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# DOUBLING BIALGEBRAS OF FINITE TOPOLOGIES 

MOHAMED AYADI AND DOMINIQUE MANCHON


#### Abstract

The species of finite topological spaces admits two graded bimonoid structures, recently defined by F. Fauvet, L. Foissy, and the second author. In this article, we define a doubling of this species in two different ways. We build a bimonoid structure on each of these species and describe a cointeraction between them. We also investigate two related associative products obtained by dualisation.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Recall (see e.g. $[14,16,9]$ ) that a topology on a finite set $X$ is given by the family $\mathcal{T}$ of open subsets of $X$, subject to the three following axioms:

- $\varnothing \in \mathcal{T}, X \in \mathcal{T}$,
- The union of (a finite number of) open subsets is an open subset,
- The intersection of a finite number of open subsets is an open subset.

Any topology $\mathfrak{T}$ on $X$ defines a quasi-order (i.e. a reflexive transitive relation) denoted by $\leq_{\mathcal{T}}$ on $X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \leq_{\mathcal{T}} y \Longleftrightarrow \text { any open subset containing } x \text { also contains } y . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, any quasi-order $\leq$ on $X$ defines a topology $\mathcal{T}_{\leq}$given by its upper ideals, i.e. subsets $Y \subset X$ such that $(y \in Y$ and $y \leq z) \Longrightarrow z \in Y$. Both operations are inverse to each other:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq_{\mathcal{T}_{\leq}}=\leq, \quad \mathcal{T}_{\leq \mathcal{T}}=\mathcal{T} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence there is a natural bijection between topologies and quasi-orders on a finite set $X$. Any quasi-order (hence any topology $\mathcal{T}$ ) on $X$ gives rise to an equivalence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x{\sim_{\mathcal{T}} y \Longleftrightarrow\left(x \leq_{\mathcal{T}} y \text { and } y \leq_{\mathcal{T}} x\right) . . . . . . . .} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

More on finite topological spaces can be found in $[3,8,15,16]$.

Let us recall the construction from [8] of two bimonoids [1, 2] in cointeraction on the linear species of finite topological spaces, which orginated from a previous Hopf-algebraic approach $[10,11]$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ be two topologies on a finite set $X$. We say that $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ is finer than $\mathcal{T}$, and we write $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}<\mathcal{T}$, when any open subset for $\mathcal{T}$ is an open subset for $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$. This is equivalent to the fact that for any $x, y \in X, x \leq_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime}} y \Rightarrow x \leq_{\mathcal{T}} y$.

The quotient $\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ of two topologies $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ with $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}<\mathcal{T}$ is defined as follows ([9, Paragraph 2.2]): The associated quasi-order $\leq_{\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}}$, is the transitive closure of the relation $\mathcal{R}$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \mathcal{R} y \Longleftrightarrow\left(x \leq_{\mathcal{T}} y \text { or } y \leq_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime}} x\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Recall that a linear species is a contravariant functor from the category of finite sets with bijections into the category of vector spaces (on some field $\mathbf{k}$ ). The tensor product of two species $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{F})_{X}=\bigoplus_{Y\llcorner Z=X} \mathbb{E}_{Y} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{Z} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The species $\mathbb{T}$ of finite topological spaces is defined as follows: For any finite set $X, \mathbb{T}_{X}$ is the vector space freely generated by the topologies on $X$. For any bijection $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$, the isomorphism $\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}: \mathbb{T}_{X^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{X}$ is defined by the obvious relabelling:

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}(\mathcal{T})=\left\{\varphi^{-1}(Y), Y \in \mathcal{T}\right\}
$$

for any topology $\mathfrak{T}$ on $X^{\prime}$.
For any finite set $X$, let us recall from [9] the coproduct $\Gamma$ on $\mathbb{T}_{X}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\mathcal{T})=\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum runs over topologies $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ which are $\mathcal{T}$-admissible, i.e

- finer than $\mathcal{T}$,
- such that $\mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}^{\prime}=\mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}$ for any subset $Y \subset X$ connected for the topology $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$,
- such that for any $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \sim_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}} y \Longleftrightarrow x \sim_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}} y . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A commutative monoid structure ([9, Paragraph 2.3]) on the species of finite topologies is defined as follows: For any pair $X_{1}, X_{2}$ of finite sets we introduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
m: \mathbb{T}_{X_{1}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{X_{2}} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{X_{1} \sqcup X_{2}} \\
\mathcal{T}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2} & \longmapsto \mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2}$ is the disjoint union topology characterized by $Y \in \mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2}$ if and only if $Y \cap X_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}$ and $Y \cap X_{2} \in \mathcal{T}_{2}$. The notation $\sqcup$ stands for disjoint union, and the unit is given by the unique topology on the empty set.

