

A review of workplace stress in the virtual office

Jean-François Stich

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-François Stich. A review of workplace stress in the virtual office. Intelligent Buildings International, 2020, 12 (3), pp.208-220. 10.1080/17508975.2020.1759023. hal-03010336

HAL Id: hal-03010336

https://hal.science/hal-03010336

Submitted on 29 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A REVIEW OF WORKPLACE STRESS IN THE VIRTUAL OFFICE

Iean-François Stich

ICN Business School, CEREFIGE, Nancy, FR

Abstract:

Virtual offices give employees the ability to work anytime, anywhere, using information and communication technologies, thereby blurring the temporal and geographical boundaries of work. Workplace stress is thus allowed to spill over from traditional offices to virtual offices, and vice versa. This review article presents key research from work psychology and information systems on workplace stress experienced in virtual offices (interruptions, workload and the work-home interface). It further discusses the main threats faced by organizations and office managers: reduced social interactions, poor communication, and deviant behaviors. Suggestions are also offered to practitioners seeking to design virtual offices in which employees can feel and work well, and to academics seeking to research this phenomenon in a transdisciplinary way.

Keywords: Virtual Place-Making, Occupant Comfort, Human-Computer Interaction, Human Behavior, Flexibility.

1. INTRODUCTION

Office work that relies on information systems and ICTs does not necessarily need to be performed in office buildings. Using Internet and remote access technologies (e.g., virtual private networks – VPN), employees can work anytime, anywhere (Reijula et al., 2015). In 2009, 40 percent of IBM employees were working remotely, which led IBM to give up millions of square feet of office space (Useem, 2017). In 2017, IBM decided to bring its remote workers back to the office. Remote work indeed presents new and harsh challenges for employees and organizations.

The term virtual office describes this condition of being able to work in and out of office and office hours using ICTs (Messenger and Gschwind, 2016). Despite not having geographical boundaries (Richert and Lehvila, 2014), the virtual office is tied to real places, such as the office, home, trains, planes, customers' offices, coworking spaces (Kojo Nenonen, 2017), cafes or public parks (Vartiainen and Hyrkkänen, 2010). The virtual office does not necessarily have temporal boundaries either, as ICTs allow people to work anytime, even outside of office hours.

As will be discussed in this review article, workplace stress that results from these extensions of the boundaries of work is often not specific to virtual offices. Stress originating from traditional offices can spill over into virtual ones, such as when bullying becomes cyberbullying. Likewise, stress originating in virtual offices can spill over into traditional offices, such as when information overload creates work overload. Although studies and reviews on the matter acknowledge these spillover effects (E.g., Dén-Nagy, 2014), most focus solely on virtual offices (e.g., ICTs, email, telecommuting) and rarely discuss nonvirtual offices. Consequently, such research has mostly been conducted within the disciplines of information systems, organizational behavior and psychological stress (Tarafdar, Cooper, et al., 2019), thereby ignoring other disciplines such as architecture and engineering that also contribute to making work less stressful for employees (Clements-Croome, 2015).

The purposes and contributions of this review article are (1) to extend the disciplinary boundaries of research on workplace stress in virtual offices by providing an overview of its state of knowledge upon which engineering and architecture researchers can build (Appel-Meulenbroek, 2019), (2) to review the links between workplace stress in virtual and in traditional offices, and (3) to suggest ways to design both virtual and traditional offices to mitigate stress and nurture wellbeing. The paper uses the "overview of reviews" method (Baker et al., 2014) to achieve these purposes.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the methodology that is used for the review. Section 3 reviews studies on three sources of workplace stress for employees in traditional and virtual offices (interruptions, workload and the workhome interface). Section 4 discusses themes related to workplace stress that threaten organizations and office managers, especially in the context of virtual offices (reduced social interactions, poorer communication, and increased deviant behaviors). The final section provides suggestions to academics and practitioners for researching and mitigating workplace stress in the context of virtual offices.

2. METHODOLOGY

The purposes of this review are to extend the disciplinary boundaries of research on workplace stress in virtual offices, to review the links between workplace stress in virtual and traditional offices, and to suggest ways to mitigate stress and nurture well-being in such offices.

Table 1. Reviews used in the overview.

Themes	Reviews used for traditional offices	Reviews used for virtual offices
	meworks and definitions	
Virtual office		Three generations of Telework: New ICTs and the
	(R)evolution from Home Office to Virtual Office", <i>New Technology, Work and Employment</i> , Vol. 31 No. 3, pp. 195–208.	
Workplace stress	Cooper, C.L., Dewe, P.J. and O'Driscoll, M Critique of Theory, Research, and Application	.P. (2001), Organizational Stress: A Review and ns, SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
Threats for employees		
Interruptions	Jett, Q.R. and George, J.M. (2003), "Work Interrupted: A Closer Look at the Role of Interruptions in Organizational Life", <i>Academy of Management Review</i> , Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 494–507.	Addas, S. and Pinsonneault, A. (2018), "Theorizing the Multilevel Effects of Interruptions and the Role of Communication Technology", Journal of the Association for Information Systems, Vol. 19, pp. 1097–1129.
Workload	Bowling, N.A., Alarcon, G.M., Bragg, C.B. and Hartman, M.J. (2015), "A meta-analytic examination of the potential correlates and consequences of workload", <i>Work & Stress</i> , Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 95–113.	McMurtry, K. (2014), "Managing Email Overload in the Workplace", <i>Performance Improvement</i> , Vol. 53 No. 7, pp. 31–37.
Work-home interface	Ashforth, B.E., Kreiner, G.E. and Fugate, M. (2000), "All in a day's work: Boundaries and micro role transitions", <i>Academy of Management Review</i> , Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 472–491.	Dén-Nagy, I. (2014), "A double-edged sword?: a critical evaluation of the mobile phone in creating work-life balance", <i>New Technology, Work and Employment</i> , Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 193–211.
Threats for organizations and office managers		
Social relationships	Khazanchi, S., Sprinkle, T.A., Masterson, S.S. and Tong, N. (2018), "A Spatial Model of Work Relationships: The Relationship-Building and Relationship-Straining Effects of Workspace Design", <i>Academy of Management Review</i> , Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 590–609.	Raghuram, S., Hill, N.S., Gibbs, J.L. and Maruping, L.M. (2019), "Virtual Work: Bridging Research Clusters", Academy of Management Annals, Vol. 13 No. 1.
Poor communication	Branch, S., Ramsay, S. and Barker, M. (2013), "Workplace Bullying, Mobbing and General Harassment: A Review", International Journal of Management Reviews, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 280–299.	Stich, JF., Farley, S., Cooper, C.L. and Tarafdar, M. (2015), "Information and communication technology demands: outcomes and interventions", <i>Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance</i> , Vol. 2 No. 4, pp. 327–345.
Deviant behaviors	Steven H. Appelbaum, Giulio David Iaconi and Albert Matousek. (2007), "Positive and negative deviant workplace behaviors: causes, impacts, and solutions", Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Effective Board Performance, Vol. 7 No. 5, p. 586.	Weatherbee, T.G. (2010), "Counterproductive use of technology at work: Information & communications technologies and cyberdeviancy", <i>Human Resource Management Review</i> , Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 35–44.
Designing virtual offices for well-being		
Researching well-being in the virtual office	Tarafdar, M., Cooper, C.L. and Stich, JF. (2019), "The technostress trifecta - techno eustress, techno distress and design: An agenda for research", <i>Information Systems Journal</i> , Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 6–42.	
Nurturing well- being in the virtual office	Stich, JF., Farley, S., Cooper, C.L. and Tarafdar, M. (2015), "Information and communication technology demands: outcomes and interventions", <i>Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance</i> , Vol. 2 No. 4, pp. 327–345.	

