

# Stable Zn isotopes reveal the uptake and toxicity of zinc oxide engineered nanomaterials in Phragmites australis

C. Caldelas, F. Poitrasson, J. Viers, J. L Araus

## ▶ To cite this version:

C. Caldelas, F. Poitrasson, J. Viers, J. L Araus. Stable Zn isotopes reveal the uptake and toxicity of zinc oxide engineered nanomaterials in Phragmites australis. Environmental science.Nano, 2020, 7 (7), pp.1927-1941. 10.1039/D0EN00110D . hal-02996641

# HAL Id: hal-02996641 https://hal.science/hal-02996641

Submitted on 9 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## 1 Stable Zn isotopes reveal the uptake and toxicity of zinc oxide

- 2 engineered nanomaterials in *Phragmites australis*
- 3 Caldelas  $C^{1*}$ , Poitrasson  $F^2$ , Viers  $J^2$ , and Araus  $JL^{1,3}$ .
- 4
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Evolutive Biology, Ecology, and Environmental Sciences. University of
- 6 Barcelona. Av. Diagonal, 643, 08015, Barcelona, Spain.
- 7 Cristina Caldelas\*, (+34) 657345950, <u>criscaldelas@ub.edu</u>
- 8 José Luis Araus, (+34) 934021469 jaraus@ub.edu
- 9 <sup>2</sup>Géosciences Environnement Toulouse, UMR 5563 Centre National de la Recherche
- 10 Scientifique Université de Toulouse Institut de Recherches pour le Développement, 14-16,
- 11 avenue Edouard Belin, 31400, Toulouse, France.
- 12 <sup>3</sup>AGROTECNIO Center, University of Lleida, 25198 Lleida, Spain
- 13 Franck Poitrasson, +33 (0)561332619, <u>Franck.Poitrasson@get.omp.eu</u>
- 14 Jérôme Viers, +33 (0)0561332624, jerome.viers@get.omp.eu
- 15
- **16** Table of contents



- 17
- 18 The Zn stable isotope composition of plants demonstrates that ZnO engineered nanomaterials
- 19 dissolve before their uptake and accumulation by the roots (brightest inclusions in root cortex
- 20 above).

#### 21 Abstract

22 The uptake, transport, and toxicity mechanisms of zinc oxide (ZnO) engineered nanomaterials 23 (ZnO-ENMs) in aquatic plants remain obscure. We investigated ZnO-ENM uptake and 24 phytotoxicity in *Phragmites australis* by combining Zn stable isotopes and microanalysis. 25 Plants were exposed to four ZnO materials: micron-size ZnO, nanoparticles (NPs) of <100 nm or <50 nm, and nanowires of 50 nm diameter at concentrations of 0-1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. All ZnO 26 27 materials reduced growth, chlorophyll content, photosynthetic efficiency, and transpiration and 28 led to Zn precipitation outside the plasma membranes of root cells. Nanoparticles <50 nm released more Zn<sup>2+</sup> and were more toxic, thus causing greater Zn precipitation and accumulation 29 in the roots and reducing Zn isotopic fractionation during Zn uptake. However, fractionation by 30 31 the shoots was similar for all treatments and was consistent with Zn<sup>2+</sup> being the main form transported to the shoots. Stable Zn isotopes are useful to trace ZnO-ENM uptake and toxicity 32 33 in plants.

34

#### 35 Environmental Significance Statement

36 Our understanding of zinc oxide nanomaterials interaction with wetland plants is hampered by 37 the lack of scientific consensus about their uptake and toxicity mechanisms in these species. 38 This is a serious concern given the alarming global increase in the discharge of these nanomaterials into the environment and the key ecological roles of wetland plants. The Zn 39 40 isotopic signature of plant tissue integrates all the Zn metabolic pathway throughout the plant's 41 life, giving insight about the form of Zn taken up, even if this later transforms into another Zn 42 species. Thus, our findings clarify the exposure routes and the mechanisms of action of zinc 43 oxide engineered nanomaterials in wetland plants while advancing the toolbox for plant 44 physiology and environmental studies.

