

# Biogenic iron preserves structures during fossilization: A hypothesis

Farid Saleh, Allison Daley, Bertrand Lefebvre, Bernard Pittet, Jean-Philippe

Perrillat

# ► To cite this version:

Farid Saleh, Allison Daley, Bertrand Lefebvre, Bernard Pittet, Jean-Philippe Perrillat. Biogenic iron preserves structures during fossilization: A hypothesis. BioEssays, 2020, 42 (6), pp.1900243. 10.1002/bies.201900243 . hal-03005026

# HAL Id: hal-03005026 https://hal.science/hal-03005026v1

Submitted on 13 Nov 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title: Biogenic iron preserves structures during fossilization: A hypothesis
Subtitle: Iron from decaying tissues may stabilize their morphology in the fossil record
Farid Saleh <sup>1</sup> , Allison C. Daley <sup>2</sup> , Bertrand Lefebvre <sup>1</sup> , Bernard Pittet <sup>1</sup> , and Jean Philippe
Perrillat <sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup> Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon1, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon,
CNRS, UMR5276, LGL-TPE, Villeurbanne, France
<sup>2</sup> Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Lausanne, Géopolis, CH-1015 Lausanne,
Switzerland
Corresponding author: Farid Saleh

17 Email: farid.saleh@univ-lyon1.fr

#### 18 Summary

In this study, we hypothesize that iron from labile biological tissues, liberated during decay, 19 20 may have played a role in inhibiting loss of anatomical information during fossilization of 21 extinct organisms. Most tissues in the animal kingdom contain iron in different forms. The 22 most widely distributed iron-bearing molecule in modern taxa is ferritin, a globular protein 23 that contains iron crystallites in the form of ferrihydrite minerals. Iron concentrations in ferritin are particularly high and ferrihydrites are extremely reactive. When organisms are 24 25 decaying on the sea floor under anoxic environmental conditions, ferrihydrites may initialize 26 the selective pyritization (replication in  $FeS_2$ ) of some tissues. This model explains why some decay-prone tissues are preserved, while other more resistant structures decayed and are 27 28 absent in many fossils. It also implies that structures described as brains in Cambrian arthropods are not fossilization artifacts but instead a source of information on the anatomical 29 evolution at the dawn of complex animal life. 30

31

32 Keywords: exceptional fossil preservation, nervous systems, Burgess Shale, Fezouata Shale,
 33 Chengjiang Biota, taphonomy, mineralization

#### 34 1. Introduction

35 Inspecting the fossil record is crucial to understanding the biology of past life on earth. Exceptionally preserved biotas, preserving soft-bodied metazoans (e.g. sponges; early 36 chordates) and their labile anatomies (e.g. digestive tracts, muscles, and nervous systems) 37 constitute a unique window on ancient ecosystems<sup>[1-3]</sup>. For instance, the Burgess Shale</sup> 38 39 deposit in Canada yielded a considerable number of fossils shedding lights on spectacular Cambrian taxa preserved in high fidelity<sup>[4–10]</sup>. Exceptionally preserved soft parts in fossils 40 from the Fezouata Shale (Ordovician, Morocco) were decisive in ending long-standing 41 42 debates on the systematic affinities of various enigmatic taxa (e.g. machaeridians, stylophorans)<sup>[11–13]</sup>. The Chengjiang Biota (Cambrian, China) has also yielded a considerable 43 amount of soft arthropod taxa with complex nervous systems<sup>[14–16]</sup>. In most cases, nervous 44 tissues from the Chengjiang Biota are pyritized (i.e. preserved in  $FeS_2$ ) or show the association of pyrite and organic matter<sup>[14–17]</sup>. Pyritized tissues are frequently preserved alone 45 46 in the fossils, while other tissues or organs (except the cuticle or body walls) are completely 47 absent<sup>[17]</sup>. Experimental taphonomic studies investigating how biological tissues decay under 48 49 various, controlled laboratory conditions questioned the validity of these paleontological 50 discoveries by showing that nervous systems have little to no chance of preservation because they are observed to be rapidly lost under experimental conditions<sup>[18-20]</sup>. These experimental 51 results have consequently given rise to contrasting conceptual frameworks in the paleontology and evolutionary biology communities<sup>[21–23]</sup>. Although vital to constrain preservation<sup>[23]</sup>, 52 53 experimental decay data should be interpreted carefully and not projected directly onto 54 55 enigmatic features in the geological record because fossils are not simply rotten carcasses and decay resistance is an imperfect indicator of fossilization potential<sup>[24]</sup>. Currently, there is no 56 model accounting for the preservation of a specific labile tissue in a specimen where other 57 58 more resistant tissues are completely absent. We investigate preservation patterns in such 59 problematic structures and compare it to the patterns of pyritization in non-altered sediments. and propose an explanation for the contrast observed between the fossil record and modern 60 decay experiments. 61

