



Extinction-free Census of AGNs in the *AKARI*/IRC North Ecliptic Pole Field from 23-band Infrared Photometry from Space Telescopes

Ting-Wen Wang, Tomotsugu Goto, Seong Jin Kim, Tetsuya Hashimoto, Denis Burgarella, Yoshiaki Toba, Hyunjin Shim, Takamitsu Miyaji, Ho Seong Hwang, Woong-Seob Jeong, et al.

► To cite this version:

Ting-Wen Wang, Tomotsugu Goto, Seong Jin Kim, Tetsuya Hashimoto, Denis Burgarella, et al.. Extinction-free Census of AGNs in the *AKARI*/IRC North Ecliptic Pole Field from 23-band Infrared Photometry from Space Telescopes. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 2020, 499 (3), pp.4068-4081. 10.1093/mnras/staa2988. hal-02999513

HAL Id: hal-02999513

<https://hal.science/hal-02999513>

Submitted on 23 May 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Extinction-free Census of AGNs in the *AKARI*/IRC North Ecliptic Pole Field from 23-band infrared photometry from Space Telescopes

Ting-Wen Wang^{1,★}, Tomotsugu Goto,¹ Seong Jin Kim¹, Tetsuya Hashimoto^{1,2}, Denis Burgarella,³ Yoshiaki Toba^{4,5,6}, Hyunjin Shim,⁷ Takamitsu Miyaji,^{8,9,†} Ho Seong Hwang¹⁰, Woong-Seob Jeong,^{10,11} Eunbin Kim¹⁰, Hiroyuki Ikeda,¹² Chris Pearson^{13,14,15}, Matthew Malkan,¹⁶ Nagisa Oi,¹⁷ Daryl Joe D. Santos¹, Katarzyna Małek,^{3,18} Agnieszka Pollo,^{18,19} Simon C.-C. Ho¹, Hideo Matsuhara,^{20,21} Alvina Y. L. On^{1,2,22}, Helen K. Kim,¹⁶ Tiger Yu-Yang Hsiao^{1,5} and Ting-Chi Huang^{20,21}

Affiliations are listed at the end of the paper

Accepted 2020 September 21. Received 2020 August 17; in original form 2020 April 8

ABSTRACT

In order to understand the interaction between the central black hole and the whole galaxy or their co-evolution history along with cosmic time, a complete census of active galactic nucleus (AGN) is crucial. However, AGNs are often missed in optical, UV, and soft X-ray observations since they could be obscured by gas and dust. A mid-infrared (MIR) survey supported by multiwavelength data is one of the best ways to find obscured AGN activities because it suffers less from extinction. Previous large IR photometric surveys, e.g. *Wide field Infrared Survey Explorer* and *Spitzer*, have gaps between the MIR filters. Therefore, star-forming galaxy-AGN diagnostics in the MIR were limited. The *AKARI* satellite has a unique continuous nine-band filter coverage in the near to MIR wavelengths. In this work, we take advantage of the state-of-the-art spectral energy distribution modelling software, CIGALE, to find AGNs in MIR. We found 126 AGNs in the North Ecliptic Pole-Wide field with this method. We also investigate the energy released from the AGN as a fraction of the total IR luminosity of a galaxy. We found that the AGN contribution is larger at higher redshifts for a given IR luminosity. With the upcoming deep IR surveys, e.g. *JWST*, we expect to find more AGNs with our method.

Key words: galaxies: active – galaxies: high-redshift.

1 INTRODUCTION

An active galactic nucleus (AGN) is a compact ultraluminous region at the centre of a galaxy. It is widely believed that a supermassive black hole (SMBH) resides in every AGN, and the mass of a black hole is related to the bulge mass of a galaxy (e.g. Kormendy & Ho 2013). In order to study galaxy evolution, it is important to find AGNs in the Universe, as the presence of an AGN has a non-negligible impact on the main physical parameters of galaxies, such as star formation rate (SFR), etc. There are several ways to search for AGNs, e.g. based on optical, UV, and soft X-ray observations (e.g. Hickox & Alexander 2018). However, AGNs may be obscured by gas and dust. The obscured AGNs could be missed by these observations. Also, Compton-thick AGNs (CTAGNs) are highly obscured, even at hard X-rays with $E \gtrsim 10$ keV. To avoid missing dust-obscured AGNs in the Universe, mid-infrared (MIR) survey of AGNs is crucial.

MIR surveys are sensitive to AGNs due to the warm dust emission of AGNs. In general, AGNs show thermal emission from warm dust at MIR wavelengths, which is heated by strong radiation from the

central accretion disks. However, in the MIR wavelengths such as 3.3, 6.2, 7.7, 8.6, and 11.3 μm , star-forming galaxies (SFGs) also produce strong polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission (e.g. Feltre et al. 2013; Ohyama et al. 2018; Kim et al. 2019). Therefore, in order to find dust-obscured AGNs using MIR surveys, we need to carefully examine the spectral features in MIR wavelengths.

Many works have been conducted to search, identify, and characterize AGNs using the data from *Spitzer* infrared telescope and Wide field Infrared Survey Explorer (*WISE*; e.g. Hwang et al. 2012; Toba et al. 2015; Toba & Nagao 2016). However, both *WISE* and *Spitzer* have limited available filters (3.4, 4.6, 12, and 22 μm in *WISE* and 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0, and 24 μm in *Spitzer*) and there are wavelength gaps within the MIR wavelengths such as 10–20 μm , making star-forming galaxy (SFG)–AGN diagnostics difficult. If the feature of a source falls in the gap of the filters in *WISE* or *Spitzer*, we may fail to classify SFG and AGN correctly.

To avoid this type of failure, the best way is to use an instrument with continuous filter system covering these MIR gaps, such as the infrared camera (IRC; Onaka et al. 2007) installed in *AKARI* space telescope. *AKARI* (Murakami et al. 2007), an IR space telescope launched by ISAS/JAXA in 2006, successfully carried out an all-sky survey at IR wavelengths. The North Ecliptic Pole (NEP) survey (Matsuhara et al. 2006) was one of the dedicated photometry

* E-mail: tinattw0127@gapp.nthu.edu.tw

† On sabbatical leave from IA-UNAM-E at AIP.

surveys with the *AKARI*'s pointing observations. *AKARI* observed the sky region with its nine continuous passbands, from NIR-to-MIR wavelengths. Detailed information on *AKARI* is in Section 2.

The combination of the *AKARI* IR photometry and X-ray data gives a strong tool to find CTAGNs (Krumpe et al. 2015; Miyaji & Team 2018). Huang et al. (2017) performed spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting to select AGNs from data of the *AKARI* NEP deep survey (Matsuhara et al. 2006) covering a field of 0.57 deg^2 , containing ~ 5800 sources. By combining *AKARI*'s nine passbands, *WISE* ALLWISE 1, 2, 3, 4, and *Spitzer* infrared array camera (IRAC) 1, 2, 3, 4 and multiband imaging photometer for *Spitzer* (MIPS) 1 passbands, they fit 25 empirical models using an SED template fitting code, Le Phare (PHotometric Analysis for Redshift Estimate; Arnouts et al. 1999; Ilbert et al. 2006). Because of the continuous MIR coverage of *AKARI*, they recovered more X-ray-selected AGNs (Krumpe et al. 2015) than previous works (Lacy et al. 2007) based on IR colour-colour diagrams by ~ 20 per cent.

Chiang et al. (2019) also used Le Phare to select AGNs by taking advantage of the unique *AKARI* NEP wide field survey (NEP-Wide; Matsuhara et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2009; Kim et al. 2012) sample with 18 IR bands of data, including *AKARI*'s nine passbands, *WISE* 1-4, *Spitzer* IRAC 1-4, and MIPS 1 photometry. Their results indicate that AGN number fraction seems to show stronger IR luminosity dependence than redshift dependence. They also examined the fractions of SFGs and found mild decreasing trends at high-IR luminosities.

Recently, Subaru Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC; Miyazaki et al. 2018) observations with g , r , i , z , and Y bands were carried out to cover the entire field of the *AKARI* NEP Wide field (covering 5.4 deg^2 ; Goto et al. 2017). The new HSC observation allowed us to extend *AKARI* sources with photometric redshift to the full coverage of the NEP Wide field (Ho et al. 2020). Furthermore, the advanced SED fitting code CODE INVESTIGATING GALAXY EMISSION (CIGALE;¹ Burgarella, Buat & Iglesias-Páramo 2005; Noll et al. 2009; Boquien et al. 2019) cannot only classify AGNs but also obtain physical properties of our targets.

