

New genomic resources for three exploited Mediterranean fishes

Katharina Fietz, Elena Trofimenko, Pierre-Edouard Guerin, Véronique Arnal, Montserrat Torres-Oliva, Stéphane Lobréaux, Angel Pérez-Ruzafa, Stéphanie Manel, Oscar Puebla

▶ To cite this version:

Katharina Fietz, Elena Trofimenko, Pierre-Edouard Guerin, Véronique Arnal, Montserrat Torres-Oliva, et al.. New genomic resources for three exploited Mediterranean fishes. Genomics, 2020, 112 (6), pp.4297-4303. 10.1016/j.ygeno.2020.06.041 . hal-02997412

HAL Id: hal-02997412 https://hal.science/hal-02997412v1

Submitted on 20 Nov 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 NEW GENOMIC RESOURCES FOR THREE EXPLOITED MEDITERRANEAN FISHES

2 RUNNING TITLE: GENOMIC RESOURCES FOR MEDITERRANEAN FISHES

- 3 <u>Authors</u>
- 4 Katharina Fietz^{1*}, Elena Trofimenko^{1*}, Pierre-Edouard Guerin^{2*}, Véronique Arnal², Montserrat
- 5 Torres-Oliva³, Stéphane Lobréaux⁴, Angel Pérez-Ruzafa⁵, Stephanie Manel²**, Oscar Puebla^{6,1}
- 6 ¹ GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Evolutionary Ecology of Marine
- 7 Fishes, Düsternbrooker Weg 20, 24105 Kiel, Germany
- 8 ² CEFE, PSL Research University, EPHE, CNRS, Université de Montpellier, Université Paul-
- 9 Valéry Montpellier 3, IRD, Montpellier, France
- ³ Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, University
- 11 Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany
- ⁴ Laboratoire d'Ecologie Alpine, CNRS; Université Grenoble-Alpes, Grenoble, France
- 13 ⁵ Departmento de Ecología e Hidrología, Facultad de Biología, Campus de Espinardo,
- 14 Regional Campus of International Excellence "Campus Mare Nostrum", University of
- 15 Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain
- ⁶Leibniz Centre for Tropical Marine Research, Fahrenheitstrasse 6, 28359, Bremen, Germany
- 17
- 18 * these authors contributed equally to this work
- 19 **** corresponding author**: Stephanie Manel, <u>stephanie.manel@ephe.psl.eu</u>

20 Abstract

21 Extensive fishing has led to fish stock declines throughout the last decades. While clear stock 22 identification is required for designing management schemes, stock delineation is problematic 23 due to generally low levels of genetic structure in marine species. The development of 24 genomic resources can help to solve this issue. Here, we present the first mitochondrial and 25 nuclear draft genome assemblies of three economically Mediterranean fishes, the white 26 seabream, the striped red mullet, and the comber. The assemblies are between 613 and 785 27 Mbp long and contain between 27,222 and 32,375 predicted genes. They were used as 28 references to map Restriction-site Associated DNA markers, which were developed with a 29 single-digest approach. This approach provided between 15,710 and 21,101 Single Nucleotide 30 Polymorphism markers per species. These genomic resources will allow uncovering subtle 31 genetic structure, identifying stocks, assigning catches to populations and assessing 32 connectivity. Furthermore, the annotated genomes will help to characterize adaptive 33 divergence.

34

35 Keywords

36 Mediterranean, fishes, genomes, assembly, annotation, RAD sequencing

37 Introduction

Extensive fishing has led to the decline of Mediterranean fish stocks over the last decades 38 39 (Colloca, Scarcella, & Libralato, 2017; Vasilakopoulos, Maravelias, & Tserpes, 2014). Yet the 40 identification of stocks is often problematic due to generally low levels of population genetic structure (Calo, Muñoz, Pérez-Ruzafa, Vergara-Chen, & García-Charton, 2016; Gkagkavouzis et 41 42 al., 2019; Viret et al., 2018). In this situation, a large number of genetic markers is required to 43 detect fine-scale population structure (Carreras et al., 2017; DiBattista et al., 2017), assign catches to genetic populations (Benestan et al., 2015) and assess levels of genetic and 44 demographic connectivity (Waples, 1998). A large number of genetic markers can also 45 46 contribute to evaluate the effect of marine protected areas (MPAs) on fished areas and optimize the efficiency of MPA networks (Xuereb et al., 2019), since Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) 47 48 tend to be a reservoir of genetic richness (Pérez-Ruzafa, González-Wangüemert, Lenfant, 49 Marcos, & García-Charton, 2006). When genetic markers are mapped to an annotated reference 50 genome of the same or a closely related species, they also provide the opportunity to characterize 51 adaptive divergence (Ellegren, 2014). This aspect is particularly relevant in the Mediterranean 52 Sea, which is a hotspot in terms of both submitted to strong anthropogenic pressures (Ramirez, 53 Coll, Navarro, Bustamante, & Green, 2018; Stock, Crowder, Halpern, & Micheli, 2018) and 54 including global warming (Giorgi, 2006; Parry, 2000).

Restriction-site Associated DNA (RAD) sequencing (Etter, Bassham, Hohenlohe, Johnson, & Cresko, 2011) and related reduced-representation approaches (Toonen et al., 2013; van Orsouw et al., 2007; Wang, Meyer, McKay, & Matz, 2012) have become methods of choice to generate large numbers of Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) markers. Due to its applicability to non-model organisms, RAD sequencing has revolutionized the fields of ecological and conservation genomics (Andrews, Good, Miller, Luikart, & Hohenlohe, 2016).

61 Yet while the utility of RAD sequencing is well recognized, procedures for library preparation, sequencing and filtering sometimes lack details that are critical to assess the quality of the data 62 63 and the robustness of the results. For example, PCR clones generated during library preparation 64 can represent a significant proportion of the data and thereby bias allele frequencies if not identified and filtered (Andrews et al., 2014). This is particularly true when the number of PCR 65 cycles is increased, which is often needed when the starting DNA is degraded or in low 66 67 concentrations. The number of RAD markers needs to be known in order to adjust the 68 sequencing effort, yet this number is difficult to predict in the absence of a previous study or reference genome. This often results in a sub-optimal sequencing effort, i.e. too low or too high 69 70 coverage. The availability of a reference genome allows to estimate the number of RAD markers 71 generated by different restrictions enzymes (Lepais & Weir 2014) and can greatly improve 72 genotyping quality by providing a template to call SNP markers (Manel et al., 2016). A reference 73 genome also allows to assess physical linkage among markers and consider population genetic 74 statistics along scaffolds as opposed to a SNP-by-SNP basis (Catchen, Hohenlohe, Bassham, 75 Amores, & Cresko, 2013). Yet the process of genome assembly and annotation is complex and 76 computationally intensive. It requires high-molecular-weight DNA with high purity and 77 structural integrity, especially when long-read technologies are used (Dominguez Del Angel et 78 al., 2018). Finally, stringent filtering of SNP markers with respect to sequencing coverage, 79 missing data, minimum allele frequency, and linkage is often required for downstream 80 population genomic analyses.

Here, we present annotated genome assemblies of three exploited Mediterranean fish species from three families, the white seabream (*Diplodus sargus* (Linnaeus, 1758), Sparidae), the striped red mullet (*Mullus surmuletus* (Linnaeus, 1758), Mullidae), and the comber (*Serranus cabrilla* (Linnaeus, 1758), Serranidae). These three species are exploited in the Mediterranean

85 Sea (Goni et al., 2008; Lloret & Font, 2013), and previous studies have found weak to no population genetic structure for all of them (D. sargus: González-Wanguemert, Pérez-Ruzafa, 86 87 Canovas, García-Charton, and Marcos (2007); González-Wanguemert, Pérez-Ruzafa, García-88 Charton, and Marcos (2006); Lenfant and Planes (2002); M. surmuletus: Dalongeville et al. (2018); Mamuris, Stamatis, and Triantaphyllidis (1999); S. cabrilla: Schunter et al. (2011)). We 89 90 use these nuclear assemblies as references to map RAD markers and characterize SNPs for the 91 three species, which we filter stringently with respect to PCR clones, coverage, missing data, 92 minimum allele frequency and linkage.

93

94 <u>Results</u>

95 Genome assemblies

Whole-genome sequencing of D. sargus, M. surmuletus and S. cabrilla with the Illumina HiSeq 96 97 4000 platform produced 651, 649 and 755 million paired-end 150 bp reads, respectively. After 98 quality filtering and trimming, 609, 588 and 730 million reads were kept, respectively, and used 99 to assemble each genome with the Platanus assembler (Kajitani et al., 2014) (Table 1). First, all 100 paired-end reads were assembled into contigs with N50s of 1,101, 384 and 1,135 kbp for D. 101 sargus, M. surmuletus and S. cabrilla, respectively. Scaffolds were then built using the mate-pair 102 reads to link contigs into 2,344, 2,190 and 2,940 scaffolds, respectively. The assembly of D. 103 sargus reached the highest contiguity, with a scaffold N50 of 3,371 kbp. The assemblies of M. 104 surmuletus and S. cabrilla were overall more fragmented (scaffold N50 of 488 kbp and 613 kbp, 105 respectively), but they also contained very large scaffolds (Table 1) and almost all BUSCOs (Benchmarking Universal Single Copy Ortholog genes, see below). The final size of these de 106 107 novo genome assemblies was 785, 613 and 627 Mbp for D. sargus, M. surmuletus and S. 108 cabrilla, which represents 72%, 103% and 79% of estimated genome size based on C-value (Dolezel, Bartos, Voglmayr, & Greilhuber, 2003), respectively. Summary statistics of several
fish genome assemblies, including our study species and the best currently available fish
assembly of *D. labrax*, are presented in Table S1.