62

#### 63 2. Enigmatic structures are preserved in pyrite and organic matter

64 Anatomical structures described as brains in fossils from the Chengjiang Biota were 65 investigated using X-ray fluorescence mapping, which revealed the presence of carbon and iron<sup>[17]</sup> (Fig. 1a-d). Electron microscopy shows that iron occurs either as small euhedral 66 crystals (around 2 microns in size) or as framboids (around 10 microns in size)<sup>[17]</sup> (Fig. 1e-h). 67 68 Pyrite crystal morphology indicates that pyritization occurred very early during the fossilization process, shortly after the death of the organism<sup>[25,26]</sup>. Carbon in these fossils is 69 preserved as compressed dark films<sup>[17]</sup> (Fig. 1i, j). Chengjiang fossils broken through the 70 middle show pyrite overlaying carbonaceous films on both parts<sup>[17]</sup>, pointing to a centrifugal 71 pattern of pyritization (Fig. 1k). Centrifugal pyritization, similar to patterns of tissue 72 preservation in the Chengjiang Biota, is also present in fresh core sediments (Fig. 2a) from 73 74 levels with exceptional preservation within the Fezouata Shale, where Raman spectroscopy 75 identified large pyrite clusters surrounded by organic matter (Fig. 2b-d).

FeS<sub>2</sub> precipitation in sediments requires decaying organic material, and iron that is usually 76 provided by surrounding sediments in addition to sulfates SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> from sea waters <sup>[27,28]</sup>. Under 77 sulfate-reducing conditions, bacteria transform organic matter and sulfates into HS<sup>-</sup> and then 78 to hydrogen sulfides H<sub>2</sub>S, which react with Fe in a series of reactions to form pyrite  $^{[26-28]}$ . If 79 80 the sediment surrounding dead animals is poor in organic matter, as was the case in the Fezouata Shale<sup>[29]</sup>, sulfate reduction is limited to decaying carcasses<sup>[29]</sup>. Within a decaying 81 carcass, anatomical features can react differently to decay<sup>[30]</sup>. Easily degradable structures 82 (e.g. tissues and organs formed of cells)<sup>[3]</sup> constitute a hotspot for  $H_2S$  production, whereas 83

more resistant structures (e.g. biomineralized parts), do not produce enough H<sub>2</sub>S, and thus do 84 not pyritize<sup>[31]</sup>. Furthermore, decay discrepancies exist even between different fast decaying 85 cellular structures. Some cellular structures are solely degraded by external bacterial 86 communities, while others degrade under the activity of their internal microbial biota and 87 enzymes as well<sup>[30,32]</sup>. If decay by external bacteria is dominant and iron is available, 88 89 pyritization starts at the outer part of the organic material where both H<sub>2</sub>S and Fe are present, leading to a centripetal pattern of preservation (Fig. 3a). This pattern is observed in the fossil 90 record<sup>[27]</sup> and does not refute occurrences of centrifugal pyritization, because some tissues 91 decay under the activity of their internal bacteria and enzymes. If such internal decay is 92 dominant and iron is present, more H<sub>2</sub>S is produced internally, leading to the centrifugal 93 pattern of preservation (Fig. 3b). It is likely that preserved structures in the Chengjiang Biota 94 95 and the Fezouata Shale decayed under the activity of their internal microbial biotas and 96 enzymes in the presence of iron. The proposed model based on H<sub>2</sub>S limitation and production patterns<sup>[25,27,31]</sup> can explain (1) the centrifugal pyritization of nervous systems in Cambrian 97 arthropods and (2) the association of this anatomy to non-pyritized cuticular body walls that 98 did not produce enough H<sub>2</sub>S for their pyritization<sup>[25,31]</sup>. However, it fails to explain the 99 selective pyritization of a specific cellular structure (i.e. nervous system) while other internal 100 structures (e.g. digestive and vascular systems) decaved, producing H<sub>2</sub>S, but did not pyritize. 101 102 Thus, it is crucial to investigate patterns of iron distribution in the sediment surrounding 103 decaying carcasses.

