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Abstract

Introduction: \textit{Pseudevernia furfuracea}, a lichen used classically for cosmetic applications, contains interesting metabolites possessing antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory or antioxidant properties.

Objectives: Ionic liquids combined to microwave-assisted extraction (IL-MAE) was successfully applied for metabolites extraction from \textit{P. furfuracea}.

Materials and methods: Three imidazolium and pyridinium-based ionic liquids: 1,3-dimethylimidazolium methylsulphate [C\textsubscript{1}C\textsubscript{1}Im][MeSO\textsubscript{4}], 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium ethylsulphate [C\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{1}Im][EtSO\textsubscript{4}], and \textit{N}-ethylpyridinium ethylsulphate [C\textsubscript{2}Py][EtSO\textsubscript{4}] were assessed for this process. The efficiency of the extraction method was evaluated using thin layer chromatography (TLC) coupled to a Camag\textsuperscript{®} spectrophotodensitometer and using HPLC analysis.
Results: ILs under MAE showed extraction time-economy (15 min versus 24 h for conventional heating) and high selectivity in extracting the targeted metabolites: atranorin (AT), methyl-β-orcinol carboxylate (MOC), fumarprotocetraric acid (Fum. Ac.), and physodic acid (Phys. Ac.) despite the increased degradation of AT under MAE. We showed a tunable selectivity of ionic liquids (IL) towards extracting metabolites by changing anion or cation due to the modification of the interaction between the IL and the metabolites. While \([\text{C}_2\text{Py}][\text{EtSO}_4]\) was the most efficient IL and could extract all the targeted metabolites, \([\text{C}_2\text{C}_1\text{Im}][\text{EtSO}_4]\) was the most selective. It fully extracted AT and partially Fum. Ac.

Moreover, the lichen prepared by mixing procedure provided AT and Fum. Ac. more than milled one. A 100 times scale-up extraction was successfully performed on mixed samples with full IL recycling after back extraction.

Conclusion: IL-MAE is reliable for lichen metabolites extraction. The method is reproducible, scalable, with possible IL recycling, opening the door for potential industrial applications.

**Keywords**: *Pseudevernia furfuracea*; Lichens; Ionic liquid; Phenolic compounds, Microwave-assisted extraction (MAE); Pilot-scale, recycling of ionic liquids.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Lichens are a large group of symbiotic organisms with around 17,000 reported species.\(^1\) These organisms, which are able to live in extreme conditions, produce different types of phenolic specialized metabolites including monophenolic compounds, depsides, depsidones, and diphenyl ethers for the most lichen-specific metabolites.\(^2\) Lichens are employed in various fields of application including perfumery, cosmetics, and for medicinal purposes\(^3,4\) owing to the interesting biological activities of their metabolites including antitumor, anti-
inflammatory, antiviral, antibacterial or antioxidant (for review see the literature\textsuperscript{5,6,7,8}). Extracting these metabolites in their pure form is of great interest. A potential candidate class of solvents to fulfill this task is the ionic liquids (ILs), attracting a growing interest in the extraction process for the isolation and/or purification of various bioactive compounds from biomass matrices\textsuperscript{9} or plants\textsuperscript{10,11}. ILs are solvents of interest for extraction processes due to their unique properties such as low vapour pressure, non-flammability, solvents miscibility, and good extractability of various compounds.\textsuperscript{9,11,12} Some disadvantages have been nevertheless revealed such as the potential environmental impact of some toxic ILs and the relatively high cost of large scale industrial applications. It is thus necessary to consider low or non-toxic ionic liquids and ensure their recovery at the end of the extraction process\textsuperscript{12} to reduce the economical and ecological costs. Thus, ionic liquids combined to microwave-assisted extraction (IL-MAE) was applied herein to \textit{Pseudevernia furfuracea} (L.) Zopf, a lichen of high interest due to its richness in active phenolic compounds and its application in the perfume industry (1900 tons/year).\textsuperscript{13,14} Various extracts derived from this lichen already exhibited significant antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, anticancer and antioxidant activities.\textsuperscript{15,16,17} The major isolated metabolites from \textit{P. furfuracea} are two depsides (atranorin (AT) and chloratranorin) and some depsidones (physodic acid (Phys. Ac.) or olivetoric acid according to the chemotype). These latter metabolites (AT or Phys. Ac.) are known for their antimicrobial\textsuperscript{18,19} and anti-inflammatory\textsuperscript{20} or antioxidant\textsuperscript{21} properties. We have already studied the influence of sample preparation method (mixing or milling of lichens) on the efficiency of MAE using acetone to extract AT from \textit{P. furfuracea} var. \textit{furfuracea}.\textsuperscript{14} We have also investigated the influence of the anion chain length on the extraction of norstictic acid from \textit{Pertusaria Pseudocorallina} using ionic liquid-based on imidazolium cation combined with microwave irradiations.\textsuperscript{22} An additional study was recently performed on \textit{Stereaucolon glareosum} confirming the ability of imidazolium cations to interact with lichen metabolites.\textsuperscript{23}
In this work, we explore various parameters influencing the IL-MAE of four metabolites from *P. furfuracea* var. *furfuracea* (Fig. 1) including the method used to prepare the samples and the structure of ILs. Three different ILs bearing inorganic anions and pyridinium- or imidazolium-derived aromatic cations were assessed (Table 1). We explore the influence of these structural modifications of the ionic liquids on the extraction efficiency of the major secondary metabolites from *P. furfuracea*. Additionally, we report the successful 100 times scale up of the process with complete recycling of the ionic liquid.

