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#### Abstract

Nearly-circular Frozen Orbits (FOs) around axisymmetric bodies - or, quasi-circular Periodic Orbits (POs) around non-axisymmetric bodies - are of primary concern in the design of low-altitude survey missions. Here, we study very low-altitude orbits (down to 50 km ) in a high-degree and order model of the Martian gravity field. We apply Prony's Frequency Analysis (FA) to characterize the time variation of their orbital elements by computing accurate quasi-periodic decompositions of the eccentricity and inclination vectors. An efficient, iterative filtering algorithm, previously applied to lunar orbiters, complements the method and is used to accurately compute the locations of POs/FOs, for a wide range of initial conditions. By defining the 'distance' of any orbit from the family of POs and using the relative amplitudes of the different components of the motion, we can build 'dynamical fate maps' that graphically depict the survivability of low-eccentricity, low-altitude orbits at every inclination, and can be used for efficient mission planning. While lowering the altitude generally enhances the effect of tesseral and sectorial gravity harmonics, we find this to have less consequence for low altitude Martian satellites, in contrast with the Lunar case. Hence, a high-degree ( $\left.\simeq 20^{\text {th }}\right)$ axisymmetric model is adequate for preliminary mission design at moderate altitudes, but should be complemented at low altitudes by the methods described here. All families of POs and their spectral decompositions can be accurately and effectively computed by continuation in arbitrarily complex Martian gravity models, as our filtering algorithm requires only short integration arcs.
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## 1. Introduction

Efficient design of satellite survey missions is typically based on a set of requirements and operational constraints. For example, the orbital geometry must be suitable for the mission at hand (e.g. low-altitude, near-circular, polar orbit for global surveys), while orbital variations due to the gravitational field of the target body (primary) be such that a reasonable lifetime is ensured, with minimal active control. The complexity of the gravitational field of realistic solar system objects does not necessarily facilitate this task.

Satellite motion around non-spherical bodies has been extensively studied, starting from the axisymmetric ' $J_{2}$ problem' (see Allan (1970); Hughes (1981); Coffey et al. (1986); Jupp (1988)), where the only non-Keplerian potential term is the lowest-order zonal one. The general 'zonal problem' - in which the disturbing function contains only zonal harmonics of arbitrary order - can be cast into a one degree-of-freedom (d.o.f.) problem, if averaged over the mean motion of the satellite (i.e. ignoring possible tesseral

[^0]resonances; see Kaula (1966) and De Saedeleer (2005) for a closed-form theory), such that the mean semimajor axis $a$ of the satellite becomes a constant of motion. The fixed points of this integrable dynamical system are the well-known Frozen Orbits (FOs), for which also the inclination $i$, the argument of pericenter ( $\omega$, or $g$ in the usual notation of Delaunay variables) and the eccentricity $e$ all become stationary. The right ascension of the ascending node (nodal longitude, $\Omega$, or $h$ in Delaunay form) precesses at constant rate. Irrespective of altitude, FOs have $g= \pm 90^{\circ}$ and, for low eccentricities and high inclinations, $e \sim \mathcal{O}\left(J_{3} / 2 J_{2} \sin i\right)$, to a first approximation (Coffey et al., 1994). For an extended discussion on higher degree/order approximations to FOs, the reader is referred to Gurfil and Lara (2013), Lara (2018) or the textbook by Gurfil and Seidelmann (2016). For analytical models of FOs in Lunar orbiter problems, the reader is referred to the works of San-Juan et al. (2008) Abad et al. (2009) and Lara et al. (2009). Knežević and Milani (1998) also developed a canonical analytical theory for the high-order axisymmetric Lunar problem, while Delsate et al. (2010) applied the theory to Mercurian satellites.

If the primary's potential has a relatively significant deviation from axial symmetry - as is the case for the Moon - it may be necessary to use models of higher
complexity to adequately describe secular satellite motion. Non-axisymmetric potential terms add a second degree of freedom in the averaged problem and hence FOs with all four elements ( $a, e, i, g$ ) being constant do not generally exist. Instead, Periodic Orbits (POs) of this 2-d.o.f. system can be found, for which $i, e$ and $g$ are not constant but suffer only low-amplitude, fast-periodic variations (see Tzirti et al. (2010)), being however free from "slow", secular variations. Note that one can also define Frozen-onaverage orbits in tesseral potentials, removing $h$-periodic terms through a canonical transformation, as in Garfinkel (1965) for low-Earth orbits. As shown in Lara et al. (2013), inverting the generating function to re-introduce the shortperiod terms can be used to refine the nominal frozen solution, leading to an $h$-periodic orbit nearly identical (up to the order of the transformation) to the POs defined above.

Because of the near-preservation of orbital geometry and reduced excursions in orbital elements, periodic orbits are interesting for astrodynamics engineering and have thus received special attention (see e.g. Lara (1997) ; Lara (1999) ; Lara (2003); Tzirti et al. (2010) and Lara (2011)). POs would appear as fixed points on a suitably chosen Poincaré section of the 4 -d phase space of the averaged problem and they are in general non-isolated, i.e. they form 'families' that can be found by locating one such orbit and then smoothly varying some parameter (e.g. the inclination) of the system ; this is known as continuation method. A well-established method for computing families of period orbits is that of differential corrections (Deprit and Henrard, 1967). An efficient implementation algorithm can be found in (Lara and Peláez, 2002). The method also gives information on the linear stability of POs, and can be amended by differential algebra procedures (as in He at al. (2017)) for a more realistic propagation of uncertainties. This method has been successfully applied for finding repeat-groundtrack orbits in high-degree models of the Moon (Russel and Lara, 2007) and the Earth (Lara and Russel, 2008).

Recently, in (Tzirti et al., 2014) and (Noullez et al., 2015), we studied low-altitude satellite orbits around the Moon, using a novel frequency analysis (FA) algorithm that we called Prony's method - as a tool for obtaining a global view of the secular dynamics, in arbitrary lunar gravity models. Our numerical FA method is quite efficient, as only short trajectory arcs are necessary for deriving an accurate quasi-periodic decomposition of the orbit; thus it can be applied to large sets of initial conditions. As found by different authors (Lara et al. (2009); Lara et al. (2020)) and confirmed by our own experiments (Tzirti et al., 2014), a high-degree model is necessary to design low-altitude high-inclination orbits for the Moon, and a 'minimal' gravity model should be of degree at least $l \geq 7$ for moderate altitudes and at least $l \geq 9$ for low-altitude polar orbits. Moreover, going to lower and lower altitudes, the POs of non-axisymmetric models become increasingly displaced in $(e, g)$ from the FOs of the corresponding axisymmetric problem, due to the relatively large $C_{2,2}$ and
$C_{3,1}$ terms. The effect of these terms was essentially observed in (Knežević and Milani, 1998), who found that a satellite starting from a low-altitude FO (found in the axisymmetric model) can crash on the lunar surface, when subjected to a complete lunar gravity model, if no orbit maintenance is performed.

In (Noullez et al., 2015) we also presented an iterative filtering algorithm, as a tool that allows efficient computation of the families of POs of the 2-d.o.f problem. The algorithm is not really 'new'; it has been 'rediscovered' many times in celestial mechanics, for example by Couetdic et al. (2010), who used it to locate stable, resonant periodic orbits in two-planet systems, Noyelles (2009) and Robutel et al. (2011) who studied the $3: 2$ spin-orbit resonance problem and 1:1 resonant coorbital rotation, Dufey et al. (2009) who analyzed the libration of Mercury, or Delsate (2011) who studied ground-track resonances around Vesta. All these authors used the NAFF method of Laskar (1990), for the frequency analysis part, which requires a trajectory arc that covers at least a few periods of the slowest-varying term. In (Noullez et al., 2015), we used Prony's FA method that only needs a short trajectory arc to fit all frequencies.

The main idea behind the filtering algorithm is to remove a selected frequency component from the decomposed eccentricity vector - in our case, the slow "proper" mode - to get to a pure Periodic Orbit PO that only contains 'fast' (forced) terms of low amplitude, overimposed on a quasi-stationary solution. These characteristics of the PO decomposition are explained by the theory of Wiesel (2012), which is devoted to the mathematical construction of near-circular periodic solutions and perturbations thereof, applied to reconstructing the trajectories of particular satellites. Despite the different approach and scope, Wiesel's elegant work also provides basis for the convergence of our algorithm : it demonstrates the existence of a single, slow 'proper' mode for near-periodic solutions and how, by subtracting this component from the solution (see Eq. (39) of that paper) one would be led ideally to a periodic solution (plus higher-order terms), with excursions that do not reduce to zero, in general.

Starting from an educated first guess (e.g. the FO of an axisymmetric model), we first compute the orbital evolution for a short time $T\left(\simeq 30\right.$ day $_{\otimes}$ in the case of Mars, studied here, $\otimes$ denoting any parameter specific to Mars) to derive the quasi-periodic decomposition of the eccentricity vector. Then, we remove the slow (free precession) component, thus defining a new set of 'filtered' initial conditions. Repeating the integration for the new initial condition, we find a new orbit that is actually 'closer' to the PO, in the sense that the amplitude of the slow mode is now much smaller than before. As shown in (Noullez et al., 2015), typically after 2-3 iterations, the method converges to machine precision on the PO, for all initial eccentricities, inclinations and altitudes studied, such that the problem observed by Knežević and Milani (1998) goes away.