A number of reviews already exist on workplace stress in virtual offices, but they (1) are published in the disciplines of information systems, organizational behavior and psychological stress, (2) tend to focus on specific sources of stress, such as interruptions, workload, communication or work-life conflict (see Table 1), and (3) are about either virtual or traditional offices.

For these reasons, this paper adopts the "overview of reviews" as a method (Baker et al., 2014). This method leverages existing reviews to integrate findings from distinct disciplines and themes. comparison, a systematic review would not have been adequate given the large diversity of keywords used to cover all themes related to workplace stress. For instance, reviewing workload research would require the use of keywords such as overload, underload, role conflict, and overtime, whereas reviewing the workhome interface would lead to keywords such as work-life balance, work-home work-family conflict conflict. or boundaries.

This overview thus focuses on several key reviews to compare workplace stress in virtual and traditional offices (see Table 1). These articles were selected for being (1) review papers (conceptual, systematic, narrative) and (2) about one of the themes related to workplace stress (Cooper et al., 2001). For each theme, a review was selected for traditional offices and another offices (Messenger for virtual Gschwind, 2016). Themes were further separated between those threatening employees and those threatening organizations. The former corresponds to sources of stress and is discussed in section 3, whereas the latter corresponds to counterproductive coping responses and is discussed in section 4. Finally, section 5 presents ways to address or research these threats.

3. WORKPLACE STRESS IN THE VIRTUAL OFFICE – THREATS FOR EMPLOYEES

This section discusses how virtual offices impact three sources of workplace stress intrinsic to the job itself (Cooper et al., 2001): (1) interruptions, (2) workload, and (3) the work-home interface.

3.1. Interruptions

Time is an essential resource that employees need to meet the various demands they face (Keller et al., 2019). Time is, however, often made scarce because of unscheduled interruptions, both in virtual and traditional offices (Perlow, 1999). In traditional offices, physical proximity increases the likelihood of colleagues spontaneously stopping by (Jett and George, 2003). Although consequences of these unexpected encounters can be positive when they allow crucial information to be shared (Addas and Pinsonneault, 2018), they are mostly negative in that the time lost will have to be (Jett and George, made up Employees can be further interrupted in traditional offices because of background noise and events that hinder their performance and, thus, goal achievement (Brennan et al., 2002; Jett and George, Therefore. interruptions 2003). traditional offices tend to be detrimental to employees' well-being (Keller et al., 2019).

Virtual offices introduce additional ways for employees to be interrupted (Keller et al., 2019). In virtual offices, frequent sources of interruptions include email notifications, incoming instant messages, phone calls and system-generated notifications. Employees tend to interrupted more frequently through ICTs than they are in person (Van Solingen et al., 1998). Even in a quiet office with no colleagues around, one can still be 'tapped on the shoulder virtually' and find this experience as disturbing as a physical interruption (Stich et al., 2017). It is difficult for employees to resist these ICT interruptions, as most leave their email inboxes open all day (Renaud et al., 2006). Although emails are supposed to be

asynchronous, they are handled as they arrive (Barley et al., 2011) and the majority are even acknowledged in under six seconds (Jackson et al., 2001). Employees can then take up to fifteen minutes to reengage in the primary task following the interruption (Jackson et al., 2001). It is estimated that employees lose 28 minutes every day because of such interruptions (Gupta and Sharda, 2008), which increases feelings of work overload (Gupta et al., 2013). In the specific context teleworking, employees can be further interrupted by family members and the need to deal with home demands (Delanoeije et al., 2019). Interruptions in virtual offices have thus been associated with detrimental effects on mental and physical health, such as increased levels of stress (Akbar et al., 2019; Kushlev and Dunn, 2015), risk of burnout, and poorer sleep quality (Barber and Santuzzi, 2015; Hu et al., 2019). As interruptions take time to address, employees may also face increasing workloads (Keller et al., 2019), as discussed in the next section.

3.2. Workload

Workload has long been a source of workplace stress, since well before virtual offices (Bowling et al., 2015; Cooper et al., 2001). It can be stressful either in excess (i.e., overload) or in deficit (i.e., underload, boredom, lack of challenge) (Cooper et al., 2001). In traditional offices, workload can become excessive when time is lost due to interruptions, as discussed in the previous section, or because tasks are more easily given to employees who are visible (Yap and Tng, 1990). Employees affected by Sick Building Syndrome (i.e., those who are seemingly healthy but negatively impacted by office buildings) also tend to experience greater overload, perhaps due to the higher rates of absenteeism (Mendelson et al., 2000). Work underload can occur when employees are forced to stay at the office despite significant downtimes or a lack of tasks or customers (Stock, 2016).

One of the main advantages of virtual

offices is that critical business information can freely flow between employees (Sumecki et al., 2011). However, this mass transmission of information can represent a source of overload for employees.

In a non-virtual office, transmitting a message to multiple recipients requires producing multiple letters or carbon copies. In virtual offices, email 'carbon copies' are done effortlessly, resulting in unlimited and uncontrolled volumes of email (McMurtry, 2014). Emails arrive continuously and continue to pile up while employees are on the move, in meetings or at home (Jackson et al., 2006). Email threads continue to increase in size and complexity with the continuous addition of recipients, tasks, updates and requests (Thomas and King, 2006).