With the new HSC photometry and the state-of-the-art SED-fitting software, CIGALE, our goal is to recover more dust-obscured AGNs in the *AKARI* NEP-Wide survey and investigate the physical properties of the sources.

This work is organized as follows. We describe our sample selection and method in Section 2. The result of our AGN selection and the physical properties of AGNs are described in Section 3. Our discussion is shown in Section 4. Our conclusions are in Section 5. Throughout this paper, we use AB magnitude system unless otherwise mentioned. We assume a cosmology with $H_0 = 70.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.728$, and $\Omega_M = 0.272$ (Komatsu et al. 2011).

2 DATA AND ANALYSIS

2.1 Data

We selected samples from the *AKARI* NEP Wide survey. The NEP Wide survey was centered at (RA, Dec.) = (18h00m00s, +66°33'38"), covering 5.4 deg^2 with the *AKARI* IRC. The *AKARI* IRC has three channels: NIR, MIR-S, and MIR-L. There are three filters in each channel, so the IRC has nine filters in total: $N2$, $N3$, $N4$, $S7$, $S9W$, $S11$, $L15$, $L18W$, and $L24$, corresponding to 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11, 15, 18, and $24 \mu\text{m}$ of the reference wavelengths, respectively. Kim

et al. (2012) presented a photometric catalogue of IR sources from the NEP-Wide survey using the nine photometric filters of the IRC. In the near-IR (NIR) bands, the $N2$ filter reaches a depth of ~ 20.9 mag, and the $N3$ and $N4$ bands reach ~ 21.1 mag. The MIR detection limits are much shallower than those of NIR bands: ~ 19.5 ($S7$), 19.3 ($S9W$), and 19.0 ($S11$) for the MIR-S bands, and ~ 18.6 , 18.7 , and 17.8 , corresponding to $L15$, $L18W$, and $L24$ for the MIR-L bands, respectively. Recently, Oi et al. (2020) cross-matched the Subaru HSC sources with the *AKARI* sources in the NEP Wide field and constructed an HSC-*AKARI* catalogue (see also Toba et al. 2020a for *AKARI* sources without HSC counterparts). The HSC optical imaging observations were carried out in five broad bands (g , r , i , z , and Y) covering an almost entire field of the NEP-Wide survey ($\sim 5.4 \text{ deg}^2$). This catalogue enables us to calculate the photometric redshifts of the *AKARI* sources.

In order to optimize the advantage of *AKARI* photometry, Kim et al. (2020) constructed a multiwavelength catalogue based on *AKARI* data. They used the 'matching radii' determined by astrometry offset tests for each matching procedure. The multiwavelength catalogue contains UV to submillimetre counterparts of the *AKARI* sources in the NEP-Wide field. In this catalogue, there are 91 861 sources in total. Among them, there are 2026 sources with spectroscopic redshifts. Spectroscopic redshifts are collected from various published and unpublished sources as detailed below. The spectroscopic data are provided by several spectroscopic observations with various telescopes/instruments in optical, Keck/DEIMOS (Kim et al. 2018; Shogaki et al. 2018), MMT/Hectospec (Shim et al. 2013), WIYN/Hydra (Shim et al. 2013), GTC (Miyaji et al., in preparation; Díaz Tello et al. 2017; Krumpe et al. 2015), and in NIR, Subaru/FMOS (Oi et al. 2017). For the sources without spectroscopic redshifts, photometric redshifts of our sample are calculated using Le Phare (Ho et al. 2020). Also, Kim et al. (2020) obtained the X-ray catalogue from Chandra NEP deep survey and the spectra of 1796 sources were obtained from (Shim et al. 2013). Shim et al. (2013) identified 1128 star-forming or absorption-line-dominated galaxies, 198 Type-1 AGNs, 8 Type-2 AGNs, 121 Galactic stars, and 190 spectra of unknown sources due to low signal-to-noise ratio. Most of the sources with spectroscopic redshifts are already classified by Shim et al. (2013) and Krumpe et al. (2015).

There are 42 bands in total in the multiwavelength catalogue (Kim et al. 2020). We used 36 bands from the catalogue in this work, including data in the UV band from CFHT MegaCam (u^*) and CFHT MegaPrime (u), five optical bands from Subaru HSC (g , r , i , z , Y), three bands from Maidanak Seoul National University $4K \times 4K$ Camera (SNUCAM; B , R , I), one band from Florida Multi-object Imaging Near-IR Grism Observational Spectrometer (FLAMINGOS; H), nine bands from *AKARI* IRC (2.4, 3.2, 4.1, 7, 9, 11, 15, 18, and $24 \mu\text{m}$), three bands from CFHT WIRCam (Y , J , K_s), four MIR bands from *WISE* ALLWISE 1-4 (3.4, 4.6, 12, and $22 \mu\text{m}$), four MIR bands from *Spitzer* IRAC 1-4 (3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and $8.0 \mu\text{m}$), two bands from *Herschel* PACS (100 and $160 \mu\text{m}$), and three bands from *Herschel* SPIRE (250, 350, and $500 \mu\text{m}$). An overall map showing a variety of surveys around the NEP is shown in Fig. 1. Information on the filters is given below. Aside from the *AKARI* IRC observational data, we also use other NIR-to-MIR data in this work.

The H -band catalogue (Jeon et al. 2014) of the NEP region is obtained from the FLAMINGOS (Elston et al. 2006) on the Kitt Peak National Observatory (KPNO). KPNO has a 2.1 m telescope covering a 5.1 deg^2 area down to a 5σ depth of 21.3 mag for the H -bands with an astrometric accuracy of 0.14 and 0.17 for 1σ in the RA and Dec. directions, respectively.

¹ <https://cigale.lam.fr>




Figure 1. An overall map showing a variety of surveys around the NEP. The red circular area shows the AKARI's NEP-Wide field (Kim et al. 2012). The four blue circles represent the r -band coverage of the Subaru/HSC survey (Oi et al. 2020, submitted). The grey-dashed line represents the optical surveys done with the Maidanak SNUCAM (B , R , I ; Jeon et al. 2010). The green-dashed rectangle represents the CFHT/MegaCam (u^* , g , r , i , z) survey (Hwang et al. 2007). The yellow square shows an observation with MegaCam and WIRCam (Y , J , K_s) on the NEP-Deep field (Oi et al. 2014). The orange-dotted shape indicates the H -band survey with KPNO/FLAMINGOS (Jeon et al. 2014). The black-dashed square inside the yellow box shows the area observed by the *Herschel*/PACS (Pearson et al. 2019). The largest dark red rhombus shows the *Herschel*/SPIRE coverage (Pearson et al., in preparation). The g -, i -, z -, and y -band observations by the Subaru HSC (Oi et al. 2020) is not shown in this figure. The u -band observation by the MegaPrime/MegaCam (Huang et al. 2020) is not shown in this figure.

We obtain the *WISE* 4-band data from Jarrett et al. (2011). *WISE* is a NASA-funded Medium-Class Explorer mission, consisting of a 40-cm space-based infrared telescope whose science payload consists of mega-pixel cameras, cooled with a two-stage solid hydrogen cryostat. *WISE* mapped the entire sky at 3.4 μm (W1), 4.6 μm (W2), 12 μm (W3), and 22 μm (W4) with 5σ depth of 18.1, 17.2, 18.4, and 16.1 mag, respectively.

The CFHT WIRCam data are obtained from Oi et al. (2014). WIRCam uses four 2048×2048 HAWAII2RG CCD arrays. The 5σ limiting magnitudes are 23.4, 23.0, and 22.7 for WIRCam Y , J , and K_s -bands, respectively.

The *Spitzer* two-band catalogue of the NEP field IRAC1 (3.6 μm) and IRAC2 (4.5 μm) is presented by Nayyeri et al. (2018). The observations covered 7 deg^2 , with the 5σ depths of 21.8 and 22.4 mag in the IRAC1 and IRAC2 bands, respectively. The IRAC3 (5.8 μm) and IRAC4 (8 μm) data are obtained from Jarrett et al. (2011). The observations covered 0.40 deg^2 , with the 5σ depths of 16.6 and 15.4 mag in the IRAC3 and IRAC4 bands, respectively.

