

# Petrophysical properties, mechanical behaviour, and failure modes of impact melt-bearing breccia (suevite) from the Ries impact crater (Germany)

Michael J Heap, H.A. Gilg, P.K. Byrne, F. B. Wadsworth, T. Reuschlé

## ▶ To cite this version:

Michael J Heap, H.A. Gilg, P.K. Byrne, F. B. Wadsworth, T. Reuschlé. Petrophysical properties, mechanical behaviour, and failure modes of impact melt-bearing breccia (suevite) from the Ries impact crater (Germany). Icarus, 2020, 349, pp.113873. 10.1016/j.icarus.2020.113873. hal-03012286

## HAL Id: hal-03012286 https://hal.science/hal-03012286

Submitted on 18 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Petrophysical properties, mechanical behaviour, and failure
2	modes of impact melt-bearing breccia (suevite) from the Ries
3	impact crater (Germany)
4	
5	Michael J. Heap <sup>1*</sup> , H. Albert Gilg <sup>2</sup> , Paul K. Byrne <sup>3</sup> , Fabian B. Wadsworth <sup>4</sup> ,
6	Thierry Reuschlé <sup>1</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Géophysique Expérimentale, Institut de Physique de Globe de Strasbourg (UMR 7516
9	CNRS, Université de Strasbourg/EOST), 5 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg
10	cedex, France.
11	<sup>2</sup> Lehrstuhl für Ingenieurgeologie, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany.
12	<sup>3</sup> Department of Marine, Earth, and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State
13	University, Raleigh, North Carolina, U.S.A.
14	<sup>4</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Science Labs, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE,
15	<i>U.K.</i>
16	
17	*Corresponding author: Michael Heap (heap@unistra.fr)
18	
19	Abstract
20	The physical properties and mechanical behaviour of impactites are an
21	important parameter in fluid flow models and slope stability and landscape evolution
22	assessments for heavily impacted planetary bodies. We first present porosity,
23	permeability, Young's modulus, and uniaxial compressive strength measurements for
24	three suevites from the Ries impact crater (Germany). Porosity ranges from 0.18 to

0.43, permeability from  $5.8 \times 10^{-16}$  to  $5.1 \times 10^{-14}$  m<sup>2</sup>, Young's modulus from 1.4 to 8.1 25 26 GPa, and uniaxial compressive strength from 7.3 to 48.6 MPa. To explore their 27 mechanical behaviour, we performed triaxial deformation experiments on these 28 samples at a range of confining pressures. The brittle-ductile transition for the lowest 29 (0.25) and highest (0.38) porosity suevite samples was at a confining pressure of  $\sim 30$ 30 and  $\sim 10$  MPa, respectively (corresponding to, for example, depths respectively of  $\sim 1$ 31 and ~4 km on Mars). Microstructural observations show that the dominant deformation 32 micromechanism during brittle deformation is microcracking, and during ductile 33 deformation is cataclastic pore collapse. We show that a theoretically grounded 34 permeability model for welded granular media accurately captures the permeability of 35 the studied suevites, and we use micromechanical models to glean insight as to their 36 mechanical behaviour. Finally, we upscale our laboratory measurements to provide 37 physical property values for lengthscales more relevant for large-scale models, and we 38 compare these data with those for basalt (a lithology representative of the surface of the 39 inner Solar System bodies). These analyses show how macroscopic fractures serve to 40 increase the permeability and decrease the strength and Young's modulus of suevite; 41 for example, we find that basalt can be a factor of 2-5 stronger than suevite in the 42 shallow crust. Our study suggests, therefore, that the rock masses comprising older, 43 bombarded crusts are substantially weaker and more porous and permeable than the 44 younger plains material on these bodies. These findings should be considered in large-45 scale fluid flow modelling and when providing crustal strength estimates or slope 46 stability assessments for planetary bodies on which protracted records of impact 47 bombardment are preserved.

48

49 Keywords: suevite; porosity; strength; permeability; alteration; Young's modulus

#### 50 1 Introduction

51 Impact craters are a geological feature ubiquitous to the solid-surface planetary 52 bodies of our Solar System (e.g., Neukum et al., 1975; Melosh, 1989; Phillips et al., 53 1992; Wood et al., 2010; Barnouin et al., 2012; Robbins and Hynek, 2012; Robbins et 54 al., 2017). The preservation of impact craters on Earth is rare, largely due to erosion 55 and tectonic activity (e.g., Grieve and Shoemaker, 1994). However, impact 56 bombardment of bodies in the inner Solar System is all but certain; for example, nearly 57 400,000 >1 km-diameter craters have been identified on Mars, a few hundred of which 58 are >100 km in diameter (Robbins and Hynek, 2012), and Mercury is similarly marked 59 (e.g., Fassett et al., 2012a). Well-preserved craters on the terrestrial planets and the 60 Moon are associated with impactites, rocks that have either been created or modified 61 by an impact (e.g., Osinski et al., 2011; Stöffler et al., 2018).

62 On Earth, where we can readily access and assess such deposits (even if they 63 are comparatively rare), proximal impactites have been classified into three groups: 64 shocked target rocks, impact breccias, and impact melt rocks (e.g., Stöffler and Grieve, 65 2007; Osinski and Pierazzo, 2013; Stöffler et al., 2018). Impact breccias are 66 characterised by their clast contents, the most distinctive of which are impact melt-67 bearing breccias ("suevites"). Impactites as observed on Earth are also often variably 68 altered (typically argillic alteration and zeolitisation) by the hydrothermal system that 69 forms following the impact (e.g., Osinski et al., 2013; Kirsimäe and Osinski, 2013) or 70 by supergene alteration (Muttik et al., 2010, 2011).

The petrophysical properties of impactites, such as porosity, permeability, Young's modulus, and strength, are valuable input parameters for a wide range of modelling. For example, the porosity and permeability of impactites are required in models designed to understand fluid flow within the hydrothermal systems associated 75 with impact craters (e.g., Rathbun and Squyres, 2002; Abramov and Kring, 2004, 2005; 76 Sanford, 2005; Versh et al., 2006; Abramov and Kring, 2007; Barnhart et al., 2010). 77 Further, for heavily impacted crusts such as that of Mars and Mercury, the porosity and 78 permeability of impactites may provide useful constraints for estimates of crustal 79 porosity and permeability. Porosity and permeability estimates of the Martian crust, for 80 example, are required for the modelling of outflow channel formation (e.g., Gulick, 81 1998; Manga, 2004; Hanna and Phillips, 2005; Andrews-Hanna and Phillips, 2007; 82 Musiol et al., 2011), crustal hydrothermal convection (e.g., Travis et al., 2003), 83 hydrothermal crustal cooling (e.g., Parmentier and Zuber, 2007), methane release into 84 the atmosphere (e.g., Lyons et al., 2005; Etiope et al., 2013), and groundwater flow 85 (e.g., Grimm and Painter, 2009; Harrison and Grimm, 2009). Additionally, knowledge 86 of the mechanical behaviour and strength of impactites is important for our 87 understanding of impact crater collapse (e.g., Melosh and Ivanov, 1999; Wünnemann 88 and Ivanov, 2003), the modelling of impact crater geometry (e.g., Collins, 2004; Potter 89 et al., 2012), and values of uniaxial compressive strength of crustal rocks are needed 90 for characterising the strength and stability of rock masses using tools such as rock 91 mass rating (RMR) or the geological strength index (GSI) (e.g., Schultz, 1993, 2002; 92 Neuffer and Schultz, 2006; Nahm and Schultz, 2007; Okubo et al., 2011; Brunetti et 93 al., 2014; Klimczak, 2015; Klimczak et al., 2015). Further, strength values for 94 impactites could help inform studies that provide rock strength estimates from rover 95 drilling and abrasion data (e.g., Thomson et al., 2013; Peters et al., 2018), as well as 96 improving physical weathering rate estimates (e.g., Viles et al., 2010; Eppes et al., 97 2015).

98 Despite the need for robust input parameters for a variety of modelling studies,
99 there are, to our knowledge, only two studies that provide laboratory-measured values

100	for the permeability of impact melt-bearing breccia (Parnell et al., 2010; Heap et al.,
101	2020a), and but one that provides values of uniaxial compressive strength (Heap et al.,
102	2020a). Other studies have, however, provided other physical property values for
103	impactites. For example, values of density, porosity, thermal conductivity, elastic wave
104	velocities, and magnetic susceptibility are available for impactites from the Chicxulub
105	impact crater (Mexico) (e.g., Mayr et al., 2008a; Elbra and Pesonen, 2011; Christeson
106	et al., 2018). Parnell et al. (2010) found that the permeability of impact breccias from
107	the Haughton (Canada), Ries (Germany), and Rochechouart (France) impact craters to
108	be between $\sim 1 \times 10^{-16}$ and $\sim 1 \times 10^{-15}$ m <sup>2</sup> . Heap et al. (2020a) measured the porosity,
109	permeability, and uniaxial compressive strength of samples of impact melt-bearing
110	breccia collected from two locations at the Ries impact crater. They found average
111	connected porosities of 0.24 and 0.25, and average uniaxial compressive strengths of
112	34.2 and 29.2 MPa, for samples taken from the quarries at Seelbronn and Altenbürg,
113	respectively. The average permeability of these impact melt-bearing breccias was found
114	to be $\sim 2 \times 10^{-15}$ m <sup>2</sup> (Heap et al., 2020a). However, notwithstanding these few studies,
115	petrophysical measurements are currently unavailable for altered impactites and thus a
116	full assessment of the mechanical behaviour, failure modes (brittle or ductile), and
117	deformation micromechanisms of impactites is absent. The paucity of studies that
118	report petrophysical and mechanical properties of impactites reflects, in part, the poor
119	preservation potential of impact craters and their impactites on Earth (Grieve and
120	Shoemaker, 1994). As a result, the Ries impact crater (Figure 1), one of only several
121	impact craters on Earth with well-preserved and easily accessible impact melt-bearing
122	breccias (Osinski et al., 2011), serves as an ideal location to sample and study
123	impactites.

124 The Ries crater (Figure 1) is a double-layer rampart crater with an inner- and 125 outer-ring diameter of about 12 and 24 km, respectively (e.g., Wünnemann et al., 2005; 126 Figure 1), that shares similarities with Martian craters (e.g., Sturm et al., 2013). The 127 crater formed following an impact into a layer of sedimentary rocks 600-700 m thick 128 overlying a crystalline basement (e.g., Pohl et al., 1977; Stöffler et al., 2013) about 15 129 million years ago (e.g., Rocholl et al., 2018). Types of impactite identified at Ries crater 130 include (von Engelhardt, 1990): shocked target rocks; breccia derived mainly from the 131 sedimentary cover (the "Bunte breccia", e.g., Hörz et al., 1983); polymict crystalline 132 breccia; impact melt-bearing breccias ("suevite", e.g., von Engelhardt et al., 1995, 133 1997); and impact melt rocks (e.g., Osinski, 2004; Pohl et al., 1977). We focus here on 134 providing rock physical and mechanical properties (porosity, permeability, Young's 135 modulus, compressive strength, and failure mode) for samples of suevite, a type of 136 impact melt-bearing breccia found at the Ries impact crater. There are three types of 137 suevite at Ries crater: (1) "crater suevite", which is up to 300 m thick and covered by Miocene lake sediments; (2) "outer suevite", which is only  $\leq 25$  m thick and outcrops 138 139 up to 22 km from the centre of the structure; and (3) dyke suevite, found in the 140 parautochthonous crater basement and in ejected crystalline megablocks (e.g., Stöffler 141 et al., 2013). These poorly sorted impact melt-bearing breccias formed as a result of the 142 welding of glass/melt and lithic fragments deposited by fluidised granular density 143 currents (e.g., Siegert et al., 2017; see also von Engelhardt, 1997; Newsom et al., 1990; 144 Stöffler et al., 2013) generated following the impact. Suevites at Ries contain 145 centimetre-sized fragments of sedimentary and crystalline rocks (e.g., Graup, 1981; von 146 Engelhardt, 1990, 1997) and angular fragments and aerodynamically shaped bombs of 147 impact melt glass (Stähle, 1972; Osinski, 2003). These clasts are hosted within a matrix 148 of impact melt glass, rock and mineral fragments, crystallites, and clay minerals (and sometimes zeolites) that formed as a result of post-deposition hydrothermal alteration
and weathering (Newsom et al., 1986; von Engelhardt, 1990, 1997; Osinski, 2004,
2005; Muttik et al., 2008, 2011; Sapers et al., 2017). High-pressure minerals, such as
coesite (Stöffler, 1966; Stähle et al., 2008) and diamond (Schmitt et al. 2005; Stöffler
et al., 2013), have also been identified. Coesite, a high-pressure silica phase, is the
mineral that confirmed the impact origin of these deposits in the 1960s (Shoemaker and
Chao, 1961).

156 Here, we present a laboratory study designed to first report the petrophysical 157 properties (porosity, permeability, Young's modulus, and uniaxial compressive 158 strength) of variably altered suevites (impact melt-bearing breccia) from Reis crater 159 (building on data recently published by Heap et al., 2020a). We then present the results 160 of a suite of triaxial deformation experiments designed to better understand and contrast 161 the mechanical behaviour of relatively unaltered and altered suevites. We complement 162 these mechanical data with microstructural work to determine the operative 163 deformation micromechanisms. We then provide permeability and micromechanical 164 modelling to better understand the fluid flow and mechanical properties of these 165 samples. Since upscaled values are of most importance for large-scale models, we use 166 techniques often employed in geotechnical engineering to provide values of strength, 167 Young's modulus, and permeability relevant for longer lengthscales. Finally, we 168 outline the implications of these data for planetary bodies that record protracted periods 169 of impact bombardment.

