

Late Quaternary Extension Rates Across the Northern Half of the Yadong-Gulu Rift: Implication for East-West Extension in Southern Tibet

M.-l. Chevalier, P. Tapponnier, Jérôme van Der Woerd, Philippe Hervé Leloup, S. Wang, J. Pan, M. Bai, E. Kali, X. Liu, H. Li

▶ To cite this version:

M.-l. Chevalier, P. Tapponnier, Jérôme van Der Woerd, Philippe Hervé Leloup, S. Wang, et al.. Late Quaternary Extension Rates Across the Northern Half of the Yadong-Gulu Rift: Implication for East-West Extension in Southern Tibet. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2020, 125 (7), 10.1029/2019JB019106. hal-03012231

HAL Id: hal-03012231

https://hal.science/hal-03012231

Submitted on 27 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Late Quaternary Extension Rates Across the Northern Half of the Yadong-Gulu

- 2 Rift Implication for East-West Extension in Southern Tibet
- 3

1

- 4 M.-L. Chevalier¹, P. Tapponnier², J. van der Woerd³, P. H. Leloup⁴, S. Wang¹, J. Pan¹, M.
- 5 Bai¹, E. Kali³, X. Liu⁵ and H. Li¹

6

- ¹ Key Laboratory of Deep-Earth Dynamics of Ministry of Natural Resources, Institute of Geology,
- 8 Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, 26 Baiwanzhuang Rd, Beijing 100037, People's
- 9 Republic of China
- ² Institute of Crustal Dynamics, China Earthquake Administration, Beijing, People's Republic of
- 11 China
- ³ Institut de Physique du Globe de Strasbourg, UMR 7516 CNRS, Universite de Strasbourg, 5 rue
- 13 René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg cedex, France
- ⁴ Laboratoire de géologie de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5570, Université de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France
- ⁵ Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 16
- 17 Corresponding author: Marie-Luce Chevalier (mlchevalier@hotmail.com)

18

19 **Key points:**

- 20 Extension rates along Yadong-Gulu rift decrease from north (3-6 mm/yr) to south (~1.3 mm/yr)
- 21 due to Beng Co dextral faulting
- The post-250 ka extension rate across the \sim 1000 km stretch of S Tibet north of Nepal is 9 \pm 2
- 23 mm/yr, consistent with geodetic rates
- The causes and mechanisms of eastward extension south and north of the Bangong-Nujiang suture

25 are different

Abstract

26

31

The presence of ~NS-trending rifts within the Tibetan Plateau attests that it is undergoing 27 ~EW extension. In southern Tibet, the total extension rate, distributed across seven main rifts over a 28 distance of ~1000 km, has been inferred to amount to about half of the shortening rate across the 29 Himalayas. Quantifying the late Quaternary extension rates across the largest rift (Yadong-Gulu 30 rift: YGR) is important to understand Tibetan deformation and to discuss the high plateau evolution during the later stages of continental collision. We performed ¹⁰Be surface-exposure cosmogenic 32 nuclide dating of 57 samples from three fluvial surfaces and two moraines that are vertically offset 33 by the normal faults bounding the northern YGR. After carefully assessing individual ages at each 34 site, to elucidate scatter in the age distributions, we obtained ~EW extension rates of up to 3-6 35 mm/yr near the northern end of the rift (Gulu) and of only 1.3±0.3 mm/yr in the south 36 (Yangbajing). The fast rates in the north may be influenced by dextral slip along the Beng Co fault, 37 whose rate ought to be at least 6.0 ± 1.8 mm/yr. The total late Quaternary extension rate of 9 ± 2 38 mm/yr we infer across southern Tibet between ~81 and 92°E, assuming similar rates across each 39 rift, is similar to earlier, qualitative inferences, and consistent with recent geodetic results. Distinct 40 deformation rates north and south of the Bangong-Nujiang suture may reflect significant differences between the extensional kinematics and mechanisms across the Qiangtang and Lhasa blocks. 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

41

1 Introduction

Several deformation mechanisms have been co-involved in accommodating the ongoing convergence of India into Asia: large-scale thrusting along the Himalayas, strike-slip faulting along the edges of, and within the Tibetan Plateau, additional thrusting and crustal thickening vet farther north (Tian Shan, Altai), large and small scale block rotation, and, as long recognized, ~EW extension across much of the Tibetan Plateau (e.g., Molnar & Tapponnier, 1978; Armijo et al.,

50 1986; Tapponnier et al., 1986; Avouac & Tapponnier, 1993; Avouac et al., 1993; Peltzer & Saucier, 1996). However, how much of the >2000 km (e.g., Achache et al., 1984; Patriat & Achache, 1984) 51 52 of northward penetration of India into Asia has been accommodated by each of these different processes is still debated. Here we try to better quantify the recent ~EW extension observed across 53 the series of prominent ~NS-trending rifts that cut the southern plateau south of the Bangong-54 Nujiang suture (BNS). Due to remoteness at high elevation and lack of infrastructures, field 55 investigations of the late Quaternary deformation in central Tibet have been few and far apart (e.g., 56 Armijo et al., 1986, 1989; Yin et al., 1999; Blisniuk et al., 2001; Taylor et al., 2003; Ratschbacher 57 et al., 2011; Han et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018). While pioneering studies using satellite imagery and 58 fault plane solutions helped clarify Tibetan faulting patterns on a broad scale (e.g., Molnar & 59 Tapponnier, 1978; Ni & York, 1978; Tapponnier et al., 1981; Molnar & Lyon-Caen, 1989), 60 attempts to quantitatively determine late Quaternary rates along the numerous active normal faults 61 still remain punctual (Blisniuk & Sharp, 2003; Wu et al., 2004, 2015; Kali, 2010; Chevalier et al., 62 2012; Ha et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). 63 Early on, Baranowski et al. (1984) and Armijo et al. (1986, 1989) proposed that the 64 dominant ~EW extension along normal faults bounding ~NS-trending rifts across southern Tibet 65 was primarily related to divergent, orthogonal thrusting along the curved Himalayan main frontal 66 thrust (MFT), and to the fact that several such rifts branch southwards off active right-lateral strike-67 slip faults (Figure 1a). These NW-striking, right-lateral strike-slip faults form a dextral, en-echelon 68 array (the Karakorum-Jiali Fault Zone: KJFZ) located along and just south of the BNS, where they 69 all stop. Father north, less prominent, shorter normal faults appear to reflect more widely distributed 70 stretching (e.g., Molnar & Tapponnier, 1978; Taylor et al., 2003). This suggests that the 71 72 mechanisms of crustal extension in southernmost Tibet are different from those in west-central Tibet (e.g., Tapponnier et al., 1986). The ~400 km wide and ~1500–1800 km long zone of central 73

74 Tibet centered along the BNS has also been interpreted to accommodate coeval EW extension and NS contraction (on order of 10-30 km, hence a small fraction of Indo-Asian convergence) across a 75 series of "conjugate" strike-slip faults with opposite senses (e.g., Wang et al., 2001; Taylor et al., 76 2003; Yin & Taylor, 2011). At the whole Plateau scale, the largest "conjugate" strike-slip fault 77 system is that of the Altyn Tagh and Karakorum faults (Figure 1a, inset). The two easternmost, 78 ~200-300 km-long faults south of the BNS (Gyaring Co [GCF], Beng Co [BCF] faults, Figure 1a) 79 are the longest of the left-stepping, en-echelon faults within the Karakorum-Jiali fault zone (Armijo 80 et al., 1986). The left-stepping, right-lateral faulting along the KJFZ/BNS shear zone has been 81 suggested to link the Karakorum fault to the west to the Red River and Sagaing faults to the SE 82 (Tapponnier et al., 1986), and to facilitate the eastward extrusion of central Tibet's Qiangtang block 83 (Armijo et al., 1989) (Figure 1a). The Triassic, lithospheric BNS would thus have guided the 84 activation of a younger, neotectonic strike-slip shear zone (Armijo et al., 1986, 1989). 85 Even though most of the south Tibetan rifts cross the Yarlung Zangbo River and suture 86 (YZS) (as attested for instance by knickpoints where the river crosses the Tangra Yum Co, Yadong-87 Gulu and Cona-Oiga rifts, Figure 1a, Y. Wang et al., 2019), they are morphologically different to 88 the north and south. To the south, they tend to be less developed, with single, en-echelon master 89 faults in most places (Figure 1a). By contrast, between the YZS and the BNS, the rifts include, or 90 are bounded by, conjugate master faults with larger cumulative throws (e.g., Armijo et al., 1986). 91 This difference north and south of the YZS has been inferred to be related to the presence of strong 92 and cold Indian lithosphere plunging beneath the Tethyan Himalayas. India, however, might not 93 extend far north of the YZS (e.g., Roger et al., 2000; Tapponnier et al., 2001; Liu-Zeng et al., 2008) 94 as sometimes advocated (e.g., Owens & Zandt, 1997; Tilmann et al., 2003; Copley, 2011). 95 96 The presence of normal faulting and EW extension in Tibet have long been correlated with the particularly high elevation of the plateau (e.g., Molnar & Tapponnier, 1978), which may have 97

98 been attained before the Oligocene in south central Tibet (e.g., Tapponnier et al., 2001; Rowley & Curie, 2006; DeCelles et al., 2007; Liu-Zeng et al., 2008), and in the middle Miocene in 99 southernmost Tibet (Tethys Himalayas) (e.g., Spicer et al., 2003; Currie et al., 2005; Liu-Zeng et 100 al., 2008; Ding et al., 2017). Divergent orthogonal thrusting (with little or no oblique component) 101 102 along the curved MFT adequately predicts the average ~N100°E extension direction across southern Tibet (e.g., Baranowski et al., 1984; Armijo et al., 1986, Tapponnier et al., 1986), which is 103 essentially perpendicular to the ~N6-10°-trending axis of symmetry of the rifts, located between 85 104 and 88°E (e.g., Tapponnier et al, 1981; Armijo et al., 1986; Molnar & Lyon Caen, 1989; Kapp & 105 Guynn, 2004). Strain partitioning due to oblique convergence between India and Asia, based on 106 107 Nuvel-1A plate velocities, has also been invoked to account for southern Tibet extension (e.g., Molnar & Lyon-Caen, 1989; McCaffrey & Nabelek, 1998; Kapp et al., 2008; Styron et al., 2011; 108 109 Murphy et al., 2014). Finally, other models argue for convective removal of the Tibetan mantle lithosphere and, following plateau uplift, gravitational collapse and spreading due to maximum 110 sustainable elevation (e.g., England & Houseman, 1989; Elliott et al., 2010), lithospheric 111 delamination (e.g., Harrison et al., 1992), or even rollback of the east Pacific subduction margin 112 (Yin, 2000). 113 Constraining the onset and rates of normal faulting along the Tibetan rifts is essential to 114 better understand how Tibet (and other continental plateaus) formed and evolved, as the age of rift 115 initiation may mark a change from compressional thickening to extensional thinning of the crust. 116 The onset of normal faulting may be thought to reflect the time when the Tibetan Plateau was 117 uplifted to its maximum elevation (e.g., Armijo et al., 1986; Garzione et al., 2000). It was initially 118 119 suggested that rifting, at least in southern Tibet, was very young, starting around 2.0±0.5 Ma, based 120 on the stratigraphic age of late Pliocene/early Quaternary deposits in ~EW-trending basins north of the Himalayan Range (Armijo et al., 1986). Later chronological studies, however, suggested 121

significantly older ages for the onset of extension (up to ~10-14 Ma) with a rate acceleration in the late Miocene to early Pliocene (4-5 to 8 Ma) (e.g., Mahéo et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2011; Sundell et al., 2013; Styron et al., 2013, 2015). North of the BNS, along the Shuanghu graben, Yin et al. (1999) also inferred an onset age of extension of <4 Ma (Figure 1a), later re-assessed to have been much older (13.5 Ma, Blisniuk et al., 2001).

In the south, between the Himalayas and the KJFZ/BNS, southern Tibet is characterized by seven long, ~NS-trending active rifts (e.g., Molnar & Tapponnier, 1978; Molnar & Chen, 1983; Armijo et al., 1986; Molnar & Lyon-Caen, 1989) that are roughly equally spaced, from ~200 km in the east to ~150 km in the west. North of the BNS, the more numerous, smaller grabens lie only ~100 km apart (Armijo et al., 1986). The apparent decrease in rift spacing across the BNS has been suggested to reflect the different mechanical properties of the Lhasa and Qiangtang blocks, a consequence of the different geological histories, thicknesses and ages of their crust (e.g., Hirn et al., 1984; Yin, 2000), which has been shown to vary significantly in different parts of the Tibetan Plateau (e.g., Vergne et al., 2002; Wittlinger et al., 2004). Three of the southern rifts (Thakkhola, Dinggye/Ama Drime and YGR, Figure 1a) radically cross the Himalayan Range. The direction of extension along most rifts and their bounding normal faults (N96°E±7° on average, N102°E for the YGR) is perpendicular to the average trend of the rifts N5.9±7.5°E (Armijo et al., 1986). The longest rift, which is the subject of our study here, the YGR, stretches ~500 km from the India-Bhutan border to the BNS north of Gulu, south of Nagqu (Figure 1a).

In this paper, using ¹⁰Be surface-exposure cosmogenic isotope dating of vertically offset geomorphic surfaces (two moraines and three alluvial fans and terraces) at five locations along the northern half of the YGR, between the YZS and BNS, we significantly augment the handful of quantitative late Quaternary throw rates that exist in both southern Tibet (three rifts: YGR: Wu et al., 2004; Ha et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Dinggye/Ama Drime rift: Kali, 2010; Gurla

Mandhata/Pulan rift: Chevalier et al., 2012) and northern Tibet (two rifts: Shuanghu: Blisniuk & Sharp, 2003; Dong Co: Li et al., 2019). Our data support what Armijo et al. (1986) inferred on a broad scale, i.e., an extension rate of 1.3±0.3 mm/yr along the YGR, consistent with a total of ~9±2 mm/yr across all seven south Tibetan rifts assuming similar rates across each. This rate is comparable to that predicted by divergent thrusting along the Himalayan arc (Baranowski et al., 1984; Armijo et al., 1986). At a more detailed level, rifting along the Gulu half-graben, northernmost segment of the YGR, may be significantly faster, which may result from interaction with the dextral Beng Co strike-slip fault, part of the KJFZ.

2 Geological setting of the Yadong-Gulu rift

Due to its exceptional length (~500 km) and easy access from Lhasa (Figure 1), the N10°-35°E-trending Yadong-Gulu rift (YGR), largest of the south Tibetan grabens has been the focus of significant research (e.g., Armijo et al., 1986, 1989; Harrison et al., 1995; Wu et al., 2004). It is one of the few rifts that cuts across the Himalayan Range, historically allowing trade with India.

Between the China-India (Sikkim) border in the south and Nagqu in the north, the rift crosses major geological structures including the south Tibetan detachment system (STDS, e.g., Burchfiel et al., 1992; Leloup et al., 2010), the Yarlung Zangbo suture (YZS) and river, and terminates just south of the Bangong-Nujiang suture (BNS). From north to south, it can be divided into four parts: 1) the Gulu half-graben, 2) the Damxung corridor north of Yangbajing (Figure 2a), 3) the Yangbajing and Angang grabens between the Nyainqentanghla (NQTL) Range and the Yarlung Zangbo River (Figure 3), and 4) the Yadong grabens south of the Yarlung Zangbo River (Armijo et al., 1986).

Roughly 100 km NW of Lhasa, the NQTL, at 7162 m one of the highest mountain ranges inside the Tibetan Plateau, extends for about 200 km in an oblique, northeastern direction (Figure 1b). It follows the west side of the northern YGR, which is bounded by NE to EW-striking normal

and left-lateral strike-slip faults (Figure 2). While all other active rifts in southern Tibet trend ~NS and are bounded by predominantly normal faults (e.g., Armijo et al., 1986), the co-existence of active normal and sinistral strike-slip kinematics is unique to the YGR, and is most likely related to inheritance from older, Tertiary tectonic features that now guide the peculiar, oblique, en-echelon geometry of the normal faults (Tapponnier et al., 1981, 1986). Along most of the eastern side of the NQTL Range, slip at the surface is partitioned between two roughly parallel strands having distinct components of motion (strike-slip *vs* normal), implying shallow bifurcation of oblique slip on the master fault at depth (Armijo et al., 1986).

2.1 Northern Yadong-Gulu rift: the Damxung corridor - Gulu half-graben

The northern part of the YGR includes the Damxung corridor and the Gulu half-graben (Figure 2). The ~120 km-long Damxung corridor follows the NE-striking normal-sinistral fault system along the base of the SE slope of the NQTL Range. Numerous Quaternary scarps trending N12°E±7° form a left-lateral array of east-dipping faults while a few west-dipping faults control the formation of pull-aparts and sag ponds (Armijo et al., 1986). At the Gulu bend, between the Damxung corridor and the Gulu half-graben, the active faults veer ~90° from an ~EW to a ~NS direction, in an abrupt transition from dominant left-slip to dominant normal throw (Figure 2a). The western side of the Gulu half-graben is bounded by an east-dipping active normal fault with particularly sharp, steep and high scarps at the base of deeply eroded and gently sloping triangular facets (Figure S1). In most places, the rupture of the 1952, Mw7.4 Gulu earthquake is strikingly clear, with a continuous free-face, up to ~1.5 m-high between the footwall and hanging wall (Tapponnier et al., 1981; Armijo et al., 1986). The normal faults along the northern end of the YGR then connect with the ~NW-striking, dextral, Beng Co fault rupture (1951 Mw7.7 earthquake, average 8±2 m right-lateral coseismic displacement and 90 km-long surface break, Armijo et al.,

1989) (Figures 1b and 2).

