

278 To calculate the available potential energy (APE) generated by the background meridional density gradient, and the contribution of temperature and salinity, we adopt a similar method to 279 the PPE. Instead of calculating the energy associated with the deviation of the mean density 280 profile by a TIW perturbation, we look at the energy associated with the deviation by the 281 meridional gradient of the mean density profile. For each band in longitude we define $\rho'' = \rho_S - \rho_S$ 282 ρ_N where ρ_S represents the mean density profile in the 0°-1.5°N box and ρ_N the one in the 1.5°-283 3°N box. We then average over the four longitude bands. By replacing ρ' by ρ'' in equation (3) 284 we obtain the APE computed on the top 60 m. The contribution of temperature and salinity to 285 APE are calculated in a similar way by replacing S' and T' by $S'' = S_S - S_N$ and $T'' = T_S - T_N$ 286 in equations (4) and (5). 287

289 **3 Results**

290

3.1 Observations and properties of tropical instability waves

Figure 1 represents a typical situation at the end of boreal spring on June 5th 2017 and illustrates 291 292 the main large-scale and mesoscale horizontal gradients in the tropical Atlantic. The SSS structures reveal the influence of the Amazon's outflow on the western side of the basin. In early 293 June, the just-set North Brazil Current retroflection (8°N-54°W) barely advects any fresh water 294 295 eastward. The ITCZ, located near 4°N, begins to shift northward and contributes to the band of 296 fresh water between $2^{\circ}N$ and $6^{\circ}N$. Around the equator, salty water is brought to the surface by the upwelling system. Mesoscale features such as the cusp-like deformations of the SSS gradient 297 are present around 1°N, with a difference of up to 1 pss between the two sides of the cusp. These 298 299 features are a trademark of the TIWs and are also observed in both SST (Figure 1b), with a 300 difference of over 2°C across the cusps, and surface density (Figure 1c) with a difference of over 301 2 kg/m^3 across the cusps.

The correspondence between SSS and SST features associated with TIWs and surface chlorophyll (Figure 1d) corroborates previous studies showing that TIWs play a large role in the biogeochemical processes in the equatorial Atlantic (e.g. Menkes et al., 2002).

305

Westward propagation along 1°N is found on all data sets, when filtered to retain the 20-50 days periods and 0°-20° wavelengths in order to highlight the TIWs (Figure 3). It has been previously shown that TIWs in the Atlantic are particularly intense at 1°N (Chelton et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2014) and it is also the case in the data used for this study. We nevertheless observe a shift of the SST and SSS front toward the equator in the East and away from the equator in the West

- 311 (supplementary Figures S6, S7 and S8). Between 2010 and 2018, we observe the presence of
- 312 TIWs at 1°N every year in SST, SSS and sea level anomaly.




Figure 3: 2010-2018 Hovmöller diagrams at 1°N of a) sea surface salinity anomaly, b) sea surface temperature
 anomaly, c) sea level anomaly.

317

3.2 Seasonal variability of TIWs signature in SSS and SST and their contribution to the density gradient

The seasonal climatologies of the amplitude of the 9-year SSS, SST and SLA TIW-anomalies 318 (SSSA, SSTA, SLA) are represented in Figure 4. The altimeter sea level is a deviation from the 319 local time-mean (hence the notion of sea level anomaly), thereafter we will use SLA to refer to 320 the TIW-anomalies of sea level. TIWs are present in all datasets during the same season (May-321 September) and are almost non-existent during boreal winter. On average, they reach half of their 322 maximum amplitude on the 5th of May in SSS, 19th of May in SLA and 1st of June in SST. TIWs 323 gradually get more intense (as visible in the amplitude of SLA, Figure 4c), and reach a peak in 324 July. Their amplitude then decreases and is significantly dampened (half of the maximum 325 amplitude) by the 1st of August in SSS (a duration of 88 days), 11th of August in SLA (a duration 326 of 84 days) and 21st of August in SST (a duration of 81 days). The SSSA amplitude average is 327 0.3 pss, with a maximum 0.53 pss in June 2015. The SSTA amplitude average is 0.7°C and 328 reaches 1.3°C in July 2012 (Figure 3). The 2011-2012 period stands out as a winter event of 329 TIWs is observed (Figure 3, visible on all datasets). 330






Figure 4: Hovmöller diagram of the 2010-2018 a) SSSA amplitude climatology, b) SSTA amplitude climatology, c)
 SLA amplitude climatology at 1°N (left) and corresponding longitude averaged monthly climatology (right). The
 dashed line corresponds to half of the maximum amplitude.

We investigate the seasonal variability of both SST and SSS and their relative contribution to surface density (respectively $\rho(SSTA)$ and $\rho(SSSA)$). By linearizing the equation of state for sea water (small variations of the density) at the surface with respect to a mean state, we have the following relation:

340
$$\rho = \rho_0 [1 - \alpha (T - T_0) + \beta (S - S_0)]$$
 (6)

341 Therefore
$$\rho(SSSA) = \rho_0 \cdot \beta \cdot SSSA,$$
 (7)

342 and
$$\rho(SSTA) = -\rho_0 \cdot \alpha \cdot SSTA$$
 (8)

where α and β are fixed and computed with T₀ (26.1°C) and S₀ (35.8 pss) the mean values of SST and SSS for the season and region investigated. Changes in thermal and haline coefficients due to the seasonal and interannual SST changes are neglected as they are relatively small here.

346

At first order, $\rho(SSTA)$ is only slightly higher than $\rho(SSSA)$ (by 0.05 kg/m³, Figure 5). In 2017, $\rho(SSSA)$ is even higher than $\rho(SSTA)$. The surface density gradient is significantly influenced by salinity in 2012-2013, as observed by Lee et al. (2014). This result is relevant for all years, and the contribution of SSS to the TIW density gradient was even smaller in 2012-2013 than the 9year average. At the surface, the contribution of salinity to the horizontal density gradient is comparable to the one of temperature and thus not negligible.

On average the TIW signal appears 27 days earlier in SSS than in SST (Figure 4). The relatively weak SST gradient in spring allows the strong SSS gradient to significantly influence the density gradient. A lag of 26 days is also found between $\rho(SSSA)$ and $\rho(SSTA)$ (Figure 5c), considering the appearance date as the date where the amplitude reaches half of its maximum intensity. Until the 11th of June, $\rho(SSSA)$ dominates over $\rho(SSTA)$. During the second part of the year (after August), the signal in SSS is much weaker while the one in SST lingers until the beginning of boreal autumn.




Figure 5: Hovmöller diagrams of the 2010-2018 climatological SSSA (a) and SSTA (b) amplitudes contributions to
 surface density at 1°N. (c) corresponding 30°W-5°W longitude averaged climatologies for the contribution of SSS
 (orange) and SST (blue). (d) 9-year time series of the contribution of SSSA (orange) and SSTA (blue) to density
 averaged over 30°W-5°W longitude.