In the present paper we apply our FA method to analyze low-altitude orbits in axisymmetric and non-axisymmetric models of the Martian gravity field. Studies of 'special' (i.e. Frozen or Sun-synchronous or Repeating Ground Track) orbits around Mars are rather rare (but see the recent papers of Liu et al. (2010), Liu et al. (2011), Liu et al. (2012) or Zhou et al. (2012)), as it is only recently that accurate models of Mars gravity field have been obtained (see Konopliv et al. (2016), Genova et al. (2016) and references therein), so these orbits are still not as well-known as they are for the Earth or Moon and deserve study. We focus on using the iterative algorithm to identify the families of POs and FOs of each model and their differences. We are particularly interested in low-eccentricity POs (or FOs). The importance of such orbits for Mars can be understood by the fact that they are already employed in missions - e.g. the Mars Global Surveyor $\left(i=93^{\circ}, e \approx 0.008\right.$, pericenter altitude 372 km ). Analytical approaches to the study of their dynamics have been proposed by San-Juan et al. (2011), for an axisymmetric model. Here, we focus on the conditions needed to effectively retain very low altitude, namely a 'circular altitude' $a-R_{\otimes}$ less than 100 km , where $a$ is the semi-major axis of the satellite and $R_{\otimes}$ the mean equatorial radius of Mars.

In the following sections we first describe some essential characteristics of our models of the Martian gravity field and recall the essentials of Prony's FA method and the filtering algorithm; the reader is referred to (Tzirti et al., 2014) and (Noullez et al., 2015) for more details. Then, we present our results on the computed families of POs/FOs and the dynamics in their neighborhood. Our conclusions are presented in the last section of this paper.

## 2. Martian gravity field and dynamical models

Let us examine whether the analytical and numerical tools that we developed for the study of orbits around the Moon (Tzirti et al. (2009); Tzirti et al. (2010); Tzirti et al. (2014); Noullez et al. (2015)) can be readily applied to the case of low-altitude Martian orbits. The two bodies in fact differ significantly, both in terms of their main physical properties, but also in the details of their gravitational field. That being said, the main ingredients needed to apply our formalism are similar, namely (a) wide-enough separation of the three principal frequencies of motion, which allow for smooth (i.e. non-chaotic) dynamics, and (b) availability of a very precise gravity field, which allows for an accurate propagation at low altitudes. For the altitude range considered here, between 0 and 500 km , the Martian gravity field dominates over other effects, like the solar three-body perturbation or the atmospheric pressure, which are neglected here.

### 2.1. Characteristic time scales

To study the secular motion of a low-altitude (massless) satellite around Mars, we need to average the disturbing function over the mean anomaly $l$. This is acceptable

|  | Earth | Moon | Mars |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mass $M[\mathrm{~kg}]$ | $5.972 \times 10^{24}$ | $73.48 \times 10^{21}$ | $641.9 \times 10^{21}$ |
| Radius $R[\mathrm{~km}]$ | 6378 | 1738 | 3397 |
| Oblateness $J_{2}$ | $1.083 \times 10^{-3}$ | $204 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.956 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $J_{3}$ | $-2.532 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.999 \times 10^{-6}$ | $31.94 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $T_{\text {rot }}[$ day $]$ | 1 | 27.32 | 1.026 |
| Satellite at body surface $a=R$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{\mathrm{F}}\left(J_{2} \& J_{3} ; R, 90^{\circ}\right)$ | 0.0012 | -0.0245 | -0.0082 |
| $T_{\text {rev }}(R)[$ day $]$ | 0.0587 | 0.0752 | 0.0696 |
| $T_{\mathrm{s}}(R)[$ day $]$ | 18.06 | 123 | 11.86 |
| $T_{\text {rot }} / T_{\text {rev }}(R)$ | 17 | 363.2 | 14.75 |
| $T_{\mathrm{s}}(R) / T_{\text {rot }}$ | 18.06 | 4.5 | 11.56 |
| Satellite at 100 km altitude $a=R+100 \mathrm{~km}$ |  |  |  |
| $e_{\mathrm{c}}(a)$ | 0.0154 | 0.0544 | 0.0286 |
| $T_{\text {rev }}(a)[$ day $]$ | 0.06 | 0.0818 | 0.0727 |
| $T_{\mathrm{s}}(a)[$ day $]$ | 19.08 | 149.5 | 13.12 |
| $T_{\text {rot }} / T_{\text {rev }}(a)$ | 16.6 | 334 | 14.12 |
| $T_{\mathrm{s}}(a) / T_{\text {rot }}$ | 19.08 | 5.7 | 12.79 |

Table 1: Main physical parameters for the Earth, Moon and Mars. Averaging over the mean anomaly fast angle $l$ of a satellite is allowed, provided the mean motion $n_{\mathrm{rev}}(a) \equiv 2 \pi / T_{\mathrm{rev}}(a) \approx\left(\mathcal{G} M a^{-3}\right)^{1 / 2}$ (for non-spherical primaries, this Keplerian approximation to the mean motion should be corrected at least for the oblateness $J_{2}$ that slightly accelerates the revolution frequency; see Murray and Dermott (1999) or Gurfil and Seidelmann (2016)) is much larger than the forced motion angular frequency $2 \pi / T_{\text {rot }}$ due to the primary's rotation. Also, to avoid resonances, the forced frequency and the slow free precession rate of the pericenter $\dot{g}=2 \pi / T_{\mathrm{s}}(a)$ (computed here for $e=i=0$ and accounting only for $J_{2}$ ) should be well separated. Both conditions are well satisfied for low-altitude orbits around all three primaries shown here. Eccentricity $e_{\mathrm{F}}\left(J_{2} \& J_{3} ; R, 90^{\circ}\right)$ is the maximum eccentricity for the location of Frozen Orbits computed in the ' $J_{2} \& J_{3}$ problem' at $i=90^{\circ}$ and at the body surface $R$, while orbits with eccentricity larger than $e_{\mathrm{c}}(a)$ at altitude $a$ will collide with the body surface (note that $e_{\mathrm{C}}(R)=0$ ). Also note the different signs of $J_{3}$ for the Moon and Mars with respect to the Earth.
when the mean motion, $n_{\mathrm{rev}}(a)$ is fast enough and wellseparated from all other frequencies present in the problem: (i) the mean rotation rate $2 \pi / T_{\text {rot }}$ of the primary, which introduces a periodic forcing on the satellite orbit, and (ii) the (slow) precession rate of the pericenter argument $g$. Using the formulae of the averaged ' $J_{2}$ problem' (see e.g. Gurfil and Seidelmann (2016)) one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{g}(a, e, i)=3 J_{2} n_{\mathrm{rev}}(a) \frac{5 \cos (2 i)+3}{8\left(1-e^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{R_{\otimes}}{a}\right)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is as small as $\mathcal{O}\left(J_{2}\right)$. Table 1 lists the three characteristic periods for the Earth, Moon and Mars, and shows that the separation factor between the different frequencies is always in the range $\simeq 12-14$ for Mars. Note that the wide separation of the frequencies ensures that low-order resonances and chaotic phenomena are not present in the motion, and hence a meaningful quasi-periodic decomposition can be found by Frequency Analysis.

### 2.2. Martian gravity models and equations of motion

The Martian gravitational potential can be expressed as a spherical harmonics expansion in the body-fixed reference frame (Kaula, 1966)

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =-\frac{\mu}{r} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R_{\otimes}}{r}\right)^{l} \\
& \times \sum_{m=0}^{l} P_{l, m}(\sin \phi)\left[C_{l, m} \cos m \lambda+S_{l, m} \sin m \lambda\right] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu=\mathcal{G} M_{\otimes}$ is the mass parameter and $R_{\otimes}$ is the mean equatorial radius of Mars $(3397 \mathrm{~km}),(\phi, \lambda)$ are the planetocentric latitude and east longitude respectively, $r$ the distance of the satellite to the center of Mars, $C_{l, m}$ and $S_{l, m}$ the non-normalized adimensional gravitational coefficients, and $P_{l, m}$ the associated Legendre polynomials of degree $l$ and order $m$. For $m=0$ we get the zonal harmonic coefficients, for which we will use the notation $J_{l}=-C_{l, 0}$. To integrate trajectories and use our technique to find lowaltitude orbits around a specific object, these coefficients have to be determined beforehand, either from the perturbations of the orbit of a relatively high-flying first satellite, or from a shape model coming from e.g. radar observations. In this work, we have made preliminary trials using different Mars gravity models of high degree and order, that is models MGS95J and MRO120D, coming from JPL (see Konopliv et al. (2006), Konopliv et al. (2011), Konopliv et al. (2016) and references therein for a description), and Goddard Mars models 2B GMM-2B and model 3 GMM-3, described in (Lemoine et al., 2001) and (Genova et al., 2016).

Of course, increasing $(l, m)$ the model becomes more and more accurate, but also less and less tractable, as the number of terms increases quadratically with degree. Thus, as we did also in (Noullez et al., 2015), we tried to define a 'minimum model' for the purposes of this study, by progressively increasing the truncation degree $l_{\max }$ from 8
to 24 and computing FOs and POs at a few selected inclinations with initial altitude $a_{0}=R_{\otimes}+60 \mathrm{~km}$. This is a procedure similar to the one used by (Liu et al., 2011) for Mars orbits with a minimum altitude of 200 km . Systematic strategies to assess the minimal truncation order, needed to reach a given accuracy for different altitudes and inclinations were presented in (Lara, 2018) for the Earth, and (Lara et al., 2020) for the Moon, always based on progressively increasing the degree and checking the convergence of orbits to a given accuracy. We found the results to vary substantially up to $l_{\max } \approx 20$ (much higher than $l_{\max }=9$ used by (Liu et al., 2011), as we are dealing with lower altitudes), beyond which the trajectories have very comparable secular evolutions; also, for $l_{\max } \leq 20$, all JPL and Goddard models gave similar results. Hence, we decided to adopt the Goddard Mars model 2B GMM-2B throughout this paper, truncated at $l_{\max }=20$ and including all $0 \leq m \leq l$ terms, that we will now refer to as 20DM model. To quantify the effects of non-axisymmetric terms, we also employed a simpler 20ZM model, for which only the zonal terms $J_{2}-J_{20}$ are retained to obtain an axisymmetric degree 20 model.