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

194

2.2 Central Yadong-Gulu rift: the Angang and Yangbajing grabens

The central part of the YGR is composed of two major NS-trending grabens (Figure 3). To the south, the Angang graben is bounded on its western side by an east-dipping master fault that cuts and offsets a large Quaternary landslide, and along which slickensides attest to normal-sinistral motion (Armijo et al., 1986). The fault bounding the eastern side of the graben is morphologically less prominent, but its stepping segments offset early Holocene terraces (section 5.2, page 19 in Armijo et al., 1986). To the north, the Yangbajing graben, broadest extensional basin along the entire YGR, is filled with up to 1000 m of Quaternary sediments (Cogan et al., 1998). It is limited to the east and west by normal faults dipping steeply in opposite directions (Figure 3). As clearly visible on satellite imagery and in the field, the main, east-dipping normal fault (to the west) exhibits fresh scarps along much of the range-front. Such scarps, along which there are hot springs, follow the base of ~500 m-high triangular facets between hanging glacial valleys (Figure 3) (Armijo et al., 1986; Mercier et al., 1987). An array of closely spaced, sub-parallel, west-dipping normal faults follows the central part of the graben, in rough alignment with both the Damxung corridor to the north and the Angang graben to the south (Armijo et al., 1986; Kapp et al., 2005). Such faults appear to absorb much of the late Quaternary extension. The west-facing normal escarpments closest to the graben floor are smaller and steeper than those located farther eastwards, which are higher and more eroded, hence likely older. That fault system ruptured during the destructive (due to poorly built adobe houses) 2008 Mw6.3 "Damxung" earthquake, whose hypocenter was 8-12 km deep, on a 47°-53° west-dipping focal plane (Elliott et al., 2010). At the surface, this event reportedly generated only small landslides and a few cracks (Wu et al., 2011). Towards the north, the dense normal fault array appears to be transversally cut by a sinistral, ENE-

striking fault that also offsets, by ~4 km, the main, western, range-front fault (Armijo et al., 1986) (Figure 3).

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

218

219

3 Methods

To quantitatively determine late Quaternary throw rates along the Yadong-Gulu rift (YGR), we mapped active fault strands and geomorphic surfaces such as alluvial fans, river terraces and glacial moraines, using a combination of field work, high-resolution satellite images, unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV aka drones), as well as high-resolution topographic data from a Riegl VZ1000 terrestrial LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) scanner (angular resolution of 0.02° for raw data, set to <0.5 m horizontally and <0.2 m vertically between two data points after filtering). We targeted five particularly clear sites along the northern half of the YGR where active faults orthogonally cut and offset geomorphic surfaces, in order to reconstruct the space-time evolution of the faults. Some of these sites (Damxung T, Yangbajing M and Gyekar T) were initially discovered by and discussed in Armijo et al. (1986) and we conducted fieldwork for tectonic (this study) and paleoclimatic (Chevalier et al., 2011a) purposes to complement the earlier, 1986 observations. Precise vertical (and horizontal) offsets were measured from the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) created by our LiDAR surveys, and the ages of the offset surfaces were deduced from ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al cosmogenic surface-exposure dating (e.g., Lal, 1991; Gosse & Phillips, 2001). Combining the abandonment age of the surfaces with their offsets yields median throw or horizontal rates on timescales corresponding to the surface ages (calculated using Zechar & Frankel, 2009). The results are presented in Tables 1 (for moraines) and 2 (for fans/terraces). Using hammer and chisel, we collected a total of 57 samples from the top few centimeters of large granite boulders (>1 m in diameter) on moraine crests (n=15 at Gulu M and n=22 at Ybj M)

and quartzite cobbles (~20-30 cm in diameter) on alluvial fans or terraces (n=5 at Gulu T, n= 10 at

Damxung T and n=5 at Gyekar T). The mineral separation and quartz cleaning procedure was modified from Kohl and Nishiizumi (1992). To limit the influence of processes that might have affected the ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al concentration in the samples, with ages appearing younger (due to rolling, erosion or shielding) or older (due to inheritance / prior exposure) than the actual surface ages, we applied corrections for topographic shielding and sampled the largest possible boulders on the moraines and cobbles that were well-embedded in the terrace surfaces. We did not correct for snow (due the lack of regional data) or vegetation (mostly short grass) cover, and we assumed zero erosion when calculating the ages due to the lack of surface incision on the flat terrace surfaces we sampled.

The two moraines studied here (Gulu and Ybj) have been dated by Chevalier et al. (2011a) for paleoclimatic purposes using ¹⁰Be. We recalculated the corresponding ages using the CRONUS calculator version 2.3 (Balco et al., 2008) with the time-dependent production rate model of Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) for these data as well as for data from the moraines cited here (Owen et al., 2005). We apply Peirce criterion to reject possible outliers then assign a class (A, B or C) according to how well the ages cluster on each moraine using the reduced Chi-squared analysis (e.g., Heyman, 2014; Blomdin et al., 2016; Batbaatar et al., 2018; Chevalier & Replumaz, 2019). The minimum moraine abandonment age is given by the average age of the samples for class A moraines and by the oldest age for classes B and C moraines (Heyman, 2014; Blomdin et al., 2016).

The quartzite cobbles from the alluvial surfaces were analyzed by Kali (2010) using both 10 Be and 26 Al cosmogenic isotopes. The ages were recalculated in a way similar to that described above for the moraines. Samples with simple exposure history should have similar 10 Be and 26 Al ages and a 26 Al/ 10 Be concentration ratio of 6.1 (Table 2). Departure from this ratio implies that the samples have had a complex exposure history: analytical problems for ratios >6.1 and episode(s) of shielding (burial by snow, ice, sediment, or water) and/or erosion for ratios <6.1 (Gosse and

Phillips, 2001). We applied Peirce criterion to alluvial surfaces with more than two samples (which excludes the Gyekar T1 surface) and discuss potential additional outliers following stratigraphic and other observations at each site. Due to the small number of dated samples on each terrace surface, assigning an abandonment age was not straightforward since the Be and Al ages often vary significantly, with ²⁶Al ages being systematically younger than the ¹⁰Be ages (due to the shorter half-life of ²⁶Al) and since such disparity increases with age (Gosse and Phillips, 2001). Therefore, we present, discuss and interpret each site individually in the following sections.

4 Site description, results and age interpretation

4.1 Gulu moraine

The Gulu moraine site is located at the outlet of a 8 km-long, U-shaped, glacially incised valley coming from the 6532 m high Samdain Kangsang peak. The present-day glacier terminus is located ~4 km upstream from the normal faulted range-front (Figure S1). The normal fault zone crossing this moraine is marked by multiple parallel west- and east-dipping scarps (Figures 4-6 and S2), some of which freshly ruptured by the Mw7.4 1952 Gulu earthquake. That particularly wide (~400 m) extensional zone forms a smooth graben with a total, cumulative vertical throw depth of 40 ± 4 m (Figure 6). The large number of normal fault scarps (up to ~20, Figures 4-6 and S2), including several with opposite dips, implies distributed extension at shallow depths, in keeping with the fact that most of the cumulative offsets along the faults are comparable and on order of only a few meters (Figure S2). That such active faults do not extend to great depths is clearly visible on both sides of the steep, ~100 m-deep, glacially-incised valley (Figure 4b). On either side of that valley, the normal faults that dip ~50±10° on average (Figures 5 and 6c) appear to root into a much shallower-dipping detachment, whose intersection with surface topography is visible on both the northern and southern valley flanks (black lines with white polygonal tips in Figure 4). The

measured, average dip of the detachment surface ranges between ~10° and 20° (Figure 4b), roughly parallel to the average dip of the shallow-dipping triangular facets on the bedrock footwall farther upstream in the valley (black lines with green polygonal tips in Figures 4). We thus interpret the widely distributed normal faulting to root at shallow depth on an 18±5° east-dipping detachment (green dashed lines in Figure 6c), possibly reactivating an older basement detachment fault exposed on the similarly shallow-dipping facets (Figure S1). Although we did not explore and sample that structure, it may be analogous to the shallow-dipping detachment fault studied in greater details along the southern part of the NQTL Range (e.g., Cogan et al., 1998; Kapp et al., 2005). However, because the epicentral depth of the 1952 Gulu earthquake was most likely on order of ~10-15 km, as is the case for all normal faulting earthquakes in Tibet (e.g., Elliott et al., 2010; Ryder et al., 2014), and because its fault plane and surface rupture had dips between 45-70° (Armijo et al., 1986), the shallow-dipping detachment fault cannot extend far eastwards past the range-front and must be vertically offset by the more steeply-dipping, seismic normal fault (Figure 6c).

The Gulu moraine surface is covered with short grass and large embedded boulders (Figures 5 and S3). Chevalier et al. (2011a) collected seven samples upstream (T5C-58 to 64) and eight samples downstream (T5C-66 to 73) from the fault along the southern lateral moraine crest (Figure 4b). The ¹⁰Be ages range from 16.8±1.6 to 22.0±2.0 ka (Figure 7 and Table 1). That age distribution contains no outlier and the moraine is class B (moderately clustered) so that the oldest age is taken to represent the minimum moraine abandonment age. This age closely corresponds to a Last Glacial Maximum age (or Marine Isotope Stage MIS-2) (Clark et al., 2009) and is in agreement with regional studies (e.g., Dong et al., 2014, 2017, Figures 2 and 3). Hence, considering that the 40±4 m vertical offset accumulated since that deglaciation time, an average subsidence rate of 1.8±0.3 mm/yr is obtained. In view of the inferred shallow dip of the basement detachment fault (18±5°), such a vertical rate would imply an ~EW extension rate of 6.0±1.8 mm/yr.

4.2 Gulu terrace

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

The Gulu terrace site, 15 km south of the Gulu moraine site (Figure 2), is located at the outlet of a <2 km-long, V-shaped valley that was only partially glaciated upstream (Figure 8). Hence, no glacier crossed the normal fault in the recent past, and a sharp and steep cumulative scarp vertically offsets, by 25±2 m, a large, flat, fluvial fan at the outlet of that valley (Figure 9). Medium size cobbles rest on top of that fan/terrace surface, which is sparsely covered with short grass (Figures 8 and S4). Just north of the fan, the multiple scarps of the 1952 surface rupture are clearly visible (Figure 8). Southwards, they extend partly along the steepest, free-faced part of the escarpment that limits the fan/terrace to the east. Rockfalls, likely of seismic origin, cover part of the uplifted terrace surface (Figure 8e), while a small village lies on the down-faulted fan surface at the base of the scarp (Figure 8a,f). In order to avoid possible mixing and contamination of fluvial terrace cobbles with colluvium or rockfall debris, we sampled both surfaces as close as possible to the fluvial risers (Figure 8b). We dated three samples upstream and two samples downstream from the fault. The 10 Be age distribution from the upper surface are 3.3±0.3, 4.4±0.5 and 15.3±1.5 ka, similar to the 26 Al age distribution (2.7±0.3, 3.2±0.6 and 12.9±1.3 ka, Figure 10 and Table 2). The two samples on the lower surface yield ¹⁰Be ages of 55.1±5.6 and 403.1±42.7 ka, and ²⁶Al ages of 43.3 ± 4.4 and 359.7 ± 41.2 ka. The 26 Al/ 10 Be concentration ratios vary from 4.5 to 5.5 (instead of the ideal 6.1), implying a rather poor agreement between the Be and Al measurements, indicative of a complex exposure history (see methods section). While it is not trivial to reconstruct the deposition history at this site, it is clearly improbable that the upper surface be younger than the lower one. It thus seems likely that the two old ages on the lower surface come from flash flooding of exposed, old bedrock in the upper reaches of the

stream catchment (inherited samples/ages). By contrast, the uplifted terrace ages may be interpreted

to reflect abandonment at 3.4±0.7 ka (average of the four consistent Be and Al ages of the two youngest samples), assuming that the much older (~5 times) age of 15.3/12.9 ka (Be/Al) is an outlier, most likely issued from rock collapse. Using only the more consistent Be ages would yield an age of 3.9±0.8 ka. We note that such an age (~4 ka), as well as the corresponding terrace heights above the present drainage, are consistent with strong river incision following the end of the early Holocene Climatic Optimum (HCO), as frequently observed in and around the Tibetan Plateau (e.g., Gasse et al., 1991; Van der Woerd et al., 2002; Mériaux et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005; Chevalier et al., 2011b, 2012). Combining the 25±2 m vertical offset with the 3.9±0.8 ka age yields a particularly fast throw rate of 6.4(+1.7/-1.1) mm/yr, in tune with the steep, partly free-faced (60-70°), normal fault scarp that bounds the uplifted terrace to the east (Figures 8f and 9c). Given that steep dip, the rate of horizontal extension at this Gulu terrace site would be 3.1±0.6 mm/yr. The significant difference with the Gulu moraine site rate, located only 15 km northwards, would largely be due to the contrasting influence of older tectonic structures. Similar along-strike transitions from high to low-angle normal faulting has been documented elsewhere in Tibet, notably along the Lunggar rift normal faults, to the west (e.g., Kapp et al., 2008; Styron et al., 2013). Here, the difference may be simply interpreted to imply that the deep Gulu master normal fault has breached across the shallow east-dipping detachment and reached the surface.

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

354

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

4.3 Damxung Terraces

Farther south near Damxung, in the mid-Damxung corridor, near the gateway of the road to Lake Nam Co, alluvial terraces are offset both horizontally and vertically by combined sinistral and normal faulting. That location was first discovered and described by Armijo et al. (1986; site 18) (Figures 1b, 2, 11 and 12). At this Damxung Terraces site, an active normal fault branch bounds the NQTL range-front (Figure 11b) while the main fault located farther to the SE splits into two strands

that vertically offset two large fluvial terrace surfaces by 10±2 m (T1) and 184±3 m (T2/T1 riser) (Figures 13 and S5), amounts roughly comparable to those (6-8 m and ~200 m, respectively) proposed by Armijo et al. (1986). On T1, the scarp height along the oblique, strike-slip/normal fault F2 is larger $(7\pm 1 \text{ m})$ than that $(3\pm 1 \text{ m})$ on the mostly normal fault F1 upstream (Figures 12 and 13). Along the downstream strand F2 on T1, a ~5 m-deep, ~270 m-long asymmetric pull-apart basin has formed, a result of perhaps multiple paleo-earthquakes (Figure 12c,d). Similar pull-aparts, some of them larger, exist along both F1 and F2 farther SW (Figure 11b), attesting to left-lateral strike-slip components of motion, in addition to normal faulting. The fact that F1's dip shifts from southward to northward across the western pull-apart over a distance of only a few hundreds of meters confirms its predominantly sub-vertical strike-slip kinematics (Figure 11b), as befits its orientation parallel to all the ENE to EW-striking faults along the Damxung corridor (Figure 2). Finally, the SW edge of T1 is horizontally offset \sim 17±5 m by F2 (Figures 2e and 11d). Six embedded cobbles were collected on the surface of T1, which is partly covered with short grass (Figures 11b, 12 and S6). The corresponding ¹⁰Be ages cluster well, ranging from 17.8±1.9 to 21.0±2.1 ka, with an average of 18.4±1.4 ka. The ²⁶Al ages are in excellent agreement, ranging from 16.6±1.7 to 20.8±2.0 ka (Figure 10 and Table 2), with an identical average age of 18.4±1.4 ka, which we interpret to fix precisely the abandonment age of T1. This age correlates well with the end of the Last Glacial Maximum, in agreement with warming climate and increased alluvial deposition due to glacial melting. Two of the four samples on the upper T2 terrace yield two ages (10 Be ages: 4.4 ± 0.5 and 16.7 ± 1.7 ka; 26 Al ages: 4.1 ± 0.4 and 7.1 ± 1.9 ka, Figure 10 and Table 2) that are younger than those on T1, and that can therefore be discarded as outliers, inconsistent with local morphology and stratigraphy. The two other samples have much older ¹⁰Be ages of 250.2±26.6 and 325.2±35.2 ka and ²⁶Al ages of 198.8±20.6 and 283.5±30.7 ka. Although the age scatter is large, the 26 Al/ 10 Be concentration ratios (5.1-5.5) are reasonable. Hence, we take

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

the average 10 Be + 26 Al age of these two samples (264.4±53.5 ka) to represent the abandonment age of T2. That terrace may have been abandoned during interglacial stages M7 or M9 (Figure 10).

Since the 10 ± 2 m total vertical offset of T1 across F1 and F2 could only have started to accumulate after T1 was abandoned at 18.4 ± 1.4 ka, the corresponding throw rate across both faults is 0.5 ± 0.1 mm/yr. Combining the 184 ± 3 m vertical offset of the T2/T1 riser with the age difference (~246 ka) between the two yields a minimum throw rate of $>0.75\pm0.2$ mm/yr. A similar rate (~0.76 mm/yr) is obtained using the age of T2 and its height above the river. Note that combining the T2/T1 riser offset with the age of T1 would yield an implausibly fast maximum throw rate of ~10 mm/yr. The left-lateral slip-rate along F2, deduced from the 17 ± 5 m horizontal offset and the age of T1, is 0.9 ± 0.3 mm/yr. The normal throw rates near Damxung (~0.5 to 0.75 mm/yr) are significantly slower than those found to the north, near Gulu (~1.8 and 6.4 mm/yr). This, however, may be largely due to the fact that slip here is partitioned between normal and sinistral faulting. Using a fault dip of 70° (Armijo et al., 1986) with a rake of 22° on F2 (Figure S7), and adding both the F1 and F2 throw rates (4.5 and 6.5 m in 18.4 ka = 0.24 and 0.35 mm/yr, respectively) to the F2 sinistral rate (0.9 mm/yr) yields a combined extension rate of <1.6 mm/yr in the N80°E direction (Figure S7). Note also that movement on the range-front fault (Figure 11b), which appears to still be active, would further increase the total extension rate.