For any topology $\mathcal{T}$ on a finite set $X$ and for any subset $Y \subset X$, we denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{T}$ to $Y$. It is defined by:

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}=\{Z \cap Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}\}
$$

The external coproduct $\Delta$ on $\mathbb{T}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta: \mathbb{T}_{X} & \longrightarrow(\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{T})_{X}=\bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z=X} \mathbb{T}_{Y} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{Z} \\
\mathcal{T} & \longmapsto \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}_{\mid X \backslash Y} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

The internal and external coproducts are compatible, i.e. the following diagram commutes for any finite $X$.


Now consider the graded vector space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{T})=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_{n} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{0}=\mathbf{k} .1$, and where $\mathcal{H}_{n}$ is the linear span of topologies on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ when $n \geq 1$, modulo the action of the symmetric group $S_{n}$. The vector space $\mathcal{H}$ can be seen as the quotient of the species $\mathbb{T}$ by the "forget the labels" equivalence relation: $\mathcal{T} \sim \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ if $\mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ ) is a topology on a finite set $X$ (resp. $X^{\prime}$ ), such that there is a bijection from $X$ onto $X^{\prime}$ which is a homeomorphism with respect to both topologies. The functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ from linear species to graded vector spaces thus obtained is intensively studied in ([1, chapter 15]) under the name "bosonic Fock functor". This naturally leads to the following:

- $(\mathcal{H}, m, \Delta)$ is a commutative connected Hopf algebra, graded by the number of elements.
- $(\mathcal{H}, m, \Gamma)$ is a commutative bialgebra, graded by the number of equivalence classes minus the number of connected components.
- $(\mathcal{H}, m, \Delta)$ is a comodule-bialgebra on $(\mathcal{H}, m, \Gamma)$. In particular the following diagram of unital algebra morphisms commutes:


On the vector space freely generated by rooted forests, Connes and Kreimer define in [6, 7, 12] a graded bialgebra structure defined using allowable cuts. In [5], D. Calaque, K. Ebrahimi-Fard and the second author introduced bases of a graded Hopf algebra structure defined using contractions of trees. M. Belhaj Mohamed and the second author introduced in [4] the doubling of these two spaces and they built two bialgebra structures on these spaces, which are in interaction. They have also shown that two bialgebra satisfied a commutative diagram similar to the diagram of [5] in the case of rooted trees Hopf algebra, and in the case of directed graphs without cycles [13].

In Section 2 of this paper, we define two different doubling species $\mathbb{D}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ of the species $\mathbb{T}$. For later use will also consider $\mathcal{D}=\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\tilde{D}=\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\tilde{\mathbb{D}})$. The species $\mathbb{D}$ is defined as follows: For any finite set $X, \mathbb{D}_{X}$ is the vector space spanned by the pairs $(\mathcal{T}, Y)$ where $\mathcal{T}$ is a topology on $X$ and $Y \in \mathcal{T}$. Similarly, $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$ is the vector space spanned by the ordered pairs $\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\mathcal{T}$ is a topology on $X$, and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}$. We prove that there exist graded bimonoid structures on $\mathbb{D}_{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$, where the external and internal coproducts are defined respectively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\mathcal{T}, Y)=\sum_{Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mid Z}, Z\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mid X \backslash Z}, Y \backslash Z\right), \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(\mathcal{T}, Y) \in \mathbb{D}_{X}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \in \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$. We show the inclusions $\Delta\left(\mathbb{D}_{X}\right) \subset(\mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{D})_{X}=\bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z=X} \mathbb{D}_{Y} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{Z}$ and $\Gamma\left(\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}\right) \subset$ $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$, and that $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ are coassociative. It turns out that only the internal coproduct $\Gamma$ is counital.

In Section 3, after a reminder of the main results of [9], we show an important restriction result, namely the notion of $\mathcal{T}$-admissibility is stable under restriction to any subset (Proposition 3.1), and we prove that $\mathbb{D}_{X}$ admits a comodule structure on $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$ given by the coaction $\Phi: \mathbb{D}_{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{X}$, which is defined for all $(\mathcal{T}, Y) \in \mathbb{D}_{X}$ by:

$$
\Phi(\mathcal{T}, Y)=\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}, Y \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{T} \mid Y^{\prime}}=D_{X X Y Y, \mathcal{T}}}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, Y\right)
$$