It is customary to employ the normalized gravity coefficients $\bar{C}_{l, m}$ and $\bar{S}_{l, m}$, and fully normalized associated Legendre polynomials $\bar{P}_{l, m}$, to quantify the relative importance of terms in the above expansion (Kaula, 1966)

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\bar{P}_{l, m}  \tag{3}\\
C_{l, m} \\
S_{l, m}
\end{array}\right)=\left[\frac{(l-m)!(2 l+1)\left(2-\delta_{0, m}\right)}{(l+m)!}\right]^{1 / 2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
P_{l, m} \\
\bar{C}_{l, m} \\
\bar{S}_{l, m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Figure 1 is a graphic representation of the normalized gravitational coefficients $\bar{J}_{l, m} \equiv\left(\bar{C}_{l, m}{ }^{2}+\bar{S}_{l, m}{ }^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for both Moon and Mars. The relative strength of harmonics decreases rapidly with circular altitude $a-R$ and degree $l$, as $(R / a)^{l}$, following "Kaula's rule", i.e. $\bar{J}_{l, m} \sim l^{-2}$. Such figures can be useful for comparing the relative importance of gravitational coefficients between two bodies, but also for assessing which (if any) can be neglected, to some approximation. For instance, for the Moon, $J_{5}$ is much smaller than the 'mean' and likely does not contribute as significantly to the dynamics as other coefficients of both higher and lower degree. Also, $J_{2}$ does not over-dominate the other terms, as $C_{2,2}$ is nearly as large. Coefficients $C_{3,1}$ and $J_{7}$ appear on the other hand abnormally large and, as already noted by several authors (from (Elipe and Lara, 2003) to (Tzirti et al., 2014)), they need to be taken into account for low-altitude lunar satellites. Note, however, that the figure should not be taken at face value, as different terms have different effects, depending on the geometry of the orbit considered and, in particular, higher-degree coefficients are more and more important at lower altitudes and larger inclinations. For example, the Moon's $J_{9}$ that falls right on the 'mean', controls the location of FOs at low-altitude polar orbits, as noted by (Lara et al., 2009) and (Lara, 2011) (this is also related to the very low values of $J_{11}, J_{13}$ and $J_{15}$, evident in figure 1).


Figure 1: Normalized gravitational coefficients $\bar{J}_{l, m} \equiv\left(\bar{C}_{l, m}{ }^{2}+\bar{S}_{l, m}{ }^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for the Moon (left) and Mars (right) shown at the same scale, as a function of degree $l$. Different orders $m$ are shown with different symbols and colors (red, violet, magenta). Zonal coefficients $m=0$ are shown as blue circles, empty o for even degree $l$ and filled $\bullet$ for odd degree. The thick dashed line is the degree mean amplitude $\left[\sum_{m=0}^{l} \bar{J}_{l, m}{ }^{2} /(2 l+1)\right]^{1 / 2}$ as a function of degree $l$, and decays approximately as $l^{-2}$ (Kaula's rule, see Kaula (1966)).

For Mars, the situation is different, as $J_{2}$ dominates the dynamics, although not as much as for Earth. $C_{2,2}$ is relatively large - only 10 times smaller than $J_{2}$ - while it is 2 orders of magnitude smaller for Earth. In general, higher-degree terms and $m \neq 0$ terms are relatively stronger for Mars with respect to low- $l$ and $m=0$ terms, comparing to Earth. Hence, we expect the secular motion of low-altitude satellites around Mars, Earth and the Moon to be quite different.

In the Hamiltonian description, the motion of a satellite in the 20DM model takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{n}=\mathcal{H}_{0}+\sum_{l=2}^{l_{\max }} \mathcal{H}_{l, 0}+\sum_{l=2}^{l_{\max }} \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \mathcal{H}_{l, m}+\sum_{l=2}^{l_{\max }} \mathcal{H}_{l, l}+\mathcal{H}_{n \otimes} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{0}=|\boldsymbol{u}|^{2} / 2-\mu / r$ is the Keplerian part ( $\boldsymbol{u}$ is the satellite's velocity), $\mathcal{H}_{l, 0}, \mathcal{H}_{l, m}, \mathcal{H}_{l, l}$ describe respectively the zonal, tesseral and sectorial gravity harmonics and $\mathcal{H}_{n_{\otimes}}=-n_{\text {rot }} H$ is added when working in a Mars-fixed rotating frame. The Hamiltonian is then expressed in canonical Delaunay variables $(l, g, h, L, G, H)$, where $L=\sqrt{\mu a}$, $G=L \sqrt{\left(1-e^{2}\right)}$ and $H=G \cos i$, and it is averaged over the mean anomaly $l$ of the satellite in closed form. This procedure - which is valid for arbitrarily high eccentricity — is described in (De Saedeleer, 2005) and (Tzirti et al., 2010). Other approaches for constructing the averaged Hamiltonian can be used and, in a recent work by Lara et al. (2020), the use of Kaulas' recursions (Kaula, 1966) is pointed out as the most efficient method. Our symbolic code for this procedure is written in Mathematica and was checked against (De Saedeleer, 2006), (Kaula, 1966) and (Knežević and Milani, 1998). The symbolic code produces FORTRAN expressions that are inserted into a propagation code, which gives the time evolution of $(e, i, g, h)$; we re-
mind that the semi-major axis $a$ is constant in the averaged problem.

## 3. Frequency Analysis applied to Martian orbiters

Frequency Analysis is a powerful tool of time series analysis, and is particularly useful for planetary or satellite orbits, as it provides a quasi-periodic decomposition of the eccentricity and inclination vectors. A (real or complex) quasi-periodic "signal" $u(t)$, is decomposed into a set of $p$ periodic components

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{p} \alpha_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \nu_{k} t} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with (real) frequencies $\nu_{k}$ and (complex) amplitudes $\alpha_{k}$, using a sample of the signal over an "arc" of duration $T$, i.e. a finite number $N$ of discrete values of $u[n]$, separated by a constant time interval $\Delta t$

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u[n] & \equiv u(n \Delta t) & n=0, \ldots, N-1 \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{p} \alpha_{k} \rho_{k}^{n} \quad \rho_{k} \equiv \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \nu_{k} \Delta t} \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

Different ways of computing the spectrum of $u[n]$ exist, as we described in detail in (Tzirti et al., 2014). The most common method is that of computing numerically the Fourier transform of $u[n]$, assuming all frequencies $\nu_{k}$ to be of the form $\nu_{k}=k / T$. The most refined version of this method is Laskar's NAFF algorithm (Laskar, 1990) (see also Laskar (2005) or Laskar et al. (1992)). The main shortcoming of Fourier-based methods is that they are based on averaging the signal, thus requiring $T$ to be (much) larger than the slowest mode that we need to resolve.

### 3.1. Prony's Frequency Analysis method

In (Tzirti et al., 2014) we presented 'Prony's method', which is a fitting method ; this takes away the large- $T$ limitation, so that short signal arcs are capable of capturing long-term oscillations. We repeat here only the essentials of the method and refer the reader to (Tzirti et al., 2014) or (Noullez et al., 2015) for more details.

Prony's FA method is in fact the complex version of Prony's original method for real exponentials (de Prony, 1795) (see a modern description in (Hamming, 1973), (Hildebrand, 1987), (Kay, 1988) or (Noullez, 2009)), used to describe the time evolution of pressure in gas expansion. The main ingredient of the method is that any discretely sampled signal that is a simple sum of $p$ exponentials as in (7) obeys a linear constant coefficients difference equation of order $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u[n]+a_{1} u[n-1]+\cdots+a_{p} u[n-p]=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $p$ complex 'resonances' $\rho_{k}$ are the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{p}+a_{1} \rho^{p-1}+\cdots+a_{p-1} \rho+a_{p}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

of this difference equation.
Prony realized that this gives a method to determine the frequencies, because finding the so-called prediction coefficients $a_{j}$ is a linear problem that we can solve exactly as soon as we have at least $N \geq 2 p$ signal values. To do that, we write equation (8) for $n=p, \ldots, 2 p-1$ and solve the resulting $p \times p$ linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{p} u[n-j] a_{j}=-u[n] \quad n=p, \ldots, 2 p-1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $p$ unknown $a_{j}, j=1, \ldots, p$. Once the prediction coefficients are determined, $\rho_{k}, k=1, \ldots, p$ are found as the (complex) roots of the polynomial (9), using any standard algorithm (e.g. Newton's method in the complex plane), with a precision only limited by numerical accuracy (see Hamming (1973) or Hildebrand (1987)), and the frequencies $\nu_{k}$ are then simply obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{k}=\operatorname{Im}\left\{\log \left(\rho_{k}\right)\right\} / 2 \pi \Delta t=\operatorname{Arg}\left(\rho_{k}\right) / 2 \pi \Delta t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of the definition of $\rho_{k}$ eq. (7).
Once the frequencies $\nu_{k}$ are known, the amplitudes $\alpha_{k}, k=$ $1, \ldots, p$ can be found easily, as the problem is linear in $\alpha_{k}$ and we only need to solve another $p \times p$ linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{p} \rho_{k}{ }^{n} \alpha_{k}=u[n] \quad n=0, \ldots, p-1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Even if the method is in theory exact - save for solving the polynomial (9) - the presence of noise would force us to use a least-squares Prony's method (see e.g. Kay (1988)), and so $N$ should be (much) larger than $2 p$, to allow accurate determination of $p$ frequencies. Hence, the
two linear problems described above become optimization problems that should be solved for increasing values of $p$, until the decomposition matches the signal to a pre-defined accuracy. Note that Prony's method assumes only distinct roots $\rho_{k}$ but, as we move in parameters space, distinct frequencies may collapse, or a frequency may become null (e.g. $\dot{g}$ at the critical inclination). Prony's method can be adapted to include secular drift terms and circumvent these issues, as described in (Tzirti et al., 2014).