< Fig. 1>

< Table 1>

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials

All the commercial products were used as received without further purification. *n*-hexane, acetone, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were purchased from Carlo Erba (Milano, Italy); toluene, diethyl ether, and formic acid from Prolabo (Paris, France). 1–Methylimidazole, pyridine, diethylsulfate, dimethylsulfate, chlorobutane, and bis((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)imide lithium salt from Fluka (Illkirch, France). Lichen metabolites standards were provided from the library of the COrInt team (University of Rennes 1).

*Pseudevernia furfuracea*, known as Oak moss, was collected from cedar in Morocco (Voucher JB/02/10) specimen. The lichen was identified as *P. furfuracea* var. *furfuracea* according to macroscopic, microscopic, and chemical thalline reactions using potassium hydroxide (K⁺), sodium hypochlorite (Cl⁻), and with comparison to the literature. After cleaning and identification by chemical tests, the lichen was air-dried and stored in a closed glass at room temperature.
2.2 Apparatus

A CEM Explorer® 24 samples with a programmable heating power (from 0 to 300 W) and irradiation time was used for MAE experiments. Small scale experiments were carried out in 10 mL closed glass vessels. For the scale-up process, a single-mode Synthewave® 1000 Prolabo system was used with a 800 mL quartz vessel at an operating frequency of 2450 MHz, with a maximal output power of 300 W and associated to a stirring blade.

HPLC system equipped with a Kontron 325 pump and a diode array detector (DAD), an analytical C₁₈ Spherisorb column (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 µm) and with a 20 µL external loop for injection was used.

TLC plates (Merk silica gel 60F254) were prepared with Camag® automatic sampler III (ATS3) and the metabolites used were quantified with Camag® TLC scanner II.

2.3 Synthesis of ILs

The structures of ILs are reported in Table 1. \([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{Im}]\text{[MeSO}_4\text{]}\), \([\text{C}_2\text{C}_1\text{Im}]\text{[EtSO}_4\text{]}\), and \([\text{C}_2\text{Py}]\text{[EtSO}_4\text{]}\) were synthesized following a previously reported procedure.²⁴,²⁵ The ILs were dried at 70°C under vacuum during 12 hours and their structure was verified by \(^1\text{H}\) NMR (see SI, Fig.S1).