The search for BUSCOs showed the high completeness of the three genome assemblies. From the set of 978 metazoan BUSCOs, the *D. sargus* assembly contains 97.5%, the *M. surmuletus* assembly 92.5% and the *S. cabrilla* assembly 96.7% (Fig. 1A, Table S2). From the set of 4,584 Actinopterygii BUSCOs, the *D. sargus* assembly contains 96.6%, the *M. surmuletus* assembly 89.9% and the *S. cabrilla* assembly 95.3% (Fig. 1C, Table S3). These results show that the *D. sargus* assembly is not only the most contiguous, but also the most complete assembly.

The mitochondrial sequences assembled into circular sequences with a length of 16,513bp –
16,620bp. The mtDNA comprised 37 genes, including 13 protein-coding genes (COX1, COX2,
ATP8, ATP6, COX3, ND3, ND4L, ND4, ND5, ND6, CYTB, ND1), 22 transfer RNA genes
(tRNA), two ribosomal RNA genes (rRNA) (12S rrn and 16S rrn) and the control region (Fig.
S1).

123

124 Gene annotation and Ortholog gene analysis

The number of predicted genes totaled 31,055 for *M. surmuletus*, 32,375 for *D. sargus* and 27,222 for *S. cabrilla*. To assess these annotations, we compared the percentage of BUSCOs present in the assemblies and investigated how many we could recover as annotated gene models. The *D. sargus* annotation contained 96.0% of complete BUSCOs, which is close to the 97.5% found in the genome assembly. Similar results were obtained for the other two species: the *S. cabrilla* and *M. surmuletus* annotations contained 95.6% and 90.8% metazoan BUSCOs, respectively (Fig. 1B, Table S4). Of the Actinopterygii BUSCOs, the *D. sargus* annotation contained 90.2%, the *S. cabrilla* annotation 87.6% and the *M. surmuletus* annotation 80.3% (Fig.
1D, Table S5).

Using OrthoMCL analysis, we identified genes that are conserved across our focal species and the *D. rerio* reference genome, as well as genes that are unique to our fish species (Fig. 2). Out of the total of 16,432 1:1 orthologs identified, 6,446 genes (39%) were shared among all 4 species and 3,577 genes (21%) were shared by our 3 target species. Just 195 (1.1%), 321 (1.9%) and 266 (1.6%) genes were only present in *D. sargus*, *M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*, respectively. *D. sargus* and *M. surmuletus* share 814 genes, *M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla* share 761 genes, while *D. sargus* and *S. cabrilla* share 1,312 genes.

141

142 RAD markers prediction

In silico digestion of the three genome assemblies with *SbfI* predicted 30,039, 23,078 and 29,931 restrictions sites for *D. sargus, M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*, respectively, leading to an expected number of 60,078, 46,156 and 59,662 RAD markers for the three species since each restriction site generates two RAD markers (one on each side).

147

148 SNP description

RAD sequencing generated a total of 49,009, 39,357 and 52,388 RAD markers for *D. sargus, M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*, respectively, which provided a total of 39,678, 31,009, and 47,954
SNPs (Table 2). After applying stringent filtering, we retained 20,074, 15,710 and 21,101 SNPs
for *D. sargus, M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*, respectively, corresponding to 45 – 65 % of all
SNPs (Table 2). Of these, 173, 178 and 226 were located in the mitochondrial genomes of *D. sargus, M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*, respectively, representing less than 1% of the total number
SNPs (Table 2). The distance between SNPs averaged 35,389, 30,717 and 28,240 bp per species,

respectively. The SNPs were spread evenly across the genomes (Fig. 3 A,C,E), with a mean number of 9.81 SNPs per 400,000 bp window in scaffolds larger than this size. The mean sequencing coverage across individuals was comparable among the three species, with 38X, 45X and 48X for *D. sargus, M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*, respectively (Fig. 3 B,D,F). Of all SNPs filtered, 15%, 18% and 17% were located in exons for *D. sargus, M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*, respectively (Table 2).

162

163 **Discussion**

We presented annotated nuclear and mitochondrial genome assemblies of three exploited Mediterranean fishes from three different families, the striped red mullet (*M. surmuletus*, Mullidae), the white seabream (*D. sargus*, Sparidae) and the comber (*S. cabrilla*, Serranidae). To our knowledge, these genome assemblies represent the first genomes for these species.

168 The quality of a genome assembly in terms of both completeness and continuity greatly 169 influences its usefulness for both genome-wide marker development and gene model 170 prediction (Yang et al., 2019). The quality of our three nuclear genome assemblies is attested 171 by the almost complete gene content (89.9 – 96.6% of Actinopterygii BUSCOs) and by the 172 fact that the sizes of our assemblies are in the expected range based on C-value. The difficulty 173 in genome assembly generally increases with repeat content (Sedlazeck, Lee, Darby, & Schatz, 2018). Therefore, discrepancies between expected genome size and assembly size 174 175 from short-read sequencing technologies are still common. In a meta-study of avian genomes, 176 Peona, Weissensteiner, and Suh (2018) note that regions highly enriched in repetitive DNA or 177 with strong deviations in nucleotide composition are often underrepresented in assemblies. 178 The presence of such GC-rich or repeat-rich genome regions is a possible explanation for the 179 ca. 20-30% gap between assembly sizes and estimated genome sizes for D. sargus and S.

cabrilla in the present study. Comparing contiguity, we found the *D. sargus* genome to be more contiguous (higher scaffold N50, Table 1) than the *M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla* genomes. Possible explanations could be higher molecular weight of DNA or a higher homozygosity of *D. sargus* in comparison to *M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla* (Kajitani et al., 2014).

The mitochondrial genome is essential to eukaryote life and highly conserved across vertebrate species. Our mitochondrial genome assemblies match those of other fishes and vertebrates in terms of size (mean length = 16kb), the presence of 37 genes (13 protein coding, 22 tRNA, and 2 rRNA genes) and the non-coding control region (Satoh, Miya, Mabuchi, & Nishida, 2016).

190 In all three genomes, the annotation has identified genes that are highly conserved across 191 metazoans with great accuracy (Fig. 1). For the benefit of providing a resource as flexible as 192 possible, we did not filter annotated gene lists with respect to the presence of a starting codon. 193 For comparison, filtered G. aculeatus and D. rerio genome annotations contain 20,787 and 194 26,152 protein-coding genes, respectively, which are fewer compared to our unfiltered output 195 (Howe et al., 2013; Jones et al., 2012). To note is that a significant percentage of 196 Actinopterygii specific orthologs are fragmented (8%, 13% and 9.8% in D. sargus, M. 197 surmuletus and S. cabrilla, respectively). This is probably due to the lack of RNA-seq data for 198 our focal species, which could have allowed the training of specific gene prediction models. 199 However, we can confirm that the three genome annotations are exhaustive and almost 200 complete, as the percentage of missing BUSCOs is low and almost the same in the assembly 201 and annotation (Fig. 1A and B, Tables S2 and S4). The OrthoMCL output revealed that D. 202 sargus and S. cabrilla share more ortholog genes than the two other species pairs. This is 203 consistent with the phylogeny of the Perciformes, which shows that Mullidae have diverged

during the early Lower Cretaceous (LC), while Sparidae and Serranidae have forked during
the late LC (Meynard, Mouillot, Mouquet, & Douzery, 2012). As such, *D. sargus* and *S. cabrilla* are more closely related to each other than to *M. surmuletus* (Meynard et al., 2012),
which is also supported by phylogenetic findings of Albouy *et al.* (2015).

208 We used the reference genomes to generate rigorously filtered SNP datasets for the three 209 species. Our approach with a single restriction enzyme (SbfI) recovered between 82% and 210 88% of the total number of RAD markers predicted by in silico digestion. These RAD 211 markers provided between 31,000 and 47,000 SNPs pre-filtering and between 15,000 and 212 21,000 SNPs post-filtering that are evenly distributed across the genome. Besides providing a 213 reference to align markers, this exemplifies that we also provide the expected number of 214 markers. This allows knowing exactly what sequencing effort is needed to attain a given 215 coverage. The number of high-quality markers generated here provides strong statistical 216 power for future population genetic analyses. They can for instance be used for stock 217 identification, investigations of population connectivity, and assignment studies. In addition, 218 between 2,908 and 3,589 of our filtered SNPs lie in exonic regions. These markers may be 219 used to start investigating functional variation (see e.g. Guo, DeFaveri, Sotelo, Nair, and 220 Merila (2015), DiBattista et al. (2017)).

