

Taxonomic revision of West-Alpine cushion plant species belonging to Androsace subsect. Aretia

Cédric Dentant, Sébastien Lavergne, Valéry Malécot

▶ To cite this version:

Cédric Dentant, Sébastien Lavergne, Valéry Malécot. Taxonomic revision of West-Alpine cushion plant species belonging to Androsace subsect. Aretia. Botany Letters, 2018, 165 (3-4), pp.337-351. 10.1080/23818107.2018.1450784 . hal-03011728

HAL Id: hal-03011728 https://hal.science/hal-03011728

Submitted on 2 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Botany Letters

ISSN: 2381-8107 (Print) 2381-8115 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tabg21

Taxonomic revision of West-Alpine cushion plant species belonging to Androsace subsect. Aretia

Cédric Dentant, Sébastien Lavergne & Valéry Malécot

To cite this article: Cédric Dentant, Sébastien Lavergne & Valéry Malécot (2018): Taxonomic revision of West-Alpine cushion plant species belonging to Androsace subsect. Aretia, Botany Letters, DOI: 10.1080/23818107.2018.1450784

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/23818107.2018.1450784</u>

4	(n	

Published online: 27 Apr 2018.



Submit your article to this journal 🕑

Article views: 7



View related articles 🗹



🕖 View Crossmark data 🗹

Taxonomic revision of West-Alpine cushion plant species belonging to *Androsace* subsect. *Aretia*

Cédric Dentant^a , Sébastien Lavergne^b and Valéry Malécot^c

^aParc national des Ecrins, Domaine de Charance, Gap, France; ^bLaboratoire d'Écologie Alpine (LECA), CNRS – University Grenoble Alpes – University Savoie Mont-Blanc, Grenoble, France; ^cIRHS, Agrocampus Ouest, INRA, Université d'Angers, Beaucouzé, France

ABSTRACT

High-altitude rockjasmines (genus *Androsace*) are a paramount example of evolutionary radiations in temperate mountains of the Northern Hemisphere. Yet, we show here that their taxonomy is incomplete and has been subject to many historical mistakes, probably due to the lack of exploration of mountains by the classical botanists who described these species. Here we wish to clarify the application of names with regard to four defined morphotypes, typical of high-elevation zones of the Western Alps, in order to set a definitive basis for morphological delimitation of a likely new species discovered in the Mont Blanc range (species not described in this work). To do so, we review the historical taxonomic treatments and positions, carefully reconsider types and species names for these morphotypes, and designate lectotypes and epitypes for each of them. In particular, we confirm the validity of names commonly used to refer to the taxa *Androsace alpina, Androsace helvetica*, and *Androsace pubescens*. We show that *Androsace vandellii* is an invalid name and that *Androsace argentea* should be used instead. Our work illustrates the utility of historical herbaria to clarify the taxonomy of complex groups of plants growing in inaccessible environments such as high-altitude regions.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 29 January 2018 Accepted 1 March 2018

Taylor & Francis

Check for updates

Taylor & Francis Group

KEYWORDS

Androsace; trichomes; lectotype; epitype; phylogeny; high mountain; Alps

Introduction

Androsace sect. Aretia subsect. Aretia, [Clade]/Eu-Aretia is an infrageneric group of alpine species composed of plants occurring in southwestern European mountains (Alps and Pyrenees): Androsace alpina (L.) Lam., Androsace brevis (Hegetschw.) Ces., Androsace cylindrica DC., Androsace komovensis Schönswetter & Schneeweiss, Androsace helvetica (L.) All., Androsace hausmannii Leyb., Androsace lactea L., Androsace mathildae Levier, Androsace obtusifolia All., Androsace pubescens DC., Androsace vandellii (Turra) Chiov., Androsace vitaliana (L.) Lapeyr., and Androsace wulfeniana (Sieber ex Koch) Rchb. (Schneeweiss et al. 2004; Schönswetter and Schneeweiss 2009).

Three of these species are strictly endemic to mountain ranges outside the Alps: *A. cylindrica* (Pyrenees), *A. komovensis* (Balkans), and *A. mathildae* (Apennines). Three of the remaining 10 species have a distribution restricted to the Central Alps: *A. hausmannii*, *A. brevis*, and *A. wulfeniana*. The species *A. pubescens* was long thought to occur in the Pyrenees, but it was recently argued that it has been mixed up with the morphologically similar, but genetically differentiated, *A. cylindrica* (Schneeweiss et al. 2017) – thus *A. pubescens* seems to be endemic to the Western Alps.

Overall, only seven species of this clade occur in Western Alps: A. helvetica, A. alpina, A. vandellii, A.

pubescens, A. vitaliana, A. obtusifolia, and A. lactea. Here we focus on four cushion species of this Western group which present taxonomic and nomenclatural issues for taxonomists and field botanists, namely A. helvetica, A. alpina, A. vandellii, and A. pubescens. Clarifying taxonomy is a prior requirement for on-going research on the phylogeny of this subsection (Boucher et al. 2012), and more generally for understanding the origin and structure of high-alpine plant communities (Marx et al. 2017). Recently, a field assessment of endangered plants of the Northern French Alps listed a morphologically distinct Androsace taxon, which could be described as a new species (Jordan 2015). As this new Androsace taxon shares features with both A. pubescens and A. alpina, it is of utmost importance to set a clear and definitive basis for the morphological delimitation of the four aforementioned species. Here we propose to reconsider the nomenclature and taxonomy of these species and to select proper types for each of them.

Features historically associated to species names in the genus *Androsace*

Morphological features

Considering historical species' features cited in the protologues, four morphological characters are relevant to be exposed:

CONTACT Cédric Dentant cedric.dentant@ecrins-parcnational.fr © 2018 Société botanique de France

- (i) leaf shape: short or long, apex pointed or round
- (ii) leaf organization: imbricated or loose
- (iii) stalk size: visible stalk or very short stalk (flowers more or less sessile)
- (iv) corolla colour: white or reddish

These features are generally not sufficient to distinguish species. However, they are good complements to the last and ultimate feature: the shape of leaf trichomes (simple, furcate, branched, or radiate). Villars (1787) was the first author to consider the shape of trichomes as a relevant character to distinguish species in the group. While merging Aretia in Androsace, he wrote:"pour distinguer les Aretia des Androsace, il falloit ou avoir recours aux tiges uniflores, ou à la forme des poils. [...] Dans le second [cas], nous n'aurions eu que l'Androsace villosa L. [...] car elle a des poils simples, articulés ou séparés par des diaphragmes, caractère qui nous a paru lui être particulier" [To distinguish Aretia from Androsace, it would have been necessary to refer either to single-flowered stems or to hair shape, [...]. In the second [case] we would have had only Androsace villosa L. [...] because it has simple hairs, articulated or separated by diaphragms, a character that seemed restricted to this species]. This key feature was usually absent from early protologues and was not necessarily cited in later ones.

Locus classicus

When the *locus classicus* of a described species is available, it may be decisive to scrutinize the plants occurring in this location.

Features of the four cushions of Androsace

The four aforementioned *Androsace* taxa (currently named *Androsace helvetica*, *A. alpina*, *A. vandellii*, and *A. pubescens*) correspond to four morphotypes that can be summarized as in Table 1.