170

171 **2** Experimental materials and methods

Blocks of suevite (impact melt-bearing breccia) were collected from threedifferent quarries located within or adjacent to the Ries impact crater in Nördlingen:

174 the Seelbronn quarry (one block: SUE), the Altenbürg quarry (one block: ALT), and 175 the Aumühle quarry (three blocks: AU1, AU2, and AU3) (Figure 1). These blocks were 176 selected due to their visible differences in alteration (colour). The blocks from all three 177 quarries are poorly sorted clastic rocks that contain millimetre- and centimetre-sized 178 clasts of glass (aerodynamically shaped bombs and angular fragments), crystalline 179 rocks (Variscan granites and pre-Variscan gneisses and amphibolites), and sedimentary 180 rocks within a fine-grained matrix (as described in von Engelhardt, 1990, 1997; Stöffler 181 et al., 2013); photographs of representative 25 mm-diameter core samples are shown in 182 Figure 2.

183 The mineral content of the five blocks was quantified using X-ray powder 184 diffraction (XRPD). Powdered aliquots of each block, containing an internal standard 185 of 20 wt.% ZnO, were ground for 8 min with 10 ml of isopropyl alcohol in a McCrone 186 micronising mill using zirconium dioxide cylinder elements. The XRPD analyses were 187 performed on powder mounts using a Bruker D8 advance eco X-ray diffractometer 188 (CuKa, automatic divergence slit, 15 mm irradiated sample length, anti-air scatter screen, 2.5° primary and secondary soller slits, step-scan from 2 to  $75^{\circ} 2\Theta$  with 0.01° 189 190 increments per second, counting time one second per increment, 40 kV, 25 mA). The 191 phases in the whole rock powders were quantified using the Rietveld program BGMN 192 (Bergmann et al., 1998) and Profex 4.0.2 graphical user interface (Döbelin and 193 Kleeberg, 2015). To identify the clay minerals, <2 µm fractions were also separated by 194 gravitational settling. Oriented mounts containing the  $<2 \mu m$  fraction were X-rayed in 195 an air-dried and ethylene-glycolated state.

196 Thin sections were prepared from offcuts taken from blocks from each of the 197 three quarries (SUE, ALT, and AU1) and their microstructure was examined using a 198 Tescan Vega 2 XMU system backscattered scanning electron microscope (SEM). To assess the pore-throat size distribution of our blocks, we performed mercury injection porosimetry on offcuts (between 3 and 5 g) of blocks SUE, ALT, and AU1 using a Micromeritics Autopore IV 9500. The mercury equilibration time and filling pressure were 10 s and ~3860 Pa, respectively, and the evacuation time and evacuation pressure were 5 min and 50  $\mu$ mHg, respectively. The pressure range was ~690 Pa to ~414 MPa.

Cylindrical samples were cored from each block in the same orientation with diameters of 20 or 25 mm (Figure 2). These samples were then precision-ground to a nominal length of 40 or 60 mm, respectively. The samples were cored so as to avoid centimetre-sized clasts. The prepared cylindrical samples were then washed with water to remove any water-soluble grinding fluid and vacuum dried at 40 °C in an oven for at least 48 hours.

The connected porosity of each cylindrical sample was then determined using the skeletal (connected) volume of the sample measured by a helium pycnometer (Micromeritics AccuPyc II 1340), and the bulk volume of the sample calculated using the sample dimensions.

215 Permeabilities of the 25 mm-diameter cylindrical samples were measured with 216 a nitrogen gas permeameter (Farquharson et al., 2016; Heap and Kennedy, 2016) under 217 a confining pressure of 1 MPa. Permeability was measured using the steady-state 218 method. Steady-state volumetric flow rates were determined (using a gas flowmeter) 219 for several differential pressures (acquired with a pressure transducer). These data were 220 used to calculate permeability using Darcy's law and to check whether the Forchheimer 221 (Forchheimer, 1901) or Klinkenburg (Klinkenburg, 1941) corrections were required. 222 These corrections were applied when necessary on a case-by-case basis.

223 The 25 mm-diameter samples were deformed uniaxially using a uniaxial load frame. Dry samples were deformed at an axial strain rate of 10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> until macroscopic 224 225 failure (that is, the formation of a macroscopic fracture). A lubricating wax was placed 226 on the end-faces of the samples to avoid problems with friction between the sample and 227 the pistons. During deformation, axial displacement and axial load were measured with 228 a linear variable differential transducer (LVDT) and a load cell, respectively. The 229 deformation of the loading column itself (including endcaps and piston) was removed 230 from the axial displacement signal. Axial displacement and axial load were converted 231 to axial strain and axial stress using the sample dimensions. The static Young's modulus 232 was calculated using the slope of the strain-stress curve within the linear elastic region 233 (e.g., Heap et al., 2020b).

234 Triaxial deformation experiments were performed on 20 mm-diameter samples 235 (a detailed schematic of the device is provided in Farguharson et al. (2017)). Dry samples were deformed at a constant strain rate of 10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> until macroscopic failure (for 236 237 the brittle experiments) or until an axial strain of 6% was reached (for the ductile 238 experiments). A strain of 6% was chosen as a cut-off because this value is large enough 239 for observing the ductile behaviour and for recovering a sample for analysis, but not 240 too large to require unreasonable experimental times. (The choice of this cut-off strain 241 amount does not impact on our interpretation or conclusions.)

Experiments were performed at a range of constant confining pressures, from 243 2.5 to 80 MPa. Within a given experiment, the confining pressure was held constant 244 using a confining pressure intensifier. Axial displacement and axial load were measured 245 during deformation using an LVDT and a load cell, respectively. Axial displacement 246 (following removal of the deformation of the loading column) and axial load were 247 converted to axial strain and axial stress, respectively, using the sample dimensions. 248 Additional triaxial experiments were performed in which the differential stress was held 249 at a constant 2 MPa and the confining pressure was increased in steps of 3–15 MPa. 250 The confining pressure was only increased once the axial strain had stabilised, i.e., 251 when the sample had equilibrated to the new confining pressure (with typical durations 252 on the order of 30 minutes to an hour). The confining pressure was increased in this 253 stepwise manner until the onset of inelastic behaviour, signalled by a large increase in 254 axial strain. We assume a simple effective pressure law where the effective pressure  $(P_{\text{eff}})$  is the confining pressure minus the pore fluid pressure (zero for the experiments 255 256 performed herein). We consider compressive stresses and strains as positive.

257

258 3 Results

259

#### 260 3.1 Mineral content and microstructure

261 The mineral content for all the blocks collected is provided in Table 1. Blocks 262 SUE and ALT are primarily composed of amorphous phases, plagioclase, smectite, 263 calcite, and quartz, with minor quantities of coesite, K-feldspar, biotite, and Fe oxides, 264 such as hematite or goethite (Table 1). The main difference between blocks SUE and 265 ALT is that SUE contains more plagioclase and ALT contains more calcite (Table 1). 266 The blocks from the Aumühle quarry (AU1, AU2, and AU3), which are all similar in 267 composition, contain much fewer amorphous phases and much more smectite than the 268 blocks from Seelbronn (SUE) and Altenbürg (ALT) (Table 1).

Representative backscattered SEM images of the SUE, ALT, and AU1 blocks are shown in Figure 3. All three blocks contain poorly sorted angular fragments (quartz, calcite, K-feldspar, plagioclase) within a fine-grained matrix (Figure 3). The SEM images clearly show that the block from the Aumühle quarry (AU1; Figure 3c) is much 273 more porous (black pixels) than those from the Seelbronn (SUE; Figure 3a) and 274 Altenbürg (ALT; Figure 3b) quarries. We also note that blocks SUE and ALT are 275 pervasively microcracked (Figures 3a and 3b, respectively).

The pore-throat size distributions for the SUE, ALT, and AU1 blocks are 276 277 provided as Figure 4. These data show that AU contains a greater volume of large pore 278 throats than SUE and ALT. For example, ~50% of the volume of pore throats within 279 AU are greater than 0.1 µm, whereas pore throats greater than 0.1 µm only comprise 30 280 and 35% of the volume of pore throats in ALT and SUE, respectively (Figure 4). The 281 ALT sample contains a large volume of small pore throats: ~60% of the volume of pore 282 throats within ALT is less than 0.01 µm, whereas pore throats less than 0.01 µm only 283 comprise 40 and 15% of the volume of pore throats in SUE and AU, respectively.

284

285 3.2 Porosity and permeability

The average connected porosities of the blocks from the quarries at Seelbronn (SUE), Altenbürg (ALT), and Aumühle (AU) are 0.238, 0.237, and 0.390, respectively (Table 2). Their average permeabilities are  $1.95 \times 10^{-15}$ ,  $2.02 \times 10^{-15}$ , and  $8.20 \times 10^{-15}$ m<sup>2</sup>, respectively (Table 2). Our data show that permeability increases as a function of increasing porosity. The samples from Aumühle (AU) are more porous and more permeable than those from Seelbronn (SUE) and Altenbürg (ALT) (Figure 5; Table 2) 292

293 3.3 Uniaxial compressive strength and static Young's modulus

Representative axial stress–strain curves for samples from each of the three quarries are provided in Figure 6a. First, axial stress is a non-linearly increasing function of axial strain, typically attributed to the closure of pre-existing microcracks (section "OA" labelled on the SUE curve in Figure 6a). This stage is followed by a 298 quasi-linear elastic stage, from which the static Young's modulus was determined 299 (section "AB"; Figure 6a). Following the elastic deformation stage, the axial stress is a 300 non-linearly decreasing function of axial strain, typically interpreted as due to the nucleation and growth of microcracks (section "BC"; Figure 6a). The peak stress (the 301 302 uniaxial compressive strength of the sample) is followed by strain softening and a stress 303 drop associated with the macroscopic failure of the sample (Figure 6a). The average uniaxial compressive strengths of the blocks from the quarries at Seelbronn (SUE), 304 305 Altenbürg (ALT), and Aumühle (AU) are 34.2, 29.2, and 12.9 MPa, respectively (Table 306 2). Our data show that uniaxial compressive strength decreases as a function of 307 increasing porosity. The samples from Aumühle (AU) are weaker than those from 308 Seelbronn (SUE) and Altenbürg (ALT) (Figure 6; Table 2).

309 Static Young's modulus as a function of connected porosity is shown in Figure 310 7. The average Young's moduli are 6.5, 5.7, and 3.0 GPa for the blocks from the 311 quarries at Seelbronn (SUE), Altenbürg (ALT), and Aumühle (AU), respectively (Table 312 2). We find that Young's modulus decreases as a function of increasing porosity. The 313 samples from Aumühle (AU) have a lower Young's modulus than those from Seelbronn 314 (SUE) and Altenbürg (ALT) (Figure 7; Table 2)

315

316 3.4 Triaxial deformation experiments

The stress–strain curves for the triaxial deformation experiments are shown in Figures 8a (for SUE) and Figure 8b (for AU1) (a mechanical summary of the triaxial experiments performed for this study is provided in Table 3). The suevites from Seelbronn and Aumühle were selected for triaxial testing because they represent the endmembers in terms of alteration, porosity, and strength (Table 2). For sample SUE, the experiments performed at  $P_{\text{eff}}$  of 0, 5, 10, and 15 MPa clearly show the post-peak 323 stress drop associated with brittle behaviour (i.e., the formation of a shear fracture; see 324 Wong and Baud (2012) for a review on brittle and ductile behaviour of porous rocks). 325 The differential stress at failure also increases as the effective pressure increases from 0 to 15 MPa (Figure 8a; Table 3). Although the stress-strain curve for the sample 326 327 deformed at 20 MPa appears to be different to those for samples deformed at pressures 328  $\leq$ 15 MPa, there is a subtle stress drop (following an axial strain of ~5%; Figure 8a). The sample deformed at 20 MPa can therefore be considered brittle (the post-329 330 deformation sample contained a shear fracture), but its style of failure is very close to 331 the transition between brittle and ductile behaviour.

332 The stress-strain curves for the samples deformed at 30, 50, and 80 MPa are 333 typical of ductile behaviour: there is no peak stress or stress drop associated with the 334 formation of a shear fracture (Figure 8a; Wong and Baud, 2012). Further, the 335 experiments performed at 50 and 80 MPa show strain-hardening behaviour (where 336 differential stress increases as a function of axial strain: Figure 8a). For sample AU1, 337 the experiments performed at  $P_{\text{eff}}$  of 0, 2.5, and 5 MPa deformed in a brittle manner, whereas the experiments performed at  $P_{\rm eff}$  of 10, 15, and 20 MPa were ductile (Figure 338 339 8b). The tests performed at P<sub>eff</sub> of 15 and 20 MPa show strain-hardening behaviour (Figure 8b). The block from the quarry at Aumühle (AU1) therefore transitioned from 340 341 brittle to ductile behaviour at a lower effective pressure (i.e., depth) than the block from 342 Seelbronn (SUE).