This study provides the first genomic resources for three economically important fish species in the Mediterranean Sea and as such lays a solid foundation for future population and conservation genomic and adaptive studies.

224

225 Materials and Methods

226 Genome sequencing

227 An individual of each species was sampled in the Western Mediterranean Sea (Table S6). Fin 228 tissues of *M. surmuletus* and *D. sargus* were preserved in 96% ethanol at 4°C prior to DNA 229 extraction, which was done within less than 24 hours. Tissues were cut into $\sim 2 \text{ mm}^2$ pieces, 230 dried at 70°C for 20 minutes, lysed in proteinase K at 56°C for 18 hours and incubated in 231 RNAse A solution for 10 minutes at ambient temperature. DNA was extracted with a 232 Macherey-Nagel Nucleospin® kit. For S. cabrilla, DNA extraction was conducted directly 233 upon sampling. Tissues were dried out with filter paper and either flash-frozen in liquid 234 nitrogen and crushed or cut into $\sim 2 \text{ mm}^2$ pieces. The fragmented tissues were lysed in 235 proteinase K at 56°C for 60 minutes and incubated in RNAse A for 10 minutes at ambient 236 temperature. DNA was extracted using a Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA kit.

For each genome, two paired-end libraries with insert sizes of 350 bp and 550 bp were generated from 1-2 μ g of double-stranded DNA, as well as two mate-pair libraries with insert sizes of 3 kbp and 5 kbp from 4 μ g of DNA. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (150 bp paired-end reads). Library preparation and sequencing was conducted by FASTERIS (https://www.fasteris.com/dna).

242

243 *Genome assemblies*

Nuclear and mitochondrial genomes were assembled using three computing clusters, the Montpellier Bioinformatics Biodiversity platform (MBB: 64 cores, 512 Gb RAM), the High Performance Computing Platform of Occitanie / Pyrénées-Méditerranée Region of the Montpellier Mediterranean Metropole (MESO@LR: 80 cores, 1 Tb RAM), and CIMENT infrastructure in Grenoble (<u>https://ciment.ujf-grenoble.fr</u>, Froggy: 32 cores, 512 Gb RAM). The entire bioinformatics workflow for genome assembly is described in Appendix S1. Reads with < 50% bp with a phred quality > 20 were filtered out. Adapter sequences were also filtered out and the 3' extremities of the retained reads were trimmed with ngsShoRT (C. Chen, Khaleel, Huang,
& Wu, 2013). Finally, reads shorter than 90 bp were removed.

253 Nuclear genomes were assembled using the Platanus assembler (Kajitani et al., 2014) (Fig. 254 S2). Platanus was selected due to its excellent performance with highly heterozygous genomes 255 (Kajitani et al., 2014), as well as with simulated datasets that we produced (data not shown). The 256 paired-end libraries were used to assemble reads into contigs, and both the paired-end and mate-257 pair libraries were used for scaffolding and gap closing. Mitochondrial genomes were assembled and annotated using MitoZ (Meng, Li, Yang, & Liu, 2019). Five million sequences were 258 259 randomly selected as a subset of the full paired-end sequence set. Mitochondrial sequences were 260 then identified from this subset using a ranking method based on a Hidden Markov Model profile 261 of known mitochondrial sequences from 2,413 chordate species. Mitochondrial sequences were 262 then used to assemble the mitochondrial genome.

263

264 *Gene annotation*

265 Each fish genome was annotated using the *ab initio* gene predictor Augustus v3.2.3 (Stanke, 266 Steinkamp, Waack, & Morgenstern, 2004) and homology-based extrinsic hints. Each genome 267 was first repeat-masked using RepeatMasker v4.0.8 (Smit, Hubley, & Green, 2013). Zebrafish 268 (Danio rerio) and stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) annotated protein sequences were downloaded from the Ensembl website (versions GRCz11 and BROADS1, respectively) and 269 aligned to each repeat-masked fish genome using Exonerate (Slater & Birney, 2005). 270 271 Untranslated regions (UTRs) and alternative isoforms were not predicted due to the lack of 272 species-specific RNA-seq data. Therefore, in each focal fish species, Augustus was run with the options "--species=zebrafish --UTR=off --alternatives-from-evidence=false" and with the 273 274 respective Exonerate alignments as extrinsic hints.

275 All reviewed metazoan proteins were downloaded from UniProt (Bateman et al., 2019) and 276 used as database to run a search in Blast+ v2.2.30 (Camacho et al., 2009). The highest scoring hit 277 was selected as the putative gene name for each gene model. To functionally annotate the 278 predicted genes, InterProScan v5.19 (Jones et al., 2014) was run with options "-appl Pfam -b 279 interpro -iprlookup -goterms" and functional information was added to the final annotation 280 dataset using Annie v1.0 (Tate, Hall, & Derego, 2014). To identify ortholog gene families and 281 species-specific genes in each Mediterranean fish genome, the OrthoMCL pipeline (L. Li, 282 Stoeckert, & Roos, 2003) was used on the three annotated protein datasets along with the D. 283 rerio protein dataset. Results were visualized with the venndiagram R package (H. Chen & 284 Boutros, 2011). Finally, mitochondrial assemblies were annotated using BLAST family 285 alignments on known protein coding genes, transfer RNA genes and rRNA genes.

Quality of the nuclear genome assemblies and annotations were validated against the Metazoan and Actinopterygii Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCOs) with BUSCO v3.0.2 (Simao, Waterhouse, Ioannidis, Kriventseva, & Zdobnov, 2015).

289

290 RAD markers prediction

SimRAD (Lepais & Weir 2014) was used to perform *in silico* digestion of the three genome assemblies with *SbfI* to predict the number of restriction sites and RAD markers in the three species.

294

295 RAD sequencing

A total of 90 samples (30 per species) from the Western Mediterranean were provided by local artisanal fishermen (Table S7, Fig. S3) and preserved in 96% ethanol. RADseq libraries were prepared using 1 µg of genomic DNA per sample in 50 µl reaction volume. Libraries were

299 prepared following the protocol described in (Etter et al., 2011) with a few modifications. At step 300 3.1 (restriction enzyme digestion), DNA was digested with 3 µL of the restriction enzyme Sbfl-301 HF (New England Biolabs Inc., USA) in a 50 µL reaction volume. At step 3.2 (P1 adapter 302 ligation), we used 2 μ L of barcoded P1 adapters (100nM) in a 60 μ L reaction volume and 303 incubated the samples at room temperature for 1.5 h. Forty-eight samples were pooled per library. At steps 3.4 and 3.5, NEB Next® Ultra[™] II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New 304 305 England Biolabs Inc., USA) was used following the manufacturer's instructions to combine 306 DNA end repair, 3'-dA overhang addition and P2 adapter ligation, followed by purification with a Qiagen QIAQuick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen N.V., Netherlands). Finally, step 3.6 (PCR 307 308 amplification) was run with the following settings: 30 s 98°C, 18x (10 s 98°C, 30 s 68°C, 30 s 309 72°C), 5 min 72°C, hold 4°C. P1 and P2 adapter sequences as well as PCR primer sequences are provided in Table S8. Each library was sequenced on one lane of a HiSeq 4000 Illumina 310 311 Sequencer (paired-end, 2x 150bp) at the Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Kiel University, 312 Germany.

313

314 SNP calling, genotyping and filtering

315 PhiX174 sequences that were used for quality control and calibration of the sequencing run were 316 filtered out using BBMap v38.06 (Bushnell, Rood, & Singer, 2017). Raw sequences were demultiplexed and filtered using the process_radtags pipeline in STACKS v2.2 (Catchen, 317 318 Amores, Hohenlohe, Cresko, & Postlethwait, 2011; Catchen et al., 2013). This included keeping 319 only individuals with > 1,000,000 reads at this step, the removal of reads with more than one 320 mismatch in the barcode sequence, and the removal of low-quality reads (with an average raw 321 phred-score <20 within a 0.2 sliding window). In addition, reads were trimmed to a final length 322 of 139bp due to a drop in read quality towards the end of the read. Taking advantage of pairedend information, *clone_filter* was used to remove pairs of paired-end reads that matched exactly, as the vast majority of these are expected to be PCR clones. Paired-end read sequences were subsequently aligned with BWA (H. Li & Durbin, 2009) to the reference genomes of *M. surmuletus*, *D. sargus*, and *S. cabrilla*, thereby improving the reliability of stacks building. Aligned reads were sorted using SAMTOOLS 1.9 (H. Li et al., 2009) and loci were built with *gstacks* providing genotype calls.

