



## Community-Level Responses to Iron Availability in Open Ocean Plankton Ecosystems

Luigi Caputi, Quentin Carradec, Damien Eveillard, Amos Kirilovsky, Eric Pelletier, Juan Pierella Karlusich, Fabio Rocha Jimenez Vieira, Emilie Villar, Samuel Chaffron, Shruti Malviya, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Luigi Caputi, Quentin Carradec, Damien Eveillard, Amos Kirilovsky, Eric Pelletier, et al.. Community-Level Responses to Iron Availability in Open Ocean Plankton Ecosystems. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 2019, 33 (3), pp.391-419. 10.1029/2018GB006022 . hal-02999805

**HAL Id: hal-02999805**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02999805>**

Submitted on 15 May 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Global Biogeochemical Cycles

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2018GB006022

Luigi Caputi, Quentin Carradec, Damien Eveillard, Amos Kirilovsky, Eric Pelletier, Juan J. Pierella, Karlusich, Fabio Rocha Jimenez Vieira, and Emilie Villar contributed equally to this work.  
Chris Bowler and Daniele Iudicone equal coordinating contribution.

### Key Points:

- Coherent assemblages of taxa covarying with iron at global level are identified in plankton communities
- Functional responses to iron availability involve both changes in copy numbers of iron-responsive genes and their transcriptional regulation
- Plankton responses to local variations in iron concentrations recapitulate global patterns

### Supporting Information:

- Supporting Information S1
- Figure S1
- Figure S2
- Figure S3
- Figure S4
- Figure S5
- Figure S6
- Figure S7
- Table S1
- Table S2
- Table S3

### Correspondence to:

M. R. d'Alcalá, P. Wincker, C. Bowler, and D. Iudicone,  
pwincker@genoscope.cns.fr;  
cbowler@biologie.ens.fr;  
iudicone@sbn.it;  
maurizio@sbn.it

### Citation:

Caputi, L., Carradec, Q., Eveillard, D., Kirilovsky, A., Pelletier, E., Pierella, Karlusich, J. J., et al. (2019). Community-level responses to iron availability in open ocean plankton ecosystems. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 33, 391–419. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB006022>

Received 4 JUL 2018

Accepted 17 DEC 2018

Accepted article online 11 JAN 2019

Published online 20 MAR 2019

## Community-Level Responses to Iron Availability in Open Ocean Plankton Ecosystems

Luigi Caputi<sup>1</sup> , Quentin Carradec<sup>2,3,4,5</sup> , Damien Eveillard<sup>5,6</sup> , Amos Kirilovsky<sup>7,8</sup> , Eric Pelletier<sup>2,3,4,5</sup> , Juan J. Pierella Karlusich<sup>5,7</sup> , Fabio Rocha Jimenez Vieira<sup>5,7</sup> , Emilie Villar<sup>7,9</sup> , Samuel Chaffron<sup>5,6</sup> , Shruti Malviya<sup>7,10</sup> , Eleonora Scalco<sup>1</sup>, Silvia G. Acinas<sup>11</sup>, Adriana Alberti<sup>2,5</sup>, Jean-Marc Aury<sup>2</sup> , Anne-Sophie Benoiston<sup>7,12</sup>, Alexis Bertrand<sup>2</sup>, Tristan Biard<sup>9</sup> , Lucie Bittner<sup>7,9,12</sup> , Martine Boccara<sup>7</sup>, Jennifer R. Brum<sup>13,14</sup>, Christophe Brunet<sup>1</sup>, Greta Busseni<sup>1</sup>, Anna Carratalà<sup>15</sup>, Hervé Claustre<sup>16</sup> , Luis Pedro Coelho<sup>17</sup> , Sébastien Colin<sup>5,7,9</sup>, Salvatore D'Aniello<sup>1</sup> , Corinne Da Silva<sup>3,5</sup> , Marianna Del Core<sup>18</sup> , Hugo Doré<sup>9</sup>, Stéphane Gasparini<sup>16</sup>, Florian Kokoszka<sup>1,7,19</sup>, Jean-Louis Jamet<sup>20</sup>, Christophe Lejeune<sup>1,21</sup> , Cyrille Lepoivre<sup>22</sup>, Magali Lescot<sup>5,23</sup>, Gipsi Lima-Mendez<sup>24,25</sup>, Fabien Lombard<sup>5,16</sup>, Julius Lukeš<sup>26,27</sup> , Nicolas Maillet<sup>1,28</sup> , Mohammed-Amin Madoui<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Elodie Martinez<sup>29</sup> , Maria Grazia Mazzocchi<sup>1</sup>, Mario B. Néou<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Javier Paz-Yepes<sup>7</sup>, Julie Poulain<sup>2,5</sup> , Simon Ramondenc<sup>16</sup>, Jean-Baptiste Romagnan<sup>30</sup>, Simon Roux<sup>14</sup>, Daniela Salvaggio Manta<sup>18</sup>, Remo Sanges<sup>1</sup>, Sabrina Speich<sup>5,19</sup> , Mario Sprovieri<sup>18</sup>, Shinichi Sunagawa<sup>17,31</sup> , Vincent Taillandier<sup>16</sup> , Atsuko Tanaka<sup>7</sup>, Leila Tirichine<sup>7,32</sup>, Camille Trottier<sup>6</sup> , Julia Uitz<sup>16</sup>, Alaguraj Veluchamy<sup>7,33</sup>, Jana Veselá<sup>26</sup>, Flora Vincent<sup>7</sup>, Sheree Yau<sup>34</sup> , Stefanie Kandels-Lewis<sup>17,35</sup>, Sarah Searson<sup>16</sup> , Céline Dimier<sup>7,9</sup>, Marc Picheral<sup>5,16</sup>, Tara Oceans Coordinators, Peer Bork<sup>17,35,36,37</sup>, Emmanuel Boss<sup>38</sup> , Colomban de Vargas<sup>5,9,39</sup>, Michael J. Follows<sup>40</sup>, Nigel Grimsley<sup>5,34</sup>, Lionel Guidi<sup>5,16,41</sup> , Pascal Hingamp<sup>5,23</sup>, Eric Karsenti<sup>5,7,35</sup>, Paolo Sordino<sup>1</sup>, Lars Stemann<sup>5,16</sup>, Matthew B. Sullivan<sup>14</sup>, Alessandro Tagliabue<sup>42</sup> , Adriana Zingone<sup>1</sup> , Laurence Garczarek<sup>9</sup>, Fabrizio d'Ortenzio<sup>16</sup>, Pierre Testor<sup>43</sup> , Fabrice Not<sup>9</sup> , Maurizio Ribera d'Alcalá<sup>1</sup> , Patrick Wincker<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, Chris Bowler<sup>5,7</sup> , Daniele Iudicone<sup>1</sup> 

**Tara Oceans Coordinators:** Silvia G. Acinas<sup>11</sup>, Peer Bork<sup>17,35,36,37</sup>, Emmanuel Boss<sup>38</sup>, Chris Bowler<sup>5,7</sup>, Colomban de Vargas<sup>5,9,39</sup>, Michael J. Follows<sup>40</sup>, Gabriel Gorsky<sup>16</sup>, Nigel Grimsley<sup>5,34</sup>, Pascal Hingamp<sup>5,23</sup>, Daniele Iudicone<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Jaillon<sup>2,3</sup>, Stefanie Kandels-Lewis<sup>17,35</sup>, Lee Karp-Boss<sup>38</sup>, Eric Karsenti<sup>5,7,35</sup>, Uros Krzic<sup>44</sup>, Fabrice Not<sup>9</sup>, Hiroyuki Ogata<sup>45</sup>, Stéphane Pesant<sup>46,47</sup>, Jeroen Raes<sup>24</sup>, Emmanuel G. Reynaud<sup>48</sup>, Christian Sardet<sup>16</sup>, Mike Sieracki<sup>49,50</sup>, Sabrina Speich<sup>5,19</sup>, Lars Stemann<sup>5,16</sup>, Matthew B. Sullivan<sup>14</sup>, Shinichi Sunagawa<sup>17,31</sup>, Didier Velayoudon<sup>51</sup>, Jean Weissenbach<sup>2,3,4</sup>, and Patrick Wincker<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Naples, Italy, <sup>2</sup>CEA - Institut François Jacob, Genoscope, Evry, France, <sup>3</sup>CNRS UMR, Evry, France, <sup>4</sup>Université d'Evry Val d'Essonne, Université Paris-Saclay, Evry, France, <sup>5</sup>Research Federation for the Study of Global Ocean Systems Ecology and Evolution, FR2022/GOSEE, Paris, France, <sup>6</sup>Laboratoire des Sciences du Numérique de Nantes (LS2N) – CNRS, Université de Nantes, École Centrale de Nantes, IMT Atlantique, Nantes, France, <sup>7</sup>Institut de biologie de l'Ecole normale supérieure (IBENS), Ecole normale supérieure, CNRS, INSERM, PSL Université Paris, Paris, France, <sup>8</sup>INSERM, UMRs1138, Laboratory of Integrative Cancer Immunology, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, Paris, France, <sup>9</sup>CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7144, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Place Georges Teissier, Roscoff, France, <sup>10</sup>Simons Centre for the Study of Living Machines, National Centre for Biological Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bangalore, India, <sup>11</sup>Department of Marine Biology and Oceanography, Institute of Marine Sciences (ICM)-CSIC, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>12</sup>Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Sorbonne Université, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, EPHE, Université des Antilles, Paris, France, <sup>13</sup>Department of Oceanography and Coastal Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA, <sup>14</sup>Department of Microbiology and Civil, Environmental, and Geodetic Engineering, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA, <sup>15</sup>Laboratory of Environmental Chemistry, School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering (ENAC), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland, <sup>16</sup>Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 7093, Institut de la Mer de Villefranche sur mer, Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, Villefranche-sur-Mer, France, <sup>17</sup>Structural and Computational Biology Unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>18</sup>Institute for Anthropogenic impacts and Sustainability in the Marine Environment (IAS-CNR), Capo Granitola, Torretta Granitola, Italy, <sup>19</sup>LMD Laboratoire de météorologiedynamique. Ecole normale supérieure de Paris, PSL ResearchUniversity, Paris, France, <sup>20</sup>CNRS/INSU, IRD, MIO UM 110 Mediterranean Institut of Oceanography,

Université de Toulon, Aix Marseille Universités, Université de Toulon, Aix Marseille Universités, La Garde, France, <sup>21</sup>Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie Marine et Continentale (IMBE), UMR 7263 CNRS, IRD, Aix Marseille Université, Avignon Université, Station Marine d'Endoume, Marseille, France, <sup>22</sup>Information Génomique et Structurale, UMR7256, CNRS, Aix-Marseille Université, Institut de Microbiologie de la Méditerranée (FR3479), Parc Scientifique de Luminy, Marseille, France, <sup>23</sup>CNRS, IRD, MIO, Aix Marseille Univ, Université de Toulon, Marseille, France, <sup>24</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Institute, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, <sup>25</sup>VIB, Center for the Biology of Disease, Leuven, Belgium, <sup>26</sup>Biology Centre CAS, Institute of Parasitology, České Budějovice, Czech Republic, <sup>27</sup>Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, České Budejovice, Czech Republic, <sup>28</sup>USR 3756 IP CNRS, Institut Pasteur - Bioinformatics and Biostatistics Hub - C3BI, Paris, France, <sup>29</sup>University of Brest, Ifremer, CNRS, IRD, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale (LOPS), IUEM, Brest, France, <sup>30</sup>IFREMER, Physiology and Biotechnology of Algae Laboratory, rue de l'Ile d'Yeu, Nantes, France, <sup>31</sup>Institute of Microbiology, Department of Biology, Institute of Microbiology and Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Zurich, Switzerland, <sup>32</sup>Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université de Nantes, CNRS UMR6286, UFIP, Nantes, France, <sup>33</sup>Biological and Environmental Sciences and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia, <sup>34</sup>CNRS, Biologie Intégrative des Organismes Marins (BIOM, UMR 7232), Observatoire Océanologique, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Banyuls sur Mer, France, <sup>35</sup>Directors' Research European Molecular Biology Laboratory Meyerhofstr. 1, Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>36</sup>Max Delbrück Centre for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany, <sup>37</sup>Department of Bioinformatics, Biocenter, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany, <sup>38</sup>School of Marine Sciences, University of Maine, Orono, ME, USA, <sup>39</sup>Sorbonne University, CNRS, Station Biologique de Roscoff, UMR7144, ECOMAP, Roscoff, France, <sup>40</sup>Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, <sup>41</sup>Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, USA, <sup>42</sup>Department of Earth Ocean and Ecological Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK, <sup>43</sup>Laboratoire LOCEAN, Sorbonne Universités (UPMC, Univ Paris 06)-CNRS-IRD-MNHN, Paris, France, <sup>44</sup>Cell Biology and Biophysics, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>45</sup>Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto, Japan, <sup>46</sup>MARUM, Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany, <sup>47</sup>PANGAEA, Data Publisher for Earth and Environmental Science, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany, <sup>48</sup>Earth Institute, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland, <sup>49</sup>National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA, USA, <sup>50</sup>Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences East Boothbay, Boothbay, ME, USA, <sup>51</sup>DVIP Consulting, Sèvres, France

**Abstract** Predicting responses of plankton to variations in essential nutrients is hampered by limited in situ measurements, a poor understanding of community composition, and the lack of reference gene catalogs for key taxa. Iron is a key driver of plankton dynamics and, therefore, of global biogeochemical cycles and climate. To assess the impact of iron availability on plankton communities, we explored the comprehensive bio-oceanographic and bio-omics data sets from *Tara* Oceans in the context of the iron products from two state-of-the-art global scale biogeochemical models. We obtained novel information about adaptation and acclimation toward iron in a range of phytoplankton, including picocyanobacteria and diatoms, and identified whole subcommunities covarying with iron. Many of the observed global patterns were recapitulated in the Marquesas archipelago, where frequent plankton blooms are believed to be caused by natural iron fertilization, although they are not captured in large-scale biogeochemical models. This work provides a proof of concept that integrative analyses, spanning from genes to ecosystems and viruses to zooplankton, can disentangle the complexity of plankton communities and can lead to more accurate formulations of resource bioavailability in biogeochemical models, thus improving our understanding of plankton resilience in a changing environment.

**Plain Language Summary** Marine phytoplankton require iron for their growth and proliferation. According to John Martin's iron hypothesis, fertilizing the ocean with iron could dramatically increase photosynthetic activity, thus representing a biological means to counteract global warming. However, while there is a constantly growing knowledge of how iron is distributed in the ocean and about its role in cellular processes in marine photosynthetic groups such as diatoms and cyanobacteria, less is known about how iron availability shapes plankton communities and how they respond to it. In the present work, we exploited recently published *Tara* Oceans data sets to address these questions. We first defined specific subcommunities of co-occurring organisms that co-vary with iron availability in the oceans. We then identified specific patterns of adaptation and acclimation to iron in different groups of phytoplankton. Finally, we validated our global results at local scale, specifically in the Marquesas archipelago, where recurrent phytoplankton blooms are believed to be a result of iron fertilization. By

integrating global data with a localized response, we provide a framework for understanding the resilience of plankton ecosystems in a changing environment.

## 1. Introduction

Marine plankton play critical roles in pelagic oceanic ecosystems. Their photosynthetic component (phytoplankton, consisting of eukaryotic phytoplankton and cyanobacteria) accounts for approximately half of Earth's net primary production, fueling marine food webs, and sequestration of organic carbon to the ocean interior. Phytoplankton stocks depend on the availability of primary resources such as nutrients that are characteristically limiting in the oligotrophic ocean. For example, high-nutrient low-chlorophyll (HNLC) regions are often lacking the key micronutrient iron and increased bioavailability of iron will typically trigger a phytoplankton bloom (Boyd et al., 2007). Notwithstanding, the community response and its impact on food web structure and biogeochemical cycles are seldom predictable. The composition of blooms when limiting nutrients are supplied as sudden pulses with respect to the pre-existing community has been only poorly explored and is even more elusive when comparing to situations when nutrients are in quasi-steady state. Characterizing these responses is crucial to anticipate future changes in the ocean yet is challenged by community complexity and processes that span from genes to ecosystems. Dissecting these processes would also enhance the robustness of existing biogeochemical models and improve their predictive power (Stec et al., 2017).