### 46 Introduction

| 47 | An estimated 34,000 tonnes year <sup>-1</sup> of ZnO-ENMs are emitted into the environment globally, of                             |
|----|---|
| 48 | which 3,000 are directly discharged into water bodies <sup>1</sup> . Models predict that sediments will                             |
| 49 | receive most of the ZnO-ENMs released into water bodies (up to 1 mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>2</sup> , especially |
| 50 | near large cities and related industry, in areas that favour deposition like wetlands and marshes.                                  |
| 51 | This may cause chronic toxicity in emergent wetland plants (helophytes), which play vital   |
| 52 | ecological roles <sup>3</sup> . Exposure to ZnO-ENMs decreases photosynthesis, antioxidant activity, and                            |
| 53 | growth in aquatic plants, and increases Zn bioaccumulation and oxidative stress <sup>4–10</sup> . However,                          |
| 54 | studies on helophytes are few and the uptake and toxicity of ZnO-ENMs, especially after   |
| 55 | chronic exposure, are poorly characterised in these plants.   |
| 56 | There is an unresolved controversy about the capacity of entire ZnO-ENMs to enter roots. In   |
| 57 | several crops, ZnO-ENMs have been reported in the root epidermis, cortex, endodermis, stele,  |
| 58 | lateral roots, and on the root surface <sup>11-16</sup> . At the cellular level, ZnO-ENMs have accumulated in                       |
| 59 | the intercellular spaces, along the plasma membrane, and in the cytoplasm, vacuoles and   |
| 60 | nuclei <sup>11,14</sup> . It has been suggested that ZnO-ENMs in the root apoplast can enter the symplast by                        |
| 61 | endocytosis <sup>11</sup> and pass to the xylem directly from the apoplast, through lateral roots with                              |
| 62 | immature Casparian bands <sup>6,14</sup> . However, few studies have confirmed either the elemental                                 |
| 63 | composition of the candidate ENMs or the Zn speciation in roots. Wang et al found 65% ZnO   |
| 64 | and 32% Zn-histidine in the roots of hydroponically grown cowpea exposed to ZnO-ENMs <sup>12</sup> .                                |
| 65 | Nonetheless, Da Cruz et al demonstrated that ZnO was only found inside roots when they had  |
| 66 | been previously damaged <sup>13</sup> . Accordingly, ZnO-ENMs normally do not reach the shoots unless                               |
| 67 | roots are damaged <sup>12-15</sup> . Intact roots generally contain no ZnO but do contain other Zn species                          |
| 68 | like Zn-phosphates, Zn-citrate, Zn-malate, Zn-histidine, or Zn-nitrate <sup>13,14,16</sup> . In the aquatic plant                   |
| 69 | Schoenoplectus tabernaemontani, ZnO-ENMs were reportedly found around plastids in the   |
| 70 | roots, arranged like beads on a string <sup>6</sup> . However, this observation could be better explained as                        |
| 71 | endoplasmic reticulum wrapped around plastids <sup>17</sup> .   |

72 The present research aims to give a comprehensive insight on ZnO-ENM uptake and chronic 73 toxicity in *P. australis* at the levels estimated by models in current sediments, with an ample 74 margin for a future increase in concentrations. This is crucial because emissions are expected to 75 continue growing. To do so, we determined whether entire ZnO-ENMs enter P. australis roots 76 by combining transmission electron microscopy (TEM), X-ray microanalysis, dark-field 77 mapping, and Zn stable isotope analysis in different plant organs. Zinc stable isotopes are valuable to understand Zn uptake and toxicity in plants<sup>18,19</sup>. The Zn isotopic signature of plant 78 tissue integrates all the processes causing Zn fractionation throughout a plant's life and it is a 79 proxy of Zn speciation and the activity of Zn membrane transporters<sup>20</sup>. Hence, Zn isotopes can 80 be used to determine whether Zn was first taken up as either  $Zn^{2+}$  or ZnO-ENMs, even after 81 82 they form other Zn species. Additionally, we unravelled the mechanisms of ZnO-ENM toxicity 83 on the photosynthetic apparatus and fully characterised the physiological response of P. 84 australis to ZnO-ENMs.

