

# Brain connections derived from diffusion MRI tractography can be highly anatomically accurate-if we know where white matter pathways start, where they end, and where they do not go

Kurt G Schilling, Laurent Petit, Francois Rheault, Samuel Remedios, Carlo Pierpaoli, Adam Anderson, Bennett A Landman, Maxime Descoteaux

# ▶ To cite this version:

Kurt G Schilling, Laurent Petit, Francois Rheault, Samuel Remedios, Carlo Pierpaoli, et al.. Brain connections derived from diffusion MRI tractography can be highly anatomically accurate-if we know where white matter pathways start, where they end, and where they do not go. Brain Structure and Function, 2020, 225 (8), pp.2387-2402. 10.1007/s00429-020-02129-z . hal-03004295

# HAL Id: hal-03004295 https://hal.science/hal-03004295

Submitted on 13 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Brain connections derived from diffusion MRI tractography can be highly anatomically accurate – if we know where white matter pathways start, where they end, and where they don't go

Kurt G Schilling<sup>1,2</sup>, Laurent Petit<sup>3</sup>, Francois Rheault<sup>4</sup>, Samuel Remedios<sup>5,6</sup>, Carlo Pierpaoli<sup>7</sup>, Adam W Anderson<sup>1,2,8</sup>, Bennett A Landman<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Maxime Descoteaux<sup>4</sup>

 Vanderbilt University Institute of Imaging Science, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA
 Department of Radiology and Radiological Sciences, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

3. Groupe dImagerie Neurofonctionnelle, Institut des Maladies Neurodegeneratives - UMR 5293, CNRS, CEA University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

4. Sherbrooke Connectivity Imaging Laboratory (SCIL), Universite de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Canada

5. Department of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States of America

6. Henry M. Jackson Foundation, Bethesda MD, USA

7. National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, Bethesda, MD, USA

8. Department of Biomedical Engineering, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

\* Kurt G Schilling

Email: kurt.g.schilling.1@vumc.org

#### Keywords

Diffusion MRI; tractography; tracer; validation; white matter

#### Abstract

MR Tractography, which is based on MRI measures of water diffusivity, is currently the only method available for noninvasive reconstruction of fiber pathways in the brain. However, it has several fundamental limitations that call into question its accuracy in many applications. Therefore, there has been intense interest in defining and mitigating the intrinsic limitations of the method. Recent studies have reported that tractography is inherently limited in its ability to accurately reconstruct the connections of the brain, when based on voxel-averaged estimates of local fiber orientation alone. Several validation studies have confirmed that tractography techniques are plaqued by both false positive and false negative connections. However, these validation studies which quantify sensitivity and specificity, particularly in animal models, have not utilized prior anatomical knowledge, as is done in the human literature, for virtual dissection of white matter pathways, instead assessing tractography implemented in a relatively unconstrained manner. Thus, they represent a worse-case scenario for bundle segmentation techniques and may not be indicative of the anatomical accuracy in the process of bundle-segmentation, where streamline filtering using inclusion and exclusion regions of interest is common. With this in mind, the aim of the current study is to investigate and guantify the upper bounds of accuracy using current tractography methods. Making use of the same dataset utilized in two seminal validation papers, we show that prior anatomical knowledge in the form of manually-placed or templatedriven constraints can significantly improve the anatomical accuracy of estimated brain connections. Thus, we show that it is possible to achieve a high sensitivity and high specificity simultaneously, and conclude that current tractography algorithms, in combination with anatomically-driven constraints, can result in reconstructions which very accurately reflect the ground truth white matter connections.

#### Introduction

Diffusion MRI Fiber Tractography is widely used to map the structural connections of the brain [1-6]. Tractography utilizes the directionality of diffusion of water molecules in brain tissue to estimate neuronal fiber orientation, and subsequently generates "streamlines" - typically by stepping along these orientation fields in some pre-determined ways [2, 7]. These streamlines are representative of possible trajectories of white matter pathways of the brain and have been used to infer region-to-region connectivity (connectomics) or to identify and extract specific white matter tracts (bundle-segmentation). These techniques can additionally be informed by a priori knowledge of anatomy or trajectories of the pathways [8]. For instance, anatomical constraints can be employed by defining regions-of-interest (ROIs) to constrain the resulting streamlines, which is more generally used in bundle-segmentation applications. Most commonly, "seed" ROIs define where streamlines must start or end, "AND" or "inclusion" ROIs that pathways must include, and "NOT" or "exclusion" ROIs that pathways must not contact. These constraints are typically implemented post-tracking as a filtering technique [9-12], but can also be used during track generation [13-16], and are most commonly associated with the field of bundlesegmentation (i.e., as a virtual dissection of specific pathways following seeding throughout the entire brain).

Despite these significant achievements in human brain mapping, the field of diffusion MRI has uncovered and detailed a number of limitations in the anatomical accuracy of fiber tractography techniques, particularly in recent years. Early validation studies were mostly aimed at proving sensitivity of these techniques, and only recently highlighted the specificity issues, especially as it relates to connectomics. These studies have convincingly shown a fundamental tradeoff between sensitivity (i.e., the ability to detect true connections) and specificity (i.e., the ability to avoid false connections) of tractography techniques [17-19], and an overall limited accuracy in estimating both structural connectivity and spatial extent of pathways in the brain [19-21]. These results have been confirmed in simulations, in phantoms, and in a number of animal models - with sensitivity/specificity tradeoffs apparent across a range of tracking algorithms, parameters, and pathways under investigation [18-20, 22-30]. It is now well known that these techniques can be plaqued not only by overestimation of the extent and connections of pathways (false positives), but also underestimation of the same (false negatives). One influential work presented by Thomas et al [19] highlights "an inherent limitation in determining long-range anatomical projections based on voxel-averaged estimates of local fiber orientation obtained from DWI data that is unlikely to be overcome by improvements in data acquisition and analysis alone." Thus, it appears that high anatomical accuracy remains an elusive goal with current tractography algorithms and strategies, unless a "revolution" happens in the additional information provided to tractography algorithms [21, 31].

However, these limitations have largely been highlighted in validation studies that have implemented tractography in a manner most similar to that performed in connectomics studies – i.e., with little to no anatomical rules or constraints in a relatively "unsupervised" approach lacking advantages of prior information. Thus, they represent a **lower-bound**, or **worst-case**, scenario for tractography, and may not be indicative of the anatomical accuracy in the process of bundle-segmentation where filtering and anatomical rules are common [9, 10, 32-37]. In fact, several early works in this field share a quite optimistic view of the accuracy of tractography [16, 38, 39], and "virtual" dissections of individual fiber bundles are qualitatively similar to cadaveric dissections [40-43]. Further, constraints have been heavily utilized in previous validation studies for not only verifying anatomical accuracy, but identifying advantages of comparative anatomy across species [44-47], and confirming the trajectory or cortical origin of white matter bundles [48-51]. While these studies, and many others incorporating prior information and anatomical constraints [35, 52-55], suggest tractography can accurately reconstruct not only broad pathways

but also the topology of smaller bundles within those pathways, the sensitivity and specificity when implementing anatomical guidance has not been explicitly quantified.

Along these lines, we hypothesize that in order to overcome the sensitivity/specific curse, we simply (and intuitively) need to utilize anatomical knowledge and anatomically-informed rules as is commonly done in bundle segmentation studies, which will enable us to constrain where tracks can and cannot go [35]. With this in mind, the aim of the current study is to investigate and quantify the **upper bounds** of current tractography methods. Whereas previous quantitative validation studies have asked how well we can map connections from a given region, we ask how well we can extract known bundles and connections of the brain, i.e., given detailed (and painstakingly acquired) knowledge of the ground truth pathways [56] we ask if existing algorithms can reach high anatomical accuracy in segmenting these pathways. Thus, we propose, and show, that simple guidance can be used to achieve a high sensitivity and high specificity at the same time (i.e., if we *a priori* know, and constrain, where the pathways start, where they end, and where they don't go) - confirming that the process of bundle segmentation, with the incorporation of a prior knowledge, has the potential to results in highly accurate representations of the desired neural pathways.