1,3-Dimethylimidazolium methylsulphate \([\text{C}_1\text{C}_1\text{Im}]\text{[MeSO}_4\text{]}\)

\(^1\text{H}\) NMR (300 MHz, D₂O) δ: 8.50 (s, 1H, NCHN), 7.28 (d, 2H, J = 3 Hz, NCHCHN), 3.75 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 3.61 (s, 3H, OCH₃).

1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium ethylsulphate \([\text{C}_2\text{C}_1\text{Im}]\text{[EtSO}_4\text{]}\)
1 $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 9.23 (s, 1H, NCH$_2$N), 7.42 (d, 2H, $^3$J = 3 Hz, NCHCH$_2$N), 4.13 (q, 2H, $^3$J = 7.35 Hz, NCH$_2$), 3.93 (q, 2H, $^3$J = 7.05 Hz, OCH$_2$), 3.82 (s, 3H, NCH$_3$), 1.37 (t, 3H, $^3$J = 7.5 Hz, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.08 (t, 3H, $^3$J = 7.0 Hz, NCH$_2$CH$_3$).

2 $N$-Ethylpyridinium ethylsulphate [C$_2$Py][EtSO$_4$]

3 $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$: 9.15 (d, 2H, $^3$J = 6 Hz, CH$_2$N), 8.42 (t, 1H, $^3$J = 7.6 Hz, NCHCHCH$_2$), 7.99 (t, 2H, $^3$J = 7 Hz, NCHCH$_2$), 4.71 (q, 2H, $^3$J = 7 Hz, OCH$_2$), 3.92 (q, 2H, $^3$J = 7 Hz, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.55 (t, 3H, $^3$J = 7 Hz, OCH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.10 (t, 3H, $^3$J = 7 Hz, NCH$_2$CH$_3$).

4 2.4 Lichen sample preparation

5 $P$. furfuracea was collected from Montpellier. After cleaning and identification according to chemical thalline reactions, a voucher specimen (reference JB/02/10) was deposited in the herbarium of CORInt TEAM, Rennes, France.

6 The grinding of the lichen samples was performed following a described method$^{14}$ either with blender to obtain mixed samples or with planetary milled system to obtain milled samples.

7 2.5 MAE procedure

8 IL-MAE process was performed using the CEM Explorer®, 24. 250 mg of milled or mixed $Pseudevernia$ furfuracea were added to 5 mL of IL or acetone and irradiated under microwave for 15 min at 75°C and 20 W maximum. These quantities refer to the optimal conditions, previously published by our group$^{22}$. After irradiation, the samples were cooled down to 50 °C. The obtained extracts were filtered through cotton and separated from the entire lichen residue by 10 min centrifugation at 4500 rpm using a Jouan E96 centrifuge. The acetone extracts were dried under vacuum.
A total extraction of metabolites was carried out in the same conditions using successively \textit{n-}
hexane, diethyl ether, acetone and THF. This procedure was repeated three times.

\textbf{2.6 Conventional extraction method}

Briefly, 250 mg samples were mixed with 5 mL of IL and heated at 75°C for 24 h under
stirring (900 rpm) using a Carrousel Radley®. The samples were cooled down to 50°C. The
resulting extracts were filtered through cotton and separated from the entire lichen residue by
10 min centrifugation at 4500 rpm.

\textbf{2.7 Recovery of compounds, analysis and quantification}

\textbf{2.7.1 Recovery}

After the extraction process, the metabolites were isolated from the filtered extracts (2 mL)
by three times extraction using water (1 mL) and diethyl ether (1 mL). The organic fractions
were collected and the solvent was removed under \textit{vacuum}. The final aqueous extracts were
analyzed by HPLC using the method detailed in 2.11 and the dried organic extract was
dissolved in acetone (1 mg/mL) and then analyzed by TLC coupled to a
spectrophotodensitometer following the procedure described in 2.7.2. All procedures were
repeated three times to determine the repeatability of the results.

