

Lagged precipitation effect on plant productivity is influenced collectively by climate and edaphic factors in drylands

Lei He, Zhao-Liang Li, Xunming Wang, Yaowen Xie, Jian-Sheng Ye

► To cite this version:

Lei He, Zhao-Liang Li, Xunming Wang, Yaowen Xie, Jian-Sheng Ye. Lagged precipitation effect on plant productivity is influenced collectively by climate and edaphic factors in drylands. Science of the Total Environment, 2021, 755, pp.142506. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142506 . hal-03005961

HAL Id: hal-03005961 https://hal.science/hal-03005961

Submitted on 24 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Lagged precipitation effect on plant productivity is influenced collectively by
 climate and edaphic factors in drylands

Lei He ^{a,b}, Zhao-Liang Li ^{b,c}, Xunming Wang ^d, Yaowen Xie ^{a,e,*}, Jian-Sheng Ye ^{f,*}
* Corresponding author: xieyw@lzu.edu.cn (Y.W. Xie), yejsh@lzu.edu.cn (J.-S. Ye)
Abstract:

Lagged precipitation effect explains a large proportion of annual aboveground net 6 primary productivity in some dryland ecosystems. Using satellite-derived plant 7 productivity and precipitation datasets in the Northern Hemisphere drylands during 8 9 2000-2018, we identify 1111 pixels mainly located in the Tibetan Plateau, the western 10 US, and Kazakhstan where productivities are significantly correlated with previousyear precipitation (hereafter, the lagged type). Differences in climatic and edaphic 11 factors between the lagged and unlagged (pixels where productivities are not correlated 12 13 with previous-year precipitation) types are evaluated. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance shows that the two types differ significantly regarding six climatic 14 and edaphic factors. Compared to unlagged type, water availability, soil organic carbon, 15 16 total nitrogen, field capacity, silt content and radiation are more sensitive to changes in precipitation in lagged type. Water availability is the most important factor for 17 distinguishing the two types, followed by soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, field 18 capacity, soil texture, and radiation. Our study suggests that the altered sensitivities of 19 several climatic and edaphic factors to precipitation collectively affect the lagged effect 20 of precipitation on productivity in drylands. 21

Keywords: productivity, lagged precipitation effect, previous-year precipitation, water
 availability, dryland

24 1 Introduction

Global warming has intensified and accelerated the hydrologic cycle (Huntington, 2010; 25 26 Trenberth, 2011), causing precipitation patterns shifting to higher variability and more frequent dry and wet years, which is almost ubiquitous globally (Collins et al., 2013; 27 Min et al., 2011; Peng et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2018a). Increasing precipitation variability 28 may profoundly affect ecosystem functioning and structure, especially primary 29 productivity (Gherardi and Sala, 2015; Gherardi and Sala, 2019; Knapp et al., 2008; 30 Rudgers et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2013). Therefore, understanding the relationship between 31 32 plant productivity and precipitation is crucial to ecosystem function and also to humans who depend upon primary productivity for food, fiber and fuel (Haberl et al., 2007; 33 Knapp et al., 2017). 34 35 The lags in the response of ecosystems to changes in precipitation and "asymmetries"

in the productivity-precipitation relationship modulate the effect of precipitation 36 variability on productivity (Knapp et al., 2017; Reichmann et al., 2013). First, the lags 37 38 arise from the legacy effects of transitions from dry to wet years or the reverse (Reichmann et al., 2013). A previous dry year leads to a decrease in plant productivity 39 in the following year (termed as drought legacy), while a wet year increases 40 productivity in the next year, i.e., wetness legacy (Sala et al., 2012). Second, the 41 42 productivity-precipitation relationship may be nonlinear, including concave-down and concave-up curves. Increasing precipitation has diminishing returns for productivity in 43 44 "negative asymmetric" productivity-precipitation relationships (concave-down curves); in contrast, increasing precipitation has increasing returns for productivity in "positive 45

asymmetric" relationships (concave-up curves) (Dannenberg et al., 2019; Gherardi and 46 Sala, 2015). The drivers of lagged response to precipitation and nonlinear productivity-47 48 precipitation relationship are valuable for accurately predicting the response of ecosystem function to global change. Ye et al. (2018b) find that concave-down 49 50 nonlinear relationship ecosystems feature lower radiation and/or fewer soil nutrients than the linear type, suggesting a higher degree of limitation from resources other than 51 precipitation in the nonlinear ecosystems (Huxman et al., 2004). However, it is still 52 53 unclear how other environmental factors affect the lagged response of ecosystems to 54 changes in precipitation.

The legacy effect of unusually dry or wet periods on biomass could last for less than 55 one year up to seven years, of which one year is the most commonly reported lag time 56 57 (Sherry et al., 2008). Previous-year precipitation has been found to drive the currentyear ecosystem process through lagged/legacy effect in multiple sites, e.g., the central 58 plains in north-central Colorado (Oesterheld et al., 2001), the Patagonian steppe 59 60 (Yahdjian and Sala, 2006), the Tallgrass Prairie in Oklahoma (Sherry et al., 2012) and the Loess Plateau of China (Gong et al., 2020). At the interannual scale, the lagged 61 precipitation effect is defined as the impact of previous-year precipitation conditions on 62 the current structure and functioning of ecosystems (Monger et al., 2015; Sala et al., 63 2012; Shen et al., 2016). A variety of mechanisms explaining the lagged precipitation 64 effect involve changes in the density of tillers, seeds and plant individuals (Oesterheld 65 66 et al., 2001; Reichmann et al., 2013; Yahdjian and Sala, 2006); the structural carryovers (e.g., leaf area and root biomass) between alternating wet and dry years 67

(Oesterheld et al., 2001); and the composition of species differ in rooting depth and
phenology (Gong et al., 2020; Jobb ágy and Sala, 2000). Within the context of climate
change, lagged precipitation effect might result from feedbacks between biotic, edaphic,
and geomorphic processes (Monger et al., 2015). Most studies focused on the biotic
mechanisms; however, it remains largely uncertain how climatic and edaphic factors
may interact with lagged precipitation effects.