360

366 3.3 Interannual variations of TIW properties and signature in SSS and SST

The relatively short 9-year long SSS and SST time-series provide some preliminary information on the interannual variability of TIWs (Figures 5d, 6). The Atlantic Ocean presents a large and complex interannual variability. While the SST variability associated with TIWs has been studied before (e.g. Perez et al., 2012), we have the opportunity to study the SSS variability and therefore the relative contribution of salinity to the density gradient and TIWs density anomaly.




Figure 6: 2010-2018 Hovmöller diagrams at 1°N of a) SSSA amplitude, b) SSTA amplitude, c) SLA amplitude. The
mean AMM index for the month of April-May-June (orange) and the opposite of the mean ATL3 index for the month
of June-July-August (blue) are represented in d).

376

Regarding the interannual variability of the amplitudes of SSSA, SSTA and SLA, three timeperiods stand out (Figure 6). From 2012 to 2014, TIWs signature in salinity is low. On the opposite, the amplitude of SSSA is the strongest during the 2015-2018 time-period. 2010-2011 is characterized by moderate salinity amplitudes. The variations of the amplitude of SSTA and SLA do not present the same patterns as the one of SSSA. Indeed, in contrast to the amplitude of SSSA, both the ones of SSTA and SLA are weaker than usual from 2016 to 2018. The three datasets are nevertheless concordant in 2011, 2014 and 2015. In 2011 and particularly in 2015 they present stronger amplitude than usual, and the opposite happens in 2014. Overall, the interannual variations of SLA are more correlated with the one in SSTA than in SSSA (SLA is correlated to SSTA with a correlation coefficient of 0.6 and only of 0.25 with SSSA).

Interannual variations of $\rho(SSSA)$ reflect the ones of SSSA particularly well (Figure 5d). 387 $\rho(SSSA)$ is lower during the 2012-2014 period, and particularly large in 2011, 2015 and 2017. 388 389 $\rho(SSTA)$ follows the same variations as the amplitude of SSTA, but its year-to-year variations are less pronounced. Changes in seasonality happen only in 2011, when $\rho(SSSA)$ peaks later 390 than usual and leads to the disappearance of the one-month gap between $\rho(SSSA)$ and $\rho(SSTA)$. 391 392 Thus, the interannual variability of the TIW signals at 1°N does not follow a unique pattern, with changes in seasonality and intensity that can be different in SSS from SST. The results at this 393 latitude hold for nearby-latitudes (c.f. supplementary Figures S6, S7 and S8 showing high 394 correlation coefficient between the time series at 1°N and the ones at 0.5°N and 1.5°N, as well as 395 slightly smaller standard deviations at these other latitudes). The small interannual latitudinal 396 shifts of the SSS and SST fronts are thus not responsible for the changes between the SST and 397 SSS signals described above. 398

399

By a radon transform in the 30°W-5°W longitude range we compute a mean westward velocity (averaged on SLA, SSS and SST for their common period of maximum intensity (6th of June -20th of July, Figure 4, Figure S9)), of 0.4 m/s. The radon transform has been previously used in several studies to compute the phase speed of waves (Cipollini et al., 2001; Caltabiano et al., 2005; Yin et al., 2014) and is detailed in Hill et al. (2000). It gives the variance of the longitudetime plot along a radial line oriented at a specific angle. Phase speed can then be obtained by retrieving the angle of maximum variance. The phase speed of TIWs varies interannually. Such a


variability has been suggested by previous studies in the Pacific (Contreras, 2002; Lee et al., 407 408 2012; Yin et al., 2014). From 2010 to 2018, the respective wave speeds are : 0.35 (2010), 0.45 409 (2011), 0.3 (winter 2011-2012), 0.35 (2012), 0.35 (2013), 0.45 (2014), 0.4 (2015), 0.3 (2016), 0.45 (2017), 0.4 (2018), in m/s, reported to the closest 0.05 m/s. These estimations have to be 410 used carefully as the speed of TIWs is also subject to considerable spatial variability, and the 411 412 time-period over which it is estimated is not very long. It indeed changes with longitude, as phase speeds are usually faster in the east than in the west (Jochum et al., 2004), and with time, 413 as they are faster in June than in September. What stands out is that waves propagate more 414 rapidly in 2011, 2014 and 2017 and are slower in 2016. 415

416

3.4 Energetics of TIWs: PPE

417 TIW energy is associated with the total perturbation energy, sum of the perturbation kinetic 418 energy and perturbation potential energy (PPE). Baroclinic instability converts energy between the background APE and the PPE. This is modulated by the density gradient. Previous satellite-419 based papers addressing the question of the energy delivered to the TIWs by the horizontal 420 421 density gradient were limited by the lack of subsurface data. One approximate way to get around this problem is to use a definition of the PPE similar to the one for vertically-propagating internal 422 waves, as done by Lee et al. (2014). However, with a focus on the energy extracted by TIWs 423 424 from the horizontal density gradient, one should in particular consider what happens in the nearsurface ocean, to which the approximate formula may not be relevant. This study is based on 9 425 years of data and includes sufficient Argo profiles in each climatological month to assume that 426 our results are representative of the mean monthly situation during these 9 years. The Argo data 427 provide vertical profiles of density anomalies associated with surface TIWs. Without doing any 428

429 assumption on the vertical density gradient, we compute the PPE at each point of the water430 column. However, below 60 m the signal becomes too uncertain to be analysed.



431

Figure 7: Mean density (a), salinity (b) and temperature (c) Argo profiles located in a satellite detected positive (red) and negative (blue) tropical instability wave anomaly for May-June-July-August-September from 2010 to 2018.

Based on the sign of the density anomaly associated with TIWs, profiles were sorted into two categories to create composites. Figure 7 presents the mean composites of the density, salinity and temperature profiles averaged in May-June-July-August-September located in a positive and negative TIW-related density anomaly. Near the surface, profiles in a positive density anomaly are saltier, colder and therefore denser than profiles in a negative density anomaly and correspond to characteristic profiles of upwelling conditions. Below 60 m, the sign of the difference in temperature and density changes, but the uncertainty related to the scatter in thedataset is too large, and the results are not significative.

Using the density anomaly profiles, we compute the total PPE (Equation 3) and the relative contribution of salinity (Equation 4) and temperature (Equation 5) to PPE. Figure 8 shows the integrated PPE on the surface-35 m layer (layer with surface salinity anomalies) and for the 35-60 m layer. In both layers, the contribution to the integrated PPE is nearly equally distributed between temperature and salinity. Therefore, not taking into account salinity underestimates the total PPE by almost a factor of two. These results in the surface layer are similar to the ones deduced by satellite observations from the amplitudes of $\rho(SSSA)$ and $\rho(SSTA)$ (Figure 5).