where, for any topology $\mathcal{T}$ on a finite set $X$, the finest $\mathcal{T}$-admissible topology is denoted by $D_{X, \mathcal{T}}$. The connected components of $D_{X, \mathcal{T}}$ are the equivalence classes of $\mathcal{T}$, and $D_{X, \mathcal{T}}$ restricted to each connected component is the coarse topology. For any $Y \subset X$, we note $D_{Y, \mathcal{J}}$ for $D_{Y, \mathcal{T}_{Y}}$.
Remark 1.1. We obviously have $d\left(D_{X, \mathcal{T}}\right)=0$ where $d$ is the grading given by the number of equivalence classes minus the number of connected components [9]. We also clearly have

$$
\mathcal{T} / D_{X, \mathcal{T}}=\mathcal{T}
$$

In Sction 4, we construct an associative product on $\mathbb{D}$ given by $*: \mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$, defined for all $\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{X_{1}}$ and $\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{X_{2}}$, (where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are two finite sets) by:

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \longmapsto \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2}\right) & \text { if } X_{2}=X_{1} \backslash Y_{1} \text { and } \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.1\right|_{X_{2}}} \\ 0 & \text { if not. }\end{cases}
$$

This product is obtained by dualizing the restriction of the coproduct $\Delta$ to $\mathbb{D}_{X}$, identifying $\mathbb{D}_{X}$ with its graded dual using the basis $\{(\mathcal{T}, Y), \mathcal{T}$ topology and $Y \in \mathcal{T}\}$. We accordingly construct a second associative algebra structure on $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$ by dualizing the restriction of the coproduct $\Gamma$ to $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$, yielding the associative product $*: \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$, defined by:

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{U}\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { if not }\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ is defined by $\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}=U / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$.
Finally, we define in Section 5 a new map

$$
\xi: \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes \bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z=X} \mathbb{D}_{Y} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{Z} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes \bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z=X} \mathbb{D}_{Y} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{Z}
$$

by:

$$
\xi\left(\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Y_{1}}} \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Y_{1}}}, \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Y_{1}} ^{\prime}} \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Y_{1}} ^{\prime}}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right) .
$$

We prove that the coaction $\Phi$ and the map $\xi$ make the following diagram commute:


Applying the functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ leads to the diagram:

where we have written $\Delta$ for $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\Delta)$ and so on. All arrows of this diagram are algebra morphisms.

## 2. Doubling bialgebras of fintte topologies

Let $X$ be any finite set, and $\mathbb{D}_{X}$ be the vector space spanned by the pairs $(\mathcal{T}, Y)$ where $\mathcal{T}$ is a topology, and $Y \in \mathcal{T}$. We define the coproduct $\Delta$ by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta: \mathbb{D}_{X} \longrightarrow(\mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{D})_{X}=\bigoplus_{Z \subset X} \mathbb{D}_{Z} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{X \backslash Z} \\
&(\mathcal{T}, Y) \longmapsto \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mid Z}, Z\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}, Y \backslash Z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.1. $\mathbb{D}$ is a commutative graded connected bimonoid, and $\mathcal{D}=\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{D})$ is a commutative graded bialgebra.

Proof. To show that $\mathbb{D}$ is a bimonoid [1], it is necessary to show that $\Delta$ is coassociative, and that the species coproduct $\Delta$ and the product defined by:

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right)\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2}\right)
$$

are compatible. The unit $\mathbf{1}$ is identified to the empty topology, and the grading is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\mathcal{T}, Y)=|Y| . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associativity of the product is given by the direct computation:

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2} \mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2} \sqcup Y_{3}\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right)\left(\mathcal{T}_{2} \mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{2} \sqcup Y_{3}\right) .
$$

The coassociativity of coproduct $\Delta$ is also straightforwardly checked:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Delta \otimes i d) \Delta(\mathcal{T}, Y) & =(\Delta \otimes i d)\left(\sum_{Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mid Z}, Z\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}, Y \backslash Z\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{W \in \mathcal{T}_{\mid Z}, Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{W}}, W\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z \backslash W}}, Z \backslash W\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}, Y \backslash Z\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(i d \otimes \Delta) \Delta(\mathcal{T}, Y) & =(i d \otimes \Delta)\left(\sum_{Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}}, Z\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}, Y \backslash Z\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{U \in \mathcal{T}_{|Y| Z^{\prime}}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}}, Z\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{U}}, U\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash(Z \cup U)}}, Y \backslash(Z \sqcup U)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Coassociativity then comes from the obvious fact that $(W, Z) \longmapsto(W, Z \backslash W)$ is a bijection from the set of pairs $(W, Z)$ with $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Y}}$ and $W \in \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}}$, onto the set of pairs $(W, U)$ with $W \in \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Y}}$ and $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Y \backslash W^{\prime}}}$. The inverse map is given by $(W, U) \longmapsto(W, W \sqcup U)$. Finally, we show immediately that

$$
\Delta\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right)\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\right)=\Delta\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2}\right)=\Delta\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \Delta\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)
$$

Remark 2.1. The bimonoid $\mathbb{D}$ is not counitary, because $(\mathcal{T}, Y) \otimes \mathbf{1}$ never occurs in $\Delta(\mathcal{T}, Y)$ unless $Y=X$.