In this report we explore the responsiveness of plankton communities to iron and assess the representation of iron bioavailability in biogeochemical models. Using global comprehensive metagenomics and metatranscriptomics data from *Tara* Oceans (Alberti et al., 2017; Bork et al., 2015; Carradec et al., 2018; Guidi et al., 2016), we examine abundance and expression profiles of iron-responsive genes in diatoms and other phytoplankton, together with clade composition in picocyanobacteria and the occurrence of iron-binding sites in bacteriophage structural proteins. These profiles are compared in the global ocean with the iron products from two state-of-the-art biogeochemical models. We further identify coherent subcommunities of taxa covarying with iron in the open ocean that we denote iron-associated assemblages (IAAs). Overall, our findings are congruent with the outputs from the models and reveal a range of adaptive and acclimatory strategies to cope with iron availability within plankton communities. As a further proof of concept, we track community composition and gene expression changes within localized blooms downstream of the Marquesas archipelago in the equatorial Pacific Ocean, where previous observations have suggested them to be triggered by iron (Martinez & Maamaatuaiahutapu, 2004), even though the biogeochemical models currently lack the resolution to detect the phenomenon. Our results indicate that iron does indeed drive the increased productivity in this area, suggesting that a pulse of the resource can elicit a response mimicking global steady state patterns.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Iron Concentration Estimates

Due to the sparse availability of direct observations of iron in the surface ocean, iron concentrations were derived from two independent global ocean simulations. The first is the ECCO2-DARWIN ocean model configured with 18-km horizontal resolution and a biogeochemical simulation that resolves the cycles of nitrogen, phosphorus, iron, and silicon (Menemenlis et al., 2008). The simulation resolves 78 virtual phytoplankton phenotypes. The biogeochemical parameterizations, including iron, are detailed in Follows et al. (2007). In brief, iron is consumed by primary producers and exported from the surface in dissolved and particulate organic form. Remineralization fuels a pool of total dissolved iron, which is partitioned between free iron and complexed iron, with a fixed concentration and conditional stability of organic ligand. Scavenging is assumed to affect only free iron, but all dissolved forms are bioavailable. Atmospheric deposition of iron was imposed using monthly fluxes from the model of Mahowald et al. (2005).

PISCES (Aumont et al., 2015) is a more complex global ocean biogeochemical model than ECCO2-DARWIN, representing two phytoplankton groups, two zooplankton grazers, two particulate size classes, dissolved inorganic carbon, dissolved organic carbon, oxygen, and alkalinity, as well as nitrate, phosphate, silicic acid, ammonium, and iron as limiting nutrients. In brief, PISCES accounts for iron inputs from



dust, sediments, rivers, sea ice, and continental margins, and flexible Michaelis-Menten-based phytoplankton uptake kinetics result in dynamically varying iron stoichiometry and drives variable recycling by zooplankton and bacterial activity. Iron loss accounts for scavenging onto sinking particles as a function of a prognostic iron ligand model, dissolved iron levels, and the concentration of particles. Iron loss from colloidal coagulation is also included and accounts for both turbulent and Brownian interactions of colloids. The PISCES iron cycle we use is denoted as “PISCES2” (Tagliabue et al., 2016) performed at the upper end of a recent intercomparison of 13 global ocean models that included iron.

## 2.2. In Situ Data

To generate a limited data set of observed dissolved iron concentrations for this analysis, we used a dissolved iron database updated from Tagliabue et al. (2012). For this we searched for the nearest available observation at the same depth as the *Tara* Oceans sampling and collected data that were within a horizontal radius of 2° from the sampling coordinates.

## 2.3. Marquesas Archipelago Sampling

Four stations within the Marquesas archipelago were sampled during the *Tara* Oceans expedition in August 2011 (Bork et al., 2015) using protocols described in Pesant et al. (2015): They were denoted TARA\_122, TARA\_123, TARA\_124, and TARA\_125. The sample details and physicochemical parameters recorded during the cruise are available at PANGAEA (<http://www.pangaea.de>), and nucleotide data are accessible at the ENA archive (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/>) (see further details below).

The study was initiated by releasing a glider that characterized the water column until the end of the experiment. First, the mapping of the water column structure via real-time analysis of glider data was conducted. After this initial step, the continuous inspection of near real-time satellite color chlorophyll images and altimetric data revealed a highly turbulent environment, with a mixed layer up to 100-m deep and strong lateral shearing, especially downstream of the islands, which generated an area of recirculation in the wake of the main island (Nuku Hiva). A series of four sampling stations was then planned and executed by performing the full set of measurements and sampling using the *Tara* Oceans holistic protocol (Pesant et al., 2015). Station TARA\_122 sampled the HNLC prebloom waters upstream of the islands and thus served as a reference station for the others. This station was located 27-km upstream of the island of Nuku Hiva.

## 2.4. Oceanographic Observations

The Biogeochemical Argo float deployed in the framework of the Marquesas study (WMO 6900985) was a PROVIO-1 free-drifter profiler (Xing et al., 2012). It was based on the “PROVOR-CTS3” model, equipped with a standard CTD sensor (to retrieve temperature and salinity parameters) together with bio-optical sensors for the estimation of chlorophyll-*a* concentrations, colored dissolved organic matter, and backscatter at 700 nm. It was also equipped with a radiometric sensor to estimate spectral downward irradiance at three wavelengths (412, 490, and 555 nm) and with a beam transmissometer. The data processing is discussed in Xing et al. (2012). The profiling float was programmed to adopt a modified standard Argo strategy (Freeland & Cummins, 2005). After deployment, it navigated at 700-m depth, to a daily maximum of 1,000 m, and then surfaced a first time, generally early in the morning. It then submerged again to a depth up to 400 m, to again reach the surface approximately at noon. A third profile to 400 m, followed by a subsequent resurfacing, was performed at the end of the day. During all the ascending phases, a complete profile of all the available parameters was collected. At surface, the obtained data were transmitted to land through a satellite connection and the profiler descended again to 1,000 m to start another cycle. The Biogeochemical Argo was deployed on-site at Station TARA\_123 on 2 August 2011. It performed 55 profiles in the Marquesas region, before moving westward in early October (then outside the study area), and then southward. It definitively ceased to function in December 2012, approximately 400 km south of the Marquesas islands and after collecting more than 150 profiles.

An autonomous glider was also deployed in the study area. A complete description of glider technology and functioning is available in Testor et al. (2010). This glider was able to reach 1,000-m depths. It was equipped with temperature and salinity sensors, an optode for oxygen concentration measurements, two Wetlab eco-pucks with two fluorometers for chlorophyll and colored dissolved organic matter concentrations, and three backscatterometers to estimate backscatter coefficients at three wavelengths (532, 700, and 880 nm). The glider was deployed on 16 July 2011 (approximately 1 month before *TARA* arrived in the Marquesas

archipelago), close to the position of Station TARA\_122. It was recovered on 5 August 2011 by TARA because a malfunction in the tail rudder had been detected. It performed approximately 250 profiles, with 35 dives at 1,000-m depths and 90 dives at 500-m depths.

Analysis of trace metals was performed exclusively at the Marquesas Islands sampling stations (Stations TARA\_122-TARA\_125) following the methods reported in Scelfo (1997). Dissolved iron was not measured due to lack of technical resources.

### 2.5. Network Analysis and Correlations With Iron

A co-occurrence network analysis similar to that reported in Guidi et al. (2016) was performed to delineate feature subnetworks of prokaryotic and eukaryotic lineages, as well as viral populations, based on their relative abundance. All procedures were applied on 103 sampling sites (Guidi et al., 2016) after excluding outliers (Stations TARA\_82, TARA\_84 and TARA\_85) on Hellinger-transformed log-scaled abundances. Computations were carried out using the R package WGCNA (Langfelder & Horvath, 2007). After building a co-occurrence weighted graph, a hierarchical clustering was performed. This resulted in the definition of several subnetworks or modules, each represented by its first principal component, called *module eigen value*. Associations between the calculated subnetworks and a given trait were measured by the pairwise Pearson correlation coefficients, as well as with corresponding *p* values corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) procedure, between the considered environmental trait and their respective principal components. The results are reported in the first 10 columns of the heatmap in Figure S1a in the supporting information. The subnetworks that showed the highest correlation scores are of interest to emphasize a putative community associated with a given environmental trait. In addition to the multiple environmental parameters previously reported (Guidi et al., 2016), we simulated iron bioavailability in Tara Oceans stations based on the two different models of iron concentration in the global oceans: the ECCO2-DARWIN model (Menemenlis et al., 2008) and the PISCES2 model (Aumont et al., 2015). Both models performed well in the recent global iron model intercomparison project (Tagliabue et al., 2016), and so we conducted an assessment of model outputs at Tara Oceans sampling locations using compilations of iron observations (Tagliabue et al., 2016) augmented by those from the GEOTRACES program (Mawji et al., 2014). ECCO2-DARWIN-derived estimates (57 stations at surface) and PISCES2 model (83 stations at surface, 44 of which also at maximum chlorophyll depth) can be found in Table S1a. For further details on the models and for a comparison of the two, see the supporting information. We then identified eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral subnetworks that correlated most strongly with iron bioavailability, denoted IAAs. Four IAAs consisting of eukaryotic metabarcodes (de Vargas et al., 2015) were significantly associated with iron. Similarly, four viral IAAs could be identified by analysis of viral communities. Based on taxonomy, no prokaryotic IAAs with significance could be identified; however, when considering prokaryotic genes (as described in Guidi et al., 2016), five subnetworks of prokaryotic genes could be identified.

In addition to the network analyses, we examined whether the identified subnetworks can be used as predictors of iron bioavailability. Following the protocol described in Guidi et al. (2016), we used *partial least square regression*, which is a dimensionality-reduction method that aims to determine predictor combinations with maximum covariance with the *response variable*. The predictors were ranked according to their *value importance in projection* (VIP) using the R package *pls* (Mevik & Wehrens, 2007). For each eukaryotic IAA, their relative contribution to each sample was estimated by computing the first eigen value.

### 2.6. Taxonomy Determinations

Taxonomic studies were performed using various methods (photosynthetic pigments, flow cytometry, and optical microscopy for phytoplankton and zooplankton as detailed in Villar et al. (2015); phytoplankton counts using unfiltered bottles or nets as described in Malviya et al. (2016) and Villar et al. (2015); and meso-zooplankton samples collected by vertical tows with a WP2 net (200- $\mu$ m mesh aperture) from 100-m depth to the surface during the day, followed by fixation in buffered formaldehyde (2–4% final concentration), and later analyzed in the laboratory). Data from an Underwater Vision Profiler (UVP) were used to determine particle concentrations and size distributions  $>100 \mu\text{m}$ , (Campbell et al., 1994). To have an estimate of biomass variations in the different compartments at the Marquesas Islands, we applied empirical formulas to transform Chlorophyll-*a* (phytoplankton) or body measurements (zooplankton) to biomass. To this

purpose, we used the ratio of phytoplankton biomass to Chlorophyll-*a* (Phyto C: Chl *a*) in the euphotic zone as previously estimated in an area with similar biogeochemical features (Campbell et al., 1994—See Table S1b). Of note, our aim was not to determine absolute biomass but to estimate variations in biomass between the Marquesas Islands stations. To estimate the total phytoplankton biomass, Chl *a* concentration from HPLC data was thus used. The relative contribution of microplankton, nanoplankton, and picoplankton to the  $[Chl a]_{tot}$  was estimated according to Uitz et al. (2006). The biomass of large zooplankton was estimated using previously published conversion factors from body length to carbon content (C:L) in selected zooplankton lineages. Individual body measures were estimated from literature considering similar community composition, with the exception of the Copepoda prosome length, which was herein measured. Zooscan (Bongo net, >300  $\mu m$ ) derived abundance data ( $ind \times m^{-3}$ ) were used to evaluate the total biomass along the water column.

## 2.7. Genomic Analyses

Eukaryotes larger than 5  $\mu m$  were collected directly from the ocean using nets with different mesh sizes while smaller organisms and viruses were sampled by peristaltic pump followed by on-deck filtration. Several filtration steps were performed using membranes with different pore sizes to obtain size-fractionated samples corresponding to viruses (<0.1 and 0.1–0.2  $\mu m$ ), prokaryotes (0.2–3  $\mu m$ ), and eukaryotes (0.8–5, 5–20, 20–180, and 180–2,000  $\mu m$ ). In this study, we only used samples collected from the surface water layer. Details about genomics methods are available in Carradec et al. (2018) and in the following publications: virus metagenomes (Roux et al., 2016); prokaryote metagenomes (Sunagawa et al., 2015); eukaryote metabarcoding (de Vargas et al., 2015); and eukaryote metagenomes and metatranscriptomes (Alberti et al., 2017; Carradec et al., 2018). The abundance of individual genes was assessed by normalization to the total number of sequences within the same organismal group (Carradec et al., 2018). Cyanobacterial clade absolute cell abundance was assessed using the *petB* marker gene, as described in Farrant et al. (2016), in combination with flow cytometry counts using the method published by Vandeputte et al. (2017).

Metatranscriptomic and metagenomic unigenes were functionally annotated using PFAM (Finn et al., 2016) as the reference database and search tool (Katoh & Standley, 2013). To detect the presence of genes encoding silicon transporters, ferritin, proteorhodopsin, FBAI, and FBAIL among the unigene collection, the profile hidden Markov models of the PFAMs PF03842, PF0210, PF01036, PF00274, and PF01116, respectively, were used, with HMMer v3.2.1 with gathering threshold option (<http://hmmerr.org/>). It is important to note that flavodoxin (PF00253, PF12641, and PF12724), ferredoxin (PF00111), and cytochrome  $c_6$  (PF13442) PFAM families do not discriminate those sequences involved in photosynthetic metabolism from other homologous sequences. The photosynthetic isoforms for flavodoxin, ferredoxin, and cytochrome  $c_6$  were therefore determined by phylogeny, as described below.

To discriminate the photosynthetic isoforms from other homologous sequences, we started with the results from HMMer and then built libraries composed of well-known reference sequences (manually and experimentally curated) from both photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic pathways. To enrich our libraries, we used the reference sequences to find similar sequences by using BLAST search tool (“tBLASTn” program with an  $e-5e$  value threshold) against phyloDB reference database (Dupont et al., 2015). Next, we used MAFFT version 7 using the G-INS-I strategy (Katoh & Standley, 2013). The corresponding phylogenetic reference trees were generated with PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010) using the LG substitution model with four categories of rate variation. The starting tree was a BIONJ tree, and the type of tree improvement was subtree pruning and regrafting. Branch support was calculated using the approximate likelihood ratio test with a Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like procedure. We then manually identified the branches containing the photosynthetic versions and those with nonphotosynthetic proteins. We ensured that the approximate likelihood ratio test values of the photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic branches were higher than 0.7 by retaining only the most conserved matches in our trees. Finally, we realigned and labeled the unigenes against the reference trees depending on the placement of each translated unigene on them.

While HMMer has the highest sensitivity among the classical domain detection approaches, not all the references collected by PFAM are sufficiently rich with HMMer to maintain the same detection (Bernardes et al.,

2016). To deal with the poor representation of *ISIP* genes in the PFAM database and to improve their detection, we adopted a simplified version of the approach presented in Bernardes et al. (2015) to build our own pHMM to detect the different conserved regions represented by ISIP1, ISIP2a, and ISIP3 amino acid sequences. For this, we collected all the sequences in the reference literature (Allen et al., 2008; Chappell et al., 2015; Lommer et al., 2010; Morrissey et al., 2015), all 35 sequences belonging to PFAM PF07692 and the 56 most conserved sequences from PF03713 (all the seeds).