4.4 Yangbajing (Ybj) moraines

The Ybj moraines (site 12 in Armijo et al., 1986) are located at the outlet of a small hanging glacial valley along the west side of the Yangbajing graben, whose spectacularly normal faulted edge is bounded by prominent triangular facets (Figures 1, 3, and 14). The glacial valley is about 5 km-long, and the modern glacial terminus is located about 3 km west of the fault. Along the normal fault, the lateral moraines are cut by a fresh, 5-6 m-high scarp (37° slope, Figure 14e,g) that may

have been the result of a single large earthquake that produced a continuous surface rupture of at least \sim 10 km-long (Armijo et al., 1986). That earthquake might have been temporarily related to the great M8.0 (?), 1411 Damxung earthquake surface break (Figure 1b), whose surface rupture has been interpreted to extend fart northwards along much of the Damxung corridor, over a total length of \sim 136 km (Wu et al., 1992). On both sides of the glacial valley, the lateral moraine surfaces are covered with short grass and with large, embedded granite boulders (Figure S8). Our LiDAR measurements show that the normal fault sharply cuts and vertically offsets the moraines by 44±1 m (Figure 15), a value much less than that of the cumulative offset of the valley floor (\geq 150 m) estimated by Armijo et al. (1986).

Chevalier et al. (2011a) collected 10 samples on both the upstream (T5C-25 to 34) and downstream (T5C-35 to 44) crests of the southern moraine, and two samples on the upstream northern moraine crest (T5C-44bis and 45) (Figure 14b). These sample ages range from 18.8±1.8 to 56.1±5.4 ka (Figure 7 and Table 1). That age distribution contains no outliers and the moraine is class C (poorly-clustered). Such a scattered but continuous age distribution is typical of moraines older than the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM ~20 ka), due to progressive exhumation of buried boulders by erosion of the moraine matrix, which yields ages younger than the actual moraine age (Chevalier & Replumaz, 2019). Thus, the oldest age suggests a Marine Isotope Stage MIS-3 age for the moraine abandonment, in agreement with the age of other outer moraines from southern and western Tibet (e.g., Chevalier et al., 2011a). Chevalier et al. (2011a) also collected five samples (T5C-19 to 23) on an inner moraine crest located upstream from the fault (Figure 14b) whose recalculated oldest age after rejecting one outlier (22.3±2.1 ka) using Peirce criteria is 15.8±1.5 ka (n=4, 12-15.8 ka, Table 1). However, this rejection of the oldest outlier would mean an absence of LGM moraine in this valley while it is clearly present at Gulu and elsewhere along the NQTL Range (Dong et al., 2014, 2017, Figures 2 and 3) and must therefore be taken with caution. In any

case, a LGM (or younger) age is in agreement with that crest's position within the outer MIS-3 moraine. Combining the 44±1 m vertical offset with the outer moraine's oldest age yields a vertical throw rate of 0.8±0.1 mm/yr. Given the steepest dip of the normal fault escarpment (~41°, Figure 15b), this would be consistent with a maximum extension rate of 0.9±0.1 mm/yr.

4.5 Gyekar site

On the SE side of the Yangbajing graben, alluvial fans and terraces at the Gyekar site (site 11 in Armijo et al., 1986) are vertically offset by numerous N12°±16°E-trending, west-dipping normal faults, resulting in a peculiar staircase morphology (Figures 1, 3, 16 and 17). These terraces and faults are transversally cut by partly glacier-fed rivers, with incision deep enough to expose normal fault planes with slickensides in cross-sections (Tapponnier et al., 1981). In contrast with drainage in the northern Yangbajing basin, all the rivers flowing into the southern part of the basin feed a central endoreic marshy wetland (Figure 3). Numerous small gullies, incising deeper across the higher fault scarps, sometimes reach the terrace surfaces (Figure 17b,d). These surfaces are covered with short grass and medium-size cobbles (Figures 17 and S9). The T1/T0 escarpment attests to a cumulative vertical offset of 15±3 m by normal fault F1, while that of T2/T1, due to movement on F2, is as much as ~6 times larger (87±5 m) (Figure 18b).

We dated two samples on T1 and four on T2 (Figure 16b). The two cobbles on T1 yield ¹⁰Be ages of 68.1±6.8 and 178.0±18.5 ka and ²⁶Al ages of 64.3±6.9 and 154.8±16.6 ka (Figure 10 and Table 2), that are unfortunately insufficient to ascertain a precise abandonment age. The four cobbles on T2 yield three similar ¹⁰Be ages (218, 252 and 277 ka) and two similar ²⁶Al ages (193 and 196 ka), an average of 227.2±36.4 ka, with the remaining three ages being much younger (98, 39 and 14 ka) (Figure 10 and Table 2). We interpret the much younger ages as outliers since T2, which is uplifted six times higher than T1, must necessarily be much older. For the same reason, the

younger, ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al ages on T1 (68 and 64 ka, average of 66.2±2.7 ka) may be taken to represent the most plausible abandonment age of T1. Within uncertainties, such ages (~66 and 227 ka) are consistent with interstadials (MIS-4 and 7) during which a warmer, wetter climate would have favored river incision whereas by contrast, colder and drier glacial periods limit river flow and thus restrict incision and terrace abandonment.

Combining the 15±3 m vertical offset of T1 with its inferred abandonment age (66.2±2.7 ka) would yield a vertical throw rate of ~0.2±0.1 mm/yr on F1. Similarly, combining the 102±5 m total vertical offset of T2 with its abandonment age (227.2±36.4 ka) would yield a throw rate of 0.4±0.1 mm/yr on F2. The cumulative throw rate on both active normal faults would thus be on order of 0.6±0.2 mm/yr, which might still be a minimum since there are numerous other small, likely active fault strands east and west of the Gyekar site along the east side of the southern Yangbajing basin. Note, however, that older faults (away from the basin) might tend to become inactive as younger ones (towards the basin) develop (e.g., Sanchez et al., 2010), which would make the contribution of T1 and T2 to regional extension the dominant ones.

We did not collect quantitative data along the active, east-dipping normal fault that bounds the western side of the southern Yangbajing graben, along which hot springs are aligned at the base of large triangular facets (Figures 3 and 17c,i). However, we infer that its throw rate may be similar to that on the Ybj moraine fault (0.8±0.1 mm/yr) along the west side of the northern Yangbajing graben, consistent with the similar height (~1600 m) of the mountain range it bounds above the graben floor. The 55±1° dip of F1 between T1 and T0 and the 65±1° dip of F2 between T2 and T1, both of which precisely measured in the field (Figures 16 and 17f,g), and similar to that derived from the 2008 Mw6.3 "Damxung" earthquake co-seismic InSAR data (54.4°W, Liu et al., 2012), yield a minimum extension rate on the eastern side of the Yangbajing graben of 0.3±0.1 mm/yr. This results in a total minimum extension rate of 1.2±0.2 mm/yr (0.9 of extension at Ybj M + 0.3

mm/yr) across the southern Yangbajing graben.

5 Discussion

5.1 Review of previous late Quaternary throw rates determination along the south Tibetan grabens

Prior to the widespread use of cosmogenic dating, Armijo et al. (1986) had inferred an onset age of extension across the south Tibetan graben normal faults of ~2.0±0.5 Ma, based on paleontological, stratigraphic and geomorphic observations. Combining such ages with cumulative throws (3-5 km for the YGR, 2.0±0.6 km for other rifts) implied a minimum long-term geological throw rate of 1.0±0.6 mm/yr on most graben's master faults, and of 1.9±0.6 mm/yr (#6b in Figure 19a and Table 3) along the YGR where tectonic relief is the largest. Along the western side of the Yangbajing graben, Armijo et al. (1986) also suggested late Quaternary throw rates of ~1.6 mm/yr (#6a in Figure 19a and Table 3), based on the inference that the offset glacial moraines formed after the last interstadial at 60 ka (inferred 100 m vertical offset in 60 ka, site Ybj moraines). However, taking the Ybj moraines offset to be only 44 m would be consistent with a throw rate of only 0.8 mm/yr.

Overall, the late Quaternary throw rates we obtain and discuss here vary from north to south between 1.8±0.3 mm/yr (Gulu moraines 'GM'), 6.4(+1.7/-1.1) mm/yr (Gulu terraces 'GuT'), 0.5±0.1 - 0.75±0.2 mm/yr (Damxung terraces 'DT'), 0.8±0.1 mm/yr (Ybj moraines 'YM') and 0.6±0.2 mm/yr (Gyekar terraces 'GyT') (Figure 19a and Table 3). Our results are particularly robust at the Gulu moraine and Damxung terraces sites due to precise offset measurements and tightly clustered ages. This is corroborated by the fact that our Gulu moraine ¹⁰Be age (recalculated at 22.0±2.0 ka, n=15, Chevalier et al., 2011a) is identical, within error, to that (recalculated at 21.7±2.2 ka, n=8, Owen et al., 2005, class B) of another moraine in the next valley to the south after the oldest sample age

(42.6±4.2 ka) was discarded using Peirce criterion.

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

At the late Quaternary timescale, relatively few recent studies have specifically targeted quantitative measurements of throw rates along south Tibetan normal faults. In the Pulan graben, on the west side of the Gurla Mandhata (Figure 1a), Chevalier et al. (2012) determined a minimum throw rate of 1.6 ± 0.4 mm/yr using ¹⁰Be cosmogenic dating (34±7 ka) of vertically offset (53±5 m) alluvial surfaces. A maximum rate of 1.9(+0.8/0.5) mm/yr was obtained with a lower terrace offset by 15±1 m in 8.0±2.5 ka. Using the same technique, Kali (2010) measured vertical throw rates of ~1.2 and ~1.7 mm/yr along the western (Kharta) and eastern (Dinggye) normal faults bounding the Ama Drime horst along the Dinggye/Ama Drime graben (Figure 1a), respectively. Along the northern YGR, Wu et al. (2004, 2015) used electron spin resonance (ESR), optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) and U-series dating of vertically offset alluvial surfaces to constrain normal throw rates. Rates of 1.4±0.6 mm/yr during the Holocene and of 1.1±0.3 mm/yr during the Quaternary (#7a and #7ab in Figure 19 and Table 3, respectively) were obtained in the Yangbajing graben (Wu et al., 2004), and of 0.8-1.3 mm/yr (#8) in the Angang graben (Wu et al., 2015). Most of these values correlate well with those we determine here. Finally, along the southern YGR, vertical throw rates of 0.7±0.1 mm/yr (#9, Ha et al., 2019) and of 0.9±0.3 mm/yr (#10, Wang et al., 2020) have recently been constrained using OSL and ¹⁰Be cosmogenic dating, respectively. Overall, the combination of these results suggest that late Quaternary/Holocene throw rates across most grabens south of the Bangong-Nujiang suture (BNS) may be similar and on order of ~1 to 2 mm/yr. By contrast, north of the BNS, across the prominent Shuanghu rift, significantly slower late Quaternary throw rates <0.3 mm/yr in the last 233 ka, and of 0.2-0.3 mm/yr in the last 120 ka have been obtained using U-series dating of pedogenic carbonates (Blisniuk & Sharp, 2003) and ¹⁰Be surface-exposure cosmogenic dating (Pan et al., 2018), respectively. Although these latter

results are of narrow regional extent, they tend to support the geomorphic/topographic-based

inference that the kinematics of normal faulting north and south of the BNS is different.

5.2 Extension rates along the northern Yadong-Gulu rift

From north to south, the late Quaternary extension rates we determine here vary between 6.0±1.8 mm/yr (Gulu moraines), 3.1±0.6 mm/yr (Gulu terraces), < 1.6 mm/yr (Damxung terraces), and a total of 1.2±0.2 mm/yr across the southern Yangbajing graben (0.9±0.1 mm/yr at Ybj moraines + 0.3±0.1 mm/yr at Gyekar terraces) (Figure 19), i.e., 3-6 mm/yr north of the Gulu bend and 1.3±0.3 mm/yr south of it. While the average rates we obtain between Damxung and Gyekar are in fair agreement with those inferred earlier (Armijo et al., 1986), and with those measured along a few other south Tibetan rifts (Wu et al., 2004, 2015; Kali, 2010; Chevalier et al., 2012; Ha et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020), the rate we determine closer to Gulu (north of the 90° Gulu bend) are not, in keeping with the particularly spectacular and youthful tectonic morphology initially noted by Armijo et al. (1986, 1989).

Taken at face value, such rates appear to increase sharply and rapidly northwards, specifically north of the Gulu bend (Figure 19b). We infer that such faster rates might result from a transfer of slip from the Beng Co dextral fault to the Gulu normal fault, the latter absorbing a

specifically north of the Gulu bend (Figure 19b). We infer that such faster rates might result from a transfer of slip from the Beng Co dextral fault to the Gulu normal fault, the latter absorbing a significant part of the displacement along the former (Armijo et al., 1986, 1989). If this were the case, the present-day slip-rate on the Beng Co fault would be at least 6.0±1.8 mm/yr. Right-lateral late Quaternary slip-rates as fast as 10-20 mm/yr have been inferred along that fault (Armijo et al., 1989; Peltzer & Saucier, 1996), while geodetic, InSAR-based rates have been shown to be between 1±1 and 4±1 mm/yr (Garthwaite et al., 2013), <6 mm/yr (Taylor & Peltzer, 2006), and 7.7 mm/yr (Ryder et al., 2014). Recent, ongoing deformation in this region of the Plateau is quite complex, as it combines movements on large normal faults, on long, conjugate, strike-slip faults, and tectonic uplift of several of Tibet's highest (> 7000 m) mountain ranges (Figure 1b). While the origin of such

complexity is still poorly understood, it likely reflects significant changes in deformation kinematics since the early Tertiary (e.g., Tapponnier et al., 1986; Harrison et al., 1995). Along the Gulu half-graben, for instance, shallow extension is taken up either by a single, steep normal fault or by widely distributed extensional faults dipping in opposite directions. Southwards near Damxung, deformation is partitioned between normal and sinistral motion along two roughly parallel faults that likely merge at depth (Armijo et al., 1986). The strike of both faults is at high-angle to that of the Beng Co and Jiali dextral faults (Figures 1b, 2 and S1). Finally, although the particularly high elevation of the Nyainqentanghla (NQTL) Range, the steep slope of its NW, 61°-trending range-front, and the presence, along that front, of strongly deformed and folded Cretaceous red beds, may be taken to imply NW-directed Tertiary overthrusting (e.g., Tapponnier et al., 1986; Armijo et al., 1989), consistent with the steep bathymetric gradient along the SE shore of Lake Nam Co (e.g., Kasper et al., 2012), while the more gentle SE side of the NQTL Range exposes a shallow-dipping detachment (e.g., Cogan et al., 1998; Kapp et al., 2005).

By statistically averaging the strikes of active normal faults, Armijo et al. (1986) proposed average extension directions of N102°E across the YGR and of ~N96°E±7° across most of the south Tibetan rifts. Both directions are perpendicular to the average rift trends (N6-10°E) and to their bounding normal faults. They are also comparable to the average T axes of regional earthquake focal mechanisms in central/southern Tibet (e.g., Molnar & Lyon-Caen, 1989; Zhu et al., 2017; Wu et al. 2019). Field morphological measurements, outcrop exposures and slickenside data (Tapponnier et al., 1981; Armijo et al., 1986; Mercier et al., 1987) constrain active normal fault dips to range between 45° and 60° (up to 70° near Damxung, Figure S7). Accordingly, based on the throw rate of 1.9±0.6 mm/yr they estimated, Armijo et al. (1986) determined an ~EW extension rate across the northern half of the YGR of 1.4±0.8 mm/yr. Most of the robust dip angle values recently obtained using InSAR and body-wave data for instrumental earthquakes along the YGR and

Pumqu-Xainza rifts (e.g., Elliott et al., 2010; H. Wang et al., 2014) are also consistent with steep (41 to 52°) fault planes. Finally, our own field measurements of the two exposed normal fault planes at Gyekar document steep dips of 55±1 and 65±1° (Figures 16b and 17f,g). In summary, despite the influence of a locally complex tectonic history, our quantitative offset/dip/age measurements along the YGR in the Yangbajing graben constrain ~EW extension rates on fairly steep, 41-70° dipping normal faults to be slightly in excess of 1 mm/yr, with a sharp northwards increase, by a factor of ~5, near the Beng Co-Jiali dextral fault.