Drylands comprise 45% of the terrestrial earth surface (Prăvălie, 2016), and 74 precipitation is the most limiting factor to the functioning of dryland ecosystems 75 76 (Lauenroth, 1979; Noy-Meir, 1973). Therefore, their responses to interannual precipitation variability have important consequences for global patterns of 77 productivity (Ahlström et al., 2015; Gherardi and Sala, 2019; Yao et al., 2020). 78 79 Drylands are inherently slow in the dynamics of soil nutrient buildup and plant productivity compared to other ecosystems (Huang et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2019), we 80 would expect strong lagged effects of past climate conditions such as precipitation on 81 82 current functioning. However, our ability to forecast ecosystem responses to climate change is still hindered by our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the lagged 83 precipitation effect (Kannenberg et al., 2020; Yahdjian and Sala, 2006; Ye et al., 2019). 84 The lagged response to precipitation change results from drought and wetness legacies, 85 and the magnitude of drought and wetness legacies are proportional to the relative 86 precipitation change (Reichmann et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2016). However, we do not 87 88 know whether the lagged precipitation effect is associated with precipitation variability. Sala et al. (2012) suggest that the sensitivity of productivity to precipitation might 89

decrease due to the impact of previous-year precipitation. Besides, precipitation input 90 is the determining factor of plant productivity in drylands, and other drivers of 91 92 productivity may be indirectly influenced by precipitation amount and variability (Hsu and Adler, 2014; Nov-Meir, 1973; Ye et al., 2018b). Therefore, the productivity-93 94 precipitation relationship may be modified by changes in the responses of other environmental factors to precipitation. In these perspectives, we hypothesize that 95 compared with the unlagged ecosystems, the lagged ones are characterized by (i) lower 96 sensitivity of productivity response to precipitation, (ii) higher interannual precipitation 97 98 variability and more occurrences of dry and wet years, and (iii) higher sensitivity of other environmental factors to precipitation. To test our hypotheses, we divide 99 terrestrial ecosystems of the Northern Hemisphere drylands into the lagged and 100 101 unlagged types. The lagged type has a significant lagged precipitation effect where productivities significantly correlate with previous-year precipitation, while 102 productivities of the unlagged type do not significantly correlate with previous-year 103 104 precipitation. To evaluate the difference between the two ecosystem types in terms of their climatic and edaphic factors, we explore their differences in the intercepts and 105 slopes of liner regressions between these factors and mean annual precipitation. 106

107 2 Materials and methods

108 2.1 Precipitation

The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) product (TRMM 3B42) at 0.25°
spatial and daily temporal resolution (https://pmm.nasa.gov/dataaccess/downloads/trmm) for the period of 1999-2018 were used. The product covers

the latitude range of 50°N-S. The daily rainfalls were cumulated to obtain annual
precipitation. Previous studies have suggested that TRMM rainfall data are consistent
with precipitation observations of weather stations at both local and global scales (Fang
et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2018b).

116 2.2 Primary productivity

We used the enhanced vegetation index (EVI) to estimate primary productivity. The 117 MOD13C2 version 6 EVI dataset (Didan, 2015) at 0.05 ° spatial resolution and monthly 118 compositing periods for the period from February 2000 to December 2018 was 119 120 employed. As EVI data for January 2000 were not available, the average of values in January of 2001-2005 was used as a proxy. When no clear-sky observations were 121 available, the corresponding pixels were excluded from the analysis. Pixels of croplands, 122 123 built-up, water, snow and ice, barren or sparse vegetation, and land cover change were eliminated based on MCD12C1 IGBP land cover types between 2001 and 2018 (Friedl 124 125 and Sulla-Menashe, 2015). We also excluded the wetland pixels due to the poor 126 performance of EVI in estimating their productivity (Shi et al., 2017). An offset of 0.05 127 was extracted from each monthly dataset to reduce the soil background signal (Ponce Campos et al., 2013). Annual integrated EVI (termed iEVI) is a widely used satellite-128 derived measure of annual vegetation productivity across biomes (Ma et al., 2015; Shi 129 et al., 2017). We thus used iEVI as the proxy of primary productivity. The iEVI was 130 calculated in the software of TIMESAT 3.3 using the default parameters (Jönsson and 131 132 Eklundh, 2017; Ponce Campos et al., 2013). We found a similar linear relationship between MODIS NPP (MOD17A3 product) and iEVI, consistent with Ponce Campos 133

134 et al. (2013) and Ye et al. (2018b) (Fig. S1).