In order to retain only high-quality biallelic SNPs for population genetic analysis, called genotypes were further filtered with the *populations* pipeline and vcftools v0.1.16 (Danecek et al., 2011). Only the first SNP was retained per RAD marker, and a SNP was retained only if present in at least 85% of individuals with a minimum minor allele frequency (MAF) of 1%. In order to reduce linkage among markers, only one locus was retained for all pairs of loci that were closer than 5000 bp or that had an r^2 value >0.8. Finally, individuals with >30% missing data were filtered out.

336

337 Acknowledgements

This research was funded through the 2015-2016 BiodivERsA COFUND call for research proposals, with the national funders ANR (France), Formas (Sweden), DLR (Germany), AEI (Spain) and the CNRS for the PICS SEACONNECT.

341

342 Conflict of interest statement

343 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

344

345

347 Data Accessibility Statement

348 The three reference genomes have been uploaded in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA, 349 project accession number PRJEB38135 at https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB38135), 350 the RAD data repository: https://gitlab.mbb.univа git montp2.fr/reservebenefit/subset 30 samples vcf and the scripts in a git repository: 351 352 https://gitlab.mbb.univ-montp2.fr/reservebenefit/genomic resources for med fishes.

353

354 Author contributions

VA processed samples for genome sequencing. KF processed samples for RAD sequencing, and contributed to write the manuscript. ET processed samples for RAD sequencing and wrote a first draft of the manuscript. SL performed genome assemblies. PEG performed genome assemblies, processed samples for RAD sequencing, and contributed to write the manuscript. MTO performed gene annotation. APR contributed to the sampling design and sampling. SM and OP designed the research, supervised the project and contributed to write the manuscript.

361

362 Animal experiments

363 All samples were obtained from fishermen. No experiments were conducted.

Tables and Figures

 Table 1: Summary statistics of the genome assembly for each species using Platanus (Kajitani et al., 2014). All statistics are based on contig

 sizes larger than 4 kbp.

	-	.	
S. cabrilla	M. surmuletus	D. sargus	Species
MBB ²	MESO@LR ¹	MESO@LR	Computing platform
insert size, mate-pair 3kbp & 5kbp insert size	350bp & 550bp	Paired-end	Library
627	613	785	Total size (Mbp)
2,169,385	3,146,055	2,408,078	# of contigs
1,135	384	1,101	Contig N50 (kbp)
2,190	2,940	2,344	# of scaffolds
0.61	0.49	3.37	Scaffold N50 (Mbp)
352	317	58	Scaffold L50
2.76	3.28	2.27	Length of largest scaffold (Mbp)
63X	74X	57X	Coverage

 1 MESO@LR is 80 cores and 1Tb RAM 2 MBB is 64 cores and 512Gb RAM

Table 2: Summary statistics for the SNP markers generated by RAD sequencing for each species.

373

Species	Number of SNPs ¹	Number of filtered SNPs ¹	Average distance (bp) and standard deviation (SD)	SNPs in coding regions ¹	SNPs in exons ²	Number of mt SNPs
D. sargus	39,678	20,074	35,389 (SD 34,997)	11,978	3138	173
M. surmuletus	31,009	15,710	30,717 (SD 29,190)	10,304	2908	178
S. cabrilla	47,954	21,101	28,240 (SD 27,013)	13,107	3589	226

¹Nuclear and mitochondrial genomes ² Coding SNPs which are located in a exon



380 Figure 1: A) Percentage of conserved Metazoan genes (BUSCOs) found in our Platanus genome 381 assemblies (Table S2); B) percentage of conserved Metazoan genes found in our gene annotations, compared to the annotations of the D. rerio and G. aculeatus reference genomes 382 383 used to train the Augustus gene prediction model (Table S4); C) percentage of conserved 384 Actinopterygii genes found in our Platanus genome assemblies (Table S3); D) percentage of 385 conserved Actinopterygii genes found in our gene annotations compared to the annotations the D. rerio and G. aculeatus reference genomes used to train the Augustus gene prediction model 386 387 (Table S5). BUSCO stands for Benchmarking Universal Single Copy Ortholog genes.



388 389 **Figure 2**: Four-set Venn diagram of 1:1 orthologous genes shared by *M. surmuletus*, *D. sargus*, *S. cabrilla* and *D. rerio*. Each ellipse shows the total number of genes specific to each species.

390 Intersections indicate orthologous genes. 391



Base position (Mb)
Base position (Mb)</l

400 **<u>References</u>**

401

402

403	assembly of mitochondrial DNA from whole-genome sequencing data. Bmc
404	Bioinformatics, 18. doi:10.1186/s12859-017-1927-y
405	Andrews, K. R., Good, J. M., Miller, M. R., Luikart, G., & Hohenlohe, P. A. (2016).
406	Harnessing the power of RADseq for ecological and evolutionary genomics. <i>Nature</i>
407	Reviews Genetics, 17(2), 81-92. doi:10.1038/nrg.2015.28
408	Andrews, K. R., Hohenlohe, P. A., Miller, M. R., Hand, B. K., Seeb, J. E., & Luikart, G.
409	(2014). Trade-offs and utility of alternative RADseq methods: Reply to Puritz et al.
410	2014. Molecular Ecology, 23(24), 5943-5946. doi:10.1111/mec.12964
411	Baichoo, S., & Ouzounis, C. A. (2017). Computational complexity of algorithms for sequence
412	comparison, short-read assembly and genome alignment. Biosystems, 156, 72-85.
413	doi:10.1016/j.biosystems.2017.03.003
414	Bateman, A., Martin, M. J., Orchard, S., Magrane, M., Alpi, E., Bely, B., UniProt, C.
415	(2019). UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic Acids Research,
416	47(D1), D506-D515. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1049
417	Benestan, L., Gosselin, T., Perrier, C., Sainte-Marie, B., Rochette, R., & Bernatchez, L.
418	(2015). RAD genotyping reveals fine-scale genetic structuring and provides powerful
419	population assignment in a widely distributed marine species, the American lobster
420	(Homarus americanus). Molecular Ecology, 24(13), 3299-3315.
421	doi:10.1111/mec.13245
422	Bushnell, B., Rood, J., & Singer, E. (2017). BBMerge - Accurate paired shotgun read
423	merging via overlap. Plos One, 12(10). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0185056
424	Calo, A., Muñoz, I., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Vergara-Chen, C., & García-Charton, J. A. (2016).
425	Spatial genetic structure in the saddled sea bream (Oblada melanura Linnaeus, 1758)
426	suggests multi-scaled patterns of connectivity between protected and unprotected
427	areas in the Western Mediterranean Sea. Fisheries Research, 176, 30-38.
428	doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2015.12.001
429	Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., & Madden,
430	T. L. (2009). BLAST plus : architecture and applications. <i>Bmc Bioinformatics, 10</i> .
431	doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421
432	Carnielli, C. M., Winck, F. V., & Leme, A. F. P. (2015). Functional annotation and biological
433	interpretation of proteomics data. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Proteins and
434	<i>Proteomics</i> , 1854(1), 46-54. doi:10.1016/j.bbapap.2014.10.019
435	Carreras, C., Ordonez, V., Zane, L., Kruschel, C., Nasto, I., Macpherson, E., & Pascual, M.
436	(2017). Population genomics of an endemic Mediterranean fish: differentiation by fine
437	scale dispersal and adaptation. Scientific Reports, 7. doi:10.1038/srep43417
438	Catchen, J., Amores, A., Hohenlohe, P., Cresko, W., & Postlethwait, J. (2011). Stacks:
439	building and genotyping loci de novo from short-read sequences. G3: Genes,
440	Genomes, Genetics, 1, 171-182.
441	Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P. A., Bassham, S., Amores, A., & Cresko, W. A. (2013). Stacks: an
442	analysis tool set for population genomics. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , 22(11), 3124-3140.
443	doi:10.1111/mec.12354

Al-Nakeeb, K., Petersen, T. N., & Sicheritz-Ponten, T. (2017). Norgal: extraction and de novo

444 Chen, C., Khaleel, S. S., Huang, H., & Wu, C. H. (2013). NgsShoRT: a software for pre445 processing Illumina short read sequences for de novo genome assembly. *Proceedings*446 of the International Conference on Bioinformatics, 706.

