

Shell-crossing in a Λ CDM Universe

Cornelius Rampf, Oliver Hahn

▶ To cite this version:

Cornelius Rampf, Oliver Hahn. Shell-crossing in a Λ CDM Universe. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2021, 501 (1), pp.L71-L75. 10.1093/mnrasl/slaa198 . hal-02999589

HAL Id: hal-02999589 https://hal.science/hal-02999589

Submitted on 6 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Shell-crossing in a ACDM Universe

Cornelius Rampf^{®1}*[†] and Oliver Hahn^{®1,2,3}

¹Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, Université Côte d'Azur, Boulevard de l'Observatoire, CS 34229, F-06304 Nice, France ²Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna, Türkenschanzstraβe 17, A-1180 Vienna, Austria ³Department of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

Accepted 2020 December 8. Received 2020 December 7; in original form 2020 October 30

ABSTRACT

Perturbation theory is an indispensable tool for studying the cosmic large-scale structure, and establishing its limits is therefore of utmost importance. One crucial limitation of perturbation theory is shell-crossing, which is the instance when cold-dark-matter trajectories intersect for the first time. We investigate Lagrangian perturbation theory (LPT) at very high orders in the vicinity of the first shell-crossing for random initial data in a realistic three-dimensional Universe. For this, we have numerically implemented the all-order recursion relations for the matter trajectories, from which the convergence of the LPT series at shell-crossing is established. Convergence studies performed at large orders reveal the nature of the convergence-limiting singularities. These singularities are not the well-known density singularities at shell-crossing but occur at later times when LPT already ceased to provide physically meaningful results.

Key words: cosmology: theory-large-scale structure of Universe-dark matter-dark energy.

1 INTRODUCTION

A given function $\Psi(D)$ that is analytic around D = 0 can be locally represented by a convergent Taylor series. In physical applications, the maximal value of the (temporal) variable $D = D_{\star}$ for which the series converges is frequently unknown, always limited by the nearest singularity(ies) in the complex *D*-plane (sometimes at $D_{\star} = \infty$), and can be determined, e.g. by using d'Alembert's ratio test.

Mutatis mutandis, the same applies for the cosmological gravitational dynamics of cold dark matter (CDM), at least when formulated in Lagrangian coordinates. Indeed, CDM trajectories can be represented by a convergent Taylor series (Zheligovsky & Frisch 2014; Rampf, Villone & Frisch 2015), however so far only rough estimates on the radius of convergence exist (Michaux et al. 2021). The framework for calculating the Taylor coefficients is called Lagrangian perturbation theory (LPT), with pioneering work done by e.g. Zel'dovich (1970), Buchert (1989), Buchert & Ehlers (1993), Bouchet et al. (1992), Bouchet et al. (1995), and Ehlers & Buchert (1997). None the less, without knowledge of the radius of convergence, spanned by D_{\star} , it is unclear until which time these solutions remain *mathematically* meaningful.

Furthermore, since standard LPT is based on a perfect pressureless fluid description, its predictions are no longer *physically* meaningful once matter trajectories have crossed for the first

† Marie Skłodowska-Curie Fellow.

time. This instance is usually called shell-crossing (sc) and is accompanied by (formally) infinite densities. Shell-crossing is well understood for simplified initial conditions (Novikov 1970; Yano et al. 2004; McQuinn & White 2016; Rampf & Frisch 2017; Taruya & Colombi 2017; Pietroni 2018; Saga, Taruya & Colombi 2018; Rampf 2019; Rampf, Frisch & Hahn 2019), but not so for realistic initial conditions.

Curiously, an infinite density at shell-crossing is not necessarily a convergence-limiting singularity, since in Lagrangian coordinates the density is not a dynamical field but merely a derived quantity. It is the purpose of this work to investigate the rather distinct problems of finding the radius of convergence of LPT, and of detecting the first shell-crossing in a Λ CDM Universe with cosmological constant Λ . We find that LPT converges fairly fast, precisely since the radius of convergence surpasses the instance of the first shell-crossing.

2 SET-UP

We define the peculiar velocity of matter with $v = a\partial_t x$, where x = r/a are comoving coordinates and *a* is the cosmic scale factor. The basic equations that describe the evolution of matter elements in a Λ CDM Universe are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{x}}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + 2H \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{1}{a^2} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \phi \,, \qquad \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 \phi = 4\pi G \bar{\rho} \, a^2 \,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{1}$$

where *H* is the Hubble parameter, $\bar{\rho}$ the mean matter density of the Universe, and $\delta = (\rho - \bar{\rho})/\bar{\rho}$ the density contrast.

^{*} E-mail: cornelius.rampf@oca.eu

L72 C. Rampf and O. Hahn

It is standard to solve these equations by introducing the matter trajectory $q \mapsto x(q, t) = q + \psi(q, t)$ from initial position q to current position x at time t, where $\psi(q, t)$ is the Lagrangian displacement field. Until shell-crossing, mass conservation is

$$\delta(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q},t)) = \frac{1}{J(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q},t))} - 1, \qquad (2)$$

where $J = \det(\nabla^{L} x)$ is called the Jacobian, and ∇^{L} denotes a partial derivative in Lagrangian space. The first shell-crossing is achieved for $J(\mathbf{q}_{sc}, t_{sc}) \equiv 0$ at the smallest possible time t_{sc} and location \mathbf{q}_{sc} . As it is well-known, at those locations, we have $\delta \to \infty$.