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$ be the vector space spanned by the ordered pairs $\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\mathcal{T}$ is a topology on $X$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}$. We define the coproduct $\Gamma$ for all $\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \in \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$ by:

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.1. ([9, Propostion 2.7]) Let $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}$ be two topologies on $X$. If $\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \& \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \longmapsto$ $\mathfrak{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}$ is a bijection from the set of topologies $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ on $X$ such that $\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}$, onto the set of topologies $\mathcal{U}$ on $X$ such that $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}$.
Theorem 2.2. $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ is a commutative graded bimonoid, and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}=\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\tilde{\mathbb{D}})$ is a graded bialgebra.
Proof. To show that $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ is a bimonoid, it is necessary to show that $\Gamma$ is coassociative and that the species coproduct $\Gamma$ and the product defined by:

$$
m\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

are compatible. The unit $\mathbf{1}$ is identified to the empty topology, the counit $\epsilon$ is given by $\epsilon\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\epsilon\left(\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)$ and the grading is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)=d\left(\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the grading d on the right-hand side has been defined in the introdution.
We now calculate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Gamma \otimes i d) \Gamma\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) & =(\Gamma \otimes i d)\left(\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand;

$$
\begin{aligned}
(i d \otimes \Gamma) \Gamma\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) & =(i d \otimes \Gamma)\left(\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) / \mathcal{T}_{1},\left(\mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) / \mathcal{T}_{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{U} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{U}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The result then comes from Lemma 2.1. Hence, $(\Gamma \otimes i d) \Gamma=(i d \otimes \Gamma) \Gamma$, and consequently $\Gamma$ is coassociative. Finally we have directy:

$$
\Gamma\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.1. The second projection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{2}: \tilde{\mathbb{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T} \\
&\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto \mathcal{T}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a bimonoid morphism with respect to the internal coproducts.
Proof. The fact that $P_{2}$ respects the product is trivial. It suffices to show that $P_{2}$ is a coalgebra morphism for any finite set $X$, analogously to Proposition 1 , i.e, $P_{2}$ verifies the following commutative diagram:

which can be seen by direct computation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma \circ P_{2}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) & =\Gamma\left(\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}} \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \\
& =\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}} P_{2}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes P_{2}\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& =\left(P_{2} \otimes P_{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Comodule-Hopf algebra structure

3.1. Comodule-Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{H}$. F. Fauvet, L. Foissy and the second author have studied the Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{H}, m, \Delta)$ as a comodule-Hopf algebra on the bialgebra $(\mathcal{H}, m, \Gamma)$, where $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{T})$. Here the notations $m, \Delta, \Gamma$ are shorthands for $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(m), \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\Delta), \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\Gamma)$ respectively. The coaction is the map $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\phi): \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ where $\phi$ is defined as follows:

$$
\phi(\mathcal{T})=\Gamma(\mathcal{T})=\sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}
$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a topology on a finite set $X$. For any subset $W \subset X$ and for any $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ we have $\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{W}} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{W}}$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a topology on a finite set $X$, let $W$ be any subset of $X$, and let $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}$. Let $R$ (resp. $R^{\prime}$ ) be the relation defined on $X$ by $a R b$ if and only if $a \leq_{\mathcal{T}} b$ or $b \leq_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}} a$ (resp. $a R^{\prime} b$ if and only if $a \leq_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime}} b$ or $b \leq_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime}} a$ ). We have $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ hence $R^{\prime}$ implies $R$.

- The relation $\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{W}}<\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{W}}$ is obvious.
- If $Y \subset W$ connected for the topology $\mathcal{T}$, and $x \in Y$, we have

$$
Y=\left\{y \in W \text {, there is a chain } x R^{\prime} t_{1} \cdots R^{\prime} t_{n} R^{\prime} y \text {, with } t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in W\right\} .
$$

The set $\tilde{Y}:=\left\{y \in W\right.$, there is a chain $x R^{\prime} t_{1} \cdots R^{\prime} t_{n} R^{\prime} y$, with $\left.t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in X\right\}$ is a connected component of $X$ for the topology $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$, so $\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{\tilde{Y}}}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{\tilde{Y}}}$, hence a fortiori $\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Y}}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Y}}$, because the inclusion $Y \subset \tilde{Y}$ holds.

