

Comparing Slip Distribution of an Active Fault System at Various Timescales: Insights for the Evolution of the Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove Fault System in Central Apennines

Irene Puliti, Alberto Pizzi, Lucilla Benedetti, Alessandra Di Domenica, Jules Fleury

▶ To cite this version:

Irene Puliti, Alberto Pizzi, Lucilla Benedetti, Alessandra Di Domenica, Jules Fleury. Comparing Slip Distribution of an Active Fault System at Various Timescales: Insights for the Evolution of the Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove Fault System in Central Apennines. Tectonics, 2020, 39 (9), 10.1029/2020TC006200. hal-03004675

HAL Id: hal-03004675 https://hal.science/hal-03004675v1

Submitted on 30 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- **Comparing slip distribution of an active fault system at various timescales:**
- 2 insights for the evolution of the Mt. Vettore- Mt. Bove fault system in Central

3 Apennines

4 I.Puliti ¹, A. Pizzi ¹, L. Benedetti ², A. Di Domenica ¹, J. Fleury ²

- ¹ University of Chieti- Pescara 'G.d'Annunzio', InGeo, Chieti, Italy
- ² Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, INRA, Coll France, CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence, France
- 7 Corresponding author: Irene Puliti (irene.puliti@unich.it)

9 **Key Points:**

8

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2016 coseismic ruptures along the Mt. Vettore fault system are compared with Holocene
 offsets on fault scarps and Quaternary geological throws.
 - Maximum fault throw has been localized at the system southern tip over the last 18 kyr
- Pre-existing thrust acts as a transversal barrier for the southward fault system's evolution
- **14** .

Abstract

(Mw 6.0, Mw 5.9, and Mw 6.5), associated with clear coseismic ruptures. Based on high-resolution topography and geological field data, we determined the displacements of the VBFS. The distributions of the coseismic and post-glacial displacements exhibit similar asymmetric shapes, suggesting self-similar slip profiles over the last 18 kyr. The highest displacement during the 2016 events is localized on the southern Mt. Vettore segments, the southern tip of the VBFS, which also showed the maximum throw of 32 m over the last 18 kyr yielding to a very fast throw rate of 1.6 ±0.5 mm/yr. Assuming a constant throw rate, the geological displacement we determined suggests

an inception age of 200-250 kyr for the surface rupturing faults that ruptured in 2016 on the Mt.

In 2016, the Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove normal fault system (VBFS) broke during three earthquakes

Vettore sector. This value can be interpreted as the minimum age for the onset of those faults in the
Mt.Vettore and might result from a southward shift of VBFS activity with a change of the fault
system pattern. We infer that the observed slip distribution, maximum at Mt. Vettore and taperingoff southward, might be due to the VBFS lengthening processes toward the Laga Fault and its
interaction with pre-existing structure such as OAS thrust that might play a key role in controlling
the VBFS's evolution.

1 Introduction

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Long-term fault escarpments are built by the addition of incremental earthquakes and thus contain key information on earthquake rupture processes, where the rupture stopped and where it has propagated during those past events. Several studies have proposed that earthquake ruptures may be arrested by structural and/or geometrical complexities, such as fault intersections and step-overs, (Wesnousky 1988, Bejar-Pizarro et al. 2013; Klinger 2000). Constraining the spatial extent of a coseismic rupture (e.g., where it may be arrested during an earthquake), is fundamental for deriving the expected earthquake magnitude, essential for seismic design and population preparedness. However, few datasets exist to unravel whether the segmentation seen in coseismic ruptures is characteristic through time and, if yes, over which time scales. The seismic sequence that occurred in 2016 in Central Italy represents a great chance to observe and understand how a normal fault system evolves because the detailed pattern of the 2016 coseismic throw can be compared to the cumulative displacements that can be constrained from geological and geomorphological analysis. This sequence has been characterized by three spatially and temporally clustered mainshocks that are localized within a 25 km-long area that is oriented NNW-SSE (the active Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove fault system, VBFS): 24 August, Mw 6.0; 26 October, Mw 5.9; and 30 October, Mw 6.5 (Civico et al., 2018, Villani et al., 2018a). The surface faulting that is associated with the 30 October earthquake (maximum dip-slip displacement of ~2 m; Villani et al., 2018a) is one of the clearest and most spectacular coseismic ruptures that have been observed after an earthquake in the

Mediterranean area in recent decades. The coseismic slip, however, is complex and is distributed within several rupturing segments. How the rupture propagated through these various segments and what role inherited structures plays in this complex pattern are still debated (see Porreca et al. 2020) for a complete discussion and references therein). In particular, several models have been proposed that emphasize the presence and the role of structural barriers at depth in the rupture propagation and arrest (e.g., Chiaraluce et al., 2017; Chiarabba et al., 2018; Falcucci et al., 2018; Lavecchia et al., 2016; Pizzi et al., 2017; Scognamiglio et al., 2018; Walters et al., 2018). Here we provide a set of data of the surface fault displacement at different time scales allowing to discuss the temporal and spatial evolution of this active normal fault system. We investigated the entire VBFS displacement at three different time scales, hereafter referred to as: "long-term", (~ 1 Myr); i.e. based on the geological slip accumulation during Quaternary extension; "mid-term" (~ last 18 kyr), i.e. derived from geomorphic evidence of faulting following the Last Glacial Maximum (see further explanation in section 3); "short-term", during an instantaneous seismic event. Iezzi et al., (2018), Brozzetti et al. (2019) and Porreca et al. (2020) have also compared the geological and the co-seismic displacement but focusing on the Mt Vettore fault. We compared the 2016 coseismic slip distribution along the entire VBFS system with morphological and geological throws (i.e., vertical-displacement component) from topographic profiles, cross-sections, geological horizons, and field surveys. Our results can better constrain how a normal fault system evolves in the short-, mid- and long-term and how its evolution is influenced by fault segmentation and structural barriers. We also investigate the link between surface observations and structures at depth.

2 Seismotectonics of the area

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

2.1 Regional geological framework

- 73 The Apennine chain is an NE-verging imbricate fold-and-thrust belt that developed from the
- Oligocene along the margin of the Adriatic microplate (Carminati & Doglioni, 2012; Patacca et al.,

2008 and reference therein). The chain is now experiencing post-orogenic ENE-oriented Quaternary 75 76 extension (Barchi et al., 2000; Calamita & Pizzi, 1994; Galadini & Galli, 2000; Lavecchia et al., 1994; Pizzi et al., 2002) with regional extension rates of 3-4 mm/yr (D'Agostino et al., 2008; Faure 77 Walker et al., 2009) related to the relative motion between the Adriatic microplate and the 78 Tyrrhenian coastal region (D'Agostino et al., 2011; D'Agostino, 2014; Devoti et al., 2017). In the 79 study area, the main structure of the chain is represented by the Olevano-Antrodoco-Sibillini (OAS) 80 81 thrust, an arc-shaped thrust front that strikes NW to the north and NNE to the south with respect to the Mt. Vettore pivot, or obliquely to the NNW-SSE-trending Quaternary normal fault systems 82 (Figure 1). The OAS thrust affects Mesozoic-Tertiary sedimentary successions that are 83 84 characterized by platform-to-basinal limestones, marly limestones, and marls and Messinian turbidite siliciclastic deposits by superposing the carbonate units over the Messinian deposits of the 85 Laga Fm (Figure 2.a; Calamita & Deiana, 1988; Mazzoli et al., 2005; Pierantoni et al., 2013). 86 87 The location and orientation of the OAS thrust seem to have been strongly controlled by a preexisting Early Jurassic normal-fault zone: the NNE-SSW Ancona-Anzio lineament. This lineament 88 89 has been interpreted as a high-angle fault zone that is approximately one hundred kilometers long and acted during the Mesozoic-Early Tertiary as a syn-sedimentary extensional fault system 90 (Castellarin et al., 1982; Parotto & Praturlon, 1975). This fault separated the Umbria-Marche 91 pelagic domains to the west from the Lazio-Abruzzi carbonate platform domain to the east 92 (Castellarin et al., 1978). During the Neogene, this regional paleogeographic boundary experienced 93 positive inversion through a fold-and-thrust belt contractional phase, which worked as an oblique 94 95 high-angle thrust ramp (e.g., Calamita et al., 2011; 2012; Lavecchia, 1985; Tavarnelli et al., 2004). On the other hand, the shallower portion of the OAS thrust surface, i.e., the first 2-3 km, shows 96 low-angle geometry and is locally folded by NW-SE-/NNW-SSE-trending anticlines that developed 97 in its footwall (Alberti et al., 1996; Di Domenica et al., 2012, Porreca et al., 2018). 98 In recent decades, several authors suggested that the OAS thrust could represent an oblique 99 structural barrier to the growth and associated seismicity of active Quaternary seismogenic 100

extensional faults (Pizzi & Galadini, 2009; Di Domenica et al., 2012). Evidence consistent with this 101 102 idea was presented by the spatial and temporal evolution of the 2016 seismic sequence, where the OAS played a major role in the slip propagation rupture halting, or in fault slip accommodating 103 extension (e.g. Chiaraluce et al., 2017; Pizzi et al., 2017, Walters et al., 2018). 104 Additionally, the structural setting of the area is complicated by the presence of other pre-, syn- and 105 post-thrusting normal faults from different extensional phases that are widely recognized in the 106 107 Apennines, such as the Neogene normal faulting occurred alongside crustal and lithospheric foreland flexural processes, influencing the deposition of foredeep sequences (Tavani et al., 2015; 108 Tavarnelli & Peacock, 1999). Those faults experienced a Neogene positive inversion with the 109 110 compressional phase and, then, a negative inversion during the Quaternary (e.g. Di Domenica et al., 2012 and reference therein). The Quaternary normal faults overprinted the Neogene contractional 111 structures (e.g., Calamita and Pizzi, 1994; Lavecchia et al., 1994; Galadini & Galli, 2000), since at 112 least the Early Pleistocene (1.1-1.2 Ma according to Blumetti and Dramis, 1992; Coltorti et al., 113 1998; Calamita et al., 1999). 114

2.2 Monte Vettore – Monte Bove fault system

115

The Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove normal fault system (VBFS), which was responsible for the 2016 Central 116 Italy seismic sequence, represents one of the easternmost active fault systems that affect the 117 Central-North Apennines at the Sibillini Mt. Range (Figure 1). The VBFS is located in the hanging 118 wall of the OAS thrust and consists of a ~26 km-long, N150°-striking, SW-dipping normal-fault 119 system with prevailing Quaternary activity, alongside dozens of 1-4 km-long en echelon segments 120 121 that are accompanied by synthetic and antithetic splays in the main fault's hanging wall (Figure 2). 122 The VBFS's activity strongly controls both the Quaternary deposition and present-day physiography in the Sibillini Mts., which are characterized by two different morphologies in their 123 eastern and western flanks (Figure 2.b). The uplifting footwall block coincides with the eastern 124 125 flank of the mountain range, while the hanging wall hosts a gentle planation surface westward. The 126 eastern portion consists of several valleys, of which many are narrow canyons that are oriented E-W

and flow eastward into the Adriatic Sea. The drainage divide is located at the ridge crest of the
Sibillini Mts. Close to the range's top, moraines deposits in glacial valleys and cirque morphologies
testify the presence of local glaciers above 1750 m at around 28 kyr, contemporaneous to those on
the Gran Sasso Massif, with a minor final expansion at 18 kyr (Giraudi, 2003). The western flank
has a smoother morphology characterized by large valleys mainly oriented N-S (Coltorti &
Farabollini 1995; Pizzi et al., 2002). Several authors (Blumetti et al., 1993; Calamita & Pizzi, 1994;
Coltorti & Pieruccini, 2000; Pizzi & Scisciani, 2000) described this smooth topography as a major
and unique planation surface from the late lower Pliocene. The VBFS's trace is visible in the
morphology and is associated with an abrupt change in the mountain slope that underlined well-
preserved fault scarps throughout the system except in areas where erosional/depositional processes
are strong like in the Ussita valley.
This system was mapped and described in detail for the first time by Calamita & Pizzi (1992).
These authors recognized a main NNW-SSE fault trend that was marked by the presence of several
kilometer-long sub-parallel primary and secondary faults (both synthetic and antithetic) with
oblique transfer-fault segments, en echelon patterns, and relay zones. In the southernmost sector,
two distinct en echelon segments can be recognized at high elevations along Mt. Vettore's western
slope, while N160° basal faults with large geologic throws (i.e., >1000 m) border a large endorheic
basin: the Piano Grande (Castelluccio Basin), a 12 km-long and 8 km-wide intermountain basin at
~1300 m a.s.l. (Figure 2). The faults high on the flanks of the Mt. Vettore and the one bounding the
Piano Grande may sole into a major structure at depth. The development of this basin is also
controlled by other differently oriented normal faults around the marginal depression, showing
conjugated faults that trend $N20^\circ$ as recognized by tomography (e.g., Villani et al., 2018). The SW-
dipping fault bounding the Piano Grande exhibits a great geological displacement of 1000 m (e.g.,
Calamita et al., 1992; Pierantoni et al., 2013), but there is no morphological evidence of recent
activity with alluvial fans and debris-slope deposits that appears undisturbed since the Middle
Pleistocene (Coltorti and Farabollini, 1995). Galadini and Galli (2003) revealed a recent activity