In the top layer, salinity and temperature contributions to PPE have the same overall magnitude 450 in May and June (Figure 8a). While the contribution of salinity stays almost constant in July, the 451 one of temperature reaches a strong maximum. Temperature contributes to two thirds of the total 452 PPE in July and August. Both contributions then decrease until September. A similar seasonal 453 variability is present at depth (Figure 8b). Salinity and temperature contributions are comparable 454 until July, and temperature then contributes slightly more than salinity to the total PPE. 455 Therefore, during the development phase of the TIWs, the salinity contribution to PPE is as 456 457 important as the temperature one. From July to September, the PPE is dominated by temperature but the salinity signal is not negligible, with salinity contributing 30 to 45% of the total PPE. 458




Figure 8: Integrated perturbation potential energy on the top 35m of the water column (a) and on the 35-60m (b).
 PPE is represented in yellow; orange and blue describe respectively the contribution of salinity and temperature.

462

The background APE, representing the energy available for baroclinic conversion, (supplementary Figure S10) is also subject to seasonal variations. The maximum APE is reached in June (one month earlier than PPE) for both layers, and decreases progressively until September. Temperature contribution the APE is slightly higher than the one of salinity, and is higher in the 35-60 m layer. The APE below 60 m is deeply influenced by the presence of the EUC which goes beyond the scope of this analysis.

470 **4 Discussion**

471 4.1 Mean seasonal cycle

The maximum of $\rho(SSTA)$ lags the one of $\rho(SSSA)$ by approximately one month (Figures 4 and 472 473 5). The maximum amplitude of SSSA is also observed one month before the SSTA one. At large 474 scale, this lag between the maximum of SSS and the minimum of SST in the region of the 475 Atlantic cold tongue has been observed by Schlundt et al., (2014), and studied by Da-Allada et al., (2017). From model data, they analysed the different terms of the salinity budget and found 476 that the vertical mixing between the SEC and the EUC is mainly responsible for the salinification 477 478 of the area in spring and summer. From further analysis of the EUC they showed that its erosion leads to the disappearance of its associated salinity maximum from July to September, reducing 479 the contribution of vertical mixing and advective salinity flux into the mixed layer. Therefore, 480 481 the surface signal in salinity starts to decrease in June–July, while the cold temperature signal is maintained up to July by the upwelling. This erosion of the equatorial salinity maximum during 482 boreal summer was also reported by several authors based on observations and models (Gouriou 483 484 & Reverdin, 1992; Kolodziejczyk et al., 2009; Johns et al., 2014). We suggest here that the largescale seasonality of temperature and salinity influences the seasonality of mesoscale phenomena 485 such as TIWs. It would induce a time difference of approximately one month between the 486 maximum signals in salinity and in temperature at the surface, which is also the mean lag 487 observed between $\rho(SSTA)$ and $\rho(SSSA)$ (Figure 5). This phenomenon can also explain the PPE 488 seasonal cycle, with similar contribution of salinity and temperature in May-June followed by 489 dominance of temperature in July-August. 490

491

As observed in part 3.4., the mean seasonal cycle of PPE as derived from Argo profiles (Figure 492 8) has the same shape as the one of $\rho(SSTA)$ and $\rho(SSSA)$ deduced from satellite observations 493 (Figure 5c) but with a weaker amplitude. While salinity dominates the satellite surface density 494 signal in May-June, it is indeed less the case using Argo profiles, with temperature and salinity 495 contributing with a similar magnitude. The satellite data products represent SSS integrated over 496 an area of 50x50 km² centred at 1°N, whereas we select Argo floats located in the 0°N-3°N 497 latitudinal band. This sampling difference could lead to the observed difference in the respective 498 salinity and temperature contributions. In salinity, TIWs are the most visible at 1°N (see 499 500 supplementary Figure S6), therefore, even by trying to remove the effect of the meridional gradient on Argo profiles it is still possible that by combining data from this larger latitudinal 501 502 band the Argo salinity signal is dampened. The temperature signal is also the most visible at 1°N, but is more persistent at higher latitudes than the SSS one (supplementary Figure S7) and 503 could be less affected. Henceforth, it is possible that the influence of salinity is a little 504 505 underestimated with respect to the one of temperature in the analysis using Argo data.

Satellite salinity and temperature are representative of the top surface layer of the ocean (less than 1-cm depth), whereas the Argo profiles uppermost values are at 5-m depth. Even though the near-surface vertical structure of TIWs is not well characterized, it is likely that there might be a slight horizontal shift between the surface and sub-surface structures. This might happen for the signal in temperature as a consequence of surface erosion and of the SST feedback on the air-sea fluxes, and for the signal in SSS as a consequence of rain induced surface stratification under the ITCZ.

513 4.2 Interannual variability

Discussing the interannual variability in the Equatorial Atlantic is challenging. Large scale SST, 514 wind stress divergence and curl, and current shear indices hardly explain the interannual 515 variability of near equatorial TIWs in SST and SLA (Perez et al., 2012). The tropical Atlantic 516 Ocean variability is dominated by two major modes, the Atlantic Meridional Mode (AMM) and 517 the Atlantic zonal mode, commonly referred as the Atlantic Niño mode. We first discuss the 518 519 interannual variability in the amplitude of the waves, and then of their phase velocity, in light of these modes. We particularly focus on the variability in the TIW-related salinity anomalies, as it 520 has not been studied before. 521

522

SSS in the tropical Atlantic is controlled by several seasonally varying processes among which 523 high precipitation under the ITCZ and river discharge (Awo et al., 2018; Grodsky et al., 2020). 524 Both processes are strongly influenced by precipitations, and the leading mode of interannual 525 rainfall variability in the tropical Atlantic is the AMM (e.g. Grodsky & Carton, 2018). It peaks in 526 spring and is related to a cross-equatorial gradient in SST (Ruiz-Barradas et al., 2000; Chiang & 527 Vimont, 2004) that governs anomalous meridional atmospheric pressure differences and related 528 529 shifts of the ITCZ which in turn affects rainfall. The mean spring (April-May-June) AMM index 530 from NOAA is represented by orange bars in Figure 6d. A positive AMM index indicates an anomalous northward shift of the ITCZ. In consequence, precipitations are reduced close to the 531 equator and rainfall enhanced north of the equator. We thus expect more contrasted SSS signals 532 533 during positive AMM phases. However, the year to year AMM index does not present a strong 534 correlation with the ASSSA. To understand why the relation holds better some years, it is also interesting to look at the AMM trend. Indeed, a decaying phase of the AMM leads to a 535

salinification north of the equator decreasing the salinity gradient at 1°N, whereas this gradient is 536 enhanced during the build-up phase (Grodsky & Carton, 2018). It will therefore enhance the 537 signal resulting from a positive or negative AMM index. During the period of interest here, 538 2010-2018, AMM goes mainly through two phases: a decaying phase (from positive to negative) 539 from 2012 to 2014 and a build-up phase (negative to positive) after 2014 (Figure 6d). These two 540 541 phases also correspond to the periods of low (before 2012-2014) and high (2015-2018) amplitude of SSSA. Therefore, the decaying phase of the AMM accentuates the decrease of the 542 salinity gradient that we would expect for a negative AMM index, coherent with the low 543 amplitude of SSSA in 2013 and 2014. The opposite mechanism will enhance the salinity 544 gradient, and therefore the amplitude of SSSA as observed in 2016, 2017 and 2018. 545