## 2.8. Data and Code Availability

Sequencing data are archived at ENA under the accession number PRJEB4352 for the metagenomics data and PRJEB6609 for the metatranscriptomics data (Carradec et al., 2018). Environmental data are available at PANGAEA. The gene catalog, unigene functional and taxonomic annotations, and unigene abundances and expression levels are accessible at <http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/tara/>. Computer codes are available upon request to the corresponding authors.

### 2.8.1. Accession Numbers of Metagenomics and Metatranscriptomics Data

#### 2.8.1.1. Sample


ERS492651, ERS492651, ERS492650, ERS492669, ERS492669, ERS492662, ERS492662, ERS492658, ERS492658, ERS492650, ERS492740, ERS492742, ERS492742, ERS492751, ERS492751, ERS492763, ERS492763, ERS492757, ERS492740, ERS492757, ERS492825, ERS492825, ERS492824, ERS492824, ERS492829, ERS492852, ERS492852, ERS492846, ERS492846, ERS492829, ERS492897, ERS492897, ERS492895, ERS492895, ERS492912, ERS492912, ERS492909, ERS492909, ERS492904, ERS492904.

#### 2.8.1.2. Experiment

ERX948080, ERX948010, ERX1782415, ERX1782384, ERX1782327, ERX1796912, ERX1796638, ERX1796690, ERX1796805, ERX1782126, ERX1782109, ERX1782245, ERX1796854, ERX1796544, ERX1782292, ERX1782172, ERX1782221, ERX1796700, ERX1796855, ERX1782301, ERX1782464, ERX1782128, ERX1789668, ERX1789366, ERX948029, ERX948074, ERX1796627, ERX1796773, ERX1789369, ERX1789449, ERX1796931, ERX1796605, ERX1789426, ERX1789575, ERX1789524, ERX1796866, ERX1796524, ERX1789649, ERX1789612, ERX1789647, ERX1796596, ERX1796836, ERX1789655, ERX1789574, ERX1789407, ERX1782118, ERX1782283, ERX947973, ERX948088, ERX1789391, ERX1789539, ERX1789587, ERX1796687, ERX1796586, ERX1796703, ERX1789662, ERX1789616, ERX1789589, ERX1796662, ERX1796518, ERX1796678, ERX1796698, ERX1782217, ERX1782352, ERX1796645, ERX1796858, ERX1796924, ERX1789675, ERX1789597, ERX1789700, ERX1789362, ERX1782350, ERX1782418, ERX947994, ERX948064, ERX1789361, ERX1789368, ERX1789532, ERX1796658, ERX1796818, ERX1796632, ERX1789638, ERX1789548, ERX1789579, ERX1796921, ERX1796732, ERX1796741, ERX1789714, ERX1789489, ERX1789628, ERX1796689, ERX1796850, ERX1796523, ERX1782181, ERX1782370, ERX1796607, ERX1796738, ERX1796714, ERX1789437, ERX1789516, ERX1789417.

#### 2.8.1.3. Run

ERR868475, ERR868513, ERR1712182, ERR1712118, ERR1711869, ERR1726556, ERR1726667, ERR1726938, ERR1726688, ERR1712207, ERR1711933, ERR1711897, ERR1726927, ERR1726932, ERR1712069, ERR1712197, ERR1711986, ERR1726883, ERR1726891, ERR1712219, ERR1711929, ERR1711951, ERR1719463, ERR1719159, ERR868466, ERR868469, ERR1726762, ERR1726913, ERR1719393, ERR1719310, ERR1726961, ERR1726522, ERR1719437, ERR1719413, ERR1719343, ERR1726622, ERR1726721, ERR1719297, ERR1719410, ERR1719307, ERR1726770, ERR1726561, ERR1719256, ERR1719298, ERR1719217, ERR1711914, ERR1711917, ERR868363, ERR868489, ERR1719301, ERR1719160, ERR1719214, ERR1726564, ERR1726725, ERR1726569, ERR1719448, ERR1719389, ERR1719194, ERR1726571, ERR1726533, ERR1726892, ERR1726601, ERR1711949, ERR1712155, ERR1726608, ERR1726657, ERR1726763, ERR1719391, ERR1719175, ERR1719381, ERR1719365, ERR1711882, ERR1711999, ERR868382, ERR868352, ERR1719395, ERR1719316, ERR1719207, ERR1726643, ERR1726714, ERR1726846, ERR1719404, ERR1719213, ERR1719459, ERR1726822, ERR1726912, ERR1726691, ERR1719356, ERR1719145, ERR1719293, ERR1726695, ERR1726666, ERR1726903, ERR1712102, ERR1711923, ERR1726745, ERR1726946, ERR1726765, ERR1719295, ERR1719249, ERR1719385.



**Figure 1.** Comparison of ECCO2-DARWIN and PISCES2 iron estimates with observed data and expression of diatom *ISIP* genes at *Tara* Oceans stations. Maps of (a) annual average iron concentrations from the ECCO2-DARWIN model (57 stations at surface), (b) from the PISCES2 model (83 stations at surface, 44 of which also at deep chlorophyll maximum depth), and (c) from the observed data where it was available at less than 2° radius distance from locations of the *Tara* Oceans sampling sites (20 stations at surface, 16 of which also at deep chlorophyll maximum depth). Each circle corresponds to a sampling site, where the upper semicircle is filled according to the surface iron concentration while the lower semicircle is filled according to the deep chlorophyll maximum depth where available. Color scale indicates dissolved iron concentrations expressed in nM. (d) Biogeographical pattern of diatom *ISIP* gene expression. The circle colors represent iron concentration estimates at each *Tara* Oceans sampling site according to PISCES2 model (Table S1a). The abundance of *ISIP* transcripts was normalized by the total abundance of all diatom unigenes at each station, and the corresponding values are represented by the circle area. Boxes indicate the Marquesas Islands sampling area.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Modeled Iron Distributions Are Highly Correlated With the Expression of Marker Genes for Iron Limitation

Iron is a complex contamination-prone micronutrient whose bioavailability is difficult to assess in the ocean (Tagliabue et al., 2017). Rather than using single discrete measurements, we linked observed differences in plankton communities at sites sampled during the *Tara* Oceans expedition (Bork et al., 2015) with the range of iron conditions typical of each location. Specifically, we extracted annual mean iron concentrations and their variability from two state-of-the-art ocean models (ECCO2-DARWIN [Menemenlis et al., 2008] and PISCES2 [Aumont et al., 2015]) and analyzed their correspondence with the best available estimates based upon in situ data (a compilation of iron observations [Tagliabue et al., 2012] merged with GEOTRACES data [Mawji et al., 2014; Tagliabue et al., 2012] in a manner similar to previous studies [Toulza et al., 2012]; Figure 1).

To assess the reliability of the modeled iron distributions, we correlated the expression of diatom *ISIP* genes in metatranscriptomics data sets with the annual means of iron concentrations estimated by the DARWIN model, and with annual and monthly means by the PISCES2 model (Carradec et al., 2018; Table S1a and supporting information S1). These genes have been found in multiple previous studies to be inversely correlated with iron availability (Allen et al., 2008; Chappell et al., 2015; Graff van Creveld et al., 2016; Marchetti et al., 2017; Morrissey et al., 2015). Figure 1 presents a comparison between the estimates of dissolved iron concentrations derived from the annual mean iron field from PISCES2 and ECCO2-DARWIN (Table S1a), with the *Tara* Oceans stations superimposed and best available estimates based upon in situ




measurements (Figure 1). In spite of the evident scarcity of actual iron concentration data (which illustrates the need to use models for estimating iron in the current exercise; Figure 1), both models and *ISIP* mRNA levels describe very satisfactorily the global-scale gradients, with the highest concentrations of iron observed in the Mediterranean and Arabian Seas (both highly impacted by desert dust deposition) and the lowest in the tropical Pacific and Southern Oceans. This demonstrates that the geographical coverage of the *Tara* Oceans expedition is well suited to studies of the role of iron on euphotic planktonic ecosystems. The available data (Figure 1; Table S1a) further indicates that the gradients of iron appear to be better captured by PISCES2, a more complex and recent model (Aumont et al., 2015). This is for instance the case for the North Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea, where longitudinal gradients are stronger in PISCES2 and are consistent with *ISIP* gene levels, while ECCO2-DARWIN seems to overestimate iron in the Eastern Atlantic Ocean and underestimate it in the Mediterranean Sea. The opposite is true in the South Atlantic Ocean, where *ISIP* mRNA levels show a clear increase correlated with iron stress between South America and Africa (Figure 1). Overall, in the Atlantic ECCO2-DARWIN has higher concentrations, and thus, a clearer large-scale Atlantic-Pacific gradient is observed.

The Pacific and Southern Oceans (subpolar and polar stations TARA 81–85) are both characterized by low levels of iron, as mentioned above. Notably, PISCES2 has a rather flat distribution in the Pacific Ocean, with very low values, while the other model shows a relatively higher level of iron at the core of the subtropical gyres, that is, close to the Hawaii Islands (Stations TARA\_131 and TARA\_132) and offshore from South America (TARA\_98 and close-by stations) that seems to be in agreement with *ISIP* mRNA levels (at least for the Hawaiian sample—Figure 1). These are very oligotrophic oceanic regions, where nitrate is also a strongly limiting nutrient. Again, the *ISIP* expression pattern in Figure 1 is closer to the PISCES2 model, in that it shows a clear reduction of the stress resulting from iron deprivation within these gyres. Finally, while a significant increase in iron at the Equator may be expected as a consequence of the upwelling in this region, both the models and the *ISIP* levels (at Station TARA\_128) suggest that this area is rather characterized by low values of iron. Overall, our analysis indicates that both models correlated very well with *Tara* Oceans transcriptomic data, with no relevant differences among monthly and yearly values, but with annual means from the ECCO2-DARWIN estimates showing the best reliability (Table S1c). This analysis also indicates that metatranscriptomics is now mature enough to provide an independent, biologically based validation of ecosystem models.

### 3.2. Plankton Response to Iron Availability Is Coordinated at Subcommunity Level

The higher level organization of plankton communities, and its possible relationship with the roles of individual constituents, has been highlighted previously in an analysis of the potential links between community structure and carbon export using data from *Tara* Oceans (Guidi et al., 2016). We here used this approach to explore plankton ecosystem responses to iron bioavailability using an end-to-end approach from genes to communities and from viruses to metazoa to reveal community responses at global scale (see section 2). Known as weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA; see section 2 for further description; Guidi et al., 2016; Langfelder & Horvath, 2007), this approach deciphers subcommunities (modules) of organisms within a global co-occurrence network, and because of the high levels of covariation of individual taxa, it is possible to deduce putative ecological interactions. As proxies for organism abundance we used the relative abundances of eukaryotic lineages (defined as operational taxonomic units; OTUs) derived from 18S-V9 rDNA metabarcoding data (de Vargas et al., 2015). WGCNA generated a total of 31 modules. Each module groups a subset of eukaryotic taxa found in *Tara* Oceans samples whose pairwise relative abundance was highly correlated over all the sampling sites; that is, they have a high probability of co-occurrence and to change their abundance in a coordinated way. Because they react in phase, we can infer that within each subcommunity these organisms have a higher probability of interaction among themselves than with the organisms in other modules.

We found four eukaryotic subnetworks significantly associated with the ECCO2-DARWIN-derived and/or with the PISCES2-derived estimates of iron concentrations in the global ocean (Figures 2a, S1a, and S1b; Table S1d). The Black and Turquoise modules were associated with high significance to the iron concentrations generated by both models whereas the DarkRed and Yellow modules were better associated with ECCO2-DARWIN and PISCES2, respectively, Black (DARWIN:  $R = 0.37$ ,  $P = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ ; PISCES2:  $R = 0.38$ ,  $P = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ ), Turquoise (DARWIN:  $R = 0.46$ ,  $P = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ; PISCES2:  $R = 0.42$ ,  $P = 9 \times 10^{-5}$ ),



**Figure 2.** Planktonic iron-associated assemblages (IAAs) in the global ocean and in the Marquesas Islands stations. (a) Description of eukaryotic modules associated with iron. Relative abundances and co-occurrences of eukaryotic lineages were used to decipher modules. Four modules can predict iron with high accuracy: Black, DarkRed, Turquoise, and Yellow. For each IAA, lineages are associated to their score of centrality (x-axis), to their correlation with iron concentrations (y-axis), and their VIP score (circle area). Representative lineages within each module are emphasized by circles and named (C = Copepoda; B = Bacillariophyta; R = Rhizaria). (b) Upper panel: contribution of Tara Oceans stations to the global variance of IAAs of eukaryotic lineages. For each IAA, we represent the projection of stations on the first principal component (upper panel). Lower panel: projection of the relative contribution of the Tara Oceans stations to the global variance of iron-associated prokaryotic gene assemblages, as revealed by WGCNA. For each prokaryotic gene module associated with iron (from top to bottom: Grey60, Plum1, Red, SkyBlue, and SaddleBrown), we represent the projection of stations on the first principal component, proportional to triangle sizes for each module. The behavior of each IAA in the Marquesas archipelago stations is shown in the inset.

DarkRed (DARWIN:  $R = -0.43$ ,  $P = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ ; PISCES2:  $R = 0.19$ ,  $P = 0.08$ ), and Yellow (DARWIN:  $R = 0.19$ ,  $P = 0.09$ ; PISCES2:  $R = 0.56$ ,  $P = 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ), and contained between 31 and 591 different OTUs (Tables S1d and S1e). These subnetworks were denoted IAAs. For each IAA subnetwork, WGCNA computes a single representative as a combination of lineages. Such a score, denoted as “module eigengene” score (hereafter termed an eigenlineage score), represents the first eigenvector of the assemblage (Langfelder & Horvath, 2007). Projections of samples on such an eigenvector show the relative importance of samples to the global variance of each IAA. Together with their contribution, in terms of OTU abundance to the total eukaryotic abundance in each station (Table S1f), they provide clues to interpret the link between modules and iron availability. The mismatch in some regions between the two models (see above) is likely the reason why the significance of association of the Yellow module with ECCO2-DARWIN, whose variance and representativeness is particularly significant in the South Adriatic and is minimally present in the Peruvian upwelling area, is much less than that with PISCES2. By contrast, the DarkRed module, which appears to be the best indicator module for the Marquesas area (Figure 2b, upper panel) and is highly relevant in the Peruvian upwelling region, displays a much less significant association and an opposite variation with PISCES2 iron versus ECCO2-DARWIN iron. The IAAs show slightly different, often antagonistic, variance contributions at global scale (Figure 2b, upper panel), with each of them being particularly responsive, in terms of variance, in specific sites, for example, the Yellow module in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea.

We examined the lineage composition of each IAA and the relevance of each taxon within them by determining the relative abundance of each lineage with respect to iron concentration estimates and their centrality within the module (see section 2). The results are reported in Tables S1d and S1e. The IAAs displayed significant differences in terms of numbers of lineages and compositions, with the Turquoise module being the largest and dominated by consumers, predominantly metazoans, and the DarkRed module being the smallest. The Black module displayed the highest proportion of autotrophs, while the DarkRed IAA displayed the highest proportion of diatoms (Bacillariophyta; 57% of all autotrophic protists).