- Let $x, y \in W$. If $x \sim_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\left|W^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \mid W} y$ there is $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in W, j \in[n], y=t_{j}$ such that $x R^{\prime} t_{1} \cdots R^{\prime} t_{n} R^{\prime} x$. This implies $x R t_{1} \ldots R t_{n} R x$, therefore $x \sim_{\mathcal{T}_{W} / \mathcal{T}_{\mid W}^{\prime}} y$. Conversely, if $x \sim_{\mathcal{T}_{\mid W} / \mathcal{T}_{W}^{\prime}}$ $y$ there is $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in W$ and $j \in[n]$ with $y=t_{j}$, such that $x R t_{1} \cdots R t_{n} R x$. For $A=$ $\left\{x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$, we have for all $a$ and $b$ in $A, a \sim_{\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}} b$. Since $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}$, we have $a \sim_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}} b$, hence $a$ and $b$ in the same connected component $Z$ for the topology $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$.

We have $\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}}$ and $A \subset Z$, hence $\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{A}}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{A}}$. Then for all $a, b \in A$, $a R b$ if and only if $a R^{\prime} b$, so we have $x R^{\prime} t_{1} \cdots R^{\prime} t_{n} R^{\prime} x$, therefore $x \sim_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime}{ }_{\mid W} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}{ }_{\mid W}} y$.

Proposition 3.2. [9] The internal and external coproducts are compatible, i.e. the following diagram commutes.

i.e., the following identity is verified:

$$
(I d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Gamma=m^{1,3} \circ(\Gamma \otimes \Gamma) \circ \Delta,
$$

where $m^{1,3}: \mathbb{T}_{X_{1}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{X_{2}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{X_{3}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{X_{4}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{X_{1} \sqcup X_{3}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{X_{2}} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{X_{4}}$ is defined by

$$
m^{1,3}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{3} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{4}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{3} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{4}
$$

Applying the functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ yields the comodule-Hopf algebra structure of $(\mathcal{H}, m, \Delta)$ on the bialgebra $(\mathcal{H}, m, \Gamma)$. In particular the diagram above yields the commutative diagram

3.2. Comodule structure on the doubling bialgebras of finite topologies. For any finite set $X$, we define $\Phi: \mathbb{D}_{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{X}$, for all $(\mathcal{T}, Y) \in \mathbb{D}_{X}$ by:

$$
\Phi(\mathcal{T}, Y)=\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \underset{\mathcal{T}, Y \in \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime},}{\mathcal{T}^{\prime}}{ }_{|X| Y}=D_{X \backslash Y}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, Y\right) .
$$

The map $\Phi$ is well defined.
Theorem 3.1. $\mathbb{D}$ admits a comodule structure on $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ given by $\Phi$.
Proof. The proof amounts to show that the following diagram is commutative for any finite set $X$ :


Let $(\mathcal{T}, Y) \in \mathbb{D}_{X}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Gamma \otimes i d) \Phi(\mathcal{T}, Y) & =(\Gamma \otimes i d)\left(\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}, Y \in \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime},|\backslash| Y \\
=D_{X X Y}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, Y\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}, Y \in \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime},\left.\mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right|_{X X Y}=D_{X \mid Y, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, Y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (i d \otimes \Phi) \Phi(\mathcal{T}, Y)=(i d \otimes \Phi)\left(\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}, Y \in \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}|X| Y \\
D_{X \mid Y}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, Y\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes U \otimes \mathcal{T}, Y \in \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \\
\mathcal{U}_{X X Y Y}=D_{X \mid Y \mathcal{U}}}}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, \mathcal{U} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \otimes(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{U}, Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
(i d \otimes \Phi) \circ \Phi=(\Gamma \otimes i d) \circ \Phi,
$$

and consequently $\Phi$ is a coaction.

## 4. Associative algebra structures on the doubling spaces

4.1. Associative product on $\mathcal{D}$. For any finite set $X$, recall here that an element $(\mathcal{T}, Y)$ belongs to $\mathbb{D}_{X}$ if $\mathcal{T}$ is a topology on $X$ and $Y \in \mathcal{T}$.