We used the data from Subaru HSC (Goto et al. 2017, Oi et al. 2020, submitted). The deep HSC g , r , i , z , and Y imaging of the AKARI NEP wide field can provide accurate photometric redshift of the AKARI sources. The 5σ detection depths of HSC (over a 1 arcsec aperture) at g , r , i , z , and Y -bands are 28.6, 27.3, 26.7, 26.0, and 25.6 mag, respectively.

The u^* imaging data of Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT; Iye & Moorwood 2003) MegaCam is collected from Hwang et al.

(2007) and Oi et al. (2014). The data of u imaging data of CFHT MegaPrime is obtained from Goto et al. (2019) and Huang et al. (2020).

We also obtained data from Maidanak's SNUCAM (Jeon et al. 2010). The SNUCAM contains B , R , and I imaging, where the B , R , and I -band data reach the depths of ~ 23.4 , ~ 23.1 , and ~ 22.3 mag at 5σ , respectively.

We also used far-infrared (FIR) data from *Herschel*/PACS (Pearson et al. 2019) and *Herschel*/SPIRE (Pearson et al., in preparation) observations at the NEP region. The PACS observation covers $\sim 0.44 \text{ deg}^2$ overlapping with the NEP region, which contains the 100 and 160 μm imaging data. SPIRE covers 9 deg^2 of the NEP, containing 250, 350, and 500 μm imaging data.

2.2 SED fitting using CIGALE

We used CIGALE version 2018.0. to perform SED fitting and model the optical to FIR emission of each source. The model is based on the energy balance principle: the UV-to-optical energy absorbed by dust is self-consistently re-emitted in the MIR to FIR. CIGALE allows many parameters to be varied in order to fit an observed SED, such as star formation history (SFH), single stellar population (SSP), attenuation law, AGN emission, and dust thermal emission.

We assumed a SFH delayed with optional exponential burst. We fixed e-folding times of the main stellar population (τ_{main}) and the late starburst population (τ_{burst}), while the age of the main stellar population in the galaxy is parametrized. We adopted the stellar templates from Bruzual & Charlot (2003), by assuming the initial mass function introduced by Salpeter (1955), and the standard default nebular emission model in CIGALE (see also Inoue 2011). Dust attenuation is modelled following Charlot & Fall (2000) with additional flexibility. The Charlot & Fall (2000) attenuation law recipe is described by two power laws: one for birth cloud, and the other for the interstellar medium (ISM). According to the original law, both power law slopes are equal to -0.7 . In our analysis, we introduce slopes flexibility (then the law becomes more similar to the double power law, see e.g. Lo Faro et al. 2017 and Buat et al. 2018). We also separately parametrized the V -band attenuation in the ISM (A_V^{ISM}). We do not introduce any flexibility for the μ parameter that is defined as the ratio of the attenuation in the V -band experienced by old and young stars. While earlier works on nearby starburst galaxies obtained $\mu = 0.3$ (e.g. Charlot & Fall 2000), recent works found slightly higher values of $\mu = 0.44$ (e.g. Malek et al. 2018) and $\mu = 0.5$ (e.g. Buat et al. 2019). For our analysis, we set $\mu = 0.44$ (Malek et al. 2018). The reprocessed IR emission of dust absorbed from UV/optical stellar emission is modelled assuming dust templates of Draine et al. (2013). For AGN emission, we used models provided by Fritz, Franceschini & Hatziminaoglou (2006). We fixed certain parameters that determine the number density distribution of the dust within the dust torus, i.e. ratio of the maximum to minimum radii of the torus ($R_{\text{Max}}/R_{\text{min}}$), density profile along the radial and the polar distance coordinates parametrized by β and γ (see equation 3 in Fritz et al. 2006), and opening angle. We parametrized the optical depth at 9.7 μm (τ) and ψ parameter (an angle between equatorial axis and line of sight) that corresponds to our viewing angle of the torus. We also parametrize AGN fraction ($f_{\text{AGN,IR}}$) as the contribution of IR luminosity from AGN to the total IR luminosity:

$$L_{\text{AGN}} = L_{\text{TIR}} \times f_{\text{AGN,IR}}, \quad (1)$$

where L_{AGN} is the AGN IR luminosity, and $f_{\text{AGN,IR}}$ is the contribution of the AGN to the total IR luminosity (L_{TIR}). We did not set AGN

Table 1. Summary of the 36-band data used in this work.

Instrument	Area (deg ²)	Filter	Effective wavelength (μm)	Detection limit (5σ, AB mag)	References
AKARI/IRC	5.4	<i>N2</i>	2.3	20.9	Kim et al. (2012)
	5.4	<i>N3</i>	3.2	21.1	Kim et al. (2012)
	5.4	<i>N4</i>	4.1	21.1	Kim et al. (2012)
	5.4	<i>S7</i>	7	19.5	Kim et al. (2012)
	5.4	<i>S9W</i>	9	19.3	Kim et al. (2012)
	5.4	<i>S11</i>	11	19.0	Kim et al. (2012)
	5.4	<i>L15</i>	15	18.6	Kim et al. (2012)
	5.4	<i>L18W</i>	18	18.7	Kim et al. (2012)
	5.4	<i>L24</i>	24	17.8	Kim et al. (2012)
CFHT/MegaCam	2, 0.7	<i>u*</i>	0.39	26, 24.6	Hwang et al. (2007), Oi et al. (2014)
	3.6	<i>u*</i>	0.38	25.27	Huang et al. (2020)
Subaru/HSC	5.4	<i>g</i>	0.47	28.6	Oi et al. (2020)
	5.4	<i>r</i>	0.61	27.3	Oi et al. (2020)
	5.4	<i>i</i>	0.76	26.7	Oi et al. (2020)
	5.4	<i>z</i>	0.89	26.0	Oi et al. (2020)
	5.4	<i>Y</i>	0.99	25.6	Oi et al. (2020)
Maidanak/SNUCAM	4	<i>B</i>	0.44	23.4	Jeon et al. (2010)
	4	<i>R</i>	0.61	23.1	Jeon et al. (2010)
	4	<i>I</i>	0.85	22.3	Jeon et al. (2010)
KPNO/FLAMINGOS	5.1	<i>H</i>	1.6	21.3	Jeon et al. (2014)
CFHT/WIRCam	0.7	<i>Y</i>	1.02	23.4	Oi et al. (2014)
	0.7	<i>J</i>	1.25	23.0	Oi et al. (2014)
	0.7	<i>K_s</i>	2.14	22.7	Oi et al. (2014)
WISE/ALLWISE	1.5	<i>W1</i>	3.4	18.1	Jarrett et al. (2011)
	1.5	<i>W2</i>	4.6	17.2	Jarrett et al. (2011)
	1.5	<i>W3</i>	12	18.4	Jarrett et al. (2011)
	1.5	<i>W4</i>	22	16.1	Jarrett et al. (2011)
Spitzer/IRAC	7	IRAC1	3.6	21.8	Nayyeri et al. (2018)
	7	IRAC2	22.4	4.5	Nayyeri et al. (2018)
	0.4	IRAC3	5.8	16.6	Jarrett et al. (2011)
	0.4	IRAC4	8	15.4	Jarrett et al. (2011)
Herschel/PACS	0.44	Green	100	14.7	Pearson et al. (2019)
	0.44	Red	160	14.1	Pearson et al. (2019)
Herschel/SPIRE	9	PSW	250	14	Pearson et al., in preparation
	9	PMW	350	14.2	Pearson et al., in preparation
	9	PLW	500	13.8	Pearson et al., in preparation

contribution up to 1. In CIGALE, it is practically very difficult to obtain a pure AGN with AGN contribution equal to 1. A source with AGN contribution equal to 0.7 is already very large, and some literature investigates AGNs with CIGALE using the same upper limit of AGN contribution as ours (e.g. Kilerci Eser et al. 2020). Therefore, we set this parameter ranging only from 0 to 0.7. Also, in order to avoid misunderstandings from other literature (e.g. Chiang et al. 2019 who defined their AGN fraction as the number fraction of AGNs over all galaxies), we describe our AGN fraction from the SED fitting as ‘AGN contribution’ in this work.

The parameter settings we used in CIGALE are summarized in Table 2.

