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#### Abstract

The catenary is the shape that an electric cable takes under its own weight if suspended only at its ends between two pylons. A rope hung between two masts is also described with a catenary equation which is based on the hyperbolic cosine function. We propose here to approach the hyperbolic cosine function with two simple experiments using a beaded chain. We first study the static form of the chain whose ends are suspended between two poles, when the distance between the two poles is varying. Then, we study the dynamics of the same chain falling from the edge of a table. The simplicity of these two experiments, which can be done at home, makes them appropriate for undergraduate students interested in practicals.


Keywords: catenary, chainette, physics, hyperbolic cosine

## 1. Introduction

The catenary is a 2D-curve that describes the shape of a homogeneous flexible chain suspended by its ends so that it is sagging only subject to gravity. In the early $17^{\text {th }}$ century, Galileo was the first to address the mathematical question of the shape of a chain hanging under gravity and he wrongly claimed that the shape would fit a parabola [1, 2]. A few years later, in 1690, Jacob Bernoulli launched a challenge to find the mathematical description of a suspended chain shape [3]. One year after, the curve equation was obtained by Leibniz, Huygens, and John Bernoulli and they called it "the catenary equation" 4, 5, 6. It corresponds to the hyperbolic cosine function cosh, and it is also called "chainette" in textbooks. This mathematical function can be expressed in terms of exponential functions as $\cosh (x)=\frac{e^{x}+e^{-x}}{2}$.

In this paper, we propose to study the catenary equation with two simple experiments that can be performed at home with everyday life objects: a chain, a smartphone camera and a computer. Both experiments deal with classical physical problems: the first experiment is static (the analysis of the form taken by a hanging chain [7, 8]) while the


Figure 1. Picture of the beaded chain, used in this paper, suspended only by its ends, with the notations used for the derivation of the catenary equation. The two extremities of the chain (of length $L$ and mass $M$ ) are separated by a distance $h$. Vector quantities are represented by bold letters and we use the Cartesian coordinate plane $(x, z): \mathrm{g}$ is the acceleration produced by gravity at the Earth's surface. A segment of chain, of length $d l$, at coordinates $(x, z)$ is subject to the tension of the chain $\mathbf{T}(x)$ at point $x$, to the tension of the chain $\mathbf{T}(x+d x)$ exerted at the point $x+d x$ and to its weight $\mu d l \mathbf{g}$ with $\mu$ the linear mass density of the chain. This segment makes an angle $\alpha(x)$ with the $x$-axis. Inset: picture of the chain laid on a table to distinguish the individuals beads that make it up.
second one is dynamics (the motion of a chain sliding from a horizontal surface [9]). They are thus appropriate for undergraduate students.

## 2. The shape of the chain

### 2.1. Theoretical description

We consider a chain of length $L$ and mass $M$ - which is uniform - and we denote $\mu=\frac{M}{L}$ its mass per unit length. We use cartesian coordinates $(x, z)$ with $x$ the horizontal axis and $z$ the vertical axis (see Figure 1 for notations). The two extremities of the chain are placed at $x=0$ and $x=h$, so that $z(0)=z(h)$. Moreover, we impose the origin of $z$-axis such as $z(0)=0$. Applying the equilibrium condition on a chain's element of length $d l$ in the $x$ and $z$ directions leads to:

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
& d(T \cdot \cos \alpha)=0  \tag{1}\\
& d(T \cdot \sin \alpha)-\mu g d l=0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $d l=\sqrt{d x^{2}+d z^{2}}=d x \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{d z}{d x}\right)^{2}}$ and $\alpha$ the angle the segment $d l$ makes with $x$-axis. We then deduce:

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
& T(x) \cdot \cos \alpha(x)=A  \tag{2}\\
& d(T \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot \tan \alpha)=\mu g \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{d z}{d x}\right)^{2}} d x
\end{align*}\right.
$$