104

#### 105 **3.** Abiotic iron is not fast enough to preserve labile tissues

The most classical and widely accepted sources of iron for pyritization are abiotic<sup>[28,33]</sup>. In the 106 Fezouata Shale, iron oxides found in sediments (e.g. hematite  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; Fig. 2b-d) constitute 107 only a small fraction of the rock (i.e. <1%)<sup>[26]</sup>. However, in a comparable way to numerous 108 Cambrian sites with exceptional fossil preservation, iron-rich silicates such as 109 berthierine/chamosite are dominant (i.e. between 5 and 15% of the total rock 110 composition)<sup>[26,34]</sup>. Berthierine/chamosite results from the transformation of a primary clay 111 mineral (e.g. glauconite, odinite, kaolinite, or other similar precursor minerals)<sup>[35]</sup> under 112 anoxic conditions and high iron concentrations<sup>[26]</sup>. Thus, iron in this mineralogical phase 113 gives an estimate of the quantity of iron in the environment<sup>[26,34,35]</sup>. The formation of 114 berthierine/chamosite in the Fezouata Shale required at least  $\sim 8.10^{-5}$  M (defined here the M 115 notation) of iron (see supplementary material). These concentrations are high and are slightly 116 less than the ones in modern anoxic sediments at 10<sup>-4</sup>M and are enough to pyritize at the site 117 of decav<sup>[27]</sup>. Thus, in theory and in terms of concentrations, abiotic iron is not a limiting 118 parameter in levels with exceptional preservation in the Fezouata Shale<sup>[26]</sup> in a similar way to 119 sites with exceptional fossil preservation from the Cambrian<sup>[34,36]</sup>. However, there must have 120 been other parameters controlling the availability of this iron during soft tissues degradation 121 122 and inhibiting pyrite from replicating all internal systems. Laboratory experiments have shown that most anatomical structures in soft animals decay very fast within hours or days 123 after death<sup>[18,20,37]</sup>. For instance, nervous tissues decayed under 11 days for chordates and under 4 days for ecdysozoans<sup>[18,20]</sup>. On the contrary, most iron-rich phases in contact with H<sub>2</sub>S require longer times to deliver their iron (Table 1)<sup>[38]</sup>. This timing exceeds the timing of 124 125 126 biological tissue decay, especially for labile anatomies such as the brain <sup>[18,20,32,37]</sup>. Thus, 127 another source of available iron must exist in order to selectively pyritize a tissue/organ 128 129 shortly after the death of the organism.

130

#### 131 4. Biogenic iron is available during decay

132 If abiotic iron is not enough to start the pyritization process investigation on biogenic iron 133 source should take place. In analyzed samples (i.e. thin sections) from the Fezouata Shale,