It has been estimated that 29 minutes are spent on average each day reading email, let alone answering it (Jackson et al., 2006). In addition, most employees spend time 'spring-cleaning' their email inboxes (Kalman and Ravid, 2015; Whittaker and Sidner, 1996), as they would do for their desks if letters were to pile up there. As a result, employees have increased feelings that their information load is out of control and exceeds their coping abilities (Dabbish and Kraut, 2006). Dealing with such overload information also tends lengthen the workday and increase feelings of work overload (Barley et al., 2011; Stich et al., 2019a), the risk of burnout (Reinke and Chamorro-Premuzic, 2014), distress 2010), emotional (Mano and Mesch, exhaustion (Brown et al., 2014) and job dissatisfaction (Yin et al., 2018). Additionally, employees in virtual offices are at risk of being 'out of mind' (Navani et al., 2017) and thus left out of important communication, possibly depriving them of necessary information. This phenomenon of information underload can be stressful for employees as information overload (Stich et al., 2019b). Fears of being left out or of not staying on top of one's information load may also lead to the desire to remain constantly connected and available, as will be discussed in the next section.

3.3. The work-home interface

Having to manage the interface between work and life is an important source of stress (Cooper et al., 2001). Traditional and virtual offices differ in their capacity to create and cross boundaries between work and home roles (Park et al., 2011). In traditional offices, employees work at certain places and times. thereby facilitating the creation of role boundaries (Ashforth et al., 2000). However, these role boundaries are also rendered difficult to cross, given that transitioning from one role to another requires significant psychological effort (Ashforth et al., 2000). Additionally, the impossibility of bringing work home creates the risk of having to stay longer at work. Generally, given the scarcity of time, the longer the work hours, the more work-life conflicts that develop (Matthews et al., 2012) and stress (Sparks et al., 1997).

In virtual offices, however, work and home roles are easier to integrate. Unfortunately, this integration allows work to escape office buildings and hours and spill over into employees' personal lives (Diaz et al., 2012). Employees tend to be 'leashed' to virtual offices (Boswell and Olson-Buchanan, 2007) and thus experience 'constant connectivity' and difficulties disengaging from work (Mazmanian et al., 2005).

Generally. studies have shown that constant connectivity makes work last longer (Eurofound and the International Labour Office, 2017). It also interferes with personal and family time (Derks et al., 2015), as employees tend to interrupt personal activities to respond to work demands (Delanoeije et al., 2019). The outcomes include increased levels of stress (Mazmanian et al., 2013), work-life conflict (Derks et al., 2015; Diaz et al., 2012; Matusik and Mickel, 2011; Wright et al.,

2014), emotional exhaustion (Xie et al., 2018), and risks of burnout (Wright et al., 2014), as well as depleted energy (Gadeyne et al., 2018). However, these outcomes tend to be reduced when employees prefer to integrate rather than to segment work and home roles (Wright et al., 2014) or are highly engaged to begin with (Derks et al., 2015).

4. WORKPLACE STRESS IN THE VIRTUAL OFFICE – THREATS FOR ORGANIZATIONS AND OFFICE MANAGERS

The previous section has reviewed three threats that virtual offices create for emplovees: increased interruptions. workload, and difficulty managing the work-home interface. This section now discusses three threats that virtual offices create for organizations and office managers: (1) changed social relationships, (2) poorer communication, and (3) deviant behaviors.

4.1. Social relationships

Some large organizations, such as Yahoo!, Bank of America or IBM, recently decided to bring telecommuters back to the office to encourage social relationships and foster creativity (Spector, 2019). These organizations found that many employees preferred working remotely to working in the office, which they considered a threat to collaboration and morale (Khazanchi et al., 2018; Rockmann and Pratt, 2015).

For certain employees, the office can indeed be an important source of stress. In their eyes, virtual offices can be a way to 'escape' the office and mitigate the emotional exhaustion caused by social interaction (Windeler et al., 2017), office politics (Mann and Holdsworth, 2003), office interruptions (Fonner and Roloff, 2010) and the office environment in general (Smolders et al., 2012). For instance, when employees are not able to claim and customize their workspaces (e.g., unassigned workspaces) (Brown et al., 2005), the virtual office is the last place they can express territoriality. Employees

may thus be tempted to hide away in virtual offices, thereby changing office relationships for the whole organization.

Relationships are first changed for those employees who work in virtual offices, as the experience can be socially isolating (Cooper and Kurland, 2002; Mulki and Jaramillo, 2011; Pinsonneault and Boisvert, 2001). For employees working remotely, being 'out-of-sight' often turns into staying 'out-of-mind' (Marshall et al., Relationships are then changed employees who remain in the office while their colleagues work remotely. They tend to experience decreased job satisfaction (Golden, 2007) and poorer social interactions (Rockmann and Pratt, 2015). They also tend to experience greater work overload, as they have to deal with people who stop by the office (Yap and Tng, 1990), or because they choose to handle tasks themselves (Golden, 2007). They can also feel frustrated since they cannot enjoy the same work-life benefits as their colleagues who work remotely. Furthermore, these feelings of being 'left behind' in the office (Rockmann and Pratt, 2015) are amplified for employees whose manager is the one working remotely (Golden and Fromen, 2011). In such circumstances, subordinates left at the office experience greater work overload, poorer work climate and increased job dissatisfaction (Golden and Fromen, 2011).

Even if all employees were to stay within the office buildings, their dependence on ICT can still result in reduced social interactions (Brown et al., 2005; Mark et al., 2012). Employees can be located on the same floor and yet interact virtually rather than face-to-face, a condition described as being 'alone together' (Turkle, 2011). Studies have found that forcing employees to interact face-to-face from time to time (e.g., through an email ban) reduces their levels of stress and increases both their physical activity and sense of enjoyment (Mark et al., 2012). Overall, virtual offices indeed change social relationships for all

employees, whether in the office or not. This challenges the way organizations manage social relationships within their offices. The next section reviews how virtual offices also create changes in communication.

4.2. Poor communication

Communication is essential to organizational functioning. Organizations thus be threatened bv communication or communication that is destructive to their employees bullying - Branch et al., 2013). On the one hand. face-to-face communication considered to be the most efficient and rich way to communicate (Daft and Lengel, 1986), and prevails in traditional offices. It may, nevertheless, be impoverished in several ways. Face-to-face communication is made more difficult, exhausting and hostile in the presence of noise (Cooper et al., 2001) or in crowded offices (Khazanchi et al., 2018) because of the resources focus. Face-to-face necessary to communication is also difficult to record, making it a prime medium for uncivil, harassing and bullying behaviors (Baruch, 2005).

On the other hand, communication taking place in virtual offices (i.e., computermediated communication) is difficult to master as it follows a specific etiquette (Whitty and Carr, 2006) and requires specific abilities (Wang and Haggerty, 2011). Employees are often ill-equipped and undertrained to communicate in virtual offices (Soucek and Moser, 2010). result is a poor auality communication in these environments overall. Email, for instance, is a common source of conflicts and misunderstandings (Friedman and Currall, 2003). Recipients have to decode messages in the absence of senders, visual cues and opportunities for clarification (Byron, 2008). this impoverished context, messages can be erroneously interpreted, which may result in ambiguity, inaccuracy, overload (Brown al., 2014) or conflict escalation (Friedman and Currall, 2003).