History of taxa description

Since Haller (1742), at least two west-alpine cushion species of *Androsace* have been recognized by different authors. In Haller (1742), the <u>Helvetica morphotype</u> is called "*Aretia cauliculis teretibus foliis imbricatis, floribus sessilibus*", while the Alpina morphotype is

called "Aretia villosa scapis unifloris". Later, Linnaeus (1753) provided these two morphotypes with binomials, namely Diapensia helvetica L. for the Helvetica morphotype and Aretia alpina L. for the Alpina morphotype. However, herbarium samples used in Linnaeus' works show that under the name Diapensia helvetica Linnaeus merged individuals belonging to the Helvetica morphotype and individuals with radiate hairs assigned to the Vandellii-Argentea morphotype. Similarly, most available specimens collected by Allioni (herbarium of Torino – TO) under the name Androsace helvetica are in fact morphologically similar to what we call here the Vandellii-Argentea morphotype, i.e. bearing leaves with dense radiate trichomes. Furthermore, in Allioni's herbarium, a sheet with a short note written by Allioni and reproduced in his Flora pedemontana (1785) holds under the same name specimens of both morphotypes: Helvetica (simple trichomes, plant growing exclusively on limestone) and Vandellii-Argentea (radiate trichomes, plant growing exclusively on siliceous substratum). These observations clearly highlight the fact that two different morphotypes were actually merged under the name Androsace helvetica ever since its first use.

Consequently, it is not surprising that successive authors gave species descriptions mixing both morphotypes or concerning just one or the other. The first case of such mixed description is the one of Villars (1787), where he gave the following description under the name Diapensia helvetica: "poils ramifiés en Y & en goupillon" [ramified Y-shaped and bottlebrush-like hairs], where "ramified hairs" corresponds to the Vandellii-Argentea morphotype. Similarly, in the second edition of the Flore Française (1805), Lamarck and de Candolle described the Vandellii-Argentea morphotype using a name - Androsace imbricata Lam. - directly linked to Diapensia helvetica L. They even provided a precise description: "feuilles [...] tapissées d'un duvet court, blanc et serré, composé de poils rameux et rayonnants" [leaves [...] lined with a short, tied and white fluff, composed of ramified and radiant hairs], which are definitely the specific features of the Vandellii-Argentea morphotype. It is fundamental to note that they also gave a new name for the taxa with simple trichomes, i.e. for the Helvetica morphotype: Androsace bryoides DC. Despite a misinterpretation of the Linnaean binomial Diapensia helvetica, they first made a distinction

Table 1. Features of the four morphotypes corresponding to *Androsace helvetica*, *A. alpina*, *A. vandellii*, and *A. pubescens*, based on current taxonomic works and floras such as Pignatti (1982), Aeschimann and Burdet (2005), Fischer et al. (2008), Tison and Foucault (2014), Jordan (2015), Dentant (2017).

Morphotype	Leaf shape	Leaf organization	Stalk size	Corolla colour	Shape of leaf trichomes
Alpina	long with pointed apex	loose	visible (elongated)	reddish	radiate
Helvetica	short with acute apex	imbricated, forming columns	very short	white	simple
Pubescens	heterogeneous (short or long), with rounded apex	loose	mainly short	white	mainly simple
Vandellii-Argentea	short with rounded apex	imbricated, forming short columns	very short	white	radiate

between the two taxa sharing small, imbricated leaves and white flowers: the first one with simple trichomes (Helvetica morphotype, then named Androsace bryoides DC.), the second one with radiate trichomes (Vandellii-Argentea morphotype, then named Androsace imbricata Lam.). While describing the Helvetica morphotype, they also noted that: "Cette plante a le port et presque tous les caractères de l'androsace embriquée [i.e. the Vandellii-Argentea morphotype], avec laquelle on l'a sans doute confondue" [This plant shares the habitus and almost all characters of Androsace imbricata, with which it was probably confused]. Consequently, while recognizing that the Vandellii-Argentea morphotype (i.e. Androsace imbricata) could have been mistaken for the Helvetica morphotype (i.e. Androsace bryoides), they used wrong specific names.

Regarding the Alpina morphotype, Haller (1768, 274) was the first author to recognize morphological variation within it, listing three varieties, mainly on the basis of geography and colour of flowers (α white, β pink, γ red). However, it is Villars (1787) who distinguished taxa that may be related to different morphotypes. Under the name Androsace aretia Vill., he recognized three varieties: var. "a. Incana" and var. "b. Hirsuta pilis foliorum ramosis", both with ramified trichomes - the first one with white flowers, the second one with red flowers and var. "c. Hirsuta pilis folior. simplicibus, floribus albis" [simple leaf hairs, white flowers] for which he added "La dernière se trouve quelquefois à feuilles rondes desséchées, très denses, et se rapproche de la Diapensia, tandis que les fleurs axillaires, et les poils simples l'en éloignent" [The last [variety] is sometimes found with rounded, dry, very dense leaves and is close to the Diapensia, while it is differentiated by its axillary flowers and simple hairs]. This third mentioned variety, with simple trichomes, white flowers, and loose cushion, refers to the Pubescens morphotype. Later, as in the case of the confusion between the Helvetica and Vandellii-Argentea morphotypes, de Candolle (in Lamarck and de Candolle 1805) was the first to separate the Alpina morphotype and the Pubescens morphotype at the specific level. Indeed, de Candolle, in the third edition of the Flore Française, highlighted the specific features of this new species compared to those of Androsace alpina: "elle en diffère [...] par ses poils simples et nullement rameux" [it differs from it [...] by its simple hairs, nowhere ramified]. The difference from the Helvetica morphotype is a little bit more subtle: "feuilles [...] jamais serrées ni embriquées comme dans l'androsace faux-bry; les feuilles sont oblongues planes, pubescentes" [leaves never packed or imbricated as for the androsace faux-bry; the leaves are oblong flat, pubescent].

Interpretation and typification of names

In the following part, for each new legitimate name we provide information from the protologue, the indication regarding the original material, the taxonomic assignation of this material, the species typification, and the homotypic (i.e. nomenclatural) synonyms. For each new combination we indicate the basionym. For illegitimate superfluous names, we provide nomenclatural justification of the illegitimacy, and the name whose type is included and explanations regarding the illegitimacy.

(i) *Alpina* morphotype (ramified trichomes; loose cushion; peduncle mainly elongated; corolla mainly pink)

il. Androsace alpina (L.) Lam.

 *Publication place
 Fl. Franç. 3: 641 (1779)
 *Combination based on Aretia alpina L. (i4)
 *Typification See under the basionym Aretia alpina L. (i4)

i2. *Androsace aretia* Vill. nom. illeg. *Publication place

Hist. Pl. Dauphiné 2: 469 (1787)

*Nomenclatural justification

In 1787, Villars created the name *Androsace aretia* as the name, in the genus *Androsace*, of *Aretia alpina* L. Considering Art. 11.4 and Art 52.1 (see Appendix 2 for the detailed cited articles) of the International Code of Nomenclature (ICN) (McNeill et al. 2012), he should have used the Linnaean epithet as it includes its type. *Androsace aretia* Vill. is therefore an illegitimate and superfluous name.

*Name whose type is included *Aretia alpina* L. (i4)

i3. Androsace pedunculata Clairv. nom. illeg.

*Publication place

Man. Herbor. Suisse: 57 (1811)

*Nomenclatural justification

By citing *Aretia alpina* as a synonym of his *Androsace pedunculata*, Clairville provided a new, superfluous name for *Aretia alpina* L. Considering current nomenclatural rules, Clairville should have used Linnaeus' epithet (i.e. *alpina*). *Androsace pedunculata* Clairv. is an illegitimate name under Art 52.1 of ICN (McNeill et al. 2012)

*Name whose type is included

Aretia alpina L. (i4)

i4. Aretia alpina L.

