HAL
open science

Fibers of rational maps and Jacobian matrices

Marc Chardin, Steven Dale Cutkosky, Quang Hoa Tran

To cite this version:

Marc Chardin, Steven Dale Cutkosky, Quang Hoa Tran. Fibers of rational maps and Jacobian matrices. Journal of Algebra, In press, 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.01.035 . hal-03004662

HAL Id: hal-03004662

https://hal.science/hal-03004662v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

arXiv:1710.00407v1 [math.AC] 1 Oct 2017

FIBERS OF RATIONAL MAPS AND JACOBIAN MATRICES

MARC CHARDIN, STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY, AND QUANG HOA TRAN

Abstract. A rational map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^m_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$ is defined by homogeneous polynomials of a common degree d. We establish a linear bound in terms of d for the number of $(m-1)$-dimensional fibers of ϕ, by using ideals of minors of the Jacobian matrix. In particular, we answer affirmatively Question 11 in [Tra15].

1. Introduction

Let k be a field and $\phi : \mathbb{P}^m_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$ be a rational map. Such a map ϕ is defined by homogeneous polynomials $f_0, \ldots, f_n$, of the same degree d, in a standard graded polynomial ring $R = k[X_0, \ldots, X_m]$, such that $\gcd(f_0, \ldots, f_n) = 1$. The ideal I of R generated by these polynomials is called the *base ideal of* ϕ. The scheme $\mathcal{B} := \operatorname{Proj}(R/I) \subset \mathbb{P}^m_k$ is called the *base locus of* ϕ. Let $B = k[T_0, \ldots, T_n]$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. The map ϕ corresponds to the k-algebra homomorphism $\varphi : B \longrightarrow R$, which sends each T_i to f_i. Then the kernel of this homomorphism defines the closed image $\mathscr{S}$ of ϕ. In other words, after degree renormalization, $k[f_0, \ldots, f_n] \simeq B/\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathscr{S}$. The minimal set of generators of $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$ is called its *implicit equations* and the *implicitization problem* is to find these implicit equations.

The implicitization problem for curves or surfaces has been of increasing interest to commutative algebraists and algebraic geometers due to its applications in Computer Aided Geometric Design as explained by Cox [Cox05].

We blow up the base locus of ϕ and obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Gamma \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\pi_1"'] & \mathbb{P}^m_k \times \mathbb{P}^n_k \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] \\ \mathbb{P}^m_k \arrow[r, dashed, "\phi"] & \mathbb{P}^n_k. \end{tikzcd}$$

The variety Γ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^m_k$ at $\mathcal{B}$ and it is also the Zariski closure of the graph of ϕ in $\mathbb{P}^m_k \times \mathbb{P}^n_k$. Moreover, Γ is the geometric version of the Rees algebra of I, i.e. $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}}) = \Gamma$. As $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the graded domain defining Γ, the projection $\pi_2(\Gamma) = \mathscr{S}$ is defined by the graded domain $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}} \cap k[T_0, \ldots, T_n]$ and we can thus obtain the implicit equations of $\mathscr{S}$ from the defining equations of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}}$.

In geometric modeling, it is of vital importance to have a detailed knowledge of the geometry of the objects and of the parametric representations one is working

Date: April 29, 2019.

Steven Dale Cutkosky was partially supported by NSF.

with. The question of how many times the same point is being painted (i.e. corresponds to distinct values of parameter) depends not only on the variety itself, but also on the parameterization. It is of interest to determine the singularities of the parameterizations, in particular their fibers. More precisely, we set

$$\pi := \pi_{2|\Gamma} : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k.$$

For every closed point $y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k$, we will denote by $k(y)$ its residue field. If k is assumed to be algebraically closed, then $k(y) \simeq k$. The fiber of π at $y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k$ is the subscheme

$$\pi^{-1}(y) = \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes_B k(y)) \subset \mathbb{P}^m_{k(y)} \simeq \mathbb{P}^m_k.$$

Suppose that $m \geq 2$ and ϕ is generically finite onto its image. Then the set

$$\mathcal{Y}_{m-1} = \{y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k \mid \dim \pi^{-1}(y) = m-1\}$$

consists of only a finite number of points in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. For each $y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$, $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is a $(m-1)$-dimensional subcheme of $\mathbb{P}^m_k$ and thus the unmixed component of maximal dimension is defined by a homogeneous polynomial $h_y \in R$. Our main purpose is to establish a bound for $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \deg(h_y)$ in terms of the degree d. A quadratic bound in d for this sum of one-dimensional fibers of a parameterization surface $\phi : \mathbb{P}^2_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_k$ is given by the third named author [Tra15]. More precisely, he proved the following.