546

The Atlantic Niño is the other main mode of variability in the tropical Atlantic. Several studies 547 have shown the dependency of the Pacific TIW intensity to the El Niño phenomenon 548 (Hashizume et al., 2001; Contreras, 2002; Wang & Fiedler, 2006; An, 2008). During El Niño 549 years, the decrease in SSS and SST gradients associated with weaker-than-usual easterly winds 550 and equatorial upwelling, is believed to generate less energetic TIWs. On the contrary, during la 551 552 Niña year, TIWs are intensified due to a stronger current shear at the equator and gradients are more pronounced. The SSS and SST TIWs-related anomalies are thus enhanced (Contreras, 553 2002; Yin et al., 2014). Analogous mechanisms seem to occur in the Atlantic with the Atlantic 554 555 Niño dynamics, but with a less clear connexion (Perez et al., 2012). The opposite of the ATL3 index (Atlantic counterpart of the Pacific Niño3 index), as defined by Zebiak (1993) and 556 557 averaged over June-July-August is plotted in Figure 6d. The correlation with the amplitude of 558 SSSA, SSTA and SLA is unclear, with some years being in good agreement (2015) and others

559	years not so much (2014). The ATL3 index is in better agreement with SSTA and SLA than
560	SSSA. Even if the intensity of the ATL3 index is not well correlated to the amplitude of the
561	variable studied, 6 years out of 9 we observe an agreement between the sign of ATL3 and the
562	variations of SSTA and SLA. In 2011, 2013 and 2015, Atlantic Niña conditions correspond to
563	stronger amplitudes in SSTA and SLA and the opposite is observed in 2016, 2017 and 2018.
564	
565	The variations of SSTA are more correlated with SLA than the one of SSSA. This suggests that
566	they are more influenced by dynamical processes (mainly linked to the cold tongue), while SSSA
567	is also impacted by precipitations, river discharge or different dynamics.
568	
569	From 2010 to 2019, the two indexes tend to work in opposite way. The competition between the
570	two indexes can therefore partly explain the mixed results. A 9-year time series is too short to
571	unravel the parts played by the AMM and Atlantic Niño mode on the interannual variability of
572	SSSA, SSTA and SLA in such a complex environment. We can expect that with longer time
573	series and therefore more meaningful statistics we might be able to shed light on the phenomena
574	driving the interannual variability of TIWs in the tropical Atlantic.

575

Nevertheless, this study focusing mainly on the variability of the TIW-related salinity anomalies, 576 we will delve deeper into the understanding of two contrasted years that stands out of our time 577 series: 2015 and 2017. 2015 presents strong SSSA, SSTA and SLA (Figure 3), whereas 2017 is 578 characterized by strong SSSA but weak SSTA and SLA. 579

580

581 The very intense signature of TIWs on SSTA, SSSA and SLA in 2015 makes this year particularly interesting (Figure 3). The good agreement between the three datasets emphasizes 582 the role of dynamical processes. According to the ATL3 index, 2015 is the strongest Atlantic 583 Niña year of the time-series. The observations present multiple characteristics coherent with an 584 Atlantic Niña phenomenon: enhanced SSTA, SSSA and in particular SLA; anomalies extended 585 586 further east than usual, SSTA and SLA persisting until late summer. Even if the correlation between the TIWs interannual variability and ATL3 index is weak on the 9-year time series, 587 2015 is a year where Atlantic Niña conditions influence the TIWs for all variables. 588

589

In opposition to 2015, the amplitudes of SSTA and SLA are weaker than usual in 2017 whereas 590 strong SSSA amplitude is observed. The horizontal salinity gradient at the surface is strongly 591 enhanced, but not the temperature one. This year, the contribution of SSS to surface density 592 dominates over the SST one during the whole TIW season at 1°N (Figure 5d). When considering 593 the SSS anomaly maps for 2017 (supplementary Figure S11a) we observe unusual high SSS in 594 the equatorial band, between 0°W and 20°W which directly contributes to increasing the SSS 595 horizontal gradient. By considering precipitations over the ocean for the months of March-April-596 597 May-June 2017 (supplementary Figure S11b), the correlation between the patterns of high salinity - low precipitations and between low salinity - high precipitations is striking. The 598 equatorial salinification is associated with anomalously low precipitations. The high salinity 599 600 patch is slightly more latitudinally spread out than the precipitation one, probably due to horizontal advection through Ekman transport. Between 16°W and 30°W, north of 3°N, negative 601 salinity anomalies associated with high precipitations anomalies (reaching 2mm.d⁻¹) are indeed 602 603 observed sustaining this stronger than usual meridional SSS gradient. Precipitations as well as

dynamical processes may play a role in 2017. From July to September, lower TIW activity in 604 SSTA and SLA at 1°N than averaged over the 2010-2019 period is observed. It is also the period 605 606 were the upwelling is fully set up, although the cold tongue is weak in 2017 (positive ATL3) index) which reduces the SST contrast, therefore limiting the observation of TIWs in SST. The 607 absence of TIWs observed at 4°N-23°W (Perez et al., 2019) from July to September support the 608 609 results presented here at 1°N. The observation in salinity therefore deviates from the one in temperature due to the different processes that impact the meridional salinity and density 610 gradient. While in 2017 the salinity gradient seems to be dominated by the precipitations north of 611 612 the equator, the one in temperature is influenced by the cold tongue dynamics.