Theorem 4.1. The product $*: \mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ defined for all $\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{X_{1}}$ and $\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{X_{2}}$ by:

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \longmapsto \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2}\right) & \text { if } X_{2}=X_{1} \backslash Y_{1} \text { and } \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.1\right|_{X_{2}}} \\ 0 & \text { if not }\end{cases}
$$

is associative.
Proof. Let $\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right)$ be three elements of $\mathbb{D}_{X_{1}}, \mathbb{D}_{X_{2}}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{X_{3}}$ respectively. We suppose first that $X_{2}=X_{1} \backslash Y_{1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.1\right|_{X_{2}}}$, otherwise the result is zero.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right) & =\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2} \sqcup Y_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

whenever $X_{3}=X \backslash\left(Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{1_{X_{3}}}$, the left-hand side vanishing otherwise. Hence,
$\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2} \sqcup Y_{3}\right) \\ \quad \text { if } X_{2}=X_{1} \backslash Y_{1}, X_{3}=X_{1} \backslash\left(Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2}\right), \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.1\right|_{X_{2}}} \text { and } \mathcal{T}_{3}=\left.\mathcal{T}_{1}\right|_{X_{3}} \\ 0\end{array}\right.$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) *\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right)\right) & =\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2} \sqcup Y_{3}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2} \cup Y_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

whenever $X_{3}=X_{2} \backslash Y_{2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.2\right|_{X 3}}$, as well as $X_{2}=X_{1} \backslash Y_{1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.1\right|_{X_{2}}}$. Then
$\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) *\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1} \sqcup Y_{2} \sqcup Y_{3}\right) \\ 0 \\ \text { if } X_{2}=X_{1} \backslash Y_{1}, X_{3}=X_{2} \backslash Y_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{\left.1\right|_{X_{2}}} \text { and } \mathcal{T}_{3}=\left.\mathcal{T}_{2}\right|_{X_{3}}\end{array}\right.$
We therefore conclude that for all $\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right),\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{X}$, we have

$$
\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) *\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, Y_{3}\right)\right),
$$

which proves the associativity of the product $*$.
4.2. Associative product on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Recall here that an element $\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right)$ belongs to $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$ if $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ are both topologies on $X$ such that $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}$.
Theorem 4.2. The product $*: \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$, defined by:

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{U}\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { if not }\end{cases}
$$

is associative, where $\mathcal{U}$ is defined by $\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathcal{U} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$. ([9, Proposition 2.7], see Lemma 2.1).
Proof. Let $\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ be three elements of $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$, i.e., $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{3}$. We suppose first that $\mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$, otherwise the result is zero.

$$
\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathfrak{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathfrak{U}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ is defined by $\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}=U / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$, then

$$
\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{V}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ are defined by $\mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}=\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{U}$, and $\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathcal{U} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$. Then,

$$
\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{V}\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \text { and } \mathcal{T}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{U} \\ 0 & \text { if not. }\end{cases}
$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathcal{U} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$, and $\mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}=\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{U}$.
On the other hand, for $\mathcal{T}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{W}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}=\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}$, where $\mathcal{T}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}$, and $\mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{T}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{W}$, and $\mathcal{W}=z / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathfrak{Z}\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{T}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{1} /\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime} \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right) \text { and } \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { if not. }\end{cases}
$$

Where $\mathcal{Z}$ is defined by $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{Z} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$, and $\mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}=\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}$. It remains to show that $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{Z}$ : We have

$$
\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}=\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\mathcal{Z} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) /\left(\mathcal{U} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{Z} / \mathcal{U}
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{T}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) /\left(\mathcal{U} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathfrak{U}$. We therefore conclude that for all $\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, $\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$, we have

$$
\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\mathcal{T}_{3}, \mathcal{T}_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

which proves the associativity of the product $\%$.

## 5. Relations between the laws on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$

Definition 5.1. For any finite set $X$, let $\Psi: \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{X}$ be the map defined by:

$$
\Psi\left(\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right) \otimes(\mathcal{U}, Y)\right)= \begin{cases}(\mathcal{T}, Y) & \text { if } \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { if not. }\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 5.1. $\Psi$ is an action of $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ on $\mathbb{D}$.
Proof. We have to verify the commutativity of this diagram:


Let $\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be two elements of $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X}$, and $(\mathcal{U}, Y) \in \mathbb{D}_{X}$. We have

$$
(i d \otimes \Psi)\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes(\mathcal{U}, Y)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { if not }\end{cases}
$$

Then,

$$
\Psi \circ(i d \otimes \Psi)\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes(\mathcal{U}, Y)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime} \text { and } \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { if not. }\end{cases}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
(* \otimes i d)\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes(\mathcal{U}, Y)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{V}\right) \otimes(\mathcal{U}, Y) & \text { if } \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { if not. }\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}$. Then,

$$
\Psi \circ(* \otimes i d)\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes(\mathcal{U}, Y)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{T}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \text { and } \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{V} \\ 0 & \text { if not. }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) /\left(\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{V}$. We conclude then

$$
\Psi \circ(* \otimes i d)=\Psi \circ(i d \otimes \Psi) .
$$

which proves that $\Psi$ is an action of $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ on $\mathbb{D}$.