To do this, we utilize the validation dataset originally introduced by Thomas et al. [19], and subsequently employed in an international tractography challenge [20], both of which came to the conclusion that alternative or new strategies are needed for mapping the brain's fiber pathways. Here, we apply tractography methods to this ex vivo dataset of the macaque brain, and compare these methods to maps of known axonal projects from previous tracer studies in the macaque [56]. Importantly, by utilizing the very same detailed tracer maps and explicit descriptions by the authors, we perform virtual dissections of a full brain tractogram. We constrain the streamlines using varying combinations of inclusion and exclusion regions in a manner consistent with common approaches in bundle segmentation. We assess the results using the code and analysis used in [19], iteratively refining the constraints until both high sensitivity and high specificity are achieved. We use the subject-specific data to drive the results, but obey anatomical rules with clear landmarks, as one might when driving a car by following GPS instructions and road maps.

#### Results

The aim of the methodology is to duplicate the process of a clinician, neuroanatomist, or researcher that may be manually delineating a fiber bundle, i.e. by applying and adapting guidelines until the streamlines best replicate the ground truth WM anatomy of the pathway of interest (for example when comparing to neuroanatomy textbooks, prior knowledge, or tractography protocols). We selected the datasets and ground truth pathways from previous studies [19, 57], composed of anatomical locations of tracer-labeled regions from anterograde injections within (A) the precentral gyrus (PCG) corresponding to the foot region of the motor cortex (Case #28 in [56]) and (B) the ventral part of area V4 (Case #21 in [56]) of a rhesus macaque – the same injection sites utilized in [19]. Tracer-labeled regions were transposed to the same space as the diffusion MRI data (Figure 1), and agreement between tracer results and tractography was assessed in terms of the number of true positive (TP), false negative (FN), false positive (FP), and true negative (TN) connections, which are used to compute specificity [TN/(TN+FP)] and sensitivity [TP/(TP+FN)], which are defined by regions of the brain manually delineated by the authors of [19] (Figure 1).

Two different methods of streamline generation and subsequent pathway delineation were investigated, representative of the approaches and software the authors (KS and LP) chose in their own anatomical investigations. These are a manual-based approach and template-based approach. First, we utilized manually-drawn ROIs [8, 15], defining regions by hand where streamlines must go and where they must not go. These hand-drawn regions were typically in the form of planes or 2D free-form shapes, often orthogonal to the observed direction of streamline prorogation. Inclusion regions were placed in regions specific to the pathway of interest, whereas streamlines considered false positives were eliminated by placing exclusion regions where these were visually identified to share areas in common (most commonly along adjacent white matter

bundles or at the sulcal depth of gyri). Example procedures and constraints are shown in Figure 2 and described in detail in Materials and Methods. Second, we made use of predefined anatomical regions defined in a macaque template to serve as inclusion and exclusion regions. The template was composed of labels in the form 3D volumes to be used as regions of interest. Example procedures and constraints shown in Figure 3 and described in detail in Materials and Methods.

Qualitative results of the final tractogram of connections to the injection region are shown in Figure 4 for PCG connections, and in Figure 5 for V4 connections. The reference atlas of digitized histological connections (i.e., the ground truth) is shown as well as a roughly anatomically matched MRI slice with tractography streamlines overlaid, showing both manual dissection results and template-based results. While the streamlines replicate the major pathways and connections from tracers, they do not do so on an individual axon/streamline basis. There are small inconsistencies from individual streamlines, however, on the scale of larger anatomical regions (see Figure 1D), streamlines exist where expected and do not occupy regions that tracer does not. Visually, the manual dissections better replicate the ground truth in many regions, due to the ability to make subject-specific and location-specific inclusion and exclusion decisions.

Quantifying accuracy (as done [19] in and [20]) for PCG connections, we find manualdissections result in a sensitivity of 0.949, specificity of 0.956, and Youden index (sensitivity + specificity – 1) of 0.906 (TP=132, FN=7, TN=328, FP=15), and template-generated dissections a sensitivity of 0.863, specificity of 0.869, and Youden index of 0.732 (TP=120, FN=19, TN=298, FP=45). For V4 connections, manual-dissections result in a sensitivity of 0.852, specificity of 0.925. and Youden index of 0.777 (TP=115, FN=20, TN=234, FP=19), and template-generated dissections a sensitivity of 0.770, specificity of 0.866, and Youden index of 0.636 (TP=104, FN=31, TN=219, FP=34). These results are plotted as ROC curves on top of the results of Thomas et al., 2013 [19] and those of Schilling et al., 2018 [20] (Figure 5A for PCG connections, Figure 5B for V4 connections). It is clear that a high sensitivity and high specificity are achieved at the same time, with the values much higher than those from both the original investigation [19], and the international community challenge [20]. The highest Youden indices observed previously on this dataset were 0.59 and 0.56 from [19] and [20] for the PCG injection and 0.53 and 0.58 for the V4 injection. We note that this is not a comparison of algorithms, since we of course had access or direct knowledge of the ground truth to help choose constraints to improve sensitivity and/or specificity.

#### Discussion

In this study, we aim to investigate the upper bounds of tractography performance. If we are given a detailed description of the ground truth, either depicted in a map or written explicitly as a set of rules, and liberty in manual editing of pathways, we ask if it is possible to overcome the sensitivity/specificity limitations of current tracking algorithms and achieve a high anatomical accuracy. We find our answer is 'yes' – tracking can be highly accurate if we know where streamlines (or pathways) start, where they end, and (maybe most importantly) where they don't go.

#### The importance of prior knowledge

Importantly, a number of anatomical constraints were needed to achieve this accuracy. Thus, while our answer to the previous question is 'yes', it is not without caveats. Our conclusion should be amended to say that current algorithms, *in combination with constraints*, can achieve both high sensitivity and high specificity. Or alternatively, current algorithms "in combination with previous anatomical knowledge" can have high accuracy. This anatomical knowledge is what influences the regional constraints, and we find that both inclusion and exclusion regions were needed in our study. Thus, our results are exactly in agreement with previous literature [19-21], that simply utilizing local orientation information alone will not lead to accurate results, and more information is needed. However, we believe that this additional information can, and should, come in the form of existing knowledge of the trajectories of the white matter.

This study serves as the link between the existing validation studies emphasizing inherent tractography limitations and the ever-present sensitivity/specificity tradeoffs [19, 22-24, 27, 30, 57-61], and those studies that suggest anatomically faithful white matter bundles visually matching independent cadaveric or tracer data [44-49, 51, 62, 63]. The sensitivity/specificity tradeoff has so far been quantified using algorithms or streamlines generated in a relatively unconstrained manner, without the use of prior knowledge or constraints. Alternatively, those that reveal accurate reconstructions of trajectories and connectivity patterns are nearly always performed using inclusion and exclusion criteria, chosen and implemented by those with expert a priori knowledge of the system or pathways under investigation. While the latter studies show similarities in bundle shape, location, and endpoints, the sensitivity and specificity has not been fully quantified. In this study, we quantitatively confirm that the use of a prior knowledge, in this case in the form of regional constraints, improves the anatomical accuracy of tractography. Thus, while false positives and false negatives still exist, the overall accuracy is significantly improved, suggesting that the use of constraints as is commonly employed in a number of studies using bundle-segmentation can indeed result in highly accurate reconstructions.