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

With the exception of our Gulu results, possibly influenced by strike-slip "drag", along the Beng Co-Jiali fault zone, the 1.3±0.3 mm/yr average extension rate we find is similar to, while more precise than, the rate (1.4±0.8 mm/yr) inferred by Armijo et al. (1986). Assuming, as justified by presently available data across some other rifts and by their similar morphologies and widths, comparable extension rates across each of the six other main south Tibetan rifts (from ~81 to ~92°E) with negligible active normal faulting between them, we obtain a total, active extension rate of 9±2 mm/yr across southern Tibet south of the BNS, while the above authors' broader estimate was 10±5.6 mm/yr. The south Tibetan rifts do show differences in geometry, symmetry, and amounts of total exhumation (e.g., Kapp et al., 2008; C. Wang et al., 2014; Styron et al., 2015; Wolff et al., 2019), but it is clear on high-resolution topographic maps and satellite images that from a morphological standpoint, their present-day widths and depths (a measure of active crustal stretching amounts) are fairly similar. Thus, in the absence of comprehensive, quantitative late Quaternary studies across all other rifts, we take our simple estimate of 9±2 mm/yr to best reflect the present-day, southern Tibet extension rate. This value is significantly smaller than that estimated by Molnar and Lyon-Caen (1989) (18±9 mm/yr in a more southeasterly N115°E direction), using the total seismic moment release due to earthquakes between 1962 and 1986 (24) years). By contrast, using InSAR data from normal faulting earthquakes in the last 43 years (1966)

to 2008), a time interval almost twice as long, Elliott et al. (2010) estimated a much slower rate (3-4 mm/yr in the EW direction). Besides potential disparities in the EW length of the region considered, such large differences are most likely due to different observation time spans, unknown earthquake recurrence intervals, and event clustering. The 9±2 mm/yr total extension rate is comparable to that predicted by divergent thrusting along the Himalayan arc (Baranowski et al., 1984; Armijo et al., 1986), which requires that the ~EW extension rate across southern Tibet should be about half that of underthrusting of rigid India beneath the Himalayan Range. All recent estimates of central trans-Himalayan shortening across the whole length (~1000 km) of Nepal (e.g., Holocene average of 21±1.5 mm/yr, Lavé & Avouac, 2000; GPS average of 19±2.5 mm/yr in central and eastern Nepal, Bettinelli et al, 2006; or 15.5±2 mm/yr, Lindsey et al., 2018) do support an extension rate across southernmost Tibet on order of ~10±1 mm/yr (i.e., half of ~20 mm/yr). Even the latter, slowest convergence would still be in keeping with ~8±1 mm/yr of extension.

As an incentive for future research, note that a \sim 9 mm/yr total extension rate would be comparable to the maximum right-lateral slip-rate determined along the Karakorum fault (Chevalier et al., 2005, 2012), and might be taken to imply a comparable right-lateral slip-rate along the Jiali fault, which likely connects with the NE Sagaing fault south of the Putao pull-apart basin (Figure 27 in Armijo et al., 1989).

5.3 Comparison with geodetic rates

Early GPS studies with a limited number of stations across Tibet (Wang et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004) suggested a total extension rate of ~20-22 mm/yr between ~79° and ~93°E. The recent, wholesale East Asia GPS dataset of Zheng et al. (2017) suggests a uniformly distributed extension rate of ~15 mm/yr across all of southern Tibet (~1500 km). Taking the shorter distance (only ~1000 km) between the westernmost (Gurla Mandhata) and easternmost (Cona) rifts that limit the area we

consider (Figure 1a) would yield a rate of ~10 mm/yr, similar to the 9±2 mm/yr southern Tibet extension rate we propose. The GPS/InSAR joint inversion results of Wang et al. (2019) suggest a total ~15 mm/yr of EW extension across south-central Tibet (between 80 and 92°E). According to these authors, the Lopu Kangri rift (northward extent of the Thakkhola rift), the Tangra Yum Co rift, and the YGR would absorb similar amounts of extension (4-5 mm/yr each, #1 in Figure 19a and Table 3). The Pumqu-Xainza rift (Figure 1a), on the other hand, would absorb only 1-2 mm/yr (Wang et al., 2019).

Other GPS studies, such as that of Chen et al. (2004a,b), suggested a total extension rate of 9.7±3.0 mm/yr across all rifts, with ~6 mm/yr across the YGR (#2 in Figure 19a and Table 3), and

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

9.7±3.0 mm/yr across all rifts, with ~6 mm/yr across the YGR (#2 in Figure 19a and Table 3), and much of the rest (~4 mm/yr) across the Thakkhola graben, even though their GPS estimates encompass a broader area than that constrained by geological studies, a potential source of discrepancies. Note that the YGR and Thakkhola rifts, were singled out by Replumaz and Tapponnier (2003) to be the most active of all south Tibetan rifts, in the last 5 Ma. Compatible GPS values of 5.2±0.4 and 7.8±0.4 mm/yr across the same two rifts were obtained by Wang et al. (2017) (#3). Interestingly, it is also along these two rifts (Lopu Kangri/Thakkhola and YGR) that the greatest number of M>6 earthquakes have occurred north of the Yarlung Zangbo suture (YZS) in the last 40 years (Figure 1a). Later GPS studies (Gan et al., 2007) found a 2.0±0.6 mm/yr extension rate across the YGR (#4), roughly consistent with that inferred by Armijo et al. (1986) (1.4±0.8 mm/yr) and with our own rate south of the Gulu bend $(1.3\pm0.3 \text{ mm/yr})$, as well as a left-lateral strike-slip rate of 0.7±1.1 mm/yr, also in keeping with our left-lateral slip-rate near Damxung (0.9±0.3 mm/yr). Finally, in a recent 3D micro-block kinematic model, Tian et al. (2019) used geodetic and seismic observations to infer an extension rate of 5.6±0.8 mm/yr in the northern YGR (#5 in Figure 19a and Table 3), which would be consistent with our faster local result. However, their model also yields a right-lateral slip-rate component of 1.4±0.9 mm/yr in the same area, while

the NE-trending active transfer segment between Yangbajing and Gulu unquestionably entails a significant component of left-lateral faulting.

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

650

651

5.4 Implications for present-day Tibetan deformation

Armijo et al. (1986) suggested that the 'chord' between the eastern and western Himalayan syntaxes, which appears to guide localized, en-echelon, right-lateral shear roughly along the older BNS, separates two regions with different deformation regimes (Figure 20). To the north (between the Altyn Tagh fault and the BNS, Figure 1a), dominant strike-slip faulting earthquakes occur within the Qiangtang block (e.g., Molnar & Tapponnier, 1978; Molnar & Chen, 1983; Molnar & Lyon-Caen, 1989; Zhu et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2019), which is free to move eastwards. With the exception of the large strike-slip events close to the Karakorum-Jiali Fault Zone (KJFZ), along the Beng Co (BCF), Gyaring Co (GCF) and Damxung faults (1951, Mw7.7; 1934, M~7; and 1411, M~8, respectively) (Figure 1a), normal faulting earthquakes predominate across the Lhasa block (between the BNS and the Yarlung Zangbo suture), which is restrained to the east and west by the two Himalayan Syntaxes (Armijo et al., 1986). Hence, prevailing sinistral strike-slip faulting in central Tibet north of the BNS likely reflects the eastwards extrusion of the Qiangtang block mostly along the Gyaring Co and Beng Co faults (e.g., Peltzer & Tapponnier, 1988; Wu et al., 2011; Han et al., 2019), while the Lhasa block to the south extends as a result of divergent orthogonal thrusting along the Main Frontal Thrust (e.g., Baranowski et al, 1984; Armijo et al., 1986) (Figure 20). Other models have inferred a significant role of underthrusting of Indian lower crust beneath the plateau (e.g., DeCelles et al., 2002; Styron et al., 2015) and/or of oblique convergence (mostly in the western Himalaya, Styron et al., 2011; Murphy et al., 2014). That faulting north and south of the BNS results from different processes is consistent with the much smaller strike-slip displacements inferred along the NE-striking central Tibet sinistral faults (~10-20 km, Taylor et al., 2003; Yin &

Taylor, 2011) than along the dextral Karakorum fault (>120 km offset of the Indus River, e.g.,

Gaudemer et al., 1989; Robinson, 2009; and >250 km geological offset, Matte et al., 1996; Lacassin

et al., 2004; Valli et al., 2007).

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

5.5 Recent seismicity and long-term return times

Up to 14 earthquakes with M>6 (EDPDCEA earthquake catalogs, 1999), 12 of which between the Yarlung Zangbo and the Bangong-Nujiang sutures, occurred along or near the Yadong-Gulu rift (YGR) prior to the 2008 Mw6.3 "Damxung" earthquake near Gyekar. The oldest and largest known events are the 1264 Yangyi M6.7, and the 1411 Damxung-Yangbajing M8 (?) earthquakes. The latter is inferred to have produced ~136 km of surface rupture, with maximum vertical and horizontal displacements of 8-9 and 11-13 m, respectively, between Damxung and Yangbaijing (Wu et al., 1992). All the other events postdate the advent of instrumental seismology at the end of the 19th century. They include the 1901 North Nimu (Nyemo?) M6.8 earthquake, the 1924 Nimu M6 earthquake, the 1924 Yalin graben (west of Yangbaijing) M6.5 earthquake, the 1951-1952 Beng Co/Gulu Mw7.7-7.4 earthquake sequence (with ~90 km of surface ruptures, Armijo et al., 1989), and the 1992 Nyemo Mw6.1 earthquake (Figure 1b). The remaining seismic gap between the Angang and the Yangbaijing grabens was apparently partly filled by the 2008 earthquake. Liu et al. (2012) suggested an earthquake recurrence interval along the southern Yangbajing graben (also called Yangyi graben) of 744 years, based on the time elapsed between the 1264 and the 2008 events. Assuming that the last event released all the accumulated strain, they inferred a long-term slip-rate of ~1.8 mm/yr, derived from the InSAR-based seismic slip (1.33 m) and the recurrence interval (744 years). Note that this value would imply an extension rate of ~1 mm/yr across only the eastern side of the southern Yangbaijing graben. It would thus be roughly consistent with the loosely constrained values estimated across the whole graben by Armijo et al.

(1986) (1.4 \pm 0.8 mm/yr) and Gan et al. (2007) (2.0 \pm 0.6 mm/yr). On the other hand, it would be larger than the minimum value we obtain (0.3 \pm 0.1 mm/yr) on just the graben's eastern side.

Only one large earthquake (M8?, 1411) is inferred to have ruptured the entire length of the ~150 km-long Damxung corridor (Wu et al., 1992). Although six M5+ events occurred during the first half of the 19th century near the NE tip of the corridor (Figure 1b), one of which possibly an aftershock of the 1951 sequence, the major part of the fault inferred to have ruptured in 1411 shows no field evidence of a very fresh, modern surface rupture, including on high-resolution satellite images. Using the rates we determined between Damxung and Gulu (~1.6 to 6 mm/yr) and the time elapsed since the last large event (608 years) would yield a slip deficit of 1-4 m, much smaller than the co-seismic horizontal slip values (11-13 m) inferred for the 1411 event. Thus, a return of a comparatively large event in this part of the rift is not expected in the foreseeable future. but it is clear that paleoseismologic and additional detailed geomorphic dating are needed between Damxung and Gulu to assess the return times of very large earthquakes similar to the 1411 and 1951-1952 events.

6 Conclusion

After a careful discussion of the individual ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al cosmogenic surface-exposure ages we obtained on each of the two moraines and three alluvial surfaces, that are vertically offset by active normal faults along the northern Yadong-Gulu rift (YGR), most prominent of the seven ~NS-trending rifts in southern Tibet, we quantitatively determined throw and extension rates along that rift between the Yarlung Zangbo (YZS) and Bangong-Nujiang (BNS) sutures. Extension rates are found to rapidly decrease southwards from 6.0±1.8 and 3.1±0.6 mm/yr north of the 90° Gulu bend, to an average of 1.3±0.3 mm/yr south of it. The fast rates to the north are in fair agreement with regional geodetic (GPS and InSAR) measurements. We interpret the change to reflect partial

transfer of slip southwards from the right- lateral Beng Co fault, whose slip-rate should thus not be less than 6 mm/yr. Our late Quaternary average rate south of the Gulu bend is comparable to other quantitatively constrained rates along other south Tibetan rifts south of the BNS (~1 to 2 mm/yr). Such rates appear faster than the few measured rates (<0.3 mm/yr) north of the BNS, consistent with the geomorphic and topographic differences on either side of that suture. Even though additional quantitative data from other south Tibetan rifts remain crucial to better constrain a total, regional extension rate between ~81 an ~92°E, assuming a similar average rate (1.3±0.3 m/yr) across each of the seven south Tibetan grabens between the Gurla Mandhata/Pulan and Cona rifts (~1000 km apart) yields a total ~EW extension rate of 9±2 mm/yr. This value is comparable to, albeit on the lower side of estimates based on the use of geodetic data across the same ~EW stretch of southern Tibet. It amounts to about half of the total convergence rate (between 14 and 21 mm/yr) between India and Asia along Nepal's Himalayan Main Frontal Thrust, and is thus consistent with a simple model of divergent, orthogonal thrusting of southern Tibet atop a rigid Indian plate.

Acknowledgements

This project was financially supported by the CNRS-INSU-DyETI 2004-83, the National Key Research and Development Project of China (2016YFC0600310), the 2nd Tibetan Plateau Scientific Expedition of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2019QZKK0901), the Natural National Science Foundation of China (NSFC 41672211, 41672210), the China Geological Survey (DD20190057, DD20190059), the Basic Research Funds of the Institute of Geology, CAGS (JYYWF201810, JYYWF201821), and the European Marie Sklodowska-Curie Outgoing International Fellowship FP6 (040070) to MLC. We thank Robin Lacassin and Peiquan Zhang for their help in the field. All data from this paper are presented in Tables 1 and 2. We are grateful to the Associate Editor P. DeCelles and to J.E. Saylor and K. Sundell for their detailed and insightful

- 746 reviews.
- 747
- 748 **References**
- Achache, J., Courtillot, V., & Zhou, Y. (1984), Paleogeographic and tectonic evolution of southern
- Tibet since Middle Cretaceous time: New paleomagnetic data and synthesis. *Journal of*
- 751 *Geophysical Research*, 89, B12, doi:10.1029/JB089iB12p10311.
- Armijo, R., Tapponnier, P., Mercier, J. L., & Han, T. L. (1986), Quaternary extension in southern
- Tibet: Field observations and tectonic implications. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91,
- 754 13,803 13,872.
- Armijo, R., Tapponnier, P., & Tonglin, H. (1989), Late Cenozoic right-lateral strike-slip faulting in
- southern Tibet. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 94, 2787 2838.
- Avouac, J.P., & Tapponnier, P. (1993), Kinematic model of active deformation in central Asia.
- 758 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 20, 895–898.
- Avouac, J.P., Tapponnier, T., Bai, M., You, H., & Wang, G. (1993), Active thrusting and folding
- along the northern Tien Shan and Late Cenozoic rotation of the Tarim relative to Dzungaria
- and Kazakhstan. Journal of Geophysical Research, 98, 6755-6804, doi:10.1029/92JB01963.
- Balco, G., Stone, J. O., Lifton, N. A., & Dunai, T. J. (2008), A complete and easily accessible
- means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al
- measurements. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 3 (3), 174–195.
- 765 doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2007.12.001.
- Batbaatar, J., Gillespie, A. R., Fink, D., Matmon, A., & Fujioka, T. (2018),
- 767 Asynchronous glaciations in arid continental climate. *Quaternary Science Reviews*,
- 768 182, 1–19.
- Baranowski, J., Armbruster, J., Seeber, L. & Molnar, P. (1984), Focal depths and fault plane

- solutions of earthquakes and active tectonics of the Himalaya. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 771 Research, 89, 6918-6928.
- Bettinelli, P., Avouac, J.P., Flouzat, M., Jouanne, F., Bollinger, L., Willis, P., & Chitrakar, G.R.,
- 773 (2006), Plate motion of India and interseismic strain in the Nepal Himalayan from GPS and
- DORIS measurements. *Journal of Geodesy*, 80, 567–589.
- 775 Blisniuk, P., Hacker, B., Glodny, J., Ratschbacher, L., Bi, S., Wu, Z. et al. (2001), Normal faulting
- in central Tibet since at least 13.5 Myr ago. *Nature*, 412, 628 632.
- 777 Blisniuk, P.M., & Sharp, W.D. (2003), Rates of late Quaternary normal faulting in central Tibet
- from U-series dating of pedogenic carbonate in displaced fluvial gravel deposits. *Earth and*
- 779 *Planetary Science Letters*, 215(1-2), 169-186.
- Blomdin, R., Stroeven, A. P., Harbor, J. M., Lifton, N. A., Heyman, J., Gribenski, N., et al. (2016),
- Evaluating the timing of former glacier expansions in the Tian Shan: a key step towards
- robust spatial correlations. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 153, 78–96,
- 783 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.07.029.
- 784 Burchfiel, B. C., Chen, Z., Hodges, K. V., Liu, Y., Royden, L. H., Deng, C. & Xu, J. (1992), The
- South Tibetan Detachment System, Himalayan orogen: Extension contemporaneous with
- and parallel to shortening in a collisional mountain belt. Special Paper Geological Society of
- 787 *America*, 269, 41 pp.
- Chen, Q., Freymueller, J. T., Yang, Z., Xu, C., Jiang, W., Wang, Q., & Liu, J. (2004a), Spatially
- variable extension in southern Tibet based on GPS measurements. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 790 *Research*, 109(B09401). doi:10.1029/2002JB002350.
- 791 Chen, Q., Freymueller, J. T., Wang, Q., Yang, Z., & Xu, C. (2004b). A deforming block model for
- the present-day tectonics of Tibet. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109(B01403).
- 793 doi:10.1029/2002JB002.