85

86 Methods

#### 87 Nanomaterials and non-nano ZnO

88 Four ZnO materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich: non-nano ZnO (96479 Fluka, ACS

reagent  $\geq$ 99.9%); spherical particle nanopowder <100 nm in diameter (544906 Aldrich,  $\approx$ 80%);

90 <50 nm nanopowder particles of various shapes (677450 Aldrich, >97%); and nanowires 50 nm

91 in diameter x 300 nm long (773980 Aldrich). These materials will be referred to as Bulk,

92 NP100, NP50, and NW, respectively. To determine their chemical composition, 2 ml HNO<sub>3</sub>

93 (69.0-70.0% Instra reagent, 9598-34 Baker) was added to 50 mg aliquots and digested overnight

at 90°C. The extracts were brought to 100 ml with 1% HNO<sub>3</sub> and analysed for Al, Cd, Cr, Cu,

95 Fe, Ni, Pb, and Zn content by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-

96 OES) using a Perkin Elmer Optima-8300 (Waltham, MA, USA). Three replicates were analysed

97 for each ZnO material. To study particle size and shape, samples were observed on a field

98 emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) JEOL JSM 7100F at 20.0 kV, and secondary
99 electron images were taken.

100

#### **101 Plant growth conditions**

102 Phragmites australis (Cav.) Trin. Ex Steud plants were purchased from a local nursery (Tres 103 Turons, Sabadell, Spain). Plant cultivation took place in the greenhouse of the Experimental 104 Field Services of the University of Barcelona (UB). Roots were washed to remove the original 105 substrate and shoots cut to induce new growth. Plants were initially grown in trays filled with modified half-strength Hoagland's solution as detailed in<sup>18</sup>. The pH was adjusted to 6.5 and 106 107 solution was replaced every three days. After 7 weeks, plants were rinsed in distilled water, 108 selected within a small range of fresh weight  $(11.99 \pm 0.23 \text{ g}, \text{mean} \pm \text{standard error}, n = 95)$ 109 and height  $(27.54 \pm 0.34 \text{ cm})$ , and placed in individual 1-gallon (3.895 l) glass pots. These were 110 filled with modified half-strength Hoagland's solution with no added Zn according to<sup>21</sup> (Supplementary Table 1), at pH 5.9. Each pot was wrapped in aluminium foil to limit algal 111 112 growth. After 10 days, the ZnO materials described above were added at a concentration of 0, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, or 1000 mg  $l^{-1}$ . Nanowires were only tested up to 10 mg  $l^{-1}$  due to their high cost. 113 114 Four pots per treatment were distributed randomly on the greenhouse table, and the nutrient 115 solution was replenished three times a week. Five pots without plants but containing the same 116 solution were included. Plants were grown for 14 weeks, from November 2016 to February 2017. The mean temperature was 17.9±0.22°C, the relative humidity 51.0±1.06%, and the 117 maximum PPFD (photosynthetic photon flux density) ~500  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The pH and electrical 118 conductivity (EC) of the solutions were controlled weekly in a sub-sample of 10 pots (results 119 120 not shown) and recorded for the whole experiment on weeks 8 and 12 (Supplementary Table 2). 121

#### **122 Elemental analyses**

123 At the end of the experiment, 10 ml aliquots of the growth solutions were centrifuged for 10

124 minutes at 9065 relative centrifugal force in a Hettich 32R centrifuge (Tuttlingen, Germany)

125 with a 1620A angle rotor. Supernatants were filtered using Whatman® Grade GF/A glass

microfibre filters (WHA1820042) and 100 µl HNO<sub>3</sub> was added (Baker Instra-Analysed
Reagent, 69.0-70.0%, 9598-34). The Zn and Al contents of the solutions were measured by ICPOES.