343

#### 344 3.5 Post-deformation microstructures

To better understand the operative micromechanical processes during brittle and ductile behaviour, thin sections were prepared of the samples of SUE deformed at  $P_{eff}$ of 10 and 80 MPa. Backscattered SEM images of the sample deformed at 10 MPa (i.e., in the brittle regime) show a large shear fracture and associated off-fault microcracking
(orientated sub-parallel to the maximum principal stress) (Figures 9a and 9b). The
sample deformed at 80 MPa (i.e., in the ductile regime) did not contain a shear fracture.
Instead, deformation was accommodated by the cataclastic collapse of pores (Figures
9c and 9d). Microcracks are seen to radiate from the collapsed pores (Figure 9c and
9d).

354

355 4 Discussion

356

#### 357 4.1 The permeability of impact melt-bearing breccia

358 Although data in the literature are rare, the permeability of the suevites 359 measured herein (Table 2) compare well with published measurements. For example, 360 Parnell et al. (2010) determined the permeability of two samples from the Ries crater to be  $1.58 \times 10^{-15}$  and  $2.67 \times 10^{-15}$  m<sup>2</sup>. These authors also reported the permeability of 361 samples from the impact craters at Rochechouart and Haughton as  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-15}$  m<sup>2</sup> and 362 between  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-16}$  and  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-15}$  m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The permeability of impact melt-363 bearing breccia from the Chicxulub impact crater was estimated as between  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-18}$ 364 and  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-15}$  m<sup>2</sup> (Mayr et al., 2008b). These measurements highlight a remarkable 365 366 similarity worldwide in permeability of impact melt-bearing breccia from different 367 impact craters formed within different lithologies. In strong contrast, the permeability of lavas of the same composition, erupted from the same volcano, can vary by as much 368 as five or six orders of magnitude (e.g., Farguharson et al., 2015). 369

We compare our suite of data with a model for the permeability of partially welded granular media (Wadsworth et al., 2016) that has been validated against geological porous materials including welded volcanic rocks and sandstones of various 373 diagenetic maturity. This model starts from the assumption that the system was first a 374 granular deposit of particles with mean radius  $\langle r \rangle$ . Because suevite-forming systems 375 begin as droplets and particles deposited in density currents, this is a valid starting 376 assumption, and consistent with similar cases such as the permeability of variably welded deposits of pyroclastic density currents. From that initial granular state, the 377 378 model assumes that the particles weld together, reducing the internal pore surface areas. 379 Typically, the Wadsworth et al. (2016) model would require a solution to relate the 380 particle size distribution centred around  $\langle r \rangle$  to a distribution of sizes of characteristic 381 pores centred around  $\langle a \rangle$ . However, here we have directly measured  $\langle a \rangle$  (Figure 4), 382 negating the need for this interim step.

We take the  $\langle a \rangle$  from the SUE measurement of pore-throat sizes (Figure 4) as intermediate among the three measurements, and find that  $\langle a \rangle = 1.09 \,\mu\text{m}$  (we therefore assume that the pore-throat size is a good approximation of the pore size). We then assume that the pore surface area, *s*, is related by (Torquato, 2013):

387

388 
$$s = -\frac{3(1-\phi)\ln(1-\phi)}{\langle a \rangle} \quad (1).$$

389

390 This relation assumes that *s* is given by the surface area of a suite of overlapping 391 spherical pores (Wadsworth et al., 2016). The permeability, k, is given by (Wadsworth 392 et al., 2016; Martys et al., 1994):

393

394 
$$k = \frac{2[1 - (\phi - \phi_c)]}{s^2} (\phi - \phi_c)^n \quad (2),$$

where  $\phi_c$  is the percolation threshold below which all the pores have pinched off, and the permeability is zero, and *n* is a percolation exponent. On theoretical grounds, *n* = 4.4 was derived for porous overlapping sphere systems (Halperin et al., 1985), leaving only  $\phi_c$  unconstrained in this model.

We fit Equation (2) to our complete dataset, allowing  $\phi_c$  to vary freely using a 400 401 root-mean-square error between model and data as a minimisation target. We find that 402 the best results are found using  $\phi_c = 0.075$  and that to within 5% accuracy,  $0.06 \leq$  $\phi_c \lesssim 0.1$  is valid. This range of values is consistent with the range of  $\phi_c$  found for 403 sintering or welding angular particles (Wadsworth et al., 2016; Heap et al. 2019). 404 405 Therefore, what we present is a theoretically grounded model for the permeability of 406 welded granular media that is applicable to suevites across the range of porosities 407 measured herein (Figure 10).

408

409 4.2 The uniaxial compressive strength and Young's modulus of impact melt-bearing410 breccia

411 To our knowledge, the values of uniaxial compressive strength for suevites 412 reported here (Table 2) and in Heap et al. (2020a) are the only such values yet reported 413 for this rock type. Nonetheless, we find that the samples we assay here have properties 414 similar to other rock types found on Earth. For example, poorly sorted clastic volcanic 415 rocks from Mt. Meager volcano, Canada-welded block-and-ash flow deposits-have 416 a similar strength to the samples we report here. The uniaxial compressive strength of 417 welded block-and-ash flow from Mt. Meager volcano is ~20 MPa when the porosity is 418 between 0.2 and 0.25 (i.e., the porosity range of the samples measured herein: Table 2) 419 (Heap et al., 2015a); this strength value lies between those we find for the Altenbürg 420 (ALT) and Aumühle (AU) samples (Figure 6b).

421 Our data show that uniaxial compressive strength decreases as a function of 422 increasing porosity (Figure 6b), in agreement with studies on sedimentary (e.g., Chang 423 et al., 2006; Baud et al., 2014) and volcanic rocks (e.g., Al-Harthi et al., 1999; Heap et 424 al., 2014a; Schaefer et al., 2015; Harnett et al., 2019). Although the relatively high 425 porosity of the samples from Aumühle quarry could explain their relative weakness, we 426 also note that studies have shown that alteration can result in a strength reduction (e.g., 427 del Potro and Hürlimann, 2009; Pola et al., 2012; Wyering et al., 2014; Frolova et al., 428 2014). The blocks from the Aumühle quarry are more altered than those from Seelbronn 429 or Altenbürg: more of the amorphous phases have been altered to smectite (Table 1). 430 Therefore, the relative weakness of samples from Aumühle (Figure 6b) can be 431 explained by a combination of their higher porosity and greater degree of alteration.

432 The pore-emanating crack model of Sammis and Ashby (1986) is often used to 433 better understand the mechanical behaviour of porous materials, including rocks (e.g., 434 Baud et al., 2014). This model describes a two-dimensional elastic medium populated 435 by circular pores of uniform radius r. Tensile cracks emanate (in the direction of the 436 maximum principal compressive stress) when the applied stress reaches the critical stress intensity factor,  $K_{IC}$  (sometimes called the fracture toughness). These cracks then 437 438 propagate and coalesce to induce the macroscopic failure of the elastic medium. An 439 analytical approximation of the pore-emanating crack model of Sammis and Ashby 440 (1986) was derived by Zhu et al. (2010) in the case of uniaxial compression, to model 441 the uniaxial compressive strength,  $\sigma_p$ , as a function of porosity,  $\phi$ :

442

443 
$$\sigma_p = \frac{1.325}{\phi^{0.414}} \frac{K_{IC}}{\sqrt{\pi r}} \quad (3)$$

The two unknowns in the equation are  $K_{IC}$  and r. Therefore, at this stage, it is 445 prudent to first estimate the value or values of the term  $K_{IC}/\sqrt{\pi r}$  that best describe the 446 447 experimental data. Our experimental data cannot be well described using a single value of  $K_{IC}/\sqrt{\pi r}$  (Figure 11). The data can be bracketed between two theoretical curves 448 where  $K_{IC}/\sqrt{\pi r}$  equals 3.5 and 20 MPa (Figure 11). In the absence of measurements of 449  $K_{IC}$  for impact melt-bearing breccia, we can use the value of  $K_{IC}$  identified to describe 450 the welded block-and-ash flow deposits from Mt. Meager volcano:  $K_{IC} = 0.15$  MPa.m<sup>-</sup> 451 <sup>1/2</sup> (Heap et al., 2015a). This value of  $K_{IC}$  yields values of r between ~18 and ~585 µm. 452 Therefore, if  $K_{IC} = 0.15$  MPa.m<sup>-1/2</sup> is an appropriate value, our modelling suggests that 453 454 strength of the suevites is controlled by the largest pores (see our microstructural 455 analysis and our mercury injection data; Figures 3 and 4). Of note, the pore-emanating 456 crack model of Sammis and Ashby (1986) describes a medium that contains pores of a 457 uniform radius, which we know to be a simplification of our experimental materials (see Figures 3 and 4). We further emphasise that the  $K_{IC}$  of the block from Aumühle 458 459 may be lower than that for the other blocks due to the greater alteration intensity (Table 1). Finally, the blocks from Seelbronn and Altenbürg also contain microcracks, which 460 are not considered in the Sammis and Ashby (1986) model. Nevertheless, 461 462 micromechanical models such as that proposed by Sammis and Ashby (1986) can help 463 glean information as to the first-order controls on the mechanical behaviour and 464 strength of brittle materials.

To our knowledge, the values of Young's modulus for suevites reported here (Table 2) are the only such values reported. Our data show that Young's modulus decreases as a function of increasing porosity (Figure 7), in agreement with previous studies (e.g., Heap et al., 2020b). Chemical alteration is also known to influence the Young's modulus of rocks and can result in increases to Young's modulus when the alteration is pore-filling (e.g., Frolova et al., 2014; Mordensky et al., 2018; Durán et al.,
2019) and decreases to Young's modulus in the case of dissolution (e.g., Frolova et al.,
2014). The low Young's modulus of samples from Aumühle (Figure 7) can therefore
be explained by a combination of their higher porosity and greater degree of alteration.

475 4.3 Failure envelopes for impact melt-bearing breccia

476 The mechanical behaviour of porous materials is best compared on a graph of differential stress, Q, as a function of the effective mean stress, P (Wong and Baud, 477 2012). P is given by  $P = (\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_3)/3 - Pp$ , where Pp is the pore fluid pressure (0 478 479 MPa in our experiments),  $\sigma_1$  is the maximum principal compressive stress (the axial 480 stress in our experiments), and  $\sigma_3$  is the minimum principal compressive stress (the confining pressure in our experiments). The failure envelope of a material can be 481 delineated by plotting the differential stress at failure as a function of the effective mean 482 483 stress at failure. Deformation experiments must therefore be performed at a range of 484 effective pressures to map the failure envelope.

485 Failure in the brittle regime is defined as the differential stress at the peak stress 486 (as indicated in Figure 6a), and failure in the ductile regime is defined as the differential 487 stress at the onset of inelastic compaction, termed  $C^*$  (Wong and Baud, 2012).  $P^*$  is 488 the term given for the onset of inelastic compaction under hydrostatic conditions (i.e., when Q = 0) (e.g., Zhang et al., 1990; Wong and Baud, 2012). C\* was determined 489 490 using the evolution of the volume of the sample during deformation, monitored by the confining pressure intensifier.  $C^*$  can be observed as an inflection point in the data of 491 492 differential stress as a function of the change in confining fluid volume (i.e., sample 493 volume).

494 In our experiments in which we held the differential stress at 2 MPa and increased the confining pressure,  $C^*$  was 2 MPa and P was calculated using the 495 496 confining pressure required for inelastic compaction (monitored using the axial strain 497 signal). A sample can be considered pre-failure if the state of stress plots inside the 498 failure envelope, and failed if the state of stress plots outside the failure envelope. The 499 sample will have failed in a brittle or ductile manner if the stress state falls outside the 500 failure envelope to the left or right, respectively. The failure envelopes for the suevites 501 from Seelbronn (SUE) and Aumühle (AU1) are shown in Figure 12 (with data available 502 in Table 3). The failure envelope for SUE is much larger than that for AU1. In other 503 words, SUE is pre-failure for much more of the stress space represented. The transition 504 from brittle to ductile behaviour, represented by the "top" of the failure envelope, is at 505 an effective pressure of ~30 and ~10 MPa for SUE and AU1, respectively (Table 3). 506 As discussed above, the relative weakness of the blocks from Aumühle is likely due to 507 a combination of higher porosity (Tables 2 and 3) and greater alteration intensity (Table 1) than for the samples from Seelbronn or Altenbürg. The position of  $P^*$  for SUE and 508 509 AU1 is estimated to be  $90 \pm 5$  and  $22 \pm 5$  MPa, respectively (Figure 12).

510

#### 511 4.4 Deformation micromechanisms

512 Our microstructural work indicates that the operative deformation 513 micromechanisms of these suevite samples are microcracking and cataclastic pore 514 collapse in the brittle and ductile fields, respectively (Figure 9a and 9b). Failure in the 515 brittle regime is the result of the initiation and propagation of microcracks, 516 preferentially aligned to the direction of maximum principal compressive stress (Figure 517 9a and 9b), which coalesce to form a macroscopic, through-going shear fracture (see 518 also Lockner et al., 1991; Aben et al., 2019). Porosity reduction in the ductile regime is driven by the cataclastic collapse of pores (Figure 9c and 9d). This deformation
micromechanism has been previously observed in porous limestones (e.g., Zhu et al.,
2010; Vajdova et al., 2012) and porous volcanic rocks (e.g., Loaiza et al., 2012;
Adelinet et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2011; Heap et al., 2015b, 2015c; Zhu et al., 2016).