*Publication place

Sp. Pl. 1: 141 (1753)

*Information from the protologue

In the prologue of *Aretia alpina*, Linnaeus (1753) referred to a species described by Haller (1742) under the polynomial "*Aretia villosa scapis unifloris*". The locality provided by Linnaeus, i.e. "*Habitat in Vallesiae monte Loch dicto*" does not correspond to the information provided by Haller (1742), but was linked with Burser's herbarium by De Beer (1955). During our study, this link with Burser's material was also identified. Unlike De Beer, we did not identify this link based on Savage (1937) but

4 😉 C. DENTANT ET AL.

on the basis of a footnote in Linnaeus (1749). In this text, which is the printed version of a thesis defended in 1745 by Rolando Martin, a note is associated to the polynomial that will be cited under Aretia alpina by Linnaeus (1753). This note reads "ARETIA villosa scapis unifloris Hall. Helv. 486 t.8. habetur a Bursero sub SEDI saxatilis variegato flore C.B. nomine, quae dici potest ANDROSACE caulescens, foliis alternis, pedunculis unifloris" [our translation: The ARETIA villosa scapis unifloris in Haller [Enumeratio methodica stirpium Helvetiae indigenarum 486 Tab. 8] occurs in Burser's herbarium under the name SEDI saxitalis variegato flore C.B. [of Caspard Bauhin] which may be said ANDROSACE caulescens, foliis alternis, pedunculis unifloris]. From this note which consolidates De Beer's arguments, we can ascertain that Linnaeus had seen Burser's herbarium while dealing with Aretia alpina.

*Original material

The original material used by Linnaeus to name *Aretia alpina* consists of:

(a) herbarium material

- in Burser's herbarium (Uppsala): UPS [UPS-BURSER 16(1)-71]!

(b) illustration

– Haller's illustration of *Aretia villosa scapis unifloris* in Haller (1742) *Enumeratio methodica stirpium Helvetiae indigenarum*, 2: tab. VIII. (Figure 1)

*Taxonomic assignation of the original material

Both Burser's sample and Haller's illustration can be assigned to the *Alpina* morphotype. Additionally, Haller's material (P [P-HALL 16–052]!, right part of the sheet (Figure 2)) also belongs to the *Alpina* morphotype.



Figure 1. Original material of Aretia alpina L. (Haller 1742).



Figure 2. Haller's material of *Alpina* morphotype (P-HALL 16-052) (photo: Cécile Aupic, herbarium of Paris, P).

*Typification

In order to clarify the application of the name and considering that typification is better while based on a specimen, we chose to typify *Aretia alpina* on the basis of Burser's material (Figures 3a and 3b). *Aretia alpina* L. (*Sp. Pl.* 1:141.1753)

- LECTOTYPUS (designated here): Switzerland, Canton of Valais; collector: J. Burser; UPS [UPS-BURSER 16(1)-77]! (Figure 3a and 3b)

*Homotypic synonyms

Androsace alpina (L.) Lam. Androsace aretia Vill. nom. illeg. Androsace pedunculata Clairv. nom. illeg.

i5. Androsace multiflora (Vand.) Moretti nom. illeg.

*Publication place Bibliot. Ital. Giorn. Lett. 28: 344 (1822)

*Nomenclatural justification

Based on *Aretia multiflora* Vand., this combination is, however, illegitimate by homonymy, because of the publication of the name *Androsace multiflora* Lam. in 1779. This earlier name, despite being also illegitimate – it is a replacement name for *Androsace septentrionalis* L. – makes Moretti's combination illegitimate (cf. Art. 53.1 Note 1 of ICN (McNeill et al. 2012)).

*Typification

See under Aretia multiflora Vand. (i7)

i6. Androsace vandellii Chiov.

*Publication place

Nuovo Gior. Bot. Ital. n.s., 20: 21–29 (1919)

*Nomenclatural justification

In 1919, Chiovenda published a relevant study on taxonomic ambiguities on a taxon he finally named "*Androsace vandellii* (Turra) Chiov" (Chiovenda 1919).



Figure 3(a). Lectotypus of *Aretia alpina* L. (UPS-BURSER 16(1)-77), allowing by extension a clear typification of *Androsace alpina* (L.) Lam. (photo: Mats Hertson, herbarium of Uppsala, UPS).

However, despite the quality of this work, the author took several erroneous positions, voluntarily or not. The presumed basionym of *Androsace vandellii* (Turra) Chiov. is *Aretia vandellii* Turra, published in Turra (1780), which is illegitimate, being superfluous to *Aretia multiflora* Vand., published in 1771.

The binomial Androsace vandellii given by Chiovenda is a replacement name strictly based on the same type as the one of Aretia vandellii Turra. As Aretia vandellii is illegitimate, and as the epithet vandellii was re-used by Chiovenda at the same rank, Chiovenda's name is then itself illegitimate, unless Vandelli's epithet (i.e. multiflora) is unavailable for use in the genus Androsace (cf. Art. 58.1 Note 1 of ICN). This is the case as the name Androsace multiflora was published in 1779 by Lamarck. Thus, despite being illegitimate (it was published as a replacement name for Androsace septentrionalis L.), Lamarck's name makes Vandelli's epithet unavailable for use in Androsace. Consequently, the name Androsace vandellii Chiov. - not "Androsace vandellii (Turra) Chiov." - can be treated as a legitimate replacement name for Aretia multiflora Vandelli.

*Name whose type is included *Aretia multiflora* Vandelli (i7)



Figure 3(b). Zoom on radiate hairs of the *Aretia alpina* L. lectotypus (UPS-BURSER 16(1)-77) (photo: Mats Hertson, herbarium of Uppsala, UPS).

i7. Aretia multiflora Vandelli

*Publication place Fasc. Pl.: 8 (1771)

*Information from the protologue

Vandelli provided a relatively long description and pointed out that the plant occurs in "Mediolanensibus Montibus", i.e. in the mountain of Milano (Italia) *Original material

We did not find any specimen of this species (or close ones) in his herbarium (Lisboa – LISU).

*Taxonomic assignation of original material

The description of his species made by Vandelli does not provide sufficient diagnosis to interpret it but gives details that point to the *Alpina* morphotype: "*flores nutantes*, *rubri*, *folia imbricata*, *sessilia*, *lineari-cuneiformia*, *apice subrotundo*, *aut acuminato* [...]" [our translation: flowers <u>bent</u>, <u>reddish</u>, leaves imbricated, sessile, <u>linear-cuneiform</u>, apex subround or <u>pointed</u>]. He also wrote "differs from Haller's species 468 t.8", in which the *Helvetica* and *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotypes were merged (cf. § History of taxa description). From all this, we consider that the name *Aretia multiflora* Vandellii actually applies to the *Alpina* morphotype.

*Homotypic synonyms

Androsace multiflora (Vand.) Moretti nom. illeg. *Aretia vandellii* Turra nom. illeg. *Androsace vandellii* Chiov.

i8. Aretia vandellii Turra nom. illeg.

*Publication place

Fl. Ital. Prod.: 633 (1780)

*Nomenclatural justification

In his publication, Turra made a clear reference to a name cited in a letter from Domenico Vandelli ("*scapis multifloris. Vandel. Epist.*"). Vandelli described the same species, with the same description, in 1771 (*Fasciculus plantarum cum novis generibus et speciebus* 8) and named it *Aretia multiflora.* Therefore, in regard to the Art. 58.1

of ICN (McNeill et al. 2012), the name *Aretia vandellii* given by Turra to the species described by Vandelli is a superfluous name and turns out to be illegitimate. *Name whose type is included *Aretia multiflora* Vandelli (i7)

(ii) *Helvetica* morphotype (simple trichomes only; cushion very dense; leaves forming columns; peduncle absent; corolla white)

ii1. Androsace bryoides DC.

*Publication place Fl. Franç. [ed. 3] 3: 440 (1805)

*Information from the protologue

De Candolle provided a name that may apply, according to him, to the use of the name *Aretia helvetica* by Hoffmann (in *Deutschlands Flora* 3: 91, 1800). He used a question mark to indicate some doubts. However, he provided a long description, specifying the presence of simple trichomes. He also gave a long list of material: "*je l'ai reçue de mon frère qui l'a trouvée au mont Saxonet, au grand Bornand et à la Dent-d'Oche près Genève; et de M. Clarion qui l'a ramassée dans les montagnes de Seine en Provence. Il est probable qu'elle existe dans toutes les Alpes*" [I received it from my brother who found it at the mount Saxonet, the grand Bornand, and the Dentd'Oche near Geneva; and from Mr Clarion who collected it in the mountains of Seine in Provence. It is likely that this species exists everywhere in the Alps].