\textbf{2.7.2 Quantification using a spectrophotodensitometer Camag®}

A standard solution of each metabolite was prepared in acetone (0.05-0.3 mg/mL). The
standard solution was used for the preparation of 5 point on calibration curve from 0.2 to 1.2
\textmu g. The linear range was from 0.01 to 0.6 mg/mL. The correlation coefficient of the
calibration curve for all metabolites was 0.99. The crude extract was dissolved in acetone at 1
mg/mL and analyzed following a previously reported procedure.\textsuperscript{14} The standard solutions (4
\textmu L) and the samples (4 \textmu L) were spotted on TLC in the form of band with 4 mm of width and
positioned from the bottom of TLC plates (20 × 10 cm) at 15 mm by a Camag® microliter
syringe. TLC plates were eluted in two mobile phases (n-hexane/ethyl acetate/formic acid 100/30/5 or toluene/ethyl acetate/formic acid 70/25/5). Their retention factor (Rf) was in n-hexane/ethyl acetate/formic acid 100/30/5 as follows: Fum. Ac. 0.24, Phys. Ac. 0.35, MOC: 0.52, AT: 0.65. The quantification was performed in absorbance/reflectance mode of a Camag® TLC Scanner II under ultraviolet (UV) light at 238, 252, 263 or 267 nm for Fum. Ac., AT, Phys. Ac. or MOC (see Fig. S2). The extraction efficiency of the compounds in samples was defined by the determination of the extraction yields as follows:

\[
\text{yield (\%)} = \frac{\text{mass of the compound in extract}}{\text{mass of the lichen material}} \times 100
\]

The ratio in the total yield of the compounds was defined as follows:

\[
\text{ratio in the total yield (\%)} = \frac{\text{mass of the compound in extract}}{\text{sum of mass of the compound in extract}} \times 100
\]

The higher mass of compound in sample was determined in accordance to the best extraction yield obtained using either IL, acetone or for total extraction.

2.8 Physicochemical measurements

Gas adsorption measurements were performed using a previously described process.\(^{14}\) The contact angle between lichen sample and IL was measured using a Digidrop GBX Contact Angle Meter with video-camera system and Windrop software. After deposition of a drop on lichen sample using 4 µL droplet, two measurements were performed for each sample at room temperature on the left and right sides of droplets.

2.9 Scale-up of extraction

In an 800 mL reactor, 500 mL of [C\(_2\)Py][EtSO\(_4\)] were added over 25 g of mixed \(P. \text{furfuracea}\), the mixture was irradiated using Synthewave® 1000 (75°C, 20 min). The extracts were collected by filtration over cotton and high speed centrifugation (10000 rpm, 15 min)
using a Centrikon T-1170 centrifuge. The metabolites were isolated following 5 cycles of
liquid-liquid extraction (5 x H2O/diethyl ether: 200 mL/200 mL, (v/v)) and evaporation of the
organic phase under vacuum for further analyses

2.10. Recycling of ILs

A supplementary back-extraction process of ILs was performed on the aqueous phase
obtained after filtration to recover the organic compounds remained in this phase. Briefly, 3
mL of aqueous mixture of [C2Py][EtSO4] and all organic compounds were eluted on silica gel
chromatography using ethyl acetate (as mobile phase) to collect the remaining compounds.
Subsequently, methanol was used to recover the IL. The solvents were then removed under
vacuum and the collected products were analyzed by HPLC.

Another experiment was carried out on a mixture of ILs and metabolites obtained after the
filtration step using SPE column such as Chromafix® C18 ec or Strata™ Phenyl and THF as
mobile phase. For these experiments, 0.5 mL mixture of ILs and metabolites were deposited
on the column and eluted with 2.5 mL THF. After centrifugation for 5 min, ILs and THF
containing metabolites were separated. THF was then removed under vacuum and the
collected products were analyzed by HPLC.