523 Of particular interest, because of their ability to reduce permeability and create 524 permeability anisotropy, is whether such compaction is localised into bands. 525 Compaction bands have been well documented in studies on porous sandstone, and 526 form as a result of grain crushing (e.g., Baud et al., 2004, 2006; Fortin et al., 2006; 527 Stanchits et al., 2009; Baud et al., 2015). Compaction bands have also been observed 528 in porous limestones (e.g., Cilona et al., 2014; Baud et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2019) 529 and porous volcanic rocks (e.g., Loaiza et al., 2012; Adelinet et al., 2013; Heap et al., 530 2015b, 2017a). We observed no evidence of compaction bands in our samples: pore 531 collapse (Figure 9c and 9d) was distributed throughout the sample. In addition, we did 532 not observe small stress drops in the ductile stress-strain curves (Figure 8), which have 533 also been associated with the formation of compaction bands in laboratory experiments. 534 Therefore, similar to porous tuff from Alban Hills, Italy (Zhu et al., 2010) and Whakaari 535 volcano, New Zealand (Heap et al., 2015c), i.e., granular volcanic rocks with a similar 536 genesis and texture to the suevites studied herein, inelastic compaction in suevite does 537 not appear to localise into bands. The absence of compaction bands in these materials 538 may be related to their wide grain size distribution (e.g., Figure 3), a factor known to 539 suppress compaction localisation in sandstones (Cheung et al., 2012).

540

541 4.5 Micromechanics of hydrostatic compaction

542 To investigate the micromechanics of hydrostatic compaction, we follow the 543 approach outlined by Zhu et al. (2011). By considering the stresses required to yield a single macropore embedded within an effective medium, and by adopting the Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion, the onset of inelastic hydrostatic compaction,  $P^*$ , is related to uniaxial compressive strength by (Zhu et al., 2011):

547

548 
$$P^* = 2\sigma_p/3$$
 (4).

549

Figure 13a shows  $P^*$  as a function of uniaxial compressive strength for the SUE and 550 551 AU1 suevites and a collection of tuffs (volcanic rocks that share textural similarities to the studied suevites). All of these data plot above the theoretical line delineated by 552 553 Equation (4). As discussed in Zhu et al. (2011), this distribution of samples is likely 554 because the effective medium is less porous than the matrix of these rocks, i.e., the matrix contains numerous micropores, as exposed by our microstructural observations 555 556 (Figure 3) and our mercury injection experiments (Figure 4). We can study the influence of micropores on the onset of inelastic hydrostatic compaction by combining 557 558 Equations (3) and (4) (Zhu et al., 2011):

559

560 
$$S^* = \frac{K_{IC}}{(\phi_*/\phi)^{0.414} \sqrt{\pi a^*}} \quad (5),$$

561

where  $a^*$  is the average radius of a micropore,  $\phi_*$  is the porosity of the effective medium, and  $S^*$  captures the cooperative influence of the fracture toughness, micropore radius, and the partitioning of macro- and microporosity (Zhu et al., 2011). This model describes a dual porosity medium of a macropore surrounded by many micropores. We find that SUE and AU1 are best described by values of  $S^*$  of 70 and 14 MPa, respectively (Figure 13b). We note that these values of  $S^*$  are similar to those predicted for tuffs (Figure 13b; Zhu et al., 2011).

The average micropore radius can then be estimated using  $a^* > (K_{IC}/S^*)^2/\pi$ (Zhu et al., 2011). Assuming  $K_{IC} = 0.15$  MPa.m<sup>-1/2</sup> (Heap et al., 2015a), the average micropore radius for SUE and AU1 are 1.5 and 36.5 µm, respectively. These pore radii predictions are in agreement with the pore throat radii determined by our mercury injection experiments (Figure 4). The modelling described above therefore highlights an important role for micropores in controlling the onset of inelastic hydrostatic compaction in the studied materials.

576

577 4.6 Upscaling laboratory measurements for use in large–scale modelling

578 The laboratory experiments described herein were performed on samples with 579 diameters of 20 or 25 mm. These measurements, therefore, do not consider the 580 influence of macroscopic fractures, which are well known to influence permeability (e.g., Brace, 1984; Clauser, 1992; Neuman, 1994; Heap and Kennedy, 2016; 581 582 Farquharson and Wadsworth, 2018), strength (e.g., Hoek et al., 2002), and Young's modulus (e.g., Hoek and Diederichs, 2006). Since the crusts of heavily impacted 583 planetary bodies are likely highly fractured (e.g., Wieczorek et al., 2013; Heap et al., 584 585 2017b; Lognonné et al., 2020), these laboratory values must be upscaled before they 586 can be used in large-scale models.

587 The strength of a fractured rock-mass can be estimated with the generalised588 Hoek–Brown failure criterion (Hoek et al., 2002):

590 
$$\sigma_1' = \sigma_3' + C_o \left( m_b \frac{\sigma_3'}{C_o} + s \right)^a \quad (6),$$

592 where  $\sigma'_1$  and  $\sigma'_3$  are the effective maximum and minimum principal stresses, 593 respectively,  $C_o$  is the laboratory-measured uniaxial compressive strength, and  $m_b$ , s, 594 and a are unitless fitting parameters (Hoek et al., 2002):

595

596 
$$m_b = m_i \, \exp\left(\frac{GSI - 100}{28 - 14D}\right) \quad (7),$$

597 
$$s = exp\left(\frac{GSI - 100}{9 - 3D}\right)$$
 (8),

598 
$$a = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left[ exp\left(-\frac{GSI}{15}\right) + exp\left(-\frac{20}{3}\right) \right] \quad (9).$$

599

600 GSI is the Geological Strength Index, a unitless value between 0 and 100 that 601 describes the rock-mass characteristics (Marinos et al., 2005). High values of GSI represent intact or massive rock masses, whereas low GSI values represent blocky and 602 603 fractured rock masses with weathered fracture surfaces and clay-filled fractures 604 (Marinos et al., 2005). D is a unitless disturbance factor related to anthropogenic 605 blasting damage in large excavations (we therefore use D = 0 in our study; the full 606 equation is provided here for completeness). Finally, unitless constant  $m_i$  describes the shape of the failure envelope on a graph of  $\sigma'_1$  as a function of  $\sigma'_3$ , and is therefore 607 608 related to the microstructural and mineralogical attributes of the rock (Eberhardt, 2012).

To provide strengths for suevite rock masses, we require estimates for  $C_o$ ,  $m_i$ , and GSI. Values of  $C_o$  can be taken directly from our experimental data, and we take values of 44.7 and 14.5 MPa for the SUE and AU1 suevites, respectively (excluding outliers). Values of  $m_i$  can be estimated using our triaxial experimental data. We estimate  $m_i$ , using the data fitting function (modified cuckoo fit algorithm, basic error summation, and absolute error type) in RocData (Rocscience, 2017), to be 12 and 4.7 615 for the SUE and AU1 suevites, respectively (Figure 14). In the absence of GSI 616 estimates, we use the suggested average for volcanic rock masses of 55 suggested by 617 Heap et al. (2020b). However, because of the variability in GSI values for natural 618 outcrops (estimates of GSI for volcanic rock outcrops, for example, typically range 619 between disintegrated (GSI = 20-40) and blocky (GSI = 65-85); see Heap et al., 2020b 620 and references therein), we provide rock mass strength estimates for GSI = 45-65 (i.e.,  $GSI = 55 \pm 10$ ). We can now model the compressive strength of SUE and AU1 as a 621 622 function of depth (using  $P = \rho gh$ , where P is the lithostatic pressure, h is the depth, 623 g is the acceleration due to gravity, and  $\rho$  is the bulk rock density (taken as 2000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, 624 guided by our laboratory density measurements)) (Figure 15). In the following analyses we provide strength estimates for rock masses on Mars (i.e.,  $g = 3.711 \text{ m/s}^2$ ). 625

626 We compare our strength estimations for SUE and AU1 with that for basalt 627 (Figure 15), which is lithologically representative of much of the surface materials of 628 the inner Solar System worlds (e.g., Byrne, 2019). To do so, we performed six uniaxial compressive strength experiments on dry samples of Volvic basalt (Chaîne des Puys, 629 630 France). The connected porosity and uniaxial compressive strength of these samples 631 were determined as described in the methods section. To estimate the strength of a 632 basaltic rock-mass, we used a value of  $C_0$  of 92.6 MPa (Table 4), an  $m_i$  of 22 (see 633 Schultz, 1995), and a GSI of  $55 \pm 10$  (Heap et al., 2020b). We increased the bulk rock 634 density from 2000 to 2300 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, as guided by our laboratory density measurements 635 for Volvic basalt. We find that the upscaled compressive strength of suevite is much 636 lower than that of basalt (Figure 15). For example, the rock-mass strength of SUE, AU1, 637 and Volvic basalt are, respectively, ~11, ~25, and ~45 MPa at a depth of 0.5 km (Figure 638 15). Furthermore, the basalt will remain brittle over the range of depth (up to 5 km) 639 shown in Figure 15; the suevites from Seelbronn (SUE) and Aumühle (AU1) will be

ductile at depths of about 1 and 4 km, respectively (Figure 15). We note that, based on
the depth of impact craters (e.g., Boyce et al., 2005; Stepinski et al., 2009), impact meltbearing breccias are unlikely to exist below a couple of kilometres in the lithosphere.
We further note that we use data for Volvic basalt for illustrative purposes: the porosity
of basalt on Mars will certainly vary and its strength is very much porosity-dependent
(e.g., Al-Harthi et al., 1999).

646 The Young's modulus of a fractured rock-mass,  $E_{rm}$ , can be estimated using 647 the Hoek–Diederichs equation (Hoek and Diederichs, 2006):

648

649 
$$E_{rm} = E_i \left[ 0.02 + \left( 1 - \frac{D}{2} \left[ 1 + exp \frac{(60 + 15D - GSI)}{11} \right]^{-1} \right) \right] \quad (10).$$
650

651 Here,  $E_i$  is the "intact" Young's modulus measured from the elastic portion of the stress-strain curve of a rock deforming in compression (i.e., the data of Figure 7; Table 652 653 2). We take D = 0 (see above) and assume an average GSI of 55 (Heap et al., 2020b). 654 We also determined the intact (Table 4) and rock-mass Young's modulus for Volvic 655 basalt. The data are presented in Figure 16 and show that the Young's modulus of a 656 rock-mass is much lower than intact Young's modulus. For example, the range of 657 Young's modulus for SUE suevite was reduced from 3.5-8.1 to 1.4-3.3 GPa (Figure 16). These data also show that the intact and rock-mass Young's modulus of suevite is 658 659 much lower than that for basalt (Figure 16). Of course, the Young's modulus of Volvic 660 basalt represents that value of a basalt with a porosity of 0.2 (Table 4), but the porosity, and therefore Young's modulus, of basalt on Mars likely varies considerably. As for 661 662 our rock mass strength estimations, we can also provide rock mass Young's modulus 663 estimations for GSI =  $55 \pm 10$ . The average rock mass Young's moduli in this GSI

range are 1.5-4.1, 1.3-3.6, 0.7-1.9, and 3.6-10.2 GPa for SUE, ALT, AU, and Volvic
basalt, respectively.

The permeability of a rock-mass can be estimated if the macrofracture density and width, the permeability of the host-rock, and the permeability of the fractures is known (e.g., Heap and Kennedy, 2016). Once rock-mass permeabilities are known, these values can be used to estimate permeability on larger scales (e.g., Farquharson and Wadsworth, 2018). The permeability of a rock-mass,  $k_e$ , can be estimated using the following two-dimensional model that considers flow in parallel layers:

672

673 
$$k_e = \frac{(w_i \cdot k_0) + (w_f \cdot k_f)}{W} \quad (11),$$

674

675 where  $w_i$  and  $k_0$  and  $w_f$  and  $k_f$  and are the width and permeability of the intact hostrock and fractures, respectively, and W is the width of the rock-mass. If we take  $k_0$  to 676 be  $5.0 \times 10^{-15}$  m<sup>2</sup> (Table 2), and assume a constant fracture width of 0.25 mm and a  $k_f$ 677 of  $1.0 \times 10^{-9}$  m<sup>2</sup> (see Heap and Kennedy, 2016), we can plot rock-mass permeability as 678 679 a function of fracture density (Figure 17). To compare these data with those for basalt, 680 we measured the permeability of one sample of Volvic basalt using the approach described in the methods section (Table 4). For the basalt calculations, we used  $k_0 =$ 681  $2.4 \times 10^{-14}$  m<sup>2</sup> (Table 4), and again assumed a constant fracture width of 0.25 mm and 682 a  $k_f$  of  $1.0 \times 10^{-9}$  m<sup>2</sup> (Figure 17). We assume a constant fracture width of 0.25 mm 683 because this fracture width corresponds to the laboratory-measured value of  $k_f$  (see 684 Heap and Kennedy, 2016). The permeability of large-aperture fractures is likely higher 685 686 than the values of  $k_f$  provided herein, the permeability of which could be estimated using  $k_f = h^2/12$  (Zimmerman and Bodvarsson, 1996), where h is the fracture width. 687

688 We find that, at very low fracture densities (between 0 and 2 fractures per 689 metre), the basaltic rock-mass is more permeable than the suevite rock-mass (Figure 690 17). Above two fractures per metre, the equivalent permeabilities of the basalt and 691 suevite rock masses are very similar (Figure 17). Figure 17 also shows that only a few 692 macroscopic fractures per metre can increase the permeability of the rock-mass by two 693 orders of magnitude. Above a macroscopic fracture density of about 10 fractures per 694 metre, the equivalent permeability of the rock-mass does not increase significantly as a 695 function of increasing fracture density (Figure 17). We emphasise that the permeability of basalt on the sample scale can be very low (e.g., 10<sup>-19</sup> m<sup>2</sup>; Nara et al., 2011) and will 696 697 depend on both porosity and void-space connectivity. Therefore, the equivalent 698 permeability of low porosity basalt on Mars may be considerably lower than the 699 estimates for Volvic basalt provided herein, especially at low macrofracture densities.