Virtual communication that is particularly poor and disrespectful is further studied under the term 'cyber incivility' (Lim and Teo, 2009). For instance, emails containing profanity, all capital letters and excessive exclamation points tend to be perceived as more hostile (Turnage, 2007). Intimidation and insults are the most common forms of hostility in email exchanges (Baruch, 2005). Cyber incivility has been associated with increased blood pressure (Taylor et al., 2005), stress and stress-related illness (Park et al., 2018), negative affect (Giumetti et al., 2013) and lower levels of energy (Giumetti et al., 2013).

Finally, studies have investigated the spillover of bullying and harassing behaviors in virtual offices under the term 'cyberbullying' (Baruch, 2005). Contrary to cyber incivility, cyberbullying involves negative experiences that are repeated and perpetrated by a more powerful other (Heatherington and Covne. 2017). Research has found that cyberbullying may be even more damaging to employees than traditional bullying (Coyne et al., 2017; Ford, 2013). Indeed, virtual offices make it harder for bullied employees to detach psychologically, as the negative experiences can carry on anytime, anywhere (Coyne et Cyberbullying 2017). has associated with increased anxiety (Baruch, 2005), emotional exhaustion (Farley et al., 2016), mental strain (Coyne et al., 2017), and stress (Snyman and Loh, 2015). Virtual offices thus introduce new ways for communication to become impoverished and for poor communication to spill over. The next section argues that virtual offices similarly allow deviant behaviors to spill over and expand.

4.3. Deviant behaviors

Employee deviance is the serious and voluntary violation of organizational policies, rules, or procedures (Robinson and Bennett, 1995), and creates large costs for organizations (Steven H. Appelbaum et

al., 2007). In addition to bullying, which was discussed in the previous section, employee deviance includes behaviors such as stealing, sabotaging, taking excessive breaks, withholding job effort, or drug and alcohol abuse (Robinson and Bennett, 1995). Such behaviors are more likely to occur in offices where employees are in contact with the public (e.g., serving them alcohol, having to deny their requests, exercising physical control over them) or working alone in the office (LeBlanc and Kelloway, 2002). Employees may also show aggressive behavior in case of territorial infringements (e.g., when their desks are claimed by others - for instance in flexible offices - or when their items are borrowed or moved) (Fennimore, 2020).

In the context of virtual offices, employee deviance is studied under the term 'cvberdeviancy' (Weatherbee, 2010). Although employees may also damage and steal organizational property in traditional offices, virtual offices allow them to damage and steal the virtual property of their employers. Cyberdeviancy includes activities such as stealing data intellectual property, hacking into internal or external infrastructures (Davis and Braun, 2004), hacking into colleagues or supervisors' computers and accounts, downloading illegal content (i.e., piracy), gambling, or accessing pornographic websites (Weatherbee, 2010).

In virtual offices, employees may also be tempted to use the internet available to them for non-work activities, a practice that is called 'cyberloafing' (Lim, 2002). Cyberloafing includes non-work-related internet surfing, personal use of email, and interactive personal activities (e.g., online gaming) (Blau et al., 2006). Employees are particularly at risk of engaging in cyberloafing when they work remotely, as it is easier for them to avoid being caught (O'Neill et al., 2014). counterproductive practices are further amplified by the fact that employees may not find them negative (Liberman et al., 2011) or tend to rationalize them (Lim, 2002). Cyberloafing is, however, categorized as a minor form of production deviance (Weatherbee, 2010) and can even result in being let go (Drouin et al., 2015).

These deviant behaviors can be considered coping responses to workplace stress (Henle and Blanchard, 2008; Weatherbee, 2010). For instance, employees may engage in cyberloafing as a way to emotionally cope with cyberbullying and psychologically detach from work (Andel et al., 2019) or to distract themselves away from stressors they encounter in virtual offices (Tarafdar, Maier, et al., 2019).

5. VIRTUAL OFFICES DESIGNED FOR WELL-BEING

This review has shown that virtual offices have created new threats for both employees their organizations. and Employees are at risk of suffering from increased interruptions, workload, and managing the difficulty work-home interface. The way organizations used to operate in traditional offices is then threatened bv changes in social relationships, communication, and deviant behaviors. This section will now present suggestions for researchers and practitioners based on the literature.

5.1. Nurturing well-being in virtual offices

Most challenges discussed in this review somehow originate from employee behavior. Employees interrupt and send numerous messages (workload) to one another day-in, day-out (the work-home interface). They may hide away at the first opportunity (social relationships), send poor quality messages of (poor communication) and engage in cyberdeviant activities (deviant behaviors). A first suggestion for organizations is thus to train employees and help them be more mindful of their behaviors. Research has indeed shown that training employees can help tackle these challenges. For instance, training employees to use email appropriately reduces not only the trainees' stress but also the stress of people with whom the trainees interact (Burgess et al., 2005; Soucek and Moser, 2010). In particular, managers tend to be the ones who both cause and suffer from these behaviors the most (Waller and Ragsdell, 2012). Helping them understand these challenges is thus a crucial way to build a more positive organizational culture (Derks et al., 2015). Finally, organizations can benefit from policies that explicitly prohibit cvberdeviancv (including cyberbullying and cyberloafing) (Schmidt and O'Connor, 2015; West et al., 2014).

A second suggestion would be to design offices in a way that corresponds to the needs of employees who are also working virtually to nurture their well-being. These employees express the need for "plug and play" workplaces (Venezia and Allee, 2007) where they can drop in to socialize, attend meetings or focus. Informal areas can reduce the isolation of virtual work when these employees pass by the office (Wilson et al., 2008). Meeting spaces need to be adapted to virtual conferencing to gather employees regardless of their locations (Venezia and Allee, 2007). Finally, benefits such as concierge services, childcare or restaurants could further incentivize employees to spend more time at the office (Thompson and Aspinwall, 2009). In a way, this design would make the office resemble a coworking space (Kojo and Nenonen, 2017). Organizations could thus either provide their employees access networks of coworking spaces or create internal coworking spaces that could welcome both their employees external freelancers (Leclerca-Vandelannoitte and Isaac, 2016). Similar to office buildings, virtual offices can also be designed to ease employees' lives and help them cope with workplace stress. Collaboration between office managers and information systems managers is thus crucial. The design of information systems can indeed play a great role in mitigating workplace stress due to technology (Tarafdar, Cooper, et al., 2019). In general, technologies that are complex, invasive and unreliable are considered stressful (Fischer et al., 2019). Office buildings often include spaces for employees to relax and contemplate (Oseland, 2009). Virtual offices could thus also include calming features (Weiser and Brown, 1997) such as help pages, reassuring system-generated feedback, or invitations to take breaks and switch off.