Relying on local orientation information alone is insufficient to ensure both sensitivity and specificity [19]. Here, we show that current tractography algorithms can provide highly accurate maps of the white matter, utilizing prior knowledge to filter results. In this case, we chose an algorithm that was known to be highly sensitive (high TP), and utilized added constraints as the solution to improve specificity. The sets of utilized streamlines, *prior to filtering*, exhibited a high sensitivity and poor specificity (sensitivity=1, specificity=0.27, Youden index=0.27 for the probabilistic streamlines prior to manual filtering), in line with previous findings with similar reconstruction and tractography algorithms [27, 57], showed a much higher overall accuracy after anatomical constraints were employed. This emphasizes that the high specificity and sensitivity is due to the exploited anatomical knowledge instead of tractography algorithm choices.

The challenge, then, was to find how to guide dissection that improved tractography. Here, we utilized inclusion and exclusion ROIs, as well as maximum streamline lengths. In order to improve future tractography results, it is crucial to understand what constraints are necessary (a task which is beyond the scope of the current study), and what additional constraints (i.e., clustering, seeding, filtering) may be successful. These constraints will almost certainly vary across the algorithm employed, and the system under investigation (i.e., the brain itself and the pathway of interest). In the human brain, these may vary on an individual subject basis (and animal regions will likely not be directly translatable to the human brain – see discussion below). For this reason, it is important to think critically about how these pathways are "defined" anatomically, the nomenclature used to describe them, and how best to replicate them using tractography tools. For example, pathways may be defined as connecting cortical region A to region B, or as a bundle that passes through/over/under region C [8, 15, 36, 37, 64, 65]. In summary, it is clear that how a pathway is defined may influence constraints, and a consensus is not clearly within reach in humans, although efforts (or discussions) are underway [66, 67].

At first glance, these results may seem intuitive. If we choose a highly sensitive algorithm that connects everything to everything, the idea that we can detect connections to all areas of the brain seems obvious. However, the key is when ensuring specificity - the connections of these algorithms may go through regions the true pathways do not, and these are eliminated through exclusion regions. Despite these exclusions, we are still able to achieve high true positive rates. This is certainly an encouraging result, as it means that removing false positives does not also necessarily remove excessive true positives at the same time (i.e. streamlines not only connect to the correct regions, but also *pass through* the correct regions along their route). The alternative, brute force algorithm would be to connect every seed voxel to every target voxel, *through* every inclusion voxel, to guarantee that all true positive regions are able to be reached without traversing TN regions. However, current algorithms do not do this, and we are able to achieve successful results employing widely used algorithms in the literature.

Challenges in validation, tractography, and "gold" standards

The presented results tell us that on a region-by-region basis, we are able to achieve a high specificity and high sensitivity. However, it is clear that there are still spurious streamlines within both cortical and white matter regions (see Figure 3). While these will still contribute to false positive areas (if they are truly false positive over the defined regions), these results may not end up on the optimal end of the ROC curves if this was analyzed on a voxel-wise basis. However, the ground truth is only defined over anatomically meaningful gray and white matter regions rather than on the scale of voxels, an analysis which would be complicated because tracer and tractography are performed on different physical brains (although analysis on the same brain has been performed, we have chosen this dataset as it most clearly highlighted the fundamental accuracy limitations of tractography). As described in [19], while connectivity strength may vary across animals and injections, the presence or absence of connections is likely to be similar across monkeys.

This highlights the significance, and importantly the limitations, of what we choose to call our gold standards, and the methods used to validate tractography [68]. In this study, it is clear that we don't match the reference atlas perfectly, although our ROC analysis suggests near perfect results. When validating, it is important to ask how important are individual streamlines to the analysis, how large are the anatomical white and gray matter regions we should use to designate our ground truth, and how finely parcellated do we need these areas to be (entire gyral folds? Divisions into gyral crowns, walls, and sulcal fundi? Or on the scale of individual cortical columns?)? The answers to these questions may be based on the intended application of the tractography analysis. The use as a connectivity tool may require more finely detailed anatomical connections and localization, while the use as a segmentation tool may only necessitate accuracy on the coarser scale the size of the bundles themselves - although it is clear that accuracy in both cases requires prior constraints. However, use of tractography as an exploratory analysis (i.e., searching for new pathways, or connections to regions that are not well characterized) will have limited accuracy on both streamline and region-to-region bases without some prior anatomical knowledge, and results should be interpreted with care without strong independent validation (i.e., histological tracers and dissection).

Similarly, another major limitation is that the "gold" standard (chosen by Thomas et al. [19]) is based on the very same reference used to constrain the tracking, which may bias the results. However, the aim was to investigate whether, with prior knowledge, it is possible to achieve a high sensitivity and specificity. In the human brain (or any brain), researchers and clinicians have the same ability to constrain streamlines to where they do/don't want them to go, which makes this a valid approach. And, again, we emphasize that given the ground truth defined previously, with anatomical accuracy defined and described as a ROI-based sensitivity/specificity measure, tractography can be highly accurate (at least for the pathways investigated). Finally, the present study presents a best-case scenario not only in terms of utilizing a priori knowledge, but also of the pathways chosen to validate (projection areas of M1 and V4) which are generally major projection systems with larger, well-defined projections. The use of these two systems was motivated by their use and careful manual delineations and ground truth definitions in [19] which were chosen as two exemplar orthogonally oriented systems. Even in this ideal model system, a "perfect" sensitivity and specificity was not achieved, with false negatives observed at greater distances from injection site, and biases or inaccuracies at exact cortical terminations, in line with previous studies [22, 69, 70].

#### Generalizability

These results lead to an important set of open questions regarding generalizability. First, how should anatomical rules be defined to ensure they generalize not only across subjects, but also across tracking algorithms? The exact set of constraints are almost certainly not optimal for all methods of generating streamlines. Clearly, these rules will differ with varying bundle segmentation approaches, with much more flexibility and freedom in manually-placed ROIs, whereas atlas-based labels are fixed and may provide the ability to include and/or exclude desired regions depending on how fine-grained the parcellation is. Next, how do these guiding principles change in healthy versus diseased individuals? It is critical that any guidance in either

segmentation or connectivity analysis generalize to subjects with anomalous diffusion and structural properties of both normal and abnormal (tumorous) tissue. Finally, while the filtering approach used here is most directly related to the field of bundle-segmentation, what physical, anatomical, or structural priors or rules can be used that will generalize to the connectomics field that will reduce invalid connections while ensuring the existence of valid connections? Major progress in the connectomics and bundle-segmentation field has taken place with advanced filtering and/or spatial priors based on anatomy [13, 35, 71-73], microstructure [74], and the diffusion signal itself (conservation of density) [75, 76]. We believe the next big steps involve multimodal integration of these and orthogonal techniques used to probe the human connectome - for example myelin [77, 78], BOLD contrasts [52, 54, 79-81], functional imaging [82, 83], and quantitative microdissection [84], which will lead to a better understanding of the fundamental rules governing the structural organization and connectivity of the brain and endeavors to fully incorporate these into tractography algorithms. In essence, all of these facilitate the adoption of rules, for example ways to include, exclude, or generate streamlines in the same way approached through this study, which can lead to breakthroughs in the anatomical accuracy of tractography as quantitatively shown in this study.