2.11 HPLC analyses

HPLC analyses were performed at room temperature on the aqueous phase of the hydrophilic
ILs after filtration using 0.45 µm Nylon membranes. The mobile phase consisted of solvent A
(0.2 % acetic acid aqueous solution) and solvent B (MeOH) with a 0.8 mL.min⁻¹ flow rate
either used with a 60:40 ratio (eluant 1) or with a gradient elution (eluant 2) as follows: from
0 to 10 min: 90/10 (A/B); from 10 to 15 min: from 90/10 to 10/90 (A/B); from 15 to 30 min:
10/90 (A/B), from 30 to 35 min: from 10/90 to 90/10 (A/B); from 35 to 45 min: 90/10 (A/B)
and . The UV detection wavelengths used were 239, 254, 270, 312 or 330 nm. The volume
of each injection volume was fixed to 20 µL. Lichen metabolite identification was carried out by comparing their retention time with corresponding peaks in the standard solution.

2.12 Cytotoxicity assay
Cytotoxic properties of ILs and acetone were determined with a standard tetrazolium assay. B16 cells were seeded at 15 000 cells/mL and HaCaT cells were seeded at 17 000 cells/mL in RPMI1640 medium with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS) at day 0 in well-plate. Cell lines were incubated at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide (CO₂) during 24 h. After this time, ILs or acetone were added at 100 µmol/L. Cell growth and viability were measured after 48 h of incubation at 540 nm, after adding 10 µL of MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide), incubation at 37°C for 3 hours, and dissolution of crystals with 150 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide. Each experiment was repeated three times.

Results and discussion

3.1 Selectivity of ILs in MAE
We highlighted previously the interest of the aromatic imidazolium cations in interacting with π-systems such as depsidones. Moreover, the interest of these cations to extract various lichen metabolites was recently confirmed. We have also reported an optimization study using six imidazolium and pyridinium-based ILs for MAE from P. furfuracea. Microwave irradiation is generally more efficient to extract the the targeted lichen metabolites (Fig. 1) than conventional heating. The optimal time and temperature for MAE were defined to be 75 °C and 15 min, leading to a considerable time economy compared to 24 h for conventional heating. The shorter heating period allows a sufficient contact time without subjecting the lichen metabolites into degradation. Additionally, we have found that a temperature above 90°C leads to metabolites degradation.
We report herein the MAE efficiencies of metabolites from *P. furfuracea* using three hydrophilic\textsuperscript{27,28} ILs [C\textsubscript{1}C\textsubscript{1}Im][MeSO\textsubscript{4}], [C\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{1}Im][EtSO\textsubscript{4}], and [C\textsubscript{2}Py][EtSO\textsubscript{4}] (Table 1) and compare them to acetone, the conventional flammable organic solvent used for lichen metabolites extraction.\textsuperscript{2} Noteworthy, few studies reported the use of pyridinium-based ILs for the extraction of natural products. A recent study exhibited for example the highest ability of [EPy]Br to extract inorganic and organic iodine compounds from *Laminaria*\textsuperscript{29}.

According to the results depicted in Fig. 2, each ionic liquid presents an affinity toward specific metabolites whereas acetone extracted two metabolites among the four targeted ones: AT (with a high rate of 0.91\%) and Phys. Ac (0.67\%). Concerning the MOC extraction, the highest extracted quantity was achieved using [C\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{1}Im][EtSO\textsubscript{4}] as extraction solvent (0.31\%) (Fig. 2). This amount decreased by around 40 \% (0.31\% vs 0.21\% and 0.18\%) for [C\textsubscript{1}C\textsubscript{1}Im][MeSO\textsubscript{4}] and [C\textsubscript{2}Py][EtSO\textsubscript{4}] and around 85\% for acetone (0.04\%) (Table 2). Interestingly, a total extraction of MOC using four successive solvents (see section 2.5) was as efficient as [C\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{1}Im][EtSO\textsubscript{4}] (Table 2). In contrast, AT extraction with all the tested ILs was less effective compared to those with acetone or total extraction due to its easier degradation under ILs. Indeed, the low stability of AT metabolite under various extraction conditions is well known\textsuperscript{30}. However, the extraction of Phys. Ac., Fum. Ac. and AT was efficient using [C\textsubscript{2}Py][EtSO\textsubscript{4}] with 0.66\%, 0.16\% and 0.26\%, respectively (Fig. 2). These extractions were 9 to 5 times lower with [C\textsubscript{1}C\textsubscript{1}Im][MeSO\textsubscript{4}] (Fig. 2) and they were not possible or very weak (for Fum. Ac.) using [C\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{1}Im][EtSO\textsubscript{4}]. Moreover [C\textsubscript{2}Py][EtSO\textsubscript{4}] was as effective as acetone for Phys. Ac and exhibited a higher efficiency for Fum. Ac. (Table 2).