2.3 Sample selection

In order to obtain good fitting results, the MIR and FIR photometry is important. We require a detection in the FIR wavelengths, particularly in *Herschel* SPIRE 250 μm or PACS 100 μm band. In addition to the requirement of detection in the FIR, we also selected sources

detected in *AKARI* L18W band since the detection depth in *Herschel* SPIRE and PACS are too shallow and the uncertainty in the FIR is too large for us to have a well constrained SED fitting. The L18W passband is wider than the L15 and L24 bands and it has higher sensitivity than the L15 and L24 bands. Even though this criterion will make our sample sizes smaller, the performance of the continuum fitting by CIGALE can be better constrained. Also, all sources must have redshifts larger than 0 in order to exclude stars. We use the spectroscopic redshifts if the sources have them. For the sources with no spectroscopic redshifts, we use the photometric redshifts calculated by Ho et al. (2020). Ho et al. (2020) also suggest that there are some sources with bad photometry of HSC, which we excluded in our work. Furthermore, we also exclude the stars based on the star/galaxy separation by Ho et al. (2020). After applying the above criteria, there are 446 sources with spectroscopic redshifts and 1397 sources with photometric redshifts. Under the parameter settings described in Table 2, we fit the stellar, AGN, and SF components to at most 36 photometric points.

Table 2. Modules and parameter values used to model the sample in CIGALE.

Parameter	Value
Delayed SFH	
τ_{main}^{*1} (10^6 yr)	5000.0
$\text{age}_{\text{main}}^{*2}$ (10^6 yr)	1000, 5000, 10000
τ_{burst}^{*3} (10^6 yr)	20000
$\text{age}_{\text{burst}}^{*4}$ (10^6 yr)	20
f_{burst}^{*5}	0.00, 0.01
sfr_A^{*6} ($M_{\text{sun}} \text{ yr}^{-1}$)	1.0
SSP (Bruzual & Charlot 2003)	
Initial mass function	(Salpeter 1955)
Metallicity	0.02
Separation_age ^{*7}	10
Dust attenuation (Charlot & Fall 2000)	
$\log A_V^{\text{ISM}*8}$	-2, -1.7, -1.4, -1.1, -0.8, -0.5, -0.2, 0.1, 0.4, 0.7, 1
μ^{*9}	0.44
Slope_ISM ^{*10}	-0.9, -0.7, -0.5
Slope_BC ^{*11}	-1.3, -1.0, -0.7
Dust emission (Draine et al. 2013)	
q_{PAH}^{*12}	0.47, 1.77, 2.50, 5.26
U_{min}^{*13}	0.1, 1.0, 10, 50
α^{*14}	1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0
γ^{*15}	1.0
AGN emission (Fritz et al. 2006)	
$R_{\text{Max}}/R_{\text{min}}^{*16}$	60.0
τ^{*17}	0.3, 6.0
β^{*18}	-0.5
γ^{*18}	4.0
Opening angle ^{*19}	100.0
ψ^{*20}	0.001, 60.100, 89.990
AGN contribution	0.0, 0.025, 0.05, 0.075, 0.1, 0.125, 0.15, 0.175, 0.2, 0.225, 0.25, 0.275, 0.3, 0.325, 0.35, 0.375, 0.4, 0.425, 0.45, 0.475, 0.5, 0.525, 0.55, 0.575, 0.6, 0.625, 0.65, 0.675, 0.7

Notes. ^{*1}: E-folding times of the main stellar population.

^{*2}: Age of the main stellar population in the galaxy.

^{*3}: E-folding time of the late starburst population.

^{*4}: Age of the late burst.

^{*5}: Mass fraction of the late burst population.

^{*6}: Multiplicative factor controlling the amplitude of SFR if normalize is false.

^{*7}: Age of the separation between the young and the old star populations.

^{*8}: V-band attenuation in the ISM.

^{*9}: The ratio of the attenuation in the V band experienced by old and young stars.

^{*10}: Power-law slope of the attenuation in the ISM.

^{*11}: Power-law slope of the attenuation in the birth cloud.

^{*12}: Mass fraction of PAH.

^{*13}: Minimum radiation field

^{*14}: Power-law slope $dU/dM \propto U^\alpha$

^{*15}: Fraction illuminated from U_{min} to U_{max} .

^{*16}: Ratio of the maximum to minimum radii of the torus.

^{*17}: The optical depth at $9.7 \mu\text{m}$.

^{*18}: Density profile along the radial and the polar distance coordinates parametrized by β and γ (see equation 3 in Fritz et al. 2006).

^{*19}: Opening angle.

^{*20}: An angle between equatorial axis and line of sight.

After the SED fitting, we applied a basic criterion to select the samples so that we could eliminate objects without enough information and ensure that the data are moderately well-fitted with a combination of the stellar, AGN, and SF components by CIGALE. The criterion is the SED-fitting result of an object must have reduced $\chi^2 < 10$. The reason why we determined this selection criterion is because of the large number of data points we use in CIGALE. Since there are at most 36 photometric points, the photometric points are very likely to be correlated. Therefore, the reduced χ^2 may not be well estimated.

After the visual inspection, we found reduced $\chi^2 < 10$ provides a reasonable limit. With the above criterion, there are 404 sources with spectroscopic redshift, and 1267 sources with photometric redshift, which we define as ‘spec-z sample’ and ‘photo-z sample’, respectively. We combine spec-z sample and photo-z sample into ‘all-z sample’, having 1671 sources, in total, for further analyses. The reason why we have to separate spec-z sample and photo-z sample first is to check the AGN recovery rate in spec-z sample and reliability for the photo-z sample. As mentioned before, most of the




Figure 2. Flowchart of the sample selection process.

sources with spectroscopic redshifts have already been classified as AGNs or SFGs. We first check our SFG–AGN diagnostics with the sources with spectroscopic redshifts (spec-z sample), and then apply the AGN selection based on our method to all selected sources (all-z sample). Fig. 2 shows a flowchart of our sample selection process.

In order to ensure the reliability of the physical properties obtained from CIGALE, we also took advantage of the mock analysis of the physical properties in CIGALE, e.g. AGN contribution, SFR, etc. More information on the mock analysis is in Appendix A.

3 RESULTS

3.1 SED results of spec-z/all-z samples

We define sources with AGN contribution larger than or equal 0.2 in our work as AGNs (hereafter SED–AGNs). The remaining sources in our sample are classified as SFGs (hereafter SED–SFGs). We compare our SED fitting results with the spectroscopically/X-ray selected AGNs from Shim et al. (2013) and Krumpel et al. (2015). Also, we compare our results with the AGN selection using another SED fitting code, Le Phare (Oi et al. 2020). Detailed discussions of these comparisons are in Section 4.1.

According to our definition of AGNs, we found 24 AGNs from the spec-z sample. Also, 102 AGNs are found in the photo-z sample. Therefore, there are 126 AGNs identified in this work. On average, the IR SED of typical AGNs (i.e. 2–10 keV luminosity, $L_{2-10\text{ keV}} \sim 10^{42} - 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$) is best described as a broken power law at $\leq 40 \mu\text{m}$ that falls steeply at FIR wavelengths (Mullaney et al. 2011). We checked the SEDs of our sample, and our classification is consistent with the description of IR SED in Mullaney et al. (2011). Fig. 3 shows examples of the SED fitting with CIGALE. Fig. 3(a) is the SED shape of an AGN in this work. Fig. 3(b) is the SED shape of an SFG in this work.

3.2 Correlation between AGN contribution and other parameters

One of the advantages of using CIGALE is that we can obtain physical properties, e.g. AGN contribution and AGN luminosity. We obtain the values of AGN contribution and AGN luminosity, and calculate the total IR luminosity of every source using equation (1).




Figure 3. Examples of the SED fitting from CIGALE. (a) A typical SED of AGN/composite galaxies. (b) A typical SED of SFGs.

We investigate whether the presence of AGNs is correlated with redshift or total IR luminosity. Fig. 4 shows AGN contribution, redshift, total IR luminosity, and AGN luminosity of spec-z and all-z samples, individually. It is clear that when the redshift of a source is higher, the AGN contribution is higher. Also, when the total IR luminosity and AGN luminosity of a source becomes higher, the AGN contribution is also larger. However, it is not clear whether AGN contribution depends on either redshift or total IR luminosity, or both. Therefore, in the following section, we investigate these two properties separately and see if they have a correlation with AGN contribution.