### 3.2. Spectral decomposition of orbits

We apply Prony's FA on the two complex variables

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) & \equiv e(t) \times \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} g(t)}  \tag{13}\\
v(t) & \equiv \sin (i(t)) \times \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} h(t)} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. the complex representations of the eccentricity and inclination vectors that determine secular motion. From the point of view of signal analysis, they have the advantage of being continuous when the $g$ and $h$ angles go through $2 \pi$; also, the frequencies measured by FA are exactly related to $\dot{g}$ and $\dot{h}$, and their sign (prograde or retrograde) can be also determined, while analyzing $e(t)$ or $i(t)$ would prevent access to that information, as the spectrum of real variables is always symmetric (hermitian) between $\nu$ and $-\nu$.

Orbital propagation is performed with an Adams predic-tor-corrector scheme, and the vectors are sampled at equidistant intervals $\Delta t$; the sampling time has to satisfy the Nyquist criterion and thus must be chosen smaller than (half) the highest frequency. In the averaged problem, this is the rotational (forcing) frequency of Mars (i.e. the inverse of 1 Martian day), multiplied by $l_{\max }$. We employ a sampling time $\Delta t=0.02$ day $_{\otimes}$ or $1 / 50$ of a Martian day ${ }^{1}$.

The total length of the signal should be $N \gg 2 p$, and also such that the slowest mode must have had the time to vary 'significantly'. In practice, as we found in (Tzirti et al., 2014), we need $N$ to be at least about $1 / 8^{\text {th }}$ of the slowest period. We decided to employ $T=30$ day $_{\otimes}$ (i.e. $N=1500$ points), which allows reaching machine precision for all frequencies and amplitudes, down to $\nu \approx$ $0.004 \mathrm{day}_{\otimes}{ }^{-1}$ for nearly all initial values of $\left(i_{0}, e_{0}\right)$ at given initial circular altitude $a_{0}-R_{\otimes}$. Still, our trajectory arc and data length $N$ is at least an order of magnitude shorter than what traditional Fourier-based methods would need, for the same accuracy.

## 4. Global view of low-altitude dynamics

We wish to obtain a global view of the dynamics, building a frequencies and amplitudes 'map', for a wide range of initial conditions, at sufficient resolution. We restrict

[^1]ourselves to low altitudes, down to a few tens of kilometers. Performing test integrations, we found that the altitude range $50-500 \mathrm{~km}$ has similar dynamics, apart from the percentage of colliding orbits at low altitudes $a_{0}-R_{\otimes}$. Hence, we present here the complete results only for $a_{0}=$ $R_{\otimes}+60 \mathrm{~km}$. All orbits have $h_{0}=0$; this only controls the initial phase of the fast periodic component on the orbit. In a similar way, $g_{0}$ controls the initial phase of $u$. As we want this to be close to a $\mathrm{FO} / \mathrm{PO}$, we set it equal to $g_{0}=-90^{\circ}$. As shown in (Delsate et al., 2010), the position of FOs is controlled by the odd-order zonal harmonics, and $J_{3}$ for Mars has the same sign (positive) as for the Moon, opposite to Earth.

Our FA maps are obtained for orbits starting on a $i_{0}-e_{0}$ grid, spanning the range $0<i_{0} \leq 90^{\circ}$ and $0<e_{0}<e_{\mathrm{c}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mathrm{c}} \equiv \frac{a_{0}-R_{\otimes}}{a_{0}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the collision eccentricity for circular altitude $a_{0}-R_{\otimes}$, for which the satellite will crash on Mars surface.

The quasi-periodic decompositions of $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ take the form (in order of increasing frequencies)

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t)=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \nu_{\mathrm{s}} t}+\sum_{k \neq 0} \alpha_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi k \nu_{1} t}  \tag{16}\\
& v(t)=\sum_{k \neq 0} \beta_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi k \nu_{1} t} \approx \sin \left(i_{0}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \nu_{1} t} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

with basic frequencies $\nu_{0} \equiv 0$ (constant), $\nu_{s}$ and $\nu_{1}$. An example of the decomposition of the eccentricity vector $u(t)$ is given in figure 2 , with the first constant term $\alpha_{0}$ shown as the thick black star ; the second term of (16) is displayed as the dotted blue curve, describing a circle of radius $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ in the complex plane, traveled along at constant angular velocity $2 \pi \nu_{\mathrm{s}}$. The sum in the third term of (16) is represented by the thick red curve that closes itself after a period $1 / \nu_{1}$. The full eccentricity vector $u(t)$ is the sum of all three terms and is shown as the thin black line. with full black circles separated in time by one Martian day. The two frequencies $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\nu_{1}$ are in general incommensurate, so the curve for $u(t)$ doesn't close itself.

Terms related to the 'fast' frequency $\nu_{1}$ are present in both $u(t)$ and $v(t)$. These are forced terms, related to the rotation of Mars and the (slow) regression of $h$ (caused mainly by the $J_{2}$ term at small inclinations), whose rate in the ' $J_{2}$ problem' is

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu_{1} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\dot{h}(a, e, i)-n_{\mathrm{rot}}\right)  \tag{18}\\
\dot{h}(a, e, i) & \approx \frac{-3}{2} J_{2} n_{\mathrm{rev}}(a) \frac{\cos (i)}{\left(1-e^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{R_{\otimes}}{a}\right)^{2} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\nu_{1} \approx-1$ day $_{\otimes}{ }^{-1}$, accelerates only by $\approx 4 \%$ as $i_{0}$ goes from $i_{0}=90^{\circ}$ to $i_{0}=0$ (see figure 3) and depends only mildly on $e_{0}$. Hence, it is practically a function of $i_{0}$ only, for low-altitude Martian orbits. For $v(t)$, only the single frequency $\nu_{1}$ is present, the amplitude stays nearly


Figure 2: Graphic representation of the frequency decomposition of the eccentricity vector $u=e \cos (g)+\mathrm{i} e \sin (g)$ for a Martian polar orbit with $i_{0}=90^{\circ}, e_{0}=0.009, g_{0}=-90^{\circ}$ and $h_{0}=0$, at $a_{0}=R_{\otimes}+60 \mathrm{~km}$. The thin black line is the 30-days integration, and the full black circles, attached to this line, are separated by a time interval of 1 day $_{\otimes}$, showing stroboscopically the slow eccentricity drift. The black star denotes the constant found in the spectral decomposition, corresponding to the Frozen Orbit $\alpha_{0} \equiv \mathrm{i} e_{\mathrm{F}} \sin g_{\mathrm{F}}$, the dotted blue circles corresponds to the (extrapolated with empty circles) proper motion of $g$ (the single 'slow' circular term of frequency $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ ), while the thick red line shows the sum of all the forced terms of the decomposition of frequencies $k \nu_{1}$. The sum of these three components gives a very accurate reconstruction of the black line.


Figure 3: Forced motion frequency $\nu_{1}$ of the $g$ and $h$ angles for the 20DM (squares) and 20ZM model (diamonds) for $g_{0}=-90^{\circ}$, $h_{0}=0, e_{0}=0.00252$ and an altitude $60, \mathrm{~km}$, as a function of the inclination $i_{0}$. The periodic frequency of both angles is the same and is independent of $g_{0}$ and $h_{0}$, and it is exactly equal to $-n_{\text {rot }} / 2 \pi \equiv-1.0$ day $_{\otimes}{ }^{-1}$ for $i_{0}=90^{\circ}$. The dashed curve is the frequency $-n_{\text {rot }} / 2 \pi$ corrected by the effect of the $J_{2}$ coefficient (eq. (19)), that gives the main contribution to the slight retrograde motion of the node longitude $h$. Note also that for Mars, the tesseral and sectoral coefficients have no apparent effect on the frequency $\nu_{1}$.


Figure 4: Proper motion frequency $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ for the $g$ angle for the 20DM (circles) and 20ZM model (diamonds) for $g_{0}=-90^{\circ}, h_{0}=0, e_{0}=$ 0.00252 and an altitude 60 km , as a function of the inclination $i_{0}$. This slow frequency is almost independent of $g_{0}$ and $h_{0}$, has a period that is at least 12 day $_{\otimes}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}<0.085 \mathrm{day}_{\otimes}{ }^{-1}\right)$ at that altitude, and goes to zero around $i_{0} \sim i_{\mathrm{c}} \approx 63^{\circ}$. The dashed line is the frequency that would be due to the effect of the $J_{2}$ coefficient only at that altitude (eq. (1)), and one can see that it gives the main contribution to the proper frequency $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$. Once again, the axisymmetric and full model frequencies are undistinguishable.
constant at its initial value $\sin \left(i_{0}\right)$ and $h$ circulates freely at constant rate. The fast dynamics of $(h, i)$ is thus essentially unaffected by the motion of the pericenter $(g, e)$, but it mildly affects the latter through the non-axisymmetric terms of the gravity field of the rotating primary.