613

The phase speed of TIWs is also subject to interannual variations (Figure S9). On average TIWs 614 propagate at 0.4 m/s which is an intermediate value between the Chelton et al. (2000) speed of 615 0.3 m/s estimated from microwave SST signals and Lee et al. (2014) speed of 0.5 m/s estimated 616 617 from SSS, SST and SLA data for 2012-2013, and within the range reported by Jochum et al. (2004) (0.3-0.5 m/s). From 2010 to 2018 the TIW phase speeds vary within these bounds. A 618 certain spread in the phase speed is expected as the waves are generated by an unstable flow field 619 620 and not by a forcing with a distinct period. Therefore, the TIW properties such as the phase speed range, could result from variations in the properties of the most unstable barotropic or 621 622 baroclinic modes (Jochum et al., 2004) which depend on the background state (stratification, 623 shear). A change in this background state, seasonal or interannual, would modify the most unstable mode and thus the preferred phase speed. In particular, stronger upwelling and resulting 624 625 deeper propagation layer (decrease of the stratification due to stronger vertical mixing) and 626 stronger shear should modify the phase speed. Another hypothesis involves the variability of the

manuscript submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans

mean current at 1°N. The absolute pulsation depends on the mean flow. A stronger westward flow at 1°N would hence accelerate the propagation speed of the TIWs. Links with the ATL3 index are however unclear. Enhanced upwelling and surface currents during Atlantic Niña years lead most of the time to high phase speeds (2011, 2014, 2015 but not 2013), whereas the opposite does not hold for Atlantic Niño years.

632

Satellite data provide a good analysis of the surface TIWs interannual variability, but cannot 633 describe the processes happening at subsurface. Unfortunately, the number of Argo profiles 634 limits the study of the subsurface variability. In order to have a significant number of profiles, we 635 aggregate the data acquired during two distinct periods, each period being characterized by a 636 strong surface salinity anomaly, with TIWs being much less visible in SSS in 2012-2014 than in 637 2015-2018. It allows us to obtain a significant number of profiles for each period, which makes 638 us confident in the results. By separating the profiles between these two periods, we observe that 639 the PPE in the top 35-m layer is dominated by temperature in 2012-2014 (PPE_T = 545 J. m⁻² and 640 $PPE_{S} = 231 \text{ J. m}^{-2}$ and salinity in 2015-2018 ($PPE_{T} = 613 \text{ J. m}^{-2}$ and $PPE_{S} = 736 \text{ J. m}^{-2}$). PPE is 641 also larger in the top 35-m for the second period (1318 J. m⁻² in 2015-2018 and 781 J. m⁻² in 642 2012-2014). The high uncertainties linked with the low number of Argo profiles (especially in 643 2012-2014 where only 146 profiles are used) prevent us from dwelling further into the analysis. 644 Nevertheless, the interannual variability observed at the surface is consistent with the one 645 646 observed at subsurface, which is promising for further studies on the interannual variability with longer time series and a greater number of profiles. 647

648 **5 Conclusions**

The SMOS satellite mission provides unprecedented observations of SSS over more than 10 649 years. By complementing this information with Aquarius and SMAP SSS, the CCI project 650 derives a first combined multi-mission product from 2010 to the end of 2018. The ~50-km 651 resolution of CCI SSS provides a good observation of large scale and mesoscale variability in the 652 tropical Atlantic Ocean. CCI SSS data are able to well capture TIWs and their properties 653 654 (propagation speed, length scale), in addition to SST and SLA data. In line with previous studies, 1°N is found to be the latitude at which waves are the most energetic. At this latitude, the mean 655 2010-2018 westward propagation speed is 0.4 m/s. SSS together with satellite-based SST are 656 used to investigate the relative contributions of the two parameters to the meridional gradient of 657 surface density generated by TIWs. Argo profiles are used to investigate the associated 658 subsurface signals. In the top 60 m of the water column, salinity and temperature contributes in 659 almost equal proportions to the PPE. Our study shows that even on a very well documented 660 phenomenon such as the TIWs, the earlier lack of salinity observations of the waves was an issue 661 impeding its full understanding. Not retaining salinity in the computation of PPE would 662 underestimate the PPE by almost a factor 2. This implies that when trying to estimate the 663 respective barotropic and baroclinic energy sources for the TIWs, not taking into account salinity 664 665 would result in underestimating the baroclinic contribution.

666

The seasonal variability of TIWs in salinity and temperature differs, as the SSS anomalies generated by the waves peak approximately a month before the SST ones. This lag is also found in the relative contributions of SSS and SST to the density anomaly associated with the TIWs and to the TIW PPE. In May-June the contribution of SSS to PPE has the same magnitude as the

temperature one, at surface and at depth and therefore represents ~50% of total PPE. In July-August-September the relative contribution of salinity compared to the one of temperature decreases but still stays relevant and represents 30% to 45 % of the total PPE. An interesting next step in the study of TIW energetics would be to directly consider velocity to draw a more complete vision of the waves dynamics and total energy by including kinetic energy and estimating the baroclinic and barotropic conversion terms.

677 There is a large interannual variability modulating the seasonal signal, with different variations in SSS, SST and SLA. The Atlantic Meridional and zonal modes contribute to understand these 678 variations for some specific years, such as in 2015, but hardly explain the totality of the 679 interannual variability. Therefore, this 9-year long satellite SSS time series emphasizes the 680 importance of having a long-term time series of satellite salinity. In order to remove the 681 uncertainty concerning the role of the AMM and ATL3 in the interannual variability, a much 682 longer time series (20 to 40 years) is needed. Moreover, the Argo coverage over 9 years is large 683 684 enough to study the TIWs seasonality, but the analysis is still limited due to uncertainties. It is also too sparse to investigate in detail the interannual variability. Having access to the subsurface 685 structure of TIWs significantly improves the understanding of the seasonal cycle. It also shows 686 687 that the surface signal can be different from the subsurface one, underlining the complementarity 688 between surface satellite and subsurface in situ data. It would therefore be very enriching to investigate the interannual signal in the same way, for example also including PIRATA mooring 689 data. Furthermore, the SSS satellite product has a one-week temporal resolution. Improving this 690 691 resolution could lower the smoothing of the wave in the product and better resolve the high 692 frequency variability.

693 Acknowledgments

This work is a contribution to the Sea Surface Salinity Climate Change Initiative project 694 (contract reference 4000123663/18/I-NB) supported by ESA and to the TOSCA/SMOS-Ocean 695 project supported by CNES (Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales). We benefited from numerous 696 data sets made freely available and listed here : the ESA CCI (European Space Agency Climate 697 Initiative) 1.8 Change version (Sea_Surface_Salinity_cci, 698 https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/9ef0ebf847564c2eabe62cac4899ec41), the OSTIA SST and the 699 distributed SLA produced by Ssalto/Duacs by the CMEMS 700 (https://resources.marine.copernicus.eu), the precipitation climatology project data provided by 701 702 the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/), the glob colour dataset distributed by ACRI-st (http://globcolour.info) and the Argo data collected and made freely 703 available by the International Argo Program and the national programs that contribute to it 704 (http://doi.org/10.17882/42182). Léa Olivier PhD grant is supported by the Ecole Normale 705 Supérieure and Sorbonne Université. Audrey Hasson is supported by the ESA living planet 706 fellowship. Useful discussions and comments from C. Thouvenin-Masson and A. Supply were 707 appreciated. Helpful discussions with J.L. Vergely about the CCI product are acknowledged. We 708 709 thank G. Foltz as well as the two reviewers for their interesting comments and suggestions.