- Chevalier, M.-L., Ryerson, F. J., Tapponnier, P., Finkel, R. C., Van Der Woerd, J., Haibing, L.,
- We Wing, L. (2005), Slip-rate measurements on the Karakorum fault may imply secular
- variations in fault motion. *Science*, 307, 411–414. doi:10.1126/science.1105466.
- Chevalier, M.-L., Hilley, G., Tapponnier, P., Van Der Woerd, J., Liu-Zeng, J., Finkel, R. C., et al.
- 798 (2011a), Constraints on the late Quaternary glaciations in Tibet from cosmogenic exposure
- ages of moraine surfaces. Quaternary Science Reviews, 30 (5–6), 528–554.
- doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.11.005.
- 801 Chevalier, M.L., Li, H., Pan, J., Pei, J., Wu, F., Xu, W., Sun, Z., & Liu, D. (2011b), Fast slip-rate
- along the northern end of the Karakorum fault system, Western Tibet. *Geophysical*
- 803 *Research Letters*, 38, L22309, doi:10.1029/2011GL049921.
- Chevalier, M.-L., Tapponnier, P., Van der Woerd, J., Ryerson, F. J., Finkel, R., & Li, H. (2012),
- Spatially constant slip rate along the southern segment of the Karakorum fault since 200 ka.
- 806 *Tectonophysics*, 530/531, 152-179. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2011.12.014.
- 807 Chevalier, M.-L., & Replumaz, A. (2019), Deciphering old moraine age distributions in SE Tibet
- showing bimodal climatic signal for glaciations: Marine Isotope Stages 2 and 6. Earth and
- 809 Planetary Science Letters, 507, 105-118. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.11.033.
- Clark, P. U., Dyke, A. S., Shakun, J. D., Carlson, A. E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B., et al. (2009), The
- 811 Last Glacial Maximum. *Science*, 325, 710–714. doi:10.1126/science.1172873.
- 812 Cogan, M. J., Nelson, K. D., Kidd, W. S. F., Wu, C., & Project INDEPTH Team (1998), Shallow
- structures of the Yadong- Gulu Rift, southern Tibet, from refraction analysis of Project
- 814 INDEPTH common midpoint data. *Tectonics*, 17, 46 61.
- 815 Copley, A., Avouac, J. P. & Wernicke, B. P. (2011), Evidence for mechanical coupling and strong
- Indian lower crust beneath southern Tibet. *Nature*, 472(7341), 79–81.
- Currie, B.S., Rowley, D.B., & Tabor, N.J. (2005), Middle Miocene paleoaltimetry of southern

- Tibet: implications for the role of mantle thickening and delamination in the Himalayan
- orogen. *Geology*, 33, 181–84
- DeCelles, P., Robinson, D., & Zandt, G. (2002), Implications of shortening in the Himalayan fold-
- thrust belt for uplift of the Tibetan Plateau. *Tectonics* 21, 6, 1062,
- 822 doi:10.1029/2001TC001322.
- DeCelles, P., Quade, J., Kapp, P., Fan, M., Dettman, D., & Ding, L. (2007), High and dry in central
- Tibet during the Late Oligocene. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 253, 389–401.
- Ding, L., Spicer, R.A., Yang, J., Xu, Q., Cai, F., Li, S., et al. (2017), Quantifying the rise of the
- Himalaya orogen and implications for the South Asian monsoon. *Geology*, 45, 215-218.
- 827 Dong G., Yi, C., & Caffee, M. W. (2014), ¹⁰Be dating of boulders on moraines from the last glacial
- period in the Nyainqentanglha mountains, Tibet. *China Earth Sciences*, 57, 221–231.
- 829 Dong, G., Xu, X., Zhou, W., Fu, Y., Zhang, L., & Li, M. (2017), Cosmogenic ¹⁰Be surface
- exposure dating and glacier reconstruction for the last glacial maximum in the quemuqu
- valley, western Nyainqentanglha mountains, south Tibet. Journal of Quaternary Science,
- 832 32, 639-652.
- 833 Earthquake Disaster Prevention Department of China Earthquake Administration (EDPDCEA)
- 834 (1999), Catalogue of historical earthquakes in China. Earthquake Press, Beijing, China. (In
- 835 Chinese).
- 836 Elliott, J. R., Walters, R. J., England, P. C., Jackson, J. A., Li, Z., & Parsons, B. (2010), Extension
- on the Tibetan Plateau: Recent normal faulting measured by InSAR and body wave
- seismology. *Geophysical Journal International*, 183(2), 503–535.
- 839 England, P., & Houseman, G. (1989), Extension during continental convergence, with application
- to the Tibetan plateau. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 94, 17,561 17,579.
- Fielding, E., Isacks, B., Barazangi, M., & Duncan, C. (1994), How flat is Tibet? Geology, 22, 163-

- 842 167. doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022<0163:HFIT>2.3.CO;2.
- 843 Gan, W., Zhang, P., Shen, Z., Niu, Z., Wang, M., Wan, Y., & Cheng, J. (2007), Present-day
- crustal motion within the Tibetan Plateau inferred from GPS measurements. *Journal of*
- 845 Geophysical Research, 112(B08416). doi:10.1029/2005JB004120.
- 646 Garthwaite, M. C., Wang, H., & Wright, T. J. (2013), Broadscale interseismic deformation and fault
- slip rates in the central Tibetan Plateau observed using InSAR. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 848 *Research*, 118, 5071–5083. doi:10.1002/jgrb.50348.
- Garzione, C. N., Dettman, D. L., Quade, J., DeCelles, P. G., & Butler, R. F. (2000), High times on
- the Tibetan Plateau: Paleoelevation of the Thakkola graben, Nepal. *Geology*, 28, 339–342,
- doi:10.1130/0091-7613(2000)28\times339:HTOTTP2.0.CO;2.
- 852 Gasse, F., Arnold, M., Fontes, J. C., Fort, M., Gibert, E., Huc, A., et al. (1991), A 13,000 year
- climate record from Western Tibet. *Nature*, 353, 742–745.
- 654 Gaudemer, Y., Tapponnier, P., & Turcotte, D. L. (1989), River offsets across active strike slip
- faults. Annales Tectonicae, 3(2), 55-76.
- 856 Gosse, J., & Phillips, F. (2001), Terrestrial in situ cosmogenic nuclides: theory and application.
- *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 20, 1475–1560.
- Ha, G., Wu, Z., & Liu, F. (2019), Late Quaternary vertical slip rates along the Southern Yadong-
- Gulu Rift, Southern Tibetan Plateau. *Tectonophysics*, 755, 75-90.
- doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2019.02.014.
- Han, S., Li, H., Pan, J., Lu, H., Zheng, Y., Liu, D., & Ge, C. (2019), Co-seismic surface ruptures in
- Qiangtang Terrane: Insight into Late Cenozoic deformation of central Tibet.
- 863 *Tectonophysics*, 750, 359-378. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2018.11.001.
- 864 Harrison, T. M., Copeland, P., Kidd, W. S. F., & Yin, A., (1992), Raising Tibet. Science, 255,
- 865 1663–1670.

- Harrison, T. M., Copeland, P., Kidd, W. S., & Lovera, O. M. (1995), Activation of the
- Nyaingentanghla shear zone: Implications for uplift of the southern Tibetan Plateau.
- 868 *Tectonics*, 14, 658 676.
- Heyman, J. (2014), Paleoglaciation of the Tibetan Plateau and surrounding mountains based on
- exposure ages and ELA depression estimates. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 91, 30–41.
- 871 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.03.018.
- Hirn, A., Nercessian, A., Sapin, M., Jobert, G., Xu, Z., Gao, E., et al. (1984), Lhasa block and
- bordering sutures-A continuation of 500 km Moho traverse through Tibet. *Nature*, 307, 25-
- 874 27, 1984.
- Kali, E. (2010), De la déformation long-terme à court-terme sur les failles normales du Sud-Tibet:
- approche géochronologique multi-méthode (10Be, 26Al, (U-Th)/He, 40Ar/39Ar, U/Pb, 0-
- 361., *PhD thesis, EOS Strasbourg, France.*
- 878 Kapp, P., & Guynn, J.H. (2004), Indian punch rifts Tibet. *Geology*, 32, 993–996.
- 879 Kapp, J. L., Harrison, T. M., Kapp, P., Grove, M., Lovera, O. M., & Lin, D. (2005),
- Nyainqentanglha Shan: A window into the tectonic, thermal, and geochemical evolution of
- the Lhasa block, southern Tibet. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 110(B08413).
- doi:10.1029/2004JB003330.
- 883 Kapp, P., Taylor, M., Stockli, D., & Ding, L. (2008) Development of active low-angle normal fault
- systems during orogenic collapse: Insight from Tibet. *Geology*, 36, 7–10.
- 885 Kasper T, Haberzettl T, Doberschutz S. et al. (2012), Indian Ocean Summer Monsoon (IOSM)-
- dynamics within the past 4 ka recorded in the sediments of Lake Nam Co, central Tibetan
- Plateau (China). *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 39: 73–85.
- 888 Kohl, C.P., & Nishiizumi, K. (1992), Chemical isolation of quartz for measurement of in situ
- produced cosmogenic nuclides. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 56, 3583–3587.

- Lacassin, R., Valli, F., Arnaud, N., Leloup, P. H., Paquette, J. L., Li, H., Tapponnier, P., et al.
- (2004), Large-scale geometry, offset and kinematic evolution of the Karakorum fault, Tibet.
- 892 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 219, 255–269. doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(04)00006-8.
- Lal, D. (1991), Cosmic ray labeling of erosion surfaces: in situ nuclide production rates and erosion
- models. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 104, 429–439.
- Lavé, J. & Avouac, J. P. (2000), Active folding of fluvial terraces across the Siwaliks Hills,
- Himalayas of central Nepal. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105, 3, 5735–5770,
- 897 doi:10.1029/1999JB900292.
- Lee, J., C. Hager, S. R. Wallis, D. F. Stockli, M. J. Whitehouse, M. Aoya, & Y. Wang (2011),
- Middle to late Miocene extremely rapid exhumation and thermal reequilibration in the Kung
- 900 Co rift, southern Tibet. *Tectonics*, 30, TC2007, doi:10.1029/2010TC002745.
- Leloup, P. H., Mahéo, G., Arnaud, N., Kali, E., Boutonnet, E., Liu, D., et al. (2010), The South
- Tibet detachment shear zone in the Dinggye area Time constraints on extrusion models of
- the Himalayas. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 292, 1-16.
- Li, H., Van der Woerd, J., Tapponnier, P., Klinger, Y. Qi, X., Yang, J., & Zhu Y., (2005), Slip rate
- on the Kunlun fault at Hongshui Gou, and recurrence time of great events comparable to
- the 14/11/2001, Mw~7.9 Kokoxili earthquake. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 237,
- 907 285-299.
- Li, K., Wang, D., Shao, Q., & Xu, X., (2018), Holocene sip rate along the NE-trending Qixiang Co
- fault in the central Tibetan Plateau and its tectonic implications. Seismology and Geology,
- 910 40 (6). doi:10.3969/j.issn.0253-4967.2018.06.002.
- Li, K., Kirby, E., Xu, X., Chen, G., Ren, J., & Wang, D. (2019), Rates of Holocene normal faulting
- along the Dong Co fault in central Tibet, based on 14C dating of displaced fluvial terraces.
- Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.103962.

- Lindsey, E. O., Almeida, R., Mallick, R., Hubbard, J., Bradley, K., Tsang, L. L. H., et al. (2018),
- Structural control on downdip locking extent of the Himalayan megathrust. *Journal of*
- 916 *Geophysical Research*, 123, 5265–5278. doi:10.1029/2018JB015868.
- Lisiecki, L.E., & Raymo, M.E. (2005), A Pliocene–Pleistocene stack of 57 globally dis-tributed
- benthic δ 180 records. *Paleoceanography*, 20 (1). doi:10.1029/2004PA001071.
- Liu, Y., Xu, C., Wen, Y., He, P., & Jiang, G. (2012), Fault rupture model of the 2008 Dangxiong
- 920 (Tibet, China) Mw 6.3 earthquake from Envisat and ALOS data. Advances in Space
- 921 research, 50, 952-962. doi:10.1016/j.asr.2012.06.006.
- Liu-Zeng, J., Tapponnier, P., Gaudemer, Y., & Ding, L. (2008), Quantifying landscape differences
- across the Tibetan plateau: Implications for topographic relief evolution. *Journal of*
- 924 *Geophysical Research*, 113, F04018, doi:10.1029/2007JF000897.
- Mahéo, G., Leloup, P. H., Valli, F., Lacassin, R., Arnaud, N., Paquette, J.-L., et al. (2007), Post 4
- Ma initiation of normal faulting in southern Tibet. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 256,
- 927 233–243. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.01.029.
- Matte, P., Tapponnier, P., Arnaud, N., Bourjot, L., Avouac, J.P., Vidal, P., Liu, Q., Pan, Y., and
- Wang, Y. (1996), Tectonics of western Tibet, between the Tarim and the Indus. Earth and
- 930 Planetary Science Letters, 142, 311–330, doi: 10.1016/0012-821X (96)00086-6.
- McCaffrey, R., & Nabelek, J. (1998), Role of oblique convergence in the active deformation of the
- Himalayas and southern Tibet plateau. *Geology*, 26, 691–694.
- 933 Mercier, J. L., Armijo, R., Tapponnier, P., Carey-Gailhardis, E. & Han, T. (1987), Change from
- Tertiary compression to Quaternary extension in southern Tibet during the India-Asia
- 935 collision. *Tectonics*, 6, 275-304.
- 936 Mériaux, A. S., Tapponnier, P., Ryerson, F. J., Xu, X., King, G., Van der Woerd, J., et al. (2005),
- The Aksay segment of the northern Altyn Tagh fault: Tectonic geomorphology, landscape

- evolution, and Holocene slip rate. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 110, B04404,
- 939 doi:10.1029/2004JB003210.
- Molnar, P., & Tapponnier, P. (1978), Active tectonics of Tibet. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
- 941 83, 5361–5375.
- Molnar, P., & Chen, W. P. (1983), Focal Depths and Fault Plane Solutions of Earthquakes under
- the Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 88, 1180-1196.
- Molnar, P., & Lyon-Caen, H. (1989), Fault plane solutions of earthquakes and active tectonics of
- 945 the Tibetan Plateau and its margins. *Geophysical Journal International*, 99, 123 153.
- 946
- 947 Murphy, M.A., Taylor, M.H., Gosse, J., Silver, C.R.P., Whipp, D.M., & Beaumont, C. (2014),
- Limit of strain partitioning in the Himalaya marked by large earthquakes in western Nepal.
- 949 *Nature Geoscience*, 7, 38-42.
- Ni, J., & York, J. E. (1978), Late Cenozoic tectonics of the Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 951 Research, 83, 5377–5384.
- Owen, L. A., Finkel, R. C., Barnard, P. L., Haizhou, M., Asahi, K., Caffee, M. W., & Derbyshire, E.
- 953 (2005), Climatic and topographic controls on the style and timing of Late Quaternary
- glaciation throughout Tibet and the Himalaya defined by ¹⁰Be cosmogenic radionuclide
- surface exposure dating. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 24, 1391–1411.
- Owens, T.J., & Zandt, G. (1997), Implications of crustal property variations for models of Tibetan
- plateau evolution: *Nature*, v. 387, p. 37–43.
- Pan, J., Li, H., Sun, Z., Liu, D., Lu, H., Zheng, Y., & Chevalier, M.L. (2018), Reassessment of the
- late Quaternary slip rate of the Shuanghu graben, central Tibet, AGU Fall Meeting.
- Patriat, P., & Achache, J. (1984), India-Eurasia collision chronology has implications for crustal
- shortening and driving mechanism of plates. *Nature*. 311, 615–621. doi:10.1038/311615a0.

- Peltzer, G., & Tapponnier, P. (1988), Formation and evolution of strike-slip faults, rifts, and basins
- during the India-Asia collision: An experimental approach. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 964 Research, 93, 15085-15117.
- Peltzer, G., & Saucier, F. (1996), Present-day kinematics of Asia derived from geologic fault rates.
- 966 *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 101, 27,943–27,956.
- Ratschbacher, L., Krumrei, I., Blumenwitz, M., Staiger, M., Gloaguen, R., Miller, B. V., et al.
- 968 (2011), Rifting and strike-slip shear in central Tibet and the geometry, age and kinematics of
- upper crustal extension in Tibet. Geological Society of London Special Publication, 353(1),
- 970 127–163.
- P71 Replumaz, A. & Tapponnier, P. (2003), Reconstruction of the deformed collision zone between
- India and Asia by backward motion of lithospheric blocks. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 973 Research, 108. doi:10.1029/2001jb000661.
- Robinson, A.C. (2009), Geologic offsets across the northern Karakorum fault: implications for its
- role and terrane correlations in the western Himalayan–Tibetan orogen. Earth and Planetary
- 976 *Science Letters*, 279, 123–130. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.12.039.
- Proger, F., Tapponnier, P., Arnaud, N., Scharer, U., Brunel, M., Xu., Z., Yang, J. (2000), An Eocene
- magmatic belt across central Tibet: mantle subduction triggered by the Indian collision?
- 979 *Terra Nova*, 12, 102-108.
- Rowley, D.B. & Currie B.S. (2006), Palaeo-altimetry of the late Eocene to Miocene Lunpola basin,
- 981 central Tibet. *Nature* 439:677–81.
- Ryder, I., Wang, H., Bie, L., & Rietbrock, A. (2014), Geodetic imaging of late postseismic lower
- orustal flow in Tibet. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 404, 136–143.
- Sanchez, V. I., Murphy, M. A., Dupre, M.R., Ding, L., & Zhang, R. (2010), Structural evolution of
- the Neogene Gar Basin, western Tibet: Implications for releasing bend development and