Theorem. [Tra15, Theorem 7 & 9] *Let I be a homogeneous ideal of $R = k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ generated by a minimal generating set of homogeneous polynomials $f_0, \ldots, f_3$ of degree d. Suppose that I has codimension 2 and $\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{Proj}(R/I)$ is locally a complete intersection of dimension zero. Let $I^{\text{sat}} := I :_R (X_0, X_1, X_2)^\infty$ be the saturation of I and $\mu = \inf\{\nu \mid I^{\text{sat}}_\nu \neq 0\}$.*

(i) *If $\mu < d$, then $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_1} \deg(h_y) \leq \mu$.*

(ii) *If $\mu = d$, then*

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_1} \deg(h_y) \leq \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if} \quad d = 3, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor d - 1 & \text{if} \quad d \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we refine and generalize the above theorem. Recall that if $\mathbf{f} := f_0, \ldots, f_n$ are polynomials in $R = k[X_0, \ldots, X_m]$, then the Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}$ is defined by

$$J(\mathbf{f}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by $I_s(J(\mathbf{f}))$ the ideal of R generated by the s-minors of $J(\mathbf{f})$, where $1 \leq s \leq \min\{m+1, n+1\}$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem (Theorem 3.5)**.** *Let I be a homogeneous ideal of $R = k[X_0, \ldots, X_m]$ generated by a minimal generating set of homogeneous polynomials $\mathbf{f} := f_0, \ldots, f_n$*

of degree d. Suppose that $\gcd(f_0, \dots, f_n) = 1$ *and* $I_3(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$. *Let F be the greatest common divisor of generators of* $I_3(J(\mathbf{f}))$. *Then*

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \deg(h_y) \leq \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{r_y} (2e_i - 1) \deg(h_i) \leq \deg(F) \leq 3(d-1),$$

where $h_y = h_1^{e_1} \cdots h_{r_y}^{e_{r_y}}$ *is an irreducible factorization of h_y in R.*

If the field k is of characteristic zero, then the assumption $I_3(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$ is always satisfied, due to the hypothesis that ϕ is generically finite onto its image. Therefore, the above theorem is a significant improvement and generalization of the results in [Tra15], at least in the case where k is of characteristic zero.

For a parameterization of surfaces $\phi : \mathbb{P}^2_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_k$, we give a linear bound for $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_1} \deg(h_y)$ which answers affirmatively Question 11 in [Tra15].

Corollary (Corollary 4.4)**.** *Let I be a homogeneous ideal of $R = k[X_0, \dots, X_m]$ generated by a minimal generating set of homogeneous polynomials* $\mathbf{f} := f_0, \dots, f_3$ *of degree d. Suppose that I has codimension 2. Assume further that the characteristic of k does not divide d and* $[k(\mathbf{f}) : k(\mathbf{X})]$ *is separable. Then*

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_1} \deg(h_y) \leq 3(d-1) - \operatorname{indeg}(\operatorname{Syz}(I)) < 3(d-1).$$

Observe that the last two conditions in the above corollary are automatically satisfied if k is of characteristic zero.

2. Tangent space maps and Jacobian matrices

Suppose that X is a k-scheme where k is an algebraically closed field, and $q \in X$ is a closed point. The tangent space $T(X)_q$ of X at q is the k-vector space

$$T(X)_q = \operatorname{Hom}_k(m_q/m_q^2, k)$$

where m_q is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,q}$. Suppose that Y is another k-scheme and $\phi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of k-schemes. Then $\phi^* : \mathcal{O}_{Y,\phi(q)} \to \mathcal{O}_{X,q}$ induces a homomorphism of k-vector spaces $d\phi_q : T(X)_q \to T(Y)_{\phi(q)}$. If V is a subscheme of X and W is a subscheme of Y such that $\phi(V) \subset W$, then we have a natural commutative diagram of homomorphisms of k-vector spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(V)_q & \subset & T(X)_q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T(W)_{\phi(q)} & \subset & T(Y)_{\phi(q)}. \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

From now on, we will consider the following situation. Suppose that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Consider $f_0, \dots, f_n$ homogeneous polynomials of a common degree d in the standard polynomial ring $R := k[X_0, \dots, X_m]$, such that $\gcd(f_0, \dots, f_n) = 1$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^m_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$ be a rational

map defined by $f_0, \ldots, f_n$. The maximal open set on which ϕ is a morphism is $\Omega_\phi = \mathbb{P}^m_k \setminus Z(f_0, \ldots, f_n)$. Let

$$J(\mathbf{f}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

be the Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f} = f_0, \ldots, f_n$. For any closed point $q = (q_0 : \cdots : q_m) \in \mathbb{P}^m_k$, we denote by $J(q)$ the matrix obtained from $J(\mathbf{f})$ by mapping X_i to q_i for all $i = 0, \ldots, m$. The entries of this matrix are defined by q up to multiplication by a common non zero scalar.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that p does not divide d and $q \in \Omega_\phi$ is a closed point. Then*

$$\textit{rank } J(q) = \textit{rank } d\phi_q + 1,$$

where $d\phi_q : T(\mathbb{P}^m_k)_q \to T(\mathbb{P}^n_k)_{\phi(q)}$ is the tangent space map.