3.2.1 AGN contribution as a function of IR luminosity

Fig. 5 shows the AGN contribution as a function of total IR luminosity in different redshift bins of the all-z sample. An average value of AGN contribution in each redshift bin is shown. We separate our sample by redshift in order to see if AGN contribution has luminosity dependence. Fig. 5 shows that the AGN contribution does not change much with the total IR luminosity at redshift between 0 and 0.5. The AGN contribution is lower when the total IR luminosity is higher at redshift ≥ 0.5 . The reason why the trend of AGN contribution changes at redshift ≥ 0.5 may be the different sample size in different redshift bin. Also, this trend may be more apparent because the IR luminosity detection limit changes with redshift within the bin. Fig. 5 also shows




Figure 4. Luminosity against redshifts of the spec-z and photo-z samples in this work. The colours of the stars and dots represent the distribution of the AGN contribution of spec-z and photo-z samples. Left-hand panel: Total IR uminosity against redshifts of the spec-z and photo-z samples. The histogram along with x -axis is the distribution of redshift. The histogram along with y -axis is the distribution of total IR luminosity. The inset histogram is the distribution of the AGN contribution. Right-hand panel: AGN luminosity against redshifts of the spec-z and photo-z samples. The histogram along with y -axis is the distribution of AGN luminosity.




Figure 5. AGN contribution as a function of total IR luminosity of the all-z sample. The average (± 1 standard error) AGN contributions in each bin are connected by the dashed lines. The purple triangles are the $0 \leq z < 0.25$ bin. The blue squares are the $0.25 \leq z < 0.5$ bin. The green crosses are the $0.5 \leq z < 1$ bin. The orange diamonds are the $1 \leq z < 1.5$ bin. The pink circles are the $1.5 \leq z < 2$ bin. The red triangles are the $z \geq 2$ bin.

that the overall AGN contribution is higher at higher redshift bins. This result may imply that AGN contribution is higher at higher redshift at a fixed IR luminosity.

Also, since a *Herschel* PACS 100 μm or SPIRE 250 μm detection is required to be in the sample, our sample is flux-limited in the FIR at redshift higher than 1. Therefore, only very luminous galaxies at high- z are selected into our sample. We caution that, at high redshift,




Figure 6. AGN contribution as a function of redshift of the all-z sample. The average (± 1 standard error) AGN contributions in each bin are connected by the dashed lines. The purple triangles are the $\log L_{\text{TIR}} < 9$ bin. The blue squares are the $9 \leq \log L_{\text{TIR}} < 10$ bin. The green crosses are the $10 \leq \log L_{\text{TIR}} < 11$ bin. The orange diamonds are the $11 \leq \log L_{\text{TIR}} < 12$ bin. The red circles are the $\log L_{\text{TIR}} \geq 12$ bin.

AGN-dominated objects without FIR detection might be dropped from our sample due to the flux limit.

3.2.2 AGN contribution as a function of redshift

Fig. 6 shows the AGN contribution as a function of redshift with different IR luminosity bins of the all-z sample. We found that the

redshift dependence is clear. When the redshift is higher, the AGN contribution becomes higher at a fixed total IR luminosity.

Some literature discuss the relation between AGN number fraction and redshift (e.g. Chiang et al. 2019). The AGN number fraction is defined as

$$f_{\text{AGN,num}} = \frac{N_{\text{AGN}}}{N_{\text{SFG+AGN}}}, \quad (2)$$

where $f_{\text{AGN,num}}$ is the AGN number fraction, N_{AGN} is the number of AGNs. $N_{\text{SFG+AGN}}$ is the total number of SFGs and AGNs. However, the AGN number fraction and the AGN contribution are different physical quantities. For example, it is possible that AGN number fraction is constant across the redshift, (for example ~ 20 per cent) but at the same time the AGN contribution is changing across the redshift, i.e. still only 20 per cent are AGN in number, but among these AGN, the AGN contribution is higher. The converse might be true instead: the AGN contribution is constant across the redshift, but the AGN number fraction increases, for example, the AGN contribution is all 20 per cent, but the number of galaxies with the AGN contribution = 20 per cent increases. Therefore, we believe these two are different physical quantities, and thus, we would like to measure separately, compare, and draw conclusions. Since Chiang et al. (2019) discussed the AGN number fraction in NEP Wide field, we compare our results using the AGN number fraction as well. Detailed comparison is in Section 4.3.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Reliability of CIGALE AGN selection

In this section, we discuss our AGN definition using CIGALE, i.e. AGN contribution higher than 0.2, in comparison to spectroscopic and X-ray definitions of AGNs.

Most of the sources in the spec-z sample are already classified by the spectroscopic and *Chandra* X-ray surveys in Shim et al. (2013) and Krumpe et al. (2015). Some X-ray classified sources which are outside of the X-ray observation region covered by Krumpe et al. (2015) are obtained from *ROSAT* all sky survey (Gioia et al. 2003). Sources detected in X-rays with an X-ray luminosity of $\log L_X > 41.5 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (0.5–7 keV) are classified as AGNs. Also, from the spectroscopic surveys, sources with at least one emission line of $\text{FWHM} > 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, or if they are Seyfert 1.5 type, they are selected as AGNs. The spectroscopically or X-ray0selected AGNs are described as ‘XS-AGNs’ in this regard.

Oi et al. (submitted) also selected AGNs in the NEP-Wide field using the observational data in band-merged catalogue from Kim et al. (submitted), and classified AGNs using the *Spitzer* Wide-area Infrared Extragalactic (SWIRE) templates from Polletta et al. (2007) in Le Phare, which includes 16 galaxy and starburst templates and 9 AGN templates. In this regard, we describe the classification as ‘LePhare-AGN’. We compare our results for the spec-z sample with the previous classifications. Fig. 7 shows number of sources in different AGN contribution bins of the spec-z sample. Shen et al. (2020) selected AGNs using CIGALE. They investigated 179 radio-IR galaxies drawn from a sample of spectroscopically confirmed galaxies, which are detected in radio and MIR in the redshift range of $0.55 \leq z \leq 1.30$ in the Observations of Redshift Evolution in Large Scale Environments survey. They define AGNs as AGN contribution larger than 0.1. If we apply the definition of AGN from Shen et al. (2020) to our all-z samples, there are 82 sources meeting this AGN selection criterion. We divided the distribution of AGN contribution of the spec-z sample into different bins and compare with other AGN




Figure 7. Distribution of the sources at different AGN contribution bin from the spec-z sample. Along x-axis, there are six bins of different AGN contribution. The red bars are the number of the sources in different AGN contribution bins with our method. The pink bars are the number of XS-AGNs in different AGN contribution bins. The orange bars are the number of LePhare-AGNs in different AGN contribution bins.

classifications (see Fig. 7, 14 per cent and 31 per cent of the sources with AGN contribution between 0.1 and 0.2 are classified as XS-AGNs and LePhare-AGNs, respectively. Therefore, in this work, we adopt a stricter definition of AGN, which is a source with AGN contribution larger than 0.2.

Due to the limited survey depth from Krumpe et al. (2015), some AGNs may be missed in X-ray sources. In the case of spectroscopically selected AGNs, these are not complete since it is not possible to place a fiber on every IR-selected source due to the limited number of fibers.

In this work, we made a more strict definition of SED-AGNs. We define our SED-AGNs as sources with AGN contribution ≥ 0.2 . The reason why sources with AGN contribution between 0.1 and 0.2 are not defined as SED-AGNs is because 69 per cent of them are not classified as XS-AGNs or LePhare-AGNs. Therefore, only sources with AGN contribution ≥ 0.2 are selected as SED-AGNs. There are 24 and 102 SED-AGNs that we found in the spec-z and photo-z samples, respectively. In total, 126 SED-AGNs are found in our all-z sample.

4.2 Comparison between colour-selected AGNs and ‘SED-AGNs’

We compare our results with the AGN classifications defined by Jarrett et al. (2011) and Mateos et al. (2012). Jarrett et al. (2011) and Mateos et al. (2012) used *WISE* colours to classify AGNs. In Fig. 8, the red box is the ‘AGN’ region defined in Jarrett et al. (2011), encompassing quasars (QSOs) and Seyfert galaxies. However, inside the *WISE* colour box in both figures, there are still many SED-AGNs. Furthermore, many XS-AGNs are outside the *WISE* colour box. Recently, Assef et al. (2018) released catalogues of two AGN candidates, R90 catalogue and C75 catalogue, from the *WISE* ALLWISE Data Release based on the W1 and W2 colour-magnitude selection. Both catalogues are selected purely using the *WISE* W1 and W2 bands (see equations 4 and 5 in Assef et al. 2018). The R90 catalogue consists of 4543 530 AGN candidates with 90 per cent reliability, while the C75 catalogue consists of 20 907 127 AGN candidates with 75 per cent completeness. These reliability and completeness figures were determined from a detailed analysis of




Figure 8. The *WISE* colour–colour diagram of the all-*z* sample. The red-lined box is the AGN criteria from Jarrett et al. (2011). The green-lined box is the AGN criteria from Mateos et al. (2012). The light purple dots are the all-*z* sample. The black circles are XS-AGNs. The grey and blue contours are the distribution of AGNs in the R90 and C75 catalogues from Assef et al. (2018). The dark purple, blue, orange, and red crosses are $0.2 \leq f_{\text{AGN,IR}} < 0.3$, $0.3 \leq f_{\text{AGN,IR}} < 0.4$, $0.4 \leq f_{\text{AGN,IR}} < 0.5$, and $f_{\text{AGN,IR}} \geq 0.5$, respectively.