- maghemite (i.e.  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> structurally similar to magnetite) is associated with pyrite (Fig. 2b-d). 134 Two widely recognized mechanisms for maghemite formation  $exist^{[39,40]}$ . In the first 135 mechanism, lepidocrocite, a fibrous iron oxide-hydroxide, transforms partially to maghemite 136 at temperatures around 200°C and completely at temperatures higher than 570°C<sup>[39]</sup>. 137 Maghemite can also result from buried ferrihydrites at temperatures between 100 and 300° 138 139  $C^{[40]}$ . Sediments from the Fezouata Shale were cooked at temperatures between 100 and 200°C<sup>[26,41]</sup>. These temperatures and the absence of lepidocrocite in the analyzed samples but 140 also from tens of other intervals in the Fezouata Shale<sup>[26]</sup> indicate that maghemite in these 141 samples originates most probably from ferrihydrites. Ferrihydrite is a mineral with a wide 142 143 biological distribution that can explain why maghemite is only found in association with 144 pyritized organic matter and not in the sediment.
- In all animals, ferritin is a metalloprotein that stores an excess of iron in the form of a hydrous 145 ferric oxide-phosphate mineral [FeO(OH)]<sub>8</sub> [FeO(H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>)] similar in structure to the mineral 146 ferrihydrite<sup>[42,43]</sup>. Ferritin-ferrihydrites are found in nervous systems, muscles and sensory 147 organs such as the eyes<sup>[44-46]</sup>. Ferritin is capable of storing as many as 4,500 iron atoms in its 148 core (i.e. concentration equivalent to 0.25M)<sup>[46]</sup>. Increased accumulations of ferritin-149 ferrihydrites were evidenced in marine invertebrates after their exposure to dysoxic/anoxic 150 conditions<sup>[47]</sup> comparable to the environments in which animals from the Chengjiang Biota and the Fezouata Shale were preserved<sup>[48,49]</sup>. In experimental studies, it was shown that under 151 152 bacterial sulfate reducing (BSR) conditions and when sulfates are present, ferrihydrites 153 release high quantities (~ 87%) of reactive  $Fe^{[50]}$  (i.e. 0.22M). This iron delivery is 40% 154 higher than the yield from the same quantity of hematite<sup>[50]</sup>. Furthermore, ferrihydrite is the 155 fastest to deliver reactive iron (Table 1), with a half-life under BSR conditions of only 2.8 156 hours<sup>[38]</sup>. Ferrihydrite is also a solid phase meaning that it does not migrate<sup>[51]</sup>. Thus, 0.11M 157 of iron becomes available *in-situ* within a couple of hours of the start of decay. These 158 concentrations are well above those in modern anoxic sediments<sup>[27]</sup>, and are definitely enough 159 to initiate pyritization at the site of decay. 160
- 161

# 162 5. Biogenic iron explains the selective pyritization of soft anatomies

Ferrihydrite in biological tissues constitutes a local source that rapidly provides high 163 quantities of reactive Fe<sup>[38]</sup> that can initialize the process of pyritization. In this sense, shortly 164 after the death of an organism, decay of the most labile tissue starts producing H<sub>2</sub>S. If this 165 166 tissue contains ferrihydrites, it produces as well a considerable amount of reactive iron (Fig. 167 4). The produced  $H_2S$  and Fe react to form pyrite nuclei (Fig. 4) that further growth from  $H_2S$ and Fe availability as decay occurs (Fig. 4). The extensive activity of decay leads also to the 168 169 degradation of more resistant tissues (Fig. 4). However, if these less labile tissues are iron 170 poor, they produce only H<sub>2</sub>S without iron (Fig. 4). Thus, the replication of such tissue in pyrite is not initiated, leading to a loss of the original morphology or even the complete 171 172 disappearance of the tissue/organ (Fig. 4). When abiotic iron becomes available, it can play a 173 role in pyrite growth in tissues that previously provided biogenic iron (Fig. 4). This hypothesis shows how biogenic iron stabilizes the morphology of decay-prone anatomical 174 175 structures, before the less reactive iron phases become available.

176

# 177 6. Hypothesis testing requires a multidisciplinary approach

178 Although fossil mineralization is common in the geological record<sup>[53-58]</sup>, little work has been 179 done to investigate the role of tissue chemistry during the mineralization process. Recently, it

- 180 was suggested that the recurrent association of a particular mineralogical phase
- 181 fluorapatite, Fe-sulfides (pyrite, pyrrhotite)

- with a specific tissue in La Voulte-sur-Rhône (Jurassic, France), (precise the nature of the
  mineralogical phase ? the type of tissue? And/or organism? Age and context sedim) can be
  due to differences in the original biochemical signal of the organic matter<sup>[52]</sup>.
- 185 crustacean fossils preserved within carbonate-rich concretions from the Jurassic Konservat-186 Lagerstätte of La Voulte-sur-Rhône (Ardèche, France)
- However, much work remains to be done to precise the fate and behavior of biogenic ironduring the taphonomic processes, and fully enlighten the black box of pyritization.
- 189
- 190 In order to test the hypothesis and determine the precise roles played by biogenic iron and 191 iron from sediments, several lines of investigation should be undertaken combining 192 geochemical, biological and experimental taphonomy approaches.
- 193
- 194

195 It would be ideal to start testing the hypothesis on nonweathered fossils. However, to our 196 knowledge, no pyritized fossils from completely fresh sediments are discovered yet. Until 197 then, yielding investigations on fresh pyrite, not particularly associated with any fossil can 198 also be helpful because pyrite formation requires organic matter, and different organic 199 materials reflect different original biochemical compositions.