- drainage patterns. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 122, 5/6, 926–945,
- 987 doi:10.1130/B26566.1.
- Spicer, R.A., Harris, N.B.W., Widdowson, M., Herman, A.B., Guo, S., et al. (2003), Constant
- elevation of southern Tibet over the past 15 million years. *Nature*, 421:622–24
- 990 Stone, J.O. (2000), Air pressure and cosmogenic isotope production. Journal of Geophysical
- 991 *Research*, 105, 23,753-23,759.
- 992 Styron, R., Taylor, M., & Murphy, M. A. (2011), Oblique convergence, arc-parallel extension, and
- the role of strike-slip faulting in the High Himalaya. *Geosphere* 7, 582–596.
- 994 Styron, R., M. Taylor, K.E. Sundell, D. F. Stockli, J. A. G. Oalmann, A.Mšller, A. T. McCallister,
- D. Liu, & L. Ding (2013), Miocene initiation and acceleration of extension in the South
- Lunggar rift, western Tibet: Evolution of an active detachment system from structural
- mapping and (U-Th)/He thermochronology, *Tectonics*, 32, 1–28, doi:10.1002/tect.20053.
- 998 Styron, R.H., Taylor, M., & Sundell, K. (2015), Accelerated extension of Tibet linked to the
- northward underthrusting of Indian crust. *Nature Geoscience*, 8, 131–134.
- doi:10.1038/NGEO2336.
- Sundell, K. E., Taylor, M. H., Styron, R. H., Stockli, D. F., Kapp, P., Hager, C., Liu, D., & Ding, L.
- 1002 (2013), Evidence for constriction and Pliocene acceleration of east-west extension in the
- North Lunggar rift region of west central Tibet. *Tectonics* 32:1454–1479.
- Tapponnier, P., Mercier, J. L., Armijo, R., Han, T., & Zhao, T. J. (1981), Field evidence for active
- 1005 normal faulting in Tibet. *Nature*, 294, 410 414.
- Tapponnier P., Peltzer, G., & Armijo, R. (1986), On the mechanics of the collision between India
- and Asia, Collision Tectonics, edited by J.G. Ramsay, M.P. Coward, and A. Ries. *Special*
- 1008 Publication of the Geological Society of London, 19, 115-157.
- Tapponnier, P., Xu, Z., Roger, F., Meyer, B., Arnaud, N., Wittlinger, G., & Yang, J. (2001),

- Oblique stepwise rise and growth of the Tibet Plateau. *Science*, 294, 1671–1677.
- Taylor, M., Yin, A., Ryerson, F. J., Kapp, P., & Ding, L. (2003), Conjugate strike-slip faulting
- along the Bangong-Nujiang suture zone accommodates coeval east-west extension and
- north-south shortening in the interior of the Tibetan Plateau. *Tectonics*, 22(4), 1044,
- doi:10.1029/2002TC001361.
- Taylor, M., & Peltzer, G. (2006), Current slip rates on conjugate strike-slip faults in central Tibet
- using synthetic aperture radar interferometry. Journal of Geophysical Research,
- 1017 111(B12402). doi:10.1029/2005JB004014.
- 1018 Tian, Z., Yang, Z., Bendick, R., Zhao, J., Wang, S., Wu, X., & Shi, Y. (2019), Present-day
- distribution of deformation around the southern Tibetan Plateau revealed by geodetic and
- seismic observations. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 171, 321-333,
- doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2018.12.018.
- 1022 Tilmann, F., Ni, J., & Team, I.I.S., (2003), Seismic imaging of the downwelling Indian lithosphere
- beneath central Tibet. Science, 300, 1424–1427.
- Valli, F., Arnaud, N., Leloup, P. H., Sobel, E. R., Mahéo, G., Lacassin, R., et al. (2007), Twenty
- million years of continuous deformation along the Karakorum fault, western Tibet: a
- thermochronological analysis. *Tectonics*, 26(TC4004). doi:10.1029/2005TC001913.
- Van Der Woerd, J., Tapponnier, P., Ryerson, F. J., Meriaux, A.S., Meyer, B., Gaudemer, Y., et al.
- 1028 (2002), Uniform postglacial slip-rate along the central 600 km of the Kunlun fault (Tibet),
- from ²⁶Al, ¹⁰Be, and ¹⁴C dating of riser offsets, and climatic origin of the regional
- morphology. *Geophysical Journal International*, 148(3), 356–388.
- Vergne, J., Wittlinger, G., Hui, Q.A., Tapponnier, P., Poupinet, G., Mei, J., Herquel, G., & A. Paul
- 1032 (2002), Seismic evidence for stepwise thickening of the crust across the NE Tibetan plateau,
- 1033 Earth and Planetary Science Lettres, 203, 25–33, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00853-1.

- Wang, Q., Zhang, P. Z., Freymueller, J. T., Bilham, R., Larson, K. M., Lai, X., et al. (2001),
- Present-day crustal deformation in China constrained by global positioning system
- 1036 measurements. *Science*, 294, 574 577.
- Wang, H., Elliott, J. R., Craig, T. J., Wright, T. J., Liu-Zeng, J., & Hooper, A. (2014), Normal
- faulting sequence in the Pumqu-Xainza Rift constrained by InSAR and teleseismic body-
- wave seismology. Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, 15, doi:10.1002/2014GC005369.
- Wang, C., Dai, J., Zhao, X., Li, Y., Graham, S. A., He, D., et al. (2014), Outward-growth of the
- Tibetan Plateau during the Cenozoic: A review. *Tectonophysics*, 621, 1–43.
- Wang, W., Qiao, X., Yang, S., & Wang, D. (2017), Present day velocity field and block kinematics
- of Tibetan Plateau from GPS measurements. *Geophysical Journal International*, 208, 1088–
- 1044 1102. doi:10.1093/gji/ggw445.
- Wang, H., Wright, T. J., Liu-Zeng, J., & Peng, L. (2019), Strain rate distribution in south-central
- Tibet from two decades of InSAR and GPS. Geophysical Research Letters, 46.
- doi:10.1029/2019GL081916.
- 1048 Wang, S., Chevalier, M.-L., Pan, J., Bai, M., Li, H., Li, K., & Liu, F. (2020), Late Quaternary
- activity of the southern Yadong-Gulu rift, southern Tibet. *in revision in Tectonophysics*.
- Wang, Y., Wang, P., Ge, W., Zhou, R., Schoenbohm, L. M., Zhang, B., et al. (2019), Differential
- 1051 crustal deformation across the Cona-Oiga rift, southern Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Asian*
- 1052 *Earth Sciences*, 177, 177-185. doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.03.023.
- Wernicke, B. (1985), Uniform-sense normal simple shear of the continental lithosphere. *Canadian*
- Journal of Earth Sciences, 22, 108-125.
- Wittlinger, G., J. Vergne, P. Tapponnier, V. Farra, G. Poupinet, M. Jiang, H. Su, G. Herquel, & A.
- Paul (2004), Teleseismic imaging of subducting lithosphere and Moho offsets beneath
- western Tibet, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 221(1–4), 117–130.

- Wolff, R., Hetzel, R., Dunkl, I., Xu, Q., Bröcker, M., & Anczkiewicz, A.A. (2019), High-Angle
- Normal Faulting at the Tangra Yumco Graben (Southern Tibet) since ~15 Ma. *The Journal*
- of Geology, 127. doi:10.1086/700406.
- Wu, Z. M, Cao, Z. Q., Shentu, B. M., & Deng, Q. D. (1992), Active Fault in the Central Tibet.
- Seismological Press, Beijing. pp. 161–192. (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wu, Z., Zhao, X., Wu, Z., Jiang, W., Hu, D., & Zhou, C. (2004), Quaternary geology and faulting in
- the Damxung-Yangbajain basin. *Acta Geologica Sinica*, 78, 1, 273-282.
- 1065 Wu, Z., Ye, P., Barosh, P., & Wu, Z. (2011), The October 6, 2008 Mw 6.3 magnitude Damxung
- earthquake, Yadong-Gulu rift, Tibet, and implications for present-day crustal deformation.
- Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 40, 943-957. doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2010.05.003.
- 1068 Wu, Z., Ye, P., Wang, C., Zhang, K., Zhao, H., Zheng, Y., et al. (2015), The Relics, Ages and
- Significance of Prehistoric Large Earthquakes in the Angang Graben in South Tibet. *Earth*
- Science Journal of China University of Geosciences, 40 (10). doi:10.3799/dqkx.2015.147.
- 1071 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wu, C., Tian, X., Xu, T., Liang, X., Chen, Y., Zhu, G., et al. (2019), Upper-Crustal Anisotropy of
- the Conjugate Strike-Slip Fault Zone in Central Tibet Analyzed Using Local Earthquakes
- and Shear-Wave Splitting. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*.
- doi:10.1785/0120180333.
- 1076 Yin, A., Kapp, P. A., Murphy, M. A., Harrison, T. M., Grove, M., Ding, L., et al. (1999),
- Significant late Neogene east-west extension in northern Tibet. *Geology*, 27, 787 790.
- 1078 Yin, A. (2000), Mode of Cenozoic east-west extension in Tibet suggests a common origin of rifts in
- 1079 Asia during the Indo-Asian collision. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105, 21,745 –
- 1080 21,759.
- 1081 Yin, A., & Taylor, M. H., (2011), Mechanics of V-shaped conjugate strike-slip faults and the

- corresponding continuum mode of continental deformation. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 123, 9/10, 1798–1821. doi:10.1130/B30159.1.

 Zechar, J.D., & Frankel, K. L. (2009), Incorporating and reporting uncertainties in fault slip rates. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114(B12407). Doi:10.1029/2009JB006325.

 Zhang, P. Z., Shen, Z., Wang, M., Gan, W. J., Burgmann, R., & Molnar, P. (2004), Continuous deformation of the Tibetan Plateau from global positioning system data. *Geology*, 32, 809-812.
- Zheng, G., Wang, H., Wright, T. J., Lou, Y., Zhang, R., Zhang, W., et al. (2017), Crustal deformation
 in the India-Eurasia collision zone from 25-years of GPS measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 122, 9290–9312. doi:10.1002/2017JB014465.
- Zhu, G. H., X. F. Liang, X. B. Tian, H. F. Yang, C. L.Wu, Y. H. Duan, et al. (2017), Analysis of the
 seismicity in central Tibet based on the SANDWICH network and its tectonic implications.
 Tectonophysics, 702, 1–7, doi: 10.1016/j.tecto.2017.02.020.

1096 Figure captions

1095

Figure 1: Southern Tibet normal faults and Yadong-Gulu rift in the frame of the India – Asia 1097 collision zone (modified from Tapponnier et al., 2001). (a) Tectonic map of southern Tibet with 1098 1099 digital elevation model (DEM) in the background (location shown in inset), with active faults, main cities/towns and lakes, historical and recorded earthquakes of M>6 (from the U.S. Geological 1100 Survey), and horizontal GPS velocities relative to stable Eurasia (Zheng et al., 2017). The most 1101 prominent rift, that of Yadong-Gulu (YGR) is located in the far right (box b). The other main rifts 1102 are COR = Cona-Oiga rift, PXR = Pumqu-Xainza rift, ADR = Ama Drime rift (or Dinggye), TYR =1103 Tangra Yum Co rift, KCR = Kung Co rift, LKR = Lopu Kangri rift, TKG= Thakkhola rift, LGR= 1104 Lunggar rift, GMR = Gurla Mandhata rift (or Pulan). RPCF = Riganpei Co fault, WNFS = 1105 Western Nepal fault system, GCF = Gyaring Co fault, BCF = Beng Co fault, BNS = Bangong-1106 Nujiang suture, YZS=Yarlung Zangbo suture, SF = Sagaing fault, RRF = Red River fault, KJFZ =1107 1108 Karakorum-Jiali fault zone. (b) Close-up of the northern half of the YGR, from the YZS to the Beng

1109 Co fault, with main cities, earthquakes (from the U.S. Geological Survey) and focal mechanisms of instrumental earthquakes of Mw>5 (CMT catalogue 1976-2016), and study sites (blue stars). The 1110 1411 M8.0? historical earthquake is from Wu et al. (1992). Location of Figures 2 and 3 is 1111 indicated. (c) Topographic profiles at various locations perpendicular to the rift, with traces of 1112 1113 main active faults. 1114 Figure 2: Google Earth image of the northernmost Yadong-Gulu rift. (a) The Gulu half-graben and 1115 Damxung corridor along the Nyainqentanghla Range. Note the left-lateral component of motion on 1116 NE to EW-striking faults (black arrows). Yellow polygons represent sites discussed in Armijo et al. 1117 (1986) and green stars refer to the sites studied here (T = terrace, M = moraine) with associated 1118 figure numbers. (b-e) Close-up of areas (locations in a) showing clear left-lateral motion, where 1119 horizontal offsets of rivers, moraine edges, and other morphologic markers, are highlighted by 1120 white/black dashed lines and approximate offset values are indicated. Colored triangles show fault 1121 traces. Damxung T site is presented in more details in Figures 11-13. PA = pull-apart. 1122 1123 Figure 3: Google Earth image of the central Yadong-Gulu rift: the Damxung corridor, Yangbajing 1124 and Angang grabens. Yellow polygons represent sites discussed in Armijo et al. (1986) and green 1125 stars refer to the sites studied here (T = terrace, M = moraine) with associated figure numbers. 1126 *Note the* ~4 km horizontal offset of the western edge of the Yangbajing graben and 1127 1128 Nyaingentanghla Range, as described in Armijo et al. (1986). Dong et al. (2017)'s study is located 5 km west of the map. 1129 1130 Figure 4: The Gulu moraine site. (a) Bing satellite image of the Gulu moraine site and (b) its 1131 interpretation. The ~400 m wide normal fault zone here is made of numerous fault segments in red, 1132 dipping in opposite directions. Samples collected upstream (Gulu W) and downstream (Gulu E) 1133 from the fault are shown by white circles with corresponding sample numbers. Location of photos 1134 1135 from Figure 5 is also indicated. (c) Bird's eye view toward the WNW, taken from a drone (Unmanned Aerial Vehicle) of the entire site with cars circled for scale. Black lines with white 1136

polygonal tips show the intersection between the shallow-dipping detachment fault and surface

triangular facets (~10-20°) on the bedrock footwall farther upstream in the valley. See text for

topography, while those with green polygonal tips show roughly parallel shallow-dipping

1137

1138

1139

11401141

details.

- 1142 Figure 5: Field photos of the Gulu moraine site. (a) Numerous normal fault scarps facing opposite
- directions (forming small depressions) transversally cut the Gulu moraine. (b) View from upstream,
- where the Gulu half-graben floor is visible, as well as the terraces (T) present along the river. Cars
- circled for scale. Faults highlighted by red arrows. Close-up in f. (c,d) Fault scarps. (e) Numerous
- fault scarps highlighted by shadow and red arrows. Photo locations shown in Figure 4.

1147

- 1148 *Figure 6:* LiDAR Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the Gulu moraine site (a) with profiles across
- the fault zone showing the total subsidence of the moraine at 40 ± 4 m (b). (c) 1:1 profile with fault
- geometry at depth, with a measured 18°-dipping detachment fault (green) into which shallow faults
- 1151 root (red) (Wernicke, 1985). See text for details.

1152

- 1153 Figure 7: 10 Be cosmogenic surface-exposure ages of the Gulu M and Ybj M sites (moraines), using
- the Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) time-dependent model with 1-σ uncertainty. Oldest age (circled) of
- each moraine is shown with value displayed in the box, representing the minimum moraine
- abandonment age. Numbers next to samples refer to sample names in Table 1.

1157

- 1158 Figure 8: The Gulu terrace site. (a) Bing satellite image of the Gulu terrace site and (b) its
- interpretation. One main cumulative normal fault scarp cuts and vertically offsets the Gulu
- 1160 terrace/fan. Ruptures from the 1952 Gulu earthquake are visible in the footwall. Samples collected
- 1161 upstream (Tup) and downstream (Tdown) from the fault are shown by white circles with
- 1162 corresponding sample names. (c,d) Field photos of the main cumulative fault scarp. (e) View from
- 1163 *Tup with people circled for scale. Note the rockfall on Tup, forcing us to sample near the terrace*
- 1164 riser above the river to avoid possible contamination. (f) Bird's eye view of the entire site taken
- from a drone. This photo was taken > 10 years after photos in c-e, hence differences such as the
- larger extent of the village in the hanging wall as well as the presence of prayer flags where we
- sampled on Tup. Thin red lines show the surface ruptures from the 1952 earthquake.

1168

- 1169 Figure 9: LiDAR DEM of the Gulu terrace site (a) with profiles across the fault zone showing the
- total vertical offset, averaging at 25 ± 2 m (**b,c**).

1171

- 1172 Figure 10: ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al cosmogenic surface-exposure ages of the Gulu T, Damxung T, and Gyekar
- 1173 T sites (terraces), using the Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) time-dependent model with 1- σ uncertainty.
- Outliers in white are discarded (using Peirce criterion) when calculating the average age of the

surface (indicated under the site names and shown by grey boxes). Some outliers (marked with a * symbol) require specific discussion, see text for details. Numbers next to samples refer to sample names in Table 2. Note the zoom in ages at Damxung T1 and T2 with the change of scale. To the right, the global climatic proxy curve of Lisiecki and Raymo (2005) shows the Marine oxygen Isotope Stages (MIS) 1 to 11.

1180

Figure 11: The Damxung terrace site. (a) Google Earth image of the Damxung terraces site and (b) 1181 its interpretation. Here, two parallel normal-sinistral faults F1 and F2 cut the terraces. Collected 1182 samples are shown by white circles with corresponding sample names on T1 (lower terrace) and T2 1183 (upper terrace). Location of Figure 11d and photos from Figure 12 are also indicated. (c) Cartoon 1184 modified from Armijo et al. (1986) showing how slip is partitioned between normal and left-lateral 1185 motion along both parallel faults at this site. (d) Horizontal offset by F2 of the western edge of T1 1186 (17±5 m), as measured by back-slipping the LiDAR DEM and the contour lines. Location of d 1187 shown in **b**. 1188

1189

Figure 12: Field photos of the Damxung terrace site. (a) View from T2 (upper terrace) looking at

T1 (lower terrace) and the two parallel faults F1 and F2. (b) View of F2 and its fault scarp on T1

(highlighted by red arrows), along which two large pull-aparts developed. (c,d) Pull-apart on T1

along F2. Cars and people circled for scale. Photo locations shown in Figure 11.