UV- to NIR SEDs of 105 sources in the 9 deg² Boötes field. To examine whether the AGN classifications defined by Jarrett et al. (2011) and Mateos et al. (2012) match the two AGN catalogues from Assef et al. (2018), we overplot the two AGN catalogues and compare with our SED fitting result in Fig. 8. The grey and blue contours in Fig. 8 are the distribution of *WISE* R90 and *WISE* C75 AGN catalogues. Even R90 catalogue is with 90 per cent reliability and C75 catalogue is with 75 per cent completeness, not all the AGNs are selected by the two AGN boxes. Also, not all the SED–AGNs in our all-*z* sample are selected by the two AGN boxes. This shows the limitation in selecting AGNs only using two bands, W1 and W2. In our work, we use at most 36 bands, including 17 in MIR, allowing us more sophisticated AGN selection through the advanced SED fitting.

Lee et al. (2007) used *AKARI* colours to classify AGNs. Sources with *AKARI* S7–S11 > 0 mag and N2–N4 > 0 mag are defined as AGNs. In Fig. 9, we compare our results with Lee et al. (2007). The red-lined box is the AGN criteria from Lee et al. (2007). The black circles are the XS-AGNs. In terms of *AKARI* S7–S11 colour the AGN criteria box is mostly consistent with our SED AGN selection. However, in terms of *AKARI* N2–N4 colour, there are still many AGNs outside of the colour range.

The reason why there are some AGNs outside the region satisfying the AGN selection criteria (Jarrett et al. 2011; Mateos et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2007) is because those authors proposed the criteria on the basis of a compromise between the completeness and the reliability of AGN selection. If simple completeness (i.e. to identify more AGNs) is increased, the reliability of the selection criteria would decrease because of contamination.

Also, there are many SED–SFGs inside the *WISE* colour box and *AKARI* colour boxes. We checked the SEDs of some SED–SFGs that are inside all of the colour–colour boxes. These objects do not have obvious AGN power-law features but have PAH emission feature peaks. Therefore, these sources are more likely to be SFGs (see Fig. 10). This may imply that we may encounter more difficulties when we identify SFGs and AGNs using colour–colour diagrams. Since colours are often affected by redshifts, we plot the *WISE*




Figure 9. The *AKARI* colour–colour diagram of the all-*z* sample. The upper right green-lined box is the AGN selected from Lee et al. (2007). The light purple dots are the all-*z* sample. The dark purple circles are XS-AGNs. The dark purple, blue, orange, and red crosses are $0.2 \leq f_{\text{AGN,IR}} < 0.3$, $0.3 \leq f_{\text{AGN,IR}} < 0.4$, $0.4 \leq f_{\text{AGN,IR}} < 0.5$ and $f_{\text{AGN,IR}} \geq 0.5$, respectively.




Figure 10. An example SED fitting result from CIGALE. ID = 132475 is more likely to be an SFG than an AGN.

colour–colour diagram with redshift. Fig. 11 shows the redshift distribution of the sources in the *WISE* colour–colour diagram. There are many high-*z* SED–SFGs inside the AGN criteria boxes. On the other hand, there are many low-*z* SED–AGNs outside the AGN criteria boxes. This result may imply that we may fail to detect low-*z* AGNs if we use colour–colour diagrams.

4.3 AGN number fraction

To test theories of galaxy–SMBH co-evolution and to detect the sources of the observed IR and hard X-ray backgrounds, the AGN number fraction is crucial (Rovilos et al. 2014; Shi et al. 2013). We plot our SED–AGN number fraction as a function of total IR luminosity and redshift and compare our results with Chiang et al. (2019). Chiang et al. (2019) used Le Phare to select AGNs in the




Figure 11. The WISE colour-colour diagram of the all-z sample. The red-lined box is the AGN criteria from Jarrett et al. (2011). The green-lined box is the AGN criteria from Mateos et al. (2012). The coloured dots are the SED-SFGs. The coloured stars are the SED-AGNs. The different colours of stars and dots represent different redshifts.




Figure 12. AGN number fraction as a function of total IR luminosity in the all-z sample. The purple triangles are the $0 \leq z < 0.25$ bin. The blue squares are the $0.25 \leq z < 0.5$ bin. The green crosses are the $0.5 \leq z < 1$ bin. The orange diamonds are the $1 \leq z < 1.5$ bin. The pink circles are the $1.5 \leq z < 2$ bin. The red circles are the $z \geq 2$ bin.

NEP Wide field with 18 IR bands of data, including *AKARI*'s nine passbands, *WISE* 1-4, *Spitzer* IRAC 1-4, and MIPS 1 photometry. Their results indicate that AGN number fraction seems to show stronger IR luminosity dependence than redshift dependence. They also examined the fractions of SFGs and found mild decreasing trends at high IR luminosities. Fig. 12 shows AGN number fraction as a function of total IR luminosity. There is no clear relation between AGN number fraction and total IR luminosity at a fixed redshift. Fig. 13 shows AGN number fraction as a function of redshift. With the increasing redshift, the AGN number fraction becomes higher at a fixed luminosity.

Our results show the opposite conclusion from Chiang et al. (2019). We attempt to propose some possibilities regarding this




Figure 13. AGN number fraction as a function of redshift in the all-z sample. The purple triangles are the $\log L_{\text{TIR}} < 9$ bin. The blue squares are the $9 \leq \log L_{\text{TIR}} < 10$ bin. The green crosses are the $10 \leq \log L_{\text{TIR}} < 11$ bin. The orange diamonds are the $11 \leq \log L_{\text{TIR}} < 12$ bin. The red circles are the $\log L_{\text{TIR}} \geq 12$ bin.

discrepancy. We argue the differences between our results and Chiang et al. (2019) are mainly due to different sample selection and different SED-fitting techniques. Even though both samples are in the NEP wide field, we only select *AKARI* L18W and *Herschel* PACS 100 μm or SPIRE detected sources, whereas Chiang et al. (2019) selected all of the *AKARI* sources regardless of L18W detection. Furthermore, Chiang et al. (2019) only included *AKARI* nine passbands, *WISE* 1-4, *Spitzer* IRAC 1-4, and MIPS 1 photometry. They did not have any FIR photometry to construct a better constraint on SED fitting. All the sources in our sample are detected in optical, MIR, and FIR, which allow us to avoid having low-quality SED fitting. We also use different SED-fitting techniques. Chiang et al. (2019) used Le Phare to select AGNs. They used the Polletta et al. (2007) templates, which only contains three elliptical, seven spiral, six starburst, seven AGN, and two AGN- Starburst composite models, to determine the spectral type of galaxies. Meanwhile, we use CIGALE, which enables us to construct millions of models and derive the SFG-AGN decomposition directly. Therefore, we argue different sample selection and different SED-fitting techniques cause the different results.