- Chen, H., & Boutros, P. C. (2011). VennDiagram: a package for the generation of highlycustomizable Venn and Euler diagrams in R. *Bmc Bioinformatics*, *12*.
 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-35
- Chen, Q. F., Lan, C. W., Zhao, L., Wang, J. X., Chen, B. S., & Chen, Y. P. P. (2017). Recent advances in sequence assembly: principles and applications. *Brief Funct Genomics*, *16*(6), 361-378. doi:10.1093/bfgp/elx006
- 453 Colloca, F., Scarcella, G., & Libralato, S. (2017). Recent Trends and Impacts of Fisheries
 454 Exploitation on Mediterranean Stocks and Ecosystems. *Frontiers in Marine Science*,
 455 4. doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00244
- 456 Dalongeville, A., Andrello, M., Mouillot, D., Lobreaux, S., Fortin, M. J., Lasram, F., . . .
 457 Manel, S. (2018). Geographic isolation and larval dispersal shape seascape genetic
 458 patterns differently according to spatial scale. *Evol Appl, 11*(8), 1437-1447.
 459 doi:10.1111/eva.12638
- 460 Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., . . . Genomes
 461 Project Anal, G. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*,
 462 27(15), 2156-2158. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
- 463 DiBattista, J. D., Travers, M. J., Moore, G. I., Evans, R. D., Newman, S. J., Feng, M., . . .
 464 Berry, O. (2017). Seascape genomics reveals fine-scale patterns of dispersal for a reef
 465 fish along the ecologically divergent coast of Northwestern Australia. *Molecular*466 *Ecology*, 26(22), 6206-6223. doi:10.1111/mec.14352
- 467 Dolezel, J., Bartos, J., Voglmayr, H., & Greilhuber, J. (2003). Nuclear DNA content and
 468 genome size of trout and human. *Cytometry Part A*, 51A(2), 127-128.
 469 doi:10.1002/cyto.a.10013
- Dominguez Del Angel, V., Hjerde, E., Sterck, L., Capella-Gutierrez, S., Notredame, C.,
 Pettersson, O. V., . . . Lantz, H. (2018). Ten steps to get started in Genome Assembly
 and Annotation [version 1; referees: 2 approved]. *F1000Research*, 7(*ELIXIR*):148.
 doi:10.12688/f1000research.13598.1
- Ellegren, H. (2014). Genome sequencing and population genomics in non-model organisms.
 Trends Ecol Evol, 29(1), 51-63. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2013.09.008
- Etter, P. D., Bassham, S., Hohenlohe, P. A., Johnson, E. A., & Cresko, W. A. (2011). SNP
 discovery and genotyping for evolutionary genetics using RAD sequencing *Methods in Molecular Biology*(772), 157-178.
- 479 Giorgi, F. (2006). Climate change hotspots. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(8).
- Gkagkavouzis, K., Karaiskou, N., Katopodi, T., Leonardos, I., Abatzopoulos, T. J., & Trianta
 fyllidis, A. (2019). The genetic population structure and temporal genetic stability of
 gilthead sea bream Sparus aurata populations in the Aegean and Ionian Seas, using
 microsatellite DNA markers. *Journal of Fish Biology*, *94*(4), 606-613.
 doi:10.1111/jfb.13932
- Goni, R., Adlerstein, S., Alvarez-Berastegui, D., Forcada, A., Renones, O., Criquet, G., ...
 Planes, S. (2008). Spillover from six western Mediterranean marine protected areas:
 evidence from artisanal fisheries. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *366*, 159-174.
 doi:10.3354/meps07532
- 489 González-Wanguemert, M., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Cánovas, F., García-Charton, J. A., & Marcos,
 490 C. (2007). Temporal genetic variation in populations of Diplodus sargus from the SW
 491 Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 334, 237-244.
- 492 González-Wanguemert, M., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., García-Charton, J. A., & Marcos, C. (2006).
 493 Genetic differentiation and gene flow of two sparidae subspecies, Diplodus sargus
 494 sargus and Diplodus sargus cadenati in Atlantic and south-west Mediterranean

495	populations. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 89(4), 705-717.
496	doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2006.00706.x
497	Guo, B. C., DeFaveri, J., Sotelo, G., Nair, A., & Merila, J. (2015). Population genomic
498	evidence for adaptive differentiation in Baltic Sea three-spined sticklebacks. BMC
499	<i>Biol</i> , <i>13</i> , 19. doi:10.1186/s12915-015-0130-8
500	Hahn, C., Bachmann, L., & Chevreux, B. (2013). Reconstructing mitochondrial genomes
501	directly from genomic next-generation sequencing reads-a baiting and iterative
502	mapping approach. Nucleic Acids Research. 41(13). doi:10.1093/nar/gkt371
503	Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., & Lempicki, R. A. (2009). Bioinformatics enrichment tools:
504	paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. <i>Nucleic Acids</i>
505	Research, 37(1), 1-13. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn923
506	Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H. Y., Fraser, M., Li, W. Z., McAnulla, C., Hunter, S. (2014).
507	InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. <i>Bioinformatics</i> . 30(9).
508	1236-1240. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031
509	Kajitani, R., Toshimoto, K., Noguchi, H., Tovoda, A., Ogura, Y., Okuno, M.,, Itoh, T.
510	(2014). Efficient de novo assembly of highly heterozygous genomes from whole-
511	genome shotgun short reads. <i>Genome Res.</i> 24(8), 1384-1395.
512	doi:10.1101/gr.170720.113
513	Lenfant P & Planes S (2002) Temporal genetic changes between cohorts in a natural
514	population of a marine fish Diplodus sargus <i>Biological Journal of the Linnean</i>
515	Society, $76(1)$ 9-20 doi:10.1046/i.1095-8312.2002.00041 x
516	Lenais O & Weir J T (2014) SimRAD: an R nackage for simulation-based prediction of
517	the number of loci expected in RADseq and similar genotyping by sequencing
518	approaches Molecular Ecology Resources 14(6) 1314-1321
519	Li H & Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
520	transform <i>Bioinformatics</i> 25(14) 1754-1760 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn324
521	Li H Handsaker B Wysoker A Fennell T Ruan J Homer N Genome Project
522	Data P (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Man format and SAMtools <i>Bioinformatics</i> .
523	25(16) 2078-2079 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
524	Li, L., Stoeckert, C. J., & Roos, D. S. (2003). OrthoMCL: Identification of ortholog groups
525	for eukarvotic genomes, <i>Genome Res</i> , 13(9), 2178-2189, doi:10.1101/gr.1224503
526	Lloret, J., & Font, T. (2013). A comparative analysis between recreational and artisanal
527	fisheries in a Mediterranean coastal area. Fisheries Management and Ecology. 20(2-
528	3), 148-160, doi:10.1111/i.1365-2400.2012.00868.x
529	Luu, K., Bazin, E., & Blum, M. G. B. (2017), pcadapt: an R package to perform genome
530	scans for selection based on principal component analysis. <i>Molecular Ecology</i>
531	<i>Resources</i> , 17(1), 67-77, doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12592
532	Mamuris, Z., Stamatis, C., & Triantaphyllidis, C. (1999). Intraspecific genetic variation of
533	striped red mullet (Mullus surmuletus L.) in the Mediterranean Sea assessed by
534	allozyme and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis. <i>Heredity</i>
535	(Edinb), 83, 30-38, doi:10.1038/si.hdv.6885400
536	Manel, S., Perrier, C., Pratlong, M., Abi-Rached, L., Paganini, J., Pontarotti, P., & Aurelle, D.
537	(2016). Genomic resources and their influence on the detection of the signal of
538	positive selection in genome scans Molacular Ecology 25(1) 170-184
539	doi:10.1111/mec.13468

541 (2015). Restriction site-associated DNA sequencing, genotyping error estimation and

542	de novo assembly optimization for population genetic inference. Molecular Ecology
543	Resources, 15(1), 28-41. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12291
544	Meng, G. L., Li, Y. Y., Yang, C. T., & Liu, S. L. (2019). MitoZ: a toolkit for animal
545	mitochondrial genome assembly, annotation and visualization. Nucleic Acids
546	Research, 47(11). doi:10.1093/nar/gkz173
547	Meynard, C. N., Mouillot, D., Mouquet, N., & Douzery, E. J. P. (2012). A Phylogenetic
548	Perspective on the Evolution of Mediterranean Teleost Fishes. <i>Plos One</i> , 7(5).
549	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036443
550	Parry, M. L. (2000). Assessment of potential effects and adaptations for climate change in
551	Europe: The Europe ACACIA project. Retrieved from
552	Peona, V., Weissensteiner, M. H., & Suh, A. (2018). How complete are "complete" genome
553	assemblies?-An avian perspective. Molecular Ecology Resources, 18(6), 1188-1195.
554	doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12933
555	Pérez-Ruzafa, A., González-Wangüemert, M., Lenfant, P., Marcos, C. & García-Charton,
556	J.A., (2006). Effects of fishing protection on the genetic structure of fish populations.
557	Biological Conservation, 129: 244-255.
558	Ramirez, F., Coll, M., Navarro, J., Bustamante, J., & Green, A. J. (2018). Spatial congruence
559	between multiple stressors in the Mediterranean Sea may reduce its resilience to
560	climate impacts. Scientific Reports, 8. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-33237-w
561	Satoh, T. P., Miya, M., Mabuchi, K., & Nishida, M. (2016). Structure and variation of the
562	mitochondrial genome of fishes. <i>Bmc Genomics</i> , 17. doi:10.1186/s12864-016-3054-y
563	Schunter, C., Carreras-Carbonell, J., MacPherson, E., Tintore, J., Vidal-Vijande, E., Pascual,
564	A., Pascual, M. (2011). Matching genetics with oceanography: directional gene
565	flow in a Mediterranean fish species. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , 20(24), 5167-5181.
566	doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05355.x
567	Sedlazeck, F. J., Lee, H., Darby, C. A., & Schatz, M. C. (2018). Piercing the dark matter:
568	bioinformatics of long-range sequencing and mapping. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> ,
569	<i>19</i> (6), 329-346. doi:10.1038/s415/6-018-0003-4
570	Simao, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., & Zdobnov, E. M. (2015).
571	BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy
572	orthologs. <i>Bioinformatics</i> , 31(19), 3210-3212. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351
573	Slater, G. S., & Birney, E. (2005). Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence
5/4	comparison. Bmc Bioinformatics, 6. doi:10.1186/14/1-2105-6-31
5/5	Smit, A. F. A., Hubley, R., & Green, P. (2013). RepeatMasker Open-4.0.
576	Stanke, M., Steinkamp, R., Waack, S., & Morgenstern, B. (2004). AUGUSTUS: a web server
5//	for gene finding in eukaryotes. <i>Nucleic Acids Research</i> , 32, W309-W312.
5/8	doi:10.1093/nar/gkn3/9
5/9	Stock, A., Crowder, L. B., Halpern, B. S., & Michell, F. (2018). Uncertainty analysis and
580	robust areas of high and low modeled numan impact on the global oceans.
581	Conservation Biology, 52(6), 1568-1579. doi:10.1111/cool.15141
582	Tate, K., Hall, B., & Derego, T. (2014). Annie the functional annotator-initial release.
383 594	LENULU. Time M. Kuhl H. Company D. A. Leure D. Desmannis F. Martine D. C. T.
384 595	Ine, M., Kuni, H., Gagnaire, P. A., Louro, B., Desmarais, E., Martins, K. S. I.,
383 597	Adoptation to sum holinity and encointing. Nature Communications 5
380 597	adaptation to eurynalinity and speciation. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 5.
38/	doi:10.1038/ncomms6//0