153	(i.e. at least 3 events before the 2016 event) on a secondary fault (i.e., total morphological throw \leq 3
154	m and 960 m-long, Figure 2) running 900 m west far from the basin-bounding fault, in the Piano
155	Grande (Pierantoni et al. 2013). The most recent paleoseismological investigations on the antithetic
156	and synthetic splays of the VBFS that ruptured in 2016 suggest that those splays have also
157	experienced earthquakes of $Mw \ge 6.5$ -6.6, over the last 9 kyr (Galli et al., 2019, Cinti et al., 2019).
158	The 2016 seismic sequence began on 24 August with a Mw 6.0 earthquake NW of Amatrice
159	(Chiaraluce et al., 2017). The sequence continued on 26 October with a Mw 5.9 event and epicenter
160	close to Visso (Castelsantangelo sul Nera), and then the major Norcia event (Mw 6.5) occurred on
161	30 October, one of the strongest historical events in Central Italy (Figure 1). The focal-mechanism
162	solutions of these major events are fully consistent with the normal-fault kinematics of the NNW-
163	striking VBFS and, generally, the Central Apennines extension, indicating an ENE-stretching axis.
164	The first earthquake produced surface faulting for a length of ~5-6 km along the southernmost
165	portion of the VBFS, with an average vertical offset of ~0.10-0.15 m and local peaks over 0.30 m
166	(Lavecchia et al., 2016; Pucci et al., 2017). The 26 October event caused surface faulting in the
167	northernmost portion of the VBFS (Pizzi et al., 2017; Civico et al., 2018; Brozzetti et al., 2019).
168	Surface faulting from the last event involved almost the entire length of the VBFS, overprinting the
169	ruptures from the Amatrice earthquake (e.g. Villani et al., 2018b), and some of the 26 October event
170	(Wedmore et al., 2019). All the faults that ruptured during the 2016 seismic sequence, according to
171	Villani et al. (2018b) database, are reported in Figure 2 as red solid lines.
172	As observed through detailed geological mapping (e.g., Livio et al., 2016; Pucci et al., 2017 and
173	references therein) and analysis of seismological slip data (e.g., Chiaraluce et al., 2017;
174	Scognamiglio et al., 2018; Improta et al., 2019), the rupture process and surface-deformation
175	patterns that characterized the 2016 seismic sequence were very complex. The sequence involved
176	the VBFS alongside the Laga Fault (LF in Figure 1) and OAS thrust (Lavecchia et al., 2016; Pizzi
177	et al., 2017; Villani et al., 2018b; Falcucci et al., 2018). The complex surface-deformation patterns
178	that were reconstructed by GPS and DInSAR data inversion (e.g. Cheloni et al., 2017;

Scognamiglio et al., 2018) revealed interesting information regarding fault linkages and interactions and the role of structural barriers between the VBFS and the Laga Faults. Some authors highlighted how the OAS thrust appears to modulate the evolution of the sequence interfering with coseismic slip distribution and the fault segments interaction (Chiaraluce et al., 2017; Scognamiglio et al., 2018; Ragon et al., 2019). This interference in the slip propagation is also supported by the rupture interruption for each earthquake in the sequence that determined their respective magnitudes and prevented cascading rupture in a single earthquake of Mw 6.7 or larger (Walters et al., 2018).

3 Materials and Methods

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

202

To analyze the VBFS in detail, we subdivided the fault system into four main fault sectors that 187 show continuous fault traces and homogeneous structural and geomorphological characteristics. 188 From south to north, these sectors are the Mt. Vettore, Mt. Porche, Mt. Bove, and Cupi-Ussita 189 synthetic faults and the San Lorenzo antithetic fault, alongside 13 smaller associated fault segments 190 (0.9-2.5 km long) (Figure 2). We define "MV" as the Mt. Vettore-related fault sector, "MP" as the 191 Mt. Porche (and San Lorenzo)-related fault sector, "MB" as the Mt. Bove-related fault sector and 192 "CU" as the Cupi-Ussita-related fault sector. 193 The MV sector consists of synthetic faults that dip SW and strike between N140° and N175° on the 194 195 mountain flank that borders the Piano Grande. Although the 30 October earthquake caused surface faulting of a fault in the SW portion of the basin, this antithetic fault has not been analyzed because 196 limited data were available and coseismic ruptures were evident only in a tunnel that remained 197 closed after the earthquakes (Galli et al., 2017). The antithetic and synthetic segments of the MP 198 sector define a graben morphology, while two N150° main parallel synthetic segments comprise the 199 MB and CU sectors. We distinguished the latter two into two sectors because no continuity between 200 201 fault traces was observed across the Ussita valley (Figure 2).

3.1 Short-term co-seismic displacement

The short-term displacement of the VBFS has been determined through original field measurements of the coseismic ruptures and integrated with the available database of Villani et al., (2018a).

Because of the high variability of the throws along the fault strike, we identified 84 points and we determined the arithmetic mean of the all throw measurements in the range of 25 meters around each point.

3.2 Mid-term cumulative displacements

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

We refer to mid-term displacements to characterize well-preserved geomorphic evidence of faulting following the Last Glacial Maximum. The Last Glacial Maximum corresponds to a period where changes in the modes of erosion are likely to have controlled the preservation of tectonic offsets (e.g., Peltzer et al., 1988). Due to the presence of the former neighboring ice sheets (e.g., Giraudi et al., 2003), the study area, at elevations between 1300 and 2400 m asl on average, was likely subjected to periglacial conditions during the last glacial phase. In this context, earlier cumulative offsets and fault scarps were potentially erased due to erosional processes (e.g., Peltzer et al., 1988). This assumption is also supported by ³⁶Cl exposure dating of bedrock fault scarps in the Apennines that yields ages not older than 12-18 kyr (e.g. Palumbo et al., 2004, Benedetti et al. 2013, Cowie et al. 2017). In particular, the preservation of bedrock fault scarps along active normal faults is related to a strong reduction in rates of rock weathering and erosion, associated with the transition from glacial to interglacial climate (Tucker et al., 2011). Although bedrock fault scarps are more resistant to diffusional processes, they are still subjected to geomorphic processes that shape their surface expression such as channel incisions or landsliding. We thus carefully chose portions of the scarps that did not undergo through erosion or debris flow processes (i.e. no gullies), avoided areas with colluvial sediments or scree deposits (Bubeck et al., 2015). To evaluate mid-term cumulative displacements, we used a high-resolution DEM from Pleiades images that were acquired after the 2016 earthquakes with a resolution of 2 m (courtesy of A. Delorme from IPGP); numerical computations were performed on the S-CAPAD platform, IPGP, France by using MicMac (Rosu et al., 2015; Pleiades image from 2017-01-12). Specifically, we

extracted 66 topographic profiles from the DEM along the VBFS. Pleiades images were not
available for the area north of the Ussita River (CU sector), so we performed the same analysis by
using the available 10-m DEM from Tarquini et al. (2012), although this approach implied
considering a larger confidence interval when evaluating possible measurement errors.
The profiles were densely spaced (every ~200 m) in areas where the fault scarp is well preserved,
while we traced fewer profiles in areas where the cumulative offset is not adequately detectable, and
only where measurements were possible (e.g.; Mt. Bicco fault profile, average spacing ~300 m).
These profiles exhibited fault scarps with common characteristic features such as a displaced
reference surface, a degraded scarp, a free face, and a colluvial wedge (Figure 3.a). The reference
surface constituted a marker topographic horizon that could be distinguished in both the footwall
(upper surface) and the hanging-wall (lower surface) of the fault and that was displaced following
repeated faulting events affecting a regular topography that was previously leveled by a glacial
phase. The free face is the approximation of the fault plane that began to be eroded after
exhumation (by earthquakes), accumulating in the colluvial wedge at the base above the lower
surface.
In general, fault-related scarps are generally strongly affected by degradation and aggradation
processes (diffusion) that modify their original shape (Civico et al., 2015), especially in slowly
deforming tectonic environments such as Italy, Greece or Spain (Caputo et al., 2013; Galli et al.,
2008; Perez et al., 2006). As the footwall of a normal fault undergoes continuous uplift by repeated
earthquakes, the upper surface goes through erosional processes, which modify the original slope
angle. To distinguish these characteristic features, all the profiles were drawn with a length of 300
m to better identify the displaced upper and lower surfaces, reducing the uncertainty from erosional
processes. Because of the low steepness (~3°) in correspondence with the Piano Grande, we traced
four profiles with a length of 1000 m to ensure the morphological detection of the fault (MV5).

253	We measured the vertical displacement that was recorded by the upper and lower reference surfaces
254	for each profile. For each topographic profile, we manually identified two reference surfaces (red
255	line in figure S4) in the upper and the lower part having the same slope.
256	In some cases, the footwall slope is steeper than the one on the hanging wall and the identification
257	of the reference surface is not easy. In that case, thanks to the high density of the performed
258	topographic profiles (every ~200 m) we use as reference surface the one of a well-constrained
259	proximal profile. In addition, when it was possible (e.g. Profiles MV 4.3, MV 4.5, MV 4.7), we
260	defined more than one reference surface and we determined an average value of sloping surface
261	with the associated error (see supplementary). The manual analysis was associated, for some
262	profiles, to the semi-automated algorithm SPARTA (Scarp PARameteTer Algorithm) analysis,
263	developed by Hodge et al. (2019). Specifically, this algorithm calculates the parameters of the fault
264	scarp (i.e. height, width, and slope) from a scarp topographic profile by performing a best-fit
265	calculation to a scarp-like template. Moreover, it enhances the clarity of the elevation profiles by
266	filtering a range of non-tectonic features, such as vegetation peaks in DEMs from Pleiades images,
267	through four digital filters (see details in Hodge et al. 2019 and references therein).
268	The vertical offsets determined by SPARTA and by manual measurements were very similar (see
269	the supplementary material for details). Among the analyzed 66 profiles, 40 were qualified as
270	poorly constrained and could not be analyzed by SPARTA. This is related to the badly preserved
271	topographic fault scarp, such as in the Mt. Porche sector, or the poorly constrained upper and lower
272	surfaces for example in the Mt. Vettore and Mt. Bove sectors mainly because of the presence of a
273	great amount of scree deposits in the hanging-wall block. Those profiles were thus associated with a
274	higher uncertainty (see the supplementary).
275	Where a topographic slope dips in the same direction as the fault dip, the topographic offset is less
276	than the true vertical displacement; wherever the two dips have opposite directions, the topographic
277	offset is larger than the real throw (Bull, 2009). Thus, we corrected the topographic offsets (To) to

obtain true vertical displacements (Tr) by using the equation of Bull (2009), who considered the dip 278 279 angle of the fault (α) and steepness (β) of the displaced topographic surface (Figure 3.a):

280
$$\operatorname{Tr} = (\operatorname{To} \left[\sin \alpha * \sin(90^{\circ} + \beta) \right]) / \sin(\alpha - \beta) \tag{1}$$

The dip angles of the faults (α) are from field measurements and the database of Villani et al. (2018a). The dip angles vary between 56° and 90° with the most frequent values being between 70° and 73° 19%), and between 76° and 77° (17%) (Fig. S2 in supplementary) and a mean value of 73 ±6.7°. Where the bedrock fault plane is not outcropping (e.g. MP-sector) and the fault dip angle is unknown we use this mean dip angle value. Considering the most frequent values of the dip angles are 70°-77°, the uncertainty on the down-throw is \pm 5 %. Whereas, considering the two endmember values of 56° and 90° , the related uncertainty would be $\pm 8\%$.