*Original material

Three herbarium specimens:

– France, dept. Haute-Savoie: Dent d'Oche (Alps); collector: J.-M.-F. de Candolle, determiner: A. P. de Candolle; G [G00139541]!

– Switzerland: Stockhorn; collector: N. C. Seringe, determiner: A. P. de Candolle; G [G00139435]!

- (*Locality undefined*); collector: J.-C.-L. Colaud de la Salcette, determiner: A. P. de Candolle; G [G00139544]!
*Taxonomic assignation of original material

On the basis of the precise description provided by de Candolle and on the original material, the name *Androsace bryoides* applies to the *Helvetica* morphotype.

*Typification

In order to strengthen the link with the name *Androsace helvetica* (L.) All. and to acknowledge the clear separation of the *Helvetica* morphotype from the *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotype made by de Candolle, we selected as lectotype of the name *Androsace bryoides* Lam. the sample also selected as epitype of the name *Diapensia helvetica* L. (cf. ii7)

Androsace bryoides DC. (Fl. Franç. [ed. 3.] 3: 440. 1805)

- **LECTOTYPUS (designated here**): France, dept. Haute-Savoie: Dent d'Oche (Alps); collector: J.-M.-F. de Candolle, determiner: A. P. de Candolle; G [G00139541]! (Figure 4)

*Homotypic synonyms Aretia bryoides (DC.) Loisel



Figure 4. Lectotypus of *Androsace bryoides* DC. and epitypus of *Diapensisa helvetica* L. (G-DC, G00139541) allowing by extension a clear typification of *Androsace helvetica* (L.) All. (photo: herbarium of Geneva, G).

ii2. Androsace diapensia Villars nom. illeg.

*Publication place

Hist. Pl. Dauphiné 2: 472 (1787)

*Nomenclatural justification

Villars (1787) created the name *Androsace diapensia* while treating Linnaeus' *Diapensia helvetica* as a member of the genus *Androsace*. As for *Androsace aretia*, Villars should have used the epithet created by Linnaeus, i.e. *helvetica*. *Androsace diapensia* Vill. is consequently a superfluous and illegitimate name (cf. Art 52.1 of ICN (McNeill et al. 2012)).

*Name whose type is included *Diapensia helvetica* L. (ii7)

ii3. Androsace helvetica (L.) All.

*Publication place
 Fl. Pedem. 1: 91 (1785)
 *Combination based on
 Diapensia helvetica L.
 *Typification
 See under the basionym *Diapensia helvetica* L. (ii7)

ii4. Androsace imbricata Lam. nom. illeg.

*Publication place

Fl. Franç. 3: 641 (1779)

*Nomenclatural justification

Lamarck (1779) cited *Diapensia helvetica* L. as a synonym of his *Androsace imbricata*. It is probably due to the selection of a "more appropriate" epithet while transferring the name to the *Androsace* genus. Lamarck stated that this plant was observed in Dauphiné by Villars, thus did not only occur in Helvetia. Anyhow, Lamarck should have used Linnaeus' epithet. *Androsace imbricata* Lam. is thus a superfluous and illegitimate name under Art 52.1 of ICN (McNeill et al. 2012). *Name whose type is included *Diapensia helvetica* L. (ii7)

ii5. Aretia bryoides (DC.) Loisel

 *Publication place
 Fl. Gall. 1: 111 (1806)
 *Combination based on Androsace bryoides DC.
 *Typification
 See under the basionym Androsace bryoides DC. (ii1)

ii6. Aretia helvetica (L.) L.

<u>*Publication place</u>
 Syst. Veg. ed. 13: 162 (Linnaeus 1774)
 <u>*Combination based on</u>
 Diapensia helvetica L.
 <u>*Typification</u>
 See under the basionym *Diapensia helvetica* L. (ii7)

ii7. Diapensia helvetica L.

*Publication place Sp. Pl. 1: 143 (1753) *Information from the protologue

In his famous Species plantarum (Linnaeus 1753), Linnaeus clearly referred to a species described by Haller (1742). Linnaeus cited Haller's polynomial "Aretia cauliculis teretibus foliis imbricatis, floribus sessilibus", as well as the locality: "Habitat in Alpibus Helveticis Gemmi & Pyndtnerberg". In citing the polynomial Androsace alpina minima Comm. Lit. Nor. 1731 p. 380, Haller (1742) linked himself to one of his own texts from 1731 (Haller 1731), where only "Gemmi M." is accounted for his finding. Additionally, in both Haller (1742) and Haller (1731), Conrad Gesner is cited as the discoverer of this species. The geographical indications provided by Haller are "in Alpibus Abbatiscelensibus [i.e. Appenzell]" (1731) and "G[esner]. ex altissimis locis M. Pündtnerberg" (1742). Linnaeus (1753) also quoted his own polynomial "Androsace caulescens, foliis alternis, pedunculis unifloris", from Amoenitates Academicae 1 (Linnaeus 1749). This dissertation, dedicated to the identification of Joachim Burser's herbarium, associates sample 179 of the 16th volume of Burser's herbarium, i.e. "Sedum saxatile humillimum, foliis dentisissime compactis", with Haller's polynomial.

Haller (1742) made an original and fairly complete description of the taxon, whereas Linnaeus made a much shorter one. From both authors we learn that this plant has very short, oval, hirsute, and imbricated leaves ("folia brevissima, ovalia, imbricatim se contegunt [...] tota hirsuta") with white flowers ("floris [...] lactea"). With regard to specimens of this species occurring in the locus classicus provided by Haller (i.e. Gemmi pass), two other relevant features are striking: the dense cushion form, with exclusively simple leaf trichomes. *Original material

Original material used by Linnaeus to write *Species plantarum* (1753) consists in material linked to the polynomials cited in the protologue and in Linnaeus' own material. The original material for the name *Diapensia helvetica* L. is thus composed of:

(a) herbarium material

- in Burser's herbarium (Uppsala): UPS [UPS-BURSER 16(1)-71]! (Figure 5)

- in Linnaeus' herbarium at Stockholm: S-LINN [S09– 35873]! (Figure 6a and 6b)

There is one sample under this name in the Linnaean herbarium at the Linnean Society of London (LINN 196.1). As it lacks a *Species Plantarum* number, it cannot be part of the original material.

(b) illustrations

– Haller's illustration of *Aretia caulibus teretibus, foliis imbricatis, floribus sessilibus* in Haller (1742) *Enumeratio methodica stirpium Helvetiae indigenarum,* 2: tab. VIII (Figure 7a.

*Taxonomic assignation of original material

Both Burser and S-LINN specimens, eligible to be designated as the lectotype of *Diapensia helvetica*, have ramified trichomes and therefore correspond to the *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotype. The sample conserved at LINN, which cannot be interpreted as original material, also belongs to the *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotype (Figure 8). Regarding Haller's illustration, despite the absence of details, the provided locality consists only of individuals belonging to the *Helvetica* morphotype. Additionally, Haller's material, conserved at P (P-HALL 16–051, middle-left material) (Figure 7b), bears the 1731 polynomial (i.e. *Androsace alpina minima*). However, this material seems heterogeneous and may belong to different morphotypes (*Helvetica* and likely *Vandellii-Argentea*).

*Typification

We decided to be conservative about the use of the name Androsace helvetica (L.) All., that is currently used for a species with dense and simple trichomes, exclusively growing on limestone (Pignatti 1982; Aeschimann & Burdet 1994; Fischer et al. 2008; Tison and Foucault 2014; Dentant 2017). The choice is motivated by the wish to avoid misinterpretation of this name in case of complete revision of the genus. Because of the taxonomic heterogeneity of the original material, the only option is to designate Haller's drawing cited by Linnaeus (Haller 1742) as a lectotype. However, in itself, this lectotype is not sufficient to clearly distinguish this taxon from close ones (lack of trichome feature). Therefore, with respect to the article 9.8 of the ICN, an epitype has to be designated. We decided to select a specimen from the de Candolle's herbarium, this botanist being the first one to clearly distinguish the *Helvetica* morphotype (under the name Androsace bryoides) from the Vandellii-Argentea morphotype (under the name Androsace imbricata).