Although there are a number of assumptions built into our upscaling calculations (for strength, Young's modulus, and permeability), these calculations are designed to emphasise that care should be taken when deciding on physical property values for large-scale models.

704

### 705 4.7 Implications for impacted planetary bodies

Our findings show that suevites can have high porosities and low strength values (e.g., Figure 15), which is not surprising given the destructive nature of large impact events and the damage to rock masses that ensues (e.g., Morgan et al., 2016). For example, the compressive strength of suevite can be 2–4 times lower than that for basalt, a rock representative of much of the surface materials of the inner Solar System worlds (e.g., Byrne, 2019), at a depth of 0.5 km (for Mars; Figure 15). Our experiments also show that suevite will be ductile at shallow depths (Figure 8), which will likelyaffect, for example, the propagation of large fractures and dykes.

714 Most of inner Solar System worlds show evidence for protracted histories of 715 impact bombardment. The "intercrater plains" on Mercury, which occupy ~75% of the 716 planet surface (Whitten et al., 2014; Byrne et al., 2018), are heavily cratered and record 717 more than 4 Gyr of impacts (Marchi et al., 2013). The southern uplands on Mars are 718 similarly cratered and comparably old (Tanaka et al., 2014), as is much of the Moon's 719 surface, especially the lunar highlands (e.g., Fassett et al., 2012b). Our study suggests 720 that the rock masses that comprise these older, bombarded crusts are therefore 721 substantially weaker and more porous and permeable than the younger plains material 722 on these worlds, e.g., Mercury's smooth plains, the northern lowland plains on Mars, 723 and the lunar maria (cf. Byrne, 2019). Indeed, the difference in tectonic style of crustal 724 shortening between the intercrater and smooth plains on Mercury has been attributed to 725 the destruction, via impact bombardment, of any internal fabric in the former terrain 726 type that the latter may still possess (Byrne et al., 2014). And although further 727 weakening of crustal rocks by alteration likely did not have affect the airless bodies, 728 e.g., Mercury or the Moon, such systems were probably present on Mars (Rathbun and Squyres, 2002; Osinski et al., 2013). (We exclude Venus from this discussion because 729 730 the second planet has anomalously few impact craters (Phillips et al., 1991), and it not 731 clear what effect(s) its high mean surface temperature (~470 °C) might have had on 732 those rocks that were impacted.)

In any case, our results here add to the few available data for the strength of planetary materials damaged by impact bombardment. It is therefore prudent to use such values in calculations of, for instance, the strength of the upper lithosphere of planetary bodies, when employing values for intact rock can lead to substantially different outcomes than for—more realistically—weak rock (e.g., Klimczak, 2015;
Klimczak et al., 2015). Indeed, results from the NASA Gravity Recovery and Interior
Laboratory (GRAIL) mission showed the Moon to have an average crustal porosity of
12% to a depth of at least several kilometres (Wieczorek et al., 2013), varying
regionally to as much as 22%—comparable to those values we find for the Seelbronn
(SUE) and Altenbürg (ALT) samples.

743

#### 744 **5** Conclusions

745 We provide here petrophysical property data (porosity, permeability, Young's modulus, and uniaxial compressive strength) for suevite (a type of melt-bearing impact 746 747 breccia) from the Ries crater in Germany. We further performed triaxial experiments 748 to determine the pressure (i.e., depth) required for the brittle-ductile transition. Until 749 now, petrophysical and mechanical data for melt-bearing impact breccia were rare or 750 absent. It is our hope that these data, and our upscaling approaches, can improve fluid 751 flow modelling, slope and crater stability assessments, crustal strength estimates, and 752 physical weathering rate estimates for and the interpretation of large-scale structural 753 features on planetary bodies on which protracted records of impact bombardment are 754 preserved.

755

#### 756 Acknowledgements

The first author acknowledges a Prix d'Espoirs grant, awarded by the University of Strasbourg. Olivier Lengliné is warmly thanked for driving the author to and from Nördlingen (Germany). Alexandra Kushnir is thanked for field assistance, Patrick Baud for discussions, Bertrand Renaudié for his help with the preparation of samples, and Marlène Villeneuve for determining the values of  $m_i$  using RocData. We thank Lea

767	Data availability
766	
765	and one anonymous reviewer for comments that helped improve this manuscript.
764	acknowledges support from North Carolina State University. We thank Chris Okubo
763	quarry (Wittner - Steinbearbeitung und Denkmalpflege, Nördlingen, Germany). PKB
762	Mertens and Matthias Wittner for providing the suevite block from the Seelbronn

The data collected for this study can be found in Tables 1–4.

769 Figures



Figure 1. (a) Map of Germany showing the location of Nördlingen (in red). (b) Map showing the outer- (dashed line) and inner-ring (dotted line) of the Ries impact crater. The red circle shows the location of the town of Nördlingen. Green circles indicate the locations of the quarries from where the experimental materials were sourced (Aumühle, Altenbürg, and Seelbronn). (c) GoogleEarth<sup>TM</sup> image of the crater showing the location of Nördlingen. The crater is approximately 20 km in diameter.



777

778 Figure 2. Photographs of representative 25 mm-diameter cylindrical core samples

prepared from the blocks collected. The symbol below each photograph corresponds to

the symbols used in Figures 5, 6, and 7.

a) Seelbronn suevite



b) Altenbürg suevite



c) Aumühle suevite



Figure 3. Backscattered electron microscope images of impact melt-bearing breccias
("suevites") from each of the three quarry sites: (a) Seelbronn; (b) Altenbürg; and (c)
Aumühle (see Figure 1 for locations). Panels (a) and (b) are taken from Heap et al.
(2020a).





Figure 4. Cumulative void space as a function of the equivalent pore throat radius for
impact melt-bearing breccias ("suevites") from each of the three quarry sites: Seelbronn
(SUE); Altenbürg (ALT); and Aumühle (AU1). (See Figure 1 for sample locations.)



Figure 5. Permeability as a function of connected porosity for impact melt-bearing
breccias ("suevites") from each of the three quarry sites (see Figure 1 for locations).
"AU" includes samples from blocks AU1, AU2, and AU3 (see Table 2).



Figure 6. (a) Representative uniaxial stress–strain curves for impact melt-bearing
breccias ("suevites") from each of the three quarry sites (see Figure 1 for locations).
The peak stress is labelled for the SUE curve. Sections OA, AB, and BC on the SUE
curve indicate the different parts of a typical stress–strain curve (see text for details).
(b) Uniaxial compressive strength as a function of connected porosity for these samples.
"AU" includes samples from blocks AU1, AU2, and AU3 (see Table 2).



Figure 7. Static Young's modulus as a function of connected porosity for impact meltbearing breccias ("suevites") from each of the three quarry sites (see Figure 1 for
locations). "AU" includes samples from blocks AU1, AU2, and AU3 (see Table 2).



805

**Figure 8**. (a) Stress–strain curves for the impact melt-bearing breccias ("suevites") from Seelbronn (SUE) (see Figure 1 for location). (b) Stress–strain curves for the samples from the Aumühle (AU1) quarry (see Figure 1 for location). In both plots, the effective pressure ( $P_{eff}$ ) for each experiment is labelled next to the appropriate curve.



Figure 9. Backscattered electron microscope images of deformed samples of impact melt-bearing breccias ("suevites") from the Seelbronn quarry (SUE) (see Figure 1 for location). Panels (a) and (b) show shear fractures (and accompanying microcracks) resulting from brittle failure. Panels (c) and (d) show the micromechanism driving porosity loss during the ductile experiments: cataclastic pore collapse (and accompanying microcracks).



817

Figure 10. Permeability as a function of connected porosity for impact melt-bearing
breccias ("suevites") from each of the three quarry sites (see Figure 1 for locations).
"AU" includes samples from blocks AU1, AU2, and AU3 (see Table 2). The dashed

821 line is the modelled curve (Equation (2)).



Figure 11. Uniaxial compressive strength as a function of connected porosity for impact melt-bearing breccias ("suevites") from each of the three quarry sites. "AU" includes samples from blocks AU1, AU2, and AU3 (see Table 2). Modelled curves are provided using the analytical approximation of Sammis and Ashby's (1986) poreemanating crack model (Equation (3)).



828

Figure 12. Differential stress, Q, (peak stress in the brittle regime and C\* in the ductile
regime) as a function of the effective mean stress, P, for impact melt-bearing breccias
("suevites") from Seelbronn (SUE) and Aumühle (AU1) (see Figure 1 for location)
(data given in Table 3).



833

Figure 13. (a) The onset of inelastic hydrostatic compaction,  $P^*$ , as a function of the 834 835 uniaxial compressive strength for the impact melt-bearing breccias ("suevites") from Seelbronn (SUE) and Aumühle (AU1) (see Figure 1 for location). The position of  $P^*$ 836 837 for SUE and AU1 is estimated to be 90  $\pm$  5 and 22  $\pm$  5 MPa. The modelled curve is provided using Equation (4). (b) The onset of inelastic hydrostatic compaction,  $P^*$ , as 838 839 a function of porosity for SUE and AU1 samples. The position of  $P^*$  for SUE and AU1 is estimated to be 90  $\pm$  5 and 22  $\pm$  5 MPa (symbol size is larger than the error bar). 840 Modelled curves are provided using Equation (5). On both panels we also provide data 841 842 for tuff, a volcanic rock with a similar texture to the studied suevites (data from Heard 843 et al., 1973; Aversa and Evangelista, 1998; Lockner and Morrow, 2008; Zhu et al., 844 2011; Heap et al., 2014b; Marmoni et al., 2017; Heap et al., 2018).



845

Figure 14. Data from triaxial experiments performed on samples of impact meltbearing breccias ("suevites") from Seelbronn (SUE) and Aumühle (AU1) (see Figure 1 for location) plotted in principal stress space (major principal stress as a function of minor principal stress). Best-fit modelled curves are provided using the data fitting function (modified cuckoo fit algorithm, basic error summation, and absolute error type) in RocData (Rocscience, 2017). The best-fit  $m_i$  values are given on the figure.





Figure 15. Crustal strength profiles (for Mars) for impact melt-bearing breccias ("suevites") from Seelbronn (SUE) and Aumühle (AU1), (see Figure 1 for location) and for Volvic basalt (Chaîne des Puys, France), calculated with Equation (6). Dashed lines indicate the depth range for ductile deformation, as informed by our experimental data (Table 3); strength values provided by Equation (6) are not valid for this depth range. Solid/dashed lines are for a Geological Strength Index (GSI) of 55 and the shaded zones show strength profiles for GSI =  $55 \pm 10$  (see text for details).



Figure 16. "Intact" (coloured symbols) and rock-mass (white symbols) Young's modulus as a function of connected porosity for impact melt-bearing breccias ("suevites") from Seelbronn (SUE), Altenbürg (ALT), and Aumühle (AU1) (see Figure 1 for locations) and for Volvic basalt (Chaîne des Puys, France). Rock-mass Young's moduli are calculated using Equation (10) and using a GSI of 55.



Figure 17. Equivalent permeability as a function of macrofracture density for impact
melt-bearing breccia ("suevite"), calculated using Equation (11).

Table 1. Quantitative bulk mineralogical composition, determined using X-ray powder
diffraction (XRPD), for the five impact melt-bearing breccias ("suevite") blocks used
in this study. The error associated with the estimation of the content of amorphous
phases is a conservative estimate. "n.d.": not detected.

Mineral	Altenbürg (ALT) (values in wt.%)	Seelbronn (SUE) (values in wt.%)	Aumühle 1 (AU1) (values in wt.%)	Aumühle 2 (AU2) (values in wt.%)	Aumühle 3 (AU3) (values in wt.%)
Quartz	$9 \pm 1$	$10 \pm 1$	$11 \pm 1$	$11 \pm 1$	$11 \pm 1$
Coesite	$1.7 \pm 0.3$	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.2$
K-feldspar	$3.1 \pm 0.5$	$1.7 \pm 0.5$	$4.5\pm0.8$	$3.5 \pm 0.5$	$4.3 \pm 0.4$
Plagioclase	$18 \pm 2$	$27 \pm 3$	$17 \pm 2$	$16 \pm 2$	$15 \pm 2$
Calcite	$13 \pm 1$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	$2.6 \pm 1.2$	$1.1 \pm 1.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$
Smectite	$25 \pm 3$	$23 \pm 3$	$31 \pm 4$	$34 \pm 4$	$37 \pm 4$
Amphibole	$1.7 \pm 0.3$	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Biotite	$2.0\pm0.5$	$1.9 \pm 0.5$	$1.8 \pm 0.5$	$1.8 \pm 0.5$	$1.9 \pm 0.5$
Hematite/Goethite	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$
Amorphous phases	28 ± 5	$33 \pm 5$	$32 \pm 8$	32 ± 8	$30\pm 8$

Table 2. The physical and mechanical properties (porosity, permeability, Young's
modulus, and uniaxial compressive strength) of dry impact melt-bearing breccia
("suevite") collected from the Ries impact crater, Germany. Asterisk denotes data from
Heap et al. (2020a).