5.2. Researching well-being in virtual offices

implied the aforementioned As in suggestions, research on workplace stress in virtual offices is likely to require collaboration between different disciplines as information systems, such psychology, engineering and architecture (Appel-Meulenbroek, 2019). To research phenomenon on this has remained rooted either in information systems or in work psychology, although inter-disciplinary collaborations emerged (Tarafdar and Davison, 2018). In these collaborations, information systems bring a fine-grained understanding of the implementation and design, use technology, and work psychology brings a deep understanding of stress processes (Tarafdar, Cooper, et al., 2019). Engineering and architecture could provide further employees' knowledge of use enjoyment of space, of the design of spaces adapted to new ways of working (Kojo and Nenonen, 2017), and of the design of spaces fostering employees' well-being (Clements-Croome, 2015).

The previous section has presented ways in which organizations can nurture well-being and mitigate stress. Research on the potential of virtual offices to create well-being or eustress is currently lacking but needed (Tarafdar, Cooper, et al., 2019). Research on workplace stress in virtual offices is also likely to require a more subtle definition of the boundaries of virtuality. This review relied on the

framework of Messenger and Gschwind (2016) to distinguish virtual offices from home and mobile offices. Future research or reviews can also rely on the concept of 'virtual work' (Gibson and Gibbs, 2006; Raghuram et al., 2019) that banishes temporal and geographical boundaries at the cost of technology dependence. Finally, overlaps between virtual and traditional offices may need to be addressed to account for when employees work virtually yet together in office buildings.

In summary, virtual offices create new ways for workplace stress to threaten employees and their organizations. These threats are, however, addressable, for instance, through design and training. This paper has provided an overview of findings information from systems and organizational behavior on workplace stress in virtual and traditional offices. This overview was conducted in a way that emphasized the roles of workplaces such as offices and home offices, with the goal of welcoming architecture and engineering researchers into this investigation. Although the focus of this review was on workplace stress - a negative phenomenon, one should not forget that virtual offices also have the potential to challenge employees positively (Tarafdar, Cooper, et al., 2019) and to thus be turned into places where employees can both feel and perform well.

REFERENCES

- Addas, S. and Pinsonneault, A. (2018), "Theorizing the Multilevel Effects of Interruptions and the Role of Communication Technology", Journal of the Association for Information Systems, Vol. 19, pp. 1097–1129.
- Akbar, F., Bayraktaroglu, A.E. and Buddharaju, P. (2019), "Email Makes You Sweat: Examining Email Interruptions and Stress with Thermal Imaging", presented at the CHI 2019, Glasgow, Scotland, UK.
- Andel, S.A., Kessler, S.R., Pindek, S., Kleinman, G. and Spector, P.E. (2019), "Is cyberloafing more complex than we originally thought?

- Cyberloafing as a coping response to workplace aggression exposure", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 101, pp. 124–130.
- Appel-Meulenbroek, R. (2019), "Transdisciplinary workplace research; an essential network of disciplines", Intelligent Buildings International, Vol. 11 No. 3–4, pp. 128–130.
- Ashforth, B.E., Kreiner, G.E. and Fugate, M. (2000), "All in a day's work: Boundaries and micro role transitions", Academy of Management Review, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 472–491.
- Baker, P.R.A., Costello, J.T., Dobbins, M. and B. Waters, E. (2014), "The benefits and challenges of conducting an overview of systematic reviews in public health: a focus on physical activity", Journal of Public Health, Vol. 36 No. 3, pp. 517–521.
- Barber, L.K. and Santuzzi, A.M. (2015), "Please Respond ASAP: Workplace Telepressure and Employee Recovery", Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 172–189.
- Barley, S.R., Meyerson, D.E. and Grodal, S. (2011), "Email as a Source and Symbol of Stress", Organization Science, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 887–906.
- Baruch, Y. (2005), "Bullying on the net: adverse behavior on e-mail and its impact", Information & Management, Vol. 42 No. 2, pp. 361–371.
- Blau, G., Yang, Y. and Ward-Cook, K. (2006), "Testing a measure of cyberloafing", Journal of Allied Health, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 9–17.
- Boswell, W.R. and Olson-Buchanan, J.B. (2007), "The use of communication technologies after hours: The role of work attitudes and work-life conflict", Journal of Management, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 592–610.
- Bowling, N.A., Alarcon, G.M., Bragg, C.B. and Hartman, M.J. (2015), "A meta-analytic examination of the potential correlates and consequences of workload", Work & Stress, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 95–113.
- Branch, S., Ramsay, S. and Barker, M. (2013), "Workplace Bullying, Mobbing and General Harassment: A Review", International Journal of Management Reviews, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 280–299.
- Brennan, A., Chugh, J.S. and Kline, T. (2002), "Traditional versus Open Office Design: A Longitudinal Field Study", Environment and Behavior, Vol. 34 No. 3, pp. 279–299.
- Brown, G., Lawrence, T.B. and Robinson, S.L. (2005),

- "Territoriality in Organizations", Academy of Management Review, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 577–594.
- Brown, R., Duck, J. and Jimmieson, N. (2014), "E-mail in the Workplace: The Role of Stress Appraisals and Normative Response Pressure in the Relationship Between E-mail Stressors and Employee Strain", International Journal of Stress Management, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 325–347.
- Burgess, A., Jackson, T.W. and Edwards, J. (2005), "Email training significantly reduces email defects", International Journal of Information Management, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 71–83.
- Byron, K. (2008), "Carrying Too Heavy a Load? The Communication and Miscommunication of Emotion by Email", Academy of Management Review, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 309– 327
- Clements-Croome, D. (2015), "Creative and productive workplaces: a review", Intelligent Buildings International, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 164–183.
- Cooper, C.D. and Kurland, N.B. (2002), "Telecommuting, professional isolation, and employee development in public and private organizations", Journal of Organizational Behavior, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 511–532.
- Cooper, C.L., Dewe, P.J. and O'Driscoll, M.P. (2001), Organizational Stress: A Review and Critique of Theory, Research, and Applications, SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Coyne, I., Farley, S., Axtell, C., Sprigg, C., Best, L. and Kwok, O. (2017), "Understanding the relationship between experiencing workplace cyberbullying, employee mental and strain job satisfaction: а dysempowerment approach", The International Journal of Human Resource Management, Vol. 28 No. 7, pp. 945–972.
- Dabbish, L.A. and Kraut, R.E. (2006), "Email overload at work: an analysis of factors associated with email strain", Proceedings of the 2006 20th Anniversary Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, ACM, Banff, Alberta, Canada, pp. 431–440.
- Daft, R.L. and Lengel, R.H. (1986), "Organizational Information Requirements, Media Richness and Structural Design", Management Science, Vol. 32 No. 5, pp. 554–571.
- Davis, H.E. and Braun, R.L. (2004), "Computer Fraud: Analyzing Perpetrators and