Diapensia helvetica L. (Sp. Pl. 1: 141. 1753)

- **LECTOTYPUS (designated here)**: F. Haller (1742) Enumeratio methodica stirpium Helvetiae indigenarum, 2: tab. VIII (drawing designated by "ARETIA caulibus teretibus, foliis imbricatis, floribus sessilibus"). (Figure 7a)

- **EPITYPUS (designated here)**: France, dept. Haute-Savoie: Dent d'Oche (Alps); collector: J.-M.-F. de Candolle, determiner: A. P. de Candolle; G [G00139541]! (Figure 4)



Figure 5. Zoom of Burser's specimen assigned to *Diapensia helvetica* L. (UPS-BURSER 16(1)-71). The radiate hairs show it to belong to the *Vandelli-Argentea* morphotype (photo: Mats Hertson, UPS).

*Homotypic synonyms Androsace diapensia Vill. nom. illeg. Androsace imbricata Lam. nom. illeg.

(iii) *Pubescens* morphotype (mainly simple trichomes, sometimes Y-shaped; loose cushion; leaves not forming columns; peduncle absent; white corolla)



Figure 6(a). Original material of *Diapensia helvetica* L. conserved at S-LINN (S09-35873) (photo: Arne Anderberg, Stockholm, S).



Figure 6(b). Zoom of Linnaeus' specimen assigned to *Diapensia helvetica* L. (S-LINN [S09-35873]). The radiate hairs show it to belong to the *Vandelli-Argentea* morphotype (photo: Johannes Lundberg, S).

iii.1 Androsace pubescens DC. *Publication place Fl. Franç. [ed. 3] 3: 438 (1805) *Information from the protologue

In the protologue, de Candolle cited with a question mark the Villars *Androsace alpina* var. c (1787). However, the relevant information for the identification of original material is the locality of the collection: "*Cette espèce croît parmi les rocailles dans les Alpes; elle a été trouvée par mon frère, au Mont Saxonet et au grand Bornan près Genève*" [This species grows among rocks in the Alps; it was found by my brother, at mount Saxonet and at grand Bornan near Geneva].

*Original material

Three herbarium specimens:

– France, dept. Haute-Savoie: Vallée du Reposoir (Aravis, Alps); collector: J.-M.-F. de Candolle, determiner: A. P. de Candolle; G [G00139396]!

– France, dept. Haute-Savoie: Le Buet, au-dessus du col de Salenton (Alps); collector: G. F. Reuter, determiner: A. P. de Candolle; G [G00139398]!

- Alps; collector: J. Clarion, determiner: A. P. de Candolle; G [00139513]!

*Taxonomic assignation of original material

On the basis of the precise description provided by de Candolle and on the original material, this name applies to the *Pubescens* morphotype.

*Typification

We here follow an unpublished recommendation of F. Jacquemoud and D. Jordan who let a determinavit on the following sample designate it as the type of *Androsace pubescens*.

Androsace pubescens DC. (Fl. Franç. [ed. 3] 3: 438. 1805)

– **LECTOTYPUS (designated here)**: France, dept. Haute-Savoie: Vallée du Reposoir (Aravis, Alps); collector: J.-M.-F. de Candolle, determiner: A. P. de Candolle; G [G00139396]! (Figure 9)

*Homotypic synonyms Aretia pubescens (DC.) Loisel



Figure 7(a). Haller (1742)'s illustration, selected as lectotype of *Diapensia helvetica* L.



Figure 7(b). Haller's material (P-HALL 16-051) linked to Haller (1742)'s illustration (photo: Cécile Aupic, P).

iii.2 Aretia pubescens (DC.) Loisel
*Publication place
Fl. Gall. 1: 111 (1806)
*Combination based on
Androsace pubescens DC.
*Typification
See under the basionym Androsace pubescens DC. (iii1)

(iv) *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotype (trichomes radiate, giving a grey aspect to the cushion; dense cushion; absent peduncle; white corolla, turning reddish once dried in herbarium specimens)



Figure 8. Zoom of Linnaeus' specimen from LINN assigned to *Diapensia helvetica* L. The radiate hairs show it to belong to the *Vandelli-Argentea* morphotype (photo: Andrea Deneau, LINN).

iv1. Androsace argentea (C.F.Gaertn.) Lapeyr. <u>Publication place</u> Hist. Pl. Pyrénées: 92 (1813) <u>Combination based on</u> <u>Aretia argentea C.F.Gaertn.</u> <u>Typification</u> See under the basionym Aretia argentea C.F.Gaertn. (iv4)

iv2. *Androsace tomentosa* Clairv. *Publication place Man. Herbor. Suisse: 57 (1811) *Information from the protologue

The prologue of *Androsace tomentosa* Clairv. is particularly short, consisting of a four-word description: *"feuil[les] oblonges imbriquées, cotoneuses"* [oblong, imbricated, cottony leaves] with an approximate localization *"les rochers des plus hautes alpes granitiques"* [highest rocks in granitic Alps].



Figure 9. Zoom of the lectotypus of *Androsace pubescens* DC. (G-DC, G00139396) (photo: herbarium of Geneva, G).

*Original material

Clairville's herbarium has been deposited at Zurich. However, specimens are not available for analysis because of important ongoing construction works.

*Taxonomic assignation

Later, Swiss authors such as Gaudin (1828) linked this name to *Aretia tomentosa* Schleicher, as well as to var. γ of Haller's *Aretia foliis ovatis, repandis, scapis unifloris* (Haller 1768, 274), or to *Androsace multiflora* Vandelli. The short Clairville's protologue provides some minor clues, as it refers to a taxon with imbricated leaves occurring on granitic substrate. As Clairville also recognized *Androsace alpina* (as *A. pedunculata*) and *A. helvetica* (as *A. pubescens*), his name *Androsace tomentosa* clearly refers to the *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotype.

Considering the impossibility, during our work, of looking at a putative original material at Z (Zürich, University), instead of designating a neotype that may be superseded if original material is located at Z, we chose to indicate below a representative material of the *Vandelli-Argentea* morphotype that was assigned to that morphotype by de Candolle:

G [G00139428]!: France, dept. Ariège: Laurenti (Pyrenees); specimen named "*Diapensia helvetica* L."; collector and determiner: A. P. de Candolle; (Figure 10) *Homotypic synonyms

Aretia tomentosa (Clairv.) Hegetschw.

iv3. *Androsace tomentosa* Clairv. var. *minor* Gaud. <u>Publication place</u> Fl. Helv. 2: 110 (1828)

Information from the protologue

Gaudin provided a short description: "foliis ovatis obovatisque, caulibus diffusis, tortuosis, floribus saturate



Figure 10. De Candolle's material used as representative material of *Androsace tomentosa* Clairv. (G-DC, G00139428) (photo: herbarium of Geneva, G).

purpureis vel roseis" and an additional comparison with the "var. a", but he did not provide any locality for this variety.

Original material

Gaudin's herbarium has been deposited at Lausanne, but we were unable to access it. However, a sample from Gaudin's herbarium is currently located at P (Paris) (formally in Steudel's herbarium).

Taxonomic assignation

It seems that Gaudin replicated the same mistake as Lamarck. In 1783, while trying to clarify the species he named "Androsace imbricata" in his Encyclopédie (Lamarck 1783), Lamarck showed that he made no distinction between the Helvetica and Vandellii-Argentea morphotypes: "fleurs [...] blanches selon Haller, rougeâtres dans les individus secs que je possède" [white flowers according to Haller, reddish in the dry specimens I hold]. Actually, Haller was referring to living plants he observed in the field, whereas Lamarck just described what he had in his herbarium. Interestingly, herbarium specimens of the Vandellii-Argentea morphotype clearly show that flowers, although white when alive, turn reddish once dried, and this is unique among the four considered morphotypes. Lamarck clearly referred to Androsace argentea (or Androsace alpina?), but not to Androsace helvetica whose flowers remain white once dried.