- Toonen, R. J., Puritz, J. B., Forsman, Z. H., Whitney, J. L., Fernandez-Silva, I., Andrews, K.
 R., & Bird, C. E. (2013). ezRAD: a simplified method for genomic genotyping in non-model organisms. *Peerj*, *1*. doi:10.7717/peerj.203
- van Orsouw, N. J., Hogers, R. C. J., Janssen, A., Yalcin, F., Snoeijers, S., Verstege, E., ...
 van Eijk, M. J. T. (2007). Complexity Reduction of Polymorphic Sequences (CRoPS
 (TM)): A Novel Approach for Large-Scale Polymorphism Discovery in Complex
 Genomes. *Plos One*, 2(11). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001172
- Vasilakopoulos, P., Maravelias, C. D., & Tserpes, G. (2014). The Alarming Decline of
 Mediterranean Fish Stocks. *Current Biology*, 24(14), 1643-1648.
 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.05.070
- 598 Viret, A., Tsaparis, D., Tsigenopoulos, C. S., Berrebi, P., Sabatini, A., Arculeo, M., . . .
 599 Durieux, E. D. H. (2018). Absence of spatial genetic structure in common dentex
 600 (Dentex dentex Linnaeus, 1758) in the Mediterranean Sea as evidenced by nuclear and
 601 mitochondrial molecular markers. *Plos One*, *13*(9). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0203866
- Wang, S., Meyer, E., McKay, J. K., & Matz, M. V. (2012). 2b-RAD: a simple and flexible
 method for genome-wide genotyping. *Nature Methods*, 9(8), 808-+.
 doi:10.1038/nmeth.2023
- Waples, R. S. (1998). Separating the wheat from the chaff: Patterns of genetic differentiation
 in high gene flow species. *Journal of Heredity*, *89*(5), 438-450.
 doi:10.1093/ihered/89.5.438
- Kuereb, A., D'Aloia, C. C., Daigle, R. M., Andrello, M., Dalongeville, A., Manel, S., ...
 Fortin, M. J. (2019). Marine Conservation and Marine Protected Areas. In S. N. S. AG
 (Ed.), *Population Genomics*. Switzerland: Springer Nature.
- Yang, X. F., Liu, H. P., Ma, Z. H., Zou, Y., Zou, M., Mao, Y. Z., . . . Yang, R. B. (2019).
 Chromosome-level genome assembly of Triplophysa tibetana, a fish adapted to the
 harsh high-altitude environment of the Tibetan Plateau. *Molecular Ecology Resources*,
 14
- 614 *19*(4), 1027-1036. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13021

Author statement

Véronique Arnal processed samples for genome sequencing. Katharina Fietz processed samples for RAD sequencing, and contributed to write the manuscript. Elena Trofimenko processed samples for RAD sequencing. Stéphane Lobreau performed genome assemblies. Pierre-Edouard Guerin performed genome assemblies, processed samples for RAD sequencing, and contributed to write the manuscript. Montserrat Torres-Oliva performed gene annotation. Angel Pérez-Ruzafa contributed to the sampling design and sampling. Stéphanie Manel and Oscar Puebla: Funding acquisition, designed the research, supervised the project and contributed to write the manuscript.

NEW GENOMIC RESOURCES FOR THREE EXPLOITED MEDITERRANEAN FISHES

Supplementary Information

Katharina Fietz, Elena Trofimenko, Pierre-Edouard Guerin, Véronique Arnal, Montserrat Torres-Oliva, Stéphane Lobréaux, Angel Pérez-Ruzafa, Stephanie Manel, Oscar Puebla (<u>see main text</u> for affiliations) **Table S1**: Summary statistics of several <u>fish</u> genomes, including <u>the ones assembled in this</u> <u>study</u> and <u>one of the</u> the best currently available <u>fish</u> genome (*D. labrax*). Sources: AlMomin et al. (2016)¹, Tine et al. (2014)², Domingos, Zenger, and Jerry (2015)³, Xu et al. (2016)⁴, Shin et al. (2014)⁵, Nakamura et al. (2013)⁶

					N50	N50
Species	Year	Assembler	Library	Coverage	contig (<u>k</u> bp)	scattold (<u>k</u> bp)
Pampus argenteus ¹	2016	SOAP de novo	Paired-end 500bp insert size	58X	0.5	1.5
Dicentrachus labrax ²	2015	Celera assembler	Paired-end 300bp & 500bp & 1kbp insert size, Mate-pair 8kbp & 20 kbp insert size	30X	53	5100
Lates calcarifer ³	2015	Velvet	Paired-end 330bp insert size	46X	15	311
Miichthys miiuy ⁴	2016	AllPaths- LG	Paired-end 180bp to 800bp insert size, Mate-pair 3kbp & 8kbp & 20kbp insert size	158X	81	1150
Notothenia coriiceps ⁵	2014	Celera / Pbjelly	Paired-end 150bp & 300bp & 500bp & 600bp insert size, Mate-pair 3kbp & 5kbp & 8kbp & 20kbp insert size	38X	17	217
Thunnus orientalis ⁶	2013	Newbler	Paired-end 300bp & 600bp insert size, Mate-pair 3kbp & 20kbp insert size	43X	82	136
Diplodus sargus	<u>This</u> <u>study</u>	Platanus	Paired-end 350bp & 550bp insert size,	57X	1.1	3371
Mullus surmuletus	<u>This</u> <u>study</u>	Platanus	Mate-pair 3 <u>k</u> bp & 5 <u>k</u> bp insert size	74X	0.38	488
Serranus cabrilla	<u>This</u> study	Platanus		63X	1.1	614

	D. sargus	M. surmuletus	S. cabrilla
Complete BUSCOs	97.5%	92.5%	96.7%
Complete and single-copy BUSCOs	93.5%	89.1%	93.9%
Complete and duplicated BUSCOs	4.0%	3.4%	2.8%
Fragmented BUSCOs	0.8%	2.2%	0.6%
Missing BUSCOs	1.7%	5.3%	2.7%

Table S2: Percentage of <u>conserved Metazoan genes (BUSCOs)</u> found <u>in the genome assemblies</u> of *D. sargus, M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*.

	D. sargus	M. surmuletus	S. cabrilla
Complete BUSCOs	96.6%	89.9%	95.3%
Complete and single-copy BUSCOs	94.4%	87.2%	92.6%
Complete and duplicated BUSCOs	2.2%	2.7%	2.7%
Fragmented BUSCOs	1.4%	4.3%	2.0%
Missing BUSCOs	2.0%	5.8%	2.7%

Table S3: Percentage of <u>conserved</u> Actinopterygii genes <u>(BUSCOs)</u>, found in <u>the genome</u> assemblies of *D. sargus, M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*.

	D. sargus	M. surmuletus	S. cabrilla
Complete BUSCOs	96.0%	90.8%	95.6%
Complete and single-copy BUSCOs	92.3%	87.3%	92.3%
Complete and duplicated BUSCOs	3.7%	3.5%	3.3%
Fragmented BUSCOs	3.4%	5.9%	3.6%
Missing BUSCOs	0.6%	3.3%	0.8%

Table S4: Percentage of <u>conserved Metazoan genes (BUSCOs) found in the</u> gene annotations of *D. sargus, M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*.