3.3 Long term Geological displacements

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

We constructed seven geological cross-sections (traces in Figure 2.a) to determine the long-term geological down-throw of the VBFS with particular focus on the faults that were active in 2016. Starting from the available geological maps by Calamita et al. (1992) and Pierantoni et al. (2013), original field mapping was conducted to integrate and update the geological structural map of the area (Figure 2.a). Field surveys were conducted in some key areas (e.g., Mt. Bicco, Mt. Porche and Mt. Lieto in Figure 2) to better constrain the fault throw. Given the complexity of the structural evolution of the study area (see section 2), we used the Marne a Fucoidi Fm. (Lower Cretaceous) as a reference marker to determine the vertical offset along the cross-sections. We made this choice because this formation is present throughout the region, has a constant thickness between 50 and 80 m, and records the total extension across the structures as a pre-Quaternary horizon. However, distinguishing pre-Quaternary displacements from the total down-throw is sometimes difficult, and the Quaternary fault displacements and slip rate can be overestimated (see section 2). Therefore, we analyzed only normal faults that showed morphological evidence of activity (i.e., after 18 kyr). Finally, we estimated the accuracy for around

30 m of the geological throw measurements both in the field and on the geological maps.

304 4 Results

This section is divided into three sub-sections: (1) a presentation of long- and mid-term throw data plotted along a transect line that approximates the average strike of N150° for the VBFS (see the location in Figure 2), (2) a comparison with the 2016 coseismic throws plotted over the same transect line, and (3) an interpretation of the three databases and the determination of the throw rates.

4.1 Geological throw (long-term)

The throw values that are obtained from geological cross-sections define the long-term history of the VBFS and are useful for understanding the relative age of the fault segments, helping us define a constant long-term throw rate for Quaternary active normal faults. Figure 4.a displays the geological throw distribution along the entire fault system. To compare the throw values among the long-, mid- and short-term datasets, we plotted the throw values that were measured on active faults (in this study, those with evidence of post-LGM activity). The lowest geological throws for faults having geomorphological evidence of activity (red faults in Figure 2.a) were in the southern portion of the VBFS (100-150 m for faults in the Mt. Vettore sector), whereas the central-northern sector of the VBFS showed higher values of 200-400 m at Mt. Porche and Mt. Bove. The maximum was at Mt. Bove, where the geological throw reached 400 m for a single fault and 800 m for the cumulative throw.

4.2 Morphological throws (mid-term)

The morphological throw that was related to the post-LGM activity of the VBFS was evaluated through fault-scarp recognition and measurements on high-resolution topographic profiles following the method in section 3.

Measured throws depend on the slip vectors (net slip) and their direction. When fault planes are exposed at the base of a fault scarp, striae provide measurements of the net-slip vector (see Figure

3.b). Depending on the variability of the strike of the fault, i.e., some faults that deviate from pure

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

ENE extension, the slip vector may show a slight strike-slip component (Perouse et al., 2018). The throw corresponds to the vertical component of the slip, which we assume represents the dip-slip component (Figure 3.b). In the hypothesis of an oblique vector, the net slip is distributed on the vertical (dip slip) and horizontal components (strike slip). Therefore, before analyzing the throw variation, we first question how much the strike-slip component, which is mostly present where the fault plane produces strike-bending, can influence the measured topographic offset along the VBFS. Figure 5 shows the bedrock fault-plane measurements (the site's location is indicated in the supplementary materials) with strong strike-slip (left- or right-lateral) components; each measurement has three components, all shown in meters to facilitate comparison with the measured topographic offset (i.e., the down-throw), namely, the net slip (total slip vector), dip slip, and strike slip. The field structural data showed that the strike of the bedrock fault planes ranged between N120° and N190°, with kinematic indicators showing rake values between 70° and 96°. We determined the net-slip vector of each site by considering the slip-vector geometry from these data and the downthrow from the measured topographic offset. When considering a 70° rake angle, the net slip comprised at most 34% of strike slip component. This only occurs in the MV sector, where there is a quite strong strike slip component (Perouse et al., 2018) but still it is not affecting the results since it is within the uncertainties that we get for the down throw in this area. As shown in Figure 5, the lateral component is lower than 20% and still within the uncertainties of the down throw. Thus, we can assume that the topographic offset is within uncertainty similar to the net slip in most areas along the VBFS. To show the contribution from each fault segment to the VBFS's mid-term growth, we plotted the throw value for each post-glacial fault scarp along the transect line in Figure 4.b, where the fault segments are color-coded. Figure 4.b shows the maximum throw at around 4-6 km on the transect line (MV sector), with an offset displacement of 24 m for a single segment that decreases towards

the tip of the system to the south and reaches 5 m of throw 2-3 km northward. In the MP sector (7-13 km on the transect line), the vertical-slip distribution rises at a constant average value of 15-20 m for both synthetic and antithetic faults. In the MB sector (13-20 km on the transect line), a ~20-m peak sharply decreases to zero. Northward, the Ussita valley has no evidence of cumulative fault activity, whereas the CU sector (20-26 km on the transect line) has 10 m of maximum vertical displacement.

The total cumulative mid-term throws (i.e. the summed throws at each point) along the transect line showed a slip distribution that matched the throws of each segment for the same sector. In particular, the maximum/minimum total cumulative throws correspond to the location of the maximum/minimum throws at the same position along strike; this pattern suggests sites of preferred surface slip along the VBFS.

4.3 Comparison with the 2016 coseismic throw (short-term)

The short-term throw, which was measured in the field after the 2016 seismic events, varied along the VBFS. The coseismic ruptures showed maximum throws in the southern portion of the fault system, reaching almost 2 m and lower values north of the MV sector, where the throws did not exceed 1 m (Villani et al. 2018a). The comparison of the profile shapes from the analysis of the three different time scales for the active faults denoted an agreement between the mid- and short-term throw profiles. The maxima of the two throw profiles are located in the same positions along the strike. The coseismic throw profiles and mid-term cumulative-throw profiles from the addition of several earthquakes developed asymmetric patterns, with the highest throw in the southern area (MV sector, between 4 and 6 km on the transect line). The profiles both showed a decrease in the throw, which reached zero within 2 km, at the southern tip of the VBFS. In addition to the good match between post-LGM (mid-term) and short-term throws in the southern sector, the coseismic rupture pattern throughout the fault system sometimes deviated from what was

recorded on the morphology. For instance, the coseismic ruptures in the MP sector (9 km along the

transect line, Figure 4.c) reached a value of 95 cm above the mountain crest. However, we did not observe any morphological evidence of a fault scarp in this area. Between 16 and 18 km, two different throws were found in the Mt. Bove sector for the mid- and long-term scales (i.e., geological throw = 800 m and post-LGM throw = 30 m), which were not observed in the short-term profile (i.e., 50 cm of coseismic rupture). Common elements at all time scales for the active faults at the surface were observed between 18 and 20 km on the transect line in the Ussita valley. No evidence of geological fault traces characterized the area (Pierantoni et al., 2013; Pizzi et al., 2017; Brozzetti et al., 2019; Porreca et al., 2020), suggesting that this region was not affected by faulting over the last 18 kyr. This lack of faulted areas matches the evidence that the Ussita River is the only westward-flowing river that bypasses the drainage divide of the Central Apennines range. In addition, no clear coseismic ruptures occurred in this area during the 2016 seismic sequence. The occurrence of the coseismic ruptures north of this valley (at the Cupi-Ussita segments) suggests that the northernmost tip of the system is on the other side of the Ussita valley. Thus, rather than an area not interested by the faults, we observed a zone of minimum surface slip, persistent in time. According to a quantitative analysis of the matching shape profiles, the mid- and short-term throw distributions in the Mt. Vettore sector showed a very good relationship. In particular, the cumulative profiles at mid- and short-term are very similar: both have the maximum throw between 4 and 6 km along the transect line and decrease rapidly within 2 km (Figure 4.b and c). The highest and lowest values are constantly recorded on the fault segments MV2 and MV5, respectively. Moreover, the ratio between the short- and mid-term throw values is shown for each segment in the MV sector and at each measured point, having a good correlation factor (r = 0.7, Figure 6).

4.4 Throw rates and fault-system evolution

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

Based on the above data, we could reconstruct the VBFS's evolution from its onset in the Early Quaternary to the present. The evolution through time of VBFS has been determined from the vertical slip rates. We traced cross-sections that were orthogonal to the reference transect line, along

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

which we summed the maximum cumulative displacement of all the fault segments belonging to each fault sector (MV, MP, MB, and CU). To avoid overestimation, we choose the cumulative displacement from the best-constrained topographic profile, having low errors in the offset measurements. In addition, we calculated the slip rate along the fault considering that the cumulative offset is bracketed between the total cumulative offset and the total cumulative offset minus the measured 2016 coseismic offset, assuming a periodic behavior. We implied the highest throws for each sector, so the obtained values are to be considered the maximum throw rates for each sector. Significant variations in the throw distribution and throw rates appeared in both time and space. For the mid-term maximum throw rate, thus the rate calculated over 18 kyr, we found a heterogeneous pattern of the VBFS. The MV sector's throw rate is 1.65 ± 0.05 mm/yr (total cumulative offset 31.8 m and coseismic offset of 2.8 m). The throw rates for the MP sector is 0.95 \pm 0.5 mm/yr (total cumulative offset 18 m and coseismic offset of 0.9m), for the MB sector 1.25 \pm 0.5 mm/yr (total cumulative offset 24 m and coseismic offset of 0.7 m); and for the CU sector the velocity is 0.5 mm/yr (total cumulative offset 9.1 m and coseismic offset of 0.1 m). The throw rate determined on the fault with the highest throw (MV2) is 1.3 ± 0.1 mm/yr and it matches the value of 1.31± 0.27 mm/yr determined by Brozzetti et al. (2019) over the last 15 kyr. The long-term slip history of the VBFS is affected by uncertainties that are related to the absence of accurate chronology on the onset of the segments and the duration of activity along these faults without evidence of activity after the LGM. To determine the long-term throw rates, we considered only active faults (all faults with morphological evidence) and calculated their velocities since the beginning of extension (1.1-1.2 Ma, Blumetti and Dramis, 1992; Coltorti et al., 1998; Calamita et al., 1999). The Mt. Bove and Mt. Porche sectors hosted the active segments with the highest throws (800 m of cumulated displacement and 500 m as the highest throw for single fault segment), suggesting major activity along these faults over long time scales. We found a maximum throw rate of 0.8 mm/yr when considering the highest vertical geological displacements of the entire VBFS.