The linked specimen in Gaudin's herbarium shows the same features: as the specimen belongs to the *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotype, the dried flowers are reddish, but, as seen above, this is not a relevant feature to describe any infrataxon.

*Typification

In order to fix the application of the name, we chose to select a neotype based on Gaudin's material which was available to us, although this material may not be the original. If some material happens to be available at LAU, our neotypification may be superseded by a relevant lectotypification.

Androsace tomentosa var. minor Gaud. (Fl. Helv. 2: 110. 1828)

– NEOTYPUS (designated here): in iugis vallis divi Nicolai;
 Legit: Schleicherey Endres (?) ex Herb. Gaudin in Herb. Steudel,
 determiner: Gaudin; P [P04567676]! (Figure 11)

<u>*Homotypic synonyms</u> *Aretia tomentosa* (Clairv.) Hegetschw.

iv4. Aretia argentea C.F.Gaertn.

*Publication place

Suppl. Carp.: 107 (1806)

*Information from the protologue

In the protologue of *Aretia argenta*, Carl Freidrich von Gaertner provided a long description of specific



Figure 11. Neotypus of *Androsace tomentosa* var. *minor* Gaud. (P04567676) (photo: herbarium of Paris, P).

features: seeds and fruits. He completed it with the origin of his material: "*In alpibus pyrenaicis legit amicissimus Dr. Stromeyer*". This last observer is Friedrich Stromeyer, professor in the medical faculty of Göttingen.

*Original material

The original material used by Gaertner to name *Aretia argentea* consists in:

(a) herbarium material

in Gaertner's herbarium (Tübingen): TUB [TUB022354]! (Figure 12)

(b) illustration

drawings named "Aretia argentea" in C. F. Gaertner (1805) Supplementum Carpologiae, Tab. 198, Fig. 4. (Figure 13, middle right)

*Taxonomic assignation of the original material

Gaertner's sample belongs to the *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotype.

*Typification

Aretia argentea C.F.Gaertn. (Suppl. Carp.: 107. 1806)

 – LECTOTYPUS (designated here): France, Pyrénées Orientales, Canigou; 1801; collector: Stromeyer; Determiner: C.F. Gaertner. TUB [TUB 022354]! (Figure 12)

*Homotypic synonym Androsace argentea (C E Gaertn) L

Androsace argentea (C.F.Gaertn.) Lapeyr.

iv5. *Aretia tomentosa* Schleicher nom. nud. *Publication place

J. Bot. (Schrader) 1801(1): 244 (1802) *Nomenclatural note

In 1802, in his list of Swiss plants to be distributed to colleagues, Schleicher used the name *Aretia tomentosa* without any description. *Aretia tomentosa* Schleicher is thus a *nomen nudum*. From 1802, it is certain that Schleicher distributed herbarium material carrying that name. As early as 1805, de Candolle cited "*Aretia tomentosa* Schleich. Cent. exs. n.22" under is var. β of *Androsace imbricata*.

iv6. *Aretia tomentosa* Schleicher ex Murith nom. nud. *Publication place

Guide Bot.: 53 (1810)

*Nomenclatural note

In 1810, Murith used the name *Aretia tomentosa* Schl. in a list of plants of Valais (Switzerland). He provided a locality (Tsermatten) and a collector (Thomas), but no morphological description. In the other pages of his work where the name is used (pages 16, 29, 30), there is no descriptive statement either. *Aretia tomentosa* Schleicher ex Murith should be considered as a *nomen nudum*.

*Taxonomic assignation of associated material

Material cited by Murith, collected by Thomas at Tsermatten, and carrying the name *Aretia tomentosa*, was relatively well distributed. It occurs in Reichenbach's *Flora Germanica Exsiccata* specimens distributed after the publication of volume 2 of Reichenberg's *Flora Germanica Excursoria*, i.e. after 1832, with the number 257 (P[P04567670] p.p., P[P04567669] p.p., P[P04567817] p.p.,). Additionally, older material collected by Thomas exists in the Blendee Herbarium at P (P04567677). All these specimens are homogeneous and allowed us to assign that denomination to the *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotype.

iv7. Aretia tomentosa (Clairv.) Hegetschw.

*Publication place

In Suter, Helv. Fl. [ed. 2] 2: 408 (1822)

*Combination based on

Androsace tomentosa Clairv.

*Nomenclatural and taxonomic note

In the second edition of Suter's *Flora Helvetica* (Suter 1822), Joannes Hegetschweiler-Bodmer seems to be the first author providing a description for *Aretia tomentosa*. He cited both "*Androsaces imbricatae var. b Dec*" and "*Ar.[etia] argentea Gaertn. et La Peyr.*" as synonyms. The first refers to var β in Lamarck & de Candolle, *Flore Française* (ed. 3) where de Candolle cited a variety of *Androsace imbricata* using the following expression " β . *Aretia tomentosa*. Schleich. Cent. exs. n.22". The second citation links to *Aretia argentea* C.F.Gaertn. (1806) and to *Androsace argentea* (C.F.Gaertn.) Lapeyr. (1813).

Aretia argentea Carpol + 190. Panigou Pyren: orient.

Figure 12. Lectotypus of Aretia argentea C.F.Gaertn. (TUB 022354), allowing by extension a clear typification of Androsace argentea (C.F.Gaertn.) Lapeyr. (photo: Cornelia Dilger-Endrulat, Tübingen, TUB).

Hegetschweiler-Bodmer provided a precise locality "*Ab Tsermatten in Valesia*", and, in addition to a description, a diagnosis separating this taxa from *Aretia helvetica*. This diagnosis clearly states "*furcatis omnibus in illa*" [hairs always furcate in that one]. This binomial clearly refers to the *Vandellii-Argentea* morphotype.

Despite lacking a direct link to Clairville's name, the ascription to Schleicher – as well as the fulfilment of conditions for valid new name publication – implies that we can treat this binomial as a new combination based on Clairville's *Androsace tomentosa* (see Art. 41.4 of ICN (McNeill et al. 2012)), Clairville being the first one to use the epithet "*tomentosa*" in a legitimate way (i.e. with a valid description of the species).

*Typification

See under the basionym *Androsace tomentosa* Clairv. (iv2)

(v) Intermediate and undefined morphotype (furcate and simple trichomes; dense cushion; peduncle absent; white to pink corolla)

v1. Androsace aretia Lapeyr. nom. illeg.

*Publication place

Hist. Pl. Pyrénées: 91 (1813)

*Nomenclatural justification

In 1813, Lapeyrouse created the name *Androsace aretia* as the replacement in the genus *Androsace* of the binomial *Aretia helvetica* L. Considering Art. 11.4 of ICN (McNeill et al. 2012), he should have used the Linnaean epithet (i.e. *helvetica*) as it includes its type. Consequently, *Androsace aretia* Lapeyr. is an illegitimate name (Art 52.1 of ICN (McNeill et al. 2012)).

*Note on usage

This species, despite an illegitimate name and the citation of heterogeneous synonyms (*Androsace diapensia* Vill. and *Androsace imbricata* Lam.), holds the only description that refers to an intermediate morphotype: mixture of simple and furcate trichomes; white corolla, with reddish centre.

These features clearly match those of another taxon, sampled in the Mont Blanc massif (Jordan 2015). However, there is no herbarium specimen nor recent observation mentioning such a morphotype in the Pyrenees. Lapeyrouse considered this taxon as a homotypic synonym of *Androsace helvetica* (L.) All. As a consequence, the features it holds had been more or less forgotten. Additional samplings in the localities mentioned by Lapeyrouse (1813) may lead to clarifying this cryptic taxon and allowing comparison with the Mont Blanc one.