- 200 >> these sentences are not clear for me...
- 201

Iron isotopic investigations on pyrite crystals from both the sediments and the pyritized fossils would help to decipher the multiple iron-sources and their role in pyritization. If these isotopic investigations were made at the nanoscale, they can inform on the source and chronology of iron delivery from the initiation of pyrite precipitation to the subsequent pyrite crystals growth.

- 207
- 208 What about sulfur isotopes?
- 209 Do you think minor elements (bio-related?) would be of interest?
- 210

Biological approaches are also very helpful in testing this biogenic iron hypothesis, for example by making a comparison between iron concentrations in different modern animal groups and those measured in pyritized tissues found in the fossil record. An even more detailed approach would be to quantify iron in different kinds of tissues within the same group. For instance, according to this hypothesis, if a specific group shows a higher concentration of iron in a specific tissue, we would expect to find this particular structure pyritized more often than the others in the geological record.

All these quantitative data will also help calibrate the new proposed model and understand its 218 219 feasibility in natural environments. Most importantly, future decay experiments should focus not only on the general environmental conditions that lead to exceptional preservation, but 220 also on the chemical signature surrounding each tissue during its degradation independently 221 222 from the physical ability of this tissue to resist decay. These decay experiments should be 223 done in the presence of different sediment compositions and under different bacterial 224 communities to see if decaying carcasses act variously under different environmental conditions. Once iron sources, iron quantities in biological tissues, decay behavior, in addition 225 226 to favorable sedimentological phases are discovered, pyrite precipitation from biological 227 tissues could be replicated in laboratory aquariums.

- 228
- 229
- 230

231 Further isotopic investigations along this lines of the data presented here should be 232 undertaken on pyrite crystals should to determine iron sources at different stages of the 233 mineralization process. Additionally, the possible role of a biogenic iron source in other exceptionally preserved biotas could be explored in this context<sup>[40]</sup>. For example, at the 234 Burgess Shale (Cambrian, Canada), nervous systems are preserved as carbonaceous compressions without any pyrite<sup>[41,42]</sup> since overall conditions were favorable for 235 236 phosphatization<sup>[43]</sup>. Nevertheless, berthierine, an iron-rich mineral known to slow down 237 decay<sup>[44]</sup>, is found in all levels with exceptional preservation. Future studies should investigate 238 if this iron-rich mineral, also reported in hundreds of other intervals with exceptional 239 preservation around the world<sup>[26]</sup>, is preferentially associated to specific labile soft parts. 240

Biological approaches for hypothesis testing including quantitative iron-analysis of modern
tissues and animals using mass spectrometry to help calibrate the new proposed model.

Finally, future decay experiments should focus not only on the general environmental
conditions that lead to exceptional preservation, but also on the chemical signature
surrounding each tissue during its degradation independently from the physical ability of this
tissue to resist decay.

- 247
- 248
- 249
- 250

#### 251

# 252 7. Conclusions and outlook

The present biogenic iron hypothesis helps us understand the sole presence of the most-labile 253 tissues in some specimens where other more decay-resistant soft parts are absent. It also 254 255 shows that pyritization starts very early during decay, preserving in high fidelity tissues that are originally iron-rich, resolving the morphological accuracy of Cambrian arthropod brains. 256 257 Furthermore, it indicates that both decay experiments and paleontological descriptions are 258 complementary, not incompatible. It opens new avenues of research by highlighting the 259 importance of tissue chemistry during the fossilization process especially in the case of nervous tissues that are preserved in carbonaceous compressions without any pyrite <sup>[59–61]</sup>. 260

261

# 262 Acknowledgments

263 Figure 1a-j is used with minor edits from Ma et al., Preservational pathways of corresponding 264 brains of a Cambrian euarthropod, Current Biology, Volume 25, Issue 22, p. 7, 2015, with 265 permission from Elsevier. This paper is a contribution to the TelluS-INTERRVIE project 'Géochimie d'un Lagerstätte de l'Ordovicien inférieur du Maroc' (2019) funded by the INSU 266 267 (Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers, France), CNRS. This paper is also a contribution 268 to the International Geoscience Program (IGCP) Project 653 - The onset of the Great Ordovician Biodiversification Event. The Raman facility in Lyon (France) is supported by the 269 INSU. ACD's contribution is supported by Grant no. 205321 179084 from the Swiss 270 271 National Science Foundation. The authors thank Gilles Montagnac for assistance during 272 Raman spectroscopy analyses. We also thank Robert Raiswell, Christian Klug, and the 273 anonymous reviewers for their comments and remarks.