1194

Figure 13: LiDAR DEM of the Damxung terrace site (a). (b) Profiles P6 and P7 across the faults

(note the two different scales) showing the total vertical offset of T2, averaging at 184±3 m. (c)

Profiles P3-P5 and (d) P1-P2 across T1, averaging at 10±2 m. Faults F1 and F2 highlighted by red

arrows in a.

1199

Figure 14: The Yangbajing moraine site. (a) Bing satellite image of the Yangbajing moraine site
and (b) its interpretation. One main fault cuts and vertically offsets the moraine crest. Samples
collected upstream (Ybj W and N) and downstream (Ybj E) from the fault are shown by white
circles with corresponding sample names. (c-e) Field photos showing the fault scarp and offset
moraine crest (forming triangular facets). (f) View from upstream of the moraine crest and its large
granite boulders. (g) View looking south, where the fault scarp and rupture is visible for ~10 km,
possibly a relict of the nearby 1411 M8 (?) earthquake.

1207 Figure 15: LiDAR DEM of the Yangbajing moraine site (a) with profiles across the fault showing 1208 the total vertical offset of 44 ± 1 m (b). Faults F1 and F2 highlighted by red arrows in a. 1209 1210 1211 Figure 16: The Gyekar terraces site. (a) Google Earth image of the Gyekar terraces site and (b) its interpretation. Numerous parallel faults cut and vertically offset the fans/terraces. Faults in black 1212 may not be active at present. Collected samples from T1 and T2 are shown by white circles with 1213 corresponding sample names. Location of photos from Figure 17 is indicated. (c) LiDAR DEM with 1214 1215 contour lines (location in **b**). 1216 Figure 17: Field photos of Gyekar terraces site. (a,b) Panoramic views of the flight of terraces with 1217 fault trace at mid-slope on the scarps. (c,i) Hot springs from the western side of the southern 1218 Yangbajing graben located across from the Gyekar terraces (location in Figure 3). (d,e) Photos 1219 showing the difference between the fault scarp at the base of T2, which is more diffused, covered 1220 1221 with short grass, and with deeply entrenched gullies (d), and that at the base of T1, which is steeper, covered by less vegetation, and shallower gullies (e). Red arrows show fault trace. (f,g) 1222 Fault planes clearly exposed in gullies across T1/T0 and T2/T1 scarps, on which we precisely 1223 measured the fault dips. (h) Photo of the strath terrace below T2 and T3. Photo locations shown in 1224 Figure 16. 1225 1226 Figure 18: LiDAR DEM of the Gyekar terraces site (a). (b) Profiles across the faults show the 87±5 1227 m vertical offset across the T2/T1 scarp and that of 15 ± 3 m across the T1/T0 scarp. Note the scale 1228 differences between the three sets of profiles. Faults F1 and F2 highlighted by red arrows in a. 1229 1230 Figure 19: Summary of throw/extension rates along the Yadong-Gulu rift (YGR). See Table 3 for 1231 references to numbers. (a) Throw – vertical (black) / Extension - horizontal (green) rates at various 1232 timescales. (b) Extension rates along strike, rather constant south of the Gulu bend at 1.3 ± 0.3 1233 mm/yr, and rapidly increasing north of it, most likely do to the transfer of slip from the dextral 1234 1235 Beng Co fault. 1236 Figure 20: Slopes map (from Fielding et al., 1994) highlighting different topographic and 1237

geomorphic regions on the Tibetan Plateau (Liu-Zeng et al., 2008). Pink and blue patches

1238

1239	correspond to distinct normal faulting areas north and south of the Bangong-Nujiang suture (BNS)
1240	Oblique white dashed line is the "chord" joining Nanga Parbat (NP) and Namche Barwa (NB) at
1241	both Himalayan syntaxes (after Armijo et al., 1986).
1242	
1243 1244	Table 1: ¹⁰ Be surface-exposure dating of the moraine samples.
1245 1246	Table 2: ¹⁰ Be and ²⁶ Al surface-exposure dating of the alluvial samples.
1247	Table 3: Rates summary along the Yadong-Gulu rift.

Table 1: ¹⁰Be surface-exposure dating of the moraine samples

Gulu W T5C-S8 30.81130 91.55621 5003 0.9916 1227679 24480 1548c±1346 16982± T5C-59 30.81126 91.55682 5003 0.9916 1252031 24832 15768±1371 17292± T5C-60 30.81002 91.55788 4988 0.9951 1297396 23586 16292±1410 17870± T5C-62 30.81083 91.55754 4991 0.9951 1210116 25074 15328±1335 16809± T5C-63 30.81083 91.55754 4991 0.9951 1298660 37725 16333±1462 17916± T5C-64 30.81083 91.55754 4991 0.9951 1388947 34231 1702c±1504 18689± Gulu E 75C-64 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1364351 34705 1740±154 18421± T5C-67 30.80783 91.56579 4958 0.9971 1302837 35843 17499±1556 18421± T5C-68 30.80793 <t< th=""><th>Table 1: ¹⁰Be s</th><th>urface-expos</th><th></th><th></th><th>amples.</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Table 1: ¹⁰ Be s	urface-expos			amples.					
TSC-69 30.81126 91.55642 5003 0.9916 1252031 24832 15768±1371 17292± 175C-61 30.81102 91.55689 4995 0.9951 1297396 23586 16292±1410 17870± 175C-62 30.81083 91.55754 4991 0.9951 1298660 37725 16333±1462 17916± 175C-63 30.81083 91.55754 4991 0.9951 1298660 37725 16333±1462 17916± 175C-64 30.81063 91.55754 4991 0.9951 1358947 34231 17026±1504 18689± 175C-64 30.80783 91.556484 4932 0.9947 1393854 35889 17464±1546 19176± 175C-66 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1384591 40.335 20590±1822 22044±2 175C-69 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1364351 34705 17910±1584 19195± 175C-69 30.80732 91.56593 4916 0.9971 1294481 32762 17308±153 18401± 175C-70 30.80789 91.56578 4914 0.9971 1300262 40576 17233±1556 18493± 175C-72 30.80849 91.564578 4914 0.9971 1300262 40576 17233±155 18493± 175C-73 30.80789 91.56578 4914 0.9971 1300262 40576 17233±155 18493± 175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± 175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± 175C-27 30.02171 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509± 175C-29 30.02113 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714± 175C-30 30.02013 90.24345 5214 0.999 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563± 175C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838± 15C-33 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±220 54850 5034 0.99 248985 41982 26133±2268 28540±3 175C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2289852 41982 26133±2268 28540±3 175C-33 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±4 175C-43 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±4 175C-44 30.01804 90.24895 5014 0.9926 3081077 54			Lat (°N)	Long (°E)		Shielding				
T5C-60 30.81102 91.55689 4995 0.9951 1297396 23586 16292±1410 17870± 175C-61 30.81096 91.55738 4988 0.9951 1210116 25074 15328±1335 16809± 175C-63 30.81083 91.55754 4991 0.9951 1358947 34231 17026±1504 18689± 175C-64 30.81062 91.557582 4987 0.9947 1393854 35889 17464±1546 19176± 175C-67 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1364351 34705 17910±1584 19195± 175C-68 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1364351 34705 17910±1584 19195± 175C-68 30.80731 91.56579 4958 0.9971 1320837 35843 17499±1556 18421± 175C-70 30.80789 91.56578 4914 0.9971 1300262 40576 17233±1556 18421± 175C-70 30.80849 91.56405 4876 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±132 17774± 175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±132 17774± 175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± 175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± 175C-72 30.80214 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2881748 52627 31104±2703 34012± 175C-28 30.02113 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509± 175C-29 30.02113 90.24375 5258 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509± 175C-31 30.02071 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509± 175C-31 30.02071 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086± 175C-33 30.02013 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563± 175C-34 30.02033 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540± 175C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 288952 41982 26123±2268 2840± 175C-34 30.02033 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36133± 175C-34 30.01804 90.24835 5034 0.9926 3624150 64791 40033±450 20405± 175C-34 30.01804 90.24835 5034 0.9926 3624150 64791 40033±450 20405± 175C-34 30.01804 90.24865 5014	Gulu W	T5C-58							15486±1346	16982±1618
T5C-61 30.81096 91.55738 4988 0.9951 1210116 25074 15328±1355 16809± 175C-62 30.81083 91.55754 4991 0.9951 1298660 37725 16333±1462 17916± 175C-64 30.81082 91.55782 4987 0.99947 1358847 34231 17026±1504 18689± 175C-64 30.81062 91.55782 4987 0.99947 1393854 35889 17464±1546 19176± 175C-65 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1384591 40335 20590±1822 22044± 175C-66 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1364351 34705 17910±1584 19195± 175C-69 30.80783 91.56579 4958 0.9971 1320837 35843 17499±1556 18421± 175C-69 30.80732 91.56593 4916 0.9971 1294481 32762 17308±153 18401± 175C-70 30.80798 91.56578 4914 0.9971 1300262 40576 17233±1556 18493± 175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1512634 47528 19717±1782 21643± 175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± 175C-73 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± 175C-25 30.02181 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2881748 52627 31104±2703 34012± 175C-26 30.02171 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2841745 42993 26094±2265 28509± 175C-27 30.02181 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 377643 37563± 175C-27 30.02131 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 377643± 175C-33 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086± 175C-33 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086± 175C-34 30.02007 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405± 175C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2887774 53120 33168±2881 3613±5 175C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889± 175C-34 30.01802 90.24825 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889± 175C-34 30.01804 90.24825 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889± 175C-34 30.018		T5C-59	30.81126	91.55642					15768±1371	17292±1647
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										17870 ± 1696
T5C-63 30.81083 91.55754 4991 0.9951 1358947 34231 17026±1504 18689± 175C-64 30.80783 91.55782 4987 0.9947 1393854 35889 17464±1546 19176± 175C-67 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1364351 34705 17910±1584 19195± 175C-68 30.80751 91.56579 4958 0.9971 1320837 35843 17499±1556 18421± 175C-69 30.80732 91.56579 4958 0.9971 1320837 35843 17499±1556 18421± 175C-69 30.80798 91.56578 4916 0.9971 1320837 35843 17499±1556 18421± 175C-70 30.80798 91.56578 4914 0.9971 1300262 40576 17233±1556 18493± 175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1512634 47528 19717±1782 21643±1 175C-73 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± 175C-73 30.80871 91.56486 4855 0.9962 1273776 31228 16960±1495 18618± 175C-26 30.02181 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509±2 175C-26 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827±1 175C-29 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827±1 175C-30 30.02011 90.24345 5221 0.999 3020175 74603 3466±3057 37563±2 175C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1487076 26595 17165±1487 18838± 175C-33 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1487076 26595 17165±1487 18838± 175C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±2 175C-38 30.01803 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± 175C-38 30.01801 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± 175C-38 30.01801 90.24805 5034 0.99 298774 53120 33168±2881 33613±1 175C-48 30.01801 90.24805 5034 0.99 30903265 33862 43556±3330 47998±4556 44047±3658 46033±1 175C-48 30.01801 90.24805 5014 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±3564 3606012 39996±3489 34459±45624 3001818 90.24903 4999 0.9926 331003 31645		T5C-61	30.81096	91.55738	4988	0.9951	1210116	25074		16809 ± 1604
T5C-64 30.81062 91.55782 4987 0.9947 1393854 35889 17464±1546 19176±		T5C-62	30.81083	91.55754	4991	0.9951	1298660	37725	16333±1462	17916±1749
Gulu E T5C-66 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1584591 40335 20590±1822 22044±2 T5C-67 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1364351 34705 17910±1584 19195±156 18421±156 18421±156 18421±156 18421±156 18421±156 18421±156 18491±153 18401±156 18491±153 18401±156 18491±156 18491±153 18401±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18491±156 18493±1556 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±156 18493±153 1840±152 18454±152 17545±156 18493±153 1840±152 18454±152 17545±156 18493±153 1840±152 18454±152 17545±156		T5C-63	30.81083	91.55754	4991	0.9951	1358947	34231	17026±1504	18689 ± 1804
T5C-67 30.80783 91.56484 4932 0.9971 1364351 34705 17910±1584 19195± T5C-68 30.80751 91.56579 4958 0.9971 1294481 32762 17308±153 18401± T5C-70 30.80798 91.56578 4914 0.9971 1300262 40576 17233±1556 18421± T5C-71 30.80798 91.56578 4914 0.9971 1300262 40576 17233±1556 18493± 155C-71 30.80849 91.56405 4876 0.9962 1512634 47528 19717±1782 21643±2 175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± 175C-73 30.8077 91.56486 4855 0.9962 1273776 31228 16960±1495 18618± 150.20 1275. 16203±1432 17774± 175C-26 30.02181 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±265 28509±2 175C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±265 28509±2 175C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827±2 175C-30# 30.02103 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714±2 175C-30# 30.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 50686±2 175C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405± 15C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2288752 41982 26123±2268 28540±2 15C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2288752 41982 26123±2268 28540±2 15C-36 30.01823 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± 15C-39 30.01801 90.24825 5026 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889± 15C-39 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3018077 54984 36056±3134 38991±3 15C-44 30.01801 90.24825 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 43461±4220 54501±3 15C-42 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3018077 54984 36056±3134 38991±3 15C-42 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3018077 54984 36056±3134 38991±3 15C-42 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3018077 54984 36056±3134 38991±3 15C-44 30.01782 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658		T5C-64	30.81062	91.55782	4987	0.9947	1393854	35889	17464±1546	19176±1854
T5C-68	Gulu E	T5C-66	30.80783	91.56484	4932	0.9971	1584591	40335	20590±1822	22044±2132
T5C-69 30.80732 91.56593 4916 0.9971 1294481 32762 17308±153 18401± T5C-70 30.80798 91.56578 4914 0.9971 1300262 40576 17233±1556 18493±175C-71 30.80849 91.56476 4876 0.9962 1512634 47528 19717±1782 21643±175C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± T5C-73 30.8077 91.56486 4855 0.9962 1273776 31228 16960±1495 18618± 175C-26 30.02181 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2881748 52627 31104±2703 34012±1 344650 34993 26094±2265 28509±175C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509±175C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509±175C-27** 30.0213 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714±175C-29* 30.02113 90.24335 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±1 75C-30# 30.02097 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±15C-33 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±15C-33 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±15C-34 30.02053 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±1 15C-36 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±15C-36 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1458256 26941 18698±1620 20532±175C-37 30.01804 90.24805 5034 0.99 1458256 26941 18698±1620 20532±175C-39 30.01802 90.24805 5034 0.99 303265 83862 43556±3330 47998±175C-39 30.01801 90.24805 5034 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±175C-39 30.01801 90.24805 5034 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±175C-39 30.01801 90.24805 5014 0.9926 3081077 54984 3056±3134 38991±375C-42 30.01801 90.24805 5014 0.9926 3081077 54984 3056±3134 38991±375C-42 30.01801 90.24805 5014 0.9926 3081077 54984 3056±3134 38991±375C-42 30.01801 90.24805 5014 0.9926 3719922 65182		T5C-67	30.80783	91.56484	4932	0.9971	1364351	34705	17910±1584	19195±1855
T5C-70		T5C-68	30.80751	91.56579	4958	0.9971	1320837	35843	17499±1556	18421 ± 1788
T5C-71 30.80849 91.56405 4876 0.9962 1512634 47528 19717±1782 21643±4 T5C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± T5C-73 30.8077 91.56486 4855 0.9962 1273776 31228 16960±1495 18618± Ybj W T5C-25 30.02181 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2881748 52627 31104±2703 34012±3 T5C-26 30.02171 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509±3 T5C-27*** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827±3 T5C-29 30.02113 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714±3 T5C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±3 T5C-30# 30.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086±3 T5C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405±3 T5C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±3 T5C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±3 T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±3 T5C-36 30.01823 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±3 15C-38 30.01804 90.24782 5026 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±3 15C-38 30.01804 90.24825 5026 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±3 15C-38 30.01804 90.24822 5026 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±3 15C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±3 15C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±3 15C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±3 15C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 4359±3 15C-41 30.0181 90.24825 5026 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±3 15C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±3 15C-42 § 30.01786 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±3 15C-42 § 30.01786 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±3 15C-43 § 30.01786 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±3 15C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±3 15C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 15C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 15C-44 30.01782 90.2		T5C-69	30.80732	91.56593	4916	0.9971	1294481	32762	17308±153	18401±1777
T5C-72 30.80851 91.56379 4869 0.9962 1219567 30955 16203±1432 17774± T5C-73 30.8077 91.56486 4855 0.9962 1273776 31228 16960±1495 18618± Ybj W T5C-25 30.02181 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2881748 52627 31104±2703 34012±2 T5C-26 30.02171 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509±2 T5C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827±2 T5C-28 30.02133 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714±2 T5C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±2 T5C-30# 30.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086±2 T5C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405±4 T5C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±2 T5C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±2 T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 Ybj E T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±2 T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±2 T5C-38 30.01801 90.24882 5026 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±35155-348 30.01801 90.24885 5014 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±35155-438 30.01801 90.24885 5014 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±35C-438 30.01801 90.24895 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±375C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±375C-42 \$ 30.01801 90.24895 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±375C-42 \$ 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±375C-42 \$ 30.01801 90.24895 5014 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±375C-42 \$ 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±375C-44 30.01782 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±375C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±375C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2		T5C-70	30.80798	91.56578	4914	0.9971	1300262	40576	17233±1556	18493±1818
T5C-73 30.8077 91.56486 4855 0.9962 1273776 31228 16960±1495 18618± Ybj W T5C-25 30.02181 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2881748 52627 31104±2703 34012± T5C-26 30.02171 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509± T5C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827± T5C-28 30.02133 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714± T5C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563± T5C-30# 30.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086± T5C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405± T5C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838± T5C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540± T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135± Ybj E T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± T5C-36 30.01823 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998± T5C-39 \$ 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889± T5C-39 \$ 30.01804 90.24824 5026 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459± T5C-41 30.0181 90.24824 5021 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459± T5C-42 \$ 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053± T5C-42 \$ 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.992 64321103 80325 48748±4259 54862± T5C-43 \$ 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.992 6432110 108452 46921±4193 52539± T5C-44 30.01782 90.2499 4991 0.999 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272± T5C-44 30.01782 90.2499 4991 0.999 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272± T5C-44 30.01782 90.2499 4991 0.999 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272± T5C-44 30.01782 90.2499 4991 0.999 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272± T5C-44 30.01782 90.2499 4991 0.999 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272± T5C-44 30.01782 90.2499 4991 0.999 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±3454 5239 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±		T5C-71	30.80849	91.56405	4876	0.9962	1512634	47528	19717±1782	21643±2131
Ybj W T5C-25 30.02181 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2881748 52627 31104±2703 34012±3 T5C-26 30.02171 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509±3 T5C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827±3 T5C-28 30.02133 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714±3 T5C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±3 T5C-30# 30.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086±3 T5C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405±4 T5C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 T5C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±3 T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±3 Ybj E T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±4 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±4 T5C-38 30.01803 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±4556 15C-39 § 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±4526 15C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±35C-41 30.0181 90.24885 5014 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±455C-41 30.0181 90.24985 5014 0.9926 371992 65182 42047±3658 46053±455C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 371992 65182 42047±3658 46053±455C-44 30.01782 90.24954 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±25040 1.9926 300000000000000000000000000000000000		T5C-72	30.80851	91.56379	4869	0.9962	1219567	30955	16203±1432	17774±1716
Ybj W T5C-25 30.02181 90.24252 5276 0.9826 2881748 52627 31104±2703 34012±3575-26 30.02171 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509±3175C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827±3175C-28 30.02133 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714±3175C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±375C-29 30.02113 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086±3175C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405±375C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±365C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±375C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±375C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±375C-37 30.01804 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±375C-38 30.01803 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±375C-39 § 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±375C-39 § 30.01801 90.24825 5026 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±375C-40** 30.01801 90.24885 5014 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±375C-40** 30.01801 90.24885 5014 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±375C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 371992 65182 42047±3658 46053±375C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 371992 65182 42047±3658 46053±375C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 371992 65182 42047±3658 46053±375C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 371992 65182 42047±3658 46053±375C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 371992 65182 42047±3658 46053±375C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 371992 65182 42047±3658 46053±375C-44 30.01782 90.24931 5010 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±375C-44 30.01782 90.2493 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±375C-44 30.01782 90.2493 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±375C-44 30.01782 90.2493 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±375C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9888 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±375C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9888 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±375C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9888 4112110		T5C-73	30.8077	91.56486	4855	0.9962	1273776	31228	16960±1495	18618±1794
T5C-26 30.02171 90.24297 5258 0.9826 2344650 42993 26094±2265 28509±2 T5C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827±2 T5C-28 30.02133 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714±2 T5C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±2 T5C-30# 30.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086±2 T5C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405±2 T5C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±2 T5C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±2 T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 T5C-36 30.01823 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±2 T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±3 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±3 T5C-39 § 30.01804 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±3 T5C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±3 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24815 5014 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±2 T5C-42 § 30.01780 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46052±3 T5C-42 § 30.01786 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46052±3 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±2 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 T5C-444 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 T5C-444 30.01782 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 50000 0.990.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 500000 0.990.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 5000000000000000000000000000000000000										
T5C-27** 30.0214 90.24315 5248 0.9826 1912313 37191 21797±1896 23827±2 15C-28 30.02133 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714±2 15C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±2 15C-30# 30.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086±2 15C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405±2 15C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±2 15C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±2 15C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 15C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 15C-36 30.01823 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±2 15C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±3 15C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±3 15C-39 \$ 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±3 15C-39 \$ 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±3 15C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±3 15C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±3 15C-42 \$ 30.01796 90.24933 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±3 15C-43 \$ 30.01784 90.24933 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±3 15C-43 \$ 30.01784 90.2493 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±2 15C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 15C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 15C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 15C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 15C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 25000 23500000000000000000000000000000	Ybj W	T5C-25	30.02181	90.24252	5276	0.9826	2881748	52627	31104±2703	34012±3242
T5C-28 30.02133 90.24332 5227 0.9868 2711638 70371 29907±2657 32714±2 T5C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±2 T5C-30# 30.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086±2 T5C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405±2 T5C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±2 T5C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±2 T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±2 T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±2 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±2 T5C-39 § 30.01801 90.24825 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±2 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±2 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±2 T5C-41 30.0181 90.24891 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±2 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±2 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24931 5010 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±2 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24931 5010 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±2 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24931 5010 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±2 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24931 5010 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±2 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24931 5010 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±2 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2493 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±2 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2		T5C-26	30.02171	90.24297	5258	0.9826	2344650	42993	26094±2265	28509±2714
T5C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±3 50.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086±3 550.00 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405±4 550.00 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838±4 550.00 0.99 26987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 5750.30 0.01823 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±4 550.00 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±4 550.00 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±4 550.00 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±4 550.00 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3330 47998±4 550.00 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±380 4356±380 47998±4 550.00 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 479	,	T5C-27**	30.0214	90.24315	5248	0.9826	1912313	37191	21797±1896	23827±2271
T5C-29 30.02113 90.24345 5221 0.99 3202175 74603 3466±3057 37563±3 50.02091 90.24372 5206 0.99 4812903 103646 49757±4383 56086±3 550.03		T5C-28	30.02133	90.24332	5227	0.9868	2711638	70371	29907±2657	32714±3176
T5C-31 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1587965 30984 18585±1615 20405± T5C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838± T5C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540± T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135± T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±4 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±4 T5C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501± T5C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991± T5C-40*** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±4 T5C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±4 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24933 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±3 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±2 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 Ybj N T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2		T5C-29	30.02113	90.24345	5221	0.99		74603	3466±3057	37563±3625
T5C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838± T5C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±2 T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 Ybj E T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±2 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±2 T5C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±2 T5C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±2 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±2 T5C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±2 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±2 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±2 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±2 Ybj N T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2		T5C-30#	30.02091	90.24372	5206	0.99	4812903	103646	49757±4383	56086±5415
T5C-32 30.02077 90.24406 5200 0.99 1457076 26595 17165±1487 18838± T5C-33 30.02065 90.24432 5184 0.99 2289852 41982 26123±2268 28540±2 T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 Ybj E T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±2 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±2 T5C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±2 T5C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±2 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±2 T5C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±2 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±2 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±2 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±2 Ybj N T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2		T5C-31	30.02077	90.24406	5200	0.99	1587965	30984	18585±1615	20405±1943
Ybj E T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 Ybj E T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±2 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±2 T5C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±2 T5C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±2 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±2 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±2 T5C-43 § 30.01784		T5C-32	30.02077	90.24406		0.99	1457076		17165±1487	18838±1789
Ybj E T5C-34 30.02053 90.24472 5177 0.99 2987774 53120 33168±2881 36135±2 Ybj E T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532± T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±2 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±2 T5C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±2 T5C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±2 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±2 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±2 T5C-43 § 30.01784		T5C-33	30.02065	90.24432	5184	0.99	2289852	41982	26123±2268	28540±2717
Ybj E T5C-35 30.0185 90.24805 5034 0.99 1485256 26941 18698±1620 20532±182 T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±2 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±2 T5C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±2 T5C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±3 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±4 T5C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±4 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24933 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±3 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 </td <td></td> <td>T5C-34</td> <td>30.02053</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.99</td> <td>2987774</td> <td>53120</td> <td>33168±2881</td> <td>36135±3443</td>		T5C-34	30.02053			0.99	2987774	53120	33168±2881	36135±3443
T5C-36 30.01823 90.24807 5033 0.99 3903265 83862 43556±3830 47998±4 T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±4 T5C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±4 T5C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±4 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±4 T5C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±4 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24933 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±4 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±4 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±4 T5C-44 30.01782 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±4 T5C-44bis	Ybj E	T5C-35	30.0185	90.24805	5034	0.99	1485256		18698±1620	20532±1950
T5C-37 30.01804 90.24783 5066 0.9926 3624150 64791 40331±3510 43889±4 55450±4 5021 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±4 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±4 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±4 5021 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±4 5021 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±4 5024 5024 5024 5024 5024 5024 5024 502	· ·		30.01823	90.24807		0.99			43556±3830	47998±4624
T5C-38 30.01801 90.24822 5026 0.9926 4350087 75134 48461±4220 54501±: T5C-39 § 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±: T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±4 T5C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±4 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24933 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±: T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±: T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±: Ybj N T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2		T5C-37	30.01804	90.24783		0.9926	3624150		40331±3510	43889±4191
T5C-40** 30.01802 90.24849 5021 0.9926 3081077 54984 36056±3134 38991±3 T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±4 T5C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±4 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24933 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±3 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±3 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 Ybj N T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±3										54501±5212
T5C-40** 30.01801 90.24865 5014 0.9926 3504063 66012 39996±3489 43459±4 T5C-41 30.0181 90.24931 5010 0.9926 3719922 65182 42047±3658 46053±4 T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24933 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±3 T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±3 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 Ybj N T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±3										38991±3718
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									39996±3489	43459±4157
T5C-42 § 30.01796 90.24933 4999 0.9926 4321103 80325 48748±4259 54862±: T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±: T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±: Ybj N T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2		T5C-41							42047±3658	46053±4397
T5C-43 § 30.01784 90.24949 4991 0.99 2163193 31645 26808±2309 29272±2 T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±3 Ybj N T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02551 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02551 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-44bis 30.02551 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2 T5C-45551 90.02		T5C-42 §				0.9926				54862±5260
T5C-44 30.01782 90.2495 4982 0.9868 4112110 108452 46921±4193 52539±32 45013±412110 108452 46921±4193 52539±32 46921±4195 5259±32 46921±4195 5259±32 46921±4195 5259±32 46921±4195 5259±32 46921±4195 52595 5259 5259 5259 5259 52			30.01784	90.24949	4991	0.99			26808±2309	29272±2768
Ybj N T5C-44bis 30.02351 90.24524 5239 0.9868 2105096 113008 23740±2386 26013±2										52539±5132
	Ybj N	T5C-44bis	30.02351	90.24524		0.9868				26013±2805
	3	T5C-45	30.02402	90.24464	5261		1073159	32747	12597±1133	13899±1362
	Ybj inner									12028±1167
y .	3									14368±1371
										11492±1095
										22256±2114
										15786±1505