Synthesis of applicable names and described morphotypes

On the basis of this survey, consisting in interpretation and typification of the main names applicable to the various west-alpine cushions of *Androsace*, it is now possible to list the legitimate names suitable for the four morphotypes and to select the correct one. Such a procedure is provided here, for each morphotype.

(i) Androsace alpina (L.) Lam. / Alpina morphotype

Aretia alpina L., Sp. Pl. 1: 141 (1753) Androsace alpina (L.) Lam., Fl. Franç. 3: 641 (1779) Aretia multiflora Vandelli, Fasc. Pl.: 8 (1771) Androsace vandellii Chiov., Nuovo Gior. Bot. Ital. n.s., 20: 21–29. (1919)



Figure 13. Original drawings associated with the first use of the name Aretia argentea (Gaertner 1805).

Androsace multiflora (Vand.) Moretti nom. illeg. Androsace pedunculata Clairv. nom. illeg. Aretia vandellii Turra nom. illeg.

The application of the priority principle points to the utilization of the epithet "*alpina*" in the name of this morphotype. Thus, its correct name when treated as a species of the genus *Androsace* is *Androsace alpina* (L.) Lam.

(ii) Androsace helvetica (L.) All. / Helvetica morphotype

Diapensia helvetica L., Sp. Pl. 1: 143 (1753) Aretia helvetica (L.) L., Syst. Veg. ed. 13: 162 (1774) Androsace helvetica (L.) All., Fl. Pedem. 1: 91 (1785) Androsace bryoides DC., Fl. Franç. [ed. 3.] 3: 440 (1805) Aretia bryoides (DC.) Loisel, Fl. Gall. 1: 111 (1806) Androsace diapensia Vill. nom. illeg. Androsace imbricata Lam. nom. illeg.

In application of priority, the epithet "*helvetica*" should be selected, and the correct name for this morphotype, when recognized as a species of *Androsace*, is *Androsace helvetica* (L.) All.

(iii) Androsace pubescens DC. / Pubescens morphotype

Androsace pubescens DC., Fl. Franç. [ed. 3.] 3: 438 (1805) *Aretia pubescens* (DC.) Loisel, Fl. Gall. 1: 111 (1806) The epithet "*pubescens*" is the only one available for use and, when considered as a species of the genus *Androsace*, this morphotype should be named *Androsace pubescens* DC.

(iv) Androsace argentea (C.F.Gaertn.) Lapeyr. / Vandellii-Argentea morphotype

Aretia argentea C.F.Gaertn., Suppl. Carp.: 107 (1806) Androsace argentea (C.F.Gaertn.) Lapeyr., Hist. Pl. Pyrénées: 92 (1813) Androsace tomentosa Clairv., Man. Herbor. Suisse: 57 (1811)

Androsace tomentosa Clairv. var. *minor* Gaud., Fl. Helv. 2: 110 (1828)

Aretia tomentosa (Clairv.) Hegetschw., in Suter, Helv. Fl. [ed. 2] 2: 408 (1822)

Aretia tomentosa Schleicher nom. nud.

Aretia tomentosa Schleicher ex Murith nom. nud. *Androsace vandelli* auct. plur.

At the specific rank, the epithet "*argentea*" had priority over "*tomentosa*", and, when this morphotype is considered as a species of *Androsace*, its correct name should be *Androsace argentea* (C.F.Gaertn.) Lapeyr.

Conclusion

This taxonomic revision of high-alpine *Androsace* cushions occurring in Western Alps sets a clear basis for application of names and delimitation of taxa, which will ultimately serve to diagnose the new morphological variant of *Androsace* recently found in the Mont Blanc mountain range. This potentially new taxon shares common features with *Androsace alpina* and *Androsace pubescens* and resembles potential hybrids between these two species. Considering the *Androsace aretia* described from the Pyrenees by Lapeyrouse, a likely similar taxon may also occur in this mountain range. The species validity and its potential hybrid origin have yet to be fully validated based on genetic characteristics before proceeding to its description.

The taxonomic elements will also contribute to feedback to The Plant List Project (http://www.theplantlist.org/) (see also Appendix 1).

Acknowledgements

We thank herbarium curators and their staff who provided decisive help in this study: Arne Anderberg and Johannes Lundberg (Stockholm, S), Mats Hertson (Uppsala, UPS), Nicolas Flumeaux, Fred Stauffer, and Laurent Gauthier (Geneva, G), Cécile Aupic and Germinal Rouhan (Paris, P), Mark Spencer and Andrea Deneau (London, LINN), Laura Guglielmone (Torino, TO), Ana Isabel Dias Correia (Lisboa, LISU), Cornelia Dilger-Endrulat (Tübingen, TUB), and Boris Presseq (Toulouse, TLM).

Disclosure statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

This study did not receive any funding.

Notes on contributors

Cedric Dentant is botanist at the Scientific Department of the Ecrins National Park. Specialist of the alpine flora, he is also expert for the European Commission's biogeographical process. He contributes to diverse academic research projects in several parts of the Alps, with a specific emphasis on high-mountain plant species. *Contribution*: general concept and research question, herbarium work, data analysis, interpretation of results, manuscript preparation.

Sébastien Lavergne works as an evolutionary biologist at the Alpine Ecology Lab, located in Grenoble, France. He is interested in reconstructing life history evolution (mainly of plants) in alpine environments, by the means of genomics, community ecology, and statistical modelling. His work involves field work in European Alps, the Andes, and the Arctic. He also sits on several scientific councils for National Parks and Environmental Agencies in France. *Contribution:* research question, manuscript preparation.

Valéry Malécot currently works at the Department of Ecology, Agrocampus Ouest. He is a plant systematist, with a special interest in wild or cultivated woody angiosperms, particularly in *Olacaceae* s.l., and in the genus *Viburnum*. He is also expert in phylogenetic reconstruction, evolutionary history, and history of selection. *Contribution:* herbarium work, data analysis, interpretation of results, manuscript preparation.

ORCID

Cédric Dentant D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4187-5642 Valéry Malécot D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9350-3306

References

- Aeschimann, D., and H. M. Burdet. 1994. Flore de la Suisse. Le nouveau Binz. Neuchâtel: édition du Griffon.
- Aeschimann, D., and H. Burdet. 2005. *Flore de la Suisse. Le nouveau Binz* [Flora of Switzerland. The new Binz]. Genève: Haupt.
- De Beer, G. 1955. "The Dick Herbarium." *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 55 (359): 320–332. doi:j.1095-8339.1955.tb00018.x.
- Boucher, F., W. Thuiller, C. Roquet, R. Douzet, S. Aubert, N. Alvarez, and S. Lavergne. 2012. "Reconstructing the Origins of High–Alpine Niches and Cushion Life form in the genus Androsace s.l. (Primulaceae)." Evolution 66: 1255–1268. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01483.x.