The most significant eccentricity variations are due to the second term in (16) that corresponds to the slow proper frequency $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ that, as we can see in figure 4 is indeed well separated from $n_{\text {rot }} / 2 \pi \simeq 15$ and from $\nu_{1} \simeq 1$, taking values in the range $[-0.020,0.085]$ day $_{\otimes}{ }^{-1}$ as a function of $i_{0}$, and is very close to $\dot{g} / 2 \pi$ as computed by Eq.(1). The slight deviations seen at small inclinations are primarily caused by the $C_{2,2}$ term. Again, $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ depends only mildly on $e_{0}$. However, the corresponding amplitude $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ depends strongly on both $i_{0}$ and $e_{0}$, as shown in figure 5 .

The constant term $\alpha_{0}$ of frequency $\nu_{0} \equiv 0$ corresponds to the mean position of $u(t)$, around which the orbit is librating. Its value is, in general, not equal to the mean of the signal $\bar{u}$ over $T$, since the other terms would average to zero only if $T$ is an integer multiple of both $1 / \nu_{\mathrm{s}} \equiv T_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $1 / \nu_{1} \approx T_{\text {rot }}$. We also stress that it is only thanks to the fitting properties of Prony's FA that this term can be determined accurately, using only a short orbit arc. For all orbits where this term is non-negligible, the amplitude $\alpha_{0}$ is found purely imaginary, with complex phase $\operatorname{Arg}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=$ $\pm 90^{\circ}$. Hence, if we write $\alpha_{0} \equiv \mathrm{i} e_{\mathrm{F}} \sin g_{\mathrm{F}}$, the mean position of the pericenter is $g_{\mathrm{F}}= \pm 90^{\circ}$, as for Frozen Orbits in the axisymmetric problem. In fact, $\left(e_{\mathrm{F}}, g_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ are almost exactly those of the FOs of 20ZM, as we verified - both models give the same $\alpha_{0}$ and the orbits freeze in eccentricity $e$ and pericenter $g$, when integrated in 20ZM. Of course,


Figure 5: Slow mode amplitude $\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|$ as a 2-d grey levels map in the plane of initial conditions $i_{0}-e_{0}$, with fixed initial orientation angles $g_{0}=-90^{\circ}$ and $h_{0}=0$. White zones indicate orbits colliding in less than 30 days. Superposed on top are the location of Frozen Orbits FOs eccentricity $e_{\mathrm{F}} \equiv\left|\alpha_{0}\right|$ found by FA for which the pericenter argument $g_{\mathrm{F}}$ is $-90^{\circ}$ (white circles) or $+90^{\circ}$ (yellow stars). The regions with minimum slow mode amplitude $\left|\alpha_{s}\right|$ closely follows the FO with the same orientation, and the slow mode amplitude increases linearly when moving away from the FO with the same direction, and even quicker when it has the opposite direction. This leads to collisions with the Martian surface at high eccentricity for $i_{0}<13^{\circ}$, all eccentricities around the critical angle $i_{0} \sim 63^{\circ}$, and at low eccentricities for $i_{0}>75^{\circ}$.
these initial conditions do not 'freeze' exactly in 20DM (as we will see in section 5). Again, $\alpha_{0}$ has strong variations with $i_{0}$ (circles and stars in figure 5 , and squares in the left of figure 6), but is found to depend only mildly on $e_{0}$ (right of figure 6).

In figure 5 , one can see that, when $g_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $g_{0}$ have the same sign, the amplitude $\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|$ isolines closely follow the variations in position of the $\mathrm{FO} e_{\mathrm{F}} \sin g_{\mathrm{F}}$, which denotes both the mean position of the 2-d.o.f. PO and the mean position of the full orbit, as both slow and fast modes are periodic with zero mean. The slow motion amplitude is found to increase linearly like $\delta e_{F} \equiv\left|e_{0}-e_{F}\right|$ at any given inclination $i_{0}$. In fact, the proper amplitude $\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|$ can be considered as the 'distance' of an orbit from the PO at the same inclination. Indeed, for vanishing $\left|\alpha_{s}\right|$, the motion becomes strictly periodic at frequency $\nu_{1}$ for POs ('frozen' for FOs in 20ZM). The fact that $\left|\alpha_{s}\right| \sim \delta e_{F}$ is probably a consequence of the large separation between the frequencies $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\nu_{1}$ that leads to nearly decoupled evolution, as noted in the previous section. Still, $u(t)$ has a non-zero periodic component that slightly separates the true POs from the FOs (see figure 7), and complicates the search for initial conditions for POs (section 5).

Figure 6 shows a graph of $e_{\mathrm{F}} \equiv\left|\alpha_{0}\right|,\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ for given initial eccentricity $e_{0}$ (shown as the thin horizontal line on the left) as a function of initial inclination $i_{0}$ (left), and for given initial inclination $i_{0}=90^{\circ}$, as a function of initial eccentricity $e_{0}$ vertically (right), both with $g_{0}=$ $-90^{\circ}$. The amplitudes $\left|\alpha_{k}\right|$ of all modes $\pm k \nu_{1}$ for $u(t)$ are found to vary only slightly with $e_{0}$ (see right of figure 6), depending mostly on $i_{0}$, but the total forced amplitude $\alpha_{p}$


Figure 6: One-dimensional cuts in the map of initial conditions for the FA amplitudes, on the left along $i_{0}$ (horizontally) for a constant initial $e_{0}=0.00873$ (shown as dashed thin horizontal line on the left), and on the right along $e_{0}$ (vertically) for $i_{0}=90^{\circ}$, both for fixed initial orientation angles $g_{0}=-90^{\circ}$ and $h_{0}=0$. The three curves in the two graphs are the slow mode amplitude $\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|$ (blue circles), the constant amplitude $e_{\mathrm{F}}=\left|\alpha_{0}\right|$ (black squares, empty if $g_{\mathrm{F}}=-90^{\circ}$, filled if $g_{\mathrm{F}}=+90^{\circ}$ ) and the total periodic amplitude $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ (red diamonds). Around $i_{0} \sim 63^{\circ}$, orbits collide with Mars in less than 30 day $_{\otimes}$ and cannot be analyzed. The dashed thick violet lines on the left and right correspond to the collision eccentricity $e_{\mathrm{c}}=0.0174$ at that altitude. Orbits will collide with Mars surface (crossed or hatched zones on the right) if $\left|\alpha_{0}\right| \pm \alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ (grey zone on the right), added to $\pm\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|$ (thin blue lines on the right) reaches the collision eccentricity $e_{\mathrm{c}}$, which can occur at either high or low initial eccentricity $e_{0}$.
defined as $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}^{2} \equiv \sum_{k \neq 0}\left|\alpha_{k}\right|^{2}$ does not vary much with $i_{0}$. Though $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ is generally small compared to the other two terms, it never goes to zero, so that FOs with constant orbital elements do not exist in a non-axisymmetric gravity model and POs are frozen in the strict sense only when averaged over the period $1 / \nu_{1}$ (see also Lara et al. (2013)). Note that $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ are both practically identical between the full (20DM) and the corresponding 20ZM axisymmetric model.

In many applications, the amplitudes $\left|\alpha_{0}\right|,\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ are more interesting than the frequencies: they correspond to the mean eccentricity (for $\left|\alpha_{0}\right|$ ), the proper eccentricity $^{2}\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|$ and a 'fast' periodic kick $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$. Depending on the relative sizes of these amplitudes, the orbit will be circulating in $g$ if $\left|\alpha_{0}\right|<\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|+\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$, or librating otherwise. If $g_{0}$ has the same sign as $g_{\mathrm{F}},\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|+\left|\alpha_{0}\right| \approx e_{0}$, so that $\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right| \approx \delta e_{\mathrm{F}}$. This implies that the amplitude $\left|\alpha_{s}\right|$ decreases when going towards and vanishes at the PO, 'pointing' to its location (see figure 6 (right)). Thus, Prony's FA method provides a measure of orbital 'distance' from the relevant PO.

The magnitude $|u(t)|$ is equal to the instantaneous eccentricity $e(t)$. If $e\left(t_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \approx e_{\mathrm{c}}$ for some time $t_{\mathrm{c}}$, the satellite crashes on the Martian surface; this occurs when the sum in (16) equals $e_{\mathrm{c}}$ but, because the frequencies $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\nu_{1}$ are well separated and non-commensurate, it suffices that $e_{\text {max }} \equiv\left|\alpha_{0}\right|+\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|+\alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \approx e_{\mathrm{c}}$ for the satellite to crash in a time at most $T_{\mathrm{s}} / 2+T_{\text {rot }}$ (half a secular cycle).

[^2]Given the above discussion, it is clear that the orbit can crash either if $e_{\mathrm{F}}$ or $\delta e_{\mathrm{F}}$ are large, which can be both true for $e_{0} \approx 0$. This is shown graphically for polar orbits with $a_{0}=R_{\otimes}+60 \mathrm{~km}$ in the right of figure 6 . The orbits crash both for $e_{0}>0.0163$ (less than the collision eccentricity $e_{\mathrm{c}}=0.0174$ ) or $e_{0}<0.0011$ (see also figure 5 on how low-e orbits crash for $75^{\circ}<i_{0} \leq 90^{\circ}$ ). This is what Knežević and Milani (1998) had noticed for low lunar orbiters and is the reason why a high-degree gravity model and knowing the location of the FOs are necessary for these studies.