In standard LPT, equations (1 and 2) are solved with the following *Ansatz* for the displacement $\psi = x - q$:

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{q},t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{q}) D^n , \qquad (3)$$

where $D = D_+(a)$ is the linear growth function in ACDM, which we use here as a refined time variable. Taking divergence and curl operations of (1) and matching the powers in D^n , one obtains allorder recurrence relations for the spatial coefficients (Rampf 2012; Zheligovsky & Frisch 2014; Matsubara 2015; Rampf et al. 2015)

$$\nabla^{L} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(n)} = -\varphi_{,ll}^{\min} \delta_{1}^{n} + \sum_{0 < s < n} \frac{(3-n)/2 - s^{2} - (n-s)^{2}}{(n+3/2)(n-1)} \mu_{2}^{(s,n-s)} + \sum_{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}=n} \frac{(3-n)/2 - n_{1}^{2} - n_{2}^{2} - n_{3}^{2}}{(n+3/2)(n-1)} \mu_{3}^{(n_{1},n_{2},n_{3})},$$
(4a)

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\mathsf{L}} \times \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < s < n} \frac{n - 2s}{n} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\mathsf{L}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{(s)} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\mathsf{L}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{(n-s)}, \qquad (4b)$$

where ', l' denotes a partial derivative with respect to component q_l , summation over repeated indices is assumed, and φ^{ini} is the initial gravitational potential provided at a = 0 (suitably rescaled); see e.g. Michaux et al. (2021) for details how φ^{ini} is obtained. Furthermore, $\mu_2^{(n_1,n_2)} = (1/2)[\psi_{l,l}^{(n_1)}\psi_{m,m}^{(n_2)} - \psi_{l,m}^{(n_1)}\psi_{m,l}^{(n_2)}]$ and $\mu_3^{(n_1,n_2,n_3)} = (1/6)\varepsilon_{ikl}\varepsilon_{jmn}\psi_{i,j}^{(n_1)}\psi_{k,m}^{(n_2)}\psi_{l,n}^{(n_3)}$ are purely spatial kernels of the Jacobian, and ε_{ijk} the Levi–Cività symbol. Note that we ignore sub-dominant, higher-order terms $\propto \Lambda$ in equations (4) since we are here interested in observables at rather high redshifts ($z \gg 5$) where they are vanishingly small; this could be rectified if needed.

As regards to the numerical implementation, the divergence and curl relations (4) define a Helmholtz decomposition from which the displacement field can be determined to arbitrary high precision in perturbation theory. These recursion relations can be translated into an efficient algorithm in Fourier space, which we have implemented in the publicly available software package MUSIC2-MONOFONIC.¹ The numerical code is parallelized (MPI + threads), handles convolutions, and space derivatives by employing fast Fourier transforms, and is fully de-aliased. Regarding the latter, this turns out to be memory intensive due to the appearance of cubic terms in equation (4a) for which the computational domain needs to be temporarily extended by a factor twice as much as the usual Orszag's 3/2 rule (Roberts 2011), requiring eight times the memory. In addition, the $\psi^{(n)}$'s need to be stored temporarily. Thus, the primary limitation of generating the displacement is due to limited working memory.

For the cosmological parameters, we set $\Omega_{\rm m} = 0.302$, $\Omega_{\rm b} = 0.045$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.698$, h = 0.703, $\sigma_8 = 0.811$, and $n_{\rm s} = 0.961$. If not otherwise stated, we evaluate the fields at $N = 256^3$ grid points with box length $L_{\rm box} = 125 \,{\rm Mpc} \,h^{-1}$ for which $k_{\rm Ny} = 6.43 h \,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}$ is

3 SHELL-CROSSING AND CONVERGENCE

After having numerically implemented perturbative solutions of the displacement to arbitrarily high order, it is natural to ask whether equation (3) defines a convergent series? And if yes, what are the criteria for its breakdown?

Regarding the former, Zheligovsky & Frisch (2014) and Rampf et al. (2015) determined lower bounds on the radius of convergence, implying that the LPT series is time-analytic and converges at least until some finite time. However, these theoretical methods cannot predict the actual radius of convergence for random initial conditions, for which numerical tests should be employed – as we do below.

Regarding the criteria for its breakdown, it is clear that, *physically*, equation (3) remains only meaningful as long as the flow is (locally) in the single-stream regime. Indeed, once the first shell-crossing has occurred, some regions will be occupied with multiple fluid streams which gravitationally interact with each other. However, standard LPT does not encapsulate the resulting non-trivial accelerations in those multistreaming regions. Instead of incorporating such effects, here we rather raise another, highly nontrivial question: for Λ CDM initial conditions, is the *actual* radius of convergence of the LPT series limited by the first instance of shell-crossing?

To dissect the distinct problems, we first study the convergence at the first shell-crossing. For this, we generate the displacement coefficients at successively higher orders and search for the spatial grid point location q_{sc} , where the perturbatively truncated Jacobian

$$J^{\{n\}} \equiv \det\left(\mathbb{1} + \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{q}) D^{s}\right)$$
(5)

vanishes for the first time (1 is the unit matrix). This search determines $z_{sc}^{(n)}$, which is the *n*th-order estimate of the redshift of shell-crossing. Then we iterate to higher orders and monitor the trend of $z_{sc}^{(n)}$ at successive orders. In all cases considered, we find that $z_{sc}^{(n)}$ converges to a stable answer, correct to two decimal places, starting at orders between n = 7 and 17. Henceforth, we call the converged redshift and corresponding shell-crossing location simply z_{sc} and q_{sc} , respectively. Here, the speed of convergence depends mostly on the topology of the seeds that collapse first. See Section 4 for further details, and the Supplementary Material B for explicit case examples as well as sub-grid convergence studies.