710

711 **References**

- Adler, R. F., Huffman, G. J., Chang, A., Ferraro, R., Xie, P.-P., Janowiak, J., et al. (2003). The
- version-2 global precipitation climatology project (GPCP) monthly precipitation analysis (1979–
- present). Journal of Hydrometeorology, 4(6), 1147–1167.
- An, S.-I. (2008). Interannual Variations of the Tropical Ocean Instability Wave and ENSO.
- 716 Journal of Climate, 21(15), 3680–3686. https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI1701.1

- Awo, F. M., Alory, G., Da-Allada, C. Y., Delcroix, T., Jouanno, J., Kestenare, E., & Baloïtcha,
- E. (2018). Sea surface salinity signature of the tropical Atlantic interannual climatic modes.
- Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123(10), 7420–7437.
- 720 Behera, S., Brandt, P., & Reverdin, G. (2013). Chapter 15 The Tropical Ocean Circulation and
- 721 Dynamics. In G. Siedler, S. M. Griffies, J. Gould, & J. A. Church (Eds.), International
- 722 Geophysics (Vol. 103, pp. 385–412). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-
- 723 391851-2.00015-5
- Boutin, J., Vergely, J.-L., Marchand, S., d'Amico, F., Hasson, A., Kolodziejczyk, N., et al.
- (2018). New SMOS Sea Surface Salinity with reduced systematic errors and improved
- variability. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 214, 115–134.
- Boutin, J., Vergely, J.-L., Koehler, J., Rouffi, F., & Reul, N. (2019). ESA Sea Surface Salinity
- 728 Climate Change Initiative (Sea_Surface_Salinity_cci): Version 1.8 data collection. *Centre for*
- *Environmental Data Analysis*. https://doi.org/10.5285/9ef0ebf847564c2eabe62cac4899ec41
- 730 Caltabiano, A. C. V., Robinson, I. S., & Pezzi, L. P. (2005). Multi-year satellite observations of
- instability waves in the Tropical Atlantic Ocean. Ocean Science Discussions, 2(1), 1–35.
- Camara, I., Kolodziejczyk, N., Mignot, J., Lazar, A., & Gaye, A. T. (2015). On the seasonal
- variations of salinity of the tropical Atlantic mixed layer. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
- 734 Oceans, 120(6), 4441–4462. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC010865
- 735 Chelton, D. B., Wentz, F. J., Gentemann, C. L., de Szoeke, R. A., & Schlax, M. G. (2000).
- 736 Satellite microwave SST observations of transequatorial tropical instability waves. *Geophysical*
- 737 *Research Letters*, 27(9), 1239–1242.
- 738 Chelton, D. B., Esbensen, S. K., Schlax, M. G., Thum, N., Freilich, M. H., Wentz, F. J., et al.
- (2001). Observations of Coupling between Surface Wind Stress and Sea Surface Temperature in
- the Eastern Tropical Pacific. *Journal of Climate*, *14*(7), 1479–1498.
- 741 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<1479:OOCBSW>2.0.CO;2
- 742 Chelton, D. B., Schlax, M. G., & Samelson, R. M. (2011). Global observations of nonlinear
- mesoscale eddies. *Progress in Oceanography*, 91(2), 167–216.
- 744 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2011.01.002
- Chiang, J. C. H., & Vimont, D. J. (2004). Analogous meridional modes of atmosphere–ocean
- variability in the tropical Pacific and tropical Atlantic. J. Climate, 17(21), 4143–4158.
- 747 Cipollini, P., Cromwell, D., Challenor, P. G., & Raffaglio, S. (2001). Rossby waves detected in

- global ocean colour data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(2), 323–326.
- 749 Contreras, R. F. (2002, September 1). Long-Term Observations of Tropical Instability Waves
- 750 [research-article]. Retrieved June 3, 2020, from
- 751 https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-
- 752 0485%282002%29032%3C2715%3ALTOOTI%3E2.0.CO%3B2
- Cox, M. D. (1980). Generation and propagation of 30-day waves in a numerical model of the
- Pacific. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 10(8), 1168–1186.
- 755 Da-Allada, C. Y., Alory, G., Penhoat, Y. du, Kestenare, E., Durand, F., & Hounkonnou, N. M.
- (2013). Seasonal mixed-layer salinity balance in the tropical Atlantic Ocean: Mean state and
- rss seasonal cycle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 118(1), 332–345.
- 758 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC008357
- 759 Da-Allada, C. Y., Jouanno, J., Gaillard, F., Kolodziejczyk, N., Maes, C., Reul, N., & Bourlès, B.
- (2017). Importance of the Equatorial Undercurrent on the sea surface salinity in the eastern
- requatorial Atlantic in boreal spring. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 122(1), 521–538.
- 762 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012342
- de Decco, H. T., Torres Junior, A. R., Pezzi, L. P., & Landau, L. (2018). Revisiting tropical
- instability wave variability in the Atlantic ocean using SODA reanalysis. Ocean Dynamics,
- 765 68(3), 327–345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-017-1128-2
- Donlon, C. J., Martin, M., Stark, J., Roberts-Jones, J., Fiedler, E., & Wimmer, W. (2012). The
- ⁷⁶⁷ operational sea surface temperature and sea ice analysis (OSTIA) system. *Remote Sensing of*
- 768 Environment, 116, 140–158.
- Düing, W., Hisard, P., Katz, E., Meincke, J., Miller, L., Moroshkin, K. V., et al. (1975).
- Meanders and long waves in the equatorial Atlantic. *Nature*, 257(5524), 280–284.
- Durack, P. J., Wijffels, S. E., & Matear, R. J. (2012). Ocean Salinities Reveal Strong Global
- Water Cycle Intensification During 1950 to 2000. *Science*, *336*(6080), 455–458.
- 773 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1212222
- Entekhabi, D., Njoku, E. G., O'Neill, P. E., Kellogg, K. H., Crow, W. T., Edelstein, W. N., et al.
- (2010). The soil moisture active passive (SMAP) mission. Proceedings of the IEEE, 98(5), 704–
- 776 716.
- Foltz, G. R., Carton, J. A., & Chassignet, E. P. (2004). Tropical instability vortices in the
- Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 109(C3).