Finally, validation in animal models does not necessarily validate this methodology (or tractography in general) in humans. These results, and specifically these constraints, are not necessarily immediately generalizable – especially to different pathways, subjects, or pathology in particular. Additionally, non-anatomical constraints, such as path curvature or anisotropy thresholds are not immediately translatable from this non-human ex vivo model. In this study, we have the advantage of detailed histological tracings to define our constraints. In the human, there is a tremendous wealth of information from anatomists, gleaned from histological and blunt dissection methods. This knowledge, while it may not be able to constrain tracking to the degree used here, should be used on a pathway-by-pathway basis to define and refine constraints. Thus, collaboration between the anatomy and diffusion communities is needed to reach general agreement on defining pathways – a good first step would be describing locations, areas, or general boundaries where pathways start, where they end, and regions they do/do not pass through.

#### Conclusion

Tractography, even if performed on high quality diffusion MRI data with sophisticated methods, is faced with an inherent trade-off between sensitivity and specificity (the "sensitivity/specificity curse") and it seems that additional information is needed to overcome these limitations. In this work, we show that tractography implemented as a bundle segmentation technique, incorporating prior knowledge, can indeed be highly anatomically accurate. Importantly, this necessitates detailed knowledge of where pathways go and where they do not go. In this study, this knowledge is translated into constraints in the bundle dissection process which allows dissection and filtering of the desired streamlines from potentially many invalid streamlines. These techniques of using anatomical constraints to define inclusion/exclusion criteria have been utilized previously in bundle dissection studies, and we propose that connectomics studies should consider similar constraints guided by known anatomical, developmental, or microstructural rules.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The aim of the methodology is to duplicate the process of a clinician, neuroanatomist, or researcher that may be manually delineating a fiber bundle, i.e. by applying and adapting guidelines until the streamlines best replicate the ground truth WM anatomy of the pathway of interest (for example when comparing to neuroanatomy textbooks, prior knowledge, or tractography protocols). We first describe the ground truth dataset and accuracy assessment, followed by a description of how pathways were created and delineated.

#### Ground Truth and Accuracy Assessment

Figure 1 displays the datasets and ground truth derivation used in this study – for a detailed description of the histology we refer to [56], and for the acquisition and delineation of MRI we refer to [19]. Briefly, the ground truth is based on two anterograde tracer injections within (A) the precentral gyrus (PCG) corresponding to the foot region of the motor cortex (Case #28 in [56]) and (B) the ventral part of area V4 (Case #21 in [56]) of a rhesus macaque – these are the same injection sites utilized in [19]. Slides were digitized and tracer substance (i.e. connection to the injection site) was delineated on individual slices of the reference atlas by the authors of [19] (Figure 1, A).

MRI acquisition is performed on an ex vivo rhesus monkey brain, and scanned over ~71 hours using a 3D diffusion-weighted EPI PGSE sequence (b-value=4,800s/mm<sup>2</sup>, 7 b=0 volumes, 121 DWI's with directions distributed over a tessellated icosahedral hemisphere). The tracer-labeled regions were transposed to the same space as the diffusion data (by the authors of [19]) for each MRI slice that was anatomically matched with the histology slice from the reference atlas. An example b=0 ("b0") slice from approximately the same anatomical location is shown (Figure 1B), along with the tracer results in MRI space (Figure 1C). Finally, gray and white matter ROIs were manually delineated on the high-resolution data [19], and the agreement between tracer results and tractography was assessed in terms of the number of true positive (TP), false negative (FN), false positive (FP), and true negative (TN) connections, which are used to compute specificity [TN/(TN+FP)] and sensitivity [TP/(TP+FN)].

# Tractography and pathway delineation

Two different methods of streamline generation and subsequent pathway delineation were investigated, representative of the approaches and software the authors (KS and LP) choose in their own anatomical investigations. First, we utilized manually-drawn ROIs [8, 15], defining regions by hand where streamlines must go and where they must not go. Second, we made use of predefined anatomical regions defined in a macaque template to serve as inclusion and exclusion regions.

#### Manual delineation

Local voxel-wise reconstruction and orientation estimation was performed using constrained spherical deconvolution [85] (one of the techniques investigated in both [19] and [20]) implemented in the MrTrix3 software package [86, 87]. Probabilistic tractography was performed (iFOD2 algorithm) using software default parameters, propagating pathways from randomly selected points throughout the brain until 5 million streamlines were generated throughout the whole brain. From this set of whole brain streamlines, subsets of pathways from the injection sites were virtually dissected.

Pathways connecting to the dorsal part of area 4 in the PCG were constrained and extracted using both the written descriptions and tracer visualizations from Case #28 of "Fiber Pathways of the Brain" (pages 322-328) [56], while those connecting the ventral part of V4 were extracted using the descriptions and visualizations from Case #21. Example delineations for 5 "pathways" from the PCG, and corresponding tractography constraints, are described in detail below (and shown in Figure 2). Importantly, this was an iterative manual process, where both inclusion and exclusion regions were added, removed, and translated until streamlines qualitatively matched the ground truth displayed in Figures 4 and 5, as well as continually quantifying sensitivity/specificity until we determined that region placement was near-optimal for this set of streamlines. Importantly, the labelled regions used to quantify sensitivity/specificity were not used as exclusion/inclusion regions and were not used in the manual delineation process.

#### Local Association Fibers – Rostrally Directed Fibers (Figure 2, 1<sup>st</sup> row)

Here, Pandya and Schmahmann [56] describe "Diffuse terminations adjacent to the injection site are seen in area 4", thus we utilize 1 inclusion ROI (we note that the injection region

is used as an inclusion ROI in all examples, thus is not included in the constraint count) – placed on two separate slices rostral to the seed at approximately atlas slices #85 and #89 [56] – as well as a maximum streamline length of 6mm (which we found to be a tradeoff between including additional streamlines at the expense of streamlines extending caudally past the seed).

## Long Association Fibers – Rostrally Directed Fibers (Figure 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> row)

Rostral to the injection site, "fibers travel in the white matter... [and] a small contingent of fibers near the inject site gathers at the upper bank and depth of the cingulate sulcus. These fibers terminate in the cortex at the depth of the cingulate sulcus..." in motor areas M3 (see slice #81 in for reference) [56]. To replicate this, we utilize 2 inclusion ROIs, 3 exclusion ROIs, and a maximum length of 40mm. The inclusion ROIs (again, the injection region is also an inclusion ROI) force the pathways to go through the white matter adjacent and rostral to the seed, and reenter the cortex at the cingulate sulcus. The exclusion regions exclude interhemispheric crossing at the mid-sagittal plane, fibers extending anteriorly once entering the cortex, and fibers entering or adjacent to the striatal bundle.

#### Commissural Fibers (Figure 2, 3rd row)

The commissural fibers descend into the white matter of the precentral gyrus [56], and "move medially to enter the corpus callosum, and head towards the opposite hemisphere." For these fibers, we use 1 inclusion region at the mid-sagittal slice of the corpus callosum, and 3 exclusion regions excluding all other interhemispheric connections (i.e., incorrect "jumps" across hemispheres from the superior parietal lobe), fibers entering the cingulate, and fibers that project laterally before moving medially.

## Striatal Fibers (Figure 2, 4th row)

The striatal bundle and Muratoff bundle descend from the injection site and terminate in the body and head of the caudate nucleus. Some fibers additionally traverse the dorsal internal capsule to terminate in the putamen [56]. For these systems, we utilized two large inclusion ROIs (one volume for the Putamen, one for the caudate nucleus) although we did not enforce streamlines to pass through both (i.e., they only had to pass/terminate in one or the other), thus these could be considered a single region. Additionally, we implemented 5 exclusion regions to prevent thalamic terminations, interhemispheric fibers (i.e. after traversing entirely through the caudate), and fibers extending too far laterally or posterior.