Proof. After possibly making linear changes of homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^m_k$ and $\mathbb{P}^n_k$, we may assume that $q = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$ and $\phi(q) = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$. Let $\overline{X}_i = \frac{X_i}{X_0}$ for $1 \le i \le m$. Let $F_i = \frac{f_i}{X_0^d} \in k[\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_m]$, which is the affine coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^m_k \setminus Z(X_0)$. As ϕ is a regular map near q,

$$\phi = (f_0 : f_1 : \cdots : f_n) = (1 : g_1 : \cdots : g_n),$$

where $g_i = \frac{f_i}{f_0} = \frac{F_i}{F_0}$. Let $\alpha = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We have that

$$\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial X_0}(\alpha) = df_j(\alpha)$$

for all $j = 0, \ldots, n$, by Euler's formula. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } J(p) &= \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} df_0(\alpha) & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \\ df_1(\alpha) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ df_n(\alpha) & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} df_0(\alpha) & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \\ 0 & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\overline{\alpha} = (0, \ldots, 0)$. As

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial X_j}(\alpha) = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \overline{X}_j}(\overline{\alpha})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$ (it suffices to check this on a monomial), so

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_1}(\alpha) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_m}(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} &= \operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \overline{X}_1}(\overline{\alpha}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \overline{X}_m}(\overline{\alpha}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \overline{X}_1}(\overline{\alpha}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \overline{X}_m}(\overline{\alpha}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \overline{X}_1}(\overline{\alpha}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \overline{X}_m}(\overline{\alpha}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial \overline{X}_1}(\overline{\alpha}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial \overline{X}_m}(\overline{\alpha}) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{X}_j}\left(\frac{F_i}{F_0}\right) = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \overline{X}_j} F_0^{-1} - F_0^{-2} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \overline{X}_j} F_i,$$

so

$$\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \overline{X}_j}(\overline{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{F_0(\overline{\alpha})} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \overline{X}_j}(\overline{\alpha})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, as $F_0(\overline{\alpha}) \neq 0$ and $F_i(\overline{\alpha}) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. □

Remark 2.2. If p divides d the proof of Proposition 2.1 shows that we can either have

$$\operatorname{rank} J(q) = \operatorname{rank} d\phi_q + 1 \text{ or } \operatorname{rank} J(q) = \operatorname{rank} d\phi_q.$$

Both options are possible.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and V is a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^m_k$ such that $V \cap \Omega_\phi \neq \emptyset$ and $r = \dim V - \dim \phi(V)$. Then $V \subset Z(I_{m-r+2}(J(\mathbf{f})))$, where $I_{m-r+2}(J(\mathbf{f}))$ is the ideal generated by the $(m-r+2)$-minors of $J(\mathbf{f})$.*

Proof. There exists a dense open subset U of V such that for any $q \in U$, V is smooth at q and $\phi(V)$ is smooth at $\phi(q)$ (take U to be the intersection of smooth locus of V with the preimage of the smooth locus of $\phi(V)$). We have that $\dim T(\phi(V))_{\phi(q)} = \dim V - r$ for $q \in U$, so by consideration of diagram (2.1), it follows that $\dim \operatorname{Ker} d\phi_q \geq r$ for $q \in U$, hence $\operatorname{rank} d\phi_q \leq m - r$ for $q \in U$. By Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we have that

$$\operatorname{rank} J(q) \leq \operatorname{rank} d\phi_q + 1 \leq m - r + 1$$

for $q \in U$. Thus U is contained in the closed set $Z(I_{m-r+2}(J(\mathbf{f})))$, so the closure V of U is contained in this set. □

3. Bound for the number of $(m-1)$-dimensional fibers of a rational map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^m_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$

We adopt in this section the notations and hypotheses of the introduction. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \quad & \mathbb{P}^m_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k \\ & x \longmapsto (f_0(x) : \cdots : f_n(x)) \end{aligned}$$

is a rational map whose closed image is a subvariety $\mathscr{S}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ and $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^m_k \times \mathbb{P}^n_k$ is the closure of its graph. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Gamma \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\pi_1"'] & \mathbb{P}^m_k \times \mathbb{P}^n_k \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] \\ \mathbb{P}^m_k \arrow[r, dashed, "\phi"'] & \mathbb{P}^n_k. \end{tikzcd}$$