- 779 https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JC001942
- Font, J., Camps, A., Borges, A., Martín-Neira, M., Boutin, J., Reul, N., et al. (2009). SMOS: The
- challenging sea surface salinity measurement from space. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 98(5), 649–
 665.
- Gouriou, Y., & Reverdin, G. (1992). Isopycnal and diapycnal circulation of the upper equatorial
- 784 Atlantic Ocean in 1983–1984. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 97(C3), 3543–3572.
- 785 https://doi.org/10.1029/91JC02935
- Grodsky, S. A., & Carton, J. A. (2018). Delayed and quasi-synchronous response of tropical
- Atlantic surface salinity to rainfall. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *123*(8), 5971–
 5985.
- Grodsky, S. A., Carton, J. A., Provost, C., Servain, J., Lorenzzetti, J. A., & McPhaden, M. J.
- 790 (2005). Tropical instability waves at 0 N, 23 W in the Atlantic: A case study using Pilot
- 791 Research Moored Array in the Tropical Atlantic (PIRATA) mooring data. *Journal of*
- 792 Geophysical Research: Oceans, 110(C8).
- Grodsky, S. A., Carton, J. A., & Bryan, F. O. (2014). A curious local surface salinity maximum
- in the northwestern tropical Atlantic. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119(1), 484–
- 795 495. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009450
- Grodsky, S. A., Reul, N., Vandemark, D., & Bentamy, A. (2020). Intramonth oscillations of
- Atlantic ITCZ observed in SMAP satellite salinity. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*,
 41(3), 839–857.
- Ham, Y.-G., & Kang, I.-S. (2011). Improvement of seasonal forecasts with inclusion of tropical
- instability waves on initial conditions. *Climate Dynamics*, *36*(7), 1277–1290.
- 801 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-010-0743-0
- Hashizume, H., Xie, S.-P., Liu, W. T., & Takeuchi, K. (2001). Local and remote atmospheric
- response to tropical instability waves: A global view from space. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 804 *Research: Atmospheres*, *106*(D10), 10173–10185.
- Hill, K. L., Robinson, I. S., & Cipollini, P. (2000). Propagation characteristics of extratropical
- 806 planetary waves observed in the ATSR global sea surface temperature record. *Journal of*
- 807 *Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *105*(C9), 21927–21945.
- Im, S.-H., An, S.-I., Lengaigne, M., & Noh, Y. (2012). Seasonality of Tropical Instability Waves
- and Its Feedback to the Seasonal Cycle in the Tropical Eastern Pacific [Research Article].

- 810 https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/612048
- Jochum, M., & Murtugudde, R. (2006). Temperature Advection by Tropical Instability Waves.
- 812 Journal of Physical Oceanography, 36(4), 592–605. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO2870.1
- Jochum, M., Malanotte-Rizzoli, P., & Busalacchi, A. (2004). Tropical instability waves in the
- Atlantic Ocean. Ocean Modelling, 7(1), 145–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1463-5003(03)00042-
- 815 8
- Jochum, M., Cronin, M. F., Kessler, W. S., & Shea, D. (2007). Observed horizontal temperature
- advection by tropical instability waves. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *34*(9).
- 818 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL029416
- Johns, W. E., Brandt, P., Bourlès, B., Tantet, A., Papapostolou, A., & Houk, A. (2014). Zonal
- structure and seasonal variability of the Atlantic Equatorial Undercurrent. *Climate Dynamics*,
- 43(11), 3047–3069. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2136-2
- Johnson, G. C., Schmidtko, S., & Lyman, J. M. (2012). Relative contributions of temperature
- and salinity to seasonal mixed layer density changes and horizontal density gradients. Journal of
- *Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *117*(C4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007651
- Kang, D., & Fringer, O. (2010). On the calculation of available potential energy in internal wave
- fields. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 40(11), 2539–2545.
- Katz, E. J. (1997). Waves along the equator in the Atlantic. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*,
 27(12), 2536–2544.
- Kelly, B. G., Meyers, S. D., & O'Brien, J. J. (1995). On a generating mechanism for Yanai
- 830 waves and the 25-day oscillation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 100(C6), 10589-
- 831 10612. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JC02911
- Kerr, Y. H., Waldteufel, P., Wigneron, J.-P., Delwart, S., Cabot, F., Boutin, J., et al. (2010). The
- 833 SMOS mission: New tool for monitoring key elements of the global water cycle. *Proceedings of*
- 834 *the IEEE*, 98(5), 666–687.
- Kolodziejczyk, N., Bourlès, B., Marin, F., Grelet, J., & Chuchla, R. (2009). Seasonal variability
- of the Equatorial Undercurrent at 10°W as inferred from recent in situ observations. *Journal of*
- 837 *Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *114*(C6). https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC004976
- Kolodziejczyk, Nicolas, Hernandez, O., Boutin, J., & Reverdin, G. (2015). SMOS salinity in the
- subtropical North Atlantic salinity maximum: 2. Two-dimensional horizontal thermohaline
- variability. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120(2), 972–987.

- 841 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010103
- Lagerloef, G., Colomb, F. R., Le Vine, D., Wentz, F., Yueh, S., Ruf, C., et al. (2008). The
- Aquarius/SAC-D mission: Designed to meet the salinity remote-sensing challenge.
- 844 *Oceanography*, 21(1), 68–81.
- Lee, T., Lagerloef, G., Gierach, M. M., Kao, H.-Y., Yueh, S., & Dohan, K. (2012). Aquarius
- reveals salinity structure of tropical instability waves. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(12).
- Lee, T., Lagerloef, G., Kao, H.-Y., McPhaden, M. J., Willis, J., & Gierach, M. M. (2014). The
- 848 influence of salinity on tropical Atlantic instability waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
- 849 Oceans, 119(12), 8375–8394. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010100
- 850 Legeckis, R. (1977). Long Waves in the Eastern Equatorial Pacific Ocean: A View from a
- 851 Geostationary Satellite. *Science*, *197*(4309), 1179–1181.
- 852 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.197.4309.1179
- Legeckis, R., & Reverdin, G. (1987). Long waves in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean during 1983.
- *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 92(C3), 2835–2842.
- 855 https://doi.org/10.1029/JC092iC03p02835
- Lorenz, E. N. (1955). Available potential energy and the maintenance of the general circulation. *Tellus*, 7(2), 157–167.
- Lumpkin, R., & Garzoli, S. L. (2005). Near-surface circulation in the Tropical Atlantic Ocean.
- *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, *52*(3), 495–518.
- 860 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2004.09.001
- Maritorena, S., d'Andon, O. H. F., Mangin, A., & Siegel, D. A. (2010). Merged satellite ocean
- color data products using a bio-optical model: Characteristics, benefits and issues. *Remote*
- *Sensing of Environment*, *114*(8), 1791–1804. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.04.002
- Martin, M. J., Hines, A., & Bell, M. J. (2007). Data assimilation in the FOAM operational short-
- range ocean forecasting system: a description of the scheme and its impact. *Quarterly Journal of*
- the Royal Meteorological Society: A Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, Applied Meteorology
- 867 *and Physical Oceanography*, *133*(625), 981–995.
- Martinez, E., Raapoto, H., Maes, C., & Maamaatuaihutapu, K. (2018). Influence of Tropical
- 869 Instability Waves on Phytoplankton Biomass near the Marquesas Islands. *Remote Sensing*, 10(4),
- 870 640. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10040640
- McCreary Jr, J. P., & Yu, Z. (1992). Equatorial dynamics in a 212-layer model. Progress in