135 2.3 Climatic and edaphic factors

136 Radiation, air temperature, water availability, nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), soil organic carbon (SOC), soil texture, soil field capacity, and water table depth are important 137 138 drivers of productivity (Epstein et al., 1997; Huang et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2018b). Therefore, we selected these climatic and edaphic factors to analyze their respective 139 contribution to the lagged precipitation effect on plant productivity. The annual average 140 climatic factors were obtained from Seddon et al. (2016). Radiation was measured by 141 142 the proportion of clear-sky to cloudy days in a given pixel based on the MODIS Cloud Mask product (MOD35 L2) (Ackerman and Frey, 2015). MOD07 L2 Atmospheric 143 Profile product was used as a measure of air temperature (Borbas and Menzel, 2015). 144 145 Pixels from the highest available pressure level, corresponding to the temperature nearest to the Earth's surface, were selected. We used the ratio of actual 146 evapotranspiration to potential evapotranspiration (AET/PET) as an indicator of water 147 availability. A higher value suggests sufficient water supply to plant, while a lower one 148 indicates higher water deficit or aridity. AET and PET were both derived from the 149 MOD16 Global Evapotranspiration product, which estimates evapotranspiration 150 through the Penman-Monteith equation (Mu et al., 2011). 151

We obtained edaphic factors from the gridded Global Soil Dataset for use in Earth System Models (GSDE), including total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), total carbon, SOC, volumetric water content (VMC), and soil texture. The dataset provides soil properties, such as soil texture, organic carbon, and nutrients, with 30 arc-seconds spatial resolution, which are quality controlled (Shangguan et al., 2014). The water table

depth dataset at 30 arc-second grid was obtained from Fan et al. (2013).

158 To match the low-resolution TRMM precipitation dataset, all the above iEVI, climatic

and edaphic data were aggregated up to 0.25 °spatial resolution. The distribution of dry

160 domain was derived from the map of Bailey's four global ecoregion domains (Bailey,

161 1995).

162 2.4 Selection of lagged and unlagged ecosystems

For each pixel in the Northern Hemisphere drylands, linear regression and multiple 163 164 linear models between current-year iEVI and current-year precipitation (PPT_t) and/or previous-year precipitation (PPT_{t-1}) were fitted (Table 1) using the linear model (lm) 165 function in R4.0.0. The lagged type fitted the multiple-year regression (i.e., p < 0.05 for 166 the multiple-year model [iEVI_t = $a \times PPT_t + b \times PPT_{t-1} + c$] and $p \ge 0.05$ for 167 current-year [t] model [iEVI_t = $a \times PPT_t + c$]), or previous-year (t - 1) regression 168 (i.e., p < 0.05 for previous-year model [iEVI_t = $a \times PPT_{t-1} + c$] and $p \ge 0.05$ for the 169 current-year model). The unlagged type only fitted current-year regression (i.e., p < p170 171 0.05 for the current-year model and $p \ge 0.05$ for the multiple-year model and previous-year model). 172

173 2.5 Hypotheses testing

To test the first hypothesis, i.e., the lagged type had a lower sensitivity of productivity response to precipitation. We fitted the regressions between iEVI and precipitation for each pixel. We measured the sensitivities as the slopes of the liner regression between iEVI and precipitation. We then compared the sensitivities of the lagged and unlagged types across the mean annual precipitation (MAP) gradients based on the procedure of
Zar (2009).

To test the second hypothesis, i.e., the lagged type had higher interannual precipitation variability and more occurrences of dry and wet years. We calculated the coefficient of variation (CV) of annual precipitation and the occurrences of dry and wet years for each pixel based on 19-year annual precipitation data. We defined a dry year as one and two SDs (standard deviations) of precipitation lower than the average and wet year as one and two SDs higher than the average during the study period.

186 To test the third hypothesis, i.e., the lagged type had a higher sensitivity of other environmental factors to precipitation. We compared the difference between the two 187 types in terms of climatic-edaphic factors, to explore what factors were responsible for 188 189 the lagged precipitation effect. Firstly, the linear regressions between each factor and MAP were conducted for the two types, respectively. We then tested the statistical 190 differences in the slopes and intercepts of these linear fittings based on the procedure 191 192 of Zar (2009). To ensure that the lagged type was sensitive to the selected climaticedaphic factors, we used the two criteria: (1) the regression between climatic-edaphic 193 factor and MAP in lagged type was significant; and (2) the slopes between regressions 194 in lagged and unlagged types differed significantly. Secondly, permutational 195 multivariate analysis of variance (perMANOVA, "vegan" package in R) was applied to 196 determine whether the two types differed significantly when all selected climatic-197 198 edaphic factors were taken into account collectively. The perMANOVA is a nonparametric multivariate statistical test to evaluate whether the centroids and dispersions 199

of some groups (lagged and unlagged types in this study) are equivalent. It requires no 200 assumption regarding the number of variables (or distributions) within the groups 201 202 (Anderson, 2001). To allow for a comparison of the climatic-edaphic factors with different units, the normalized values were used in the perMANOVA test. The random 203 204 intercept model was further used to compare the difference in the intercepts between the two types when fixing the slope of linear regression ("lmerTest" package in R). A 205 Euclidean distance-based similarity percentage (SIMPER) analysis (Clarke, 1993; Ye 206 et al., 2018a) was employed to determine the contribution of each climatic-edaphic 207 208 factor to the overall dissimilarity between the two types.

209 3 Results

We identified 1111 lagged pixels and 1442 unlagged pixels among 16500 tested pixels 210 211 (0.25 spatial resolution) across drylands in the northern hemisphere (Fig. 1). Most of them were found in grasslands, shrublands, and savanna biomes. The lagged pixels 212 were mainly located in the Tibetan Plateau of China, the western US, and Kazakhstan. 213 214 The sensitivities/slopes of productivity response to precipitation in the lagged were significantly lower (slope and intercept difference: p < 0.001) than those in the unlagged 215 216 at the spatial scale (Fig. 2). Moreover, the differences in productivity sensitivities to precipitation in the two types decreased with increasing MAP (Fig. 2). The two types 217 were not significantly different in terms of the variabilities of interannual precipitation 218 (p = 0.11) (Fig. S2). There were neither significant differences in occurrences of dry 219 (1SD: p = 0.54) and wet (1SD: p = 0.8, 2SD: p = 0.13) years in the two types, except 220 for the 2SD dry years (the occurrences in lagged type were less than those in the 221

222 unlagged type, p < 0.01) (Fig. S3-4).