To reduce complexity further, we screened the networks in terms of the VIP score of each node (i.e., the OTUs displaying the highest statistical weight in differentiating sites because of iron availability; section 2; Table S1d; Figures 2a and S1c). Species with high VIP scores can be predicted to be particularly important in reflecting the adjustments of each module via their specific interactions with other members of their subcommunity. Although interpreting why high VIP taxa are related to iron bioavailability is often severely restricted by our knowledge of plankton functional ecology and interorganism interactions, in other cases the role of VIP taxa within the modules is clearer. As an example, identification of an IAA in which several diatoms have the highest VIPs (DarkRed module, eight subnetwork members,  $-0.337$  correlation with iron), commonly found in the most severely iron-limited regions of the world's ocean and often the most responsive groups in mesoscale iron fertilization experiments (Boyd et al., 2007; Marchetti et al., 2006), suggests a strong physiological plasticity of these groups (Greene et al., 1991; Lommer et al., 2012). The fact that *Pseudo-nitzschia* is among the highest scoring VIP genera in the DarkRed module further suggests that this genus tracks regions with low iron bioavailability, being able to profit from it when it becomes available. Other examples concern metazoans: copepods from the genus *Temora* (high subnetwork centrality and strong correlation with iron) are known to be iron-limited (Chen et al., 2011), and the two cnidarian lineages—the class *Hydrozoa* and the genus *Pelagia* (both of which display relatively strong subnetwork centrality and strong correlations with iron)—suggest strong predator-prey links.

Considering the ECCO2-DARWIN-derived VIP scores, lineages with the highest scores ( $>1$ ) could predict as much as 61.9%, 52.6%, 49.1%, and 38.1% (in the Turquoise, Black, DarkRed, and Yellow IAAs, respectively; leave-one-out cross-validated) of the variability of iron in the oligotrophic ocean. When the PISCES2-derived VIP scores are taken into account, the predictive potential of the IAAs is even higher: 73.2% (Turquoise), 61.9% (Yellow), 59.0% (Black), and 54.4% (DarkRed). More importantly, the VIP scores obtained with the two models for each OTU showed an extremely good covariance (Figure S1d). This confirms the biological coherence and stability of the modules and their components to iron availability despite the occasional mismatch in the predictions of the two models.

Of the photosynthetic groups, autotrophic dinoflagellate taxa were particularly relevant in the Turquoise and Black modules, diatoms were relevant in the DarkRed module, and haptophytes were significantly present in the Yellow module. Metazoans were particularly important in the Black and the Turquoise modules, and marine stramenopiles/marine alveolata groups of phagotrophic and parasitic heterotrophs were relevant in the Black (marine alveolata), Turquoise, and Yellow modules (marine stramenopiles; Figures 2a and S1c; Tables S1d and S1e). This hints at particularly intricate, and still elusive, interactions among organisms that ultimately lead to the observed collective responses.

To further interpret the patterns observed for the IAAs, we chose two additional modules, denoted DarkGrey and Red, because of the different correlations of diatoms within these modules to iron concentrations with respect to the DarkRed module (Figures S1a and S1c). By examining the abundance of the components of each module at different sampling sites (Table S1f), the results suggest that the Turquoise module groups lineages relevant in all of the main oceanic biogeographic regions with the exception of the Mediterranean basin, and with a prominent weight in the Southern Ocean. By contrast, the Black and Yellow modules are of particular importance in the Mediterranean Sea, while other IAAs have minor contributions. The DarkRed module is generally poorly represented; however, in the South Pacific and in particular around the Marquesas Islands, its relevance is high (Figure 2b, upper panel; Table S1f).

Based on all of the above information, we then sketched the ecological profiles of the seven modules, summarized below:

**Black IAA:** Ubiquitous, but with low abundance except in the Mediterranean basin, and composed principally of heterotrophic organisms (protists and metazoans; Tables S1e–S1f). Dinophytes are the autotrophic component of this module while diatoms are poorly represented. Around the Marquesas Islands, its weight is constantly low. Lineages are positively correlated or loosely anticorrelated with iron (Figures 2a and 2b; Table S1d). This module has an intermediate level of internal connectivity and suggests top heavy (pyramidal) trophic interactions. The assemblage resembles a typical pattern in a postbloom phase, with biomass accumulated in the metazoan compartment. No significant differences are seen when the ECCO2-DARWIN-derived and PISCES2-derived VIPs are compared since the module is not relevant in areas where the two models disagree. This pattern is consistent with the differences detected at molecular level.

**DarkRed IAA:** The module is not particularly significant at global scale in terms of abundance (Figure 2b, upper panel; Table S1e). It contains a small number of lineages with a high relative weight of diatoms and few metazoans but no copepods, with carbon recycling mostly in the protistan compartment. This module is particularly intriguing because, with very few exceptions, all the lineages including diatoms are negatively correlated with iron (Figure S1c). It is particularly responsive in the Marquesas area but is also present in offshore South American upwelling areas. The internal connectivity is of an intermediate level (Table S1d). These features hint at an assemblage in the subtropical ocean driven by the activity of diatoms thriving in regions of low iron availability (while exploiting a higher than average silicon availability), thus showing an inversion of the pattern compared to high iron regions (Figure 2b, upper panel). Significantly, its abundance drops at Station TARA\_123 in the Marquesas archipelago (see below).


**Turquoise IAA:** Ubiquitous, with a general high weight in terms of abundance, and very abundant in the Southern Ocean (in particular in stations TARA\_85–88; Table S1f). The module includes relatively few diatoms, but many dinoflagellates (both autotrophic and heterotrophic species; Tables S1d and S1e). Copepods are the most numerous components and show the highest VIP scores. Of note, this module includes the crustacean order *Euphausiacea* (krill), which specifically emerges as having high VIP scores only when the PISCES2-derived iron estimates are used. Both internal connectivity and number of lineages are high (Table S1d). The module as a whole responds in the Marquesas area, especially at TARA\_123 (Figure 2b, upper panel; Table S1f).

**Yellow IAA:** This module is particularly important in South Adriatic and Eastern Mediterranean, as well as in the tropical North Atlantic (Figure 2b, upper panel; Table S1f). It includes relatively few metazoans and diatoms but a notable abundance of haptophytes and heterotrophic protists (Tables S1d and S1e). It displays a weak response in the Marquesas area (TARA\_125; Figure 2b, upper panel) and seems to be less dependent on iron availability as compared to the other modules.

**DarkGrey:** Not an IAA and has a low weight in general, with a slight positive correlation to iron and only low internal connectivity. Diatoms in this module are very relevant (Tables S1d and S1e). It contains a high fraction of metazoans with fewer heterotrophic protists. This module displays a typical bottom heavy (pyramidal) structure with diatoms reacting positively to iron availability.

**Red module:** Not an IAA, but this module displays a similar response to iron than the DarkGrey module, with the main differences being that it contains few metazoans and the protist compartment is dominated by Dinophyceae. Diatoms are also dominant as autotrophic protists. It is the module that correlates the most with chlorophyll and primary productivity (Figure S1a) and seems to be associated with highly productive areas. It is thus not very relevant globally, with the exception of the South Atlantic Ocean, where it dominates the Benguela upwelling (Station TARA\_67), a very rich region that is not iron limited. It is apparently driven by bottom-up flexible responses to iron availability, most probably by macronutrient availability (Tables S1d and S1e). It displays variable correlations of its members to iron and has also a bottom heavy pyramidal trophic structure.

Overall, our analysis strongly suggests that different subassemblages of co-occurring lineages can be pinpointed within communities that respond differently to resource limitation, mostly without marked geographical preferences albeit with high plasticity to iron availability. Particularly remarkable is the contrasting role shown by diatoms, with different lineages covering the full range of correlations with iron (Figure S1c), possibly linked to their different strategies for responding to the lack of a crucial resource. In some cases their communities share a similar response while in others the structure of the assemblage is modified. The further observation that co-occurrence of IAAs can show biogeographical patterns (Figure 2b, upper panel) that are not clearly emphasized by analysis of single eukaryotic groups




**Figure 3.** Correlation analysis between absolute cell abundance of marine picocyanobacterial clades and iron concentration estimates from PISCES2 model in surface waters. Only statistically significant correlations are displayed ( $p$  value < 0.05). Spearman correlation coefficients and  $p$  values are indicated. The cell abundance for each cyanobacterial clade was assessed combining *petB* marker gene counts with flow cytometry determinations using the method published by Vandeputte et al. (2017).

(Figure S1c) is suggestive of a compartmentalization of communities in subcommunities or modules. Our analysis also infers that it is the module as a whole that responds to perturbation, reinforcing the need to dissect plankton responses to iron bioavailability at community scale, while investigating the physiological responses of key species.

In addition to eukaryotes, WGCNA analysis was also performed on prokaryotic communities, as well as on prokaryotic genes from the Ocean Microbial Reference Gene Catalog (Alberti et al., 2017; Sunagawa et al., 2015). Using relative abundances of prokaryotic 16S rDNA miTags, no subnetwork could be associated significantly with iron (maximum  $r = 0.19$ ,  $P < 10^{-2}$ ). However, following the same procedure but using the relative abundances of prokaryotic genes rather than taxa, five subnetworks were significantly associated with iron (ECCO2-DARWIN iron data; Figure 2b, lower panel; Table S1g;  $P < 10^{-5}$ ): Grey60 ( $r = 0.38$ ,  $P = 6.10^{-5}$ ), Plum1 ( $r = 0.54$ ,  $P = 3.10^{-9}$ ), Red ( $r = -0.42$ ,  $P = 10^{-5}$ ), SkyBlue ( $r = -0.44$ ,  $P = 2.10^{-6}$ ), and SaddleBrown ( $r = -0.47$ ,  $P = 6.10^{-7}$ ). VIPs obtained from each of the two models displayed high correlations (Grey60 = 0.99, Plum1 = 0.94, Red = 0.99, SkyBlue = 0.96, SaddleBrown = 0.98). The VIP genes of the SaddleBrown subnetwork represent 25% ( $N = 41$ ) of the total number of genes, and several genes that could be functionally identified encode proteins associated with iron transport, saccharopine dehydrogenase, aminopeptidase N, and ABC-type transporters (Table S1g). The Plum1 subnetwork is a small subnetwork of around 100 genes that is solely associated with iron concentration variability, and 30% of its VIP genes encode principally specialized functions defined as noncore functions in a previous study of the Tara Oceans Global Ocean Microbiome (Sunagawa et al., 2015; Table S1g). Not surprisingly, 75% of the genes within this subnetwork encode proteins with unknown functions, although some known functions are linked to iron, such as ferredoxin and regulation of citrate/malate metabolism. The contribution to the global variance by stations located within the Red Sea (Stations TARA\_31–34) is particularly high (Figure 2b, lower panel). The Red subnetwork is very large, composed of 3,059 genes. However, only 9%





**Figure 4.** Tara Oceans metagenome survey in surface waters for oceanic phages containing putative iron-containing structural proteins. (a) Representation of protein domain architecture of viral tail proteins with putative iron-binding HxH motifs, the HMM logos for the HxH motifs identified in the corresponding Tara Oceans viral unigenes, and the biogeographical distribution of the corresponding viral contigs. In the map, the circle colors represent iron concentration estimates at each sampling site according to PISCES2 biogeochemical model (Table S1a), and the circle areas represent the cumulated normalized coverage of the viral contigs of interest. (b) Equivalent analysis for viral spike proteins with putative iron-binding HxH motifs. (c) Equivalent analysis for viral tail tip proteins with CX<sub>8-13</sub>CX<sub>22-23</sub>CX<sub>6</sub>C motif involved in 4Fe-4S cluster binding.

represent high scoring VIPs, among which functions related to iron are evident (e.g., ABC-type Fe<sup>3+</sup> siderophore transport system, putative heme iron utilization protein, metalloendopeptidase—Table S1g). Finally, the SkyBlue subnetwork is a small subnetwork (172 genes) containing 33% of VIPs whose functions are generally unknown (Table S1g). The global variance of this gene subnetwork can be correlated principally with several oligotrophic regions of the Pacific Ocean (e.g., Stations TARA\_93, 100, 112, and 128).

In summary, association of prokaryotes with iron is detectable at the functional level (gene abundance) but not at the taxonomic level, which would suggest a low level of specialization, at least with the resolution allowed by the 16S marker. To further analyze this aspect, we focused on *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*, the two most abundant and widespread bacteriophytoplankton in the global ocean, and for which a higher-resolution genetic marker is available. Combining the information from the taxonomic marker *petB*, which encodes cytochrome *b<sub>6</sub>* (Farrant et al., 2016), with flow cytometry cell counts, we estimated the absolute cell abundance of the picocyanobacterial clades and found that many of them have a strong correlation with predicted iron levels from PISCES2 (Figure 3) and ECCO2-DARWIN models (not shown). *Prochlorococcus* HLIII and IV ecotypes showed the highest anticorrelation with iron, in agreement with previous descriptions that they are the dominant populations in HNLC areas (Rusch et al., 2010; West et al., 2011). *Prochlorococcus* LLI, a minor component in surface waters, also showed anticorrelation with iron. In the case of *Synechococcus*, the strongest positive correlation was found for clade III, whereas a weaker pattern is displayed by clade II. On the contrary, CRD1 showed the highest negative correlation with iron, consistent with it being reported as the major *Synechococcus* clade in HNLC regions (Farrant et al., 2016; Sohm et al., 2016). In addition, clade EnvB also displayed a negative correlation with estimated iron concentrations.

These results demonstrate that iron affects picocyanobacterial community composition and raise the question of whether the lack of correlation with taxonomic networks depends on a poor taxonomic resolution or to being more pronounced for autotrophs with respect to heterotrophs.

Finally, we used relative abundance of viral populations (Brum et al., 2015) to apply WGCNA and tentatively explore whether the viral module subnetworks display any kind of association to the same suite of environmental factors used above for prokaryotes and eukaryotes (data not shown). In spite of the fact that we found four viral IAAs significantly associated with iron using the ECCO2-DARWIN iron estimates (data not


shown), our current knowledge of marine viruses is not advanced enough to discuss our results in the view of the impact on viruses of global iron biogeochemistry. This lack of knowledge is aggravated by the fact that the vast majority of viruses in the IAAs have unknown host ranges.

Viruses are thought to impact oceanic iron during host lysis; however, there is a current discussion about their potential role in complexing iron (Bonnain et al., 2016). To explore this latter point, we surveyed the *Tara* Oceans metagenomes for genes encoding viral structural proteins with putative iron-binding sites. Specifically, we searched for paired histidine residues ( $H \times H$  motifs) in tail proteins (Bartual et al., 2010) and baseplate assembly proteins (Browning et al., 2012) because this motif has been experimentally implicated in the octahedral coordination of iron. We also analyzed the presence of four conserved cysteine residues involved in the coordination of a 4Fe-4S cluster in tail tip proteins (Tam et al., 2013). Remarkably, these potential iron-binding motifs are present in 87% unigenes encoding viral tail proteins, 47% of baseplate assembly proteins, and 12% in those coding for tip proteins (Figures 4a–4c). The corresponding viral contigs are distributed ubiquitously and with high abundance (Figures 4a–4c), suggesting that a significant fraction of colloidal iron may be associated with viruses in the ocean, a factor that is not currently considered in the modeling of ocean biogeochemistry. The question is then how substantial this contribution could be. Bonnain et al. (2016) made a broad estimation based on the number of iron ions experimentally determined in tails of nonmarine phages, and the amount of tailed viruses typically found in marine surface waters. They thereby suggested that between 6% and 70% of the colloidal iron fraction from surface waters could be bound to tail fibers of phages. In this context, the recent “Ferrojan Horse Hypothesis” posits that iron ions present in phage tails enable phages to exploit their bacterial host’s iron-uptake mechanism, where the apparent gift of iron leads to cell lysis (Bonnain et al., 2016). Although our analysis does not allow to confirm this hypothesis, it provides a useful context to explore it further.