- 872 *Oceanography*, 29(1), 61–132.
- Menkes, C. E., Kennan, S. C., Flament, P., Dandonneau, Y., Masson, S., Biessy, B., et al. (2002).
- A whirling ecosystem in the equatorial Atlantic. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29(11), 48-1-48–
- 4. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL014576
- Murray, J. W., Barber, R. T., Roman, M. R., Bacon, M. P., & Feely, R. A. (1994). Physical and
- Biological Controls on Carbon Cycling in the Equatorial Pacific. *Science*, 266(5182), 58–65.
- 878 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.266.5182.58
- 879 Murtugudde, R., & Busalacchi, A. J. (1998). Salinity effects in a tropical ocean model. Journal
- 880 of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 103(C2), 3283–3300. https://doi.org/10.1029/97JC02438
- 881 Musman, S. (1992). Geosat altimeter observations of long waves in the equatorial Atlantic.
- *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 97(C3), 3573–3579.
- 883 Perez, R. C., Lumpkin, R., Johns, W. E., Foltz, G. R., & Hormann, V. (2012). Interannual
- variations of Atlantic tropical instability waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,
- 885 117(C3). https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007584
- 886 Perez, R. C., Foltz, G. R., Lumpkin, R., & Schmid, C. (2019). Direct measurements of upper
- ocean horizontal velocity and vertical shear in the tropical North Atlantic at 4 N, 23 W. Journal
- 888 *of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *124*(6), 4133–4151.
- 889 Philander, S. G. H. (1976). Instabilities of zonal equatorial currents. Journal of Geophysical
- 890 *Research* (1896-1977), 81(21), 3725–3735. https://doi.org/10.1029/JC081i021p03725
- 891 Philander, S. G. H. (1978). Instabilities of zonal equatorial currents, 2. Journal of Geophysical
- 892 Research: Oceans, 83(C7), 3679–3682. https://doi.org/10.1029/JC083iC07p03679
- Piepmeier, J. R., Focardi, P., Horgan, K. A., Knuble, J., Ehsan, N., Lucey, J., et al. (2017).
- 894 SMAP L-band microwave radiometer: Instrument design and first year on orbit. *IEEE*
- 895 Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 55(4), 1954–1966.
- Qiao, L., & Weisberg, R. H. (1995). Tropical instability wave kinematics: Observations from the
- 897 Tropical Instability Wave Experiment. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 100(C5),
- 898 8677–8693. https://doi.org/10.1029/95JC00305
- Reul, N., Grodsky, S. A., Arias, M., Boutin, J., Catany, R., Chapron, B., et al. (2020). Sea
- 900 surface salinity estimates from spaceborne L-band radiometers: An overview of the first decade
- of observation (2010–2019). *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 242, 111769.
- 902 Reverdin, G., Kestenare, E., Frankignoul, C., & Delcroix, T. (2007). Surface salinity in the

- Atlantic Ocean (30°S–50°N). *Progress in Oceanography*, 73(3), 311–340.
- 904 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.11.004
- 905 Ruiz-Barradas, A., Carton, J. A., & Nigam, S. (2000). Structure of interannual-to-decadal climate
- variability in the tropical Atlantic sector. *Journal of Climate*, *13*(18), 3285–3297.
- 907 Schlundt, M., Brandt, P., Dengler, M., Hummels, R., Fischer, T., Bumke, K., et al. (2014).
- 908 Mixed layer heat and salinity budgets during the onset of the 2011 Atlantic cold tongue. *Journal*
- 909 of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119(11), 7882–7910. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010021
- 910 Seo, H., & Xie, S.-P. (2011). Response and impact of equatorial ocean dynamics and tropical
- 911 instability waves in the tropical Atlantic under global warming: A regional coupled downscaling
- study. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 116(C3).
- 913 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006670
- Seo, H., Jochum, M., Murtugudde, R., Miller, A. J., & Roads, J. O. (2007). Feedback of Tropical
- 915 Instability-Wave-Induced Atmospheric Variability onto the Ocean. Journal of Climate, 20(23),
- 916 5842–5855. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI4330.1
- 917 Steger, J. M., & Carton, J. A. (1991). Long waves and eddies in the tropical Atlantic Ocean:
- 918 1984–1990. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 96(C8), 15161–15171.
- 919 https://doi.org/10.1029/91JC01316
- 920 Strutton, P. G., Ryan, J. P., & Chavez, F. P. (2001). Enhanced chlorophyll associated with
- tropical instability waves in the equatorial Pacific. Geophysical Research Letters, 28(10), 2005–
- 922 2008. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL012166
- Von Schuckmann, K., Brandt, P., & Eden, C. (2008). Generation of tropical instability waves in
- the Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 113(C8).
- Wang, C., & Fiedler, P. C. (2006). ENSO variability and the eastern tropical Pacific: A review.
- 926 *Progress in Oceanography*, 69(2–4), 239–266.
- 927 Weisberg, R. H., & Weingartner, T. J. (1988). Instability Waves in the Equatorial Atlantic
- 928 Ocean. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 18(11), 1641–1657. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 929 0485(1988)018<1641:IWITEA>2.0.CO;2
- 930 Wen, C., Xue, Y., & Kumar, A. (2012). Ocean–Atmosphere Characteristics of Tropical
- 931 Instability Waves Simulated in the NCEP Climate Forecast System Reanalysis. Journal of
- 932 *Climate*, 25(18), 6409–6425. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00477.1
- 933 Yin, X., Boutin, J., Reverdin, G., Lee, T., Arnault, S., & Martin, N. (2014). SMOS Sea Surface

- 934 Salinity signals of tropical instability waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119(11),
- 935 7811–7826. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC009960
- 936 Yu, L. (2015). Sea-surface salinity fronts and associated salinity-minimum zones in the tropical
- ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120(6), 4205–4225.
- 938 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC010790
- 239 Zebiak, S. E. (1993). Air-sea interaction in the equatorial Atlantic region. Journal of Climate,
- 940 *6*(8), 1567–1586.