PerMANOVA analysis indicated that the lagged and unlagged types differed 223 224 significantly when the climatic (water availability and radiation) and edaphic factors (SOC, TN, VMC, and silt content) were collectively considered (F =12.96, and p < p225 226 0.001). Moreover, the sensitivities of the six climatic and edaphic factors to MAP were 227 all higher in lagged type than those in the unlagged. Compared with the unlagged type, water availability increased faster with increasing MAP in the lagged type (Fig. 3a and 228 229 Table 2). SOC, TN, and VMC raised across the MAP gradients in the two types, and 230 the slopes were significantly higher in the lagged type (Fig. 3b-d and Table 2). Silt content was positively correlated with MAP in both types, and the slope of the 231 232 regression between them was greater in the lagged type than unlagged type (Fig. 3e and 233 Table 2). In contrast, radiation was negatively correlated with MAP in both types, and the slope of the regression between them was lower in lagged type than unlagged type 234 (Fig. 3f and Table 2). However, air temperature did not significantly change with 235 236 increasing MAP in the lagged (Fig. S5). Water table depth, soil total carbon, and soil phosphorus were higher in the lagged type than those in the unlagged; however, the 237 slopes between these regressions did not differ significantly (Fig. S6-7). Likewise, clay 238 content, sand content, and indicators of N and P availabilities (C/N and C/P ratios) did 239 not differ significantly between the two types (Fig. S8-9). 240

The random intercept model showed that the lagged type might have higher TN, VMC, and silt content than the unlagged when fixing the slope (Table S1), and the differences between the two types in the means of these environmental factors were small (Fig. 3 and Table S1). SIMPER analysis showed that water availability was the most important
factor for distinguishing between the two types, followed by SOC, TN, VMC, and silt
content (Fig. 4). The radiation had a minimal contribution.

247 4 Discussion

Based on satellite-derived productivity and precipitation, we identify two types of 248 terrestrial ecosystems either with or without lagged precipitation effect in the Northern 249 Hemisphere drylands and evaluate the difference in climatic and edaphic factors 250 between them. Consistent with the first hypothesis, our results show that productivity 251 252 is less sensitive to precipitation in lagged type than unlagged type along the MAP gradients. Previous studies attributed lagged precipitation effect to the differences in 253 productivity-PPT relationships among ecosystems (Bunting et al., 2017; Gong et al., 254 255 2020; Petrie et al., 2018). Our results support this viewpoint that the lagged precipitation effect plays a vital role in modifying the productivity-precipitation relationship. 256 257 Moreover, the differences between productivity responses to precipitation in the two 258 types decrease with increasing MAP, which is likely due to the limitation of resources other than precipitation (Huxman et al., 2004). This observation is supported by recent 259 studies, which have reported the strength of vegetation water memory effects decreases 260 with increasing MAP (Liu et al., 2018), and the legacy effects of water deficits on trees 261 262 are highly reliance on MAP, with this effect being most pronounced in dry ecosystems (Anderegg et al., 2015). 263

Our study does not support the second hypothesis that the lagged type is characterized by higher interannual precipitation variability and more occurrences of dry and wet

years because both types possess similar precipitation variability and occurrences of 266 dry and wet years. Previous studies suggest that the magnitudes of the short-term 267 268 legacies of antecedent precipitation (i.e., drought and wetness legacies) are positively related to relative precipitation changes between current and previous year (Reichmann 269 270 et al., 2013; Yahdjian and Sala, 2006), and greater variability in precipitation leads to 271 corresponding increases in legacy impacts (Shen et al., 2016). However, the lagged precipitation effect may not be influenced directly by precipitation variability. 272 Precipitation amount and variability may indirectly alter other environmental factors, 273 274 thus influencing productivity (Hsu and Adler, 2014; Luo et al., 2017; Yahdjian and Sala, 2010). 275

276 In our study, climatic and edaphic factors in lagged ecosystems are more sensitive to 277 precipitation at a spatial scale, supporting the third hypothesis. A similar difference pattern between the two types is also found in the Southern Hemisphere dry landmass 278 (Fig. S10-11). Compared to the unlagged type, higher sensitivity in water availability 279 280 to precipitation in the lagged type could lead a more deteriorated water condition when precipitation decreases, resulting in more changes in the plant structure (e.g., leaf and 281 root), plant reproduction (e.g., seed production and tillers), litter dynamics, and 282 community composition (Dudney et al., 2017; Reichmann and Sala, 2014; Yahdjian and 283 Sala, 2006), thus exerting a negative influence on following-year productivity. In 284 contrast, ecosystems with high sensitivity in water availability may benefit more with 285 286 precipitation increasing.



terms of soil properties (such as SOC, TN, soil field capacity, and silt content,) and 288 water availability. Soil water availability is related to soil physical and chemical 289 290 properties (Cassel and Nielsen, 1986; Geroy et al., 2011). Low soil water holding capacity might lead to low available soil water due to high loss of precipitation via 291 runoff or percolation, especially during large rain events (Ye et al., 2018b). Furthermore, 292 higher soil organic matter and silt content could increase water retention (Geroy et al., 293 2011). Consistent with Wang et al. (2017), TN increases along the MAP gradients in 294 both types. Due to the higher sensitivity of TN to precipitation, changes in precipitation 295 296 may lead to more substantial fluctuation in soil N in lagged ecosystems, likely causing N carry-over between interannual years. Recent studies report that previous-year 297 298 precipitation may influence litter dynamic, microbial mineralization and 299 immobilization, plant N uptake, and N leaching, causing soil mineral N pools to accumulation or decrease (Dudney et al., 2017; Evans and Burke, 2012; Giese et al., 300 2010; Shen et al., 2016; Yahdjian and Sala, 2010), thus promote or inhibit current-year 301 302 productivity.