[†] Ages published in Chevalier et al. (2011).

No erosion rate was applied. Thickness is 4 cm. Density is 2.7 g/cm3 for granite and 2.65 g/cm3 for quartzite.

^{††} Ages recalculated with the CRONUS 2.3 calculator using the Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) time-dependent production rate model.

¹⁰Be production rate is 4.1 at/g/a. All samples were processed at Stanford University's cosmogenic facility.

[§] The ¹⁰Be/Be ratios were measured at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory: 07KNSTD with ¹⁰Be isotope ratios=2.79x1e-11.

For all other samples, the 10 Be $^{\beta}$ Be ratios were measured at CEREGE: NIST SRM4325 (=NIST_27900), equivalent to 07KNSTD.

^{*} Outlier rejected using Peirce's criterion (following Blomdin et al., 2016).

^{**}Sample was quartzite, all others were granite.

Table 2: ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al surface-exposure dating of the alluvial samples.

	Sample name	Lat (°N)	Long (°E)	Elev.	Shielding	10Be (at/g)	err	²⁶ Al (at/g)	err	10Be age (yrs) †	err	²⁶ Al age (yrs) †	err	²⁶ Al/ ¹⁰ Be conc.
Gulu T up	T5C-75	30.67214	91.52428	4651	1	182971	6962	985830	67901	3286	330	2708	313	5.38
	T5C-78	30.67229	91.52379	4645	1	928065	32828	5121162	186501	15318	1526	12915	1295	5.51
	T5C-82	30.67217	91.52429	4651	1	254688	12780	1156740	189886	4434	468	3152	595	4.54
Gulu T down	T5C-85	30.67107	91.52791	4593	1	3663368	133994	19139157	715710	55054	5564	43256	4418	5.22
	T5C-91	30.67091	91.52925	4580	1	24917297	588827	137802787	3098854	403083	42733	359743	41179	5.53
Damxung T1	T5C-102	30.52696	91.09392	4396	0.995952	969086	33817	5867556	204247	17919	1783	16563	1654	6.05
	T5C-107	30.52551	91.09152	4393	0.995952	1033288	32425	6930002	230822	19050	1874	19360	1926	6.7
	T5C-108	30.52447	91.09214	4393	0.995952	1010218	34178	6752400	199795	18653	1850	18896	1856	6.68
	T5C-109b	30.52353	91.09292	4382	0.995952	952869	44289	6361787	190541	17755	1850	17960	1766	6.67
	T5C-110	30.52114	91.09366	4362	0.998147	1131165	34136	7373984	213983	20975	2056	20768	2039	6.51
	T5C-114	30.5209	91.09295	4370	0.998147	976630	35198	6203038	197268	18227	1822	17605	1741	6.35
Damxung T2	T5C-92	30.53208	91.09345	4556	0.998722	241631	12548	1488708	67609	4427	471	4148	429	6.16
	T5C-93b	30.53286	91.09393	4562	0.998722	971983	33116	2718304	681725	16656	1652	7121	1911	2.79
	T5C-97	30.53232	91.09521	4558	0.998722	15986946	583503	81966276	1226356	250186	26558	198780	20621	5.12
	T5C-101	30.53143	91.0972	4544	0.998722	20312794	738851	110748873	1720803	325189	35173	283536	30745	5.45
Gyekar T1	T5C-6	29.87901	90.37154	4435	1	10680049	379397	60015261	2143509	177988	18485	154808	16616	5.61
	T5C-9	29.87833	90.37074	4432	1	4085222	136379	25052419	1171782	68080	6825	64254	6889	6.13
Gyekar T2	T5C-14	29.8542	90.37619	4541	1	17211893	395395	77981065	3037209	277099	28393	193360	21419	4.53
	T5C-17	29.85402	90.3761	4543	1	13825128	464127	16233863	879683	217521	22662	39118	4283	1.17
	T5C-50	29.86142	90.37923	4541	1	15711102	349727	79143796	2784025	251826	25592	196184	21460	5.03
	T5C-56	29.86166	90.37934	4540	1	6175938	191963	4992451	407129	97734	9796	13552	1685	0.8

[†] Ages from Kali (2010) recalculated with the CRONUS v2.3 calculator using the Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) time-dependent production rate model. ¹⁰Be production rate is 4.1 at/g/a. Samples were processed at the Institut de Physique du Globe de Strasbourg, France and ratios were measured at CEREGE: NIST SRM4325 (=NIST_27900), equivalent to 07KNSTD with ¹⁰Be isotope ratios=2.79x1e-11; SMAL11 for 26Al isotope ratios=7.401x1e-12.

No erosion rate was applied. Density is 2.65~g/cm3 (all samples are quartzite cobbles). Thickness is 5~cm.

Table 3: Rates summary along the Yadong-Gulu rift


	Fault dip (°)	Rate (mm/yr)		Reference	Method	Ref.	
		Throw	Extension			in Fig. 19	
Geodetic							
Yadong-Gulu rift			4.5 ± 0.5	Wang et al., 2019	InSAR and GPS data	1	
			~6	Chen et al., 2004a,b	GPS, block model	2	
			5.2 ± 0.4	Wang et al., 2017	GPS, block model	3	
			2.0 ± 0.6	Gan et al., 2007	GPS, dislocation model	4	
Northern half			5.6 ± 0.8	Tian et al., 2019	GPS, microplate model	5	
Late Quaternary							
Gulu Moraine	18±5	1.8 ± 0.3	6.0 ± 1.8	This study	¹⁰ Be	GM	
Gulu Terrace	60-70	6.4(+1.7/-1.1)	3.1 ± 0.6	This study	$^{10}\mathrm{Be}$	GuT	
Damxung Terrace	70	$0.5 - 0.75 \pm 0.2$	<1.6	This study	¹⁰ Be + dip 70°(two faults)	DT	
Yangbajing Moraines	41	0.8 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	This study	¹⁰ Be	YM	
Gyekar Terraces	55-65	0.6 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.1	This study	$^{10}\mathrm{Be}$	GyT	
Yangbajing graben			1.2 ± 0.2	This study, $YM + GyT$	¹⁰ Be	YM+GyT	
		~1.6		Armijo et al., 1986	100 m since 60 ka (inferred ages)	6a	
		1.4 ± 0.6	1.3±0.7*	Wu et al., 2004 (Holocene)	OSL, ESR and U-series	7a	
		1.1 ± 0.3	$0.9\pm0.5*$	Wu et al., 2004 (Quaternary)	OSL, ESR and U-series	7b	
Angang graben		0.8-1.3	$0.9\pm0.4*$	Wu et al., 2015	OSL and ¹⁴ C	8	
Yadong rift		0.7 ± 0.1	$0.6 \pm 0.1^{\#}$	Ha et al., 2019	OSL	9	
	50	0.9 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.3	Wang et al., 2020	¹⁰ Be	10	
Geologic							
Yadong-Gulu rift	45-60	1.9 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.8	Armijo et al., 1986	3-5 km since 2.0±0.5 Ma	6b	


^{*}We calculated an EW extension rate using a 45° - 60° dip angle


[#]We calculated an EW extension rate using a 50° dip angle


OSL = Optically Stimulated Luminescence


ESR = Electron Spin Resonance















Figure	6.
--------	----






Figure	8.
--------	----








Figure	9.
--------	----











































44±1 m

P2

43 m

P1

Elevation 100 m

> 100 m Distance



b

a