These features can be attributed to the stronger interactions with targeted analytes using pyridinium cation including π-stacking, hydrogen bonding and ionic interactions as already described.\textsuperscript{31} A simple modification of the anion-cation association can thus modify the IL physicochemical properties. Consequently, two ILs with different structures but similar or close polarity give rise to distinct results when used as extraction solvents.\textsuperscript{32} Modifying the IL
structure affected the interactions between anion and cations of these salts on the one hand, and between the salts and metabolites which changed the lichen metabolites extraction potential of IL(s) on the other hand.

Moreover, we have evaluated the impact of these ILs and acetone at 100 µmol/L on the cell viability of B16 (a murine melanoma cell line) and HaCaT (a human keratinocyte cell line) (see SI, Fig.S3). The three ILs exhibited no toxicity (around 99% cell viability for the two cell lines after IL treatment) while acetone showed 21% inhibition of viability of HaCaT cells.

2.7 Impact of lichen sample preparation

We demonstrated previously the importance of lichen preparation on the AT extraction efficiency using acetone in favor to the mixing of lichens with blender process.14 The impact of lichen sample preparation method (milled or mixed) on the IL-MAE efficiency of metabolites is assessed, in this study, using the two imidazolium-based IL [C1C1Im][MeSO4] and [C2C1Im][EtSO4] (Fig. 3) which exhibited the least ability to extract AT. This latter metabolite was slightly better extracted using [C1C1Im][MeSO4] from mixed lichens (0.074%) than from milled lichens (0.048%), whereas, it was not extracted using [C2C1Im][EtSO4].

In contrast, Fum. Ac. was more efficiently extracted from mixed sample using both [C1C1Im][MeSO4] and [C2C1Im][EtSO4]. The extraction rate of Fum. Ac. using [C1C1Im][MeSO4] increased from 0.044% for the milled lichen to 0.170% for the mixed one. Upon using [C2C1Im][EtSO4], the rates increased from 0.03% to 0.073%, respectively. By contrast, an opposite trend was observed for the extraction rate of MOC with these two ILs from milled and mixed samples. A decrease from 0.21% to 0.045% was calculated for
[C$_1$C$_1$Im][MeSO$_4$] and from 0.31% to 0.11% for [C$_2$C$_1$Im][EtSO$_4$], respectively. Finally using the less selective [C$_1$C$_1$Im][MeSO$_4$], a relatively small increase of extraction rate was observed for Phys. Ac. Using the milling process (0.136%) vs the mixing process (0.100%).

<Fig. 3>

These results confirm that the mixing process yielded less fine powders than the milling process but with larger specific surface area and pore volume	extsuperscript{14} which are more favourable for AT and Fum. Ac. extraction, regardless of the solvents used (acetone or ILs). The extraction rate of Phys. Ac. was nevertheless less impacted by the grinding process.

2.8 Scale-up of the process and IL back-extraction procedure

The detailed process for the 100 times scale-up, described in Fig. 4, was performed on 25 g of mixed lichen sample keeping the same liquid/solid ratio used for the small scale. An additional step (step 2) was incorporated to achieve a better performance of the process: a manual stirring before MAE to ensure a better homogenization of solution. The other steps are similar to those used for small-scale extraction: filtration on cotton at 50 °C to avoid clogging on filters due to the viscosity of IL (step 4), centrifugation to separate the different phases (step 5), and liquid extraction using an organic phase non miscible with IL in order to concentrate extracted metabolites (step 6) before quantification using a Camag® spectrophotodensitometer.