## 5. Locating FOs/POs by filtering

As noted in the previous section, the periodic amplitude $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ never vanishes for a non-axisymmetric gravity model, so Frozen Orbits with strictly fixed elements, free from periodic oscillations at frequency $\nu_{1}$, do not exist. However, Periodic Orbits have proper amplitude $\left|\alpha_{s}\right|=0$ and will only have very small eccentricity variations (i.e. of size $\sim \alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \simeq 10^{-3}$ ) and so will have minimum eccentricity and altitude variations for a given $i_{0}$. If we are moreover interested in minimizing altitude variations whatever $i_{0}$, we can search for POs with $e_{\mathrm{F}} \approx 0$. Such POs would have only fast periodic oscillations (amplitudes $\alpha_{k}$ ) around a zero mean eccentricity; the pericenter argument will certainly circulate, but the orbit will be quasi-circular.

In this section, we apply the iterative filtering algorithm presented in (Noullez et al., 2015) to compute the


Figure 7: FA decomposition of orbits (thin black lines) started exactly at the same initial conditions for the axisymmetric 20ZM (diamonds) and the full 20DM model (circles). Both orbits share exactly the same FO location (shown as a black star), but the axisymmetric orbit only contains a slow proper motion (indigo diamonds) that precisely describes the orbit itself. The full-model orbit also contains a periodic component (red curve obtained by FA) whose instantaneous value has to be subtracted from the initial conditions, to derive the proper motion (blue circles). The PO is found almost exactly if we remove the slow component from the initial orbit, to derive a periodic part that nearly coincides with the PO and whose initial condition is the red square).
locations and spectral decompositions of POs for all values $i_{0}$ at given $a_{0}-R_{\otimes}$. We recall that locating a PO for a given $i_{0}$ implies finding ( $e_{0}, g_{0}$ ) points in a suitable $h_{0}$ section (we took $h_{0}=0$ ) where the real and imaginary parts of $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ vanish simultaneously. As the complex amplitudes are smooth functions of the initial conditions and we have two 'free' parameters ( $e_{0}$ and $g_{0}$ ) available to cancel the two components of the amplitude $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$, one can expect to find a single periodic solution, for each $i_{0}$ and $a_{0}-R_{\otimes}$. Note that $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ stays constant as $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ goes to 0 when changing $e_{0}$ and $g_{0}$, unlike in the ' $J_{2}$ problem' where the orbit freezes not because the proper amplitude goes to zero, but because the frequency $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ vanishes at the critical inclination $i_{\mathrm{c}}$, so that FOs exist for every $e_{0}$ at that particular inclination $i_{\mathrm{c}}$ - however, $g$ must be an integer multiple of $90^{\circ}$, as becomes evident when considering the problem at order $\mathcal{O}\left(J_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$ or higher ; see e.g. (Coffey et al., 1986), (Broucke, 1994), (Gurfil and Lara, 2013) and (Gurfil and Seidelmann, 2016).

### 5.1. Iterative filtering algorithm

One might naively think that the mean orbit position $\alpha_{0} \equiv \mathrm{i} e_{\mathrm{F}} \sin g_{\mathrm{F}}$ found by Prony's FA gives the initial conditions ( $e_{\mathrm{F}}, g_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) of the PO but this is not the case, as figure 7 demonstrates. In fact, $\alpha_{0}$ is the position of the FO that can indeed be computed immediately by Prony's FA, in axisymmetric models. In 2-d.o.f. models, it is necessary to separate the slow and periodic components. Thus, the PO is found only after 'filtering' (i.e. removing) the
slow component. But the periodic part has non-zero amplitude on the PO, and is actually needed for computing the PO's initial condition. This is the basis of the 'iterative filtering algorithm' that we will now describe briefly (see Noullez et al. (2015) for details).

As described also in the Introduction, we start the procedure by adopting a first guess $\left\{u^{(0)}(0), v^{(0)}(0)\right\}=$ $\left\{e_{0} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} g_{0}\right), \sin \left(i_{0}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} h_{0}\right)\right\}$, for which we compute its time evolution for a short integration time $T\left(30\right.$ day $\left._{\otimes}\right)$ and derive its quasi-periodic decompositions (16) and (17), using Prony's FA method. Then, we remove the slow component $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ from the reconstructed time series, thus obtaining a 'filtered' orbit

$$
\begin{align*}
\{\widetilde{u}(t), \widetilde{v}(t)\} & \equiv\left\{u(t)-\alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \nu_{\mathrm{s}} t}, v(t)\right\}  \tag{20}\\
& =\left\{\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi k \nu_{1} t}, \sum_{k \neq 0} \beta_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi k \nu_{1} t}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

which is by construction periodic with frequency $\nu_{1}$. This operation does not change $v(t)$, i.e. $\widetilde{v}(t)=v(t)$. Hence, the 'new orbit' has the same $\left(i_{0}, h_{0}\right)$ as the original.

If the $\alpha_{0}$ and $\alpha_{k}$ 's were completely independent of $(g, e)$, the filtered orbit $\{\widetilde{u}(t), \widetilde{v}(t)\}$ would be precisely the PO. This is however not true, as the filtered orbit is generally not an exact solution of the equations of motion, for these initial conditions $\{\widetilde{u}(0), \widetilde{v}(0)\}$. But, given that the main frequencies $\nu_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\nu_{1}$ are well-separated and no linear combinations with significant amplitude appear in $u(t)$ and $v(t)$, the proper mode defines a circle on the ( $e \cos g, e \sin g$ ) plane, centered around $\alpha_{0}$. Elimination of the slow term sets the 'new' orbit at the center of this circle, adding the small periodic component. Thus, the 'new' orbit will have a slow part that is smaller than the original one and closer to the PO.

Using the new initial conditions, we iterate the procedure of integrating and filtering. Here, as in (Noullez et al., 2015) for the Moon, the procedure always converged to a PO in a matter of $r=2-3$ iterations, with a nearexponential decrease of $\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|$. However, there is no rigorous proof that this algorithm always converges, despite attempts e.g. by Noyelles et al. (2012). Nevertheless, we did not encounter any case where the algorithm failed. Note also that the computational time for applying Prony's FA and filtering is negligible with respect to the integration time of the orbit.

### 5.2. Low-altitude Martian POs

Using our iterative filtering algorithm, we computed the POs $\left(g_{\mathrm{p}}, e_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ for an initial altitude $a_{0}=R_{\otimes}+60 \mathrm{~km}$, and for $0<i_{0} \leq 120^{\circ}$. As starting guess we used the FO ( $e_{\mathrm{F}}, g_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) found by Prony's FA. The algorithm converged after at most $2-3$ iterations, with a residual amplitude $\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right| \leq$ $10^{-8}$. The only exceptions to this fast convergence were found near the critical inclination $i_{\mathrm{c}} \approx 63.4^{\circ}$, where the POs computed eccentricity becomes larger than $e_{\mathrm{c}}$ so that all these orbits would crash on Mars surface. As in (Lara


Figure 8: Initial (signed) eccentricity $e_{\mathrm{p}} \sin g_{\mathrm{p}}$ of the POs found after 3 iterations of the filtering algorithm (blue circles), for $0<i_{0} \leq 120^{\circ}$ (note the symmetry around polar orbits) and $a_{0}=R_{\otimes}+60 \mathrm{~km}$ The modulus of the constant term $\pm\left|\alpha_{0}\right|$ that gives the mean position $e_{\mathrm{F}} \sin g_{\mathrm{F}}$ (i.e. FOs of 20ZM) is also shown as a black dashed line. The turquoise band shows the amplitude $\pm \alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ of the fast, forced motion (also shown as red diamonds around the $e=0$ axis). The true POs are always close to the FOs (inside the periodic band), but their initial conditions differ due to the periodic part. The dashed magenta line is the locus of FOs in the simple ' $J_{2} \& J_{3}$ problem', which apparently cannot serve better than a good starting point for the algorithm, as it can be far from the true POs (see also figures 9 and 10).
et al., 2009), we display the families of POs using $e_{\mathrm{F}} \sin g_{\mathrm{F}}$ instead of $e_{\mathrm{F}}$, to avoid an apparent slope discontinuity when $g_{\mathrm{F}}$ jumps between $\pm 90^{\circ}$ and $\mp 90^{\circ}$ as $e_{\mathrm{F}}$ goes through zero. For POs, the initial pericenter argument $g_{\mathrm{p}}$ is not exactly $\pm 90^{\circ}$ and depends slightly on the initial nodal longitude $h_{0}$. However, the amplitude of the periodic motion is small, so that $g_{\mathrm{p}}$ will stay close to $\pm 90^{\circ}$ in most cases.

Figure 8 shows that indeed $e_{\mathrm{p}} \sin g_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{F}} \sin g_{\mathrm{F}}$ are smooth functions of $i_{0}$ and POs are always close to the corresponding FOs, but are not the same. In fact, the PO has variations of width $\pm \alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ around the FO. The graph also shows that the eccentricity of low-altitude Martian POs will always be small. The discontinuities seen around the critical inclinations $i_{\mathrm{c}} \approx 63^{\circ}$ or $117^{\circ}$ are real, and correspond to inclinations where the PO's eccentricity grows dramatically and goes above $e_{\mathrm{c}}$, so that non-collisional orbits cease to exist in these regions. POs with very small mean eccentricity exist for $i_{0} \approx 13^{\circ}$ and $i_{0} \approx 66^{\circ}$ or $114^{\circ}$ at 60 km altitude. As we will see in section 6.2 , these inclinations allow to reach even lower altitudes. The PO's pericenter argument is always close to $\pm 90^{\circ}$, except for very low inclinations, where $g_{0}$ is determined only by the periodic component and is ill-defined, as $e \rightarrow 0$. POs generally have $g=-90^{\circ}$, except for $0<i_{0}<13^{\circ}$ and around $i_{0}=66^{\circ}$ or $i_{0}=114^{\circ}$; these are the only inclinations at this altitude for which we can get stable POs with $g$ in the Northern hemisphere.