## Subcortical Fibers - pontine bundle (Figure 2, 5th row)

Fibers in the pontine bundle "descend in the central and medial parts of the rostral posterior limb...and enter the cerebral peduncle as they continue into the brainstem" [56]. For these fibers, we included 1 simple inclusion region (following procedures very similar to that from Wakana et al. [8] for the corticospinal tract) and included several exclusion ROIs. These exclusion ROIs were drawn on a number of orthogonal and oblique slices to limit pathways that took tortuous trajectories to reach the internal capsule, travelled across hemispheres, or left and re-entered the expected pathways.

For case #28 (PCG), 15 separate bundles, or sets of fibers/streamlines, were extracted: 2 sets of local association fibers (1 rostrally and caudally directed), 3 sets of caudally directed long association fibers, 4 sets of rostrally directed long association fibers, 4 sets of commissural and subcortical fibers (1 commissural, 1 terminating in thalamic nuclei, 1 terminating in the subthalamic nucleus, and 1 set through the cerebral peduncles), and 2 sets of striatal fibers traveling through the putamen and caudate nucleus. For case #21 (V4), 10 sets of fibers were extracted: 2 sets of local association fibers, 2 sets of caudally directed long association fibers, 1 set of rostrally directed long association fibers, 1 set of commissural fibers, and 4 sets of striatal fibers (1 terminating in the genu of the caudate nucleus, 1 in the body and head of the caudate nucleus, 1 terminating in the putamen, and 1 with fibers entering the claustrum).

We note that sub-divisions and classification decisions are made based on written descriptions [56], and decisions made during the iterative process, although it is likely that separate sets of streamlines could have been combined, for example by concatenating constraints.

This process was very much iterative. Regions were removed, added, or edited until pathways reached desired results. Once deemed "acceptable", sensitivity/specificity analysis was run, and more corrections performed based on quantitative results. Approximately 50 hours were spent in the process of creating and editing ROIs (see discussion on feasibility on human data and applicability to clinical and research applications).

#### Template based delineation

Local voxel-wise reconstruction and orientation estimation was performed using constrained spherical deconvolution [85] implemented in the Dipy software package [88]. Probabilistic tractography was performed (LocalTracking algorithm) using software default parameters (step size = 0.5 x voxel size, max length = 800 steps), propagating pathways from randomly selected points throughout the brain until 1 million streamlines were generated.

As in the manually-drawn ROIs, pathways connecting to area 4 of PCG and V4 were extracted using both written descriptions and tracer visualizations from Case #28 and Case #21, respectively. However, in this case, we utilized a template of predefined anatomical regions defined in a standard atlas space. We chose the PennCHOP macaque template [89], which represents a good compromise of cortical, subcortical, and white matter ROIs. Example dissections for 2 pathways from the PCG, and corresponding constraints, are described in detail below (and shown in Figure 3). Again, this was an iterative process, typically involving defining the endpoints based on known anatomy, followed by refinement through exclusion regions or forcing pathways to go through specified WM regions.

#### Striatal Fibers

As described in [56] the striatal bundles descend from the injection site, enter the corona radiata and dorsal aspect of the external capsule and "terminate in the dorsal segment of the claustrum as well as lateral sectors of the putamen throughout most of its rostrocaudal extent". Focusing first on putamen streamlines, we select only streamlines with an endpoint in the putamen. While streamlines do enter the corona radiata, spurious looping streamlines are apparent, which are removed through the use of 6 exclusion ROIs (anterior, posterior, and retrolenticular limb of the internal capsule, fornix, thalamus, and amygdala) in addition to a length threshold of 50mm.

#### Commissural Fibers

Again, the commissural fibers enter the corpus callosum and head towards the opposite hemisphere. For these fibers, we use the body of the corpus callosum as an inclusion region, followed by several exclusion regions that exclude regions where these fibers are known not to pass through before traversing hemispheres (cingulum, Thalamus, fornix, posterior limb of the internal capsule, extreme capsule, and posterior cingulate gyrus)

For case #28 (PCG), 12 separate bundles, or sets of fibers/streamlines, were extracted (note that differences in manual delineations are due to constraints in template ROI parcellations): 3 sets of local association fibers (1 rostrally directed, 1 caudally directed, and one with a simple length threshold), 2 sets of caudally directed long association fibers, 1 set of rostrally directed long association fibers, 4 sets of commissural and subcortical fibers (2 commissural through the splenium and through the body of the corpus callosum, 1 passing through the cerebral peduncles, and 1 terminating in the thalamus), and 2 sets of striatal fibers (putamen and caudate nucleus), and 1 set of fibers projecting through the anterior corona radiata. For case #21 (V4), 12 sets of fibers were extracted: 4 sets of rostrally directed long association fibers (gyrus), 2 sets of caudally directed long association fibers (occipital gyrus, and lingual gyrus), 1 set of commissural fibers (through the body of the corpus callosum), 3 sets of striatal fibers (1

terminating in the caudate nucleus, 1 in the putamen, and 1 in the claustrum), and 1 set of fibers projecting through the extreme capsule.

## **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

The data collected here was collected as part of a prior study in which all procedures followed *the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* and were approved by the National Institute of Mental Health Animal Care and Use Committee. The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to declare.

#### Supplementary Data

Data has previously been made available through an international challenge, described at (https://my.vanderbilt.edu/votem/registration-and-data-access/). Data will also be uploaded to NITRC (link provided upon revision), along with ground truth and evaluation code.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health under award numbers R01EB017230, and T32EB001628, and in part by ViSE/VICTR VR3029 and the National Center for Research Resources, Grant UL1 RR024975-01. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

# **Figures and Tables**



**Figure 1.** Definition of ground truth and analysis. (A) Tracer substance delineated on individual slices (reproduced from [56]). For the PCG injection (Case #28) tracer was described and detailed on 14 slices. (B) Example MRI b0 slice from approximately similar location. (C) Tracers were transposed to MRI data, as described in [19], and digitized as binary "ground truth" volume of pathways. (D) Gray and white matter ROIs were manually delineated on the high-resolution data in order to assess agreement between tracer and tractography results.

Local Association (Rostral) 1 inclusion ROIs Max Length = 6mm

Long Association (Rostral) 2 inclusion ROIs 3 exclusion ROIs Max Length = 40mm

**Commissural** 



Figure 2. Example procedures and constraints for manual dissection. Bundles, pathways, or groups of streamlines were individually segmented based on a priori anatomical knowledge

written and pictured in [56]. Injection region (blue), inclusion ROIs (green), exclusion ROIs (red), and streamlines (yellow tubes) are visualized in 2D, with green and red arrows used to highlight hard-to-see inclusion and exclusion regions, respectively, that are either in a plane perpendicular or oblique to the image slice, or those partially obscured by streamlines. Detailed anatomical descriptions and decisions used in the dissection process are given in Materials and Methods.



**Figure 3.** Example procedures and constraints for template-based virtual dissection. Bundles, pathways, or groups of streamlines were individually segmented based on *a priori* anatomical knowledge written and pictured in [56]. Examples are shown for PCG injections for striatal and commissural pathways. ROIs are shown as colored volume renderings, and streamlines are colored based on directionality. Red arrows highlight apparent false positive streamlines that are removed through the use of exclusion regions, and white arrows emphasize the dense cord of the bundle matching anatomical descriptions. Detailed anatomical descriptions and decisions used in the dissection process are given in Materials and Methods. Briefly, for striatal streamlines, the original streamlines (A) are limited to those connecting to the PT (B), which pass through the CR (C) but still have false positives. These false positives pass through FX, TH, AM (D), and are eliminated using these as exclusion regions (E), resulting in the final Striatal bundle (F). The commissural streamlines pass through the BCC (G), however many false positives are apparent (H). Using a number of exclusion regions (I) eliminates erroneous streamlines (J) resulting in commissural streamlines trajectory agrees well with written descriptions (K).