- Methods", The CPA Journal, Vol. 74 No. 7, pp. 56–59.
- Delanoeije, J., Verbruggen, M. and Germeys, L. (2019), "Boundary role transitions: A dayto-day approach to explain the effects of home-based telework on work-to-home conflict and home-to-work conflict", Human Relations, p. 0018726718823071.
- Dén-Nagy, I. (2014), "A double-edged sword?: a critical evaluation of the mobile phone in creating work-life balance", New Technology, Work and Employment, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 193–211.
- Derks, D., van Duin, D., Tims, M. and Bakker, A.B. (2015), "Smartphone use and work-home interference: The moderating role of social norms and employee work engagement", Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, Vol. 88 No. 1, pp. 155–177.
- Diaz, I., Chiaburu, D.S., Zimmerman, R.D. and Boswell, W.R. (2012), "Communication technology: Pros and cons of constant connection to work", Journal of Vocational Behavior, Vol. 80 No. 2, pp. 500–508.
- Drouin, M., O'Connor, K.W., Schmidt, G.B. and Miller, D.A. (2015), "Facebook fired: Legal perspectives and young adults' opinions on the use of social media in hiring and firing decisions", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 46, pp. 123–128.
- Eurofound and the International Labour Office. (2017), Working Anytime, Anywhere: The Effects on the World of Work, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, and the International Labour Office, Geneva.
- Farley, S., Coyne, I., Axtell, C. and Sprigg, C. (2016), "Design, development and validation of a workplace cyberbullying measure, the WCM", Work & Stress, Vol. 30 No. 4, pp. 293–317.
- Fennimore, A. (2020), "That's my stapler: vulnerable narcissists and organizational territoriality", Management Research Review, Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 411–425.
- Fischer, T., Pehböck, A. and Riedl, R. (2019), "Is the Technostress Creators Inventory Still an Up-To-Date Measurement Instrument? Results of a Large-Scale Interview Study", presented at the 14th International Conference on Wirtschaftsinformatik, Siegen, Germany, February.
- Fonner, K.L. and Roloff, M.E. (2010), "Why Teleworkers are More Satisfied with Their Jobs than are Office-Based Workers: When

- Less Contact is Beneficial", Journal of Applied Communication Research, Vol. 38 No. 4, pp. 336–361.
- Ford, D.P. (2013), "Virtual harassment: media characteristics' role in psychological health", Journal of Managerial Psychology, Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 408–428.
- Friedman, R.A. and Currall, S.C. (2003), "Conflict escalation: Dispute exacerbating elements of e-mail communication", Human Relations, Vol. 56 No. 11, pp. 1325–1347.
- Gadeyne, N., Verbruggen, M., Delanoeije, J. and De Cooman, R. (2018), "All wired, all tired? Work-related ICT-use outside work hours and work-to-home conflict: The role of integration preference, integration norms and work demands", Journal of Vocational Behavior, Vol. 107, pp. 86–99.
- Gibson, C.B. and Gibbs, J.L. (2006), "Unpacking the concept of virtuality: The effects of geographic dispersion, electronic dependence, dynamic structure, and national diversity on team innovation", Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 51 No. 3, pp. 451–495.
- Giumetti, G.W., Hatfield, A.L., Scisco, J.L., Schroeder, A.N., Muth, E.R. and Kowalski, R.M. (2013), "What a rude e-mail! Examining the differential effects of incivility versus support on mood, energy, engagement, and performance in an online context", Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 297–309.
- Golden, T.D. (2007), "Co-workers who telework and the impact on those in the office: Understanding the implications of virtual work for co-worker satisfaction and turnover intentions", Human Relations, Vol. 60 No. 11, pp. 1641–1667.
- Golden, T.D. and Fromen, A. (2011), "Does it matter where your manager works? Comparing managerial work mode (traditional, telework, virtual) across subordinate work experiences and outcomes", Human Relations, Vol. 64 No. 11, pp. 1451–1475.
- Gupta, A., Li, H. and Sharda, R. (2013), "Should I send this message? Understanding the impact of interruptions, social hierarchy and perceived task complexity on user performance and perceived workload", Decision Support Systems, Vol. 55 No. 1, pp. 135–145.
- Gupta, A. and Sharda, R. (2008), "SIMONE: A Simulator for Interruptions and Message Overload in Network Environments", International Journal of Simulation and

- Process Modelling, Vol. 4 No. 3–4, pp. 237–247.
- Heatherington, W. and Coyne, I. (2017), "Understanding individual experiences of cyberbullying encountered through work", International Journal of Organization Theory & Behavior, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 163–192.
- Henle, C.A. and Blanchard, A.L. (2008), "The Interaction of Work Stressors and Organizational Sanctions on Cyberloafing", Journal of Managerial Issues, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 383–400.
- Hu, X., Santuzzi, A.M. and Barber, L.K. (2019), "Disconnecting to Detach: The Role of Impaired Recovery in Negative Consequences of Workplace Telepressure", Revista de Psicología Del Trabajo y de Las Organizaciones, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 9–15.
- Jackson, T.W., Burgess, A. and Edwards, J. (2006), "A simple approach to improving email communication", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 49 No. 6, pp. 107–109.
- Jackson, T.W., Dawson, R. and Wilson, D. (2001), "The cost of email interruption", Journal of Systems and Information Technology, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 81–92.
- Jett, Q.R. and George, J.M. (2003), "Work Interrupted: A Closer Look at the Role of Interruptions in Organizational Life", Academy of Management Review, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 494–507.
- Kalman, Y.M. and Ravid, G. (2015), "Filing, piling, and everything in between: The dynamics of E-mail inbox management", Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, Vol. 66 No. 12, pp. 2540–2552.
- Keller, A.C., Meier, L.L., Elfering, A. and Semmer, N.K. (2019), "Please wait until I am done! Longitudinal effects of work interruptions on employee well-being", Work & Stress, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 1–20.
- Khazanchi, S., Sprinkle, T.A., Masterson, S.S. and Tong, N. (2018), "A Spatial Model of Work Relationships: The Relationship-Building and Relationship-Straining Effects of Workspace Design", Academy of Management Review, Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 590–609.
- Kojo, I. and Nenonen, S. (2017), "Evolution of coworking places: drivers and possibilities", Intelligent Buildings International, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 164–175.
- Kushlev, K. and Dunn, E.W. (2015), "Checking email less frequently reduces stress", Computers