Furthermore, Γ is the irreducible subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^m_k \times \mathbb{P}^n_k$ defined by the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}} := \mathrm{Rees}_R(I)$ (see [Har77, Chapter II, §7]). Let $B := k[T_0, \ldots, T_n]$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ and $S := R \otimes_k B = R[T_0, \ldots, T_n]$ with the standard bigraded structure by the canonical grading $\deg(X_i) = (1, 0)$ and $\deg(T_j) = (0, 1)$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, m$ and $j = 0, \ldots, n$. The natural bigraded morphism of bigraded k-algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \quad & S \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}} = \oplus_{s \geq 0} I(d)^s = \oplus_{s \geq 0} I^s(sd) \\ & T_i \longmapsto f_i \end{aligned}$$

is onto and corresponds to the embedding $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^m_k \times \mathbb{P}^n_k$.

Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be the kernel of α. Then it is a homogeneous ideal of S and the part of degree one of $\mathfrak{P}$ in T_i, denoted by $\mathfrak{P}_1 = \mathfrak{P}_{(*,1)}$, is the module of syzygies of the f_i

$$a_0 T_0 + \cdots + a_n T_n \in \mathfrak{P}_1 \Longleftrightarrow a_0 f_0 + \cdots + a_n f_n = 0.$$

Set $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{I}} := \mathrm{Sym}_R(I)$ for the symmetric algebra of I. The natural bigraded epimorphisms

$$S \longrightarrow S/(\mathfrak{P}_1) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{I}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{I}} \simeq S/(\mathfrak{P}_1) \longrightarrow S/\mathfrak{P} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}}$$

correspond to the embeddings of schemes $\Gamma \subset V \subset \mathbb{P}^m_k \times \mathbb{P}^n_k$ where V is the projective scheme defined by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{I}}$.

As the construction of symmetric algebras and Rees algebras commute with localization, and both algebras are the quotient of a polynomial extension of the base ring by the Koszul syzygies on a minimal set of generators in the case of a complete intersection ideal, it follows that Γ and V coincide on $(\mathbb{P}^m_k \setminus X) \times \mathbb{P}^n_k$, where X is the (possibly empty) set of points where $\mathcal{B}$ is not locally a complete intersection.

Now we set $\pi := \pi_{2|\Gamma} : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$. For every closed point $y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k$, we will denote by $k(y)$ its residue field, that is, $k(y) = (B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}})_0$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is the defining prime ideal of y. As k is algebraically closed, $k(y) \simeq k$. The fiber of π at $y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k$ is the subscheme

$$\pi^{-1}(y) = \mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes_B k(y)) \subset \mathbb{P}^m_{k(y)} \simeq \mathbb{P}^m_k.$$

Let $0 \leq \ell \leq m$. We define

$$\mathcal{Y}_\ell = \{y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k \mid \dim \pi^{-1}(y) = \ell\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n_k.$$

We are interested in studying the structure of $\mathcal{Y}_\ell$. First, Chevalley's theorem shows that the subsets $\mathcal{Y}_\ell$ are constructible, that is, they can be written as

$$\mathcal{Y}_\ell = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{s} (U_i \cap Z_i),$$

where U_i (respectively Z_i) are open (respectively closed) subsets of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^m_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$ be a rational map and Γ be the closure of the graph of ϕ. Consider the canonical projection $\pi : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$. Then*

$$\dim\ \overline{\mathcal{Y}_\ell} + \ell \leq m.$$

Furthermore, this inequality is strict for any $\ell > m - \dim\ \mathscr{S}$, where $\mathscr{S}$ is the closed image of ϕ.

Proof. Set $V_\ell := \overline{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_\ell)}$, a subvariety of Γ. For the first statement

$$\dim\ \overline{\mathcal{Y}_\ell} + \ell = \dim\ V_\ell \leq \dim\ \Gamma = \dim\ \mathscr{S} \leq m.$$

Moreover, if $\dim\ \overline{\mathcal{Y}_\ell} + \ell = m$, then $\dim\ V_\ell = \dim\ \Gamma = m$. It implies that $\overline{\mathcal{Y}_\ell} = \mathscr{S}$ and proves the second assertion. □

From now on, we will always assume that ϕ is generically finite onto its image, or equivalently that the closed image $\mathscr{S}$ of ϕ is a subvariety in $\mathbb{P}^n_k := \mathrm{Proj}(B)$, of dimension m. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, $\dim\ \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_m < 0$, which shows that $\mathcal{Y}_m = \emptyset$. This was noticed in [BBC14, Lemma 14]. Now if $\ell = m - 1 \geq 1$, as $m \geq 2$, then $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{m-1}$ consists of only a finite number of points in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. In other words, π only has a finite number of $(m-1)$-dimensional fibers.