	D. sargus	M. surmuletus	S. cabrilla
Complete BUSCOs	90.2%	80.3%	87.6%
Complete and single-copy BUSCOs	86.9%	77.1%	84.1%
Complete and duplicated BUSCOs	3.3%	3.2%	3.5%
Fragmented BUSCOs	8.0%	13.3%	9.8%
Missing BUSCOs	1.8%	6.4%	2.6%

Table S5: Percentage of <u>conserved Actinopterygii genes (BUSCOs)</u>, found in the gene annotations of *D. sargus*, *M. surmuletus* and *S. cabrilla*.

Species	Date	Location	Latitude (decimal degree)	Longitude (decimal degree)	Depth (m)
M. surmuletus	22.02.17	Mallorca, Spain	39.911	3.132	24
D. sargus	15.07.16	Corsica, France	42.323	8.567	14
S. cabrilla	08.06.18	Banyuls, France	42.472	3.157	7

Table S6: Tissue collection site, date and depth for <u>the</u> individuals used to <u>assemble the</u> reference genomes.

sp.	Label	Date	Latitude (decimal degree)	Longitude (decimal degree)	Location	Depth (m)
	1	10.07.2017	41.964	3.228	Aiguafreda	23.3
	2	12.07.2017	42.469	3.163	Banyuls	12.4
	3	12.07.2017	42.469	3.163	Banyuls	11.7
	4	12.07.2017	42.472	3.157	Banyuls	11.5
	5	12.07.2017	42.472	3.157	Banyuls	10.2
	6	12.07.2017	42.472	3.157	Banyuls	10.2
	7	10.10.2017	37.554	-0.917	Cabo del Agua	32.4
	8	27.09.2017	42.285	3.297	Cadaques	16.8
	9	27.09.2017	42.285	3.297	Cadaques	17
	10	25.09.2017	39.605	3.413	Cala Bona	21
	11	05.10.2017	39.638	3.450	Cala Bona	28
	12	13.11.2017	36.892	-1.935	Cala de San Pedro	63
tus	13	19.03.2018	39.707	3.468	Cala Ratjada	18.7
ule	14	06.09.2017	42.323	3.310	Cap de Creus	13.2
шл	15	18.08.2017	37.079	-1.842	Castillo Macenas	32.4
S SI	16	05.10.2017	39.325	2.970	Colònia Sant Jordi	22
nlln	17	04.12.2017	39.280	2.945	Colònia Sant Jordi	56
Mt	18	26.10.2017	40.034	4.187	Fornells	27.7
	19	20.04.2018	40.073	4.080	Illa Bledes	9.8
	20	28.05.2017	39.829	0.708	Islas Columbretes	94
	21	04.06.2017	41.202	2.142	L'Hospitalet	693
	22	09.08.2017	36.864	-1.980	Las Negras	55.8
	23	06.09.2017	38.220	-0.459	Monte Faro	21.5
	24	17.10.2017	39.880	3.160	Pollença	26
	25	26.06.2017	42.521	3.148	Port Vendres	10
	26	31.08.2017	37.031	-1.867	Río Alias	36
	27	05.09.2017	38.181	-0.444	Santa Pola	21
	28	01.12.2017	38.144	-0.613	Santa Pola	13.5
	29	23.05.2017	39.276	-0.137	Sueca	64.5
	30	31.05.2017	41.027	1.377	Tarragona	129.8
	1	12.07.2017	42.514	3.138	Banyuls	19.5
	2	12.07.2017	42.515	3.139	Banyuls	24.5
SN	3	12.07.2017	42.515	3.139	Banyuls	24.5
ırgı	4	12.07.2017	42.515	3.139	Banyuls	24.5
S SC	5	06.09.2017	42.490	3.135	Banyuls	21.7
npc	6	28.04.2018	39.756	3.250	Betlem	23.8
iplu	7	20.10.2017	39.652	3.474	Cala Ratjada	39.7
D	8	27.10.2017	39.776	3.414	Cala Ratjada	32.5
	9	20.11.2017	39.764	3.405	Cala Ratjada	32.2
	10	05.12.2017	39.786	3.440	Cala Ratjada	36.5

Table S7: Tissue collection site, date and depth of the samples used for RAD sequencing.

	11	26.09.2017	39.797	3.201	Can Picafort	27
	12	30.03.2018	39.756	3.222	Can Picafort	14.2
	13	25.10.2017	43.277	3.517	Cap d'Agde	28.4
	14	25.10.2017	43.277	3.517	Cap d'Agde	28.6
	15	06.07.2017	42.323	3.310	Cap de Creus	15.4
	16	06.07.2017	42.323	3.310	Cap de Creus	15.4
	17	06.07.2017	42.323	3.310	Cap de Creus	15.6
	18	08.08.2017	39.894	0.687	Columbretes	7
	19	09.08.2017	39.889	0.667	Columbretes	3
	20	10.08.2017	39.894	0.687	Columbretes	7
	21	13.09.2017	38.281	-0.505	El Altet	8
	22	10.10.2017	37.550	-0.981	Escombreras	59.4
	23	20.10.2017	37.753	-0.744	La Manga	1.8
	24	02.11.2017	37.702	-0.752	La Manga	3.6
	25	26.07.2017	41.801	3.071	Palamos	17.1
	26	26.07.2017	41.801	3.071	Palamos	17.1
	27	30.08.2017	41.857	3.149	Palamos	23.4
	28	27.07.2017	42.239	3.181	Roses	21.4
	29	17.10.2017	41.113	1.302	Tarragone	14.2
	30	18.09.2017	37.931	-0.672	Torrevieja	36
	1	17.10.2017	39.874	3.259	Alcudia	56
	2	07.09.2017	38.303	-0.464	Alicante	21.5
	3	04.09.2017	38.161	-0.528	Alicante	18
	4	04.09.2017	38.161	-0.528	Alicante	18
	5	04.09.2017	38.161	-0.528	Alicante	18
	6	21.09.2017	38.317	-0.447	Alicante	25
	7	12.07.2017	42.479	3.148	Banyuls	17.8
	8	12.07.2017	42.493	3.134	Banyuls	17
	9	16.10.2017	37.583	-0.794	Cabo Negro	21.6
lla	10	23.10.2017	37.585	-0.782	Cabo Negro	21.6
bri	11	15.11.2017	36.892	-1.935	Cala de San Pedro	63
са	12	27.10.2017	39.824	3.471	Cala Ratjada	53.1
snu	13	02.11.2017	39.650	3.607	Cala Ratjada	76.6
rra	14	20.11.2017	39.804	3.380	Cala Ratjada	44.6
Se	15	11.10.2017	37.591	-0.725	Calblanque	25.2
	16	20.07.2017	40.076	4.085	Cap de Cavalleria	18
	17	31.08.2017	37.184	-1.807	Garrucha	27
	18	31.08.2017	37.197	-1.766	Garrucha	72
	19	05.10.2017	39.792	3.734	Holanda	116
	20	05.09.2017	38.172	-0.448	Isla de Tabarca	19
	21	05.09.2017	38.172	-0.448	Isla de Tabarca	19
	22	05.08.2017	39.852	0.682	Islas Columbretes	39.1
	23	08.08.2017	39.905	0.632	La Calç	47.3
	24	13.09.2017	39.831	4.345	Maó	65.8

25	01.06.2017	41.816	3.152	Palamos	73
26	17.08.2017	36.795	-2.285	Rambla Morales	34.2
27	08.09.2017	39.825	3.251	S'Aucanada	39
28	16.03.2018	39.881	3.406	Sa Muntanyota	64
29	04.12.2017	39.280	2.945	Santanyí	56
30	04.06.2017	41.148	1.795	Vilanova y la Geltru	55.75

Table S8: RADseq adapter sequences, F=Forward, R=Reverse. Concentrations of P1 adapters are 25nmole, P1 adapter treatment = standard desalting, Phosphorothioate linkage between bases marked with * in forward P1 adapters, P2 reverse adapter and PCR primers, 5' Phosphorylation as indicated in reverse P1 and forward P2 adapters. Concentrations of P2 adapters and PCR primers are 100 nmole, P2 adapter and PCR primer treatment = HPLC purification,