- in Human Behavior, Vol. 43, pp. 220-228.
- LeBlanc, M.M. and Kelloway, E.K. (2002), "Predictors and outcomes of workplace violence and aggression.", Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol. 87 No. 3, pp. 444–453.
- Leclercq-Vandelannoitte, A. and Isaac, H. (2016), "The new office: how coworking changes the work concept", Journal of Business Strategy, Vol. 37 No. 6, pp. 3–9.
- Liberman, B., Seidman, G., McKenna, K.Y. and Buffardi, L.E. (2011), "Employee job attitudes and organizational characteristics as predictors of cyberloafing", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 27 No. 6, pp. 2192–2199.
- Lim, V.K. (2002), "The IT way of loafing on the job: cyberloafing, neutralizing and organizational justice", Journal of Organizational Behavior, Vol. 23 No. 5, pp. 675–694.
- Lim, V.K.G. and Teo, T.S.H. (2009), "Mind your Emanners: Impact of cyber incivility on employees' work attitude and behavior", Information & Management, Vol. 46 No. 8, pp. 419–425.
- Mann, S. and Holdsworth, L. (2003), "The psychological impact of teleworking: stress, emotions and health", New Technology, Work & Employment, Vol. 18 No. 3, p. 196.
- Mano, R.S. and Mesch, G.S. (2010), "E-mail characteristics, work performance and distress", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 61–69.
- Mark, G., Voida, S. and Cardello, A. (2012), "A pace not dictated by electrons: an empirical study of work without email", Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, ACM, pp. 555–564.
- Marshall, G.W., Michaels, C.E. and Mulki, J.P. (2007), "Workplace isolation: Exploring the construct and its measurement", Psychology and Marketing, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 195–223.
- Matthews, R.A., Swody, C.A. and Barnes-Farrell, J.L. (2012), "Work Hours and Work-Family Conflict: The Double-edged Sword of Involvement in Work and Family: Work and Family Involvement", Stress and Health, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 234–247.
- Matusik, S.F. and Mickel, A.E. (2011), "Embracing or embattled by converged mobile devices? Users' experiences with a contemporary connectivity technology", Human Relations, Vol. 64 No. 8, pp. 1001–1030.

- Mazmanian, M., Orlikowski, W.J. and Yates, J. (2005), "Crackberries: The social implications of ubiquitous wireless e-mail devices", in Sørensen, C., Yoo, Y., Lyytinen, K. and DeGross, J. (Eds.), Designing Ubiquitous Information Environments: Socio-Technical Issues and Challenges, Springer, New York, pp. 337–343.
- Mazmanian, M., Orlikowski, W.J. and Yates, J. (2013), "The Autonomy Paradox: The Implications of Mobile Email Devices for Knowledge Professionals", Organization Science, Vol. 24 No. 5, pp. 1337–1357.
- McMurtry, K. (2014), "Managing Email Overload in the Workplace", Performance Improvement, Vol. 53 No. 7, pp. 31–37.
- Mendelson, M.B., Catano, V.M. and Kelloway, K. (2000), "The role of stress and social support in Sick Building Syndrome", Work & Stress, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 137–155.
- Messenger, J.C. and Gschwind, L. (2016), "Three generations of Telework: New ICTs and the (R)evolution from Home Office to Virtual Office", New Technology, Work and Employment, Vol. 31 No. 3, pp. 195–208.
- Mulki, J.P. and Jaramillo, F. (2011), "Workplace isolation: salespeople and supervisors in USA", International Journal of Human Resource Management, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 902–923.
- Nayani, R.J., Nielsen, K., Daniels, K., Donaldson-Feilder, E.J. and Lewis, R.C. (2017), "Out of sight and out of mind? A literature review of occupational safety and health leadership and management of distributed workers", Work & Stress, Vol. 0 No. 0, pp. 1–23.
- O'Neill, T.A., Hambley, L.A. and Bercovich, A. (2014), "Prediction of cyberslacking when employees are working away from the office", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 34, pp. 291–298.
- Oseland, N. (2009), "The impact of psychological needs on office design", Journal of Corporate Real Estate, Vol. 11 No. 4, pp. 244–254.
- Park, Y., Fritz, C. and Jex, S.M. (2011), "Relationships between work-home segmentation and psychological detachment from work: The role of communication technology use at home.", Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 457–467.
- Park, Y., Fritz, C. and Jex, S.M. (2018), "Daily Cyber Incivility and Distress The Moderating Roles of Resources at Work and Home",

- Journal of Management, Vol. 44 No. 7, pp. 2535–2557.
- Perlow, L.A. (1999), "The Time Famine: Toward a Sociology of Work Time", Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 44 No. 1, p. 57.
- Pinsonneault, A. and Boisvert, M. (2001), "The Impacts of Telecommuting on Organizations and Individuals: A Review of the Literature", in Johnson, N.J. (Ed.), Telecommuting and Virtual Offices: Issues and Opportunities, Idea Group Publishing, Hershey, PA, pp. 163–185.
- Raghuram, S., Hill, N.S., Gibbs, J.L. and Maruping, L.M. (2019), "Virtual Work: Bridging Research Clusters", Academy of Management Annals, Vol. 13 No. 1, available at:https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2017.00 20.
- Reijula, K., Lahtinen, M. and Ruohomäki, V. (2015), "Workspaces are 'intelligent' if they can promote health and well-being", Intelligent Buildings International, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 161–163.
- Reinke, K. and Chamorro-Premuzic, T. (2014), "When email use gets out of control: Understanding the relationship between personality and email overload and their impact on burnout and work engagement", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 36, pp. 502–509.
- Renaud, K., Ramsay, J. and Hair, M. (2006), "'You've Got E-Mail!' ... Shall I Deal With It Now? Electronic Mail From the Recipient's Perspective", International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, Vol. 21 No. 3, pp. 313–332.
- Richert, E. and Lehvila, M. (2014), "Well-being in a network of places", Intelligent Buildings International, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 186–197.
- Robinson, S.L. and Bennett, R.J. (1995), "A Typology Of Deviant Workplace Behaviors: A Multidimensional Scaling Study", Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 38 No. 2, pp. 555–572.
- Rockmann, K.W. and Pratt, M.G. (2015), "Contagious Offsite Work and the Lonely Office: The Unintended Consequences of Distributed Work", Academy of Management Discoveries, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 150–164.
- Schmidt, G.B. and O'Connor, K.W. (2015), "Fired for Facebook: Using NLRB guidance to craft appropriate social media policies", Business Horizons, Vol. 58 No. 5, pp. 571–579.
- Smolders, K.C.H.J., de Kort, Y.A.W., Tenner, A.D. and Kaiser, F.G. (2012), "Need for recovery in