274

# 275 Conflict of interest

- 276 None
- 277

#### 278 References

P. Van Roy, P. J. Orr, J. P. Botting, L. A. Muir, J. Vinther, B. Lefebvre, K. El Hariri,
 D. E. G. Briggs, *Nature* 2010, 465, 215.

- [2] A. C. Daley, J. B. Antcliffe, H. B. Drage, S. Pates, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2018, *115*, 5323.
- [3] F. Saleh, J. B. Antcliffe, B. Lefebvre, B. Pittet, L. Laibl, F. Perez Peris, L. Lustri, P.
  Gueriau, A. C. Daley, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 2020, *529*, DOI
  10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115873.
- 286 [4] J. Moysiuk, M. R. Smith, J.-B. Caron, *Nature* 2017, 541, 394.
- 287 [5] J. Moysiuk, J. B. Caron, Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2019, 286, 20182314.
- 288 [6] K. Nanglu, J. B. Caron, *Curr. Biol.* 2018, 28, 319.
- 289 [7] A. C. Daley, G. E. Budd, J. B. Caron, G. D. Edgecombe, D. Collins, *Science (80-. ).* 290 2009, *323*, 1597.
- [8] J. Vannier, J. Liu, R. Lerosey-Aubril, J. Vinther, A. C. Daley, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5,
   1.
- 293 [9] M. R. Smith, J.-B. Caron, *Nature* 2010, 465, 469.
- [10] T. P. Topper, L. C. Strotz, L. E. Holmer, Z. Zhang, N. N. Tait, J. B. Caron, *BMC Evol. Biol.* 2015, *15*, 42.
- 296 [11] J. Vinther, P. Van Roy, D. E. G. Briggs, *Nature* 2008, 451, 185.
- 297 [12] J. Vinther, L. Parry, D. E. G. Briggs, P. Van Roy, *Nature* 2017, 542, 471.
- 298 [13] B. Lefebvre, T. E. Guensburg, E. L. O. Martin, R. Mooi, E. Nardin, M. Nohejlová, F.
  299 Saleh, K. Kouraïss, K. El Hariri, B. David, *Geobios* 2019, *52*, DOI
- 300 10.1016/j.geobios.2018.11.001.
- 301 [14] G. Tanaka, X. Hou, X. Ma, G. D. Edgecombe, N. J. Strausfeld, *Nature* 2013, 502, 364.
- 302 [15] P. Cong, X. Ma, X. Hou, G. D. Edgecombe, N. J. Strausfeld, *Nature* 2014, *513*, 538.
- 303 [16] X. Ma, X. Hou, G. D. Edgecombe, N. J. Strausfeld, *Nature* 2012, 490, 258.
- 304 [17] X. Ma, G. D. Edgecombe, X. Hou, T. Goral, N. J. Strausfeld, *Curr. Biol.* 2015, 25, 2969.
- 306 [18] R. S. Sansom, S. E. Gabbott, M. A. Purnell, *Nature* 2010, 463, 797.
- 307 [19] D. J. E. Murdock, S. E. Gabbott, G. Mayer, M. A. Purnell, *BMC Evol. Biol.* 2014, *14*,
   308 DOI 10.1186/s12862-014-0222-z.
- 309 [20] R. S. Sansom, Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 1.
- 310 [21] J. Liu, M. Steiner, J. A. Dunlop, D. Shu, *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2018, 285, DOI 10.1098/rspb.2018.0051.
- 312 [22] F. Saleh, B. Lefebvre, A. W. Hunter, M. Nohejlová, *Micros. Today* 2020, 28, 2.
- 313 [23] M. A. Purnell, P. J. C. Donoghue, S. E. Gabbott, M. E. McNamara, D. J. E. Murdock,
  314 R. S. Sansom, *Palaeontology* 2018, *61*, 317.
- L. A. Parry, F. Smithwick, K. K. Nordén, E. T. Saitta, J. Lozano-Fernandez, A. R.
  Tanner, J.-B. Caron, G. D. Edgecombe, D. E. G. Briggs, J. Vinther, *BioEssays* 2018, 40, 1700167.
- 318 [25] S. E. Gabbott, H. Xian-guang, M. J. Norry, D. J. Siveter, *Geology* 2004, 32, 901.
- 319 [26] F. Saleh, B. Pittet, J. Perrillat, B. Lefebvre, *Geology* 2019, 47, 1.
- J. D. Schiffbauer, S. Xiao, Y. Cai, A. F. Wallace, H. Hua, J. Hunter, H. Xu, Y. Peng,
  A. J. Kaufman, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, *5*, 5754.
- 322 [28] R. Raiswell, K. Whaler, S. Dean, M. . Coleman, D. E. . Briggs, *Mar. Geol.* 1993, *113*,
   323 89.
- 324 [29] R. R. Gaines, D. E. G. Briggs, P. J. Orr, P. Van Roy, *Palaios* 2012, 27, 317.
- 325 [30] D. E. G. Briggs, A. J. Kear, *Paleobiology* 1993, 19, 107.
- 326 [31] U. C. Farrell, *Paleontol. Soc. Pap.* **2014**, *20*, 35.
- 327 [32] A. D. Butler, J. A. Cunningham, G. E. Budd, P. C. J. Donoghue, *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol.* 328 *Sci.* 2015, *282*, 20150476.
- 329 [33] R. Raiswell, D. E. Canfield, R. A. Berner, Chem. Geol. 1994, 111, 101.
- 330 [34] R. P. Anderson, N. J. Tosca, R. R. Gaines, N. Mongiardino Koch, D. E. G. Briggs,