Proposition 5.2. $\Phi$ is a monoid morphism, i.e. the following diagram is commutative:


Proof. Let $\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{X_{1}}$ and $\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{X_{2}}$, with $X_{1} \sqcup X_{2}=X$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime} \& \mathcal{T}_{2}$. Then $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2}$. Conversely, any topology $\mathcal{U}$ on $X$ such that $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2}$ can be written $\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}$ with $\mathcal{T}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathcal{U}_{\left.\right|_{X_{i}}}$ for $i=1,2$, and we have $\mathcal{T}_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{i}$. We have then:

On the other hand, we have
$(\Phi \otimes \Phi)\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\right)=$

$$
\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1 \mid}^{\prime}\left|X_{1}\right| Y_{1}=D_{X_{1} \mid Y_{1}, T_{1}^{\prime}}, Y_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \\ \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}\left|X_{2}\right| Y_{2}}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}, Y_{2} \in \mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}, Y_{2}\right),
$$

therefore

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(\tilde{m} \otimes m) \circ \tau_{23} \circ(\Phi \otimes \Phi)\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime}\left|x_{1}\right| Y_{1}=D_{X_{1}}\left|Y_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{1}, Y_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{1} / \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \\
\mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right| X_{2} Y_{2}}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\prime} \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathcal{T}_{2} / \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{T}_{2}^{\prime}\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\Phi \circ m=(\tilde{m} \otimes m) \circ \tau_{23} \circ(\Phi \otimes \Phi) .
$$

Theorem 5.1. For any finite set $X$, let $\xi: \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes(\mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{D})_{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{X} \otimes(\mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{D})_{X}$ be the map defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi\left((\mathcal{T}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Z\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, W\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}} \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\left.\right|_{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{1}, Z\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{2}, W\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following diagram is commutative:

i.e.,

$$
\xi \circ(i d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Phi=\left(m^{1,3}\right) \circ(\Phi \otimes \Phi) \circ \Delta .
$$

Proof. In (5.1), the finite set $X$ is partitioned into two subsets $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, and $Z$ (resp. $W$ ) is an open subset of $X_{1}$ (resp. $X_{2}$ ) for $\mathfrak{T}_{1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{2}$ ). According to Proposition 3.1, the relation $\left.\left.\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}} \mathcal{T}_{X \backslash Z} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right|_{Z} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}\right|_{X \backslash Z}$ holds, hence the map $\xi$ is well defined. For any $(\mathcal{T}, Y) \in \mathbb{D}_{X}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (i d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Phi(\mathcal{T}, Y)=(i d \otimes \Delta)\left(\sum_{\substack{\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathcal{T}, Y \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \\
\tilde{\mathcal{T}}|X| Y \\
=D_{X \mid Y, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}}}}(\mathcal{T}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}) \otimes(\mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}, Y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\xi \circ(i d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Phi(\mathcal{T}, Y)=\sum_{\substack{\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathcal{T}, Y \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{|X| Y}=D_{X \mid Y, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}}}} \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}| |_{\mid Y}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}} \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\left.\right|_{Z}} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}\right) \otimes\left((\mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}})_{\mid Z}, Z\right) \otimes\left(\left(\mathcal{T} /\left.\tilde{\mathcal{T}}\right|_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}, Y \backslash Z\right)\right.
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\Phi \otimes \Phi) \circ \Delta(\mathcal{T}, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m^{1,3} \circ(\Phi \otimes \Phi) \circ \Delta(\mathcal{T}, Y) \\
& =\sum_{Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mid Y}} \sum_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mid Z}} \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{|X| Z^{\prime}}^{Y \backslash Z \in \mathcal{T}_{|X| Z} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}} \\
\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}| | Y \mid Y \\
=D_{X \mid Y, \mathcal{T}}}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}} \mathcal{T}_{\mid X \backslash Z}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, Z\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mid X \backslash Z} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}, Y \backslash Z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that the both expressions above coincide, we use the two following lemmas.
Lemma 5.1. Let $\mathcal{T}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ be two topologies on $X$, such that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ and let $Y \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $Y \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ if and only if both $Y$ and $X \backslash Y$ are open subsets of $X$ for $\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}$.

Proof. From $Y \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ we immediately get $Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. Now let $x \in X \backslash Y$ and $y \in X$ with $x \leq_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}} y$. From $x \leq_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}} y$ we get $y \leq_{\mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}} x$. Suppose that $y \in Y$ then $x \in Y$ (because $Y \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ ), which is absurd. Hence $X \backslash Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$.