129 Plants were thoroughly washed in distilled water, patted dry, and weighed. Roots and shoots 130 were separated using a scalpel. Samples were oven-dried at 60°C for 48h and finely ground for 131 2 min in a Retzch MM400 mixer mill at a frequency of  $20 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Next, 0.1 g of the ground material was digested overnight at 90°C in a mixture of 2 ml HNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.5 ml H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (30% Suprapur, 132 133 1.07298.1000 Merck). Then 50 µl of HF (40% reagent grade, AC10511000 Scharlab) were 134 added to each sample and digested for an additional 2h at 90°C. Digests were diluted in 30 ml MilliQ water (18.2  $\Omega$ ) before analysis. Per every 30 samples, 3 blanks and 2 aliquots of the 135 136 BCR-60 reference material (Lagarosiphon major) (Community Bureau of Reference, Brussels, Belgium) were digested using the same protocol. We obtained  $303.1\pm5.3$  (n=6) µg g<sup>-1</sup> Zn, in 137 138 line with the certified values (313.0±8.0). The Al, Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, P, S, and Zn contents 139 of the extracts were then determined by ICP-OES. To study Zn mass balance at the end of the 140 experiment, Zn in mg was calculated for each reservoir in our culture system (Equation 1): 141  $Zn_{watering} + Zn_{ZnO} = Zn_{root} + Zn_{shoot} + Zn_{solution} + Zn_{solid}$ 142 The Zn input contributed by the nutrient solution (Zn<sub>watering</sub>) was calculated by multiplying the 143 [Zn] of the initial nutrient solution by the total volume of solution added and by the sum of all waterings (E). Zinc input from ZnO materials  $(Zn_{7nO})$  was obtained from the [ZnO] of each 144 treatment  $(0.1, 1, 10, 100, \text{ and } 1000 \text{ mg } 1^{-1})$  multiplied by the Zn concentration of each material. 145 Zinc extracted by roots (Zn<sub>root</sub>) and shoots (Zn<sub>shoot</sub>) was determined by multiplying [Zn] by dry 146 weight (DW). The total Zn in the final solutions (Zn<sub>solution</sub>) was calculated by multiplying [Zn] in 147 148 the final solutions by the volume of the container. Finally, the Zn in the solid phase of the nutrient media (Zn<sub>solid</sub>) was inferred from subtracting Zn<sub>root</sub>, Zn<sub>shoot</sub>, and Zn<sub>solution</sub> from the sum of 149 150 all Zn inputs. The same procedure was followed to calculate the Al mass balance. 151

#### 152 Zinc separation and isotopic analyses

| 153 | Zinc isotope analyses were carried out in the facilities of the Géosciences Environnement,   |
|-----|--|
| 154 | Toulouse, France. In the clean lab (ISO3), 100 mg of sample were weighed in Teflon beakers.  |
| 155 | Samples were digested in several steps: i) 24h at room temperature in $1.5ml HNO_3$ and $1 ml$                                     |
| 156 | $H_2O_2$ ; ii) 24h on a hotplate at 80°C with the same mixture, then evaporated; iii) 24h at 80°C in a                             |
| 157 | mixture of 1.2ml HF and 1.2 ml HNO <sub>3</sub> , then evaporated; and finally iv) 24h on a hotplate at                            |
| 158 | 115°C in 20 drops of HCl and 10 drops of HNO <sub>3</sub> , and evaporated. The digests were then                                  |
| 159 | refluxed in 15 ml 10% HNO3 for Zn quantification in an ICP-MS Agilent 7500 (Santa Clara,   |
| 160 | USA). Three aliquots of BCR-60 were ground and processed in the same manner as the samples   |
| 161 | to quantify the Zn contribution of the stainless-steel jars and balls. We obtained 298.2 $\pm$ 2.1 µg g <sup>-</sup>               |
| 162 | $^{1}$ Zn for the ground BCR-60 and 301.3±6.9 for the non-ground. These values are slightly lower                                  |
| 163 | than the certified 313.0 $\pm$ 8.0 µg g <sup>-1</sup> Zn, which is likely due to the cleanroom being a much                        |
| 164 | cleaner environment than a regular chemistry laboratory. The sample residual was weighed and                                       |
| 165 | refluxed in 1 ml of 7N HCl + $0.001\%$ H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> overnight at 40°C. HCl and HNO <sub>3</sub> were double       |
| 166 | distilled, HF was 41-51% (Fluka A513-P500 suprapur) and $\rm H_2O_2$ 30% (Merk 1.07298   |
| 167 | suprapur). Plastic and Teflon material was acid-washed before use. Zinc separation was   |
| 168 | performed in Poly-Prep chromatography columns (BIO-RAD, 731-1550) containing 2 ml of   |
| 169 | anion exchange resin AGMP-1M (100-200 mesh, chloride form) (BIO-RAD, 1411841). The   |
| 170 | resin was first cleansed three times with 10 ml $18.2\Omega$ water followed by 7 ml 0.5N HNO <sub>3</sub> , then                   |
| 171 | conditioned with 6 ml 7N HCl + $0.001\%$ H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> before loading the samples. Matrix elements and             |
| 172 | Cu were eluted with 30 ml 7N HCl + $0.001\%$ H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> . Iron (Fe) was eluted with 10 ml 2N HCl +              |
| 173 | 0.001% H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> and the resin was rinsed in 2 ml of 0.5N HNO <sub>3</sub> . Finally, Zn fractions were eluted |
| 174 | on 8 ml of 0.5N HNO3 and evaporated. Three aliquots of the reference material BCR 281  |
| 175 | (ryegrass) were processed in the same manner to check the accuracy of the isotope  |
| 176 | measurements. Digested ZnO materials were diluted up to 1:3000. Column yield was checked   |
| 177 | from the Zn intensities during isotope analysis and was 96.6 $\pm$ 18.0 % (mean $\pm$ 2SD, n=48) for                               |
| 178 | plant samples and $89.7 \pm 5.6$ (n=12) for ZnO materials. Three samples with bad yields were                                      |
| 179 | rejected and were not included in this study. Zinc fractions were concentration-matched to   |