4.4 Extremely luminous sources

Galaxies with IR luminosity exceeding $10^{13} L_{\odot}$ are termed hyper luminous IR galaxies (HyLIRGs; Rowan-Robinson 2000). HyLIRGs are very rare populations in the local universe. However their relative abundance in galaxies is much higher at higher redshift. In addition, those luminous galaxies often show significantly high SF and/or AGN activity (e.g. Fan et al. 2016; Toba et al. 2018; Wu et al. 2018; Toba et al. 2020b), which would suggest that they correspond to the maximum phase in the growth history of galaxies. Therefore, it is important to search for IR luminous galaxies such as HyLIRGs in order to better understand the galaxy formation and evolution and connection to their SMBHs. There are 147 HyLIRGs that we found in our all-z sample. Among these HyLIRGs, we found two SED-AGNs with high SFR ($> 2.5 \times 10^3 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). We checked the optical and IR images of the sources. Figs 14 and 15 shows the Subaru HSC i , z , Y , and *AKARI* N2, N3 images. Their spectra is in Fig. 16. Both sources show very strong emission in both optical and IR wavelengths. We report that these two objects are QSOs since they are point sources of high luminosity and redshift. Both sources are spectroscopically classified as Type-1 AGNs (Shim et al. 2013). With our SED-fitting




Figure 14. Images of ID = 133652. The redshift of the source is 3.363, and its AGN contribution is 0.25 ± 0.06 . The SFR is $1.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^4 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The total IR luminosity is $9.03^{+3.0}_{-1.8} \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$. The centre of the green circle is the AKARI's coordinate. The radius of a green circle of 133652 is $10''$.




Figure 15. Images of ID = 134015. The redshift of the source is 1.943, and its AGN contribution is 0.21 ± 0.02 . The SFR is $2.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^3 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The total IR luminosity is $2.48^{+2.3}_{-1.0} \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$. The centre of the green circle is the AKARI's coordinate. The radius of a green circle of 134015 is 5 arcsec.




Figure 16. Spectra of 2 QSOs identified by SED fitting in this work. (a) Spectrum of ID = 133652. (b) Spectrum of ID = 134015.

Table 3. Physical properties of the extremely luminous sources.

ID	z	$f_{\text{AGN,IR}}$	$L_{\text{TIR}} (L_{\odot})$	SFR ($M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$)
133652	3.363	0.25 ± 0.06	$9.03^{+3.0}_{-1.8} \times 10^{13}$	$1.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^4$
134015	1.943	0.21 ± 0.02	$2.48^{+2.3}_{-1.0} \times 10^{13}$	$2.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^3$

method, we are able to find QSOs. The physical properties of the extremely luminous sources are summarized in Table 3.

We also checked the V-band attenuation in the ISM, A_V^{ISM} of the HyLIRGs. We found that the average A_V^{ISM} output by CIGALE for objects with ID = 133652 and ID = 134015 are about 0.32 and 1.26, respectively. This indicates that the HyLIRG with ID = 134015 is more affected by dust extinction, and thus it may be a highly obscured

HyLIRG at $z = 1.9$. But, if the object with ID = 134015 is affected by strong lensing, its IR luminosity should be boosted. In general, we need a high spatial resolution (<0.1 arcsec) image to justify the claim of a lensing effect. Therefore, we still need to consider other possibilities to explain why this object has a large IR luminosity. Furthermore, the SFR of the HyLIRG with ID = 133652 we obtained from CIGALE is very high. We checked the relation between the IR luminosity and SFR from Kennicutt (1998):

$$\text{SFR}_{\text{Kennicutt}} (M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 4.5 \times 10^{-44} L_{\text{IR}} (\text{ergs}^{-1}). \quad (3)$$

The IR luminosity in Kennicutt (1998) is defined as the luminosity integrated from 8 to $1000 \mu\text{m}$. We define the IR luminosity as dust luminosity from Draine et al. (2013) in CIGALE, which is similar to L_{IR} defined in Kennicutt (1998). Then, we derive the SFR from

equation (3) and check if it is consistent with the SFR we obtained from CIGALE. The SFR of ID = 133652 derived from Kennicutt (1998) is $7.1^{+1.4}_{-2.5} \times 10^3 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The SFR of ID = 133652 obtained from CIGALE is $1.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^4 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The difference of SFR from Kennicutt (1998) and CIGALE is ~ 30 per cent, which seems to be large. However, the observational uncertainty of *Herschel*/SPIRE PSW (250 μm) of ID = 133652 is also large (~ 19 per cent). Therefore, if we take it into account, it is reasonable for the ID = 133652 to have such a large SFR from CIGALE.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We used the unique *AKARI* NEP-Wide sample with 36 photometric bands to perform CIGALE SED fitting and select AGNs. We define the AGN as sources with AGN contribution larger than 0.2 in this work. With this definition, we found 126 AGNs. We also found that traditional colour–colour selection criteria could miss low-*z* AGNs. Furthermore, We investigate the HyLIRGS in our sample and found, at least, 2 QSOs. We extended the SED analysis of *AKARI* sources to the entire NEP-Wide field. Based on the SED fitting results performed with CIGALE, we found that AGN contribution may be more dependent on redshift than total IR luminosity. However, we do not find any clear correlation between AGN contribution and total IR luminosity in contrast to Chiang et al. (2019). This is probably due to the different sample selection criteria, and a more sophisticated SED fitting method used in this work. More high-*z* sources are needed in order to confirm whether AGN contribution still depends on redshift at a fixed IR luminosity. Therefore, we expect future deep IR surveys, i.e. James Webb Space Telescope, can help us reveal this relation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to the anonymous referee for many insightful comments. This research is based on observations with *AKARI*, a JAXA project with the participation of ESA. This work used high-performance computing facilities operated by the Centre for Informatics and Computation in Astronomy (CICA) at National Tsing Hua University (NTHU) through a grant from the Ministry of Education (MOE) of the Republic of China (Taiwan). This research was conducted under the agreement on scientific cooperation between the Polish Academy of Sciences and the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) of Taiwan through grant 109-2927-I-007-505. TG acknowledges the support by the MOST of Taiwan through grant 108-2628-M-007-004-MY3. TH and AYLO are supported by the CICA at NTHU. AYLO's visit to NTHU is also supported by the MOST of the Republic of China (Taiwan) grant 105-2119-M-007-028-MY3, kindly hosted by Prof Albert Kong. This equipment was funded by the MOE, the MOST of Taiwan, and NTHU. TM is supported by DGAPA, UNAM PASPA and PAPIIT IN111319 as well as CONACYT 252531. KM and AP have been supported by the National Science Centre (grants UMO-2018/30/E/ST9/00082 and UMO-2018/30/M/ST9/00757).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data are available upon request.

REFERENCES

Arnouts S., Cristiani S., Moscardini L., Matarrese S., Lucchin F., Fontana A., Giallongo E., 1999, *MNRAS*, 310, 540