### 3.3. Functional Responses Are Mediated Either by Changes in Gene Copy Number or by Expression Regulation

Given the clear patterns in the community responses to iron availability, we next wondered which molecular patterns were associated with them. We first examined the prevalence of the diatom *ISIP* genes in more detail using both metagenomics and metatranscriptomics data to detect changes in gene abundance and expression, respectively. We found that both the abundance and expression of this gene family displayed a strong negative correlation with iron (Figure 5a). Figure 5a shows a strong hyperbolic profile of *ISIP* gene abundance and mRNA levels with respect to iron concentrations (nonlinear regression fitness of 97.01 and 98.14, respectively; Table S1c). Furthermore, density clustering algorithms detected two types of responses—stations in which *ISIP* was only increased in metagenomics data (denoted group 0) and others in which both metagenomic and metatranscriptomic data showed increases in *ISIP* levels (denoted group 1; Figure 5a). The former likely correspond to locales where *ISIP* copy numbers vary in diatom genomes as a function of iron, implying that the diatoms at these stations display permanent genetic adaptations to the ambient iron concentrations, whereas the latter display transcriptional variation, indicative of more flexible short-term acclimatory rather than permanent adaptive evolutionary processes. Taxonomic analyses revealed that diatoms from the *Thalassiosira* genus were typical of group 0, whereas *Pseudo-nitzschia* was found largely in Group 1 (Figure 5b). Representatives from both these genera are well known to respond to fluctuations in iron (Cohen et al., 2017; see supporting information S1 - Claustre et al., 2008), so these different iron-response strategies may underlie why they are present in different IAAs; *Thalassiosira* is present in the Black and Turquoise IAAs whereas *Pseudo-nitzschia* is only present in the DarkRed module, where it is negatively correlated to iron (Figure 2a; Table S1d).

It is interesting to note that sampling sites can be grouped in a similar way according to either their picocyanobacterial community or diatom *ISIP* patterns in relation to iron levels (Figure 6). HLIV and HLIII codominate the *Prochlorococcus* community in group 1 stations, and these sites are also characterized by the presence of LLI, as well as the *Synechococcus* clades CRD1 and EnvB. Based on picocyanobacteria community composition, these stations tend to cluster together in a group of low-iron stations from Indian and Pacific Oceans (TARA\_52, 100, 102, 110, 111, 122, 124, 125, 128, and 137). On the contrary, group 0 *ISIP* stations were dominated by either *Prochlorococcus* HLI or HLII and by *Synechococcus* clades II or III. Among these stations, those from the high-iron Mediterranean Sea (TARA\_7, 9, 18, 23, 25, and 30) clustered together based on picocyanobacteria community composition (Figure 6).



**Figure 5.** Abundance and expression of diatom *ISIP* genes with respect to iron concentration estimates. (a) 2-D scatter plots correspond to the correlation between gene abundance and iron (left), gene expression and iron (middle), and abundance and expression of *ISIP* genes (right). Pearson correlation coefficients (pcc) and *p* values are indicated in blue. Iron concentrations were estimated using PISCES2 model (Table S1a). In all cases, the abundance and expression of *ISIP* genes were normalized by the total diatom unigenic abundance and expression, respectively, and were then scaled to the unit interval. The 3-D plot shown below is derived from the three 2-D scatter plots, with the color gradient representing the third dimension. The data were clustered using density clustering algorithms, resulting in a group of *Tara* Oceans sampling sites in which *ISIP* was only increased in metagenomics data (denoted Group 0 stations [40 stations; circles]) and others in which both metagenomic and metatranscriptomic data showed increases in *ISIP* levels (denoted group 1 stations; 21 stations; triangles). The values corresponding to *Tara* Oceans stations in the Marquesas archipelago are labeled (122–125). *Tara* Oceans sampling sites are colored according to the ocean region in the 3-D plot: NPO = North Pacific Ocean; SO = Southern Ocean; SPO = South Pacific Ocean; NAO = North Atlantic Ocean; SAO = South Atlantic Ocean; MS = Mediterranean Sea; IO = Indian Ocean. (b) Relative abundance (left) and expression (right) of *ISIP* genes assigned at different levels of resolution in a diatom phylogenetic tree. The color code corresponds to the two clusters of stations defined in panel a based on *ISIP* patterns (red for group 0 with variations only at metagenome levels; blue for group 1 with variations in both metagenome and metatranscriptome levels).



**Figure 6.** Comparison of iron-driven changes in diatom *ISIP* gene abundance and expression and in the picocyanobacterial community from surface waters. Histograms of cell abundance of *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* clades at each Tara Oceans station are displayed, with stations sorted by hierarchical clustering of a Bray-Curtis distance matrix. The left panels indicate iron concentration estimates from PISCES2 model, and metagenome and metatranscriptome levels of diatom *ISIP* genes, including the resulting cluster type (circles and triangles as described in Figure 5).

Besides diatoms, we carried out a detailed analysis of *ISIP* distributions among other phytoplankton taxa. We found that in chlorophytes Fea1-domain-encoding genes (related to *ISPI2a*; Marchetti et al., 2017) vary in copy number as a function of predicted iron levels and that *ISIP* expression also varies in haptophytes and pelagophytes (Figure S2). Dinoflagellates display the lowest correlations of *ISIP* gene abundance and expression with respect to iron. This may indicate that dinoflagellates respond differently to iron concentrations or with different genes.

A similar analysis was performed to examine the abundance and expression of type I (metal-free) and type II (containing iron or other divalent cations) FBAs (Allen et al., 2012). We found that the *FBAII* gene showed a clear up-regulation at high-iron stations in all groups, while diatoms showed a concomitant reduction in *FBAI* gene abundance and mRNA levels, pelagophytes and dinoflagellates displayed decreased gene abundance, and haptophytes displayed a response at the mRNA level (Figure S2). The chlorophytes displayed no consistent trends.

Ferritin is another important protein of iron metabolism that was relatively recently identified in diatoms (Marchetti et al., 2009) and in other phytoplankton functional groups (Botebol et al., 2015). Although it appears to be involved in long-term iron storage in *Pseudo-nitzschia* (Marchetti et al., 2009), other studies have suggested that its principal role could be in cellular iron buffering and temporal storage over shorter timescales such as during diurnal cycles (Botebol et al., 2015; Cohen et al., 2018; Pfaffen et al., 2015). Our analysis revealed no clear pattern in ferritin gene abundance or expression and estimated iron levels (Figure S2), suggesting that iron storage may not be the main function of ferritin in most eukaryotic marine phytoplankton. The exceptions are haptophytes, in which an iron-driven increase in copy number is observed (Figure S2), and the diatom genus *Pseudo-nitzschia*, in which the biogeographical patterns of ferritin gene abundance and expression suggest a positive correlation with iron (Figure S3).

We additionally examined the levels of genes encoding proteorhodopsin, a light-driven proton pump for the generation of ATP that has been proposed to supplement ATP generation from photosynthesis in iron-limiting conditions, when photosynthetic electron transport is suboptimal (Marchetti et al., 2015). According to our results, abundance of the gene is negatively correlated with iron in pelagophytes and dinoflagellates (as well as in diatoms, albeit without statistical support), and mRNA levels are negatively correlated with iron availability in pelagophytes and haptophytes (Figures S2).


We also examined the interaction between iron and other nutrients in diatoms. Particularly, we focused on silicate metabolism because iron bioavailability has been found to play a role in silicon utilization in these organisms (Durkin et al., 2012, 2016; Mock et al., 2008). The analysis of the different clades of Si transporter (SIT) multigene family support the strong interaction between iron and silicate in diatoms and suggest that the diversification of SITs has led to specialized adaptations to deal with it (see supporting information S1 and Table S1h).

Collectively, our results indicate that individual genes implicated in iron metabolism in specific organismal groups do not provide an unequivocal evaluation of iron availability in the environment and are thus of only limited use as sentinel genes of iron bioavailability. Instead, the integration of all these iron-driven patterns, spanning from genes to ecosystems, is a promising strategy for designing omics-enabled tools that can improve the representation of key nutrients in biogeochemical models. In this sense, the covariation of picocyanobacterial communities with the transcriptional regulation and altered copy numbers of diatom *ISIP* genes can potentially be exploited to predict actual iron bioavailability in the ecosystem (Figure 6). A recent report on the phytoplankton transcriptional response to upwelling (Lampe et al., 2018) highlighted that diatoms express genes involved in nitrogen assimilation, probably to overcome possible autotrophic competitors, thus suggesting that different transcriptional sets of genes may be expressed under different bloom-triggering conditions.

### 3.4. Plankton Respond to a Resource Burst in the Marquesas Archipelago by Reorganization of IAAs

The global analyses of IAAs and iron responsive genes in the context of the ranges of geographic iron availability provide a first-order approximation of plankton community structure organization and responses for large-scale, iron-linked biogeochemical regions. In other words, they possibly reflect the integrated, albeit diversified, response to average conditions and in a stationary or quasi-stationary phase. They further






**Figure 7.** The Marquesas study site, showing sampling sites, surface chlorophyll concentrations, and the local dynamics of the four eukaryotic IAAs. (a) Map of surface chlorophyll in the Marquesas area. Drifter and Provbio trajectories are indicated as well as the *Tara* Oceans sampling stations, with a zoom on stations TARA\_123 and TARA\_124. For further details see main text and supporting information S2. (b) Analysis of the dynamics of the four IAAs at the Marquesas archipelago stations in relation to their eigenlineage values (upper), richness (middle), and relative abundance (lower). All modules show negative eigenlineage values, with the exception of the DarkRed IAA. The DarkRed module positive eigenlineage scores significantly decrease within the bloom stations. The mean IAA relative abundance calculated over the global *Tara* Oceans data set was subtracted from IAA relative abundance calculated at the Marquesas Islands. The increase in DarkRed relative abundance in station TARA\_124 was due to a single Prasinophyceae OTU. The mean IAA richness calculated over the global *Tara* Oceans data set was subtracted from IAA richness calculated at the Marquesas Islands. Data indicates that the DarkRed IAA retains ~60% of its OTUs in low iron conditions, a percentage that decreases in the bloom stations. (c) Relative abundance changes at the Marquesas Islands stations for IAA photosynthetic lineages with high iron correlation. The graph shows the list of IAA autotroph lineages with the highest statistically significant correlations against PISCES2 iron estimates ( $p < 0.05$ ) at a global scale, with the corresponding Pearson correlation coefficient, and their relative abundance at the Marquesas Islands sampling sites.

provide support for the iron products of the two biogeochemical models. We reasoned that they might also be able to indicate increases in iron in regions where biogeochemical models do not have sufficient resolution and to highlight mechanisms in action when the resource is provided in bursts that drive the community out of a previous steady state, for example, leading to blooms. One such case is the Marquesas archipelago in the subtropical Pacific Ocean, where previous studies (Martinez & Maamaatuaiahutapu, 2004) have highlighted a dynamic natural perturbation resulting in perennial plankton blooms that are visible from space. Although iron concentrations have not been measured extensively in the region, these and similar blooms (Gong et al., 2016) are triggered by different processes due to the presence of the islands (vertical mixing, horizontal stirring, local precipitation, and runoff), which are typically coupled to iron injection (Martinez & Maamaatuaiahutapu, 2004), a phenomenon that has been termed Island Mass Effect (Gove et al., 2016). We therefore focused on this region to examine the relationship between the global patterns in plankton subcommunities and iron-responsive gene abundance/expression in a more localized dynamic setting (supporting information S2–S4).

Satellite chlorophyll estimates showed that in the days preceding the visit of the *Tara* Oceans expedition to the archipelago in August 2011, the area was characterized by intense variability. Our analyses also revealed a highly turbulent environment, with mixing up to 100-m depth and strong lateral shearing downstream of the islands, which generated an area of recirculation in the wake of the main island and the formation of small eddies where the blooms were occurring (Figures 7a and S4a). Station TARA\_122 sampled the HNLC prebloom waters upstream of the islands (Figure 7a). Waters of Station TARA\_122 were characterized by low chlorophyll concentrations in the water column ( $[\text{Chl-}a]_{\text{int}}$ : 16.6 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) but high concentrations of nutrients ( $\text{NO}_2^-$ : 0.12 mmol/m<sup>3</sup>,  $\text{PO}_4$ : 0.57 mmol/m<sup>3</sup>,  $\text{NO}_2\text{NO}_3$ : 5.5 mmol/m<sup>3</sup>, Si: 2.2 mmol/m<sup>3</sup>; Figure S4b and Table S2a), characteristic of an HNLC region (Quéguiner, 2013; Smetacek & Naqvi, 2008). Of note, the low concentration of silicates in this station may have acted as a limiting factor for the growth of diatoms. Station TARA\_123 is coastal, 8-km downstream of Nuku Hiva island and with a seabed depth of 1,903 m and higher chlorophyll levels ( $[\text{Chl-}a]_{\text{int}}$  33.6 mg/m<sup>2</sup>), indicative of a bloom. Nutrients were as elevated as in the prebloom HNLC area, and with a particular increase of  $\text{NO}_2^-$  around 150-m depth (1.47 mmol/m<sup>3</sup>). Station TARA\_124 is away from the coast, 43 km from Nuku Hiva, in even deeper water (2,414-m bottom depth), and in an eddy also characterized by high chlorophyll content with respect to Station TARA\_122 ( $[\text{Chl-}a]_{\text{int}}$  28.5 mg/m<sup>2</sup>). The chlorophyll patch was possibly seeded near the islands and transported by currents far from the coast but sustained by the eddy dynamics and its interaction with underlying water. Station TARA\_125 is located 300-km downstream of the islands. The chlorophyll patch was still clearly evident ( $[\text{Chl-}a]_{\text{int}}$  27.6 mg/m<sup>2</sup>). Of note, the large  $\text{NO}_2^-$  reservoir at the base of the mixed layer (120–180 m; Figure S4b) may indicate significant biological activity, although our data are not sufficient to discriminate, which is the relative contribution of phytoplankton, zooplankton, and bacterioplankton to establish the nitrite reservoirs.

The concentration of measured biologically relevant metals was generally reduced in Stations TARA\_123 to TARA\_125 with respect to HNLC station TARA\_122 (Table S2b). The reduction of dissolved ions was particularly significant in the case of cobalt, nickel, copper, and cadmium, which may be considered as a potential clue for an increased uptake of biologically available trace metals in the leeward stations, although other mechanisms cannot be ruled out. Since these metals were not limiting in the HNLC conditions, it is possible that the removal of iron limitation affected the biological pathways related to metal ion uptake in general. For more information on the oceanographic context of the Marquesas Island at the time of sampling, see supporting information S2 (Blain et al., 2008; Dolan et al., 2007; Gómez et al., 2007; Guidi et al., 2008; Legeckis et al., 2004; Masquelier & Vaultot, 2007; Ras et al., 2008; Signorini et al., 1999; Stemmann et al., 2008).


At the four Marquesas sampling sites the IAAs displayed dynamic patterns (Figures 7b and 7c; Table S1f; supporting information S3). The low-iron adapted DarkRed IAA showed a progressive decrease in its prominence leeward of the islands, consistent with its negative correlations to iron at global level, while the Turquoise IAA showed increases in abundance. The Turquoise IAA is the only module containing autotrophs both positively and negatively correlated with iron, and while the latter were prominent at Station TARA\_122 the former were prevalent at stations TARA\_123–125 (Figure 7c). The observed changes in IAA prevalence in the Marquesas stations therefore supports a role for iron in the modulation of plankton communities in the region. Prokaryote IAAs, although not taxonomy based, are dynamically responsive at



**Figure 8.** Variations in plankton community composition at Marquesas sampling sites. (a) Relative contribution of different autotrophic lineages to the total chlorophyll concentration in the euphotic zone (0–120 m), derived from photosynthetic pigment analysis and expressed as percent of the total measured chlorophyll. (b) Depth-integrated biomass (mg·C·m<sup>-2</sup>) of autotrophs and mesozooplankton (>300 μm) in the euphotic zone (0–120 m). (c) Relative abundance of *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* picocyanobacteria expressed as percent of the total *Prochlorococcus* plus *Synechococcus* abundance estimated from flow cytometry data. Genetic markers (*petB*) showed exactly the same trends (supporting information S3). (d) Relative abundance (%) of ribotypes (18S-V9 tags) assigned to autotrophic eukaryote lineages at the surface (5-m depth). Abundances were computed for the two size fractions containing the majority of autotrophic lineages, namely, 0.8- to 5-μm and 5- to 20-μm size fractions. (e) Relative abundance (%) of ribotypes (18S-V9 tags) assigned to metazoan lineages at the surface (5-m depth). Abundances were computed for the two size fractions containing the majority of metazoans, namely, the 20- to 180-μm and 180- to 2,000-μm size fractions. (f) Richness and diversity (exponential Shannon index) of eukaryotic autotrophs in two different size fractions estimated from the metabarcode data.

the Marquesas Islands (Figure 2b, lower panel). Two types of response can be detected: (a) The prokaryote IAAs Grey60 and Plum1 show a shift from negative to positive eigenlineage scores from TARA\_122 to TARA\_123, and (b) the SaddleBrown, Red, and SkyBlue IAAs show eigenvalue peaks in Station TARA\_123.