In addition, our results show that water availability is the most important factor in distinguishing between the two types. Water availability is the most determining and limiting factor to the functioning of arid and semiarid ecosystems (Lauenroth, 1979; Noy-Meir, 1973). Soil properties (e.g., soil field capacity, soil texture, and soil organic carbon) have an association with water availability; in turn, the changes in water availability could influence the dynamics of soil organic carbon and nitrogen. The importance of water availability in these connections among environmental factors in 310 drylands may explain its highest contributions to the lagged precipitation effects.

311 5 Conclusion

312 Lagged precipitation effect plays an essential role in the productivity-precipitation relationship. In our study, we found the ecosystems with a significant lagged 313 precipitation effect had lower sensitivity of productivity response to precipitation than 314 those of unlagged ones, and the differences in productivity sensitivities to precipitation 315 in the two types decreased with increasing MAP. Moreover, the increase in the 316 sensitivities of environmental factors to precipitation might contribute to the lags in the 317 318 response of productivities to changes in precipitation at the interannual scale. With increasing interannual precipitation variability and frequency of dry and wet years, it is 319 necessary to consider would the sensitivity of other environmental factors to 320 321 precipitation be significantly influenced by changes in precipitation in dryland. Moreover, current land surface models have a weak ability to represent the lagged 322 323 precipitation effects on plant growth and the carbon cycle (Jiang et al., 2019; Kolus et 324 al., 2019). Thus, consideration of other climatic and edaphic factors may be necessary to better predict terrestrial ecosystem productivity in model simulation, and to study the 325 productivity-precipitation relationship in precipitation manipulation experiments. 326

327

Acknowledgments: The work is supported by the Second Tibetan Plateau Scientific Expedition and Research (Grant Number: 2019QZKK0305, 2019QZKK0603), Core Facility of School of Life Sciences, Lanzhou University, the Science and Technology Basic Resource Investigation Program of China (Grant Number: 2017FY101003), the

332	National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant Number:
333	2018YFA0606404-03), the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy
334	of Sciences (Grant Number: XDA2009000001) and the China Scholarship Council
335	(CSC) (Grant Number: 201906180064). We would like to thank Dr. Yujin Zeng at
336	Princeton University for providing the water table depth dataset and Dr. Juanjuan Zhang
337	at Sun Yat-sen University for her continuous discussion. We are grateful to the two
338	anonymous reviewers for their in-depth comments and suggestion.

339 Reference

- Ackerman S, Frey R. 2015. MODIS atmosphere L2 cloud mask product (35_L2). NASA MODIS
 Adaptive Processing System, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center: Greenbelt, MD, USA.
 https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD35_L2.006.
- Ahlström A, Raupach MR, Schurgers G, Smith B, Arneth A, Jung M, et al. 2015. The dominant role
 of semi-arid ecosystems in the trend and variability of the land CO2 sink. Science. 348,
 895-899. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1668</u>.
- Anderegg WRL, Schwalm C, Biondi F, Camarero JJ, Koch G, Litvak M, et al. 2015. Pervasive drought
 legacies in forest ecosystems and their implications for carbon cycle models. Science. 349,
 528-532. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab1833</u>.
- Anderson MJ. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral
 Ecology. 26, 32-46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x.</u>
- Bailey RG. Ecoregions of the Continents. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service,
 Washington, 1995.
- Borbas E, Menzel P. 2015. MODIS Atmosphere L2 Atmosphere Profile Product. NASA MODIS
 Adaptive Processing System, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center: Greenbelt, MD, USA.
 https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD07_L2.061.
- Bunting EL, Munson SM, Villarreal ML. 2017. Climate legacy and lag effects on dryland plant
 communities in the southwestern U.S. Ecological Indicators. 74, 216-229.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.10.024.
- Cassel D, Nielsen D. 1986. Field capacity and available water capacity. Methods of Soil Analysis:
 Part 1 Physical and Mineralogical Methods. 5, 901-926.
- 361 Clarke KR. 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure.
 362 Australian Journal of Ecology. 18, 117-143. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-</u>
 363 <u>9993.1993.tb00438.x</u>.
- Collins M, Knutti R, Arblaster J, Dufresne J-L, Fichefet T, Friedlingstein P, et al. Long-term climate
 change: projections, commitments and irreversibility. Climate Change 2013-The Physical
 Science Basis: Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, 2013, pp. 1029-