For any $y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$, $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^m_{k(y)} \simeq \mathbb{P}^m_k$ of dimension $m-1$, as k is algebraically closed. Thus the unmixed part of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is defined by a homogeneous polynomial $h_y \in R$, as R is factorial. Our purpose is then to bound $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \deg(h_y)$ in terms of the degree d.

The fibers of π are defined by specialization of the Rees algebra. However, Rees algebras are hard to study. Fortunately, the symmetric algebra of I is easier to understand than $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{I}$ and the fibers of π are closely related to the fibers of

$$\pi' := \pi_{2|V} : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k.$$

Recall that for any closed point $y \in \mathbb{P}^n_k$, the fiber of π' at y is the subscheme

$$\pi'^{-1}(y) = \mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{I} \otimes_B k(y)) \subset \mathbb{P}^m_{k(y)} \simeq \mathbb{P}^m_k.$$

We have the following lemma. Recall that X is the (possibly empty) set of points where $\mathcal{B}$ is not locally a complete intersection.

Lemma 3.2. *The fibers of π and π' agree outside X, hence they have the same $(m-1)$-dimensional components.*

Proof. The first statement holds since Γ and V coincide on $(\mathbb{P}^m_k \setminus X) \times \mathbb{P}^n_k$. Moreover, as I is assumed to have codimension at least 2, $\dim\ \mathcal{B} \leq m-2$, showing that $\dim X \leq m-2$. The second statement follows. □

The following lemma is a simple generalization of [BBC14, Lemma 10].

Lemma 3.3. *Let I be a homogeneous ideal of R generated by a minimal generating set of homogeneous polynomials $\mathbf{f} := f_0, \ldots, f_n$ of degree d and suppose that $\gcd(f_0, \ldots, f_n) = 1$. Assume that the fiber of π' over a closed point y with coordinates $(p_0 : \cdots : p_n)$ is of dimension $m-1$, and its unmixed components are defined by $h_y \in R$. Let ℓ_y be a linear form in $\mathbf{T} := T_0, \ldots, T_n$ such that $\ell_y(p_0, \ldots, p_n) = 1$ and set $\ell_i(\mathbf{T}) := T_i - p_i \ell_y(\mathbf{T})$ $(i = 0, \ldots, n)$. Then, $h_y = \gcd(\ell_0(\mathbf{f}), \ldots, \ell_n(\mathbf{f}))$ and*

$$I = \ell_y(\mathbf{f}) + h_y(g_0, \ldots, g_n)$$

with $\ell_i(\mathbf{f}) = h_y g_i$ and $\ell_y(g_0, \ldots, g_n) = 0$.

Proof. The proof of this result goes along the same lines as in the proof of [BBC14, Lemma 10]. □

For $\mathbf{f} = f_0, \ldots, f_n$, set

$$J(\mathbf{f}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial X_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

for the Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}$ and $I_s(J(\mathbf{f}))$ for the ideal of R generated by the $s \times s$ minors of $J(\mathbf{f})$, where $1 \leq s \leq m+1$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $\dim_k I_d = n+1$ and let $\mathbf{f} = f_0, \ldots, f_n$ and $\mathbf{g} = g_0, \ldots, g_n$ be two bases of I_d. Then $I_s(J(\mathbf{f})) = I_s(J(\mathbf{g})))$, for any s.*

Proof. Indeed, these are the Fitting ideals (with the same indices) of two matrices that are equal after change of basis over the base field. □

Recall that for any $y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$, we denote by $h_y \in R$ a defining equation of the unmixed part of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$ (recall that k is algebraically closed and R is factorial). Assume that $h_y = h_1^{e_1} \cdots h_{r_y}^{e_{r_y}}$ is an irreducible factorization of h_y in R.

Theorem 3.5. *Let I be a homogeneous ideal of R generated by a minimal generating set of homogeneous polynomials $\mathbf{f} := f_0, \ldots, f_n$ of degree d. Suppose that $\gcd(f_0, \ldots, f_n) = 1$ and $I_3(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$. Let F be the greatest common divisor of generators of $I_3(J(\mathbf{f}))$. Then*

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \deg(h_y) \leq \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{r_y} (2e_i - 1) \deg(h_i) \leq \deg(F) \leq 3(d-1).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, the unmixed components of $\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ and ${\pi'}^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ are the same for every closed point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a homogeneous polynomial $f \in I$ of degree d such that, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$

$$I = (f) + h_y(g_{1y}, \ldots, g_{ny}),$$

for some $g_{1y}, \dots, g_{ny} \in R$.

The Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}' = (f, h_y g_{1y}, \dots, h_y g_{ny})$ is

$$J(\mathbf{f}') = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_m} \\ h_y \frac{\partial g_{1y}}{\partial X_0} + g_{1y} \frac{\partial h_y}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & h_y \frac{\partial g_{1y}}{\partial X_m} + g_{1y} \frac{\partial h_y}{\partial X_m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_y \frac{\partial g_{ny}}{\partial X_0} + g_{ny} \frac{\partial h_y}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & h_y \frac{\partial g_{ny}}{\partial X_m} + g_{ny} \frac{\partial h_y}{\partial X_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For all $j = 0, \dots, m$

$$\frac{\partial h_y}{\partial X_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{r_y} e_i \frac{h_y}{h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial X_j},$$

therefore the i-th row of $J(\mathbf{f}')$ has a common factor $h_1^{e_1-1} \cdots h_{r_y}^{e_{r_y}-1}$, for all $i = 2, \dots, n+1$. It follows that, for any subset $\mathcal{I}$ of $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$ with 3 elements and a subset $\mathcal{J}$ of $\{1, \dots, m+1\}$ with 3 elements,

$$[J(\mathbf{f}')]_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}} = h_1^{2(e_1-1)} \cdots h_{r_y}^{2(e_{r_y}-1)} [M]_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $[Q]_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}$ denotes the 3-minor of a $(n+1) \times (m+1)$-matrix Q that corresponds to the rows with index in $\mathcal{I}$ and the columns with index in $\mathcal{J}$ and M is the $(n+1) \times (m+1)$-matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_m} \\ \widehat{h}_y \frac{\partial g_{1y}}{\partial X_0} + g_{1y} \sigma_0 & \cdots & \widehat{h}_y \frac{\partial g_{1y}}{\partial X_m} + g_{1y} \sigma_m \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \widehat{h}_y \frac{\partial g_{ny}}{\partial X_0} + g_{ny} \sigma_0 & \cdots & \widehat{h}_y \frac{\partial g_{ny}}{\partial X_m} + g_{ny} \sigma_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\widehat{h}_y = h_1 \cdots h_{r_y}$ and $\sigma_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r_y} e_i \frac{\widehat{h}_y}{h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial X_j}$, $(j = 0, \dots, m)$. Thus there is a homogeneous polynomial P such that $[M]_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}} = \widehat{h}_y P + [N]_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}}$, where N is the $(n+1) \times (m+1)$-matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_m} \\ g_{1y} \sigma_0 & \cdots & g_{1y} \sigma_m \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{ny} \sigma_0 & \cdots & g_{ny} \sigma_m \end{pmatrix}$$

which shows that $[N]_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}} = 0$, as rank $N \leq 2$. By (3.1), we obtain

$$[J(\mathbf{f}')]_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}} = h_1^{2(e_1-1)} \cdots h_{r_y}^{2(e_{r_y}-1)} \widehat{h}_y P = h_1^{2e_1-1} \cdots h_{r_y}^{2e_{r_y}-1} P, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$. Let G be the greatest common divisor of generators of $I_3(J(\mathbf{f}'))$. Then $h_1^{2e_1-1} \cdots h_{r_y}^{2e_{r_y}-1}$ is a divisor of G by (3.2). By Lemma 3.4, $h_1^{2e_1-1} \cdots h_{r_y}^{2e_{r_y}-1}$ is a divisor of F.

Moreover, if $y \neq y'$ in $\mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$, then $\gcd(h_y, h_{y'}) = 1$, hence $\gcd(h_i, h'_j) = 1$, for every factor h_i (res. h'_j) of h_y (res. $h_{y'}$). We deduce that

$$\prod_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} h_1^{2e_1-1} \cdots h_{r_y}^{2e_{r_y}-1} \mid F$$

which gives

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{r_y} (2e_i - 1) \deg(h_i) \leq \deg(F) \leq 3(d-1).$$

□

Remark 3.6. Let $p = \mathrm{char}(k)$ be the characteristic of the field k. Then there are two cases:

(i) Case 1: p does not divide d. Then $I_{m+1}(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$ if and only if $[k(\mathbf{f}) : k(\mathbf{X})]$ is separable, where $\mathbf{X} := X_0, \ldots, X_m$. In particular, if $p = 0$, then the condition $I_{m+1}(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$ always holds.

(ii) Case 2: p divides d. Then $I_{m+1}(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$ only if $[k(\mathbf{f}) : k(\mathbf{X})]$ is separable.

Note that if $I_{m+1}(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$, then $I_j(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$, for all $1 \leq j \leq m+1$. In particular, if the characteristic of k is 0, then the assumption $I_3(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$ is always satisfied.

Remark 3.7.

(i) The inequality

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \deg(h_y) \leq \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{r_y} (2e_i - 1) \deg(h_i)$$

becomes an equality if and only if the defining equation of the unmixed component of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$ has no multiple factors, for every $y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}$.

(ii) The bound

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{r_y} (2e_i - 1) \deg(h_i) \leq \deg(F)$$

is optimal as the following example shows.