- offices: Behavior-based assessment", Journal of Environmental Psychology, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 126–134.
- Snyman, R. and Loh, J. (M. I.). (2015), "Cyberbullying at work: The mediating role of optimism between cyberbullying and job outcomes", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 53, pp. 161–168.
- Soucek, R. and Moser, K. (2010), "Coping with information overload in email communication: Evaluation of a training intervention", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 1458–1466.
- Sparks, K., Cooper, C.L., Fried, Y. and Shirom, A. (1997), "The effects of working hours on health: A meta-analytic review", Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, Vol. 70 No. 4, pp. 292–314.
- Spector, N. (2019), "Why Are Big Companies Calling Their Remote Workers Back to the Office?", NBC News, 27 July, available at: https://www.nbcnews.com/business/business-news/why-are-big-companies-calling-their-remote-workers-back-office-n787101 (accessed 16 December 2019).
- Steven H. Appelbaum, Giulio David Iaconi and Albert Matousek. (2007), "Positive and negative deviant workplace behaviors: causes, impacts, and solutions", Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Effective Board Performance, Vol. 7 No. 5, p. 586.
- Stich, J.-F., Tarafdar, M., Cooper, C.L. and Stacey, P. (2017), "Workplace stress from actual and desired computer-mediated communication use: a multi-method study", New Technology, Work and Employment, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 84–100.
- Stich, J.-F., Tarafdar, M., Stacey, P. and Cooper, C.L. (2019a), "E-mail load, workload stress and desired e-mail load: a cybernetic approach", Information Technology & People, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 430–452.
- Stich, J.-F., Tarafdar, M., Stacey, P.K. and Cooper, C.L. (2019b), "Appraisal of Email Use as a Source of Workplace Stress: A Person-Environment Fit Approach", Journal of the Association for Information Systems, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 132–160.
- Stock, R.M. (2016), "Understanding the relationship between frontline employee boreout and customer orientation", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 69 No. 10, pp. 4259–4268.
- Sumecki, D., Chipulu, M. and Ojiako, U. (2011), "Email overload: Exploring the moderating

- role of the perception of email as a 'business critical' tool", International Journal of Information Management, Vol. 31 No. 5, pp. 407–414.
- Tarafdar, M., Cooper, C.L. and Stich, J.-F. (2019), "The technostress trifecta techno eustress, techno distress and design: An agenda for research", Information Systems Journal, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 6–42.
- Tarafdar, M. and Davison, R. (2018), "Research in Information Systems: Intra-Disciplinary and Inter-Disciplinary Approaches", Journal of the Association for Information Systems, Vol. 19 No. 6, pp. 523–551.
- Tarafdar, M., Maier, C., Laumer, S. and Weitzel, T. (2019), "Explaining the link between technostress and technology addiction for social networking sites: A study of distraction as a coping behavior", Information Systems Journal, p. isj.12253.
- Taylor, H., Fieldman, G. and Lahlou, S. (2005), "The impact of a threatening e-mail reprimand on the recipient's blood pressure", Journal of Managerial Psychology, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 43–50.
- Thomas, G.F. and King, C.L. (2006), "Reconceptualizing E-Mail Overload", Journal of Business and Technical Communication, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 252–287.
- Thompson, L.F. and Aspinwall, K.R. (2009), "The recruitment value of work/life benefits", Personnel Review, Vol. 38 No. 2, pp. 195–210.
- Turkle, S. (2011), Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other, Basic Books, New York.
- Turnage, A.K. (2007), "Email Flaming Behaviors and Organizational Conflict", Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, Vol. 13 No. 1, pp. 43–59.
- Useem, J. (2017), "When Working From Home Doesn't Work", The Atlantic, 3 October, available at: https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/ar chive/2017/11/when-working-from-home-doesnt-work/540660/ (accessed 20 December 2019).
- Van Solingen, R., Berghout, E. and Van Latum, F. (1998), "Interrupts: just a minute never is", IEEE Software, Vol. 15 No. 5, pp. 97–103.
- Vartiainen, M. and Hyrkkänen, U. (2010), "Changing requirements and mental workload factors in mobile multi-locational work", New Technology, Work & Employment, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 117–135.

- Venezia, C. and Allee, V. (2007), "Supporting mobile worker networks: components for effective workplaces", Journal of Corporate Real Estate, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 168–182.
- Waller, A.D. and Ragsdell, G. (2012), "The impact of e-mail on work-life balance", Aslib Proceedings, Vol. 64 No. 2, pp. 154–177.
- Wang, Y. and Haggerty, N. (2011), "Individual Virtual Competence and Its Influence on Work Outcomes", Journal of Management Information Systems, Vol. 27 No. 4, pp. 299– 334.
- Weatherbee, T.G. (2010), "Counterproductive use of technology at work: Information & communications technologies and cyberdeviancy", Human Resource Management Review, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 35–44.
- Weiser, M. and Brown, J.S. (1997), "The coming of age of calming technology", in Denning, P.J. and Metcalfe, R.M. (Eds.), Beyond Calculation: The next Fifty Years of Computing, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, USA, pp. 75–86.
- West, B., Foster, M., Levin, A., Edmison, J. and Robibero, D. (2014), "Cyberbullying at work: In search of effective guidance", Laws, Vol. 3 No. 3, pp. 598–617.
- Whittaker, S. and Sidner, C. (1996), "Email Overload: Exploring Personal Information Management of Email", Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, ACM, New York, NY, USA, pp. 276–283.
- Whitty, M.T. and Carr, A.N. (2006), "New rules in the workplace: Applying object-relations theory to explain problem Internet and email behaviour in the workplace", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp. 235–250.
- Wilson, J.M., O'Leary, M.B., Metiu, A. and Jett, Q.R. (2008), "Perceived Proximity in Virtual Work: Explaining the Paradox of Far-but-Close", Organization Studies, Vol. 29 No. 7, pp. 979–1002.
- Windeler, J.B., Chudoba, K.M. and Sundrup, R.Z. (2017), "Getting away from them all: Managing exhaustion from social interaction with telework", Journal of Organizational Behavior, Vol. 38 No. 7, pp. 977–995.
- Wright, K.B., Abendschein, B., Wombacher, K., O'Connor, M., Hoffman, M., Dempsey, M., Krull, C., et al. (2014), "Work-Related Communication Technology Use Outside of

- Regular Work Hours and Work Life Conflict The Influence of Communication Technologies on Perceived Work Life Conflict, Burnout, Job Satisfaction, and Turnover Intentions", Management Communication Quarterly, Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 507–530.
- Xie, J., Ma, H., Zhou, Z.E. and Tang, H. (2018), "Work-related use of information and communication technologies after hours (W_ICTs) and emotional exhaustion: A mediated moderation model", Computers in Human Behavior, Vol. 79, pp. 94–104.
- Yap, C.S. and Tng, H. (1990), "Factors associated with attitudes towards telecommuting", Information & Management, Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 227–235.
- Yin, P., Ou, C.X.J., Davison, R.M. and Wu, J. (2018), "Coping with mobile technology overload in the workplace", Internet Research, Vol. 28 No. 5, pp. 1189–1212.