within 10% and measured for Zn isotopic composition in a High-Resolution Multi-Collector 180 181 ICP Mass Spectrometer (Neptune, Thermofinnigan). Instrument settings are shown in Supplementary Table 3. The Neptune cup configuration was: L4 (<sup>62</sup>Ni), L2 (<sup>63</sup>Cu), L1 (<sup>64</sup>Zn), 182 Central (<sup>64</sup>Cu), H1 (<sup>66</sup>Zn), H2 (<sup>67</sup>Zn), and H3 (<sup>68</sup>Zn). To correct for mass bias, the sample-183 standard bracketing procedure as described in<sup>22</sup> was applied, with AA ETH Zn as bracketing 184 185 standard and copper (Cu) NIST SRM 976 as the external element. Sample and standard 186 measurements were obtained from 40 cycles of 8-second integrations. Samples were measured 2-3 times on different sessions. Repeatability throughout the three sessions was  $0.002\% \pm 0.035$ 187 (2SD; n = 150), calculated from the bracketing standard. Isotope compositions are expressed in 188 the  $\delta$  notation (Equation 2): 189

$$\delta X = \left( \left( \frac{R_s}{R_{st}} \right) - 1 \right) \times 1000$$

For a given chemical element (X),  $R_s$  is the ratio of the heavy isotope to the light isotope of the sample and  $R_{st}$  of the standard. All  $\delta^{66}$ Zn in this study were calculated using the 66 and 64 isotopes and expressed relative to JMC Zn. The  $\delta^{66}$ Zn<sub>JMC</sub> for AA ETH Zn and ryegrass were 0.27 ± 0.04 (2SD; n = 150), and 0.42 ± 0.06 (2SD; n = 6) respectively, which was in agreement with the literature<sup>23,24</sup>.

195

#### **196** Root anatomy and root cell ultrastructure

Root tips were cut from controls and plants treated with either 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (Bulk, NP100, NP50) 197 or 10 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (NW) for 9 weeks. Root tips were rinsed in distilled water and fixed in an ice-cold 198 mixture of 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 199 200 then stored at 4°C. Samples were exposed to vacuum for 1h to remove air bubbles, washed in 201 phosphate buffer, and postfixed and stained with 1% osmium tetroxide and 0.8% potassium 202 ferrocyanide for 1h. Stained samples were washed in distilled water and dehydrated in an 203 acetone series of increasing concentration to achieve 100%. All the fixation steps were carried 204 out at 4°C. Fixed samples were polymerised in epoxy Spurr resin for 48h at 60°C. For light 205 microscopy, 1 µm semi-thin cross-sections were stained with methylene blue and photographed