The dynamics of the DarkRed subnetwork at the Marquesas Islands may be used to examine our previous claim that it is the module as a whole that responds to perturbation. This is a low-iron-associated module, in which the autotrophic species are the most relevant and typically show negative correlations to iron at the global level, in contrast to most of the autotrophs in the other modules (Figure 7c). Indeed, only a few of the species associated to this subnetwork are present in high-iron conditions. In the context of the Marquesas Islands, most of the DarkRed-assigned OTUs were detected in the oligotrophic Station TARA\_122 but not at Station TARA\_123, where iron was not expected to be a limiting factor. Both richness and the relative abundance of the subnetwork decreased at Station TARA\_123. We thus observe that an iron-responsive subnetwork changes its richness and abundance in a manner consistent with iron availability, rearranging the connectivity between its nodes. Additional details about the dynamics of IAAs in the Marquesas archipelago can be found in supporting information S3.



**Figure 9.** Variations in gene abundance and expression in cyanobacteria and diatoms at Marquesas sampling sites. (a, b) Differential expression patterns of iron-related genes from cyanobacteria *Prochlorococcus* (a) and *Synechococcus* (b) at stations TARA\_122–125. Transcription values were normalized over genomic occurrence and are expressed relative to the levels observed at station TARA\_122 (index 100). The flavodoxin/ferredoxin ratio is also plotted (PetF/IsiB). (c) Relative abundances and mRNA levels of diatom genes potentially responsive to iron in metagenome and metatranscriptome data sets from stations TARA\_122 and 123. Values were normalized by total abundance or expression of all unigenes assigned to the corresponding taxonomic group (*Pseudo-nitzschia* and *Thalassiosira*). For clarity we focused only on changes in 5- to 20- $\mu$ m size fractions. Colors indicate the contribution of each station to the total levels. (d) Relative ratios between pairs of genes whose presence in the genome or transcriptional activity has been reported previously to be potentially responsive to iron bioavailability. For clarity, *ferritin* levels have been multiplied by a factor of 10 to be comparable with *ISIP* levels, and only 5- to 20- $\mu$ m size fractions from stations TARA\_122 and 123 are compared.

Further analysis of the plankton communities at the Marquesas stations showed that the biomass of primary producers was around 50% higher at the leeward stations (Stations TARA\_123, 124 and 125) than at HNLC Station TARA\_122, with increases in diatoms, haptophytes, pelagophytes, and *Synechococcus* (Figures 8a–8d; supporting information S3, Alexander et al., 2015). The higher productivity likely fueled increases in zooplankton standing stock at these three stations, in particular copepods, chaetognaths, and appendicularians (Figures 8b and 8e).

Eukaryotic phytoplankton diversity increased at TARA\_123 (Figure 8f; supporting information S3, Martin et al., 2013), likely favored by the intense physical dynamics (Barton et al., 2010; Biard et al., 2016). At these stations the increased number of diatoms was due principally to *Thalassiosira* and *Minutocellus* (supporting information S3). Increases in haptophyte and pelagophyte abundance were due to *Phaeocystis* and *Pelagomonas*, respectively. By contrast, the community at Station TARA\_122 was more characteristic of an extremely oligotrophic environment, with an abundance of Rhizaria (Biard et al., 2016), *Planktoniella* diatoms (Malviya et al., 2016), *Chrysochromulina* haptophytes (Stibor & Sommer, 2003), and *Pelagococcus* pelagophytes (Guillou et al., 1999), as well as *Prochlorococcus* (Rusch et al., 2010).

Analysis of picocyanobacteria also revealed alterations consistent with increased iron bioavailability in the wake of the islands with respect to TARA\_122 (Figure 6). For example, we observed an almost complete shift of *Synechococcus* community composition from clade CRD1 at TARA\_122 to clade II at TARA\_123 and TARA\_124, while absolute abundances of *Prochlorococcus* HLIII and IV, previously shown to dominate in iron-depleted waters (Rusch et al., 2010; West et al., 2011), were significantly reduced (Figure 6; supporting information S3 and S4, Grob et al., 2007).

Using transcriptomes from MMETSP together with metatranscriptomes from Tara Oceans (Alberti et al., 2017; Carradec et al., 2018; Louca et al., 2016; Sunagawa et al., 2015), we could further compare the

qualitative shifts in genotypes highlighted above with changes in transcriptional outputs in cyanobacteria (Figures 9a and 9b), eukaryotic phytoplankton (Figures 9c, 9d, and S5), metazoans (Figure S6 and supporting information S4), and more specifically in diatoms (Figures 9c, 9d, and S7). Importantly, *ISIP* levels were decreased in the leeward stations (Figures 5a, 9c, and 9d), and study of gene switches proposed to be responsive to ambient iron concentrations such as ferredoxin/ferredoxin, plastocyanin/cytochrome  $c_6$ , and FBAI/FBAII (Allen et al., 2012; Mackey et al., 2015; Marchetti et al., 2012; Peers & Price, 2006; Pierella Karlusich et al., 2015; Thompson et al., 2011) revealed patterns generally consistent with increased bioavailability at Stations TARA\_123–125 with respect to HNLC Station TARA\_122 both in *Synechococcus* (Figures 9a and 9b) and in the major groups of eukaryotic phytoplankton (Figures 9c, 9d, S5, and S7). The abundance of proteorhodopsin and ferritin genes and mRNA in diatoms were generally also consistent with this hypothesis, with decreases in proteorhodopsin transcripts and increases in ferritin in Station TARA\_123 with respect to Station TARA\_122 (Figures 9c, 9d, and S7d). These patterns of known iron-responsive genes provide strong support that iron bioavailability is an important driver of the phytoplankton blooms in the Marquesas Islands (supporting information S4, Groussman et al., 2015; Kazamia, et al., 2018; Lane & Morel, 2000; McQuaid et al., 2018; Whitney et al., 2011).

Furthermore, and consistently with the global analyses, *Thalassiosira* and *Pseudo-nitzschia* appear to employ different mechanisms to respond to iron in the Marquesas stations. Specifically, small ferritin-containing *Thalassiosira* cells expressing cytochrome  $c_6$  genes increase in abundance at Station TARA\_123, replacing larger *Thalassiosira* cells genetically adapted to low iron at Station TARA\_122 by their almost exclusive expression of plastocyanin with respect to cytochrome  $c_6$  (Figures 9c, 9d, and S7; supporting information S4). On the other hand, *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells with flavodoxin and plastocyanin genes are enriched in TARA\_122 in comparison with TARA\_123. For these two diatom genera, the investigation of the local response around the Marquesas Islands therefore corroborates their behavior within IAAs at the global level, and their compartmentalization into different groups based on *ISIP* gene abundance and expression (Figure 5) supports the hypothesis that they have evolved fundamentally different mechanisms to respond to iron resource availability.

The outcome of the taxon-specific responses summarized above and discussed more comprehensively in supporting information S4, (Arienzo et al., 2014; Berline et al., 2011; Gorsky et al., 1999; Probert et al., 2014; Yuasa et al., 2016) is shifts in abundance and occurrence of taxa within IAAs that change the overall structure of the food web. Our observations also reveal novel information about the genetic strategies and specialized mechanisms employed by each taxon to cope with iron availability (supporting information S4, Bundy & Kille, 2014; De Vos et al., 1992) and illustrate that these responses may ensure resilience of each IAA in a subset of conditions within a highly variable environment. Collectively, our results therefore demonstrate that the delineation of co-responsive subcommunities at global scale can provide a valuable framework for identifying key lineages whose adaptive capacities can be compared and contrasted in specific dynamic contexts. Finally, our in-depth analysis of community structure and gene expression around the Marquesas Islands illustrates how biological data can be used to inform biogeochemical models, because neither of the models used here was able to project increased iron availability in the wake of the islands. Furthermore, while the four Marquesas stations were used in the global analysis that defined the IAAs, they did not contribute to the correlation of IAAs because of the lack of resolution of the models in this area. The module responses in the Marquesas are therefore not biased but are remarkably indicative of a change in iron bioavailability in the lee of the islands.

#### 4. Discussion

In this study we have shown how the turnover of organisms coping with ocean variability involves a combination of ontogenetic responses driven essentially by modulation of gene expression patterns, that is, acclimation, together with phylogenetic responses driven by changes in plankton community structure as well as different genotypes adapted to local conditions by altered copy numbers of iron responsive genes. Different organismal groups appear to use different strategies, meaning that they will not all respond over the same evolutionary timescales. The island mass effect in the wake of the Marquesas Islands leads to the selection of preferred genotypes at the community level and triggers acclimatory responses to fine-tune metabolic functioning via transcriptional responses. These local observations of the most affected organisms are



consistent with IAAs identified in the global ocean, suggesting that large-scale equilibria are in fact dynamic and responsive to smaller scale perturbations.

Previous studies at global scale of the effects of iron on marine plankton were focused on a specific subset of bacterial genes involved in iron metabolism using metagenomics samples from North West Atlantic, Equatorial Pacific, and Indian Oceans (Toulza et al., 2012). Our current study extends this analysis because of its broader geographical coverage and the vastly expanded sequencing data set, which has permitted us to explore both community-level and gene-level responses throughout the entire plankton community, from viruses to zooplankton. Our work thus provides an extensive global scale analysis of the different levels at which plankton biodiversity may be impacted by iron availability, although it should not be assumed that all the responses we highlighted depend solely on iron because one single resource is very unlikely to drive the physiological and structural dynamics of a community. Nonetheless, our extensive statistical analyses suggest that the responses we define do certainly involve iron bioavailability and that the responses occur at molecular, physiological, and compositional levels. Of note is the evidence of modularity in the community structure with modules of co-occurring taxa being sensitive to the resource yet displaying often contrasting strategies. This extends the results obtained by Guidi et al. (2016) who focused on a specific process, indicating that modularity is a general feature of plankton communities, which might be related to their continuous turnover. To the extent allowed by available gene catalogs and taxonomic resolution, we were able to link the subcommunity responses to the molecular toolkits of the organisms, but in many cases we emphasize that the response is not unequivocal but rather maps to a suite of strategies that had already been recorded previously in localized or laboratory experiments.

The complexity of the plankton ecosystem that emerges from the analysis of each IAA and their VIPs, whose dynamics have a certain degree of freedom with respect to the response of the others, indicates that there is some flexibility between the composition of primary producers and their consumers, even though the former are the organisms most directly impacted by nutrient availability. In particular, heterotrophic grazers appear to be central for responses to such bottom-up processes as nutrient acquisition. We interpret the VIP values versus correlation to iron and community centrality as follows: that communities are assemblages of several organisms with multiple interactions among them that cannot be reduced to just a handful of opportunistic autotrophic species able to benefit from nutrient injection and that supply organic carbon to higher trophic levels. Rather, organisms respond to resource availability according to their functional traits but also modulate interactions within their communities, thus affecting their structure. These changes will nonetheless depend on the resident community, immigration from beyond, and changes in the ambient conditions. Some organisms may thrive in different contexts and therefore not be strongly dependent on iron, but rather be good exploiters of primary production stimulated by increased nutrient bioavailability; most of the VIPs are indeed consumers. Furthermore, the relatively low subnetwork centrality of these consumers may suggest that they co-occur with only a subcomponent of the other species. Finally, the nature of the modules composed of parasitic and mixotrophic organisms further suggests that recycling of matter, for example, through remineralization, parasitism, and pathogenesis, are additional strategies within plankton communities to overcome resource limitation. Such strategies would be expected to confer further flexibility and lead to an improved capacity to respond to sporadic bursts of favorable conditions.

Taxonomy-based network analysis for the prokaryotes did not reveal significant associations with iron bioavailability, whereas their gene subnetworks did. In accordance with a recent study based largely on *Tara* Oceans data (Louca et al., 2016), this result advocates for the use of prokaryotic functional signals rather than standard taxonomic criteria to study functional responses of prokaryotes in the global ocean, at least at the level of current taxonomic resolution. In fact, picocyanobacteria displayed a remarkable strain-dependent sensitivity to iron availability. The observations further indicate the need for a better assignation of functional taxonomy, and more studies to better characterize prokaryotic genes of relevance for interpreting the mechanistic changes in prokaryotes following perturbations in iron bioavailability. Furthermore, while standard steady state analyses of ocean systems do not consider biological responses to perturbation per se, our approach of identifying steady state global IAA subnetworks and then investigating their responses to local, short-term perturbation represents a promising new approach.

Comparison of the local response to an inferred iron injection in the Marquesas archipelago with the global patterns indicates that the community response to iron availability cannot be characterized by an even

increase in biomass among existing components but involves a change in their relative weights reflecting their different adaptive solutions and the concurrent reorganization of the subcommunities. In other words, our results infer that the rate of supply of a resource is a factor that modulates the response of organisms and their communities.

Our analysis is based on iron distribution derived from two advanced biogeochemical models rather than from discrete measurements. This is because we considered them to be more representative than the instantaneous in situ measurements whose coverage is also scarce and could not be improved by our expedition, since TARA was not equipped to accurately perform iron concentration assessments. While this may be viewed as a limitation of our work, we provide evidence from independent data of the reliability of these estimates, thus providing a valuable demonstration of the utility of omics data as a tool to validate (and consequently improve) current models of earth system dynamics. The good correspondence between the molecular response and the model simulations demonstrates that metatranscriptomics is now mature enough to provide an independent, biologically based validation of ecosystem models especially when the data are scarce or hard to obtain in a reliable way. The quality and number of iron measurements are continuously improving, but metatranscriptomics may anticipate and suggest the presence of biogeochemical constraints that are still undetectable with analytical methods. In addition, it could significantly integrate the formulation of processes in current ecological models because, on the long term, it can complement the missing information about organism interactions (see above) that cannot be derived from the availability of resources (e.g., Stec et al., 2017).