Figure 2. Shape of the suspended chain when the distance $h$ between its two ends is varying: $h=30,35,40,45,50,55,60,65,70,75$ and 80 cm . The blue dots are obtained by manually clicking on the individual beads on the pictures. These data points are fitted with the theoretical curve of the catenary equation $z(x)=$ $\xi \cosh \left(\frac{x-h / 2}{\xi}\right)-\xi \cosh \left(\frac{h}{2 \xi}\right)$ (black dashed lines, $\xi$ and $x_{0}$ being free parameters). Inset: experimental determination of the distance $a$ between two successive beads, $n$ is the bead index of the chain $(n=0 \cdots 221)$, we find $a \simeq 4.4 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$. The pointing error is estimated to be 0.5 mm , except at the chain's extremities where the pointing is delicate because of the fixing.
with $A$, a constant, which depends on the distance $h$ between the two chain's ends: we will denote it $A(h)$, and $\frac{d z}{d x}=\tan \alpha$. Knowing that $\frac{d}{d u} \operatorname{argsh}(u)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u^{2}}}$, we obtain, after integration, the following differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{argsh}\left(\frac{d z}{d x}\right)-\operatorname{argsh}\left(\left.\frac{d z}{d x}\right|_{x=h / 2}\right)=\frac{\mu g}{A}\left(x-\frac{h}{2}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the symmetry, $\operatorname{argsh}\left(\left.\frac{d z}{d x}\right|_{x=h / 2}\right)=0$ and we get $\frac{d z}{d x}=\sinh \left(\frac{\mu g}{A}\left(x-\frac{h}{2}\right)\right)$. Knowing that $\cos \alpha=\frac{d x}{d l}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z^{\prime 2}}}$, we finally deduce:

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
& z(x)=\xi \cosh \left(\frac{x-h / 2}{\xi}\right)-\xi \cosh \left(\frac{h}{2 \xi}\right),  \tag{4}\\
& T(x)=\frac{A}{\cos \alpha}=A \cosh \left(\frac{x-h / 2}{\xi}\right),
\end{align*}\right.
$$

with $\xi(h)=\frac{A(h)}{\mu g}$.


Figure 3. (a) The graph of the free parameter $x_{0}$ as function of the distance $h$ between the fixed point displays a linear behavior with a slope of $1 / 2$, as expected with Eq. 4 , (b) The fitting parameter $\xi$ as a function of $h$ is in agreement with the theoretical expectation we numerically obtained.

### 2.2. Chain's characteristic

We used a beaded chain of length $L=952 \mathrm{~mm}$ and mass $M=21 \mathrm{~g}$ : its mass per unit length is thus $\mu=\frac{M}{L}=22 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$. The chain is composed of $N_{\text {beads }}=221$ beads and is suspended between its two ends separated by a distance $h$ varying from 30 to 80 cm (Figure 1). For each distance $h$, we took a picture of the chain with a smartphone fixed to a camera tripod equipped with a bubble level to ensure horizontality: we checked that the camera's optical axis is perpendicular to plane of the chain. We measured the $(x(n), z(n))$ coordinates of points of the chain by clicking manually on the $n \in[[0 ; 221]]$ beads with the multi-points tool in ImageJ [10]. We then reconstructed the shape of the chain point by point as shown on Figure 2 which displays the measured profiles $z(x)$ for the different values of $h$.

We first measured the distance $a(n)$ between two successive beads $a(n)=$ $\sqrt{(x(n+1)-x(n))^{2}+(z(n+1)-z(n))^{2}}$ and found $a=\langle a(n)\rangle=4.4 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ (inset of the Figure 22, in very good agreement with the expected value $a=\frac{L}{N_{\text {beads }}-1}=4.4 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figure 4. Graph of the chain tension at its extremities. Experimental points (blue circle) estimated with the formula $\frac{M g}{2 \sin \alpha_{0}(h)}$, compared to theoretical values $\frac{M g \xi(h)}{L}$ (dashed black line).

### 2.3. Experimental description of the chain curve

To compare the data with the catenary equation (Eq. (4), we fitted our experimental data (Figure 2) with the theoretical expectation $z(x)=\xi \cosh \left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{\xi}\right)-\xi$, leaving $x_{0}(h)$ and $\xi(h)$ as free parameters. The graph of $x_{0}$ as a function of $h$ displays a linear behavior and we found $\frac{x_{0}}{h}=0.511 \pm 0.002$, as expected (Figure $3(\mathbf{a})$ ). The parameter $\xi(h)$ can be related to the total chain's length $L$ since $L=\int_{0}^{h} \sqrt{1+z^{\prime}(x)^{2}} d x=2 \xi \sinh \left(\frac{h}{2 \xi(h)}\right)$. We numerically solved this non-linear equation which allows us to deduce a theoretical expectation of $\xi(h)$, as shown in Figure 3(b) and Figure 4. Moreover, the inset of Figure 3 (b) displays the graph $\sinh \left(\frac{h}{2 \xi(h)}\right)$ as a function of $\frac{L}{2 \xi(h)}$; a linear fit gives a slope of $0.989 \pm 0.004$, in very good agreement with the theory.