33	The obtained value was within the range of slip rates that were estimated by Pizzi et al. (2002) for
34	the VBFS (0.6-0.9 mm/yr). The throw rates of the Central Apennines' fault systems range between
35	<0.2 and 1-2 mm/yr (Barchi et al., 2000; Benedetti et al., 2013; Roberts & Michetti, 2004;
36	Papanikolaou et al., 2005; Civico et al., 2015b; Cowie et al., 2017). The high values of the VBFS
37	are reached by the Fucino fault: from 0.55 (at the tips) to 2 mm/yr (in the center of the system). The
38	variation in the throw rate along the Fucino fault system was interpreted to be an increase in the
39	growth rate over the last 700 kyr (Cowie & Roberts, 2001; Roberts & Michetti, 2004).
40	Throw rates for the VBFS are higher than some faults in the Northern Apennines, such as the
41	Colfiorito fault that is suggested to have a rate of 0.3 mm/yr by Barchi et al.(2000), and Mirabella
42	(2016) and 0.67 \pm 0.13 mm/yr by Mildon et al. (2016).
43	From our results, it appears that the segments with high geological displacement but without post-
44	LGM activity are mainly located at a low elevation close to the Quaternary basin's boundaries (e.g.,
45	MV8 fault bounds the Piano Grande). Whereas fault associated with the highest mid- and short-
46	term throws are generally located at a higher elevation close to the ridges (e.g., MV2 fault at Mt.
47	Vettore), or even on the ridge close to the drainage divide (e.g., MP2 fault at Mt. Porche). This
48	observation suggests that the most recently activated segments are located on the footwall of the
49	faults that were formerly active probably during an earlier stage of the extension, suggesting there
50	might be a shift of the activity at least for about 18 kyr.
51	Moreover, the maximum activity (in terms of throws pattern) at mid- and short-term scales were
52	concentrated in the southern sector of Mt. Vettore with high throw rates. The good linear correlation
53	between the short- and mid-term scales (Figure 6) might suggest that the fault system evolved with
54	a similar pattern over time since at least the last 18 kyr. Figure 7 shows the evolution of the throw
55	both in time and space of the VBFS. The maximum throw is localized on the MV- sector since the
56	last 18-kyr associated with a higher rate of slip increment compared to the other sectors of MP, MB,
57	and CU.

Thus, assuming a constant maximum throw rate of 1.65 ± 0.5 mm/yr, calculated from the mid-term, and considering 380 m of cumulative geological displacements, evaluated by the sum of the max displacement of each segment in the cross-sections, we could infer an origin of the active faults at the surface at Mt. Vettore at about ~ 200-250 kyr ago. Considering the general onset of the VBFS was 1.1-1.2 Myr (Blumetti and Dramis, 1992; Coltorti et al., 1998; Calamita et al., 1999; see section 2), and the uncertainties about the age and throw of the basin boundary fault (see section 2), the MV sector would be characterized by younger faults. The resolution of the available sub-surface data (Porreca et al., 2018) does not allowed determining how the active faults and the basin boundary fault are related at depth. But from our surface data the presence of these young faults might be interpreted or as a consequence of the southward propagation of the fault system (i.e. from the MB-MP- sectors to the MV- sector) or due to an activity "replacement" of the older basin boundary fault at the surface, probably at ~200-250 kyr.

This southern tip of the system hosts high-density, widespread, short unlinked and newly formed faults, marking a relatively more distributed deformed volume than a single master fault that accommodated seismic slip in a narrower zone, such as in the northern VBFS (Figure 7).

5 Discussion

The detailed mapping of the 2016 coseismic ruptures clearly showed that a well-defined peak at its southern tip in the MV sector characterized the throw-distribution pattern along the VBFS. In particular, in agreement with many authors (Lavecchia et al., 2016; Livio et al., 2016; Pucci et al., 2017; Civico et al., 2018; Villani et al., 2018b), the coseismic throws at Mt. Vettore were ~ 0.10-0.15 m (with a local maximum of 0.30 m), and ~1.5 m (locally reaching 2 m) for the 24 August event (Mw 6.0), and the 30 October event (Mw 6.5), respectively. These high values went beyond the expected values from the empirical relationships between the magnitude vs surface displacement (Wells & Coppersmith, 1994). In particular, the recorded slip at Mt. Vettore after the

30 October event exceeded the power-law and exponential scaling by two orders of magnitude 482 483 (Villani et al., 2018b). According to the slip models obtained from strong motions, GPS, and DInSAR for 24 August and 484 30 October 2016 events (e.g. Chiaraluce et al., 2017; Cheloni et al., 2017; Scognamiglio et al., 485 2018; Walter et al., 2018; Ragon et al., 2019), the rupture propagated to the surface mainly in the 486 MV sector. In this sector, the rupture propagated to the surface producing in 6-8 seconds the 487 488 highest observed coseismic slip of the entire system (Wilkinson et al., 2017), along with significant off-fault deformation that occurred close to those faults with spectacular surface offsets (Delorme et 489 al., 2020). 490 491 Furthermore, some authors (e.g. Scognamiglio et al., 2018; Walters et al., 2018) suggested that the ruptures did propagate at depth, in the first ~5-6 km, at the fault intersections between the VFBS 492 and the LF, where also slip occurred. 493 494 The earthquakes on both 24 August and 30 October with epicenters located to the south and north of the trace of the OAS thrust ramp, respectively, produced the maximum surface displacement 495 along the same fault segments in the MV sector (e.g., Brozzetti et al., 2019; Gori et al., 2018). The 496 throw distribution pattern of the 2016 coseismic rupture has been defined by many authors (e.g., 497 Villani et al., 2018b; Brozzetti et al., 2019) as an anomaly in the slip concentration at the MV sector 498 499 (both 24 August and 30 October).

5.1 Slip profiles and fault throw at various time scales

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

One of the main results from our study noted that the anomalous slip concentration in the MV sector is not a phenomenon that only refers to the 2016 seismic sequence, but rather constitutes the characteristic method through which the Mt. Vettore fault has broken during at least the last 18 kyr. The similarity between the along strike displacement recorded in the short-term and mid-term profiles in the MV-sector can be interpreted either as the faults have experienced a similar rupturing pattern over the last 18 kyr; or as individual successive earthquakes with variable slip distributions

produce an overall cumulative pattern over the last 18 kyr similar, to the one observed for the 2016 507 508 short-term one. Paleoseismological trench studies (Galadini and Galli, 2003; Galli et al., 2019; Cinti et al., 2019), 509 suggest that not only the MV sector but the entire system experienced at least seven events of Mw \approx 510 6.5, thus similar to the 2016 Norcia-type (or larger) surface-faulting event, over the last 22 kyr. 511 Comparing the vertical displacements of the penultimate event found in the trenches (E2 occurred 512 ~4th-5th century CE) for segments MV, MP4, and MP5, we found a pattern that seems to agree quite 513 well with the constant growth pattern of the mid- and short-time scale (Figure 8). Those results also 514 infer that the rupturing pattern is similar to the one observed in 2016, with multiple fault segments 515 516 rupturing during a single individual event. This evidence strengthens the first hypothesis of the repeating self-similar rupturing pattern, where different seismic events produce the analogous slip 517 distribution, with maxima throws always on the same fault segments. Thus, the asymmetric, 518 519 triangular throw profiles of the VBFS that were created in tens of seconds (2016 coseismic ruptures) and over tens of thousands of years (cumulative fault scarp) resemble each other (see Figs. 520 521 4 and 9). This similarity has also been observed by Brozzetti et al. (2019), Iezzi et al., (2018) in some faults 522 of the Mt. Vettore (specifically, MV1, MV2, MV3, MV4 and MV5 in our study), whether at 523 morphological, geological scale or in terms of the largest topographic relief. A different 524 interpretation, however, has been hypothesized for this evidence. In particular, Iezzi et al. (2018) 525 interpreted this highest slip concentration in the Mt. Vettore faults as a consequence of the 526 particular local structural fault geometry suggesting that throw increased because of a slip vector 527 accommodation due to a bending of the fault strike of ~28° and to the related fault dip angle 528 increase. Brozzetti et al. (2018) suggested that this anomaly might be due to irregularities of the 529 fault at depth due to local tectonic factors, such as the suggested back tilting that amplified the 530 coseismic displacement in this area (Testa et al., 2019). 531

Our results also suggest that the segment MV2 in the Mt. Vettore is not the only one to exhibit such
high throw since all the other segments in this sector displayed relatively high throw compared to
the other segments of the VBFS. If we consider the ratio between the height of the post-LGM fault
scarp and the value of the 2016 coseismic ruptures along the main fault at Mt. Vettore and its sub-
parallel segments, we obtain a constant value. Thus, we interpret the MV sector of the VBFS as
having homothetic growth behavior, with an anomalous high displacement on all the segments,
suggesting that those are interacting (Gupta and Scholz, 2000; Mirabella et al., 2016).
As observed by Duross et al. (2016) in the Wasatch Fault Zone (Basin and Range Province) from
paleoseismological studies, the possibility of interaction between segments in areas of structural
complexity seems to permit more complex fault ruptures, such as the multi or partial rupturing of
segments observed in the 2016 seismic sequence (Villani et al., 2018b). In addition, as we observed
for the MV sector in the VBFS, the Wasatch Fault Zone corresponds to the fault tip of a wider fault
system. Studies on vertical displacements performed on this latter showed how the Wasatch Fault
Zone is characterized by the highest fault throws, ~20 m of throw versus ~10 m in the other
"zones" (Friedrich et al., 2003 and reference therein). This exceeding throw at the Wasatch Fault
Zone has been interpreted as an acceleration in the throw rates during the Holocene (Friedrich et al;
2003).
Furthermore, according to the classification of fault structural maturity described by Dolan et al.
(2014) and Nicol et al. (2010), the frequent fault-strike variation associated with the VBFS's
southern sector would suggest that it is structurally immature compared to the northern area (MP-
MB-CU sectors), where the deformation is concentrated on the main fault structures. Moreover, the
overall low velocities of slip propagation at depth were 3.1 km/s for the Mw 6.0 event, 2.7 km/s for
the Mw 5.9 event and 2.7 km/s for the Mw 6.5 event, as obtained by finite-fault analysis (Tinti et
al., 2016 and Chiaraluce et al., 2017). These values, as well the fault parameters that define the
structural maturity in Perrin et al. (2016) and references therein, indicate that the entire system

VFBS system is actually classified as immature (e.g. rupture velocities of <3 km/s, fault length < 300 km, initiation age < few Ma).

5.2 Role of inherited structures

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

In an evolving extensional active system such as the VBFS, what can cause such preferred slip zones towards a tip of the fault system, thus an activity concentration and a slip gradient increasing? Two possibilities have been previously suggested for such observation: (1) a fault-linkage zone, where the slip is concentrated at the tips of two overlapping faults (e.g., Peacock and Sanderson, 1991), and (2) a fault-barrier zone, where the fault slip increases as a response to the inhibition of lateral fault propagation because of interference with a major "barrier" at depth (e.g., Madariaga, 1979; Lay & Kanamori, 1981; Das & Kostrov, 1983; Mendoza, 1993; Ruff & Miller, 1994; Somerville et al., 1999; Das, 2003; Manighetti et al., 2001; 2005). In the hypothesis of a "fault-linkage zone", the asymmetric maximum displacement in the VBFS would be the result of the natural evolution of the fault system that starts to interact with another fault system, in agreement with the evolutional model of a fault system as observed in Gawthorpe and Leeder (2000). The southern tip of the VBFS would be propagating toward the Laga Fault (LF), which in turn has an asymmetric geological displacement profile with a maximum in its northern part (Boncio et al., 2004), through a zone with no evidence of faulting at the surface. The interaction at depth between the VBFS and the LF, however, seems supported by the occurrence of the location of the Mw 6.0 event on 24 August (Chiaraluce et al., 2017) in the relay zone of the two systems, away ~ 9 km and ~ 7 km from the VBFS and LF, respectively (see Figures 1 and 9). The second broad class of studies suggest the presence of a "fault-barrier zone". Although the concept of a "barrier" is still debated by many authors, this terminology can describe a zone that prevents slip propagation and allows high-throw gradients to be maintained (Manighetti et al., 2005). Whatever the process, these areas are affected by specific mechanical or rheological properties and a resistance to the coseismic rupture. In the context of the VBFS, the geometrical

582	relationship with a potential barrier at depth is still debated and difficult to reconstruct due to the
583	lack of robust subsurface data.
584	But the occurrence of the 2016 seismic event provided new insights in understanding the interaction
585	(both at the surface and depth), of the VBFS with the crustal pre-existing oblique OAS thrust ramp,
586	which previous authors assumed as a structural barrier (Pizzi and Galadini, 2009). At the surface
587	(Figure 9), the coseismic ruptures of the VBFS crosscut the trace of the OAS thrust (e.g., Bonini et
588	al., 2016; Livio et al., 2016; Civico et al., 2017; Pizzi et al., 2017; Iezzi et al., 2018; Villani et al.,
589	2018a,b; Brozzetti et al., 2019; Porreca et al., 2020). While at depth, slip models from different
590	datasets showed how the mainshocks- rupturing process interacted with the deep high-angle part of
591	the OAS thrust (Tinti et al., 2016; Chiaraluce et al., 2017; Cheloni et al., 2017; Scognamiglio et al.,
592	2018; Ragon et al., 2019; Gallovič et al., 2019).
593	Furthermore, the slip model of Ragon et al. (2019), which was derived from GPS analysis,
594	suggested that the rupture on 24 August had a bi-lateral propagation direction. The slip, which was
595	plotted on a 45°-dipping fault plane, was confined to the south in a narrow zone 2-5 km deep
596	(Walters et al., 2018), and to the north was more distributed , propagating both downdip (until 8-9
597	km depth) and climbing up towards the surface (above 3 km depth in the MV sector). In agreement
598	with Ragon et al. (2019, and references therein), we suggest that the modalities of the northward
599	slip transfer could have been controlled by the presence of the high-angle OAS thrust ramp, which
600	prevented the lateral northward propagation of the rupture. On the other hand, the hypocenter of the
601	24 August event was located on the footwall of the OAS thrust, while the rupture reached the
602	surface only on its hanging-wall. This observation suggests that the rupture bypassed the OAS
603	thrust only in its shallowest and low angle portion, producing coseismic surface rupturing in the
604	MV sector. In other words, this vertical propagation might have been confined in an area where the
605	OAS thrust halted northward lateral propagation (Figure 10.a). Despite the lithology that might
606	control the slip propagation to the surface, minor surface ruptures can occur in the Laga Fm., as
607	Vignaroli et al. (2020) suggested over the late Quaternary.