368 1136. 369 Dannenberg MP, Wise EK, Smith WK. 2019. Reduced tree growth in the semiarid United States due 370 to asymmetric responses to intensifying precipitation extremes. Science Advance. 5, 371 eaaw0667. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw0667. 372 Didan K. 2015. MOD13C2 MODIS/Terra vegetation indices monthly L3 global 0.05 deg CMG V006 373 [Data set]. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. 374 https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD13C2.006. 375 Dudney J, Hallett LM, Larios L, Farrer EC, Spotswood EN, Stein C, et al. 2017. Lagging behind: have 376 we overlooked previous-vear rainfall effects in annual grasslands? Journal of Ecology, 105. 377 484-495. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12671. 378 Epstein HE, Lauenroth WK, Burke IC. 1997. Effects of temperature and soil texture on ANPP in the 379 U.S Plains. 78, 2628-2631. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-Great Ecology. 380 9658(1997)078[2628:Eotast]2.0.Co;2. 381 Evans SE, Burke IC. 2012. Carbon and Nitrogen Decoupling Under an 11-Year Drought in the 382 Shortgrass Steppe. Ecosystems. 16, 20-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-012-9593-4. 383 Fan Y, Li H, Miguez-Macho G. 2013. Global Patterns of Groundwater Table Depth. Science. 339, 384 940-943. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229881. 385 Fang J, Yang W, Luan Y, Du J, Lin A, Zhao L. 2019. Evaluation of the TRMM 3B42 and GPM IMERG 386 products for extreme precipitation analysis over China. Atmospheric Research. 223, 24-387 38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.03.001. 388 Friedl M, Sulla-Menashe D. 2015. MCD12C1 MODIS/Terra+ Aqua Land Cover Type Yearly L3 389 Global 0.05 Deg CMG V006 [Data set]. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. 390 https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD12C1.006. 391 Geroy IJ, Gribb MM, Marshall HP, Chandler DG, Benner SG, McNamara JP. 2011. Aspect influences 392 on soil water retention and storage. Hydrological Processes. 25, 3836-3842. 393 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.8281. 394 Gherardi LA, Sala OE. 2015. Enhanced precipitation variability decreases grass- and increases 395 shrub-productivity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 112, 12735-12740. 396 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506433112. 397 Gherardi LA, Sala OE. 2019. Effect of interannual precipitation variability on dryland productivity: 398 А global synthesis. Global Change Biology. 25, 269-276. 399 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14480. 400 Giese M, Gao YZ, Lin S, Brueck H. 2010. Nitrogen availability in a grazed semi-arid grassland is 401 dominated by seasonal rainfall. Plant and Soil. 340, 157-167. 402 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0509-9. 403 Gong Y-H, Zhao D-M, Ke W-B, Fang C, Pei J-Y, Sun G-J, et al. 2020. Legacy effects of precipitation 404 amount and frequency on the aboveground plant biomass of a semi-arid grassland. 405 Science of The Total Environment. 705. 135899. 406 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135899. 407 Haberl H, Erb KH, Krausmann F, Gaube V, Bondeau A, Plutzar C, et al. 2007. Quantifying and 408 mapping the human appropriation of net primary production in earth's terrestrial 409 ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 104, 12942-12947. 410 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704243104. 411 Hsu JS, Adler PB. 2014. Anticipating changes in variability of grassland production due to increases

- 412 in interannual precipitation variability. Ecosphere. 5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/es13-</u>
 413 <u>00210.1</u>.
- Huang J, Yu H, Dai A, Wei Y, Kang L. 2017. Drylands face potential threat under 2 °C global
 warming target. Nature Climate Change. 7, 417-422.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3275.
- Huang X, Xiao J, Ma M. 2019. Evaluating the Performance of Satellite-Derived Vegetation Indices
 for Estimating Gross Primary Productivity Using FLUXNET Observations across the Globe.
 Remote Sensing. 11. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11151823</u>.
- Huntington TG. Chapter One Climate Warming-Induced Intensification of the Hydrologic Cycle:
 An Assessment of the Published Record and Potential Impacts on Agriculture. In: Sparks
 DL, editor. Advances in Agronomy. 109. Academic Press, 2010, pp. 1-53.
- Huxman TE, Smith MD, Fay PA, Knapp AK, Shaw MR, Loik ME, et al. 2004. Convergence across
 biomes to a common rain-use efficiency. Nature. 429, 651-654.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02561</u>.
- Jiang P, Liu H, Piao S, Ciais P, Wu X, Yin Y, et al. 2019. Enhanced growth after extreme wetness
 compensates for post-drought carbon loss in dry forests. Nature Communications. 10,
 195. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08229-z.
- Jobbágy EG, Sala OE. 2000. Controls of grass and shrub aboveground production in the
 Patagonian steppe. Ecological Applications. 10, 541-549. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-</u>
 0761(2000)010[0541:cogasa]2.0.co;2.
- 432 Jönsson P, Eklundh L. TIMESAT 3.3 Software Manual. Lund and Malmö University, Sweden, 2017.
- Kannenberg SA, Schwalm CR, Anderegg WRL. 2020. Ghosts of the past: how drought legacy effects
 shape forest functioning and carbon cycling. Ecol Lett. 23, 891-901.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13485</u>.
- Knapp AK, Beier C, Briske DD, Classen AT, Luo Y, Reichstein M, et al. 2008. Consequences of More
 Extreme Precipitation Regimes for Terrestrial Ecosystems. BioScience. 58, 811-821.
 https://doi.org/10.1641/B580908 MJ BioScience.
- Knapp AK, Ciais P, Smith MD. 2017. Reconciling inconsistencies in precipitation-productivity
 relationships: implications for climate change. New Phytologist. 214, 41-47.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14381</u>.
- Kolus HR, Huntzinger DN, Schwalm CR, Fisher JB, McKay N, Fang Y, et al. 2019. Land carbon models
 underestimate the severity and duration of drought's impact on plant productivity. Sci
 Rep. 9, 2758. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39373-1</u>.
- Lauenroth WK. Grassland primary production: North American grasslands in perspective.
 Perspectives in grassland ecology. Springer, 1979, pp. 3-24.
- Liu L, Zhang Y, Wu S, Li S, Qin D. 2018. Water memory effects and their impacts on global
 vegetation productivity and resilience. Sci Rep. 8, 2962. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-</u>
 <u>018-21339-4</u>.
- Luo Y, Jiang L, Niu S, Zhou X. 2017. Nonlinear responses of land ecosystems to variation in precipitation. New Phytol. 214, 5-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14476</u>.
- 452 Ma X, Huete A, Moran S, Ponce-Campos G, Eamus D. 2015. Abrupt shifts in phenology and
 453 vegetation productivity under climate extremes. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 454 Biogeosciences. 120, 2036-2052. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015jg003144</u>.
- 455 Min SK, Zhang X, Zwiers FW, Hegerl GC. 2011. Human contribution to more-intense precipitation