Regarding the overall convergence of the Jacobian in the whole spatial domain, in Fig. 1, we show the PDF resulting from taking the difference of perturbatively truncated Jacobians $\Delta J^{\{n\}}(q, z_{sc}) = J^{\{n\}}(q, z_{sc}) - J^{\{n-1\}}(q, z_{sc})$ from all grid points at shell-crossing redshift (here, $z_{sc} = 14.77$ for our base CDM model), for various perturbation orders *n*. As it is expected for a convergent series, we observe that the truncated distribution of $\Delta J^{\{n\}}$ approaches zero for increasing orders *n*. Furthermore, the tails in those PDFs lose their support for larger *n*'s: In the specific case shown in the figure, for n = 5, 10, and 15, the most extremal absolute deviations of $\Delta J^{\{n\}}$ from 0 are respectively 0.081 44, 0.009 16, and 0.001 57. Actually, even

¹Available from https://bitbucket.org/ohahn/monofonic.



Figure 1. PDF of the residual $\Delta J^{\{n\}}(q) = J^{\{n\}} - J^{\{n-1\}}$ for LPT orders n = 5, 10, and 15 (blue, orange, and green lines), evaluated at the redshift of first shell-crossing, which for the present CDM case is at $z_{sc} = 14.77$. This figure demonstrates that LPT displays convergent behaviour at all grid locations.

for those rare events with extremal deviations, which we frequently observed in void regions, LPT displays convergent behaviour until z_{sc} – and even slightly beyond (by employing the Domb–Sykes method explained below). Thus, the Jacobian is converged to at least two decimal places at 10 LPT at shell-crossing time – and not just at q_{sc} , but in the whole spatial domain.

We remark that the LPT convergence at z_{sc} can also be observed in Fourier space. For this, we determine the power spectrum P_J of the perturbatively truncated Jacobian at various redshifts, where $\langle \tilde{J}(\mathbf{k}_1) \tilde{J}(\mathbf{k}_2) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) P_J(\mathbf{k}_1)$, with a tilde denoting the Fourier transform, $\delta_D^{(3)}$ being the Dirac delta, and $k = ||\mathbf{k}||$. We find that, until the first shell-crossing, P_J is already converged to sub per cent precision at 3 LPT (cf. Michaux et al. 2021); for details, we refer to the Supplementary Material A (see in particular Fig. A4).

Convergence is lost iff, for some later time and for sufficiently high perturbation orders, different refinement levels of perturbative truncations (e.g. for the Jacobian) begin to diverge. This is illustrated in Fig. 2, where we show ratios of P_I at 15th versus 10th order in LPT for various redshifts, for standard CDM (top panel) and a WDM model (bottom panel). Evidently, the 10th and 15th-order predictions for the Jacobian coincide to an extremely high precision at shell-crossing. Even more, this level of precision is maintained shortly after shell-crossing, denoted with z_{\star} , suggesting that the time of mathematical convergence of LPT surpasses the time of shellcrossing – a conclusion that we have reached for all considered initial data and resolutions. Surpassing z_{\star} , by contrast, generally leads to the loss of convergence which, shortly after z_{\star} , is rather subtle, however amplifies and eventually affects all relevant Fourier scales (see Figs A6 and A7 for late-time results). We remark that, strictly speaking, J refers to the physical Jacobian only until shellcrossing but not later; none the less, even at later times, J remains a good indicator of the loss of mathematical convergence.

To pin down the convergence rigorously, we consider the LPT series of the L^2 norms of displacement coefficients

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{q},t) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{q}) \right\| D^{n}, \qquad (6)$$

and perform numerically the ratio test

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(n)} \right\| / \left\| \boldsymbol{\psi}^{(n-1)} \right\| = \frac{1}{D_{\star}},\tag{7}$$



Figure 2. Ratio between power spectra of the truncated Jacobian $J^{\{n\}}$ for n = 15 versus n = 10 at various redshifts, for CDM (upper panel) and a fictitious WDM model with $m_{wdm} = 0.25$ keV (lower panel). At the redshift of first shell-crossing (z_{sc}), the ratios conform to unity to extremely high precision (grey shading denotes 10^{-4} deviation). Only at much later times, starting at z_* which marks the estimated redshift of convergence (see Fig. 3), the ratios begin to diverge, thereby revealing the loss of convergence. Evidently, the loss of convergence is rather subtle at those high redshifts, but gets vastly amplified later on (cf. Figs A6 and A7). Note that for results $z \le z_{sc}$, LPT does not incorporate multistreaming effects.