In conclusion, our study reinforces the results obtained in smaller-scale studies and significantly expands the suite of indicators that can be monitored to detect responses to changes in environmental conditions, from target genes to higher levels of biological organization. Our work paves the way to a suite of possible developments in experimental design and in model formulations that prompt for the improvement of statistical tools to better characterize responses at system level. Numerical simulations of ocean processes aimed at capturing the fluxes of key elements are currently based on just a handful of plankton functional types (Le Quere et al., 2005) or functional genes (Coles et al., 2017). Our results highlight the need to incorporate the response of entire plankton assemblages to more accurately determine responses at different levels, such as gene expression, gene copy numbers, or community composition. To determine the relevance of such processes, omics should become a routine component of ocean observation, and we further demonstrate here that it can contribute to assessing the validity of ecosystem models by complementing biogeochemical measurements in the field and adding critical information about the actual bioavailability of nutrients, which is currently difficult to measure. Finally, the IAAs and other modules described herein provide a framework that is independent of taxonomic or functional groupings to tackle the complexity of natural communities, thus assisting our capacity to predict the responses and resilience of planktonic ecosystems to natural and human-induced perturbations.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

## Author Contribution

D. I., F. N., F. d'O., and P. T. designed the study with input from *Tara* Oceans coordinators. D. I. directed the project. F. N. directed the field work in the Marquesas archipelago. C. B. wrote the paper with substantial input from M. R. d'A., D. I., L. C., and other first authors. F. d'O., P. T., F. N., E. M., D. I., H. C., L. G., S. S., and F. K. performed oceanographic analyses; A. T. and M. J. F. provided iron concentration data from biogeochemical models; F. R. J. V., G. B., and A. T. compared iron products from different biogeochemical models; E. S., A. Z., S. M., J. V., J. L., S. C., F. V., At. T., and C. B. performed analysis of eukaryotic phytoplankton; M. G. M., J.-L. J., J.-B. R., S. G., L. C., L. S., F. L., and T. B. performed analysis of metazoans and other zooplankton; J. J. P. K., S. C., H. D., and L. G. performed analysis of cyanobacteria; Q. C., E. P., F. R. J. V., J. J. P. K., E. V., S. G. A., A. A., S. Su., P. B., P. W., A. V., R. S., J. P., G. L.-M., and M. L. performed global omics analyses; F. R. J. V., J. J. P. K., A. K., J. P.-Y., and L. T. performed analysis of omics data from eukaryotic phytoplankton; A. K., E. P., L. C., P. S., and S. dA. performed analysis of omics data from

## Acknowledgments

The Tara Oceans consortium acknowledges the origin of samples from Stations TARA\_113-125 as French Polynesia and that they were collected under Convention number 3534 (Convention relatif à la campagne de prélèvements et de mesures de Tara Oceans en Polynésie Française) dated 16 June 2011. We thank the commitment of the following people and sponsors who made this singular expedition possible: CNRS (in particular Groupement de Recherche GDR3280, the Mission Pour l'Interdisciplinarité – Project MEGALODOM, and the Fédération de Recherche GO-SEE FR2022), European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL), Genoscope/CEA, the French Government “Investissements d'Avenir” programs Oceanomics (ANR-11-BTBR-0008), MEMO LIFE (ANR-10-LABX-54), PSL\* Research University (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02), and FRANCE GENOMIQUE (ANR-10-INBS-09), Fund for Scientific Research – Flanders, VIB, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, UNIMIB, ANR (projects “PHYTBAC/ANR-2010-1709-01,” POSEIDON/ANR-09-BLAN-0348, PROMETHEUS/ANR-09-PCS-GENM-217, TARA-GIRUS/ANR-09-PCS-GENM-218, SAMOSA/ANR-13-ADAP-0010, CINNAMON/ANR-17-CE02-0014-01), EU FP7 (MicroB3/No. 287589), ERC Advanced Grant Award (Diatomite: 294823), the LouisD foundation of the Institut de France, a Radcliffe Institute Fellowship from Harvard University to C. B., JSPS/MEXT KAKENHI (26430184, 16H06437, and 16KT0020), The Canon Foundation (203143100025), Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (award #3790) and the US National Science Foundation (awards OCE#1536989 and OCE#1829831) to MBS, agnès b., the Veolia Environment Foundation, Région Bretagne, World Courier, Illumina, Cap L'Orient, the EDF Foundation EDF Diversiterre, FRB, the Prince Albert II de Monaco Foundation, Etienne Bourgois, the Fonds Français pour l'Environnement Mondial, the TARA schooner and its captain and crew. Tara Oceans would not exist without continuous support from 23 institutes (<http://oceans.taraexpeditions.org>). This article is contribution number 85 of Tara Oceans. The authors have deposited the data in the following repositories: Sequencing data are archived at ENA (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/>) under the accession number PRJEB4352 for the metagenomics data and PRJEB6609 for the metatranscriptomics data (Carradec et al., 2018); environmental data are available at PANGAEA (<https://www.pangaea.de/>).

metazoans and other zooplankton; J. J. P. K., H. D., and L. G. performed gene expression analysis of cyanobacteria; J. J. P. K., J. R. B., S. R., M. B. S., and M. B. performed analysis of viruses; L. B., S. C. A.-S. B., and D. E. performed WGCNA analyses; S. R., F. N., C. D., M. P., S. K. L., S. Se., and S. P. collected and managed Tara Oceans samples; and L. C., J. J. P. K., M. R. d'A., and C. B. assembled the manuscript. Tara Oceans coordinators provided a creative environment and constructive criticism throughout the study. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

## References

- Alberti, A., Poulain, J., Engelen, S., Labadie, K., Romac, S., Ferrera, I., et al. (2017). Viral to metazoan marine plankton nucleotide sequences from the Tara Oceans expedition. *Scientific Data*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.93>
- Allen, A. E., Laroche, J., Maheswari, U., Lommer, M., Schauer, N., Lopez, P. J., et al. (2008). Whole-cell response of the pennate diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* to iron starvation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105(30), 10,438–10,443. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0711370105>
- Allen, A. E., Moustafa, A., Montsant, A., Eckert, A., Kroth, P. G., & Bowler, C. (2012). Evolution and functional diversification of fructose bisphosphate aldolase genes in photosynthetic marine diatoms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29(1), 367–379. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr223>
- Aumont, O., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., & Gehlen, M. (2015). PISCES-v2: An ocean biogeochemical model for carbon and. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 8(8), 2465–2513. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-2465-2015>
- Barton, A. D., Dutkiewicz, S., Flierl, G., Bragg, J., & Follows, M. J. (2010). Patterns of diversity in marine phytoplankton. *Science*, 327(5972), 1509–1511. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1184961>
- Bartual, S. G., Otero, J. M., Garcia-Doval, C., Llamas-Saiz, A. L., Kahn, R., Fox, G. C., & van Raaij, M. J. (2010). Structure of the bacteriophage T4 long tail fiber receptor-binding tip. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011218107>
- Bernardes, J., Zaverucha, G., Vaquero, C., & Carbone, A. (2016). Improvement in protein domain identification is reached by breaking consensus, with the agreement of many profiles and domain co-occurrence. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 12(7), e1005038–e1005039. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005038>
- Bernardes, J. S., Vieira, F. R. J., Zaverucha, G., & Carbone, A. (2015). A multi-objective optimization approach accurately resolves protein domain architectures. *Bioinformatics*, 32(3), 345–353. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv582>
- Biard, T., Stemmann, L., Picheral, M., Mayot, N., Vandromme, P., Hauss, H., et al. (2016). In situ imaging reveals the biomass of giant protists in the global ocean. *Nature*, 532(7600), 504–507. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17652>
- Bonnain, C., Breitbart, M., & Buck, K. N. (2016). The Ferrogan horse hypothesis: Iron-virus interactions in the ocean. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 3(June), 82. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00082>
- Bork, P., Bowler, C., de Vargas, C., Gorsky, G., Karsenti, E., & Wincker, P. (2015). Tara Oceans studies plankton at planetary scale. *Science*, 348(6237), 873. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac5605>
- Botebol, H., Lesuisse, E., Šuták, R., Six, C., Lozano, J.-C., Schatt, P., et al. (2015). Central role for ferritin in the day/night regulation of iron homeostasis in marine phytoplankton. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(47), 14,652–14,657. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506074112>
- Boyd, P. W., Jickells, T., Law, C. S., Blain, S., Boyle, E. A., Buesseler, K. O., et al. (2007). Mesoscale iron enrichment experiments 1993-2005: Synthesis and future directions. *Science*, 315(5812), 612–617. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1131669>
- Browning, C., Shneider, M. M., Bowman, V. D., Schwarzer, D., & Leiman, P. G. (2012). Phage pierces the host cell membrane with the iron-loaded spike. *Structure* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2011.12.009>, 20(2), 326–339.
- Brum, J. R., Ignacio-espinoza, J. C., Roux, S., Doulier, G., Acinas, S. G., Alberti, A., & Chaffron, S. (2015). Patterns and ecological drivers of ocean viral communities. *Science*, 348(6237). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261498>
- Campbell, L., Nolla, H. A., & Vault, D. (1994). The importance of *Prochlorococcus* to community structure in the central North Pacific Ocean. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 39(4), 954–961. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1994.39.4.0954>
- Carradec, Q., Pelletier, E., Da Silva, C., Alberti, A., Seeleuthner, Y., Blanc-Mathieu, R., et al. (2018). A global ocean atlas of eukaryotic genes. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 373. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02342-1>
- Chappell, P. D., Whitney, L. P., Wallace, J. R., Darer, A. I., Jean-Charles, S., & Jenkins, B. D. (2015). Genetic indicators of iron limitation in wild populations of *Thalassiosira oceanica* from the Northeast Pacific Ocean. *The ISME Journal*, 9(3), 592–602. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.171>
- Chen, X., Wakeham, S. G., & Fisher, N. S. (2011). Influence of iron on fatty acid and sterol composition of marine phytoplankton and copepod consumers. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 56(2), 716–724. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.2.0716>
- Cohen, N. R., Brzezinski, M. A., Twining, K. T. B. S., Ellis, K. A., Lampe, R. H., McNair, H., et al. (2017). Diatom transcriptional and physiological responses to changes in iron bioavailability across ocean provinces. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 4(November), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00360>
- Cohen, N. R., Mann, E., Stemple, B., Moreno, C. M., Rauschenberg, S., Jacquot, J. E., et al. (2018). Iron storage capacities and associated ferritin gene expression among marine diatoms. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 63(4), 1677–1691. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10800>
- Coles, V. J., Stukel, M. R., Brooks, M. T., Burd, A., Crump, B. C., Moran, M. A., et al. (2017). Ocean biogeochemistry modeled with emergent trait-based genomics. *Science*, 358(6367), 1149–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan5712>
- de Vargas, C., Audic, S., Henry, N., Decelle, J., & Mahé, F. (2015). Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean. *Science*, 348(MAY), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7>
- Dupont, C. L., Mccrow, J. P., Valas, R., Moustafa, A., Walworth, N., Goodenough, U., Roth, R., et al. (2015). Genomes and gene expression across light and productivity gradients in eastern subtropical Pacific microbial communities. *ISME Journal*, 9(5), 1076–1092. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.198>
- Durbin, R., Eddy, S., Krogh, A., & Mitchison, G. (1998). *Biological sequence analysis: Probabilistic models of proteins and amino acids* (Chap. 5). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Durkin, C. A., Marchetti, A., Bender, S. J., Truong, T., Morales, R., Mock, T., & Armbrust, V. E. (2012). Frustule-related gene transcription and the influence of diatom community composition on silica precipitation in an iron-limited environment. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 57(6), 1619–1633. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2012.57.6.1619>
- Farrant, G. K., Doré, H., Cornejo-Castillo, F. M., Partensky, F., Ratn, M., Ostrowski, M., et al. (2016). Delineating ecologically significant taxonomic units from global patterns of marine picocyanobacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(24), E3365–E3374. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1524865113>
- Finn, R. D., Coghill, P., Eberhardt, R. Y., Eddy, S. R., Mistry, J., Mitchell, A. L., et al. (2016). The Pfam protein families database: Towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(D1), D279–D285. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1344>
- Follows, M. J., Dutkiewicz, S., Grant, S., & Chisholm, S. W. (2007). Emergent biogeography of microbial. *Science*, (C), 1843–1847. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1138544>
- Freeland, H. J., & Cummins, P. F. (2005). Argo: A new tool for environmental monitoring and assessment of the world's oceans, an example from the N.E. Pacific. *Progress in Oceanography*, 64(1), 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2004.11.002>
- Gong, W., Browne, J., Hall, N., Schruth, D., Paerl, H., & Marchetti, A. (2016). Molecular insights into a dinoflagellate bloom. *The ISME Journal*, 11(2), 439–452. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.129>
- Gove, J. M., McManus, M. A., Neuheimer, A. B., Polovina, J. J., Drazen, J. C., Smith, C. R., et al. (2016). Near-island biological hotspots in barren ocean basins. *Nature Communications*, 7(1), 10,581. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10581>
- Graff van Creveld, S., Rosenwasser, S., Levin, Y., & Vardi, A. (2016). Chronic iron limitation confers transient resistance to oxidative stress in marine diatoms. *Plant Physiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00840>
- Greene, R. M., Geider, R. J., & Falkowski, P. G. (1991). Effect of iron limitation on photosynthesis in a marine diatom. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 36(8), 1772–1782. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1991.36.8.1772>
- Guidi, L., Chaffron, S., Bittner, L., Eveillard, D., Larhlami, A., Roux, S., et al. (2016). Plankton networks driving carbon export in the oligotrophic ocean. *Nature*, 532(7600), 465–470. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16942>
- Guillou, L., Moon-Van Der Staay, S. Y., Claustre, H., Partensky, F., & Vaulot, D. (1999). Diversity and abundance of Bolidophyceae (Heterokonta) in two oceanic regions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 65(10), 4528–4536.
- Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., & Gascuel, O. (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology*, 59(3), 307–2021. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010>
- Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30(4), 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>
- Lampe, R. H., Cohen, N. R., Ellis, K. A., Bruland, K. W., Maldonado, M. T., Peterson, T. D., et al. (2018). Divergent gene expression among phytoplankton taxa in response to upwelling. *Environmental Microbiology*, 20(8), 3069–3082. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14361>
- Langfelder, P., & Horvath, S. (2007). Eigengene networks for studying the relationships between co-expression modules. *BMC Systems Biology*, 1(1), 54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-1-54>
- Le Quere, C., Harrison, S. P., Colin Prentice, I., Buitenhuis, E. T., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., et al. (2005). Ecosystem dynamics based on plankton functional types for global ocean biogeochemistry models. *Global Change Biology*, 11(11), 2016–2040. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01004.x>
- Lommer, M., Roy, A.-S., Schilhabel, M., Schreiber, S., Rosenstiel, P., & LaRoche, J. (2010). Recent transfer of an iron-regulated gene from the plastid to the nuclear genome in an oceanic diatom adapted to chronic iron limitation. *BMC Genomics*, 11(1), 718. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-718>
- Lommer, M., Specht, M., Roy, A. S., Kraemer, L., Andreson, R., Gutowska, M. A., et al. (2012). Genome and low-iron response of an oceanic diatom adapted to chronic iron limitation. *Genome Biology*, 13(7), R66. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2012-13-7-r66>
- Louca, S., Parfrey, L. W., & Doebeli, M. (2016). Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. *Science*, 353(6305), 1272–1277. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf4507>
- Mackey, K. R. M., Post, A. F., McIlvin, M. R., Cutter, G. A., John, S. G., & Saito, M. A. (2015). Divergent responses of Atlantic coastal and oceanic *Synechococcus* to iron limitation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(32), 9944–9949. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509448112>
- Mahowald, N. M., Baker, A. R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Duce, R. A., Jickells, T. D., et al. (2005). Atmospheric global dust cycle and iron inputs to the ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19, GB4025. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002402>
- Malviya, S., Scalco, E., Audic, S., Vincent, F., Veluchamy, A., Poulain, J., et al. (2016). Insights into global diatom distribution and diversity in the world's ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(11), E1516–E1525. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509523113>
- Marchetti, A., Catlett, D., Hopkinson, B. M., Ellis, K., & Cassar, N. (2015). Marine diatom proteorhodopsins and their potential role in coping with low iron availability. *ISME Journal*, 9(12), 2745–2748. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.74>
- Marchetti, A., Juneau, P., Whitney, F. A., Wong, C. S., & Harrison, P. J. (2006). Phytoplankton processes during a mesoscale iron enrichment in the NE subarctic Pacific: Part II-nutrient utilization. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 53(20–22), 2114–2130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.05.031>
- Marchetti, A., Moreno, C. M., Cohen, N. R., Oleinikov, I., Twining, B. S., Armbrust, E. V., & Lampe, R. H. (2017). Development of a molecular-based index for assessing iron status in bloom-forming pennate diatoms. *Journal of Phycology*, 53(4), 820–832. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12539>
- Marchetti, A., Parker, M. S., Moccia, L. P., Lin, E. O., Arrieta, A. L., Ribalet, F., et al. (2009). Ferritin is used for iron storage in bloom-forming marine pennate diatoms. *Nature*, 457(7228), 467–470. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07539>
- Marchetti, A., Schruth, D. M., Durkin, C. A., Parker, M. S., Kodner, R. B., Berthiaume, C. T., et al. (2012). Comparative metatranscriptomics identifies molecular bases for the physiological responses of phytoplankton to varying iron availability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(6), E317–E325. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1118408109>
- Martinez, E., & Maamaatuaiahutapu, K. (2004). Island mass effect in the Marquesas Islands: Time variation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31, L18307. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020682>
- Mawji, E., Schlitzer, R., Dodas, E. M., Abadie, C., Abouchami, W., Anderson, R. F., et al. (2014). The GEOTRACES intermediate data product 2014. *Marine Chemistry*, 177, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2015.04.005>
- Menemenlis, B. D., Campin, J., Heimbach, P., Hill, C., & Lee, T. (2008). ECCO2: High resolution global ocean and sea ice data synthesis. *Mercator Ocean Quarterly Newsletter*, 31(October), 13–21.
- Mevik, B.-H., & Wehrens, R. (2007). The pls package: Principle component and partial least squares regression in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 1(1), 128–129. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.10>