Sample	Connected	Permeability	Young's	Uniaxial
_	porosity	(m <sup>2</sup> )	modulus	compressive
			(GPa)	strength
				(MPa)
SUE1*	0.241	$6.33 \times 10^{-15}$	3.5	18.4
SUE2*	0.197	$6.22 \times 10^{-16}$	8.1	48.6
SUE3*	0.238	$5.68 \times 10^{-15}$	-	-
SUE4*	0.221	$7.40 \times 10^{-16}$	4.7	21.7
SUE5*	0.190	$9.53 \times 10^{-16}$	7.9	42.2
SUE6*	0.184	$1.08 \times 10^{-15}$	-	-
SUE7*	0.197	$1.42 \times 10^{-15}$	-	-
SUE8*	0.204	$6.32 \times 10^{-16}$	6.4	32.8
SUE9*	0.240	$1.47 \times 10^{-15}$	-	-
SUE10*	0.198	$5.84 \times 10^{-16}$	7.7	43.3
SUE12*	0.195	-	6.2	32.8
SUE20*	0.228	-	7.6	34.1
ALT1*	0.242	$1.02 \times 10^{-15}$	6.1	31.7
ALT2*	0.261	$2.54 \times 10^{-15}$	-	31.6
ALT3*	0.261	$2.44 \times 10^{-15}$	-	-
ALT4*	0.233	$3.13 \times 10^{-15}$	5.7	25.3
ALT5*	0.243	$1.76 \times 10^{-15}$	-	-
ALT6*	0.262	$3.16 \times 10^{-15}$	5.0	17.7
ALT7*	0.238	$1.19 \times 10^{-15}$	-	-
ALT8*	0.261	$1.84 \times 10^{-15}$	4.3	22.5
ALT9*	0.261	$2.13 \times 10^{-15}$	-	-
ALT10*	0.231	$9.54 \times 10^{-16}$	7.1	33.0
ALT12*	0.245	-	6.1	34.8
ALT13*	0.223	-	6.3	33.6
ALT14*	0.242	-	6.1	34.6
ALT15*	0.248	-	4.8	26.9
AU1_1	0.387	$4.78 \times 10^{-15}$	3.4	14.7
AU1_2	0.392	$5.66 \times 10^{-15}$	3.6	15.0
AU1_3	0.388	$5.38 \times 10^{-15}$	3.7	15.0
AU1_4	0.384	$1.57 \times 10^{-14}$	3.9	15.7
AU1_5	0.386	$6.59 \times 10^{-15}$	2.9	14.0
AU1_6	0.380	$4.29 \times 10^{-15}$	4.1	15.0
AU1_7	0.396	$4.53 \times 10^{-15}$	3.3	14.3

AU1_8	0.389	$5.30 \times 10^{-15}$	2.9	12.6
AU1_9	0.390	$6.02 \times 10^{-15}$	3.4	14.0
AU1_10	0.380	$5.97 \times 10^{-15}$	3.3	14.8
AU1_11	0.388	$4.77 \times 10^{-15}$	3.5	14.2
AU2_1	0.383	$5.24 \times 10^{-15}$	3.4	13.1
AU2_2	0.381	$2.37 \times 10^{-15}$	3.7	16.6
AU2_3	0.370	$3.05 \times 10^{-15}$	3.4	15.5
AU2_4	0.408	$4.10 \times 10^{-15}$	1.4	7.3
AU2_5	0.401	$4.08 \times 10^{-15}$	2.5	10.8
AU2_6	0.382	$4.00 \times 10^{-15}$	3.5	14.0
AU2_7	0.380	$4.11 \times 10^{-15}$	3.4	14.5
AU2_8	0.381	$6.43 \times 10^{-15}$	2.8	9.4
AU2_9	0.369	$3.46 \times 10^{-15}$	3.3	15.2
AU2_10	0.385	$3.79 \times 10^{-15}$	2.7	11.7
AU2_11	0.370	$3.35 \times 10^{-15}$	2.9	14.8
AU2_12	0.362	$3.40 \times 10^{-15}$	3.2	15.8
AU3_1	0.397	$1.06 \times 10^{-14}$	2.3	9.7
AU3_2	0.417	$5.10 \times 10^{-14}$	2.0	8.2
AU3_3	0.399	$9.96 \times 10^{-15}$	2.6	10.3
AU3_4	0.434	$1.44 \times 10^{-14}$	2.6	8.7
AU3_5	0.382	$4.78 \times 10^{-15}$	3.0	11.5
AU3_6	0.412	$1.94 \times 10^{-14}$	2.4	10.4
AU3_7	0.407	$1.63 \times 10^{-14}$	2.2	10.2
AU3_8	0.401	$1.15 \times 10^{-14}$	2.6	11.8

**Table 3.** Mechanical data from the triaxial deformation experiments on dry impact melt-bearing breccia ("suevite") performed for this study. The asterisk denotes samples that were held at a differential stress of 2 MPa and the confining pressure was increased until  $C^*$  was reached (see methods section for more details).

887

Sample	Connected porosity	Confining pressure (MPa)	Pore fluid pressure (MPa)	Effective pressure (MPa)	Peak differential stress, Q (MPa)	C* (MPa)	Effective mean stress, P (MPa)
SS2	0.252	5	0	5	69.9	I	28.3
SS3	0.242	10	0	10	75.1	I	35.0
SS4	0.255	15	0	15	99.2	I	48.1
SS6	0.246	20	0	20	94.6	I	51.5
SS7	0.251	30	0	30	-	74.5	54.8
SS8	0.252	50	0	50	-	54.9	68.3
SS10*	0.247	90	0	90	-	2.0	90.7
SS11	0.234	80	0	80	-	24.7	88.2
SS12	0.237	10	0	10	97.9	-	41.6
AU1_1	0.376	5	0	5	23.9	I	13.0
AU1_3	0.383	10	0	10	-	17.1	15.7
AU1_7	0.385	15	0	15	-	12.2	19.1
AU1_11	0.385	20	0	20	-	7.6	22.5
AU1_12	0.377	2.5	0	2.5	18.5	-	8.7
AU1_16*	0.384	22	0	22	_	2.0	22.7

888

Table 4. The physical and mechanical properties (porosity, permeability, Young's
modulus, and uniaxial compressive strength) of dry Volvic basalt (Chaîne des Puys,
France). Asterisk denotes data from Heap et al. (2020b).

Sample	Connected porosity	Permeability (m <sup>2</sup> )	Young's modulus (GPa)	Uniaxial compressive strength (MPa)
VB2	0.20	$2.35 \times 10^{-14}$	17.1*	94.6
VB6	0.21	-	15.4*	96.5
VB8	0.20	-	16.8*	84.2
VB9	0.21	-	15.2*	91.1
VB10	0.21	-	16.1*	94.8
VB12	0.21	-	16.1*	94.7

#### 895 **References**

- Aben, F. M., Brantut, N., Mitchell, T. M., & David, E. C. Rupture energetics in crustal rock from laboratory-scale seismic tomography. *Geophysical Research Letters*.
- Abramov, O., & Kring, D. A. (2004). Numerical modeling of an impact-induced hydrothermal system at
   the Sudbury crater. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *109*(E10).
- Abramov, O., & Kring, D. A. (2005). Impact-induced hydrothermal activity on early Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 110(E12).
- Abramov, O., & Kring, D. A. (2007). Numerical modeling of impact-induced hydrothermal activity at
   the Chicxulub crater. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, 42(1), 93-112.
- Adelinet, M., Fortin, J., Schubnel, A., & Guéguen, Y. (2013). Deformation modes in an Icelandic basalt:
   from brittle failure to localized deformation bands. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 255, 15-25.
- Al-Harthi, A. A., Al-Amri, R. M., & Shehata, W. M. (1999). The porosity and engineering properties of vesicular basalt in Saudi Arabia. *Engineering Geology*, 54(3-4), 313-320.
- Andrews-Hanna, J. C., & Phillips, R. J. (2007). Hydrological modeling of outflow channels and chaos
   regions on Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *112*(E8).
- 911 Aversa, S., & Evangelista, A. (1998). The mechanical behaviour of a pyroclastic rock: yield strength and 912 "destructuration" effects. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, *31*(1), 25-42.
- Baud, P., Klein, E., & Wong, T. F. (2004). Compaction localization in porous sandstones: spatial
  evolution of damage and acoustic emission activity. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 26(4), 603624.
- Baud, P., Vajdova, V., & Wong, T. F. (2006). Shear-enhanced compaction and strain localization:
  Inelastic deformation and constitutive modeling of four porous sandstones. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 111(B12).
- Baud, P., Wong, T. F., & Zhu, W. (2014). Effects of porosity and crack density on the compressive strength of rocks. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 67, 202-211.
- Baud, P., Reuschlé, T., Ji, Y., Cheung, C. S., & Wong, T. F. (2015). Mechanical compaction and strain
  localization in Bleurswiller sandstone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 120(9),
  6501-6522.
- Baud, P., Schubnel, A., Heap, M., & Rolland, A. (2017). Inelastic Compaction in High-Porosity
  Limestone Monitored Using Acoustic Emissions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 122(12), 9989-10.
- Barnhart, C. J., Nimmo, F., & Travis, B. J. (2010). Martian post-impact hydrothermal systems incorporating freezing. *Icarus*, 208(1), 101-117.
- Barnouin, O. S., Zuber, M. T., Smith, D. E., Neumann, G. A., Herrick, R. R., Chappelow, J. E., ... &
  Prockter, L. M. (2012). The morphology of craters on Mercury: Results from MESSENGER
  flybys. *Icarus*, 219(1), 414-427.
- Bergmann, J., Friedel, P., & Kleeberg, R. (1998). BGMN—a new fundamental parameters based
  Rietveld program for laboratory X-ray sources, its use in quantitative analysis and structure investigations. *CPD Newsletter*, 20(5).
- Boyce, J. M., Mouginis-Mark, P., & Garbeil, H. (2005). Ancient oceans in the northern lowlands of
  Mars: Evidence from impact crater depth/diameter relationships. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 110(E3).
- Brace, W. F. (1984). Permeability of crystalline rocks: New in situ measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 89(B6), 4327-4330.
- Brunetti, M. T., Guzzetti, F., Cardinali, M., Fiorucci, F., Santangelo, M., Mancinelli, P., ... & Borselli,
  L. (2014). Analysis of a new geomorphological inventory of landslides in Valles Marineris,
  Mars. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 405, 156-168.
- Byrne, P. K., Klimczak, C., Sengor, A. M. C., Solomon, S. C., Watters, T. R., & Hauck II, S. A. (2014).
  Mercury: global tectonics on a contracting planet. *Nat. Geosci*, *7*, 301-307.
- Byrne, P. K., Klimczak, C., & Şengör, A. M. C. (2018). The tectonic character of Mercury. *Mercury: The View After MESSENGER*.
- 947 Byrne, P. K. (2019). A comparison of inner Solar System volcanism. *Nature Astronomy*, 1-7.
- 948
  949
  949
  949
  949
  949
  949
  949
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950
  950