Conversely, suppose that both $Y$ and $X \backslash Y$ are open subsets of $X$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$, let $y \in Y$ and let $z \in X$ with $y \leq_{\mathcal{T} / \mathcal{T}} z$. There is a chain

$$
y R t_{1} \cdots R t_{k} R z
$$

with $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \in X$, where $a R b$ means $a \leq_{\mathcal{T}} b$ or $a \geq_{\mathcal{T}} b$. Supposing $a \in Y$ we have either $a \leq_{\mathcal{T}} b$ which yields $b \in Y$, or $b \leq_{\tilde{\tau}} a$, which would yield the contradiction $a \in X \backslash Y$ if $b$ were to belong to $X \backslash Y$. Hence we necessarily have $b \in Y$. Progressing along the chain, from $y \in Y$ we therefore infer $z \in Y$.
Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a topology on a finite set $X$, and let $Z$ be an open subset of $X$ for $T$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the topology $\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}} \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}$. Then we have

$$
U \otimes \mathcal{T}
$$

Proof. The relation $\mathcal{U}<\mathcal{T}$ is obvious. Any connected component $W$ for $\mathcal{U}$ is contained either in $Z$ or in $X \backslash Z$, hence $\mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{W}}=\mathcal{U}_{\left.\right|_{W}}$. Finally, consider $x, y \in X$ such that $x \sim_{\mathcal{T} / u} y$. There is a chain $x R t_{1} R \cdots R t_{k} R x$ with some $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $t_{j}=y$. By the argument which was used in the end of the proof of Proposition 3.1, the whole chain belongs to the same $\mathcal{U}$-connected component, hence we have $x \tilde{R} t_{1} \tilde{R} \cdots \tilde{R} t_{k} \tilde{R} x$, where $a \tilde{R} b$ stands for $a \leq_{u} b$ or $b \leq_{u} a$. Hence $x \sim_{u / u} y$.

Proof of theorem 5.1 (continued). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of triples ( $Z, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}$ ) which occur in the expression of $m^{1,3} \circ(\Phi \otimes \Phi) \circ \Delta(\mathcal{T}, Y)$ above, i.e. subject to the conditions

$$
Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Y}}, \quad \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}, \quad \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{Z}},\left.\quad \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right|_{X \backslash Y}=D_{X \backslash Y, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}}, \quad Y \backslash Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}
$$

and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of pairs $(Z, \tilde{T})$ which occur in the expression of $\xi \circ(i d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Phi(\mathcal{T}, Y)$ above, i.e. subject to the conditions

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathcal{T}, \quad Y \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Y}}=D_{X \backslash Y, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}}, \quad Z \in(\mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}})_{\left.\right|_{Y}}
$$

To prove Theorem 5.1, it suffices to show that $\left(Z, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mapsto\left(Z, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{E}$ onto $\mathcal{F}$. For any $\left(Z, \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathcal{E}$, it is clear from Lemma 5.2 that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} B \mathcal{T}$ holds, with $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}:=\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}$. From $Y \in \mathcal{T}$ we get $Y \backslash Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}$. Together with $Y \backslash Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}} / \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}$ one deduces from Lemma 5.1 that both $Y \backslash Z$ and $X \backslash Y$ are open subsets of $X \backslash Z$ for $\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}$. Hence we have a partition

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=Z \sqcup Y \backslash Z \sqcup X \backslash Y \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $X$ into three open subsets for the topology $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. From $Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and $X \backslash Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ we get $Y \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ from Lemma 5.1. We also have

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mid X \backslash Y}=\left.\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right|_{X \backslash Y}=D_{X \backslash Y, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}}=D_{X \backslash Y, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}} .
$$

Finally, from the fact that both $Z$ and $X \backslash Z$ are open for $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and $Z \in T$, we get $Z \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ from Lemma 5.1, hence $Z \in(\mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}})_{\left.\right|_{Y}}$. This proves $(Z, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}) \in \mathcal{F}$.

Conversely, for any $(Z, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}) \in \mathcal{F}$, from $Y \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and Lemma 5.1 we get that both $Y$ and $X \backslash Y$ are open subsets of $X$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$, and from $Z \in \mathcal{T} / \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and the same lemma we get that both $Z$ and $X \backslash Z$ are open subsets of $X$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. Hence the splitting (5.2) into three open subsets holds, and we have $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}=\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \mathfrak{T}^{\prime \prime}$, with $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}:=\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\left.\right|_{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}:=\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\left.\right|_{X \backslash Z}}$. The triple $\left(Y, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ verifies the five required
conditions to belong to $\mathcal{E}$. Both correspondences from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{F}$ are obvioulsly inverse to each other, which ends up the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Remark 5.1. If we apply the functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$, we notice here that this diagram yields the commutative diagram:

where $\Phi$ is a shorthand for $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\Phi)$ and so on.
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