**Figure 4.** Qualitative comparison of tracer and tractography for PCG injection. Tracer digitized on the reference atlas from [56], are shown alongside the anatomically matched b0 slice with streamlines shown in black (only streamlines within +/- 1 slice are displayed) for both the manual-based dissection and the template-based dissection.



**Figure 5.** Qualitative comparison of tracer and tractography for V4 injection. Tracer digitized on the reference atlas from [56], are shown alongside the anatomically matched b0 slice with streamlines shown in black (only streamlines within +/- 1 slice are displayed) for both the manual-based dissection and the template-based dissection.



**Figure 6.** Sensitivity and specificity results compared to previous tractography validation studies. Results of the current study are shown as a filled-star for manual-dissection and outline-start for template-based dissection, overlaid on plots and results from Thomas et al [19], and Schilling et al [20] (left column and right column, respectively), which utilize the same data, same ground truth, and same quantitative analysis. ROC curves for PCG connections are shown on top row, with V4 ROC curves shown on bottom row.

# References

- T. E. Conturo *et al.*, "Tracking neuronal fiber pathways in the living human brain,"
  *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 96, no. 18, pp. 10422-7, Aug 31 1999.
- [2] S. Mori, B. J. Crain, V. P. Chacko, and P. C. van Zijl, "Three-dimensional tracking of axonal projections in the brain by magnetic resonance imaging," (in eng), *Annals of neurology*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 265-9, Feb 1999.
- [3] P. J. Basser, S. Pajevic, C. Pierpaoli, J. Duda, and A. Aldroubi, "In vivo fiber tractography using DT-MRI data," *Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine,* vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 625-32, Oct 2000.
- T. E. Behrens *et al.*, "Characterization and propagation of uncertainty in diffusion-weighted MR imaging," *Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1077-88, Nov 2003, doi: 10.1002/mrm.10609.
- [5] G. J. Parker, H. A. Haroon, and C. A. Wheeler-Kingshott, "A framework for a streamlinebased probabilistic index of connectivity (PICo) using a structural interpretation of MRI diffusion measurements," *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 242-54, Aug 2003, doi: 10.1002/jmri.10350.
- [6] M. Lazar and A. L. Alexander, "Bootstrap white matter tractography (BOOT-TRAC)," *NeuroImage*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 524-32, Jan 15 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.08.050.
- S. Mori and P. C. van Zijl, "Fiber tracking: principles and strategies a technical review," (in eng), NMR Biomed, vol. 15, no. 7-8, pp. 468-80, 2002 Nov-Dec 2002, doi: 10.1002/nbm.781.
- [8] S. Wakana *et al.*, "Reproducibility of quantitative tractography methods applied to cerebral white matter," *NeuroImage*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 630-44, Jul 1 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.02.049.
- [9] E. Garyfallidis *et al.*, "Recognition of white matter bundles using local and global streamline-based registration and clustering," *NeuroImage*, vol. 170, pp. 283-295, Apr 15 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.015.
- [10] A. Yendiki *et al.*, "Automated probabilistic reconstruction of white-matter pathways in health and disease using an atlas of the underlying anatomy," *Front Neuroinform*, vol. 5, p. 23, 2011, doi: 10.3389/fninf.2011.00023.
- [11] F. Zhang *et al.*, "An anatomically curated fiber clustering white matter atlas for consistent white matter tract parcellation across the lifespan," *NeuroImage*, vol. 179, pp. 429-447, Oct 1 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.027.
- [12] P. Guevara *et al.*, "Automatic fiber bundle segmentation in massive tractography datasets using a multi-subject bundle atlas," *NeuroImage*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1083-99, Jul 16 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.02.071.
- [13] S. Warrington *et al.*, "XTRACT Standardised protocols for automated tractography and connectivity blueprints in the human and macaque brain," *bioRxiv*, p. 804641, 2019, doi: 10.1101/804641.
- [14] T. E. Behrens, H. J. Berg, S. Jbabdi, M. F. Rushworth, and M. W. Woolrich, "Probabilistic diffusion tractography with multiple fibre orientations: What can we gain?,"

*NeuroImage*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 144-55, Jan 1 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.09.018.

- [15] M. Catani and M. Thiebaut de Schotten, *Atlas of human brain connections*. (in English), 2015.
- [16] M. Catani, R. J. Howard, S. Pajevic, and D. K. Jones, "Virtual in vivo interactive dissection of white matter fasciculi in the human brain," *NeuroImage*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 77-94, Sep 2002, doi: 10.1006/nimg.2002.1136.
- [17] M. P. van den Heuvel *et al.*, "Comparison of diffusion tractography and tract-tracing measures of connectivity strength in rhesus macaque connectome," *Human brain mapping*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 3064-75, Aug 2015, doi: 10.1002/hbm.22828.
- [18] H. Azadbakht *et al.*, "Validation of High-Resolution Tractography Against In Vivo Tracing in the Macaque Visual Cortex," *Cereb Cortex*, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 4299-309, Nov 2015, doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhu326.
- [19] C. Thomas *et al.*, "Anatomical accuracy of brain connections derived from diffusion MRI tractography is inherently limited," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 111, no. 46, pp. 16574-9, Nov 18 2014, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1405672111.
- [20] K. G. Schilling *et al.*, "Limits to anatomical accuracy of diffusion tractography using modern approaches," *bioRxiv*, 2018, doi: 10.1101/392571.
- [21] K. H. Maier-Hein *et al.*, "The challenge of mapping the human connectome based on diffusion tractography," *Nat Commun*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 1349, Nov 7 2017, doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01285-x.
- [22] C. J. Donahue *et al.*, "Using Diffusion Tractography to Predict Cortical Connection Strength and Distance: A Quantitative Comparison with Tracers in the Monkey," *J Neurosci*, vol. 36, no. 25, pp. 6758-70, Jun 22 2016, doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0493-16.2016.
- [23] T. R. Knosche, A. Anwander, M. Liptrot, and T. B. Dyrby, "Validation of tractography: Comparison with manganese tracing," *Human brain mapping*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 4116-34, Oct 2015, doi: 10.1002/hbm.22902.
- [24] T. B. Dyrby *et al.*, "Validation of in vitro probabilistic tractography," *NeuroImage*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 1267-77, Oct 1 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.06.022.
- J. Dauguet *et al.*, "Comparison of fiber tracts derived from in-vivo DTI tractography with 3D histological neural tract tracer reconstruction on a macaque brain," *NeuroImage*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 530-8, Aug 15 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.04.067.
- [26] E. Calabrese, A. Badea, G. Cofer, Y. Qi, and G. A. Johnson, "A Diffusion MRI Tractography Connectome of the Mouse Brain and Comparison with Neuronal Tracer Data," *Cereb Cortex*, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 4628-37, Nov 2015, doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhv121.
- [27] K. G. Schilling, Y. Gao, I. Stepniewska, V. Janve, B. A. Landman, and A. W. Anderson,
  "Anatomical accuracy of standard-practice tractography algorithms in the motor system
   A histological validation in the squirrel monkey brain," *Magnetic resonance imaging*,
  vol. 55, pp. 7-25, 2019/01/01/ 2019, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2018.09.004</u>.
- [28] D. B. Aydogan *et al.*, "When tractography meets tracer injections: a systematic study of trends and variation sources of diffusion-based connectivity," *Brain structure & function*, vol. 223, no. 6, pp. 2841-2858, Jul 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00429-018-1663-8.
- [29] P. F. Neher, F. B. Laun, B. Stieltjes, and K. H. Maier-Hein, "Fiberfox: facilitating the creation of realistic white matter software phantoms," *Magnetic resonance in medicine :*

official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, vol. 72, no. 5, pp. 1460-70, Nov 2014, doi: 10.1002/mrm.25045.