The event on 30 October was modeled by Scognamiglio et al. (2018) through strong-motion data
(Figure 10.b). The slip model was plotted on a 47°-dipping fault plane, highlighting rupturing that
was confined above ~7 km depth. The slip propagated to both the north, where the rupture reached
the surface at Mt. Bove and only affected the first 2 km, and the south, reaching the surface at Mt.
Vettore-Mt. Porche. The hypocenter was at 9.5 km depth, where the rupture nucleated and mainly
expanded towards the surface. In correspondence with the OAS thrust at depth, this rupturing only
crossed it between 5 and 7 km depth, triggering a small patch of around 50-100 cm of slip south of
the OAS thrust. All the patches with slip above 100 cm were located north of the OAS thrust,
defining a rupture area whose southward limit seemed to follow the OAS thrust. On the other hand,
Scognamiglio et al. (2018) and Improta et al. (2019) modeled the rupture distribution with the OAS
thrust as a source in their kinematic inversion. Although we agree that some slip could have
occurred on the OAS thrust ramp, we believe the VBFS was the major source of this event
according to our results and the focal mechanism, which corresponds to an NNW-SSE dip-slip
fault.
fault. Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip
Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip
Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip interference at depth (below ~ 5 km), that may be interpreted as a barrier producing an interruption
Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip interference at depth (below ~ 5 km), that may be interpreted as a barrier producing an interruption in the lateral slip propagation (northward and southward, respectively), in favor of a transferring
Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip interference at depth (below ~ 5 km), that may be interpreted as a barrier producing an interruption in the lateral slip propagation (northward and southward, respectively), in favor of a transferring rupture toward the surface, at the MV sector.
Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip interference at depth (below ~ 5 km), that may be interpreted as a barrier producing an interruption in the lateral slip propagation (northward and southward, respectively), in favor of a transferring rupture toward the surface, at the MV sector. In this hypothesis, the presence of a deep barrier is observed at the surface from a major fault slip
Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip interference at depth (below ~ 5 km), that may be interpreted as a barrier producing an interruption in the lateral slip propagation (northward and southward, respectively), in favor of a transferring rupture toward the surface, at the MV sector. In this hypothesis, the presence of a deep barrier is observed at the surface from a major fault slip accumulation and other features such as fault interactions, and fault fragmentation associated with
Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip interference at depth (below ~ 5 km), that may be interpreted as a barrier producing an interruption in the lateral slip propagation (northward and southward, respectively), in favor of a transferring rupture toward the surface, at the MV sector. In this hypothesis, the presence of a deep barrier is observed at the surface from a major fault slip accumulation and other features such as fault interactions, and fault fragmentation associated with bends (Manighetti et al., 2005).
Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip interference at depth (below ~ 5 km), that may be interpreted as a barrier producing an interruption in the lateral slip propagation (northward and southward, respectively), in favor of a transferring rupture toward the surface, at the MV sector. In this hypothesis, the presence of a deep barrier is observed at the surface from a major fault slip accumulation and other features such as fault interactions, and fault fragmentation associated with bends (Manighetti et al., 2005). Based on our long- mid- and short-term surface data and the 2016 rupturing scenario from the after-
Therefore, these two slip models for the 24 August and the 30 October suggest a major slip interference at depth (below ~ 5 km), that may be interpreted as a barrier producing an interruption in the lateral slip propagation (northward and southward, respectively), in favor of a transferring rupture toward the surface, at the MV sector. In this hypothesis, the presence of a deep barrier is observed at the surface from a major fault slip accumulation and other features such as fault interactions, and fault fragmentation associated with bends (Manighetti et al., 2005). Based on our long- mid- and short-term surface data and the 2016 rupturing scenario from the aftermentioned inversion slip models, we interpret the maximum throw in the southern tip at the MV

secondary fault in the relay zone (where the 24 August hypocenter is located) without surface evidence (see point 4 in Figure 9).

On the other hand, this evidence along with the observed segment interactions and the more widespread deformed area in the MV sector (see section 4.4) suggest that the maximum throw could be related to the presence of a main structural barrier at depth. The barrier may likely coincide with the high-angle OAS thrust (below 5 km of depth) that prevents the natural free southward propagation and the fault linkage, enhancing the deformation through increment vertical displacement.

5 Conclusions

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

The multi-temporal analysis that we performed on the vertical displacements of the active faults (i.e., with post LGM activity) of the Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove fault system (VBFS) characterized its spatial and temporal evolution. The 2016 seismic events ruptured the entire VBFS producing a maximum displacement in the southern sector of the Mt. Vettore sector. Our results, in agreement with Brozzetti et al. (2019), showed that these maximum values of displacements localized in the south, are persistent at least over the last 18 kyr (post-LGM), and are mainly located on the faults on the western flank of the Mt.Vettore. While the basin boundary fault associated with the highest geological displacement has no evidence of recent (Post-LGM) activity. On the contrary, the active faults display the highest geological displacement related to the onset of extension (i.e. 1.1-1.2 Myr) in the central northern sectors of the VBFS, at the Mt. Bove sector. The throw distributions pattern along the VBFS length of the coseismic (short-term) and post LGM (mid-term) displacements exhibited a similar, asymmetric shape. Thus, the Mt. Vettore sector experienced homothetic growth, with all the segments maintaining the same throw profile through This suggests a similar growth pattern over the last 18 kyr in the VBFS system time. notwithstanding with a different amount of displacement depending on the magnitude of the

earthquake, as occurred for the 24 August and the 30 October 2016 events, as also observed in

paleoseismological studies (Galadini and Galli, 2003; Galli et al., 2019; Cinti et al., 2019). In the Mt. Vettore sector, the rates at which this evolution occurred from the last 18 kyr were 1.65 ± 0.5 mm/yr. If we assume this throw rate constant over time, and we consider the cumulated geological displacement, we can determine an age for this sector of around 200-250 kyr. This age can be interpreted as the onset of the faults in the Mt. Vettore western flank due to a southward shift of activity that produces the highest throws. We interpreted the maximum displacements at mid and short-term at the southern tip of the system, as related to an ongoing linkage process with the Laga Fault. Several observations, including the sharp decrease in throw at the intersection between the Mt. Vettore sector and oblique structure of the OAS thrust, suggest that this inherited structure may also act as a barrier in this scenario. In this perspective also the origin of the Mt. Vettore fault and its very high slip rate could indicate that starting from ~200-250 kyr, the VBFS is trying to overcome the barrier. In this way, the barrier concentrates the stresses within the Mt. Vettore sector and prevents the southward lengthening of the system, which instead accommodates deformation through high throws. However, a small portion of the OAS thrust between 5 and 7 km depth appears to have been offset by the Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove fault system. This phenomenon could be the first step to link the VBFS with the LF. Furthermore, The good-to-perfect match between the short- and mid-term throw profiles showed that faults with post 18 kyr morphological evidence of activity are more prone to break in a future seismic event and should be considered as having a higher risk of rupturing in the seismic-hazard assessment. This suggests that an upper limit of fault activity of 18 kyr could be reasonable to discriminate active and capable faults, at least for similar morphological and seismotectonic contexts.

Acknowledgments

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

This work has been funded by the Italian Ministry for Education, University and Research (MIUR) (ex 60% grants to A. Pizzi), by the LABEX OT MED and by INSU. CNES is warmly acknowledged for providing us with the Pleiades satellite images immediately after the events and

- 685 in particular ISIS and CEOS seismic pilot (ESA) programs. This work was supported by public
- funds received in the framework of GEOSUD, a project (ANR-10-EQPX-20) of the program
- "Investissements d'Avenir" managed by the French National Research Agency". P.P Pierantoni, B.
- Pace, P. Boncio, L. Pousse, M. Rizza, A. Pasculli, and F. Mendez are greatly acknowledged for
- their help and support in the field and with the data treatment. We also thank Laura Gregory and
- 690 Giancarlo Molli for their useful and perceptive comments that helped to improve the clarity and
- 691 quality of the paper. Our new unpublished data used in this work are available in the Supporting
- 692 Information, while all the other data are contained in the repository:
- doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.920893. It contains information © CNES (2016), Distribution
- 694 Airbus DS, all rights reserved.

References

- Alberti, M., Decandia, F. A., and Tavarnelli, E. (1996). Modes of propagation of the compressional deformation in the Umbria-Marche Apennines. Mem. Soc. Geol. It., 51, 71-82.
- Alvarez W. (1989) Evolution of the Monte Nerone seamount in the Umbria-Marche Apennines: 2) tectonic control of the seamount-basin transition. Boll. Soc. Geol. It., 108, 23-39.
- Barchi, M., Galadini, F., Lavecchia, G., Messina, P., Michetti, A.M., Peruzza, L., et al. (2000). Sintesi delle conoscenze sulle faglie attive in Italia Centrale: parametrizzazione ai fini della caratterizzazione della pericolosità sismica. GNDT-Monografie, (62), 45.
- Béjar-Pizarro, M., Socquet, A., Armijo, R., Carrizo, D., Genrich, J., and Simons, M. (2013).