### 2.4. Tension at the chain's extremities

Let's denote $T(0)=T(h) \equiv T_{0}$ and $|\alpha(0)|=|\alpha(h)| \equiv \alpha_{0}$ the tension and the angle at the chain's extremities which both depend on $h$. The equilibrium condition for the whole chain is written: $2 T_{0} \sin \alpha_{0}=2 T_{0} \cos \alpha_{0} \tan \alpha_{0}=M g$. The angle $\alpha_{0}$ is deduced from the ended points of the chain: $\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\arctan \frac{z\left(N_{\text {beads }}\right)-z\left(N_{\text {beads }}-1\right)}{x\left(N_{\text {beads }}\right)-x\left(N_{\text {beads }}-1\right)}-\arctan \frac{z_{0}-z_{1}}{x_{0}-x_{1}}\right)$. Since $T_{0}=\frac{M g}{2 \sin \alpha_{0}}$ and $\sin \alpha=\frac{d z}{d l}=\frac{d z / d x}{\sqrt{1+(d z / d x)^{2}}}=\frac{\sinh \left(\frac{x-h / 2}{\xi}\right)}{\cosh \left(\frac{x-h / 2}{\xi}\right)}$, we obtain $T_{0}=\frac{M g}{2 \tanh \left(\frac{h}{2 \xi(h)}\right)}$. Figure 4 shows the graph of $T_{0}(h)$ where the gravity at the Earth's surface was assumed to be $9.8 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$. Note that $\lim _{h \rightarrow L} T_{0}(h)=+\infty$ : a suspended horizontal cable would imply an infinite tension on the posts. It is therefore impossible to have a perfectly horizontal catenary: that's why droppers are used to connect catenary with a contact wire which is horizontal in the electrical overhead lines.


Figure 5. Schematic of the forces acting on a chain falling from the edge of a table at a time $t>0$. We denote $z(t)$ the length of the chain hanging out of the table, the surface of the table being defined by $z=0$. The reference time $t=0$ corresponds to the beginning of the fall. We split the chain into two subsystems. The first one, $S_{1}$ (in blue), corresponds to the part of the chain in contact with the table at time $t$, and has a length of $L-z(t)$. Four forces act on $S_{1}$ : its weight $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{1}}$, the two components of the reaction $\left(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ and the tension $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}$ exerted by the other part of the chain. The second subsystem is $S_{2}$ (in red) and is the part of the chain of length $z(t)$ that is hanging out. $S_{2}$ is subject to two forces: the weight $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and the tension $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$. The chain is supposed to be inextensible so that $\left\|\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}\right\|=\left\|\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}\right\|$.

## 3. Fall of the chain from the edge of a table

In this second part, we approach the mathematical function cosh differently by studying another classical physics problem: the dynamics of a chain falling from the edge of a table.

### 3.1. Theoretical description

We consider a similar beaded chain, but with different characteristics: it is now composed of $N_{\text {beads }}=229$ beads so that its length is now $L=982 \mathrm{~mm}$ and its mass is $M=22 \mathrm{~g}$. The chain is fully stretched, and laying on a table so that its direction is perpendicular to the edge of the table.

One part of the chain is horizontal and lying on the table while the other part, of length $z(t)$, is hanging vertically out of the table (Figure 5). We split the chain into two subsystems: $S_{1}$ corresponds to the part of the chain in contact with the table and has a length of $L-z(t)$. The second subsystem is $S_{2}$ and is the part of the chain (of length $z(t))$ that is hanging out.

The chain will start to move as soon as its hanging part $z>z_{0}$ where $z_{0}$ is a threshold length, and corresponds to the minimal length of the chain required to trigger the fall of the entire chain by gravity. The start of the motion sets the reference time $t=0$. We measured a threshold length corresponding to 35 beads, or $z_{0}=154 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The amplitudes of the forces acting on $S_{1}$ are (see Figure 5 for notations):

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
W_{1}=-M g\left(1-\frac{z}{L}\right) & \text { its weight, }  \tag{5}\\
N_{1}=-W_{1} & \text { the normal reaction of the table } \\
F_{1}=-k N_{1} & \text { the friction exerted by the table } \\
T_{1} & \text { the tension exerted by } S_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $k$ the coefficient of friction [11, 12]. For $t \geq 0$, the chain is moving so that $k=\mu_{\mathrm{k}}<\mu_{\mathrm{s}}$ where $\mu_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{s}}=\left|\frac{F_{1}}{N_{1}}\right|$ are the coefficients of kinetic and static friction respectively. The latter can be estimated with $z_{0}$. The equilibrium before motion $(t<0)$ gives: $N_{1}+W_{1}=0$ and $F_{1}+T_{1}=0$ for $S_{1}$ and $W_{2}+T_{2}=0$ for $S_{2}$. Moreover, assuming the chain is inelastic, we have $\left|T_{1}\right|=\left|T_{2}\right|$. Finally, $\mu_{\mathrm{s}}=\left|\frac{F_{1}}{N_{1}}\right|=\frac{W_{2}}{W_{1}}=\frac{z_{0}}{L-z_{0}} \simeq 0.186$.