456 extremes. Nature. 470, 378-381. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09763. 457 Monger C, Sala OE, Duniway MC, Goldfus H, Meir IA, Poch RM, et al. 2015. Legacy effects in linked 458 ecological-soil-geomorphic systems of drylands. Frontiers in Ecology and the 459 Environment. 13, 13-19. https://doi.org/10.1890/140269. 460 Mu Q, Zhao M, Running SW. 2011. Improvements to a MODIS global terrestrial evapotranspiration 461 algorithm. Remote Sensing of Environment. 115, 1781-1800. 462 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.02.019. 463 Noy-Meir I. 1973. Desert Ecosystems: Environment and Producers. Annual Review of Ecology and 464 Systematics. 4, 25-51. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.04.110173.000325. 465 Oesterheld M, Loreti J, Semmartin M, Sala OE. 2001. Inter-annual variation in primary production 466 of a semi-arid grassland related to previous-year production. Journal of Vegetation Science. 12, 137-142. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2001.tb02624.x. 467 468 Peng S, Gang C, Cao Y, Chen Y. 2018. Assessment of climate change trends over the Loess Plateau 469 in China from 1901 to 2100. International Journal of Climatology. 38, 2250-2264. 470 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5331. 471 Petrie MD, Peters DPC, Yao J, Blair JM, Burruss ND, Collins SL, et al. 2018. Regional grassland 472 productivity responses to precipitation during multiyear above- and below-average 473 rainfall periods. Glob Chang Biol. 24, 1935-1951. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14024. 474 Ponce Campos GE, Moran MS, Huete A, Zhang Y, Bresloff C, Huxman TE, et al. 2013. Ecosystem 475 resilience despite large-scale altered hydroclimatic conditions. Nature. 494, 349-352. 476 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11836. Prăvălie R. 2016. Drylands extent and environmental issues. A global approach. Earth-Science 477 478 Reviews. 161, 259-278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.08.003. 479 Reichmann LG, Sala OE. 2014. Differential sensitivities of grassland structural components to 480 changes in precipitation mediate productivity response in a desert ecosystem. Functional 481 Ecology. 28, 1292-1298. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12265. 482 Reichmann LG, Sala OE, Peters DPC. 2013. Precipitation legacies in desert grassland primary 483 production occur through previous-year tiller density. Ecology. 94, 435-443. 484 https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1237.1. 485 Rudgers JA, Chung YA, Maurer GE, Moore DI, Muldavin EH, Litvak ME, et al. 2018. Climate 486 sensitivity functions and net primary production: A framework for incorporating climate 487 mean and variability. Ecology. 99, 576-582. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2136. 488 Sala OE, Gherardi LA, Reichmann L, Jobbagy E, Peters D. 2012. Legacies of precipitation 489 fluctuations on primary production: theory and data synthesis. Philosophical Transactions 490 of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 367, 3135-3144. 491 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0347. 492 Seddon AW, Macias-Fauria M, Long PR, Benz D, Willis KJ. 2016. Sensitivity of global terrestrial 493 531, 229-232. ecosystems to climate variability. Nature. 494 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16986. 495 Shangguan W, Dai Y, Duan Q, Liu B, Yuan H. 2014. A global soil data set for earth system modeling. 496 Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems. 6, 249-263. 497 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013MS000293. 498 Shen W, Jenerette GD, Hui D, Scott RL. 2016. Precipitation legacy effects on dryland ecosystem 499 carbon fluxes: direction, magnitude and biogeochemical carryovers. Biogeosciences. 13,

500	425-439. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-425-2016.					
501	Sherry RA, Arnone JA, Johnson DW, Schimel DS, Verburg PS, Luo Y. 2012. Carry over from previous					
502	vear environmental conditions alters dominance hierarchy in a prairie plant community.					
503	Journal of Plant Ecology. 5, 134-146. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtr028.					
504	Sherry RA. Weng E. Arnone lij JA. Johnson DW. Schimel DS. Verburg PS. et al. 2008. Lagged effects					
505	of experimental warming and doubled precipitation on annual and seasonal aboveground					
506	biomass production in a tallgrass prairie. Global Change Biology. 14, 2923-2936.					
507	https://doi.org/10.1111/i.1365-2486.2008.01703.x.					
508	Shi H Li L Famus D Huete A Cleverly 1 Tian X et al. 2017 Assessing the ability of MODIS EVI to					
509	estimate terrestrial ecosystem gross primary production of multiple land cover types					
510	Ecological Indicators, 72, 153-164, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ecolind.2016.08.022.					
511	Trenberth KE, 2011, Changes in precipitation with climate change. Climate Research, 47, 123-138.					
512	https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00953					
513	Wang C. Wang S. Eu B. Li Z. Wu X. Tang O. 2017. Precipitation gradient determines the tradeoff					
514	between soil moisture and soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, and species richness in the					
515	Loess Plateau China Sci Total Environ 575 1538-1545					
516	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitoteny.2016.10.047					
517	Vabdian L. Sala OF. 2006. Vegetation structure constrains primary production response to water					
518	randjan L, Sala OE. 2000. Vegetation structure constrains primary production response to water					
510	0658(2006)87[052]vccppr]2.0.co;2					
520	<u>9030(2000)07 (932.v3cpp) (2.0.c0,2</u> . Vabdian L. Sala OE. 2010. Size of Precipitation Bullos Controls Nitrogen Transformation and Lesses					
520	in an Arid Detagonian Factoriation Fulses Controls Nitrogen Transformation and Losses					
521	III all And Palagonian Ecosystem. Ecosystems. 13, 575-585.					
522	<u>Interstructures</u> 1 Cos 7 Mana C Li D at al 2020 Appelerated dayland expansion regulates					
523	rao J, Liu H, Huang J, Gao Z, Wang G, Li D, et al. 2020. Accelerated dryland expansion regulates					
524 525	https://doi.org/10.1020/c41467_020_1EE1E_2					
525	<u>IIIIps://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15515-2</u> .					
520 527	Fe J-S, Gong Y-H, Zhang F, Ken J, Bai X-K, Zheng Y. 2018a. Which Temperature and Precipitation					
527	Extremes Best Explain the variation of Warm versus Cold Years and Wet versus Dry Years?					
520 F20	Journal of Climate. 31, 45-59. <u>https://doi.org/10.11/5/jCli-d-1/-03/7.1</u> .					
529	Ye J-S, Pei J-Y, Fang C. 2018b. Under which climate and soil conditions the plant productivity-					
530	precipitation relationship is linear of nonlinear? Science of the Total Environment. 616-					
531	617, 1174-1180. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.203</u> .					
532	Ye J-S, Reynolds JF, Sun G-J, Li F-M. 2013. Impacts of increased variability in precipitation and air					
533	temperature on net primary productivity of the Tibetan Plateau: a modeling analysis.					
534	Climatic Change. 119, 321-332. <u>https://doi.org/10.100//s10584-013-0/19-2</u> .					
535	Ye JS, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Soliveres S, Maestre FT. 2019. Multifunctionality debt in global					
536	drylands linked to past biome and climate. Glob Chang Biol. 25, 2152-2161.					
537	https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14631.					
538	Zar JH. Biostatistical Analysis, Fifth Edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2009.					
539						