 Andean structural control on interseismic coupling in the North Chile subduction zone. Nature
 Geoscience, 6(6), 462-467.
- Benedetti, L. (1999). Sismotectonique de l'Italie et des régions adjacentes: fragmentation du promontoire adiratique (Doctoral dissertation, Paris 7).
- Benedetti, L., Manighetti, I., Gaudemer, Y., Finkel, R., Malavieille, J., Pou, K., ... and Keddadouche, K. (2013). Earthquake synchrony and clustering on Fucino faults (Central Italy) as revealed from in situ 36Cl exposure dating. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 118(9), 4948-4974.
- Bernoulli D., Kalin O. and Patacca E. (1979) A sunken continental margin of the Mesozoic Tethys: the northern and central Apennines. In: Beaudoin B. and Purser B. H. (Eds), Sedimentation Jurassique W. Europeen. Ass. Sedim. Franc, spec publ., 1, 197-210.
- Blumetti, A. M., and Dramis, F. (1992). Il Pleistocene inferiore nell'area nursina.
- Boncio, P., Lavecchia, G., Milana, G., and Rozzi, B. (2004). Seismogenesis in Central Apennines, Italy: an integrated analysis of minor earthquake sequences and structural data in the Amatrice-Campotosto area. Annals of Geophysics 47, N 6.
- Bonini, L., Maesano, F. E., Basili, R., Burrato, P., Carafa, M. M. C., Fracassi, U., ... and Valensise, G. (2016). Imaging the tectonic framework of the 24 August 2016, Amatrice (central Italy) earthquake sequence: new roles for old players? Annals of Geophysics, 59.
- Brozzetti, F., Boncio, P., Cirillo, D., Ferrarini, F., de Nardis, R., Testa, A., ... and Lavecchia, G. (2019). High-resolution field mapping and analysis of the August–October 2016 coseismic surface faulting (central Italy earthquakes): Slip distribution, parameterization, and comparison with global earthquakes. Tectonics.
- Bubeck, A., Wilkinson, M., Roberts, G. P., Cowie, P. A., McCaffrey, K. J. W., Phillips, R., & Sammonds, P. (2015). The tectonic geomorphology of bedrock scarps on active normal faults

- in the Italian Apennines mapped using combined ground penetrating radar and terrestrial laser scanning. Geomorphology, 237, 38-51.
- Bull, W. B. (2009). Tectonic geomorphology of mountains: a new approach to paleoseismology.
 John Wiley and Sons.
- Calamita F., Satolli S., Scisciani V., Esestime P. and Pace P. (2011) Contrasting styles of fault reactivation in curved orogenic belts: Examples from the Central Apennines (Italy). GSA Bull., 123, 1097-1111, doi:10.1130/B30276.1
- Calamita, F., and Deiana, G. (1988). The arcuate shape of the Umbria-Marche-Sabina Apennines (central Italy). Tectonophysics, 146(1-4), 139-147.
- 737 Calamita, F., and Pizzi, A. (1992). Tettonica quaternaria nella dorsale appenninica umbro-738 marchigiana e bacini intrappenninici associati.
- Calamita, F., and Pizzi, A. (1994). Recent and active extensional tectonics in the southern Umbro-Marchean Apennines (Central Italy). Memorie Della Societ. Geologia Italiana, 48(541–548), 541–548.
- Calamita, F., Caputo, R., Pizzi, A., and Scisciani, V. (1998). Caratterizzazione cinematica ed evoluzione deformativa delle faglie quaternarie con attività olocenica: esempi dall'Appennino centrale, 10, 615–620.
- Calamita, F., Paltrinieri, W., Pelorosso, M., Scisciani, V., and Tavarnelli, E. (2003). Inherited mesozoic architecture of the Adria continental palaeomargin in the Neogene central Apennines orogenic system, Italy. BOLLETTINO-SOCIETA Geologica Italiana, 122(2), 307-318.

750

761

- Calamita, F., Satolli, S., and Turtù, A. (2012). Analysis of thrust shear zones in curve-shaped belts: Deformation mode and timing of the Olevano-Antrodoco-Sibillini thrust (Central/Northern Apennines of Italy). Journal of Structural Geology, 44, 179–187.
- 751 Caputo, R., Caputo, R., Chatzipetros, A., Pavlides, S., and Sboras, S. (2013). The Greek Database 752 of Seismogenic Sources (GreDaSS): state-of-the-art for northern Greece. Annals of 753 Geophysics, 55(5). https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-5168
- Carminati, E., and Doglioni, C. (2012). Alps vs. Apennines: The paradigm of a tectonically asymmetric Earth. Earth-Science Reviews, 112(1–2), 67–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.02.004
- Castellarin, A., and Vai, G. B. (Eds.). (1982). Guida alla geologia del subalpino centro-orientale.
 Società geologica italiana.
- Castellarin, A., Colacicchi, R., and Praturlon, A. (1978). Fasi distensive, trascorrenze e sovrascorrimenti lungo la" Linea Ancona-Anzio", dal Lias medio al Pliocene. Pinto.
 - Cello, G., and Mazzoli, S. (1996). Extensional processes driven by large-scale duplexing in collisional regimes. Journal of Structural Geology, 18(10), 1275-1279.
- Centamore E., Deiana G., Micarelli A. and Potetti M. (1986) Il Trias-Paleogene nelle Marche. In:
 La Geologia delle Marche. Stud. Geol. Cam., spec. Vol., 9-27.
- Centamore E., Jacobacci A. and Martelli G. (1973) Modello strutturale umbro-marchigiano. Correlazioni con le regioni adiacenti. Boll. Serv. Geol. d'It., 93, 155-188.
- Cheloni, D., De Novellis, V., Albano, M., Antonioli, A., Anzidei, M., Atzori, S., ... and Castaldo, R.
 (2017). Geodetic model of the 2016 Central Italy earthquake sequence inferred from InSAR and GPS data. Geophysical Research Letters, 44(13), 6778-6787.
- Chiaraluce, L., Di Stefano, R., Tinti, E., Scognamiglio, L., Michele, M., Casarotti, E., ... and Lombardi, A. (2017). The 2016 central Italy seismic sequence: A first look at the mainshocks, aftershocks, and source models. Seismological Research Letters, 88(3), 757-771.
- Cinti, F. R., De Martini, P. M., Pantosti, D., Baize, S., Smedile, A., Villani, F., ... and Pizzimenti, L. (2019). 22-kyr-Long Record of Surface Faulting Along the Source of the 30 October 2016
- Earthquake (Central Apennines, Italy), From Integrated Paleoseismic Data Sets. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(8), 9021-9048.

- Civico, R., Pucci, S., De Martini, P. M., and Pantosti, D. (2015). Morphotectonic analysis of the long-term surface expression of the 2009 L'Aquila earthquake fault (Central Italy) using airborne LiDAR data. Tectonophysics, 644, 108-121.
- Civico, R., Pucci, S., Villani, F., Pizzimenti, L., De Martini, P. M., Nappi, R., and Open EMERGEO Working Group. (2018). Surface ruptures following the 30 October 2016 M w 6.5 Norcia earthquake, central Italy. Journal of Maps, 14(2), 151-160.
- Coltorti, M., and Farabollini, P. (1995). Quaternary evolution of the Castelluccio di Norcia basin (Umbro-Marchean Apennines, central Italy). Il Quaternario, 8(1), 149-166.
- Coltorti, M., and Pieruccini, P. (2000). A late Lower Pliocene planation surface across the Italian Peninsula: A key tool in neotectonic studies. Journal of Geodynamics, 29(3–5), 323–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707(99)00049-6

789

798

799

800

801

802

806

807

- Cowie, P. A., and Roberts, G. P. (2001). Constraining slip rates and spacings for active normal faults. Journal of Structural Geology, 23(12), 1901-1915.
- Cowie, P. A., Phillips, R. J., Roberts, G. P., McCaffrey, K., Zijerveld, L. J. J., Gregory, L. C., ... and Freeman, S. P. H. T. (2017). Orogen-scale uplift in the central Italian Apennines drives episodic behaviour of earthquake faults. Scientific reports, 7, 44858.
- D'Agostino, N., Avallone, A., Cheloni, D., D'Anastasio, E., Mantenuto, S., and Selvaggi, G. (2008). Active tectonics of the Adriatic region from GPS and earthquake slip vectors. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 113(12), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB005860
- D'Agostino, N. (2014). Complete seismic release of tectonic strain and earthquake recurrence in the Apennines (Italy). Geophysical Research Letters, 41(4), 1155-1162.
 - D'Agostino, N., Mantenuto, S., D'Anastasio, E., Giuliani, R., Mattone, M., Calcaterra, S., ... and Bonci, L. (2011). Evidence for localized active extension in the central Apennines (Italy) from global positioning system observations. Geology, 39(4), 291-294.
 - Das, S. (2003). Spontaneous complex earthquake rupture propagation. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 160(3–4), 579–602. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00012551
- Das, S., and Kostrov, B. V. (1983). Breaking of a single asperity: Rupture process and seismic radiation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 88(B5), 4277–4288. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB088iB05p04277
 - Delorme, A., Grandin, R., Klinger, Y., Pierrot-Deseilligny, M., Feuillet, N., Jacques, E., ... and Morishita, Y. (2019). Complex deformation at shallow depth during the 30 October 2016 Mw6. 5 Norcia earthquake: interference between tectonic and gravity processes? Tectonics.
- Devoti, R., D'Agostino, N., Serpelloni, E., Pietrantonio, G., Riguzzi, F., Avallone, A., ... and Franco, L. (2017). A combined velocity field of the Mediterranean region. Annals of Geophysics, 60(2), 0215.
- Di Domenica, A., Bonini, L., Calamita, F., Toscani, G., Galuppo, C., and Seno, S. (2014). Analogue modeling of positive inversion tectonics along differently oriented pre-thrusting normal faults: an application to the Central-Northern Apennines of Italy. Bulletin, 126(7-8), 943-955.
- Di Domenica, A., Turtù, A., Satolli, S., and Calamita, F. (2012). Relationships between thrusts and normal faults in curved belts: New insight in the inversion tectonics of the Central-Northern Apennines (Italy). Journal of Structural Geology, 42, 104–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.06.008
- Dolan, J. F., and Haravitch, B. D. (2014). How well do surface slip measurements track slip at depth in large strike-slip earthquakes? The importance of fault structural maturity in controlling on-fault slip versus off-fault surface deformation. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 388, 38–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.11.043
- DuRoss, C. B., Personius, S. F., Crone, A. J., Olig, S. S., Hylland, M. D., Lund, W. R., and Schwartz, D. P. (2016). Fault segmentation: New concepts from the Wasatch fault zone, Utah, USA. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 121(2), 1131-1157.

- Dziewonski, A. M., T.-A. Chou, and J. Woodhouse (1981). Determination of earthquake source parameters from waveform data for studies of global and regional seismicity, J. Geophys. Res. 86, 2825–2852.
- Ekström, G., Nettles, M., and Dziewoński, A. (2012). The global CMT project 2004–2010: Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 200, 1–9.
- Falcucci, E., Gori, S., Bignami, C., Pietrantonio, G., Melini, D., Moro, M., ... and Galadini, F. (2018). The Campotosto seismic gap in between the 2009 and 2016–2017 seismic sequences of central Italy and the role of inherited lithospheric faults in regional seismotectonic settings. Tectonics, 37(8), 2425-2445.
- Faure Walker, J., Roberts, G. P., Cowie, P. A., Papanikolaou, I. D., Sammonds, P. R., Michetti, A. M., and Phillips, R. J. (2009). Horizontal strain-rates and throw-rates across breached relay zones, central Italy: Implications for the preservation of throw deficits at points of normal fault linkage. Journal of Structural Geology, 31(10), 1145-1160.
- Friedrich, A. M., Wernicke, B. P., Niemi, N. A., Bennett, R. A., and Davis, J. L. (2003).

 Comparison of geodetic and geologic data from the Wasatch region, Utah, and implications for the spectral character of Earth deformation at periods of 10 to 10 million years, 108, 1–23.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000682

- Galadini, F., and Galli, P. (2000). Active Tectonics in the Central Apennines (Italy) Input Data for Seismic Hazard Assessment. Natural Hazards, 22(3), 225–270. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008149531980
- Galadini, F., and Galli, P. (2003). Paleoseismology of silent faults in the Central Apennines (Italy):
 The Mt. Vettore and Laga Mts. faults. Annals of Geophysics, 46(5), 815–836.
 https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-3457
- Galli, P., Galadini, F., and Pantosti, D. (2008). Twenty years of paleoseismology in Italy. Earth-Science Reviews, 88(1–2), 89–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.01.001
- Galli, P., Galderisi, A., Martino, M., and Scarascia Mugnozza, G. (2017). L'eccezionale caso della
 fagliazione della galleria San Benedetto Il 30 ottobre 2016.
- 854 Galli, P., Galderisi, A., Peronace, E., Giaccio, B., Hajdas, I., Messina, P., et al. (2019). The Awakening of the Dormant Mount Vettore Fault (2016 Central Italy Earthquake, M w 6.6): 855 Paleoseismic Clues Its Millennial Silences. Tectonics, 856 on 38(2), 687–705. 857 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005326
- Gallovič, F., Zahradník, J., Křížová, D., Plicka, V., Sokos, E., Serpetsidaki, A., and Tselentis, G. A. (2009). From earthquake centroid to spatial-temporal rupture evolution: Mw 6.3 Movri Mountain earthquake, June 8, 2008, Greece. Geophysical Research Letters, 36(21).
- Gawthorpe, R. L., and Leeder, M. R. (2008). Tectono-sedimentary evolution of active extensional basins. Basin Research, 12(3-4), 195-218.
- Giraudi, C. (2003). I depositi alluvionali olocenici di Campo Imperatore (Massiccio del Gran Sasso—Abruzzo). Il Quaternario, 16(1), 117-125.
- Gori, S., Falcucci, E., Galadini, F., Zimmaro, P., Pizzi, A., Kayen, R. E., ... & Di Domenica, A. (2018). Surface faulting caused by the 2016 central Italy seismic sequence: Field mapping and LiDAR/UAV imaging. Earthquake Spectra, 34(4), 1585-1610.
- Gupta, A., and Scholz, C. H. (2000). A model of normal fault interaction based on observations and theory. Journal of Structural Geology, 22(7), 865–879. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00011-0
- Hodge, M., Biggs, J., Fagereng, Å., Elliott, A., Mdala, H., and Mphepo, F. (2019). A semiautomated algorithm to quantify scarp morphology (SPARTA): application to normal faults in southern Malawi. Solid Earth, 10(1), 27-57.
- Iezzi, F., Mildon, Z., Walker, J. F., Roberts, G., Goodall, H., Wilkinson, M., and Robertson, J. (2018). Coseismic throw variation across along-strike bends on active normal faults:

- Implications for displacement versus length scaling of earthquake ruptures. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123(11), 9817-9841.
- Improta, L., Latorre, D., Margheriti, L., Nardi, A., Marchetti, A., Lombardi, A. M., ... and Moretti,
 M. (2019). Multi-segment rupture of the 2016 Amatrice-Visso-Norcia seismic sequence
 (central Italy) constrained by the first high-quality catalog of Early Aftershocks. Scientific reports, 9(1), 6921.
 - Klinger, Y., Avouac, J. P., Abou Karaki, N., Dorbath, L., Bourles, D., and Reyss, J. L. (2000). Slip rate on the Dead Sea transform fault in northern Araba valley (Jordan). Geophysical Journal International, 142(3), 755-768.
 - Lavecchia, G. (1985). Il sovrascorrimento dei Monti Sibillini; analisi cinematica e strutturale. Bollettino della Società Geologica Italiana, 104(1), 161-194.
 - Lavecchia, G., Brozzetti, F., Barchi, M., Menichetti, M., and Keller, J. V. (1994). Seismotectonic zoning in east-central Italy deduced from an analysis of the Neogene to present deformations and related stress fields. GSA Bulletin, 106(9), 1107-1120.
 - Lavecchia, G., Castaldo, R., De Nardis, R., De Novellis, V., Ferrarini, F., Pepe, S., ... and Boncio, P. (2016). Ground deformation and source geometry of the 24 August 2016 Amatrice earthquake (Central Italy) investigated through analytical and numerical modeling of DInSAR measurements and structural-geological data. Geophysical Research Letters, 43(24), 12-389.
 - Lay, T., and Kanamori, H. (1981). An asperity model of large earthquake sequences.
 - Livio, F. A., Michetti, A. M., Vittori, E., Gregory, L., Wedmore, L., Piccardi, L., ... and Brunamonte, F. (2016). Surface faulting during the August 24, 2016, Central Italy earthquake (Mw 6.0): preliminary results. Annals of geophysics, 59.
 - Madariaga, R. (1979). On the relation between seismic moment and stress drop in the presence of stress and strength heterogeneity. Journal of Geophysical Research, 84(B5), 2243. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB084iB05p02243
 - Manighetti, I., Campillo, M., Sammis, C., Mai, P. M., and King, G. (2005). Evidence for self-similar, triangular slip distributions on earthquakes: Implications for earthquake and fault mechanics. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 110(5), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JB003174
 - Manighetti, I., Caulet, C., De Barros, L., Perrin, C., Cappa, F., and Gaudemer, Y. (2015). Generic along-strike segmentation of Afar normal faults, East Africa: Implications on fault growth and stress heterogeneity on seismogenic fault planes. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 16(2), 443-467.
 - Manighetti, I., King, G. C. P., and Gaudemer, Y. (2001). Slip accumulation and lateral propagation of active normal faults in Afar. Journal of Geophysical Research, 106(B7), 13,667-13,696.
- 911 Marchegiani, L., Bertotti, G., Cello, G., Deiana, G., Mazzoli, S., and Tondi, E. (1999). Pre-orogenic 912 tectonics in the Umbria–Marche sector of the Afro-Adriatic continental 913 margin. Tectonophysics, 315(1-4), 123-143.
- Mazzoli, S., Deiana, G., Galdenzi, S., and Cello, G. (2002). Miocene fault-controlled sedimentation
 and thrust propagation in the previously faulted external zones of the Umbria-Marche
 Apennines, Italy. EGU Stephan Mueller Special Publication Series, 1, 195-209.
- Mazzoli, S., Pierantoni, P. P., Borraccini, F., Paltrinieri, W., and Deiana, G. (2005). Geometry, segmentation pattern and displacement variations along a major Apennine thrust zone, central Italy. Journal of Structural Geology, 27(11), 1940–1953. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2005.06.002
- Mendoza, C. (1993). Coseismic slip of two large Mexican earthquakes from teleseismic body waveforms: Implications for asperity interaction in the Michoacan Plate Boundary Segment. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 98(B5), 8197–8210.
- 924 https://doi.org/10.1029/93JB00021

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893 894

895

896 897

898

899

900

901

902

903 904

905

906

907

908

909

- 925 Mildon, Z.K., Roberts, G.P., Faure Walker, J.P. et al. (2016). Active normal faulting during the 1997 seismic sequence in Colfiorito, Umbria: Did slip propagate to the surface? Journal of 927 Structural Geology 91, 10 –113.
- 928 Mirabella, F., Boccali, V., and Barchi, M. R. (2016). Segmentation and interaction of normal faults 929 within the Colfiorito fault system (central Italy). Geological Society, London, Special 930 Publications, 243(1), 25-36.
- Nicol, A, Walsh, J. J., Villamor, P., Seebeck, H., and Berryman, K. R. (2010). Normal fault interactions, paleoearthquakes and growth in an active rift. Journal of Structural Geology, 32(8), 1101–1113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2010.06.018
- Nicol, A., Childs, C., Walsh, J. J., Manzocchi, T., and Schöpfer, M. P. J. (2017). Interactions and growth of faults in an outcrop-scale system. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 439(1), 23–39. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP439.9

938

939 940

941

942

943

944

945 946

947

948 949

950

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

- Palumbo, L., Benedetti, L., Bourles, D., Cinque, A., and Finkel, R. (2004). Slip history of the Magnola fault (Apennines, Central Italy) from 36Cl surface exposure dating: evidence for strong earthquakes over the Holocene. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 225(1-2), 163-176.
- Papanikolaou, I. D., Roberts, G. P., and Michetti, A. M. (2005). Fault scarps and deformation rates in Lazio-Abruzzo, Central Italy: Comparison between geological fault slip-rate and GPS data. Tectonophysics, 408(1–4), 147–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2005.05.043
- Parotto, M., and Praturlon, A. (1975). Geological summary of the Central Apennines. Quaderni Della Ricerca Scientifica.
- Patacca, E., Scandone, P., Di Luzio, E., Cavinato, G. P., and Parotto, M. (2008). Structural architecture of the central Apennines: Interpretation of the CROP 11 seismic profile from the Adriatic coast to the orographic divide. Tectonics, 27(3), 1–36. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005TC001917
- Peacock, D. C. P., and Sanderson, D. J. (1991). Displacement, segment linakge and relay ramps in normal fault zones. Journal of Structural Geology, 13(6), 721–733.
- Peltzer, G., Tapponnier, P., Gaudemer, Y., Meyer, B., Guo, S., Yin, K., ... and Dai, H. (1988).
 Offsets of late Quaternary morphology, rate of slip, and recurrence of large earthquakes on the
 Chang Ma fault (Gansu, China). Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 93(B7), 77937812.
- Perez, H., Masana, E., and Santanach, P. (2006). A pragmatic approach to seismic parameters in a region with low seismicity: the case of eastern Iberia. Natural Hazards, 39(3), 451-477.
 - Perouse, E., Benedetti, L., Fleury, J., Rizza, M., Puliti, I., Billant, J., ... and Pace, B. (2018). Coseismic slip vectors of 24 August and 30 October 2016 earthquakes in Central Italy: Oblique slip and regional kinematic implications. Tectonics, 37(10), 3760-3781
 - Perrin, C., Manighetti, I., Ampuero, J., Cappa, F., and Gaudemer, Y. (2016). Location of largest earthquake slip and fast rupture controlled by along-strike change in fault structural maturity due to fault growth. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 3666–3685. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JB012671.
 - Pierantoni, P.P., D. G. (2013). Stratigraphic and structural features of the Sibillini mountains (Umbria-Marche Apennines, Italy). Italian Journal of Maps.
- Pizzi A. and Galadini F. (2009) Pre-existing cross-structures and active fault segmentation in the
 northern-central Apennines (Italy). Tectonophysics, 476, 304-319,
 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.03.018.
- Pizzi, A., and Scisciani, V. (2000). Methods for determining the Pleistocene-Holocene component of displacement on active faults reactivating pre-Quaternary structures: Examples from the Central Apennines (Italy). Journal of Geodynamics, 29(3–5), 445–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707(99)00053-8
- Pizzi, A., Calamita, F., Coltorti, M., and Pieruccini, P. (2002). Quaternary normal faults,
 intramontane basins and seismicity in the Umbria-Marche-Abruzzi Apennine Ridge (Italy):

- contribution of neotectonic analysis to seismic hazard assessment. Bollettino Societa' Geologica Italiana Spec. Pub., 1, 923–929.
- Pizzi, A., Di Domenica, A., Gallovič, F., Luzi, L., and Puglia, R. (2017). Fault Segmentation as
 Constraint to the Occurrence of the Main Shocks of the 2016 Central Italy Seismic Sequence.
 Tectonics, 36(11), 2370–2387. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004652
- Porreca, M., Fabbrizzi, A., Azzaro, S., Pucci, S., Del Rio, L., Pierantoni, P. P., ... and Barchi, M. R. (2020). 3D geological reconstruction of the M. Vettore seismogenic fault system (Central Apennines, Italy): Cross-cutting relationship with the M. Sibillini thrust. Journal of Structural Geology, 131, 103938.

- Porreca, M., Minelli, G., Ercoli, M., Brobia, A., Mancinelli, P., Cruciani, F., ... and Cannata, A. (2018). Seismic reflection profiles and subsurface geology of the area interested by the 2016–2017 earthquake sequence (Central Italy). Tectonics, 37(4), 1116-1137.
- Pucci, S., De Martini, P. M., Civico, R., Villani, F., Nappi, R., Ricci, T., ... and Sapia, V. (2017). Coseismic ruptures of the 24 August 2016, Mw 6.0 Amatrice earthquake (central Italy). Geophysical Research Letters, 44(5), 2138-2147.
- Ragon, T., Sladen, A., and Simons, M. (2019). Accounting for uncertain fault geometry in earthquake source inversions II: application to the Mw 6.2 Amatrice earthquake, central Italy. Geophysical Journal International, 218(1), 689–707. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz180
- Roberts, G. P., and Michetti, A. M. (2004). Spatial and temporal variations in growth rates along active normal fault systems: An example from The Lazio-Abruzzo Apennines, central Italy. Journal of Structural Geology, 26(2), 339–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(03)00103-2
- Rosu, A. M., Pierrot-Deseilligny, M., Delorme, A., Binet, R., and Klinger, Y. (2015). Measurement of ground displacement from optical satellite image correlation using the free open-source software MicMac. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and RemoteSensing, 100,48-59.
- Rovida, A. N., Locati, M., CAMASSI, R. D., Lolli, B., and Gasperini, P. (2016). CPTI15, the 2015 version of the Parametric Catalogue of Italian Earthquakes.
- Ruff, L. J., and Miller, A. D. (1994). Rupture process of large earthquakes in the northern Mexico subduction zone. pure and applied geophysics, 142(1), 101-172.
- Scisciani, V., Tavarnelli, E., and Calamita, F. (2002). The interaction of extensional and contractional deformations in the outer zones of the Central Apennines, Italy. Journal of Structural Geology, 24(10), 1647–1658. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(01)00164-X
- Scognamiglio, L., Tinti, E., Casarotti, E., Pucci, S., Villani, F., Cocco, M., ... and Dreger, D. (2018). Complex fault geometry and rupture dynamics of the MW 6.5, 30 October 2016, central Italy earthquake. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123(4), 2943-2964.
- Somerville, P., Irikura, K., Graves, R., Sawada, S., Wald, D., Abrahamson, N., ... and Kowada, A. (1999). Characterizing crustal earthquake slip models for the prediction of strong ground motion. Seismological Research Letters, 70(1), 59-80.
- Tarquini, S., Vinci, S., Favalli, M., Doumaz, F., Fornaciai, A., and Nannipieri, L. (2012). Release of a 10-m-resolution DEM for the Italian territory: Comparison with global-coverage DEMs and anaglyph-mode exploration via the web. Computers and geosciences, 38(1), 168-170.
- Tavani, S., Storti, F., Lacombe, O., Corradetti, A., Muñoz, J. A., and Mazzoli, S. (2015). A review of deformation pattern templates in foreland basin systems and fold-and-thrust belts:

 Implications for the state of stress in the frontal regions of thrust wedges. Earth-Science Reviews, 141, 82-104.
- Tavarnelli, E. (1996). The effects of pre-existing normal faults on thrust ramp development: an example from the Northern Apennines, Italy. Geologische Rundschau, 85(2), 363-371.
- Tavarnelli, E., and Peacock, D. C. (1999). From extension to contraction in syn-orogenic foredeep basins: the Contessa section, Umbria-Marche Apennines, Italy. Terra Nova, 11(2-3), 55-60.