Example 3.8. [Tra15, Example 10] Let $d \geq 4$ be an integer. Consider the parameterization given by $\mathbf{f} = f_0, \ldots, f_3$, with

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= X_0^{d-3} X_1 (X_0^2 - X_1^2), & f_2 &= X_0^{d-3} X_2 (X_1^2 - X_2^2), \\ f_1 &= X_0^{d-3} X_2 (X_0^2 - X_1^2), & f_3 &= X_1^{d-3} X_2 (X_1^2 - X_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using `Macaulay2` [GS], the greatest common divisor of generators of $I_3(J(\mathbf{f}))$ is

$$F = X_0^{2d-7} X_2 (X_0^2 - X_1^2)(X_1^2 - X_2^2).$$

It is known as in [Tra15, Example 10] that

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_1} \deg(h_y) = d + 2$$

and

$$\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}_1}\sum_{i=1}^{r_y}(2e_i-1)\deg(h_i)=2(d-1)=\deg(F)<3(d-1).$$

Furthermore, if $d=4$, then

$$\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}_1}\deg(h_y)=\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}_1}\sum_{i=1}^{r_y}(2e_i-1)\deg(h_i)=\deg(F).$$

4. Bound for the number of one-dimensional fibers of a parameterization surface

In this section, we will treat the case of parameterization $\phi:\mathbb{P}^2_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_k$ of algebraic rational surfaces. Such a map ϕ is defined by four homogeneous polynomials $f_0,\dots,f_3$, not all zero, of the same degree d, in the standard graded polynomial ring $R=k[X_0,X_1,X_2]$. Our objective is to refine the bound for the cardinality of the set of points in $\mathbb{P}^3_k$ with a one-dimensional fiber, that is, the cardinality of the set

$$\mathcal{Y}_1=\{y\in\mathbb{P}^3_k \mid \dim\pi^{-1}(y)=1\}.$$

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.5. It improves the results of [Tra15] and the question [Tra15, Question 11] is answered in the affirmative.

Corollary 4.1. *Let I be a homogeneous ideal of $R=k[X_0,X_1,X_2]$ generated by a minimal generating set of homogeneous polynomials $\mathbf{f}:=f_0,\dots,f_3$ of degree d. Suppose that I has codimension 2 and $I_3(J(\mathbf{f}))\neq 0$. Let F be the greatest common divisor of generators of $I_3(J(\mathbf{f}))$. Then*

$$\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}_1}\deg(h_y)\le\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}_1}\sum_{i=1}^{r_y}(2e_i-1)\deg(h_i)\le\deg(F)\le 3(d-1),$$

where $h_y=h_1^{e_1}\cdots h_{r_y}^{e_{r_y}}$ is an irreducible factorization of a defining equation $h_y\in R$ of the unmixed component of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$, for all $y\in\mathcal{Y}_1$.

Now we study the syzygies of f_i's in relation with the degree of the greatest common divisor of the generators of $I_3(J(\mathbf{f}))$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{f}:=f_0,\dots,f_3$ be the homogeneous polynomials of degree d. Let F be the greatest common divisor of generators of $I_3(J(\mathbf{f}))$. Suppose that p does not divide d. If $\deg(F)=3(d-1)-\delta$, then $I=(f_0,\dots,f_3)$ has a syzygy of degree δ : there exist the homogeneous polynomials $a_0,\dots,a_3\in R$, not all 0, of degree δ, such that*

$$a_0f_0+\cdots+a_3f_3=0.$$

Proof. If D_i is the i-th signed 3×3 of minor of $J(\mathbf{f})$, one has

$$\sum_i D_i\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial X_j}=0$$

for $j=0,1,2$. It then follows from the Euler formula that (D_0,D_1,D_2,D_3) is a syzygy of the f_i's, whenever d is prime to p. Set $a_i:=D_i/F$. □

Corollary 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 4.2,* $\deg(F) = 3(d-1)$ *if and only if* $f_0, \dots, f_3$ *are linearly dependent over* k.

Proof. Suppose that $\deg(F) = 3(d-1)$. By Proposition 4.2, there exist $a_0, \dots, a_0 \in k$, not all zero, such that

$$a_0 f_0 + a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + a_3 f_3 = 0.$$

Suppose that $f_0, \dots, f_3$ are linearly dependent over k. Then there are $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_3 \in k$, not all 0, such that $\lambda_0 f_0 + \cdots + \lambda_3 f_3 = 0$. Without loss of the generality, we assume that $\lambda_0 = -1$, hence $f_0 = \lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2 + \lambda_3 f_3$. It follows that

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X_j} = \lambda_1 \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_j} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial X_j} + \lambda_3 \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial X_j}, \text{ for all } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Thus, we obtain $I_3(J(\mathbf{f})) = (F_1, \lambda_1 F_1, \lambda_2 F_1, \lambda_3 F_1)$, which shows that $F = F_1$. □

We denote by $\mathrm{Syz}(I) \subseteq R^4$ the module of syzygies of I. It is a graded module and in the structural graded exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_1 \longrightarrow R^4(-d) \xrightarrow{(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)} I \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have the identification $\mathrm{Syz}(I) = Z_1(d)$. Recall that for a finitely generated graded R-module M, its initial degree is defined by

$$\mathrm{indeg}(M) := \inf\{\nu \mid M_\nu \neq 0\},$$

with the convention $\mathrm{indeg}(M) = +\infty$ when $M = 0$.