Figure 3. Domb–Sykes plot at first shell-crossing locations q_{sc} for CDM [WDM] up to n = 15 [n = 40], drawn at various resolutions. Shown are the ratios of subsequent Taylor coefficients $||\psi^{(n)}|| / ||\psi^{(n-1)}||$ over 1/n. For all data, we set $L_{box} = 125$ Mpc h^{-1} . Lines denote the median obtained from five realizations, shaded regions its 32 and 68 percentiles, while the dotted lines are the respective linear extrapolations at large Taylor orders. The *y* intercepts of those extrapolations reveal the numerical predictions of the inverse of the radius of convergence (see Table 1).

where D_{\star} is the radius of convergence (when the limit exists). To do so, we draw in Fig. 3 the Domb–Sykes plot (cf. Domb & Sykes 1957) at the first shell-crossing location, averaged over five realizations.

Table 1. Results from the linear extrapolation y = m(1/n) + b of Taylor coefficients $\|\psi^{(n)}\|/\|\psi^{(n-1)}\|$ at large orders *n* (dotted lines in Fig. 3). The estimated singularity exponent is $\rho = -1 - m/b$, while the convergence redshifts are obtained from $z_{\star} = 1/a(D)|_{D=D_{\star}} - 1$, where $D_{\star} = 1/b$. Finally, z_{sc} denotes here the median of the first shell-crossing redshift, and we have added the 32 and 68 percentiles as errors to z_{\star} and z_{sc} .

Model	Ν	т	b	ρ	Z*	Zsc
CDM	128 ³	-11.16	6.95	0.61	$7.90_{-0.81}^{-0.21}$	$11.17_{-0.27}^{+0.15}$
CDM	256 ³	-12.30	8.90	0.38	$10.40_{-0.19}^{+0.21}$	$15.57_{-0.80}^{+0.30}$
CDM	512 ³	-19.90	12.74	0.56	$15.31_{+0.08}^{+0.55}$	$20.69^{+0.27}_{-0.26}$
WDM	128 ³	-9.72	5.40	0.80	$5.91^{+0.16}_{-0.54}$	$6.81_{-0.07}^{+0.13}$
WDM	256 ³	-11.26	5.80	0.94	$6.42\substack{+0.06\\-0.34}$	$6.96\substack{+0.12 \\ -0.12}$

Specifically, for given realization, we draw subsequent ratios of coefficients $\|\psi^{(n)}\|/\|\psi^{(n-1)}\|$ versus 1/n, and take the *y*-intercept (' $n \rightarrow \infty$ ') as the estimate for $1/D_{\star}$ (or, conversely, the redshift of convergence z_{\star}). For WDM, we go up to 40th order in LPT while for CDM we recommend not going beyond n = 15 for reasons explained below. The ratios of displacement coefficients settle into a linear behaviour at sufficient high orders, which justifies using a linear extrapolation to the *y*-intercept (denoted by dotted lines). In Table 1, we show the Medians for z_{\star} and z_{sc} together with their 32 and 68 percentile variances (for z_{\star} the variances result from linear extrapolations in the Domb–Sykes plot). For z_{sc} , these variances span up the window when shell-crossing is most likely to occur.

Evidently, the value for z_{\star} is only mildly resolution dependent for WDM, while for CDM the values of z_{\star} differ substantially. This is due to the non-compact nature of the CDM spectrum, which leads to an intrinsic ultraviolet (UV) dependence at large *k*. None the less, we expect that this UV dependence should become smaller when the Nyquist frequency is appropriately increased. We leave such computationally challenging avenues for future work.

We note that the linear asymptotic behaviour of Taylor ratios is usually obtained also for single realizations. Only in rare cases, we observe a mild oscillating behaviour superposed on a linear regression: these oscillations appear to arise due to overlapping or adjacent singularities; see Supplementary Material C for details. None the less, even in such cases the ratios of Taylor coefficients still converge to a single point, and thus allow the accurate determination of z_{\star} – but collude identifying the nature of the singularities.

For the cases when the extrapolation of the Domb–Sykes plot is linear at large orders n, we can assume that the underlying singularities have a pole-like structure with local behaviour

$$\Psi \propto (D - D_{\star})^{\rho} \,, \tag{8}$$

with ρ being a non-integer singularity exponent (if ρ is positive, then derivatives of Ψ blow up). Indeed, as shown by Domb & Sykes (1957), and van Dyke (1974), for such singularities the ratio

$$\|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(n)}\| / \|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{(n-1)}\| = \frac{1}{D_{\star}} \left[1 - (1+\rho) \frac{1}{n} \right]$$
(9)

is exactly linear for large *n*, which furthermore reveals the large*n* asymptotic behaviour $\|\psi^{(n)}\| \simeq \gamma n^{-\rho-1} \exp(\beta n)$, with β and γ being fitting coefficients (Podvigina, Zheligovsky & Frisch 2016). See Table 1 for the estimated values of ρ , and the Supplementary Material D for further details. We find that for most cases considered, we have $0 < \rho \lesssim 1$ (and rarely $\rho > 1$), thus indicating that the first-time derivative of the displacement (the velocity) blows up at D_{\star} . We stress again that D_{\star} is a critical time, which for Λ CDM appears to be always later than the shell-crossing time, i.e. $D_{\star} > D_{sc}$. Of course, cases when the velocity blows up at D_{\star} are not physical and just reflect the strength of the underlying singular problem.