Even if the system is not conservative, it is convenient to use the work energy theorem on the whole system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathcal{E}}{d t}=\mathcal{P} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the total energy including kinetic energy $K=\frac{M}{2}\left(\frac{d z}{d t}\right)^{2}$ and the potential energy $U=\int-\mu g z d z=-\frac{M g}{2 L} z^{2}$ with the assumption $U(z=0)=0$. The dissipated power due to friction on the table is $\mathcal{P}=F_{1} \frac{d z}{d t}=-M g \mu_{\mathrm{k}}\left(1-\frac{z}{L}\right) \frac{d z}{d t}$. Finally, we get a second order differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} z}{d t^{2}}-\frac{z}{\tau^{2}}=-g \mu_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau=\sqrt{\frac{L}{g\left(1+\mu_{\mathrm{k}}\right)}}$ is the characteristic time of the fall. Since the chain falls without initial velocity and having $z(0)=z_{0}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=\left(z_{0}-\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{k}}}{1+\mu_{\mathrm{k}}} L\right) \cosh \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)+\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{k}}}{1+\mu_{\mathrm{k}}} L \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assumed that $z(0)=z_{0}+\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon$ an infinitely small positive quantity, so that the motion is already initiated at time $t=0$.

### 3.2. Experimental data

We manually track the lowest bead of the chain (which was painted in black). The theoretical fitting of the graph $z=f(t)$ gave $\mu_{\mathrm{k}}=0.1672 \pm 0.0001$ (Figure 7) and we then deduced $\tau=0.293 \pm 0.002 \mathrm{~s}$, where we have used a value of $9.8 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ for $g$. As expected, note that $\mu_{\mathrm{k}}<\mu_{\mathrm{s}}$. On Figure 7, we see a slight discrepancy between the experimental data and the theoretical expectation. This is due to experimental uncertainty but also to the theoretical modeling which assumed a constant coefficient of kinetic friction. The coordinates measurement is actually complicated as soon as the chain's velocity exceeds the frame rate acquisition $(\Delta t=1 / 60 \mathrm{~s})$ : this is the case when $\frac{d z}{d t}>\frac{a}{\Delta t}=0.074 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (frames $30-60$ in Figure 6). We also noticed that the chain snakes horizontally starting from frame 31, maybe due to the chamfer of the table edge.


Figure 6. Time-lapse of the chain falling. The time is indicated in frame, the total fall lasts one second. For sake of visibility, we painted two beads in black. Starting from frame 31, we have indicated the vertical axis with dashed red lines to emphasize the fact that the chain is snaking while falling.

## 4. Conclusions

Through this study, we have illustrated the importance of the hyperbolic cosine function in simple mechanics. The experiments carried out deal with static and dynamic studies of the same system: a chain characterized by its mass $M$ and its length $L$. They have allowed us to characterize a shape by a characteristic length $\xi=\sqrt{\frac{T_{0} \cos \alpha_{0} L}{M g}}$ and a movement by a characteristic time $\tau=\sqrt{\frac{L}{g\left(1+\mu_{\mathrm{k}}\right)}}$. In the first experiment, the chain is maintained by posts at an angle $\alpha_{0}$ and a tension $T_{0}$ while in the second it falls by rubbing on a table with a coefficient of kinetic friction $\mu_{\mathrm{k}}$. In both cases the chain is subjected to gravity $\mathbf{g}$ so that the velocity $\frac{\xi}{\tau}$ depends on the characteristics of the chain (its mass $M$ ), on the boundary conditions ( $T_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0}$ ) and on the support's characteristics $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{k}}\right): \frac{\xi}{\tau}=\sqrt{\frac{T_{0} \cos \alpha_{0}\left(1+\mu_{\mathrm{k}}\right)}{M}}$. This relates the universal character of gravity in both experiments: static or dynamical.


Figure 7. Chain falling from a table. Comparison between experimental points (blue circles) of $z(t)$ obtained by manually tracking the lowest bead of the chain, and theoretical expectation (dashed black line) during the fall of the chain (Eq. 8).

The two experiments presented above can be easily taken to home since they do not require any specialized equipment: they just require a camera, a chain, a measuring tape, a kitchen weighting scale and a personal computer. Analyses can be achieved thanks to free softwares: ImageJ for the tracking, and python for the numerical solving of equations. This study is thus appropriate for undergraduate students.
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