- **331** *Geology* **2018**, *46*, 347.
- 332 [35] D. Tang, X. Shi, G. Jiang, X. Zhou, Q. Shi, Am. Mineral. 2017, 102, 2317.
- 333 [36] E. A. Sperling, C. J. Wolock, A. S. Morgan, B. C. Gill, M. Kunzmann, G. P.
- Halverson, F. A. Macdonald, A. H. Knoll, D. T. Johnston, *Nature* 2015, *523*, 451.
- 335 [37] A. D. Hancy, J. B. Antcliffe, *Geobiology* 2020.
- 336 [38] D. E. Canfield, R. Raiswell, S. Bottrell, Am. J. Sci. 1992, 292, 659.
- 337 [39] T. S. Gendler, V. P. Shcherbakov, M. J. Dekkers, A. K. Gapeev, S. K. Gribov, E.
   338 McClelland, *Geophys. J. Int.* 2005, *160*, 815.
- 339 [40] L. Mazzetti, P. J. Thistlethwaite, J. Raman Spectrosc. 2002, 33, 104.
- 340 [41] G. M. H. Ruiz, U. Helg, F. Negro, T. Adatte, M. Burkhard, *Swiss J. Geosci.* 2008, *101*, 387.
- 342 [42] F. M. Michel, V. Barron, J. Torrent, M. P. Morales, C. J. Serna, J.-F. Boily, Q. Liu, A.
- 343 Ambrosini, A. C. Cismasu, G. E. Brown, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2010, *107*, 2787.
- 344 [43] N. D. Chasteen, P. M. Harrison, J. Struct. Biol. 1999, 126, 182.
- K. Hoda, C. L. Bowlus, T. W. Chu, J. R. Gruen, *Emery Rimoin's Princ. Pract. Med. Genet.* 2013, 1.
- 347 [45] E. M. Aldred, C. Buck, K. Vall, E. M. Aldred, C. Buck, K. Vall, *Pharmacology* 2009, 331.
- 349 [46] D. Dunaief, A. Cwanger, J. L. Dunaief, Handb. Nutr. Diet Eye 2014, 619.
- 350 [47] K. Larade, K. B. Storey, J. Exp. Biol. 2004, 207, 1353.
- 351 [48] F. Saleh, Y. Candela, D. A. T. Harper, M. Polechovà, B. Pittet, B. Lefebvre, *Palaios* 352 2018, *33*, 535.
- [49] E. L. O. Martin, B. Pittet, J.-C. Gutiérrez-Marco, J. Vannier, K. El Hariri, R. LeroseyAubril, M. Masrour, H. Nowak, T. Servais, T. R. A. Vandenbroucke, P. Van Roy, R.
  Vaucher, B. Lefebvre, *Gondwana Res.* 2016, *34*, 274.
- 356 [50] Y.-L. Li, H. Vali, J. Yang, T. J. Phelps, C. L. Zhang, Geomicrobiol. J. 2006, 23, 103.
- 357 [51] S. Wang, L. Lei, D. Zhang, G. Zhang, R. Cao, X. Wang, J. Lin, Y. Jia, *J. Hazard.* 358 *Mater.* 2020, 384, 121365.
- 359 [52] C. Jauvion, S. Bernard, P. Gueriau, C. Mocuta, S. Pont, K. Benzerara, S. and
  360 Charbonnier, *Palaeontology* 2019, 1.
- 361 [53] D. E. Briggs, S. H. Bottrell, R. and Raiswell, *Geology* 1991, 19(12), 1221.
- 362 [54] T. A. Hegna, M. J. Martin, S. A. Darroch, *Geology* 2017, 45, 199.
- 363 [55] L. Frey, A. Pohle, M. Rücklin, C. Klug, *Lethaia* 2019.
- J. Rust, A. Bergmann, C. Bartels, B. Schoenemann, S. Sedlmeier, G. Kühl, *Arthropod Struct. Dev.* 2016, 45, 140.
- 366 [57] T. W. Kammer, C. Bartels, W. I. Ausich, *Lethaia* **2016**, *49*, 301.
- 367 [58] R. Raiswell, R. Newton, S. H. Bottrell, P. M. Coburn, D. E. Briggs, D. P. Bond, S. W.
  368 Poulton, *Am. J. Sci.* 2008, *308*, 105.
- 369 [59] J. Ortega-Hernández, R. Lerosey-Aubril, S. Pates, *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2019, 286, 20192370.
- 371 [60] J. Ortega-Hernández, Curr. Biol. 2015, 25, 1625.
- 372 [61] L. Parry, J.-B. Caron, Sci. Adv. 2019, 5, eaax5858.
- 373 [62] S. Das, M. J. Hendry, Chem. Geol. 2011, 290, 101.
- 374