Strictly speaking, investigating the singularities in LPT should be done on a case-by-case basis for given random seed, as the outcome of such analysis can depend crucially on the given topology at hand. For example, we find that the singularity exponent is closer to zero if the local initial overdensity has quasi-spherical shape (where LPT converges relatively slowly, cf. Saga et al. 2018; Rampf 2019), while in that case z_* is just shortly behind z_{sc} . By contrast, for initial overdensities that are rather close to being quasi-one-dimensional (where LPT converges fast, see Rampf & Frisch 2017), ρ is larger while $z_* \ll z_{sc}$; see the Supplementary Material C for specific case examples. None the less, the first shell-crossing usually arises from rather quasi-spherical initial overdensities (see the next section).

Finally, let us comment on why in the CDM case we include 'only' 15th-order effects, while we go up to 40th order for WDM. The $\|\psi^{(n)}(q)\|$'s grow exponentially for large orders *n* at shellcrossing locations, and thus lead to sharp peaks with exponentially increasing height at increasing perturbation order. Since the numerical computations of LPT coefficients involves Fourier transforms, those peaks in $\|\psi^{(n)}(q)\|$ can lead to wave-like features in real space due to the Gibbs phenomenon, thereby obscuring the LPT coefficients with unphysical artefacts at very large Taylor orders. These peaks are the sharpest for CDM spectra while they are tamer for WDM spectra, basically since the sharpness of those peaks is related to the information content of the initial density spectra at small spatial scales, where WDM spectra have less support. We find that the Domb-Sykes plot for CDM is unaffected by the Gibbs phenomenon only for $n \leq 15$ (see Supplementary Material C for details), while for WDM we could not detect any such limitation. The connection between Fourier series convergence and LPT convergence in these cases could be an interesting aspect of future investigations.

4 WHICH SEEDS/SHAPES COLLAPSE FIRST?

As a last point, we investigate which seeds shell-cross first. In that context, it is useful to consider the Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{J} \equiv \mathbb{1} + \nabla^{L} \boldsymbol{\psi}$ to a given perturbative truncation, and diagonalize its symmetric part into the coordinate system along the fundamental axes. The symmetrized Jacobian, denoted with J^{s} , can then be written in terms of the three real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2,3} = \lambda_{1,2,3}(\boldsymbol{q}, t)$ as

$$J^{s} = |\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3})|, \qquad (10)$$

where we impose the ordering $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$. Locally, shell-crossing is reached when $\lambda_1 \rightarrow 0$, while instantaneous multilateral compressions with $\lambda_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$, or even $\lambda_{1,2,3} \rightarrow 0$, are essentially excluded for random initial conditions (Doroshkevich 1970; Zel'dovich 1970). Note that the above λ_i 's relate to the eigenvalues of the so-called deformation tensor $\nabla^L \psi$, dubbed $\lambda_{i,d}$, according to $\lambda_{i,d} = \lambda_i - 1$.

In Fig. 4, we show the resulting distribution of λ_i 's at the initial redshift $z_{ini} = 100$ (dashed lines) and shell-crossing redshift z_{sc} (solid lines), obtained for 65 standard Λ CDM random realizations of first shell-crossing locations. To be specific, for a given realization, we search for the first shell-crossing location and evaluate the eigenvalues at those Lagrangian positions at redshift z_{sc} to fifth-order precision, and to second order at $z_{ini} = 100$. Note that z_{sc} varies slightly, depending on the seed, while we keep z_{ini} fixed.

A prominent feature in Fig. 4 is the distribution of λ_1 at z_{sc} , which is, as expected, essentially a delta peak at the origin. The other two eigenvalues have medians of 0.41 and 0.65, respectively, indicating that the first collapsing objects have fairly ellipsoidal shape initially. Another noticeable but subtle feature is the appearance of a smaller



Figure 4. Conditional distribution of eigenvalues of *J* from locations $q = q_{sc}$ that shell-cross first, obtained from 65 standard- Λ CDM realizations. Shown are the initial distributions at $z_{ini} = 100$ (dashed lines; amplitudes scale according to right *y*-axis) and at shell-crossing z_{sc} (solid lines; left *y*-axis).

peak in λ_2 around 0.8 at z_{sc} (that actually traces back to another small peak in $\lambda_2 \simeq 0.96$ at z_{ini}), which implies that quasi onedimensional collapse rarely is the source of the first shell-crossing. The initial distributions of the $\lambda_i(\boldsymbol{q}_{sc}, z_{ini})$'s turn out to be already fairly extreme, with at least 3σ deviations of $\lambda_{2,3}(\boldsymbol{q}_{sc}, z_{ini})$ compared to $\lambda_{2,3}(\boldsymbol{q}, z_{ini})$ from all grid points, and at least 5σ for λ_1 .

Finally, we have also investigated the ellipticity $e = (\lambda_{1,d} - \lambda_{3,d})/2 \sum \lambda_{i,d}$ and prolateness $p = (\lambda_{1,d} - 2\lambda_{2,d} + \lambda_{3,d})/2 \sum \lambda_{i,d}$ of the collapsing seeds in full non-linearity (see Supplementary Material E for linear predictions). For the first shell-crossing locations, we find that both *e* and *p* change hardly between z_{ini} and z_{sc} , but with a slight tendency in becoming less oblate/prolate at late times. This tendency is also reflected by a slight shift of their medians of e = 0.171 and p = 0.054 at z_{ini} , and e = 0.168 and p = 0.042 at z_{sc} . Further details are provided in the Supplementary Material E.