ID	Name	Sequence
1F	P1-FOR-AAACGG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAAACGGTGC*A
2F	P1-FOR-AACGTT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAACGTTTGC*A
3F	P1-FOR-AACTGA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAACTGATGC*A
4F	P1-FOR-AAGACG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAAGACGTGC*A
5F	P1-FOR-AAGCTA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAAGCTATGC*A
6F	P1-FOR-AATATC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAATATCTGC*A
7F	P1-FOR-AATGAG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAATGAGTGC*A
8F	P1-FOR-ACAAGA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTACAAGATGC*A
9F	P1-FOR-ACAGCG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTACAGCGTGC*A
10F	P1-FOR-ACATAC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTACATACTGC*A
11F	P1-FOR-ACCATG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTACCATGTGC*A
12F	P1-FOR-ACCCCC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTACCCCCTGC*A
13F	P1-FOR-ACTCTT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTACTCTTTGC*A
14F	P1-FOR-ACTGGC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTACTGGCTGC*A
15F	P1-FOR-AGCCAT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAGCCATTGC*A
16F	P1-FOR-AGCGCA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAGCGCATGC*A
17F	P1-FOR-AGGGTC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAGGGTCTGC*A
18F	P1-FOR-AGGTGT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAGGTGTTGC*A
19F	P1-FOR-AGTAGG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAGTAGGTGC*A
20F	P1-FOR-AGTTAA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTAGTTAATGC*A
21F	P1-FOR-ATAGTA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTATAGTATGC*A
22F	P1-FOR-ATCAAA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTATCAAATGC*A
23F	P1-FOR-ATGCAC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTATGCACTGC*A
24F	P1-FOR-ATGTTG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTATGTTGTGC*A
25F	P1-FOR-ATTCCG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTATTCCGTGC*A
26F	P1-FOR-CAAAAA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCAAAAATGC*A
27F	P1-FOR-CAATCG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCAATCGTGC*A
28F	P1-FOR-CACCTC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCACCTCTGC*A
29F	P1-FOR-CAGGCA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCAGGCATGC*A
30F	P1-FOR-CATACT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCATACTTGC*A
31F	P1-FOR-CCATTT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCCATTTTGC*A
32F	P1-FOR-CCCGGT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCCCGGTTGC*A
33F	P1-FOR-CCCTAA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCCCTAATGC*A
34F	P1-FOR-CCGAGG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCCGAGGTGC*A
35F	P1-FOR-CCGCAT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCCGCATTGC*A
36F	P1-FOR-CCTAAC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCCTAACTGC*A
37F	P1-FOR-CGAGGC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCGAGGCTGC*A
38F	P1-FOR-CGCAGA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCGCAGATGC*A
39F	P1-FOR-CGCGTG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCGCGTGTGC*A
40F	P1-FOR-CGGTCC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCGGTCCTGC*A
41F	P1-FOR-CGTCTA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCGTCTATGC*A
42F	P1-FOR-CGTGAT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCGTGATTGC*A

43F	P1-FOR-CTACAG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCTACAGTGC*A
44F	P1-FOR-CTCGCC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCTCGCCTGC*A
45F	P1-FOR-CTGCGA	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCTGCGATGC*A
46F	P1-FOR-CTGGTT	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCTGGTTTGC*A
47F	P1-FOR-CTTATG	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCTTATGTGC*A
48F	P1-FOR-CTTTGC	ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTCTTTGCTGC*A
1R	P1-REV-AAACGG	/5Phos/CCGTTTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
2R	P1-REV-AACGTT	/5Phos/AACGTTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
3R	P1-REV-AACTGA	/5Phos/TCAGTTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
4R	P1-REV-AAGACG	/5Phos/CGTCTTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
5R	P1-REV-AAGCTA	/5Phos/TAGCTTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
6R	P1-REV-AATATC	/5Phos/GATATTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
7R	P1-REV-AATGAG	/5Phos/CTCATTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
8R	P1-REV-ACAAGA	/5Phos/TCTTGTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
9R	P1-REV-ACAGCG	/5Phos/CGCTGTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
10R	P1-REV-ACATAC	/5Phos/GTATGTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
11R	P1-REV-ACCATG	/5Phos/CATGGTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
12R	P1-REV-ACCCCC	/5Phos/GGGGGTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
13R	P1-REV-ACTCTT	/5Phos/AAGAGTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
14R	P1-REV-ACTGGC	/5Phos/GCCAGTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
15R	P1-REV-AGCCAT	/5Phos/ATGGCTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
16R	P1-REV-AGCGCA	/5Phos/TGCGCTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
17R	P1-REV-AGGGTC	/5Phos/GACCCTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
18R	P1-REV-AGGTGT	/5Phos/ACACCTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
19R	P1-REV-AGTAGG	/5Phos/CCTACTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
20R	P1-REV-AGTTAA	/5Phos/TTAACTAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
21R	P1-REV-ATAGTA	/5Phos/TACTATAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
22R	P1-REV-ATCAAA	/5Phos/TTTGATAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
23R	P1-REV-ATGCAC	/5Phos/GTGCATAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
24R	P1-REV-ATGTTG	/5Phos/CAACATAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
25R	P1-REV-ATTCCG	/5Phos/CGGAATAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
26R	P1-REV-CAAAAA	/5Phos/TTTTTGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
27R	P1-REV-CAATCG	/5Phos/CGATTGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
28R	P1-REV-CACCTC	/5Phos/GAGGTGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
29R	P1-REV-CAGGCA	/5Phos/TGCCTGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
30R	P1-REV-CATACT	/5Phos/AGTATGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
31R	P1-REV-CCATTT	/5Phos/AAATGGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
32R	P1-REV-CCCGGT	/5Phos/ACCGGGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
33R	P1-REV-CCCTAA	/5Phos/TTAGGGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
34R	P1-REV-CCGAGG	/5Phos/CCTCGGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
35R	P1-REV-CCGCAT	/5Phos/ATGCGGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
36R	P1-REV-CCTAAC	/5Phos/GTTAGGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
37R	P1-REV-CGAGGC	/5Phos/GCCTCGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
38R	P1-REV-CGCAGA	/5Phos/TCTGCGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
39R	P1-REV-CGCGTG	/5Phos/CACGCGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
40R	P1-REV-CGGTCC	/5Phos/GGACCGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
41R	P1-REV-CGTCTA	/5Phos/TAGACGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT

42R	P1-REV-CGTGAT	/5Phos/ATCACGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
43R	P1-REV-CTACAG	/5Phos/CTGTAGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
44R	P1-REV-CTCGCC	/5Phos/GGCGAGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
45R	P1-REV-CTGCGA	/5Phos/TCGCAGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
46R	P1-REV-CTGGTT	/5Phos/AACCAGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
47R	P1-REV-CTTATG	/5Phos/CATAAGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
48R	P1-REV-CTTTGC	/5Phos/GCAAAGAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT
P2-FOR	/5Phos/GATCGGA	AGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGACCGATCAGAACA*A

12100	
	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATCGGTCTCGGCATTCCTGCTGAACCGCTCTTCCGATC
P2-REV	*T

FOR-PCR AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATC*T REV-PCR CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACG*A







Figure S1: Visualization of circular mtDNA for (a) *D. sargus* (16,620 bp), (b) *M. surmuletus* (16,577 bp) and (c) *S. cabrilla* (16,513 bp). The innermost circle shows the GC content, which is calculated with a sliding-window method. The middle circle shows the <u>coverage</u> depth distribution with the dark green outline. Parts of the genome that have a coverage lower than 20X are shaded in red, whereas parts of genome that have coverage larger than the upper quartile are shaded in dark green. The outer circle shows the gene <u>annotations</u> and is shaded in orange for rRNA, in red for tRNA, and in blue for CDS.



Figure S2: Schematic workflow of DNA extraction, genome assembly and annotation.



Figure S3. Map of the sampling sites. a) *Diplodus sargus* b) *Mullus surmuletus* c) *Serranus cabrilla*

References

- AlMomin, S., Kumar, V., Al-Amad, S., Al-Hussaini, M., Dashti, T., Al-Enezi, K., & Akbar, A. (2016). Draft genome sequence of the silver pomfret fish, Pampus argenteus. *Genome*, 59(1), 51-58. doi:10.1139/gen-2015-0056
- Domingos, J. A., Zenger, K. R., & Jerry, D. R. (2015). Whole-genome shotgun sequence assembly enables rapid gene characterization in the tropical fish barramundi, Lates calcarifer. *Animal Genetics*, *46*(4), 468-469. doi:10.1111/age.12312
- Nakamura, Y., Mori, K., Saitoh, K., Oshima, K., Mekuchi, M., Sugaya, T., . . . Inouye, K. (2013). Evolutionary changes of multiple visual pigment genes in the complete genome of Pacific bluefin tuna. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(27), 11061-11066. doi:10.1073/pnas.1302051110
- Shin, S. C., Ahn, D. H., Kim, S. J., Pyo, C. W., Lee, H., Kim, M. K., . . . Park, H. (2014). The genome sequence of the Antarctic bullhead notothen reveals evolutionary adaptations to a cold environment. *Genome Biology*, *15*(9). doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0468-1
- Tine, M., Kuhl, H., Gagnaire, P. A., Louro, B., Desmarais, E., Martins, R. S. T., . . . Reinhardt, R. (2014). European sea bass genome and its variation provide insights into adaptation to euryhalinity and speciation. *Nature Communications*, 5. doi:10.1038/ncomms6770
- Xu, T. J., Xu, G. L., Che, R. B., Wang, R. X., Wang, Y. J., Li, J. R., ... Yang, Q. (2016). The genome of the miiuy croaker reveals well-developed innate immune and sensory systems. *Scientific Reports*, 6. doi:10.1038/srep21902