# 375 Figure captions

- **Figure 1.** Preservation of Cambrian brains in *Fuxanhuia protensa* from the Chengjiang Biota.
- a) YKLP 15006 shows dark brown areas interpreted as nervous tissues under direct
- 378 illumination. b) Carbon distribution in the studied specimen. c) Iron distribution. d) Merged
- iron and carbon signals show an almost perfect superposition between these two elements.
- 380 White arrows indicate the rare places were both elements do not co-occur. e-h) Iron is

- 381 preserved in small euhedral and framboidal pyrite. i, j) Minerals overlay dark compressed 382 carbonaceous material. The distribution of carbonaceous films under pyrite minerals in both 383 part and counter-part suggest a centrifugal pattern of pyritization (k).
- **Figure 2.** Pyritization in the Fezouata Shale. Pyrite crystals marked by white arrows in fresh core deposits (a) showing a centrifugal pattern of pyritization (b, c). Colored points in (b) and (c) correspond to the spectra shown in (d). Iron oxide phase identification is based on Raman peak indexation in natural samples<sup>[62]</sup>.
- 388
- Label each peak with the value of the Raman shift in cm-1, it is easier for the reader to compare data.
- 391
- For the hematite and maghemite, do you have signal in the 1000- 1600 cm-1 range for comparaison with the other spectra??
- 394
- 395
- **Figure 3.** Patterns of soft tissue decay. a) Soft parts decaying under the activity of external
- bacteria lead to a centripetal pyritization. b) Soft parts decaying under their own bacterial
- 398 community and enzymes contribute in a centrifugal pyritization.
- **Figure 4.** Hypothesis for labile tissue preservation and resistant tissue loss.
- 400

Iron phase	Half-life
Goethite	11.5 days
Hematite	31 days
Magnetite	105 years
Reactive silicates	230 years
Sheet silicates	84000 years
Augite, amphibole	>84000 years

Table 1. Half-lives of iron phases under permissive conditions for pyrite precipitation. (references ?) – what do you mean for "reactive" silicates? 



Figure 1