We remark that the present avenue is fairly distinct from the ones of e.g. Doroshkevich (1970), Bardeen et al. (1986), Sheth, and Mo & Tormen (2001), while those works considered the statistics of peaks by means of linear theory or approximative collapse models, here we investigate the matter collapse on a deterministic and fully non-linear level for various seeds, from which we are able to deduct what the (extreme) statistics of those shell-crossing regions are.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have shown that LPT can be used to rigorously investigate the first shell-crossing in a Λ CDM Universe. We find that the LPT series for the displacement field converges until shell-crossing and, accidentally, even shortly after. Actually, the latter statement guarantees a rather fast convergence until shell-crossing, thereby allowing to determine time and location of the first shell-crossing efficiently and to high accuracy.

The precise time of first shell-crossing varies with chosen numerical resolution and spectrum of the initial data. In fact, fixing the mesh spacing fixes also an effective fluid description. Below the grid scale, this effective fluid is blind to large-*k* fluctuations in the spectrum of primordial density fluctuations. Whether such UV effects should be included in cosmic fluid descriptions (and in *N*-body simulations) is subject to debate (e.g. Taruya, Nishimichi & Jeong 2018; Chen & Pietroni 2020) and should be assessed in forthcoming work.

Our results can be applied to generate accurate initial conditions for numerical *N*-body simulations, possibly even for simulations with multiple fluids (cf. Hahn, Rampf & Uhlemann 2020; Rampf, Uhlemann & Hahn 2020). Higher-order initial conditions open up the portal for late-time initializations, thereby suppressing strongly discretization errors that affect such simulations at earlier times (see e.g. Michaux et al. 2021). In the long term, our methods assist in closing the gap between theoretical and numerical methods for the cosmic large-scale structure. Possible future directions include the study of LPT against numerical simulation techniques, as well as investigating the fully non-linear formation process of primordial dark-matter haloes within the tidal gravitational field.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Thomas Buchert, Uriel Frisch, and Patrick McDonald for useful discussions and/or comments on the manuscript. CR acknowledges funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme under grant agreement no. 795707 (COSMO-BLOW-UP). OH acknowledges funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, grant agreement no. 679145 (COSMO-SIMS).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The software implementing *n*LPT is freely available at https://bitb ucket.org/ohahn/monofonic. The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

Bardeen J. M., Bond J. R., Kaiser N., Szalay A. S., 1986, ApJ, 304, 15 Bouchet F. R., Juszkiewicz R., Colombi S., Pellat R., 1992, ApJ, 394, L5 Bouchet F. R., Colombi S., Hivon E., Juszkiewicz R., 1995, A&A, 296, 575 Buchert T., 1989, A&A, 223, 9 Buchert T., Ehlers J., 1993, MNRAS, 264, 375 Chen S.-F., Pietroni M., 2020, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., 06, 033 Domb C., Sykes M. F., 1957, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 240, 214 Doroshkevich A. G., 1970, Astrophysics, 6, 320 Ehlers J., Buchert T., 1997, Gen. Relativ. Gravit., 29, 733 Eisenstein D. J., Hu W., 1998, ApJ, 496, 605 Hahn O., Rampf C., Uhlemann C., 2020, preprint (arXiv:2008.09124) McQuinn M., White M., 2016, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., 2016, 043 Matsubara T., 2015, Phys. Rev. D, 92, 023534 Michaux M., Hahn O., Rampf C., Angulo R. E., 2021, MNRAS, 500, 663 Novikov E. A., 1970, Sov. Phys. JETP, 30, 512 Pietroni M., 2018, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., 2018, 028 Podvigina O., Zheligovsky V., Frisch U., 2016, J. Comput. Phys., 306, 320 Rampf C., 2012, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., 1212, 004 Rampf C., 2019, MNRAS, 484, 5223 Rampf C., Frisch U., 2017, MNRAS, 471, 671 Rampf C., Villone B., Frisch U., 2015, MNRAS, 452, 1421 Rampf C., Frisch U., Hahn O., 2019, preprint (arXiv:1912.00868) Rampf C., Uhlemann C., Hahn O., 2020, preprint (arXiv:2008.09123) Roberts M., 2011, PhD thesis. Univ. Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada Saga S., Taruya A., Colombi S., 2018, Phys. Rev. Lett., 121, 241302 Sheth R. K., Mo H. J., Tormen G., 2001, MNRAS, 323, 1 Taruya A., Colombi S., 2017, MNRAS, 470, 4858 Taruya A., Nishimichi T., Jeong D., 2018, Phys. Rev. D, 98, 103532 van Dyke M., 1974, J. Mech. Appl. Math., 27, 423 Yano T., Koyama H., Buchert T., Gouda N., 2004, ApJS, 151, 185 Zel'dovich Ya. B., 1970, A&A, 500, 13 Zheligovsky V., Frisch U., 2014, J. Fluid Mech., 749, 404

L76 C. Rampf and O. Hahn

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at *MNRASL* online.

Figure S1. RMS of spurious vorticity.

Figure S2. Power spectrum of Jacobian at various resolution settings.

Figure S3. Resolution dependence of z_{sc} .

Figure S4. Power spectrum of Jacobian at various LPT orders at z_{sc} .

Figure S5. Norm $||\psi^{(n)}||$ for the first eight orders of *n*LPT.

Figure S6. Power spectrum of Jacobian at z = 5.

Figure S7. Ratio of power spectra of $J^{\{n\}}$ at various redshifts.