- [30] M. A. Cote, G. Girard, A. Bore, E. Garyfallidis, J. C. Houde, and M. Descoteaux,
  "Tractometer: towards validation of tractography pipelines," *Med Image Anal*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 844-57, Oct 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.media.2013.03.009.
- [31] P. Poulin, D. Jorgens, P. M. Jodoin, and M. Descoteaux, "Tractography and machine learning: Current state and open challenges," *Magnetic resonance imaging*, May 9 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2019.04.013.
- [32] S. Warrington *et al.*, "XTRACT Standardised protocols for automated tractography in the human and macaque brain," *NeuroImage*, vol. 217, p. 116923, May 11 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116923.
- [33] J. Wasserthal, P. F. Neher, D. Hirjak, and K. H. Maier-Hein, "Combined tract segmentation and orientation mapping for bundle-specific tractography," *Med Image Anal*, vol. 58, p. 101559, Dec 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.media.2019.101559.
- [34] J. Wasserthal, P. Neher, and K. H. Maier-Hein, "TractSeg Fast and accurate white matter tract segmentation," *NeuroImage*, vol. 183, pp. 239-253, Aug 4 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.07.070.
- [35] F. Rheault *et al.*, "Bundle-specific tractography with incorporated anatomical and orientational priors," *NeuroImage*, vol. 186, pp. 382-398, Feb 1 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.11.018.
- [36] D. Wassermann *et al.*, "On describing human white matter anatomy: the white matter query language," *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv*, vol. 16, no. Pt 1, pp. 647-54, 2013.
- [37] D. Wassermann *et al.*, "The white matter query language: a novel approach for describing human white matter anatomy," *Brain structure & function*, vol. 221, no. 9, pp. 4705-4721, Dec 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00429-015-1179-4.
- [38] I. N. Lawes *et al.*, "Atlas-based segmentation of white matter tracts of the human brain using diffusion tensor tractography and comparison with classical dissection," *NeuroImage*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 62-79, Jan 1 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.06.041.
- [39] S. Mori and P. van Zijl, "Human white matter atlas," *Am J Psychiatry,* vol. 164, no. 7, p. 1005, Jul 2007, doi: 10.1176/ajp.2007.164.7.1005.
- [40] J. Hau *et al.*, "Revisiting the human uncinate fasciculus, its subcomponents and asymmetries with stem-based tractography and microdissection validation," *Brain structure & function*, vol. 222, no. 4, pp. 1645-1662, May 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00429-016-1298-6.
- X. Wang, S. Pathak, L. Stefaneanu, F. C. Yeh, S. Li, and J. C. Fernandez-Miranda, "Subcomponents and connectivity of the superior longitudinal fasciculus in the human brain," *Brain structure & function*, vol. 221, no. 4, pp. 2075-92, May 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00429-015-1028-5.
- [42] S. J. Forkel, M. Thiebaut de Schotten, J. M. Kawadler, F. Dell'Acqua, A. Danek, and M. Catani, "The anatomy of fronto-occipital connections from early blunt dissections to contemporary tractography," *Cortex,* vol. 56, pp. 73-84, Jul 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2012.09.005.
- [43] S. Sarubbo, A. De Benedictis, I. L. Maldonado, G. Basso, and H. Duffau, "Frontal terminations for the inferior fronto-occipital fascicle: anatomical dissection, DTI study

and functional considerations on a multi-component bundle," *Brain structure & function*, vol. 218, no. 1, pp. 21-37, Jan 2013, doi: 10.1007/s00429-011-0372-3.

- [44] S. Jbabdi, J. F. Lehman, S. N. Haber, and T. E. Behrens, "Human and monkey ventral prefrontal fibers use the same organizational principles to reach their targets: tracing versus tractography," *J Neurosci,* vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 3190-201, Feb 13 2013, doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2457-12.2013.
- [45] R. B. Mars *et al.*, "Diffusion-weighted imaging tractography-based parcellation of the human parietal cortex and comparison with human and macaque resting-state functional connectivity," *J Neurosci*, vol. 31, no. 11, pp. 4087-100, Mar 16 2011, doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5102-10.2011.
- [46] R. B. Mars *et al.*, "The extreme capsule fiber complex in humans and macaque monkeys: a comparative diffusion MRI tractography study," *Brain structure & function*, vol. 221, no. 8, pp. 4059-4071, Nov 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00429-015-1146-0.
- [47] Z. Safadi *et al.*, "Functional Segmentation of the Anterior Limb of the Internal Capsule: Linking White Matter Abnormalities to Specific Connections," *J Neurosci*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 2106-2117, Feb 21 2018, doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2335-17.2017.
- [48] F. X. Neubert, R. B. Mars, A. G. Thomas, J. Sallet, and M. F. Rushworth, "Comparison of human ventral frontal cortex areas for cognitive control and language with areas in monkey frontal cortex," *Neuron*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 700-13, Feb 5 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.11.012.
- [49] F. X. Neubert, R. B. Mars, J. Sallet, and M. F. Rushworth, "Connectivity reveals relationship of brain areas for reward-guided learning and decision making in human and monkey frontal cortex," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 112, no. 20, pp. E2695-704, May 19 2015, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1410767112.
- [50] R. B. Mars, J. Sallet, U. Schuffelgen, S. Jbabdi, I. Toni, and M. F. Rushworth,
  "Connectivity-based subdivisions of the human right "temporoparietal junction area": evidence for different areas participating in different cortical networks," *Cereb Cortex,* vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 1894-903, Aug 2012, doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhr268.
- [51] G. M. Innocenti, T. B. Dyrby, K. W. Andersen, E. M. Rouiller, and R. Caminiti, "The Crossed Projection to the Striatum in Two Species of Monkey and in Humans: Behavioral and Evolutionary Significance," *Cereb Cortex,* vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 3217-3230, Jun 1 2017, doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhw161.
- [52] V. L. Galinsky and L. R. Frank, "A Unified Theory of Neuro-MRI Data Shows Scale-Free Nature of Connectivity Modes," *Neural Comput*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1441-1467, Jun 2017, doi: 10.1162/NECO\_a\_00955.
- [53] R. E. Smith, J. D. Tournier, F. Calamante, and A. Connelly, "Anatomically-constrained tractography: improved diffusion MRI streamlines tractography through effective use of anatomical information," *NeuroImage*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1924-38, Sep 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.06.005.
- [54] V. L. Galinsky and L. R. Frank, "Simultaneous multi-scale diffusion estimation and tractography guided by entropy spectrum pathways," (in eng), *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1177-93, May 2015, doi: 10.1109/TMI.2014.2380812.
- [55] L. R. Frank and V. L. Galinsky, "Dynamic Multiscale Modes of Resting State Brain Activity Detected by Entropy Field Decomposition," *Neural Comput*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 1769-811, Sep 2016, doi: 10.1162/NECO\_a\_00871.