- Chiovenda, E. 1919. "L'Androsace Vandellii (Turra) Chiov." Nuovo Giornale botanico italiano - Nuova serie 20: 21–29.
- Dentant, C. 2017. *Flora verticalis*. Gap: Le Naturographe Editions.
- Fischer, M. A., K. Oswald, and W. Adler. 2008. *Exkursionsflora für Österreich, Liechtenstein, Südtirol* [Field Flora for Austria, Lichtenstein, South Tyrol]. Linz: Biologiezentrum der Oberösterreichischen Landesmuseen.
- Gaertner, C. F. 1805. *Supplementum Carpologiae*. Leipzig: Sumtibus Carol. Frid. Enoch Richter bibliopolae.
- Gaudin, J. F. 1828. *Flora helvetica*. Zurich: Sumptibus Orellii, Fuesslini et Sociorum.
- Haller, A. 1731. *Commercium literarium ad rei medicae et scientiae*. Nuremberg: Sumptibus Societatis. Litteris Joh. Enesti Adelbulneri.
- Haller, A. 1742. Enumeratio methodica stirpium Helvetiae indigenarum. Gottingen: Ex officina academica Abrami Vandenhoek.
- Haller, A. 1768. *Historia stirpium indigenarum Helvetiae inchoata. Tomus primus.* Bern: Societatis Typographicae.
- Jordan, D. 2015. *Atlas de la flore rare ou menacée de Haute-Savoie* [Atlas of rare and threatened Flora of Haute-Savoie]. Turriers: Naturalia Publications.
- Lamarck, J.-B. 1779. *Flore françoise* [French Flora]. Paris: Imprimerie royale.
- Lamarck, J.-B. 1783. *Encyclopédie méthodique. Botanique* [Methodical Encyclopedia. Botany]. Paris: Panckoucke, Liège: Plomteux.
- Lamarck, J.-B., and A. P. de Candolle. 1805. *Flore française*, *3e édition* [French Flora, 3rd edition]. Paris: H. Agasse, Imprimerie de Stoupe.
- de Lapeyrouse, P.-I. 1813. *Histoire abrégée des plantes des Pyrénées* [A short history of plants in the Pyrenees]. Toulouse: Imprimerie de Bellegarrigue.
- Linnaeus, C. 1749. *Amoenitates Academicae [Volume 1]*. Stockholm and Leipzig: G. Kiesewetter.
- Linnaeus, C. 1753. *Species plantarum*. Stockholm: Impensis Laurentii Salvii.
- Linnaeus, C. 1774. *Systema Vegetabilium*. Gottingen: Typis et impensis Jo. Christ. Dieterich.
- Marx, H. E., C. Dentant, J. Renaud, R. Delunel, D. C. Tank, and S. Lavergne. 2017. "Riders in the Sky (Islands): Using a Mega-Phylogenetic Approach To Understand Plant Species Distribution and Coexistence at the Altitudinal Limits of Angiosperm Plant Life." *Journal of Biogeography* 44 (11): 2618–2630. doi:10.1111/jbi.13073.
- McNeill, J., F. R. Barrie, W. R. Buck, V. Demoulin, W. Greuter, D. L. Hawksworth, P. S. Herendeen, et al. 2012. *International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Melbourne Code)*. Koenigstein: Koeltz Scientific Books.
- Moretti, G. 1822. "Flora veronensis quam in prodromum Florae Italiae septentrionalis." *Biblioteca Italiana* 28: 338– 350.
- Pignatti, S. 1982. *Flora d'Italia* [Flora of Italy]. Bologne: Edagricole.
- Schleicher, I. C. 1802. "Plantae Heluetiae quas post impressionem Catalogi detexit." *Journal für die Botanik* 1801 (1): 244–249.
- Schneeweiss, G. M., P. Schönswetter, S. Kelso, and H. Niklfeld. 2004. "Complex Biogeographic Patterns in Androsace (Primulaceae) and Related Genera: Evidence from Phylogenetic Analyses of Nuclear Internal Transcribed Spacer and Plastid trnL-F Sequences." Systematic Biology 53 (6): 856–876. doi:10.1080/10635150490522566.
- Schneeweiss, G. M., M. Winkler, and P. Schönswetter. 2017. "Secondary Contact After Divergence in Allopatry

Explains Current Lack of Ecogeographical Isolation in two Hybridizing Alpine Plant Species." *Journal of Biogeography* 44 (11): 2575–2584. doi:10.1111/jbi.13071.

- Schönswetter, P., and G. M. Schneeweiss. 2009. "Androsace komovensis sp. nov., a Long Mistaken Local Endemic from the Southern Balkan Peninsula with Biogeographic Links to the Eastern Alps." *Taxon* 58 (2): 544–549.
- Suter, J. R. 1822. *Helvetiens Flora. Exhibens plantas helvetiae phanerogamas.* Zürich: Impensis Orell, Fuesli et Socc.
- Tison, J.-M., and B. de Foucault. 2014. *Flora gallica*. Mèze: Biotope Editions/Société botanique de France.
- Turra, A. 1780. *Florae Italicae Prodromus*. Vicenza: officina Turraeana.
- Vandelli, D. 1771. Fasciculus plantarum cum novis generibus et speciebus. Lisbon [Olyssiponae]: Typographia Regia.
- Villars, D. 1787. *Histoire des plantes de Dauphiné* [A history of the Dauphiné Plants], Vol. 2. Grenoble: *chez* l'auteur, Lyon: Perisse, Paris: Prevost.

Appendix 1. Corrections of other synonyms of *Androsace alpina* according to ThePlantList (2017).

- Androsace apennina A. Huet ex Nyman.: this name does not exist. Indeed, Nyman, in Consp. Fl. Eur. (1881), only made a reference to "Aretia apennina A. Huet". Whether he indeed included this taxon in "Aretia alpina L.", he also included "Aretia mathildae" whereas this species is a distinct species. Besides, Huet described Aretia apennina, a mountain range where Androsace alpina does not exist.

Consequently, the name "*Androsace apennina* A. Huet ex Nyman" must be replaced by the name "*Aretia apennina* A. Huet ex Nyman" and considered as a heterotypic synonym of Androsace mathildae Levier.

- Aretia hirtella Nyman: actually Nyman, in Consp. Fl. Eur. (1881), cited "Aretia hirtella Duf.", that is a taxon described by Jean-Marie Léon Dufour. Besides, he included this species in Androsace pubescens, not in Androsace alpina. Dufour described his species from the Pyrenees, where, as seen before, Androsace pubescens has been confused with A. cylindrica (Schneeweiss et al. 2017).

Consequently, the name "*Aretia hirtella* Nyman" must be replaced by the name "*Aretia hirtella* Duf. ex Nyman" and considered as a heterotypic synonym of <u>Androsace cy</u>lindrica DC.

- Aretia ciliata Loisel.: this name is to be changed in "Aretia ciliata (DC.) Loisel." as Loiseleur, in his *Flora Gallica* (1806), clearly referred to *Androsace ciliata* DC., which he renamed in the *Androsace* genus.

Obviously, *Aretia ciliata* (DC.) Loisel. is therefore a homotypic synonym of Androsace ciliata DC.

Appendix 2. Mentioned articles of the International Code for Nomenclature for algae, fungi and plants (McNeil et al. 2012)

*article 9.8:

An epitype is a specimen or illustration selected to serve as an interpretative type when the holotype, lectotype, or previously designated neotype, or all original material associated with a validly published name, is demonstrably ambiguous and cannot be critically identified for purposes of the precise application of the name to a taxon. Designation of an epitype is not effected unless the holotype, lectotype, or neotype that the epitype supports is explicitly cited (see Art. 9.20).

*article 11.4:

For any taxon below the rank of genus, the correct name is the combination of the final epithet of the earliest legitimate name of the taxon in the same rank, with the correct name of the genus or species to which it is assigned, except (a) in cases of limitation of priority under Art. 14, 15, 56, or 57, or (b) if the resulting combination could not be validly published under Art. 32.1(c) or would be illegitimate under Art. 53, or (c) if Art. 11.7, 22.1 or 26.1 rules that a different combination be used.

*article 38.1:

In order to be validly published, a name of a new taxon (see Art. 6.9) must (a) be accompanied by a description or diagnosis of the taxon or, if none is provided in the protologue, by a reference to a previously and effectively published description or diagnosis (except as provided in Art. 38.7, 38.8, and H.9; see also Art. 14.9 and 14.15); and (b) comply with the relevant provisions of Art. 32–45.

*article 52.1:

A name, unless conserved (Art. 14) or sanctioned (Art. 15), is illegitimate and is to be rejected if it was nomenclaturally superfluous when published, i.e. if the taxon to which it was applied, as circumscribed by its author, definitely included the type (as qualified in Art. 52.2) of a name that ought to have been adopted, or of which the epithet ought to have been adopted, under the rules (but see Art. 52.3 and Art. 59.1).