Figure S8. Outliers in LPT convergence study.

Figure S9. Conditional distributions of $\lambda_{1,2,3}$ at z_{sc} .

Figure S10. PDFs of *e* and *p* at the first shell-crossing location. **Figure S11**. Scatter plot of (e, p) at first shell-crossing locations. **Table S1**. Theoretical convergence at shell-crossing locations. Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

This paper has been typeset from a $T_{\ensuremath{E}} X/\ensuremath{ {\rm E}} X$ file prepared by the author.

List of astronomical key words (Updated on 2020 January)

This list is common to *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Astronomy and Astrophysics*, and *The Astrophysical Journal*. In order to ease the search, the key words are subdivided into broad categories. No more than *six* subcategories altogether should be listed for a paper.

The subcategories in boldface containing the word 'individual' are intended for use with specific astronomical objects; these should never be used alone, but always in combination with the most common names for the astronomical objects in question. Note that each object counts as one subcategory within the allowed limit of six.

The parts of the key words in italics are for reference only and should be omitted when the keywords are entered on the manuscript.

General

editorials, notices errata, addenda extraterrestrial intelligence history and philosophy of astronomy miscellaneous obituaries, biographies publications, bibliography sociology of astronomy standards

Physical data and processes

acceleration of particles accretion, accretion discs asteroseismology astrobiology astrochemistry astroparticle physics atomic data atomic processes black hole physics chaos conduction convection dense matter diffusion dynamo elementary particles equation of state gravitation gravitational lensing: micro gravitational lensing: strong gravitational lensing: weak gravitational waves hydrodynamics instabilities line: formation line: identification line: profiles magnetic fields magnetic reconnection (magnetohydrodynamics) MHD masers molecular data molecular processes neutrinos nuclear reactions, nucleosynthesis, abundances opacity plasmas polarization

radiation: dynamics radiation mechanisms:general radiation mechanisms: non-thermal radiation mechanisms: thermal radiative transfer relativistic processes scattering shock waves solid state: refractory solid state: volatile turbulence waves

Astronomical instrumentation, methods and techniques atmospheric effects balloons instrumentation: adaptive optics instrumentation: detectors instrumentation: high angular resolution instrumentation: interferometers instrumentation: miscellaneous instrumentation: photometers instrumentation: polarimeters instrumentation: spectrographs light pollution methods: analytical methods: data analysis methods: laboratory: atomic methods: laboratory: molecular methods: laboratory: solid state methods: miscellaneous methods: numerical methods: observational methods: statistical site testing space vehicles space vehicles: instruments techniques: high angular resolution techniques: image processing techniques: imaging spectroscopy techniques: interferometric techniques: miscellaneous techniques: photometric techniques: polarimetric techniques: radar astronomy techniques: radial velocities techniques: spectroscopic telescopes

Astronomical data bases

astronomical data bases: miscellaneous atlases catalogues surveys virtual observatory tools

Software

software: data analysis software: development software: documentation software: public release software: simulations

Astrometry and celestial mechanics

astrometry celestial mechanics eclipses ephemerides occultations parallaxes proper motions reference systems time

The Sun

Sun: abundances Sun: activity Sun: atmosphere Sun: chromosphere Sun: corona Sun: coronal mass ejections (CMEs) Sun: evolution Sun: faculae, plages Sun: filaments, prominences Sun: flares Sun: fundamental parameters Sun: general Sun: granulation Sun: helioseismology Sun: heliosphere Sun: infrared Sun: interior Sun: magnetic fields Sun: oscillations Sun: particle emission Sun: photosphere Sun: radio radiation Sun: rotation (Sun:) solar-terrestrial relations (Sun:) solar wind (Sun:) sunspots Sun: transition region Sun: UV radiation Sun: X-rays, gamma-rays

Planetary systems

comets: general

comets: individual: ... Earth interplanetary medium Kuiper belt: general

Kuiper belt objects: individual: ... meteorites, meteors, meteoroids minor planets, asteroids: general

minor planets, asteroids: individual: ...

Moon Oort Cloud planets and satellites: atmospheres planets and satellites: aurorae planets and satellites: composition planets and satellites: detection planets and satellites: dynamical evolution and stability planets and satellites: formation planets and satellites: fundamental parameters planets and satellites: gaseous planets planets and satellites: general

planets and satellites: individual: ...

planets and satellites: interiors planets and satellites: magnetic fields planets and satellites: oceans planets and satellites: physical evolution planets and satellites: rings planets and satellites: surfaces planets and satellites: tectonics planets and satellites: terrestrial planets planet-disc interactions planet-star interactions protoplanetary discs zodiacal dust