Figure 9: Orbital evolution (thin black lines) for $i_{0}=90^{\circ}$ (circles) and $i_{0}=60^{\circ}$ (diamonds), started at $e_{0}=0.0008$ and $e_{0}=0.00001$ respectively, and $a_{0}=R_{\otimes}+60 \mathrm{~km}$. Both initially quasi-circular orbits eventually reach $e_{\mathrm{c}}$ (violet, dashed circle) and crash on the Martian surface after 23.5 day $_{\otimes}$ and 63 day $_{\otimes}$ respectively, due to the slow rotation of the eccentricity vector (blue and indigo symbols) around the respective FOs (star and cross) and POs (red and brown curves). For the polar orbit, it is the small periodic component that actually causes the crash. The crash for $i_{0}=60^{\circ}$ is detected using an integration up to $T=30$ day $_{\otimes}$, thanks to the fitting/extrapolation properties of Prony's method. An orbit started at the location of the FO of the ' $J_{2} \& J_{3}$ problem' (magenta curve) at $i_{0}=60^{\circ}$ only barely avoids crashing.

## 6. Dynamics near low-altitude POs

POs (and FOs) are interesting for practical purposes, as they dictate the dynamics in their phase-space neighborhood. For low altitudes in particular, the location of a PO can cause a quasi-circular orbit to crash on the primary's surface. This unobvious effect was already noticed by Knežević and Milani (1998), who studied the crash of an Apollo 16 subsatellite on the Moon after 34 days, while the nominal mission duration for 100 km altitude was one year. The crash was caused by a continuous growth of the subsatellite's eccentricity, that Knežević and Milani (1998) showed originating from $l>3$-degree gravity terms that result into a slow rotation of the eccentricity vector around the corresponding FO. Hence, as shown below, having accurate positions of FOs or POs, we can build 'dynamical fate' maps for all initial conditions in their neighborhood.

### 6.1. Dynamics around $\mathrm{FOs} / \mathrm{POs}$

Orbits started at $\left(e_{\mathrm{p}}, g_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ (or $\left(e_{\mathrm{F}}, g_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ for 20ZM) have zero proper amplitude $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ while, for any other initial condition $\left.e_{0}, g_{0}\right)$, they have ${ }^{3}\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right| \approx\left|e_{0} \sin \left(g_{0}\right)-e_{\mathrm{p}} \sin \left(g_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right|$.

When $g_{0}$ and $g_{\mathrm{p}}$ have the same sign, the proper amplitude is $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}=\left|e_{0}-e_{\mathrm{p}}\right|$. For initial $e_{0}$ satisfying $e_{\mathrm{c}}>$

[^3]

Figure 10: Orbital evolution (thin black lines and circles) for $i_{0}=61^{\circ}$ and $e_{0}=0.002$; the orbit collides with Mars after 79 day $_{\otimes}$ (violet dashed circle denotes the collision line). Another orbit, started at $e_{0}=0.007$ (the FO of the ' $J_{2} \& J_{3}$ problem') also crashes after 88 day $_{\otimes}$ (dotted magenta curve). The PO obtained after 3 iterations of our filtering algorithm (red line) will never crash on the Martian surface, and stays around the 'nearly-frozen' parameters $g=-89^{\circ}, e=0.0141$, having excursions in eccentricity $\approx \pm 0.0005$.
$e_{0}>e_{\mathrm{p}}$, we will then have $e(t)$ slowly oscillating within $e_{0}$ and $2 e_{\mathrm{p}}-e_{0}<e_{0}$, with $g$ circulating if $e_{0}-e_{\mathrm{p}}>e_{\mathrm{p}}$ and librating otherwise. On the other hand, for $e_{0}<e_{\mathrm{p}}$, the slow rotation around the PO lifts the eccentrity up to $2 e_{\mathrm{p}}-e_{0}>e_{0}$; the satellite will crash if this is larger than $e_{\mathrm{c}}$. Thus, if the PO has $e_{\mathrm{p}}>e_{\mathrm{c}} / 2$, orbits with $e_{0}<2 e_{\mathrm{p}}-e_{\mathrm{c}}$ (e.g. a circular orbit) will crash on Mars surface in less than $T_{\mathrm{s}} / 2$; this is essentially what Knežević and Milani (1998) found, while studying the crash of the Apollo 16 subsatellite on the Moon. This is exemplified in figure 9 , for two quasi-circular low-altitude orbits around Mars at inclinations $i_{0}=90^{\circ}$ and $i_{0}=60^{\circ}$, both of which crash in less than $T_{\mathrm{s}}\left(i_{0}\right) / 2$. Note that the slow period $T_{\mathrm{s}}\left(i_{0}\right) / 2$ depends strongly on $i_{0}$, becoming very large at $i_{\mathrm{c}} \approx 63.4^{\circ}$. Yet, this period will be only 25 Martian days at $i_{0}=90^{\circ}$ and at most 100 day $_{\otimes}$ as soon as $\left|i_{0}-i_{\mathrm{c}}\right|>3^{\circ}$. On the other hand, the PO itself will keep its eccentricity nearly constant and will never crash.

Another example is shown in figure 10 where a slowly evolving orbit close to the critical inclination $i_{\mathrm{c}}$ starting at low eccentricity nevertheless crashes after 79 day $_{\otimes}$ (about 3 times the orbit arc used in Prony's FA), as the PO is very close to the collision limit. Choosing a circular orbit or the FO of the ' $J_{2} \& J_{3}$ problem' as initial condition, as is often done for preliminary design, the orbit would again crash, showing that these approximations should always be complemented by other methods including higher-degree terms of the gravitational potential at low altitudes, as also advocated in (Lara et al., 2013). The true PO found by our algorithm is indeed stable and safe from collision.

For orbits starting with opposite sign for $g_{0}$ with re-


Figure 11: Dynamical fate map, showing the survivability of lowaltitude Martian orbits, in the $i_{0}-e_{0} \sin g_{0}$ plane. Blue circles are the POs; the dashed line represents the orbits mean position $e_{\mathrm{F}} \sin g_{\mathrm{F}}$ (FOs). These orbits are long-lived, as eccentricity excursions are within the turquoise band $\left( \pm \alpha_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$. Orbits in the white zones are also long-lived, with eccentricity excursions of order $2 \delta e_{\mathrm{F}}$ around the PO. The magenta zones contain initial conditions that collide with Mars in less than $T_{\mathrm{s}} / 2+T_{\text {rot }}$. In the dotted zones, orbits will collide in less than $T_{\mathrm{S}} / 2$ while, in the red zones, orbits would collide in less than $T_{\text {rot }}$. In the grey zones, orbits are already above $e_{\mathrm{C}}$.
spect to $g_{\mathrm{p}}$, the situation is worse, as $\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\right|=\left|e_{0}+e_{\mathrm{p}}\right|$, i.e. $e_{0}$ is pushed up to $2 e_{\mathrm{p}}+e_{0}$ and the satellite crashes if this value is larger than $e_{\mathrm{c}}$. Hence, orbits with $e_{0}>e_{\mathrm{c}}-2 e_{\mathrm{p}}$ will crash on Mars and, for $e_{\mathrm{p}} \geq e_{\mathrm{c}} / 2$, all orbits with that (wrong) sign of $g_{0}-$ even with $e_{0} \approx 0-$ will also crash. Moreover, if $e_{\mathrm{p}}$ reaches $e_{\mathrm{c}}$, any orbit will crash in less than $T_{\mathrm{s}} / 2$ whatever its initial eccentricity $e_{0}$ or the sign of its initial pericenter argument $g_{0}$.

From the above analysis it is evident that the PO position conditions the existence of non-collisional orbits at low altitudes, as well as the extent to which active control needs to be used, in order to control eccentricity and altitude variations.

### 6.2. Dynamical fate maps

Using the results presented in the previous sections, we can build 'dynamical fate maps' that immediately display the survivability of orbits around POs. An example is given in figure 11, as a projection on the ( $i_{0}, e_{0} \sin g_{0}$ ) plane, for $a=R_{\otimes}+60 \mathrm{~km}$.