- Mock, T., Samanta, M. P., Iverson, V., Berthiaume, C., Robison, M., Holtermann, K., Durkin, C., et al. (2008). Whole-genome expression profiling of the marine diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana* identifies genes involved in silicon bioprocesses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(5), 1579–1584. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707946105>
- Morrissey, J., Sutak, R., Paz-Yepes, J., Tanaka, A., Moustafa, A., Veluchamy, A., et al. (2015). A novel protein, ubiquitous in marine phytoplankton, concentrates iron at the cell surface and facilitates uptake. *Current Biology*, 25(3), 364–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.004>
- Peers, G., & Price, N. M. N. (2006). Copper-containing plastocyanin used for electron transport by an oceanic diatom. *Nature*, 441(7091), 341–344. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04630>
- Pesant, S., Not, F., Picheral, M., Kandels-Lewis, S., Le Bescot, N., Gorsky, G., et al., & Tara Oceans Consortium Coordinators (2015). Open science resources for the discovery and analysis of Tara Oceans data. *Scientific Data*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2015.23>
- Pfaffen, S., Bradley, J. M., Abdulqadir, R., Firme, M. R., Moore, G. R., Brun, N. E. L., & Murphy, M. E. P. (2015). A diatom ferritin optimized for iron oxidation but not iron storage. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 290(47), 28,416–28,427. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.669713>
- Pierella Karlusich, J. J., Ceccoli, R. D., Graña, M., Romero, H., & Carrillo, N. (2015). Environmental selection pressures related to iron utilization are involved in the loss of the flavodoxin gene from the plant genome. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 7(3), 750–767. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evv031>
- Quéguiner, B. (2013). Iron fertilization and the structure of planktonic communities in high nutrient regions of the Southern Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 90, 43–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.07.024>
- Roux, S., Brum, J. R., Dutilh, B. E., Sunagawa, S., Duhaime, M. B., Loy, A., et al. (2016). Ecogenomics and potential biogeochemical impacts of globally abundant ocean viruses. *Nature*, 537(7622), 689–693. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19366>
- Rusch, D. B., Martiny, A. C., Dupont, C. L., Halpern, A. L., & Venter, J. C. (2010). Characterization of *Prochlorococcus* clades from iron-depleted oceanic regions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107(37), 16,184–16,189. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1009513107>
- Scelfo, G. M. (1997). A practical handbook for trace metals and ancillary analyses. University of California Environmental Toxicology-Special Publication. *Physics Research Section B*, 189, 196–201.
- Smetacek, V., & Naqvi, S. W. A. (2008). The next generation of iron fertilization experiments in the Southern Ocean. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 366(1882), 3947–3967. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2008.0144>
- Sohm, J. A., Ahlgren, N. A., Thomson, Z. J., Williams, C., Moffett, J. W., Saito, M. A., et al. (2016). Co-occurring *Synechococcus* ecotypes occupy four major oceanic regimes defined by temperature, macronutrients and iron. *ISME Journal*, 10(2), 333–345. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.115>
- Stec, K. F., Caputi, L., Buttigieg, P. L., D'Alelio, D., Ibarbalz, F. M., Sullivan, M. B., et al. (2017). Modelling plankton ecosystems in the meta-omics era. Are we ready? *Marine Genomics*, 32, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margen.2017.02.006>
- Stibor, H., & Sommer, U. (2003). Mixotrophy of a photosynthetic flagellate viewed from an optimal foraging perspective. *Protist*, 154(1), 91–98. <https://doi.org/10.1078/143446103764928512>
- Sunagawa, S., Coelho, L. P., Chaffron, S., Kultima, J. R., Labadie, K., Salazar, G., et al. (2015). Structure and function of the global ocean microbiome. *Science*, 348(6237). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261359>
- Tagliabue, A., Aumont, O., DeAth, R., Dunne, J. P., Dutkiewicz, S., Galbraith, E., et al. (2016). How well do global ocean biogeochemistry models simulate dissolved iron distributions? *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 30, 149–174. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005289>
- Tagliabue, A., Bowie, A. R., Philip, W., Buck, K. N., Johnson, K. S., & Saito, M. A. (2017). The integral role of iron in ocean biogeochemistry. *Nature*, 543(7643), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21058>
- Tagliabue, A., Mtshali, T., Aumont, O., Bowie, A. R., Klunder, M. B., Roychoudhury, A. N., & Swart, S. (2012). A global compilation of dissolved iron measurements: Focus on distributions and processes in the Southern Ocean. *Biogeosciences*, 9(6), 2333–2349. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-2333-2012>
- Tam, W., Pell, L. G., Bona, D., Tsai, A., Dai, X. X., Edwards, A. M., et al. (2013). Tail tip proteins related to bacteriophage  $\lambda$  gpL coordinate an iron-sulfur cluster. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 425(14), 2450–2462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2013.03.032>
- Testor, P., Meyers, G., Pattiaratchi, C., Bachmayer, R., Hayes, D., Pouliquen, S., et al. (2010). Gliders as a component of future observing systems. In J. Hall, D. E. Harrison, & D. Stammer (Eds.), *Proceedings of OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society (Vol. 2)*, Venice, Italy, 21–25 September 2009. ESA Publication WPP-306. <https://doi.org/10.5270/OceanObs09.cwp.89>
- Thompson, A. W., Huang, K., Saito, M. A., & Chisholm, S. W. (2011). Transcriptome response of high- and low-light-adapted *Prochlorococcus* strains to changing iron availability. *The ISME Journal*, 5(10), 1580–1594. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.49>
- Toulza, E., Tagliabue, A., Blain, S., & Piganeau, G. (2012). Analysis of the global ocean sampling (GOS) project for trends in iron uptake by surface ocean microbes. *PLoS One*, 7(2), e30931. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030931>
- Uitz, J., Claustre, H., Morel, A., & Hooker, S. B. (2006). Vertical distribution of phytoplankton communities in open ocean: An assessment based on surface chlorophyll. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111, C08005. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JC003207>
- Vandeputte, D., Kathagen, G., D'Hoe, K., Vieira-Silva, S., Valles-Colomer, M., Sabino, J., et al. (2017). Quantitative microbiome profiling links gut community variation to microbial load. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24460>
- Villar, E., Farrant, G. K., Follows, M., Garczarek, L., Speich, S., Audic, S., et al. (2015). Environmental characteristics of Agulhas rings affect interocean plankton transport. *Science*, 348(6237). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261447>
- West, N. J., Lebaron, P., Strutton, P. G., & Suzuki, M. T. (2011). A novel clade of *Prochlorococcus* found in high nutrient low chlorophyll waters in the South and Equatorial Pacific Ocean. *The ISME Journal*, 5(6), 933–944. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.186>
- Xing, X., Morel, A., Claustre, H., D'Ortenzio, F., & Poteau, A. (2012). Combined processing and mutual interpretation of radiometry and fluorometry from autonomous profiling Bio-Argo floats: 2. Colored dissolved organic matter absorption retrieval. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117, C04022. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007632>

## Supporting Information References

- Alexander, H., Rouco, M., Haley, S., Wilson, S., Karl, D., & Dyhrman, S. (2015). Functional group-specific traits drive phytoplankton dynamics in the oligotrophic ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(44), E5,972–E5,979. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1518165112>
- Arienzo, M., Toscano, F., Di Fraia, M., Caputi, L., Sordino, P., Guida, M., et al. (2014). An assessment of contamination of the Fusaro Lagoon (Campania Province, southern Italy) by trace metals. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 186(9), 5731–5747. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-014-3816-4>



- Battaglia, M., Olvera-Carrillo, Y., Garcarrubio, A., Campos, F., & Covarrubias, A. A. (2008). The enigmatic LEA proteins and other hydrophilins. *Plant Physiology*, 148(1), 6–24. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.120725>
- Berline, L., Stemmann, L., Vichi, M., Lombard, F., & Gorsky, G. (2011). Impact of appendicularians on detritus and export fluxes: A model approach at DyFAMed site. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 33(6), 855–872. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbq163>
- Blain, S., Bonnet, S., & Guieu, C. (2008). Dissolved iron distribution in the tropical and sub tropical South Eastern Pacific. *Biogeosciences*, 4(4), 2845–2875. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-4-2845-2007>
- Bundy, J. G., & Kille, P. (2014). Metabolites and metals in metazoa—What role do phytochelatin play in animals? *Metallomics: Integrated Biometal Science*, 6(9), 1576–1582. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c4mt00078a>
- Claustre, H., Sciandra, A., & Vault, D. (2008). Introduction to the special section bio-optical and biogeochemical conditions in the South East Pacific in late 2004: The BIOSOPE program. *Biogeosciences*, 5(3), 679–691. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-679-2008>
- Crans, D. C., Smee, J. J., Gaidamauskas, E., & Yang, L. (2004). The chemistry and biochemistry of vanadium and the biological activities exerted by vanadium compounds. *Chemical Reviews*, 104(2), 849–902. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr020607t>
- Dandonneau, Y., & Charpy, L. (1985). An empirical approach to the island mass effect in the south tropical Pacific based on sea surface chlorophyll concentrations. *Deep Sea Research Part A, Oceanographic Research Papers*, 32(6), 707–721. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(85\)90074-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(85)90074-3)
- De Vos, C. H., Vonk, M. J., Vooijs, R., & Schat, H. (1992). Glutathione depletion due to copper-induced phytochelatin synthesis causes oxidative stress in *Silene cucubalus*. *Plant Physiology*, 98(3), 853–858. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.98.3.853>
- Dolan, J. R., Ritchie, M. E., & Ras, J. (2007). The “neutral” community structure of planktonic herbivores, tintinnid ciliates of the microzooplankton, across the SE Tropical Pacific Ocean. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 4(1), 561–593. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-4-561-2007>
- Durkin, C. A., Koester, J. A., Bender, S. J., Armbrust, E. V., & Kroth, P. (2016). The evolution of silicon transporters in diatoms. *Journal of Phycology*, 52(5), 716–731. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12441>
- Gómez, F., Claustre, H., Raimbault, P., & Souissi, S. (2007). Two high-nutrient low-chlorophyll phytoplankton assemblages: The tropical central Pacific and the offshore Perú-Chile Current. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 4(3), 1535–1554. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-4-1535-2007>
- Gorsky, G., Chrétiennot-Dinet, M. J., Blanchot, J., & Palazzoli, I. (1999). Picoplankton and nanoplankton aggregation by appendicularians: Fecal pellet contents of *Megalocercus huxleyi* in the equatorial Pacific. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104, 3381–3390. <https://doi.org/10.1029/98jc01850>
- Grob, C., Ulloa, O., Claustre, H., Huot, Y., Alarcón, G., & Marie, D. (2007). Contribution of picoplankton to the total particulate organic carbon concentration in the eastern South Pacific. *Biogeosciences*, 4(5), 837–852. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-4-837-2007>
- Groussman, R. D., Parker, M. S., & Armbrust, E. V. (2015). Diversity and evolutionary history of iron metabolism genes in diatoms. *PLoS One*, 10(6). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129081>
- Guidi, L., Gorsky, G., Claustre, H., Miquel, J. C., Picheral, M., & Stemmann, L. (2008). Distribution and fluxes of aggregates >100 µm in the upper kilometer of the South-Eastern Pacific. *Biogeosciences*, 5(5), 1361–1372. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-1361-2008>
- Kazamia, E., Sutak, R., Paz-Yepes, J., Dorrell, R. G., Vieira, F. R. J., Mach, J., et al. (2018). Endocytosis-mediated siderophore uptake as a strategy for Fe acquisition in diatoms. *Science Advances*, 4(5), eaar4536. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aar4536>
- Khoshnood, B., Dacklin, I., & Grabbe, C. (2016). Urm1: An essential regulator of JNK signaling and oxidative stress in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 73(9), 1939–1954. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-015-2121-x>
- Lane, T. W., & Morel, F. M. M. (2000). A biological function for cadmium in marine diatoms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(9), 4627–4631. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.090091397>
- Legeckis, R., Brown, C. W., Bonjean, F., & Johnson, E. S. (2004). The influence of tropical instability waves on phytoplankton blooms in the wake of the Marquesas Islands during 1998 and on the currents observed during the drift of the Kon-Tiki in 1947. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31, L23307. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021637>
- Martin, P., van der Loeff, M. R., Cassar, N., Vandromme, P., D’Ovidio, F., Stemmann, L., et al. (2013). Iron fertilization enhanced net community production but not downward particle flux during the Southern Ocean iron fertilization experiment LOHAFEX. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 27, 871–881. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gbc.20077>
- Masquelier, S., & Vault, D. (2007). Distribution of micro-organisms along a transect in the South-East Pacific Ocean (BIOSOPE cruise) from epifluorescence microscopy. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 4(4), 2667–2697. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-4-2667-2007>
- McQuaid, J. B., Kustka, A. B., Obornik, M., Horák, A., McCrow, J. P., Karas, B. J., et al. (2018). Carbonate-sensitive phytoferritin controls high-affinity iron uptake in diatoms. *Nature*, 555(7697), 534–537. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25982>
- Probert, I., Siano, R., Poirier, C., Decelle, J., Biard, T., Tuji, A., et al. (2014). Brandtodinium gen. nov. and B.nutricula comb. Nov. (Dinophyceae), a dinoflagellate commonly found in symbiosis with polycystine radiolarians. *Journal of Phycology*, 50(2), 388–399. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12174>
- Ras, J., Claustre, H., & Uitz, J. (2008). Spatial variability of phytoplankton pigment distributions in the Subtropical South Pacific Ocean: Comparison between in situ and predicted data. *Biogeosciences*, 4(5), 3409–3451. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-4-3409-2007>
- Signorini, S. R., McClain, C. R., & Dandonneau, Y. (1999). Mixing and phytoplankton bloom in the wake of the Marquesas Islands. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26, 3121–3124. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL010470>
- Stemmann, L., Eloire, D., Sciandra, A., Jackson, G., Guidi, L., Picheral, M., & Gorsky, G. (2008). Volume distribution for particles between 3.5 to 2000 µm in the upper 200 m region of the South Pacific Gyre. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 4(5), 3377–3407. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-4-3377-2007>
- Whitney, L. A. P., Lins, J. J., Hughes, M. P., Wells, M. L., Dreux Chappell, P., & Jenkins, B. D. (2011). Characterization of putative iron responsive genes as species-specific indicators of iron stress in thalassiosiroid diatoms. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 2(NOV), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00234>
- Yuasa, T., Horiguchi, T., Mayama, S., & Takahashi, O. (2016). *Gymnoxanthella radiolariae* gen. et sp. nov. (Dinophyceae), a dinoflagellate symbiont from solitary polycystine radiolarians. *Journal of Phycology*, 52(1), 89–104. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12371>