Corollary 4.4. *Under the assumptions of Corollary 4.1, if* p *does not divide* d*, then*

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}_1} \deg(h_y) \leq 3(d-1) - \mathrm{indeg}(\mathrm{Syz}(I)) < 3(d-1),$$

where $h_y = h_1^{e_1} \cdots h_{r_y}^{e_{r_y}}$ *is an irreducible factorization of a defining equation* $h_y \in R$ *of the unmixed component of the fiber* $\pi^{-1}(y)$*, for all* $y \in \mathcal{Y}_1$

Proof. By Proposition 4.2,

$$\deg(F) \leq 3(d-1) - \mathrm{indeg}(\mathrm{Syz}(I)).$$

and $\mathrm{indeg}(\mathrm{Syz}(I)) = 0$ if and only if $f_0, \dots, f_3$ are linearly dependent over k. □

Notice that the conditions $I_3(J(\mathbf{f})) \neq 0$ and p does not divide d are automatically satisfied if k is of characteristic zero.

Example 4.5. [Tra15, Example 2] Consider the parameterization given by $\mathbf{f} = f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3$, with

$$f_0 = X_1^2 X_2^4 - X_1^4 X_2^2, \qquad f_2 = X_0^2 X_1^2 X_2^2 - X_0^2 X_1^4,$$
$$f_1 = X_0^4 X_2^2 - X_2^6, \qquad f_3 = X_0^4 X_1^2 - X_1^2 X_2^4.$$

Using `Macaulay2` [GS], the greatest common divisor of generators of $I_3(J(\mathbf{f}))$ is

$$F = X_0 X_1^3 X_2 (X_0^4 - X_2^4)(X_1^2 - X_2^2).$$

It is known as in [Tra15, Example 2] that

$$\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}_1} \deg(h_y) = 8 \leq \deg(F) = 11 \leq 3.5 - \operatorname{indeg}(\operatorname{Syz}(\mathbf{f})) = 13.$$

References

[BBC14] Nicolás Botbol and Laurent Busé and Marc Chardin. *Fitting ideals and multiple points of surface parameterizations.* Journal of Algebra, 420:486–508, 2014.

[BCJ09] Laurent Busé and Marc Chardin and Jean-Pierre Jouanolou. *Torsion of the symmetric algebra and implicitization.* Pro. Amer. Math. Soc.,137(6):189–214, 2009.

[Bus14] Laurent Busé. *Implicit matrix representations of rational Bézier curves and surfaces.* Comput.-Aided Des., 46:14–24, 2014.

[Cha06] Marc Chardin. *Implicitization using approximation complexes.* Algebraic geometry and geometric modeling. Part of the series Mathematics and Visualization, 23–35, 2006.

[Cox01] David A. Cox. *Equations of parametric curves and surfaces via syzygies.* In Symbolic computation: solving equations in algebra, geometry, and engineering (South Hadley, MA, 2000), volume 286 of Contemp. Math., pages 1–20. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[Cox05] David A. Cox. *What is the role of algebra in applied mathematics?* Notices of the American Mathematical Society, 52(10):1193–1198, 2005.

[EU08] David Eisenbud and Bernd Ulrich. *Row ideals and fibers of morphisms.* Michigan Math. J.,57: 261–268, 2008.

[GS] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman. Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry.* Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[SC95] Thomas W. Sederberg and Falai Chen. *Implicitization Using Moving Curves and Surfaces.* In Proceedings of the 22Nd Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, SIGGRAPH '95, pages 301–308, New York, NY, USA, 1995. ACM.

[Tra15] Quang Hoa Tran. *Bound for the number of one-dimensional fibers of a projective morphism.* ArXiv e-prints: 1511.02933, November 2015.

[Wan80] Stuart S. S. Wang. *A Jacobian criterion for separability.* Journal of Algebra, 65(2): 453-494, 1980.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu. UPMC, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France
E-mail address: marc.chardin@imj-prg.fr

Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA
E-mail address: cutkoskys@missouri.edu

University of Education, Hue University, 34 Le Loi St., Hue City, Vietnam & Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu. UPMC, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France
E-mail address: quang-hoa.tran@imj-prg.fr