



**HAL**  
open science

## **Molar enamel thickness distribution and hominid taxonomy**

Clément Zanolli, Margot Cantaloube, Priscilla Bayle, Frikkie de Beer, Jakobus Hoffman, Kudakwashe Jakata, Laura Martín-Francés, Alejandra Ortiz, Matthew M. Skinner, Mirriam Tawane

### ► To cite this version:

Clément Zanolli, Margot Cantaloube, Priscilla Bayle, Frikkie de Beer, Jakobus Hoffman, et al.. Molar enamel thickness distribution and hominid taxonomy. Proceedings of the European Society for Human Evolution, Sep 2020, online, Germany. hal-03003794

**HAL Id: hal-03003794**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03003794>**

Submitted on 13 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Molar enamel thickness distribution and hominid taxonomy

Clément Zanolli<sup>1</sup>, Margot Cantaloube<sup>2</sup>, Priscilla Bayle<sup>1</sup>, Frikkie de Beer<sup>3</sup>, Jakobus W. Hoffman<sup>3</sup>, Kudakwashe Jakata<sup>4</sup>, Laura Martín-Francés<sup>1,5</sup>, Alejandra Ortiz<sup>6</sup>, Matthew M. Skinner<sup>4,7,8</sup>, Mirriam Tawane<sup>9</sup>, Bernhard Zipfel<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire PACEA, UMR 5199 CNRS, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire AMIS, UMR 5288 CNRS, Université Toulouse III – Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France

<sup>3</sup>South African Nuclear Energy Corporation, Pelindaba, South Africa

<sup>4</sup>Evolutionary Studies Institute, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>5</sup>Centro Nacional de Investigación sobre la Evolución Humana, Burgos, Spain

<sup>6</sup>Department of Anthropology, New York University, New York, USA

<sup>7</sup>School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom

<sup>8</sup>Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany

<sup>9</sup>Ditsong National Museum of Natural History, Pretoria, South Africa

There is considerable evidence from extant and extinct primates that the structural organization of the mineralized dental tissues holds a significant amount of (paleo)biological information suitable for assessing taxonomy, phylogenetic relationships, functional and adaptive strategies, and for reconstructing the evolutionary history of various primate clades [1]. Enamel thickness (ET) variation patterns in hominids stem from an evolutionary compromise between functional/adaptive constraints and strict control mechanisms of the morphogenetic program, though variability is also presumably affected by a number of biological and environmental factors [2]. ET is useful for tracking diet-related structural adaptations, and for exploring life-history trajectories, phylogenetic relationships and evolutionary trends [1-3]. However, considering the number of factors at play, the value of the ET's taxonomic signal remains unclear, particularly at sub-genus taxonomic levels.

ET is commonly assessed using either bi-dimensional measurements directly performed on fractured crowns or physical ground sections, or non-invasive bi-/three-dimensional approaches such as microtomography [1]. The most common variables used to quantify hominid ET, the average and relative enamel thickness indices, only partially discriminate among extant apes and show overlap among fossil and extant hominin taxa [3]. On the contrary, examining enamel distribution across the whole crown avoids average estimates (generally limited to a gradient scale of single values) and allows a characterization of ET in relation to crown morphology. Indeed, topographic patterning based on qualitative descriptions of ET cartographies suggests that australopiths exhibit thick enamel over the cusp tips, while in *Homo* relatively thicker enamel is found at the cusp base [1,2,4]. In order to quantify ET distribution patterns in a more comprehensive and statistically robust manner, we developed a new analytical approach based on advanced virtual imaging [5] and tested it on a selected sample of fossil and extant hominids.

The study sample includes unworn/minimally worn upper/lower first permanent molars (M1) of extant apes (*Pan*=10/10, *Gorilla*=10/10, *Pongo*=10/10), Plio-Pleistocene non-human hominins (*Australopithecus africanus*=6/10, *Paranthropus robustus*=6/10), and representatives of our own genus (Neanderthals=10/10, extant humans=10/10). The method used here enables statistical comparisons of ET maps by performing a registration using morphological features between a reference surface and the occlusal surfaces of each crown.

Using PCA, cross-validated between-group PCA and cross-validated LDA, our results show that the extant taxa are well discriminated from each other (classification accuracy for bgPCA CV=97.5%/92.5% and for LDA CV=92.5%/92.5%). The Plio-Pleistocene hominin

genera (*Australopithecus* and *Paranthropus*) are well discriminated from the human representatives. While having relatively thinner enamel compared to modern humans, Neanderthals plot close to or within their range.

Our tests indicate that, even where absolute or relative ET indices do not sufficiently discriminate among *Australopithecus*, *Paranthropus*, and *Homo* (usually to the exception of Neanderthals) [3,4], the ET distribution patterns have high taxonomic significance.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS (MAX. 150 WORDS)

For their support, we are grateful to: French CNRS; Centre de Microtomographie University of Poitiers; University of the Witwatersrand [Johannesburg]; Ditsong Museum of Natural History [Pretoria]; Necsa [Pelindaba]; ICTP [Trieste]; Nespos Society, Morphosource Database, ESRF heritage database for palaeontology, evolutionary biology and archaeology.

#### REFERENCES (5 MAX.)

- [1] Macchiarelli, R., Bayle, P., Bondioli, L., Mazurier, A., Zanolli, C., 2013. From outer to inner structural morphology in dental anthropology. The integration of the third dimension in the visualization and quantitative analysis of fossil remains. In: Scott, R.G., Irish, J.D. (Eds.), *Anthropological Perspectives on Tooth Morphology: Genetics, Evolution, Variation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 250-277.
- [2] Zanolli, C., Bayle, P., Bondioli, L., Dean, M.C., Le Luyer, M., Mazurier, A., Morita, W., Macchiarelli, R., 2017. Is the deciduous/permanent molar enamel thickness ratio a taxon-specific indicator in extant and extinct hominids? In: Macchiarelli, R., Zanolli, C. (Eds.), *Hominin Biomechanics, Virtual Anatomy and Inner Structural Morphology: From Head to Toe. A Tribute to Laurent Puymerau* *Comptes Rendus Palevol*. 16, 702-714.
- [3] Smith, T.M., Olejniczak, A.J., Zermeno, J.P., Tafforeau, P., Skinner, M.M., Hoffmann, A., Radović, J., Toussaint, M., Kruszynski, R., Menter, C., Moggi-Cecchi, J., Glasmacher, U.A., Kullmer, O., Schrenk, F., Stringer, C., Hublin, J.-J., 2012. Variation in enamel thickness within the genus *Homo*. *Journal of Human Evolution* 62, 395-411.
- [4] Olejniczak, A.J., Smith, T.M., Skinner, M.M., Grine, F.E., Feeney, R.N.M., Thackeray, J.F., Hublin, J.-J., 2008b. Three-dimensional molar enamel distribution and thickness in *Australopithecus* and *Paranthropus*. *Biology Letters* 4, 406-410.
- [5] Zanolli C., Cantaloube M., Bayle P., Bondioli L., Dumoncel J., Durrleman S., Jessel J.P., Subsol G. & Macchiarelli R. - Innovative approaches to quantify and statistically compare tooth enamel thickness distribution. *Proceedings of the European Society for Human Evolution* 5, 251.