- Tavarnelli, E., Butler, R. W. H., Decandia, F. A., Calamita, F., Grasso, M., Alvarez, W., ... and D'offizi, S. (2004). Implications of fault reactivation and structural inheritance in the Cenozoic tectonic evolution of Italy. The Geology of Italy, Special, 1, 209-222.
- Tesson, J., Pace, B., Benedetti, L., Visini, F., Delli Rocioli, M., Arnold, M., ... and Keddadouche, K. (2016). Seismic slip history of the Pizzalto fault (central Apennines, Italy) using in situproduced 36Cl cosmic ray exposure dating and rare earth element concentrations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 121(3), 1983-2003.

- Testa, A., Boncio, P., Di Donato, M., Mataloni, G., Brozzetti F. and Cirillo, D. (2019). Mapping the geology of the 2016 Central Italy earthquake fault (Mt. Vettore Mt. Bove fault, Sibillini Mts.): geological details on the Cupi Ussita and Mt. Bove Mt. Porche segments and overall pattern of coseismic surface faulting. Geological Field Trips and Maps, 11 (2.1)/2019. https://doi.org/10.3301/GFT.2019.03
- Tinti, E., Scognamiglio, L., Michelini, A., and Cocco, M. (2016). Slip heterogeneity and directivity of the ML 6.0, 2016, Amatrice earthquake estimated with rapid finite-fault inversion. Geophysical Research Letters, 43(20), 10,745-10,752. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071263
- Tucker, G. E., McCoy, S. W., Whittaker, A. C., Roberts, G. P., Lancaster, S. T., & Phillips, R. (2011). Geomorphic significance of postglacial bedrock scarps on normal-fault footwalls. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 116(F1).
- Vignaroli, G., Mancini, M., Brilli, M., Bucci, F., Cardinali, M., Giustini, F., ... & Shen, C. C. (2020). Spatial-temporal evolution of extensional faulting and fluid circulation in the Amatrice Basin (central Apennines, Italy) during the Pleistocene. Frontiers in Earth Science, 8, 130.
- Villani, F., Civico, R., Pucci, S., Pizzimenti, L., Nappi, R., De Martini, P. M., ... and Amoroso, S. (2018a). A database of the coseismic effects following the 30 October 2016 Norcia earthquake in Central Italy. Scientific data, 5, 180049.
- Villani, F., Sapia, V., Baccheschi, P., Civico, R., Giulio, G. Di, and Vassallo, M. (2018b). Geometry and structure of a fault bounded extensional basin by integrating geophysical surveys and seismic anisotropy across the 30 October 2016 Mw 6.5 earthquake fault (central Italy): the Pian Grande di Castelluccio basin. Tectonics, (October). https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005205
 - Walters, R. J., Gregory, L. C., Wedmore, L. N., Craig, T. J., McCaffrey, K., Wilkinson, M., ... and Iezzi, F. (2018). Dual control of fault intersections on stop-start rupture in the 2016 Central Italy seismic sequence. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 500, 1-14.
 - Wedmore, L. N. J., Gregory, L. C., McCaffrey, K. J. W., Goodall, H. & Walters, R. J. Partitioned off-fault deformation in the 2016 Norcia earthquake captured by differential terrestrial laser scanning. Geophysical Research Letters 46, 3199–3205 (2019).
- Wells, D. L., and Coppersmith, K. J. (1994). New empirical relationships among magnitude, rupture length, rupture width, rupture area, and surface displacement. Bulletin of the seismological Society of America, 84(4), 974-1002.
- Wesnousky, S. G. (1988). Seismological and structural evolution of strike-slip faults. Nature, 335(6188), 340-343.
- Wilkinson, M. W., McCaffrey, K. J., Jones, R. R., Roberts, G. P., Holdsworth, R. E., Gregory, L. C., ... and Iezzi, F. (2017). Near-field fault slip of the 2016 Vettore M w 6.6 earthquake (Central Italy) measured using low-cost GNSS. Scientific reports, 7(1), 1-7.
- Winter, T. and Tapponnier, P. (1991). Extension majeure post-Jurassique et ante-Miocene dans le centre de l'Italie; donnees microtectoniques. Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France, 162(6), 1095-1108.
- 1073 Figure 1. The neotectonic framework of the Central Apennines and location of the Central Italy
- 2016 seismic sequence. In black are the active fault systems from Benedetti (1999), Tesson et al.

- (2016), and references therein. The study area (blue rectangle) is the Mt. Vettore-Mt. Bove fault 1075 system (VBFS). The other faults are the Laga Fault (LF) and Gorzano Fault (GF). The main 1076 1077 Neogene thrusts are shown in grey: Valnerina Line (VL), Olevano-Antrodoco-Sibillini (OAS), and Gran Sasso (GS) (Calamita et al., 2011; Di Domenica et al., 2014). The focal mechanism of the 1078 1079 1997 Colfiorito earthquake is from the CMT catalog (Dziewonski et al., 1981; Ekström et al., 2012). The focal mechanisms of the 2009 to 2017 events are from the INGV web page 1080 (http://cnt.rm.ingv.it). Historical events (white circles) with their dates (AD) are from the CPTI15 1081 catalog (Rovida et al., 2016) Inset: study area at a large scale. Modified after Perouse et al. (2018). 1082
- 1083 Figure 2. a) Geological map of the VBFS in the Central Apennines; see Figure 1 for the location. Modified after Pierantoni et al. (2013): Calcare massiccio Fm. (MAS), Bugarone Fm. (BU), 1084 1085 Corniola Fm. (COI), Rosso Ammonitico Fm. (RSA), Calcari a Posidonia Fm. (POD), Marne del Serrone Fm. (MSE), Calcari Diasprigni Fm. (CDU), Maiolica Fm. (MAI), Marne a Fucoidi Fm. 1086 1087 (FUC), Scaglia Bianca Fm. (SBI), Scaglia Rossa Fm. (SAA), Scaglia Variegata Fm. (VAS), Scaglia Cinerea Fm. (SCC), and Laga Fm. (LAG). The dashed lines are the cross-section traces (in the 1088 1089 supplementary Figure S5). The structural symbols are the same as those in Figure 2.b. b) Shaded 1090 relief map of the VBFS from a 10 m-resolution DEM. The red stars indicate the locations of the three 2016 mainshocks with their focal mechanisms. The blue transect line indicates the fault 1091 system's average strike of N150° and the main sectors of the system: Mt. Vettore (MV), Mt. Porche 1092 (MP), Mt. Bove (MB) and Cupi-Ussita (CU). The active normal faults (solid red lines) are faults 1093 1094 that ruptured during the 2016 earthquakes and showed morphological evidence on the topography. The inferred active normal faults (red dashed lines) are faults with morphological evidence that did 1095 not rupture in 2016. 1096
- Figure 3. a) Characteristic features of fault scarps that were used for measurements on highresolution topographic profiles. The topographic offset (To) for each profile is the vertical separation between two portions of a unique marker surface (with slope β) that was displaced by a fault (with dip angle α). b) Components of a net-slip vector on the fault plane. The fault down-throw (Tr) is the projection on a vertical plane of the net-slip vector. See the text for an explanation of the Tr evaluation. The degraded scarp and colluvial wedge are the results of erosion processes that smooth the fault scarp over time.
- Figure 4. Throw along-strike at three timescales for VBFS. Location of measurements indicated by red squares placed on the projected position along the Transect line. Dashed faults indicate faults with no available data but with throws (at long/mid/short term) > 0. A grey area defines cumulative total displacement for each position along the Transect line (both east and west-dipping faults). a)

- Long-term geological displacements b) Mid-term morphological throws, c) Short-term coseismic
- 1109 throws.
- 1110 Figure 5. Downthrow and slip components values (net, dip and strike-slip components) in Mt
- 1111 Vettore area for each segment. The measured rake at each site is also displayed. The strike-slip
- component is always small with respect to dip-slip portion, and downthrow is not affected by the
- strike-slip component (see the supplementary for the data locations).
- 1114 **Figure 6**. Cumulative throw vs coseismic throw diagram. The thick red line defines the proportional
- envelope between the two datasets. The thinner lines are related to the trends of each fault segment
- and are color-coded according to the corresponding segments. The colored data indicate orange
- MV1 fault, light-blue MV2 fault, purple MV4 fault, and grey MV5 fault.
- Figure 7. Map of the throw evolution through time: a) short-term throw, b) mid-term throw, c)
- long-term throw. The color scales show the range of vertical displacement at each time frame. d)
- 1120 Cumulative displacement profile at each time scale (synthetic plus antithetic faults). The red point
- indicates the maximum throw position: in the mid-term, there is a southward migration of this point.
- 1122 Figure 8. Correlation among a) short-term throw, b) mid-term throw, and c) throw of the
- penultimate event found in the trenches excavated in segments MV5 (Galadini e Galli, 2003), MP4
- (Cinti et al., 2019) and MP5 (Galli et al., 2019); d) summary of the timing events, modified from
- 1125 Cinti et al., (2019). The values shown in panels a) and b) are related to the data where the trench has
- 1126 been dug (i.e. MP5.1, MP 4.1, MV 5.3).
- Figure 9. Block diagram that shows the relationships between the VBFS and OAS and the locations
- of the 2016 mainshock hypocenters at depth (from Chiaraluce et al., 2017) along the fault plane
- (simplified as a single and long plane). (1) Southernmost MV sector, which recorded the highest
- throw of the system during the last 18 kyr. This feature reflects the prominent southward evolution
- of the system, which is inhibited by the presence of a major barrier at depth: the OAS thrust ramp.
- Thus, the fault system accumulates higher displacement. (2) To the south, the VBFS intersects the
- OAS thrust ramp at depth, which is cross-cut in its shallowest portion (first 2 km from Porreca et
- al., 2018 and 2020; see T1). (3) To the north, the VBFS intersects, and it is rooted on the OAS
- thrust ramp. (4) South of the VBFS, no evidence of clear surface faulting is observed, even though
- the 24 August event revealed the presence of a fault at depth. We interpret this zone as a relay
- between the VBFS to the north and LF to the south. (5) The throw deficit at all time scales in the
- Ussita valley to the south of the CU sector. (6) Complex fault zone of barrier (see text).

1139	Figure 10. Distribution of the 24 August and 30 October coseismic ruptures at depth. The slip
1140	models are plotted on fault planes (24 August event: Ragon et al., 2019; 30 October event:
1141	Scognamiglio et al., 2018). The trace of the low-angle OAS thrust is reported. The red stars indicate
1142	hypocenters, and the red arrows are the main slip propagation directions. The solid red lines
1143	indicate the surface patterns of the coseismic throws that were produced by the two mainshocks.
1144	The black dashed line is the morphological throw of the VBFS.