- 951 Cheung, C. S., Baud, P., & Wong, T. F. (2012). Effect of grain size distribution on the development of
   952 compaction localization in porous sandstone. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(21).
- Cilona, A., Faulkner, D. R., Tondi, E., Agosta, F., Mancini, L., Rustichelli, A., ... & Vinciguerra, S.
  (2014). The effects of rock heterogeneity on compaction localization in porous carbonates. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 67, 75-93.
- 956 Clauser, C. (1992). Permeability of crystalline rocks. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical* 957 Union, 73(21), 233-238.
- 958 Collins, G. S. (2014). Numerical simulations of impact crater formation with dilatancy. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 119(12), 2600-2619.
- Christeson, G. L., Gulick, S. P. S., Morgan, J. V., Gebhardt, C., Kring, D. A., Le Ber, E., ... & Rebolledo-Vieyra, M. (2018). Extraordinary rocks from the peak ring of the Chicxulub impact crater: P-wave velocity, density, and porosity measurements from IODP/ICDP Expedition 364. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 495, 1-11.
- del Potro, R., & Hürlimann, M. (2009). The decrease in the shear strength of volcanic materials with
  argillic hydrothermal alteration, insights from the summit region of Teide stratovolcano,
  Tenerife. *Engineering Geology*, 104(1-2), 135-143.
- Döbelin, N. & Kleeberg, R. (2015) Profex: a graphical user interface for the Rietveld refinement program
   BGMN. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 48(5), 1573-1580
- Durán, E. L., Adam, L., Wallis, I. C., & Barnhoorn, A. (2019). Mineral alteration and fracture influence
  on the elastic properties of volcaniclastic rocks. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 124(5), 4576-4600.
- Beerhardt, E. (2012). The hoek-brown failure criterion. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 45(6), 981-988.
- Elbra, T., & Pesonen, L. J. (2011). Physical properties of the Yaxcopoil-1 deep drill core, Chicxulub impact structure, Mexico. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, 46(11), 1640-1652.
- P76
  P77
  P77
  P78
  P78
  Eppes, M. C., Willis, A., Molaro, J., Abernathy, S., & Zhou, B. (2015). Cracks in Martian boulders exhibit preferred orientations that point to solar-induced thermal stress. *Nature Communications*, 6, 6712.
- Etiope, G., Ehlmann, B. L., & Schoell, M. (2013). Low temperature production and exhalation of
  methane from serpentinized rocks on Earth: a potential analog for methane production on
  Mars. *Icarus*, 224(2), 276-285.
- Farquharson, J., Heap, M. J., Varley, N. R., Baud, P., & Reuschlé, T. (2015). Permeability and porosity relationships of edifice-forming andesites: a combined field and laboratory study. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 297, 52-68.
- Farquharson, J. I., Heap, M. J., Lavallée, Y., Varley, N. R., & Baud, P. (2016). Evidence for the development of permeability anisotropy in lava domes and volcanic conduits. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 323, 163-185.
- Farquharson, J. I., Baud, P., & Heap, M. J. (2017). Inelastic compaction and permeability evolution in volcanic rock. *Solid Earth*, 8(2), 561-581.
- Farquharson, J. I., & Wadsworth, F. B. (2018). Upscaling permeability in anisotropic volcanic systems. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 364, 35-47.
- Fassett, C. I., Head, J. W., Baker, D. M., Zuber, M. T., Smith, D. E., Neumann, G. A., ... & Prockter, L.
  M. (2012a). Large impact basins on Mercury: Global distribution, characteristics, and modification history from MESSENGER orbital data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 117(E12).
- Fassett, C. I., Head, J. W., Kadish, S. J., Mazarico, E., Neumann, G. A., Smith, D. E., & Zuber, M. T.
  (2012b). Lunar impact basins: Stratigraphy, sequence and ages from superposed impact crater populations measured from Lunar Orbiter Laser Altimeter (LOLA) data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *117*(E12).
- 1000 Forchheimer, P. (1901). Wasserbewegung durch boden. Z. Ver. Deutsch, Ing., 45, 1782-1788.
- Fortin, J., Stanchits, S., Dresen, G., & Guéguen, Y. (2006). Acoustic emission and velocities associated
  with the formation of compaction bands in sandstone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 111(B10).
- Frolova, F., Ladygin, V., Rychagov, S., & Zukhubaya, D. (2014). Effects of hydrothermal alterations on
   physical and mechanical properties of rocks in the Kuril–Kamchatka island arc. *Engineering geology*, 183, 80-95.
- Graup, G. (1981). Terrestrial chondrules, glass spherules and accretionary lapilli from the suevite, Ries
   Crater, Germany. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 55, 407-418.
- Grieve, R. A., & Shoemaker, E. M. (1994). The record of past impacts on Earth. *Hazards due to Comets and Asteroids*, 417-462.

- Grimm, R. E., & Painter, S. L. (2009). On the secular evolution of groundwater on Mars. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36(24).
- Gulick, V. C. (1998). Magmatic intrusions and a hydrothermal origin for fluvial valleys on Mars. *Journal* of *Geophysical Research: Planets*, 103(E8), 19365-19387.
- Halperin, B. I., Feng, S., & Sen, P. N. (1985). Differences between lattice and continuum percolation transport exponents. *Physical Review Letters*, 54(22), 2391.
- Harnett, C. E., Kendrick, J. E., Lamur, A., Thomas, M. E., Stinton, A., Wallace, P. A., ... & Lavallée, Y.
  (2019). Evolution of Mechanical Properties of Lava Dome Rocks Across the 1995–2010 Eruption of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 7.
- Harrison, K. P., & Grimm, R. E. (2009). Regionally compartmented groundwater flow on Mars. *Journal* of *Geophysical Research: Planets*, 114(E4).
- Heap, M. J., Xu, T., & Chen, C. F. (2014a). The influence of porosity and vesicle size on the brittle strength of volcanic rocks and magma. *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 76(9), 856.
- Heap, M. J., Baud, P., Meredith, P. G., Vinciguerra, S., & Reuschlé, T. (2014b). The permeability and
  elastic moduli of tuff from Campi Flegrei, Italy: implications for ground deformation
  modelling. *Solid Earth*, 5(1), 25-44.
- Heap, M. J., Farquharson, J. I., Wadsworth, F. B., Kolzenburg, S., & Russell, J. K. (2015a). Timescales
   for permeability reduction and strength recovery in densifying magma. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 429, 223-233.
- Heap, M. J., Farquharson, J. I., Baud, P., Lavallée, Y., & Reuschlé, T. (2015b). Fracture and compaction of andesite in a volcanic edifice. *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 77(6), 55.
- Heap, M. J., Kennedy, B. M., Pernin, N., Jacquemard, L., Baud, P., Farquharson, J. I., ... & Mayer, K. (2015c). Mechanical behaviour and failure modes in the Whakaari (White Island volcano) hydrothermal system, New Zealand. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 295, 26-42.
- Heap, M. J., & Kennedy, B. M. (2016). Exploring the scale-dependent permeability of fractured andesite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 447, 139-150.
- Heap, M. J., Violay, M., Wadsworth, F. B., & Vasseur, J. (2017a). From rock to magma and back again:
   The evolution of temperature and deformation mechanism in conduit margin zones. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 463, 92-100.
- Heap, M. J., Byrne, P. K., & Mikhail, S. (2017b). Low surface gravitational acceleration of Mars results
  in a thick and weak lithosphere: Implications for topography, volcanism, and
  hydrology. *Icarus*, 281, 103-114.
- Heap, M., Kushnir, A., Griffiths, L., Wadsworth, F., Marmoni, G. M., Fiorucci, M., ... & Reuschlé, T. (2018). Fire resistance of the Mt. Epomeo Green Tuff, a widely-used building stone on Ischia Island (Italy). Volcanica, 1(1), 33-48. doi: 10.30909/vol.01.01.3348.
- Heap, M. J., Tuffen, H., Wadsworth, F. B., Reuschlé, T., Castro, J. M., & Schipper, C. I. (2019). The permeability evolution of tuffisites and implications for outgassing through dense rhyolitic magma. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *124*(8), 8281-8299.
- Heap, M. J., Gilg, H. A., Hess, K. U., Mertens, L., Pösges, G., & Reuschlé, T. (2020a). Conservation and restoration of St. George's church (Nördlingen, Germany), a 15th century Gothic church built using suevite from the Ries impact crater. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*.
- Heap, M. J., Villeneuve, M., Albino, F., Farquharson, J. I., Brothelande, E., Amelung, F., ... & Baud, P.
  (2020b). Towards more realistic values of elastic moduli for volcano modelling. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 106684.
- Heard, H. C., Bonner, B. P., Duba, A. G., Schock, R. N., & Stephens, D. R. (1973). *High-pressure mechanical properties of Mt. Helen, Nevada, tuff* (No. UCID-16261).
- 1058 Hoek, E., Carranza-Torres, C., & Corkum, B. (2002). Hoek-Brown failure criterion-2002 1059 edition. *Proceedings of NARMS-Tac*, 1(1), 267-273.
- Hoek, E., & Diederichs, M. S. (2006). Empirical estimation of rock mass modulus. *International Journal* of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 43(2), 203-215.
- Hörz, F., Ostertag, R., & Rainey, D. A. (1983). Bunte Breccia of the Ries: Continuous deposits of large impact craters. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 21(8), 1667-1725.
- Huang, L., Baud, P., Cordonnier, B., Renard, F., Liu, L., & Wong, T. F. (2019). Synchrotron X-ray
  imaging in 4D: Multiscale failure and compaction localization in triaxially compressed porous
  limestone. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 528, 115831.
- Kirsimäe, K., & Osinski, G. R. (2013). Impact-induced hydrothermal activity. Impact Cratering: Processes and Products, first ed. Wiley-Blackwell, New Jersey, 76-89.
- 1069 Klimczak, C. (2015). Limits on the brittle strength of planetary lithospheres undergoing global contraction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 120*(12), 2135-2151.

- Klimczak, C., Byrne, P. K., & Solomon, S. C. (2015). A rock-mechanical assessment of Mercury's global
   tectonic fabric. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *416*, 82-90.
- Klinkenberg, L. J. (1941). The permeability of porous media to liquids and gases. In *Drilling and Production Practice*. American Petroleum Institute.
- Loaiza, S., Fortin, J., Schubnel, A., Gueguen, Y., Vinciguerra, S., & Moreira, M. (2012). Mechanical
   behavior and localized failure modes in a porous basalt from the Azores. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(19).
- Lockner, D., Byerlee, J. D., Kuksenko, V., Ponomarev, A., & Sidorin, A. (1991). Quasi-static fault growth and shear fracture energy in granite. *Nature*, 350(6313), 39.
- Lockner, D. A., & Morrow, C. A. (2008). Energy dissipation in Calico Hills tuff due to pore collapse.
   In AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts, Abstract T51A-1856.
- Lognonné, P., Banerdt, W. B., Pike, W. T., Giardini, D., Christensen, U., Garcia, R. F., ... & Nimmo, F.
  (2020). Constraints on the shallow elastic and anelastic structure of Mars from InSight seismic data. *Nature Geoscience*, 1-8.
- Lyons, J. R., Manning, C., & Nimmo, F. (2005). Formation of methane on Mars by fluid-rock interaction
  in the crust. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *32*(13).
- 1087 Manga, M. (2004). Martian floods at Cerberus Fossae can be produced by groundwater 1088 discharge. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *31*(2).
- Marchi, S., Chapman, C. R., Fassett, C. I., Head, J. W., Bottke, W. F., & Strom, R. G. (2013). Global
   resurfacing of Mercury 4.0–4.1 billion years ago by heavy bombardment and
   volcanism. *Nature*, 499(7456), 59-61.
- 1092 Marinos, V. I. I. I., Marinos, P., & Hoek, E. (2005). The geological strength index: applications and limitations. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, *64*(1), 55-65.
- Marmoni, G. M., Martino, S., Heap, M. J., & Reuschlé, T. (2017). Gravitational slope-deformation of a resurgent caldera: New insights from the mechanical behaviour of Mt. Nuovo tuffs (Ischia Island, Italy). Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 345, 1-20.
- Martys, N. S., Torquato, S., & Bentz, D. P. (1994). Universal scaling of fluid permeability for sphere packings. *Physical Review E*, 50(1), 403.
- Mayr, S. I., Wittmann, A., Burkhardt, H., Popov, Y., Romushkevich, R., Bayuk, I., ... & Wilhelm, H. (2008a). Integrated interpretation of physical properties of rocks of the borehole Yaxcopoil-1 (Chicxulub impact structure). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *113*(B7).
- Mayr, S. I., Burkhardt, H., Popov, Y., & Wittmann, A. (2008b). Estimation of hydraulic permeability considering the micro morphology of rocks of the borehole YAXCOPOIL-1 (Impact crater Chicxulub, Mexico). *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, *97*(2), 385-399.
- Melosh, H. J. (1989). Impact cratering: A geologic process. Research supported by NASA. New York,
   Oxford University Press (Oxford Monographs on Geology and Geophysics, No. 11), 1989, 253
   p., 11.
- Melosh, H. J., & Ivanov, B. A. (1999). Impact crater collapse. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 27(1), 385-415.
- Mordensky, S. P., Villeneuve, M. C., Kennedy, B. M., Heap, M. J., Gravley, D. M., Farquharson, J. I.,
  & Reuschlé, T. (2018). Physical and mechanical property relationships of a shallow intrusion and
  volcanic host rock, Pinnacle Ridge, Mt. Ruapehu, New Zealand. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 359, 1-20.
- Morgan, J. V., Gulick, S. P., Bralower, T., Chenot, E., Christeson, G., Claeys, P., ... & Gebhardt, C.
  (2016). The formation of peak rings in large impact craters. *Science*, *354*(6314), 878-882.
- Musiol, S., Cailleau, B., Platz, T., Kneissl, T., Dumke, A., & Neukum, G. (2011). Outflow activity near
  Hadriaca Patera, Mars: Fluid-tectonic interaction investigated with High Resolution Stereo
  Camera stereo data and finite element modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 116(E8).
- Muttik, N., Kirsimaee, K., Somelar, P., & Osinski, G. R. (2008). Post-impact alteration of surficial
  suevites in Ries crater, Germany: Hydrothermal modification or weathering
  processes? *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, 43(11), 1827-1840.
- Muttik, N., Kirsimäe, K., & Vennemann, T. W. (2010). Stable isotope composition of smectite in suevites
   at the Ries crater, Germany: Implications for hydrous alteration of impactites. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 299(1-2), 190-195.
- Muttik, N., Kirsimäe, K., Newsom, H. E., & Williams, L. B. (2011). Boron isotope composition of secondary smectite in suevites at the Ries crater, Germany: boron fractionation in weathering and hydrothermal processes. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *310*(3-4), 244-251.