Stars

stars: abundances stars: activity stars: AGB and post-AGB stars: atmospheres (stars:) binaries (including multiple): close (stars:) binaries: eclipsing (stars:) binaries: general (stars:) binaries: spectroscopic (stars:) binaries: symbiotic (stars:) binaries: visual stars: black holes (stars:) blue stragglers (stars:) brown dwarfs stars: carbon stars: chemically peculiar stars: chromospheres (stars:) circumstellar matter stars: coronae stars: distances stars: dwarf novae stars: early-type stars: emission-line, Be stars: evolution stars: flare stars: formation stars: fundamental parameters (stars:) gamma-ray burst: general (stars:) gamma-ray burst: individual: ... stars: general (stars:) Hertzsprung-Russell and colour-magnitude diagrams stars: horizontal branch stars: imaging stars: individual: ... stars: interiors

stars: jets stars: kinematics and dynamics stars: late-type stars: low-mass stars: luminosity function, mass function stars: magnetars stars: magnetic field stars: massive stars: mass-loss stars: neutron (stars:) novae, cataclysmic variables stars: oscillations (including pulsations) stars: peculiar (except chemically peculiar) (stars:) planetary systems stars: Population II stars: Population III stars: pre-main-sequence stars: protostars (stars:) pulsars: general (stars:) pulsars: individual: ... stars: rotation stars: solar-type (stars:) starspots stars: statistics (stars:) subdwarfs (stars:) supergiants (stars:) supernovae: general (stars:) supernovae: individual: ... stars: variables: Cepheids stars: variables: Scuti stars: variables: general stars: variables: RR Lyrae stars: variables: S Doradus stars: variables: T Tauri, Herbig Ae/Be (stars:) white dwarfs stars: winds, outflows stars: Wolf-Rayet

Interstellar medium (ISM), nebulae

ISM: abundances ISM: atoms ISM: bubbles ISM: clouds (*ISM:*) cosmic rays (*ISM:*) dust, extinction ISM: evolution ISM: general (*ISM:*) HII regions (*ISM:*) Herbig–Haro objects

ISM: individual objects: ...

(except planetary nebulae) ISM: jets and outflows ISM: kinematics and dynamics ISM: lines and bands ISM: magnetic fields ISM: molecules (ISM:) photodissociation region (PDR) (ISM:) planetary nebulae: general (ISM:) planetary nebulae: individual: ... ISM: structure ISM: supernova remnants

The Galaxy

Galaxy: abundances Galaxy: bulge Galaxy: centre Galaxy: disc Galaxy: evolution Galaxy: formation Galaxy: fundamental parameters Galaxy: general (Galaxy:) globular clusters: general (Galaxy:) globular clusters: individual: ... Galaxy: halo Galaxy: kinematics and dynamics (Galaxy:) local interstellar matter Galaxy: nucleus (Galaxy:) open clusters and associations: general (Galaxy:) open clusters and associations: individual: ... (Galaxy:) solar neighbourhood Galaxy: stellar content Galaxy: structure

Galaxies

galaxies: abundances galaxies: active galaxies: bar (galaxies:) BL Lacertae objects: general (galaxies:) **BL Lacertae objects: individual:...** galaxies: bulges galaxies: clusters: general

galaxies: clusters: individual: . . .

galaxies: clusters: intracluster medium galaxies: disc galaxies: distances and redshifts galaxies: dwarf galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD galaxies: evolution galaxies: formation galaxies: fundamental parameters galaxies: general galaxies: groups: general

galaxies: groups: individual: ...

galaxies: haloes galaxies: high-redshift

galaxies: individual: ...

galaxies: interactions (galaxies:) intergalactic medium galaxies: irregular galaxies: ISM galaxies: jets galaxies: kinematics and dynamics (galaxies:) Local Group galaxies: luminosity function, mass function (galaxies:) Magellanic Clouds galaxies: magnetic fields galaxies: nuclei galaxies: peculiar galaxies: photometry (galaxies:) quasars: absorption lines (galaxies:) quasars: emission lines (galaxies:) quasars: general

(galaxies:) quasars: individual: . . .

(galaxies:) quasars: supermassive black holes galaxies: Seyfert galaxies: spiral galaxies: starburst galaxies: star clusters: general

galaxies: star clusters: individual: . . .

galaxies: star formation galaxies: statistics galaxies: stellar content galaxies: structure

Cosmology

(cosmology:) cosmic background radiation (cosmology:) cosmological parameters (cosmology:) dark ages, reionization, first stars (cosmology:) dark energy (cosmology:) dark matter (cosmology:) diffuse radiation (cosmology:) distance scale (cosmology:) early Universe (cosmology:) inflation (cosmology:) large-scale structure of Universe cosmology: miscellaneous cosmology: observations (cosmology:) primordial nucleosynthesis cosmology: theory

Resolved and unresolved sources as a function of wavelength

gamma-rays: diffuse background gamma-rays: galaxies gamma-rays: galaxies: clusters gamma-rays: general gamma-rays: ISM gamma-rays: stars infrared: diffuse background infrared: galaxies infrared: general infrared: ISM infrared: planetary systems infrared: stars radio continuum: galaxies radio continuum: general radio continuum: ISM radio continuum: planetary systems radio continuum: stars radio continuum: transients radio lines: galaxies radio lines: general radio lines: ISM radio lines: planetary systems radio lines: stars submillimetre: diffuse background submillimetre: galaxies submillimetre: general submillimetre: ISM submillimetre: planetary systems submillimetre: stars ultraviolet: galaxies

ultraviolet: general ultraviolet: ISM ultraviolet: planetary systems ultraviolet: stars X-rays: binaries X-rays: bursts X-rays: diffuse background X-rays: galaxies X-rays: galaxies: clusters X-rays: general X-rays: individual: ... X-rays: ISM X-rays: stars

Transients

(*transients:*) black hole mergers (*transients:*) black hole - neutron star mergers (transients:) fast radio bursts (*transients:*) gamma-ray bursts (*transients:*) neutron star mergers transients: novae transients: supernovae transients: tidal disruption events