Let us describe all orbital regions, shown on this map by different color/texture. We know that a PO at given $i_{0}$ is stable and does not lead to collision as, in general, the periodic terms have amplitudes of order $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}} \simeq 10^{-3}$ (the turquoise band in figure 11). To fix ideas, consider $g_{\mathrm{p}}>0$, as is the case in figure 11 for $0<i_{0}<13^{\circ}$. If we move to 'higher' positions $e_{\mathrm{c}}>e_{0}>e_{\mathrm{p}}$ (white zone above the PO ), the orbit will oscillate between $e_{0}$ and $2 e_{\mathrm{p}}-e_{0}$ (with the latter negative for large $e_{0}$ ) but will never reach the (lower) collision eccentricity $-e_{\mathrm{c}}$, so the orbit will live forever with eccentricity variations $\left|e_{0}-e_{\mathrm{p}}\right|$. If we now move to 'lower'


Figure 12: Displacement of FOs (i.e. mean positions of POs) locations as a function of the altitude $a_{0}-R_{\otimes}$ for different initial inclinations $i_{0}=7^{\circ}, i_{0}=61^{\circ}, i_{0}=66^{\circ}$ and $i_{0}=90^{\circ}$. Orbits in the shaded grey zones exceed $e_{\mathrm{c}}$ (thick, dashed violet line). The POs (blue circles) and the dynamical fate zones are plotted for $i_{0}=90^{\circ}$ (the bands of width $\pm \alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ are invisible at this scale). The PO eccentricity reaches $e_{\mathrm{p}}=e_{\mathrm{c}}$ at about 33 km altitude for polar orbits.
positions $e_{0} \sin g_{0}$, including those for which $g_{0}$ is negative (white zone below the PO), the orbits will still live forever, but will oscillate in $e$ with an amplitude $\left|\alpha_{s}\right| \approx \mid e_{0} \sin \left(g_{0}\right)-$ $e_{\mathrm{p}} \mid$. This amplitude increases when moving lower, until we reach position $-e_{\mathrm{c}}+2 e_{\mathrm{p}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$, where the combined effect of proper and forced terms will cause the orbit to crash after at most $T_{\mathrm{s}} / 2+T_{\text {rot }}$ (magenta zones in figure 11). This zone has a height in eccentricity $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ and, as soon as we reach $-e_{\mathrm{c}}+2 e_{\mathrm{p}}$, orbits will collide in less than $T_{\mathrm{s}} / 2$, simply due to the slow proper motion (dotted zones in figure 11). Below $e_{0}=-e_{\mathrm{c}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ or above $e_{\mathrm{c}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$, the small periodic terms are enough to cause the orbit to crash in at most one rotation of Mars $T_{\text {rot }}$.

The map provides a rather complete picture of the dynamical fate, for all initial conditions at a given altitude. It explains both collision zones observed for $e_{0}<e_{\mathrm{c}}$ in figure 5 , i.e. for $0<i_{0}<13^{\circ}$ and $75^{\circ}<i_{0}<105^{\circ}$. It also shows that, for $61^{\circ}<i_{0}<65^{\circ}$, non-collisional orbits do not exist, as these POs would have $e_{\mathrm{p}}>e_{\mathrm{c}}$.

It is interesting to see how the map changes with altitude. There is no technical difficulty in building this map for any altitude. Maps of the entire space of initial conditions $a_{0}, i_{0}, e_{0}$ can be obtained efficiently using our method, but it is difficult to visualize the results in 3 -d. We thus display a 2 -d projection of these results in the $\left(a_{0}, e_{0} \sin g_{0}\right)$ space, for selected inclinations $i_{0}$ in figure 12. Note that the initial conditions for the POs are expected to be smooth functions of $a_{0}$, as for $i_{0}$. In fact, this implies that the whole 3 -d map can be computed by continuation, starting only from a single guess, locating a PO at some altitude and $i_{0}$, then using this as initial guess for an adjacent value of $i_{0}$, etc. When the inclination range is exhausted, we can start incrementing the altitude, repeating the above procedure.


Figure 13: Dynamical fate map for $i_{0}=66^{\circ}$. The PO is located at nearly zero eccentricity. Symbols and zones color codes are the same as in figure 11. Orbits could survive down to 6 km altitude.

The displacement of FOs (i.e. mean positions of POs) and of the initial conditions of POs with altitude is nontrivial. While the size of the fast terms $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}$ is decreasing monotonically with altitude, the FOs displacement is more complicated; this is not so surprising as in the axisymmetric case the FO location can be found by numerically solving a strongly non-linear equation, whose coefficients depend on altitude (see e.g. Lara et al. (2009)). Still, this shift is small at low altitudes, while $e_{\mathrm{c}}$ is proportional to $a_{0}-R_{\otimes}$. Thus, there is always an altitude for which $e_{\mathrm{p}} \geq e_{\mathrm{c}}$ and no orbit can survive below. A dynamical fate map for polar orbits is displayed in figure 12 as a function of altitude. As one can see, polar Martian orbits are safe down to $a_{0}=R_{\otimes}+33 \mathrm{~km}$, with $e \approx 0.008$. This orbit resembles the one of the Global Mars Surveyor (GMS), but at a much lower altitude. Note that, for polar orbits, $e_{\mathrm{p}}$ varies very little with altitude, so it remains roughly the same also at the GMS altitude ( 372 km ).

Near-frozen, quasi-circular orbits at very low altitudes ( $\lesssim 10 \mathrm{~km}$ ) are possible, but only for selected inclinations. As seen in figure 12 , this is the case for $i_{0} \approx 13^{\circ}$ and $i_{0} \approx$ $66^{\circ}$. The dynamical fate map for $i_{0}=66^{\circ}$ is shown in figure 13. In principle, non-crashing orbits down to 6 km can be found, but the Martian topography would certainly not allow it.

## 7. Conclusions - Discussion

In this paper we presented a detailed study of low Martian orbiters ( $a-R_{\otimes}<500 \mathrm{~km}$ ), applying Prony's Frequency Analysis and an iterative filtering algorithm that allowed effective computation of families of periodic orbits that dominate the secular dynamics of nearly circular satellite orbits. Our main goal was to present an effective way of describing eccentricity variations and determining the dynamical fate of orbits, such that it could be useful for preliminary orbit design. As high-eccentricity orbits
cannot have near-constant altitude, our primary focus was on the 2-d.o.f. equivalent of frozen orbits, namely nearlyfrozen periodic orbits.

By performing a series of tests, we found the 'minimal' model for the Martian gravity field to be of degree $l_{\max }=$ 20 and studied both the full model (20DM) and its axisymmetric counterpart (20ZM). In contrast to the Moon, we find that the Martian gravity field can be well approximated by an axisymmetric model, even for satellites at altitudes $\lesssim 60 \mathrm{~km}$, with the exception maybe of nearequatorial orbits $\left(i \leq 13^{\circ}\right)$. Nevertheless, the true secular motion is described by two vectors (the complex $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ in our notation), although weakly coupled in most cases, and an accurate representation of both should be given.

In the absence of exact analytical solutions for the highorder and degree 2-d.o.f. problem, Prony's frequency analysis is a very effective method of accurately computing a quasi-periodic fit of the secular orbit. The separation of the two main frequencies guarantees that low-order linear combinations (resonances) will not 'contaminate' the signal and the motion will be regular. Prony's method has some advantages over other spectral methods, namely it requires only a very short orbit arc to produce an accurate determination of the fundamental modes (frequencies and amplitudes). Moreover, under the same assumption of frequency separation, it can be easily complemented by an iterative filtering method, which allows efficient determination (2-3 iterations) of periodic orbits, in the vicinity of any initial condition. Note that, apart from locating the POs, it also provides a very precise decomposition of these orbits, which contains only low-amplitude, 'fast', periodic variations around the mean position. The constructive theory of Wiesel (2012) explains the frequency decomposition of POs and provides ground for the convergence of our iterative algorithm. Unlike differential corrections, our method unfortunately does not provide direct indication of linear stability for the POs. On the other hand, the correction steps are independent of the complexity of the gravity model considered.

A collection of POs for different altitudes and inclinations, projected on the $(e \cos g, e \sin g)$ plane, is given in figure 14. Because PO positions are smooth functions of the initial conditions, one can compute a full $3-\mathrm{d}$ map of POs (in $a, e$ and $i$ ) by continuation, starting from a first guess and smoothly varying the parameters of the family of POs. While the overall computational burden is certainly higher than e.g. solving a nonlinear equation of high degree to find the FOs of an axisymmetric model, the gain in precision, but mostly in acquiring also the spectral decomposition of the true secular orbit, is considerable. Using the information on relative amplitudes, one can construct and fully describe 'dynamical fate maps' for satellite orbits at any given altitude and inclination. These maps can be seen as a generalization of the classical inclinationeccentricity diagrams and are a potentially useful tool for preliminary mission design.

Figure 12, for example, confirms that the Global Mars Surveyor orbit ( $i=93^{\circ}, e=0.008$, minimum altitude 372 km ) keeps very close to the corresponding PO and has eccentricity variations of only $\simeq 10^{-3}-$ smaller than at most other inclinations for the same altitude - and altitude variations of $\approx 60 \mathrm{~km}$. The Exomars Trace Gas Orbiter ( $i=74^{\circ}$, $e=0$, altitude 400 km ) and Mars Odyssey ( $i=93^{\circ}, e=0$, altitude 400 km ) require active control to suppress altitude variations, as they are located at $\delta e_{\mathrm{F}} \approx$ 0.008 from the PO, and hence have eccentricity variations $2 \delta e_{\mathrm{F}} \approx 0.016$ and altitude variations of $\approx 120 \mathrm{~km}$; still, the collision eccentricity is $\approx 0.1$ at 400 km altitude and so these satellites are safe. However, figure 11 suggests that the same strategy (i.e. choosing $e=0$ ) would become inefficient at lower altitudes and, in fact, for $i=74^{\circ}$ or $93^{\circ}$ and initial altitudes $\leq 70 \mathrm{~km}$, a circular orbit would swiftly crash on Mars.

In conclusion, we believe that our method can be an effective tool for preliminary trajectory design. We plan to explore it further - considering other solar system objects as targets - and report in a forthcoming paper.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ From now on, we will express times in units of Martian sideral day ${ }_{\otimes} \equiv T_{\text {rot }}=1.025957$ Earth days, and frequencies in units of day $_{\otimes}{ }^{-1}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ As it is usually called in celestial mechanics.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ In this section, we restrict ourselves to cases with $g_{0}= \pm 90^{\circ}$