- [56] J. D. Schmahmann and D. Pandya, *Fiber pathways of the brain*. OUP USA, 2009.
- [57] K. G. Schilling *et al.*, "Limits to anatomical accuracy of diffusion tractography using modern approaches," *NeuroImage*, vol. 185, pp. 1-11, Oct 11 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.10.029.
- [58] J. Dauguet *et al.*, "3D histological reconstruction of fiber tracts and direct comparison with diffusion tensor MRI tractography," *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv*, vol. 9, no. Pt 1, pp. 109-16, 2006.
- [59] C. Delettre *et al.*, "Comparison between diffusion MRI tractography and histological tract-tracing of cortico-cortical structural connectivity in the ferret brain," *Netw Neurosci*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1038-1050, 2019, doi: 10.1162/netn\_a\_00098.
- [60] K. S. Ambrosen *et al.*, "Validation of structural brain connectivity networks: The impact of scanning parameters," *NeuroImage*, vol. 204, p. 116207, Jan 1 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116207.
- [61] K. Shen, G. Bezgin, M. Schirner, P. Ritter, S. Everling, and A. R. McIntosh, "A macaque connectome for large-scale network simulations in TheVirtualBrain," *Sci Data*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 123, Jul 17 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41597-019-0129-z.
- [62] R. B. Mars *et al.*, "The extreme capsule fiber complex in humans and macaque monkeys: a comparative diffusion MRI tractography study," *Brain structure & function*, Dec 1 2015, doi: 10.1007/s00429-015-1146-0.
- [63] J. Sallet *et al.*, "The organization of dorsal frontal cortex in humans and macaques," J Neurosci, vol. 33, no. 30, pp. 12255-74, Jul 24 2013, doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5108-12.2013.
- [64] S. Mori *et al.*, "Stereotaxic white matter atlas based on diffusion tensor imaging in an ICBM template," *NeuroImage*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 570-82, Apr 01 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.12.035.
- [65] B. A. Landman, J. A. Farrell, C. K. Jones, S. A. Smith, J. L. Prince, and S. Mori, "Effects of diffusion weighting schemes on the reproducibility of DTI-derived fractional anisotropy, mean diffusivity, and principal eigenvector measurements at 1.5T," (in eng), *NeuroImage*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1123-38, Jul 15 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.02.056.
- [66] E. Mandonnet, S. Sarubbo, and L. Petit, "The Nomenclature of Human White Matter Association Pathways: Proposal for a Systematic Taxonomic Anatomical Classification," *Front Neuroanat*, vol. 12, p. 94, 2018, doi: 10.3389/fnana.2018.00094.
- [67] S. S. Panesar and J. Fernandez-Miranda, "Commentary: The Nomenclature of Human White Matter Association Pathways: Proposal for a Systematic Taxonomic Anatomical Classification," *Front Neuroanat*, vol. 13, p. 61, 2019, doi: 10.3389/fnana.2019.00061.
- [68] T. B. Dyrby, G. Innocenti, M. Bech, and H. Lundell, "Validation strategies for the interpretation of microstructure imaging using diffusion MRI," *NeuroImage*, Jun 16 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.049.
- [69] K. Schilling, Y. Gao, V. Janve, I. Stepniewska, B. A. Landman, and A. W. Anderson,
  "Confirmation of a gyral bias in diffusion MRI fiber tractography," (in eng), *Hum Brain Mapp*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 1449-1466, 03 2018, doi: 10.1002/hbm.23936.
- [70] C. Reveley *et al.*, "Superficial white matter fiber systems impede detection of long-range cortical connections in diffusion MR tractography," (in eng), *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, vol. 112, no. 21, pp. E2820-8, May 2015, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1418198112.

- [71] F. Rheault *et al.*, "Tractostorm: The what, why, and how of tractography dissection reproducibility," *Human brain mapping,* Jan 10 2020, doi: 10.1002/hbm.24917.
- [72] G. Girard, K. Whittingstall, R. Deriche, and M. Descoteaux, "Towards quantitative connectivity analysis: reducing tractography biases," *NeuroImage*, vol. 98, pp. 266-78, Sep 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.04.074.
- [73] E. St-Onge, A. Daducci, G. Girard, and M. Descoteaux, "Surface-enhanced tractography (SET)," *NeuroImage*, vol. 169, pp. 524-539, Apr 1 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.036.
- [74] G. Girard *et al.*, "AxTract: Toward microstructure informed tractography," *Human brain mapping*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 5485-5500, Nov 2017, doi: 10.1002/hbm.23741.
- [75] A. Daducci, A. Dal Palu, A. Lemkaddem, and J. P. Thiran, "COMMIT: Convex optimization modeling for microstructure informed tractography," *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 246-57, Jan 2015, doi: 10.1109/TMI.2014.2352414.
- [76] R. E. Smith, J. D. Tournier, F. Calamante, and A. Connelly, "SIFT: Spherical-deconvolution informed filtering of tractograms," *NeuroImage*, vol. 67, pp. 298-312, Feb 15 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.11.049.
- [77] E. Alonso-Ortiz, I. R. Levesque, and G. B. Pike, "MRI-based myelin water imaging: A technical review," *Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine,* vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 70-81, Jan 2015, doi: 10.1002/mrm.25198.
- [78] M. Ganzetti, N. Wenderoth, and D. Mantini, "Whole brain myelin mapping using T1- and T2-weighted MR imaging data," *Front Hum Neurosci*, vol. 8, p. 671, 2014, doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00671.
- [79] J. C. Gore *et al.*, "Functional MRI and resting state connectivity in white matter a minireview," *Magnetic resonance imaging*, vol. 63, pp. 1-11, Nov 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2019.07.017.
- [80] Z. Ding *et al.*, "Detection of synchronous brain activity in white matter tracts at rest and under functional loading," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 595-600, Jan 16 2018, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1711567115.
- [81] Y. Huang, S. K. Bailey, P. Wang, L. E. Cutting, J. C. Gore, and Z. Ding, "Voxel-wise detection of functional networks in white matter," *NeuroImage*, vol. 183, pp. 544-552, Dec 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.08.049.
- [82] S. Deslauriers-Gauthier et al., "White matter information flow mapping from diffusion MRI and EEG," *NeuroImage*, vol. 201, p. 116017, Nov 1 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116017.
- [83] V. L. Galinsky, A. Martinez, M. P. Paulus, and L. R. Frank, "Joint Estimation of Effective Brain Wave Activation Modes Using EEG/MEG Sensor Arrays and Multimodal MRI Volumes," (in eng), *Neural Comput*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 1725-1749, 07 2018, doi: 10.1162/neco\_a\_01087.
- [84] A. De Benedictis *et al.*, "Photogrammetry of the Human Brain: A Novel Method for Three-Dimensional Quantitative Exploration of the Structural Connectivity in Neurosurgery and Neurosciences," *World Neurosurg*, vol. 115, pp. e279-e291, Jul 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2018.04.036.
- [85] J. D. Tournier, C. H. Yeh, F. Calamante, K. H. Cho, A. Connelly, and C. P. Lin, "Resolving crossing fibres using constrained spherical deconvolution: validation using diffusion-

weighted imaging phantom data," *NeuroImage*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 617-25, Aug 15 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.05.002.

- [86] J. D. Tournier, F. Calamante, and A. Connelly, "MRtrix: Diffusion tractography in crossing fiber regions," *International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 53-66, 2012, doi: 10.1002/ima.22005.
- [87] J. D. Tournier *et al.*, "MRtrix3: A fast, flexible and open software framework for medical image processing and visualisation," *NeuroImage*, vol. 202, p. 116137, Nov 15 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116137.
- [88] E. Garyfallidis *et al.*, "Dipy, a library for the analysis of diffusion MRI data," *Front Neuroinform*, vol. 8, p. 8, 2014, doi: 10.3389/fninf.2014.00008.
- [89] L. Feng *et al.*, "Population-averaged macaque brain atlas with high-resolution ex vivo DTI integrated into in vivo space," *Brain structure & function*, vol. 222, no. 9, pp. 4131-4147, Dec 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00429-017-1463-6.