<Fig. 4>

In order to verify the good repeatability between the small-scale extraction and scaling-up on mixed sample which highly impacts Fum. Ac. extraction, we have first chosen the most selective imidazolium-based IL [C$_2$C$_1$Im][EtSO$_4$]. This IL could only extract MOC and Fum. Ac.. The same trends were observed for the scale-up compared to the small-scale. Extraction
rates of 0.31% (vs 0.28%) and 0.21% (vs 0.18%) for MOC and Fum. Ac. were recorded. Noteworthy, no traces of AT were detected in any of the cases.

We have then extended this process on mixed sample using the most efficient pyridinium-based IL, [C$_2$Py][EtSO$_4$]. Contact angles measured between this hydrophilic IL on milled or mixed lichens were identical (102°) indicating a very light wettability of lichens using this IL, regardless of the grinding method used. We have thus hypothesized that, in accordance to these latter results and to those reported on surface area in 3.2 part, the extraction from mixed lichen using this IL would be more efficient.

As already observed in section 3.3, the mixing process was more favourable for AT and Fum. Ac. extraction than milled process with an extraction rate of 0.85% for AT and of 0.75% for Fum. Ac. The extraction efficiency for MOC and Phys. Ac. were quite similar between the small-scale and the scale-up process, regardless of the grinding process used. These results display the interest of this pyridium-based IL for the extraction of the major metabolites from *P. furfuracea*.

In both cases of scale-up, the aqueous phases obtained after liquid-liquid extraction using diethyl ether were analyzed by HPLC in order to determine the eventual possibility of back-extraction of ILs used during the process. Some lichen metabolites were detected at different wavelengths highlighting the non-total recovery of the lichen extracted metabolites after liquid-liquid extraction (Fig. 5a and Fig S4). After a supplementary step of purification on silica gel chromatography using ethyl acetate for the elution of metabolites then methanol for the elution of ILs, we have confirmed the absence of metabolites in the back-extracted IL. This procedure which corresponds to a conventional method to separate lichen metabolites simultaneously allows the purification of lichen metabolites.

To decrease the solvent consumption used, particularly during the back-extraction, we have successfully performed this separation directly on a mixture of IL and metabolites after the
filtration step using a SPE column as Chromafix® C18 ec or Strata™ Phenyl and
tetrahydrofuran as solvent. HPLC analysis exhibited a high purity of ILs (Fig. 5b). With these
latter experiments, we succeeded to scale-up the process and we finally proved the full
recycling of ionic liquid to be used for further studies. Further experiments are in progress in
order to improve the extraction of lichens using more eco-friendly solvents.

< Fig. 5>
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Table 1. Assessed ionic liquids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ionic liquid (IL)</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Melting point (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="IL1" /></td>
<td>[C&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;Im][MeSO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2" alt="IL2" /></td>
<td>[C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;Im][EtSO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;]</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>&lt;65.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="IL3" /></td>
<td>[C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;Py][EtSO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> [www.chemicalbook.com](http://www.chemicalbook.com)

Table 2. Comparative study of extraction efficiency of lichen metabolites using different solvents expressed as ratio in total yield (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>[C&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;Im][MeSO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;]</th>
<th>[C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;C&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;Im][EtSO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;]</th>
<th>[C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;Py][EtSO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;]</th>
<th>Acetone</th>
<th>Total extraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOC</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fum. Ac.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phys. Ac.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 5 HPLC chromatograms of extract of IL \([C_2Py][EtSO_4]\) and metabolites a) before and b) after purification with small phase column (Chromafix® C18ec) at 254 nm (eluant 2); AT: 28.88 min.