- 1129 Neukum, G., König, B., & Arkani-Hamed, J. (1975). A study of lunar impact crater sizedistributions. *The Moon*, 12(2), 201-229.
- Neuffer, D. P., & Schultz, R. A. (2006). Mechanisms of slope failure in Valles Marineris, Mars. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 39(3), 227-240.
- 1133 Neuman, S. P. (1994). Generalized scaling of permeabilities: Validation and effect of support 1134 scale. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 21(5), 349-352.
- 1135 Newsom, H. E., Graup, G., Sewards, T., & Keil, K. (1986). Fluidization and hydrothermal alteration of
   the suevite deposit at the Ries crater, West Germany, and implications for Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *91*(B13), E239-E251.
- Newsom, H. E., Graup, G., Iseri, D. A., Geissman, J. W., & Keil, K. (1990). The formation of the Ries
  crater, West Germany: Evidence of atmospheric interactions during a larger cratering event.
  In *Global catastrophes in Earth history: An interdisciplinary conference on impacts, volcanism,*and mass mortality (pp. 195-205). Geological Society of America.
- Okubo, C. H., Tornabene, L. L., & Lanza, N. L. (2011). Constraints on mechanisms for the growth of gully alcoves in Gasa crater, Mars, from two-dimensional stability assessments of rock slopes. *Icarus*, 211(1), 207-221.
- 1145 Osinski, G. R. (2003). Impact glasses in fallout suevites from the Ries impact structure, Germany: An 1146 analytical SEM study. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, *38*(11), 1641-1667.
- Osinski, G. R., Grieve, R. A., & Spray, J. G. (2004). The nature of the groundmass of surficial suevite from the Ries impact structure, Germany, and constraints on its origin. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, *39*(10), 1655-1683.
- 1150 Osinski, G. R. (2005). Hydrothermal activity associated with the Ries impact event, 1151 Germany. *Geofluids*, 5(3), 202-220.
- 1152 Osinski, G. R., Tornabene, L. L., & Grieve, R. A. (2011). Impact ejecta emplacement on terrestrial 1153 planets. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *310*(3-4), 167-181.
- 1154 Osinski, G. R., & Pierazzo, E. (2013). Impact cratering: Processes and products. Impact Cratering, 1-20.
- Osinski, G. R., Tornabene, L. L., Banerjee, N. R., Cockell, C. S., Flemming, R., Izawa, M. R., ... & Pontefract, A. (2013). Impact-generated hydrothermal systems on Earth and Mars. *Icarus*, 224(2), 347-363.
- Parmentier, E. M., & Zuber, M. T. (2007). Early evolution of Mars with mantle compositional stratification or hydrothermal crustal cooling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *112*(E2).
- Parnell, J., Taylor, C. W., Thackrey, S., Osinski, G. R., & Lee, P. (2010). Permeability data for impact breccias imply focussed hydrothermal fluid flow. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 106(1-3), 171-175.
- Peters, G. H., Carey, E. M., Anderson, R. C., Abbey, W. J., Kinnett, R., Watkins, J. A., ... & Beegle, L.
  W. (2018). Uniaxial compressive strengths of rocks drilled at Gale Crater, Mars. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(1), 108-116.
- Phillips, R. J., Raubertas, R. F., Arvidson, R. E., Sarkar, I. C., Herrick, R. R., Izenberg, N., & Grimm, R.
  E. (1992). Impact craters and Venus resurfacing history. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 97(E10), 15923-15948.
- Pohl, J., Stöffler, D., Gall, H. V., & Ernstson, K. (1977). The Ries impact crater. In *Impact and explosion cratering: Planetary and terrestrial implications* (pp. 343-404).
- Pola, A., Crosta, G., Fusi, N., Barberini, V., & Norini, G. (2012). Influence of alteration on physical
   properties of volcanic rocks. *Tectonophysics*, 566, 67-86.
- Potter, R. W. K., Kring, D. A., Collins, G. S., Kiefer, W. S., & McGovern, P. J. (2012). Estimating
  transient crater size using the crustal annular bulge: Insights from numerical modeling of lunar
  basin-scale impacts. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(18).
- 1177 Rathbun, J. A., & Squyres, S. W. (2002). Hydrothermal systems associated with Martian impact craters. *Icarus*, 157(2), 362-372.
- Robbins, S. J., & Hynek, B. M. (2012). A new global database of Mars impact craters≥1 km: 1. Database creation, properties, and parameters. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 117(E5).
- Robbins, S. J., Singer, K. N., Bray, V. J., Schenk, P., Lauer, T. R., Weaver, H. A., ... & White, O. L. (2017). Craters of the Pluto-Charon system. *Icarus*, 287, 187-206.
- Rocholl, A., Böhme, M., Gilg, H. A., Pohl, J., Schaltegger, U., & Wijbrans, J. (2018). Comment on "A high-precision 40Ar/39Ar age for the Nördlinger Ries impact crater, Germany, and implications for the accurate dating of terrestrial impact events" by Schmieder et al. (Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 220 (2018) 146–157). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 238, 599-601.
- 1187 Rocscience Inc. (2017) https://www.rocscience.com.

- Sammis, C. G., & Ashby, M. F. (1986). The failure of brittle porous solids under compressive stress states. *Acta Metallurgica*, *34*(3), 511-526.
- 1190 Sanford, W. E. (2005). A simulation of the hydrothermal response to the Chesapeake Bay bolide 1191 impact. *Geofluids*, 5(3), 185-201.
- Sapers, H. M., Osinski, G. R., Flemming, R. L., Buitenhuis, E., Banerjee, N. R., Tornabene, L. L., ... & Hainge, J. (2017). Evidence for a spatially extensive hydrothermal system at the Ries impact structure, Germany. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, 52(2), 351-371.
- Schaefer, L. N., Kendrick, J. E., Oommen, T., Lavallée, Y., & Chigna, G. (2015). Geomechanical rock
   properties of a basaltic volcano. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, *3*, 29.
- Schmitt, R. T., Lapke, C., Lingemann, C. M., Siebenschock, M., & Stöffler D. (2005) Distribution and origin of diamonds in the Ries cater, Germany. in Kenkmann, T., Hörtz, F., & Deutsch, A., eds, Large Meteorite Impacts. Geological Society of America Special Paper 384, Boulder, 299-314.
- Schultz, R. A. (1993). Brittle strength of basaltic rock masses with applications to Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 98(E6), 10883-10895.
- 1202 Schultz, R. A. (1995). Limits on strength and deformation properties of jointed basaltic rock 1203 masses. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 28(1), 1-15.
- Schultz, R. A. (2002). Stability of rock slopes in Valles Marineris, Mars. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29(19), 38-1.
- Shoemaker, E. M., & Chao, E. C. (1961). New evidence for the impact origin of the Ries Basin, Bavaria,
   Germany. Journal of Geophysical Research, 66(10), 3371-3378.
- Stähle, V. (1972). Impact glasses from the suevite of the Nördlinger Ries. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *17*(1), 275-293.
- 1210 Stähle, V., Altherr, R., Koch, M., & Nasdala, L. (2008). Shock-induced growth and metastability of 1211 stishovite and coesite in lithic clasts from suevite of the Ries impact crater 1212 (Germany). *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, *155*(4), 457-472.
- Stanchits, S., Fortin, J., Gueguen, Y., & Dresen, G. (2009). Initiation and propagation of compaction bands in dry and wet Bentheim sandstone. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, *166*(5-7), 843-868.
  Stepinski, T. F., Mendenhall, M. P., & Bue, B. D. (2009). Machine cataloging of impact craters on
  - Stepinski, T. F., Mendenhall, M. P., & Bue, B. D. (2009). Machine cataloging of impact craters on Mars. *Icarus*, 203(1), 77-87.

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

- Stöffler, D. (1966). Zones of impact metamorphism in the crystalline rocks of the Nördlinger Ries crater. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, *12*(1), 15-24.
- Stöffler, D., & Grieve, R. A. F. (2007). Impactites. *Metamorphic rocks: A classification and glossary of terms, recommendations of the International Union of Geological Sciences*, 82-92.
- Stöffler, D., Artemieva, N. A., Wünnemann, K., Reimold, W. U., Jacob, J., Hansen, B. K., & Summerson, I. A. (2013). Ries crater and suevite revisited—Observations and modeling Part I: Observations. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, 48(4), 515-589.
- Stöffler, D., Hamann, C., & Metzler, K. (2018). Shock metamorphism of planetary silicate rocks and sediments: Proposal for an updated classification system. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, 53(1), 5-49.
- Sturm, S., Wulf, G., Jung, D., & Kenkmann, T. (2013). The Ries impact, a double-layer rampart crater on Earth. *Geology*, *41*(5), 531-534.
- Tanaka, K. L., Skinner Jr, J. A., Dohm, J. M., Irwin III, R. P., Kolb, E. J., Fortezzo, C. M., ... & Hare, T. M. (2014). Geologic Map of Mars, US Geol. Surv. Sci. Invest. Map, 3292.
- Thomson, B. J., Bridges, N. T., Cohen, J., Hurowitz, J. A., Lennon, A., Paulsen, G., & Zacny, K. (2013). Estimating rock compressive strength from Rock Abrasion Tool (RAT) grinds. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 118(6), 1233-1244.
- Torquato, S. (2013) Random heterogeneous materials: Microstructure and macroscopic properties. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Travis, B. J., Rosenberg, N. D., & Cuzzi, J. N. (2003). On the role of widespread subsurface convection
  in bringing liquid water close to Mars' surface. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 108(E4).
- 1239 Vajdova, V., Baud, P., Wu, L., & Wong, T. F. (2012). Micromechanics of inelastic compaction in two
   1240 allochemical limestones. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 43, 100-117.
- 1241 Versh, E., Kirsimäe, K., & Jõeleht, A. (2006). Development of potential ecological niches in impactinduced hydrothermal systems: The small-to-medium size impacts. *Planetary and Space Science*, 54(15), 1567-1574.
- 1244 Viles, H., Ehlmann, B., Wilson, C. F., Cebula, T., Page, M., & Bourke, M. (2010). Simulating weathering 1245 of basalt on Mars and Earth by thermal cycling. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *37*(18).
- von Engelhardt, W. (1990). Distribution, petrography and shock metamorphism of the ejecta of the Ries
   crater in Germany—A review. *Tectonophysics*, 171(1-4), 259-273.

- von Engelhardt, W., Arndt, J., Fecker, B., & Pankau, H. G. (1995). Suevite breccia from the Ries crater,
   Germany: Origin, cooling history and devitrification of impact glasses. *Meteoritics*, 30(3), 279-293.
- 1251 von Engelhardt, W. (1997). Suevite breccia of the Ries impact crater, Germany: Petrography, chemistry
   and shock metamorphism of crystalline rock clasts. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science*, 32(4), 545 554.
- Wadsworth, F. B., Vasseur, J., Scheu, B., Kendrick, J. E., Lavallée, Y., & Dingwell, D. B. (2016).
  Universal scaling of fluid permeability during volcanic welding and sediment diagenesis. *Geology*, 44(3), 219-222.
- Whitten, J. L., Head, J. W., Denevi, B. W., & Solomon, S. C. (2014). Intercrater plains on Mercury:
  Insights into unit definition, characterization, and origin from MESSENGER
  datasets. *Icarus*, 241, 97-113.
- Wieczorek, M. A., Neumann, G. A., Nimmo, F., Kiefer, W. S., Taylor, G. J., Melosh, H. J., ... & Konopliv, A. S. (2013). The crust of the Moon as seen by GRAIL. *Science*, 339(6120), 671-675.
- Wong, T.-f., & Baud, P. (2012). The brittle-ductile transition in porous rock: A review. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 44, 25-53.
  Wood, C. A., Lorenz, R., Kirk, R., Lopes, R., Mitchell, K., Stofan, E., & Cassini RADAR Team. (2010).
- Wood, C. A., Lorenz, R., Kirk, R., Lopes, R., Mitchell, K., Stofan, E., & Cassini RADAR Team. (2010).
  Impact craters on Titan. *Icarus*, 206(1), 334-344.
- 1266 Wünnemann, K., & Ivanov, B. A. (2003). Numerical modelling of the impact crater depth-diameter 1267 dependence in an acoustically fluidized target. *Planetary and Space Science*, *51*(13), 831-845.
- Wünnemann, K., Morgan, J. V., & Jödicke, H. (2005). Is Ries crater typical for its size? An analysis
  based upon old and new geophysical data and numerical modeling. In *Large meteorite impacts III* (Vol. 384, pp. 67-84). Boulder, Colorado: Geological Society of America.
- Wyering, L. D., Villeneuve, M. C., Wallis, I. C., Siratovich, P. A., Kennedy, B. M., Gravley, D. M., & Cant, J. L. (2014). Mechanical and physical properties of hydrothermally altered rocks, Taupo Volcanic Zone, New Zealand. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 288, 76-93.
- 1274 Zhang, J., Wong, T. F., & Davis, D. M. (1990). Micromechanics of pressure-induced grain crushing in porous rocks. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 95(B1), 341-352.
- 1276 Zhu, W., Baud, P., & Wong, T. F. (2010). Micromechanics of cataclastic pore collapse in 1277 limestone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 115(B4).
- 1278 Zhu, W., Baud, P., Vinciguerra, S., & Wong, T. F. (2011). Micromechanics of brittle faulting and cataclastic flow in Alban Hills tuff. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 116(B6).
- 1280 Zhu, W., Baud, P., Vinciguerra, S., & Wong, T. F. (2016). Micromechanics of brittle faulting and cataclastic flow in Mount Etna basalt. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121(6), 4268-4289.