Fig. 1. Distributions of lagged ecosystems where productivities are significantly correlated with
 previous-year precipitation and unlagged ecosystems where productivities are not correlated with

559 previous-year precipitation in Northern Hemisphere drylands.





Fig. 2. The response of iEVI (annual integrated EVI) to annual precipitation across MAP gradient in the lagged and unlagged types (slope difference: p < 0.001). Significant codes for linear regressions: ***, p < 0.001.



Fig. 3. Comparisons of six climatic and edaphic factors between the lagged and unlagged types. (a) The lagged type has higher sensitivity in AET/PET (a measure of water availability), (b) soil organic carbon content, (c) soil total N content, (d) volumetric water content (at -10 kPa), (e) silt content, and (f) annual cloudless skies (a measure of radiation) in comparison with the unlagged type along gradients of MAP. Significant codes for linear regressions: ***, p < 0.001. Statistical differences in the slopes and intercepts of linear regression lines between the two types are shown in Table2.



Fig. 4. Percentage contributions of six climatic and edaphic factors to the divergence between the lagged and unlagged types based on SIMPER analysis. The bars are the average percentage contribution of selected pixels (n = 100 randomly sampled, repeated 10000 times). The error bars are the average standard errors of 100 randomly selected pixels in 10000 times. The contribution of each climatic-edaphic factor is significantly different from those of another factor.

Table 1 Method for dividing pixels of the Northern Hemisphere dry landmass into lagged and unlagged types. The lagged pixels fitted the multiple-year regression (i.e., p < 0.05 for the multipleyear model [iEVI_t = $a \times PPT_t + b \times PPT_{t-1} + c$] and $p \ge 0.05$ for current-year [t] model [iEVI_t = $a \times PPT_t + c$]), or previous-year (t - 1) regression (i.e., p < 0.05 for previous-year model [iEVI_t = $a \times PPT_{t-1} + c$] and $p \ge 0.05$ for the current-year model). The unlagged pixels only fitted currentyear regression (i.e., p < 0.05 for the current-year model and $p \ge 0.05$ for the multiple-year model and previous-year model).

		Regression models				
		$iEVI_t, PPT_t$	$iEVI_t, PPT_{t-1}$	$iEVI_t, PPT_t, PPT_{t-1}$		
	Lagged type	$p \ge 0.05$	<i>p</i> < 0.05	<i>p</i> < 0.05		
	Unlagged type	<i>p</i> < 0.05	$p \ge 0.05$	$p \ge 0.05$		
604						
605						
606						
607						
608						
609						
610						
611						
612						
613						
614						

Table 2 Regressions between mean annual precipitation and climatic-edaphic factors, as shown in

Fig. 3. Statistical differences in the slopes and intercepts of regression lines between the lagged and

Factors ^a	Regression of lagged type	Regression of unlagged type	Slope difference	Intercept difference
AET/PET	y=0.037x+2.6	y=0.029x+3.6	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001		
SOC	y=0.0038x+0.2	y=0.0017x+0.65	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001		
TN	y=0.00022x+0.06	y=0.0001x+0.08	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001		
VMC	y=0.011x+21.2	y=0.007x+21.7	<i>p</i> < 0.01	<i>p</i> < 0.001
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001		
SILT	y=0.0066x+31.7	y=0.0011x+32.3	<i>p</i> < 0.05	<i>p</i> < 0.001
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = 0.56		
Cloudless	y=-0.012x+56.2	y=-0.006x+54	<i>p</i> < 0.01	<i>p</i> =0.42
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001		

617 unlagged types were compared based on the procedure of Zar (2009).

soil total nitrogen contents (%); VMC is the volumetric water content at -10 kPa (%), a proxy of soil field capacity;

and SILT is silt content of soil (%); and Cloudless is annual cloudless skies (%), a measure of radiation.

621

622

^{618 &}lt;sup>a</sup> AET/PET is the ratio of actual to potential evapotranspiration (%); SOC is soil organic carbon content (%); TN is