- Assef R. J., Stern D., Noirot G., Jun H. D., Cutri R. M., Eisenhardt P. R. M., 2018, *ApJS*, 234, 23
- Boquien M., Burgarella D., Roehlly Y., Buat V., Ciesla L., Corre D., Inoue A. K., Salas H., 2019, *A&A*, 622, A103
- Bruzual G., Charlot S., 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 1000
- Buat V., Boquien M., Malek K., Corre D., Salas H., Roehlly Y., Shirley R., Efstathiou A., 2018, *A&A*, 619, A135
- Buat V., Ciesla L., Boquien M., Malek K., Burgarella D., 2019, *A&A*, 632, A79
- Burgarella D., Buat V., Iglesias-Páramo J., 2005, *MNRAS*, 360, 1413
- Charlot S., Fall S. M., 2000, *ApJ*, 539, 718
- Chiang C.-Y., Goto T., Hashimoto T., Kim S. J., Matsuhara H., Oi N., 2019, *PASJ*, 71, 31
- Draine B. T. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 780, 172
- Díaz Tello J. et al., 2017, *A&A*, 604, A14
- Elston R. J. et al., 2006, *ApJ*, 639, 816
- Fan L., Han Y., Nikutta R., Drouart G., Knudsen K. K., 2016, *ApJ*, 823, 107
- Feltre A. et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 2426
- Fritz J., Franceschini A., Hatziminaoglou E., 2006, *MNRAS*, 366, 767
- Gioia I. M., Henry J. P., Mullis C. R., Böhringer H., Briel U. G., Voges W., Huchra J. P., 2003, *ApJS*, 149, 29
- Goto T. et al., 2017, *Publ. Korean Astron. Soc.*, 32, 225
- Goto T. et al., 2019, *PASJ*, 71, 30
- Hickox R. C., Alexander D. M., 2018, *ARA&A*, 56, 625
- Ho S. C.-C. et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, submitted
- Huang T.-C., Goto T., Hashimoto T., Oi N., Matsuhara H., 2017, *MNRAS*, 471, 4239
- Huang T.-C. et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, 498, 609
- Hwang H. S., Geller M. J., Kurtz M. J., Dell'Antonio I. P., Fabricant D. G., 2012, *ApJ*, 758, 25
- Hwang N. et al., 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 583
- Ilbert O. et al., 2006, *A&A*, 457, 841
- Inoue A. K., 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 2920
- Iye M., Moorwood A. F. M., 2003, in Iye M., Moorwood A. F. M., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 4841, Instrument Design and Performance for Optical/Infrared Ground-based Telescopes. SPIE, Bellingham,
- Jarrett T. H. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 735, 112
- Jeon Y., Im M., Ibrahimov M., Lee H. M., Lee I., Lee M. G., 2010, *ApJS*, 190, 166
- Jeon Y., Im M., Kang E., Lee H. M., Matsuhara H., 2014, *ApJS*, 214, 20
- Kennicutt, Robert C. J., 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 189
- Kilerci Eser E., Goto T., Güver T., Tuncer A., Atas O. H., 2020, *MNRAS*, 494, 5793
- Kim H. K., Malkan M. A., Oi N., Burgarella D., Buat V., Takagi T., Matsuhara H., 2018, in Ootsubo T., Yamamura I., Murata K., Onaka T., eds, The Cosmic Wheel and the Legacy of the *AKARI* Archive: From Galaxies and Stars to Planets and Life, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), Japan. p. 371
- Kim S. J. et al., 2012, *A&A*, 548, A29
- Kim S. J. et al., 2019, *PASJ*, 71, 11
- Kim S. J. et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, submitted
- Komatsu E. et al., 2011, *ApJS*, 192, 18
- Kormendy J., Ho L. C., 2013, *ARA&A*, 51, 511
- Krumpe M. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 911
- Lacy M., Petric A. O., Sajina A., Canalizo G., Storrie-Lombardi L. J., Armus L., Fadda D., Marleau F. R., 2007, *AJ*, 133, 186
- Lee H. M. et al., 2007, *PASJ*, 59, S529
- Lee H. M. et al., 2009, *PASJ*, 61, 375
- Lo Faro B., Buat V., Roehlly Y., Alvarez-Marquez J., Burgarella D., Silva L., Efstathiou A., 2017, *MNRAS*, 472, 1372
- Mateos S. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 426, 3271
- Matsuhara H. et al., 2006, *PASJ*, 58, 673
- Malek K. et al., 2018, *A&A*, 620
- Miyaji T., Team A., 2018, IAU Symp, 341
- Miyazaki S. et al., 2018, *PASJ*, 70, S1
- Mullaney J. R., Alexander D. M., Goulding A. D., Hickox R. C., 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 1082
- Murakami H. et al., 2007, *PASJ*, 59, S369

- Nayyeri H. et al., 2018, *ApJS*, 234, 38
 Noll S., Burgarella D., Giovannoli E., Buat V., Marcillac D., Muñoz-Mateos J. C., 2009, *A&A*, 507, 1793
 Ohya Y. et al., 2018, *A&A*, 618, A101
 Oi N., Goto T., Malkan M., Pearson C., Matsuhara H., 2017, *PASJ*, 69, 70
 Oi N. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 566, A60
 Oi N. et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, in press
 Onaka T. et al., 2007, *PASJ*, 59, S401
 Pearson C. et al., 2019, *PASJ*, 71, 13
 Polletta M. et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 663, 81
 Rovilos E. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 438, 494
 Rowan-Robinson M., 2000, *MNRAS*, 316, 885
 Salpeter E. E., 1955, *ApJ*, 121, 161
 Shen L. et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, 494, 5374
 Shim H. et al., 2013, *ApJS*, 207, 37
 Shi Y., Helou G., Armus L., Stierwalt S., Dale D., 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 28
 Shogaki A. et al., 2018, in Ootsubo T., Yamamura I., Murata K., Onaka T., eds, *The Cosmic Wheel and the Legacy of the AKARI Archive: From Galaxies and Stars to Planets and Life*, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), Japan, p. 367
 Toba Y., Nagao T., 2016, *ApJ*, 820, 46
 Toba Y., Ueda J., Lim C.-F., Wang W.-H., Nagao T., Chang Y.-Y., Saito T., Kawabe R., 2018, *ApJ*, 857, 31
 Toba Y. et al., 2015, *PASJ*, 67, 86
 Toba Y. et al., 2020a, *ApJ*, 899, 35
 Toba Y. et al., 2020b, *ApJ*, 889, 76
 Wu J. et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 852, 96

APPENDIX A: MOCK ANALYSIS IN CIGALE

One feature of CIGALE is the possibility to assess whether or not physical properties can actually be estimated in a reliable way through the analysis of a mock catalogue. The idea is to compare the physical properties of the mock catalogue, which are known exactly, to the estimates from the analysis of the likelihood distribution. In CIGALE, best fitting is considered for each object and an artificial catalogue is built based on the best fitting. Then, noise is injected




Figure A1. Comparison of exact output AGN contribution from CIGALE and estimated AGN contribution from mock analysis of the spec-z sample. The blue line is the 1-to-1 relation line. The red line is the linear regression fit. The coefficient of determination is 0.91.




Figure A2. Comparison of exact output SFR from CIGALE and estimated SFR from mock analysis of the spec-z sample. The blue line is the 1-to-1 relation line. The red line is the linear regression fit. The coefficient of determination is 1.00. In order to show the clear relation, two of the sources are not shown in the figure. The two sources are ID = 133652 and ID = 134015, with $\text{SFR} = 1.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^4 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $\text{SFR} = 2.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^3 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$, respectively.

into the fluxes of this new catalogue to simulate new observations. Each quantity is modified by adding a value taken from a Gaussian distribution with the same standard deviation as the uncertainty on the observation. This mock catalogue is then analysed in the exact same way as the original observations. Physical properties for which the exact and estimated values are similar can be estimated reliably.

Fig. A1 shows the comparison of the original value of the AGN contribution from CIGALE and estimated AGN contribution from mock analysis. The coefficient of determination is 0.91. Fig. A2 shows the comparison of the original value of the SFR from CIGALE and estimated SFR from mock analysis. The coefficient of determination is 1.00. The mock analysis of the physical properties (i.e. AGN contribution, SFR) shows that our SED fitting results are reliable.

¹*Institute of Astronomy, National Tsing Hua University, No. 101, Section 2, Kuang-Fu Road, Hsinchu City 30013, Taiwan*

²*Centre for Informatics and Computation in Astronomy (CICA), National Tsing Hua University, 101, Section 2, Kuang-Fu Road, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan (R.O.C.)*

³*Aix Marseille Univ. CNRS, CNES, F-13388 LAM Marseille, France*

⁴*Department of Astronomy, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

⁵*Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 11F of Astronomy-Mathematics Building, AS/NTU No.1, Section 4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 10617, Taiwan*

⁶*Research Center for Space and Cosmic Evolution, Ehime University, 2-5 Bunkyo-cho, Matsuyama, Ehime 790-8577, Japan*

⁷*Department of Earth Science Education, Kyungpook National University, 80 Daehak-ro, Buk-gu, Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea*

⁸*Instituto de Astronomía sede Ensenada, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (IA-UNAM-E) Km 107, Carret. Tij.-Ens., 22860 Ensenada, BC, Mexico*

⁹Leibnitz Instituto für Astrophysik (AIP), An der Sternwarte 16, D-14482 Potsdam, Germany

¹⁰Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute, 776 Daedeokdae-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34055, Republic of Korea

¹¹Korea University of Science and Technology, 217 Gajeong-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34113, Republic of Korea

¹²National Institute of Technology, Wakayama College, Gobo, Wakayama 644-0023, Japan

¹³RAL Space, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, UK

¹⁴The Open University, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK

¹⁵University of Oxford, Keble Rd, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

¹⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, UCLA, 475 Portola Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547, USA

¹⁷Tokyo University of Science, 1-3, Kagurazaka Shinjuku-ku Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

¹⁸National Centre for Nuclear Research, ul. Pasteura 7, 02-931 Warszawa

¹⁹Astronomical Observatory of the Jagiellonian University, ul. Orla 171, PL-30-244 Krakow, Poland

²⁰Department of Space and Astronautical Science, Graduate University for Advanced Studies, SOKENDAI, Shonankokusaimura, Hayama, Miura District, Kanagawa 240-0193, Japan

²¹Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, 3-1-1 Yoshinodai, Chuo-ku, Sagami-hara, Kanagawa 252-5210, Japan

²²Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St Mary, Dorking, Surrey RH5 6NT, UK

This paper has been typeset from a \LaTeX file prepared by the author.