| 206 | with a light microscope (Olympus 175 CX41, Tokyo, Japan) coupled with a digital camera                  |
|-----|---|
| 207 | (Olympus DP70). For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), 70 nm ultrathin sections were               |
| 208 | cut with a Reichert-Jung Ultracut E ultramicrotome (C. Reichert AG, Vienna, Austria), stained           |
| 209 | with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and observed under a Jeol JEM 1010 (Tokyo, Japan)                 |
| 210 | operated at 100 kV. Images were taken with a Gatan Orius camera (Gatan, Pleasanton, USA).               |
| 211 | For microanalysis, unstained cuts were dried and mounted on titanium grids. Cuts were                   |
| 212 | analysed using a JEOL JEM-2100 LaB6 transmission electron microscope equipped with an                   |
| 213 | Energy Dispersed Analysis of X-ray Spectrometer (EDXS), operating at 200 kV in STEM mode                |
| 214 | using the dark field detector. The beam size used in this mode was around 15 nm. The                    |
| 215 | spectrometer is an INCA x-sight (Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, UK), with a Si (Li) detector.            |
| 216 | Micrographs were obtained using a Gatan Orius SC1000 CCD camera with Digital Micrograph                 |
| 217 | Version 1.71.38 software. Map acquisition was accomplished using the INCA Microanalysis                 |
| 218 | Suite version 4.09 software. X-ray maps were obtained by selecting Zn $k\alpha 1$ as the characteristic |
| 219 | X-ray peak.   |

220

#### 221 Evapotranspiration and photosynthetic performance

222 To calculate the water consumption from each pot (E, in g), the nutrient solution added during each watering was weighed. Chlorophyll content on a leaf area basis was measured with a 223 SPAD-502 portable chlorophyll meter (Minolta, Illinois, USA), as reported elsewhere<sup>25</sup>. Five 224 225 representative mature leaves of each plant were measured at 2 cm from the base. The leaf gas 226 exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence were determined using a Li-COR 6400 portable photosynthesis system (Li-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) running OPEN version 4.06. The third 227 228 fully developed leaf of the healthiest shoot of each plant was measured at approximately 2 cm from the base. Leaves were first dark-adapted for 30 min to measure maximum quantum yield 229 230  $(F_v/F_m)$ . The same leaves were then re-acclimated to environmental light until stabilised (up to 45 min) to determine relative quantum yield  $(F_v'/F_m')$ , quantum yield of photosystem-II 231 photochemistry  $(\Phi PSII)^{26}$ , quantum yield of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation ( $\Phi CO_2$ ), the electron transport rate 232 233 (ETR,  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), photochemical (qP) and non-photochemical quenching (qN, NPQ), the

light-saturated net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate (A<sub>s</sub>, µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), stomatal conductance to water
(g<sub>s</sub>, mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (C<sub>i</sub>, µmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol air<sup>-1</sup>), the transpiration
rate (E, mmol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), and water vapour pressure deficit of the leaf (VPD, kPa).
Measurements were taken under a saturating light (photosynthetic photon flux density of 1200

 $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), 400  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> of CO<sub>2</sub>, and an air temperature of 25.7±0.1°C.

239

#### 240 Carbon and nitrogen isotopic relation in plants

For each plant sample, 0.8-0.9 mg of finely ground dry matter were weighed in tin capsules

242 (Lüdiswiss, Flawil, Switzerland). The total C and N contents were analysed using an Elemental

243 Analyser (EA, Carlo Erba 2100, Milan, Italy), which was interfaced with an Isotope Ratio Mass

244 Spectrometer (IRMS, Thermo-Finnigan Deltaplus Advantage, Bremen, Germany) to analyse the

245  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$  and  ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$  ratios. Results were expressed as  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  values following Eq. 2,

246 using secondary standards calibrated against Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite calcium carbonate

247 (VPDB) for C, and against  $N_2$  air for N, respectively. Several certified reference materials were

248 processed in the same manner, at a ratio of one aliquot each per 12 samples. For C, we used

249 IAEA CH7 (measured  $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$  -32.1±0.07% on n=15, certified -32.2±0.04); IAEA CH6

250 (measured -10.4±0.08 on n=15, certified 10.5±0.04), and USGS 40 (measured -26.5±0.1 on

251 n=12, certified -26.4 $\pm$ 0.04). For N, we analysed IAEA N1 (measured  $\delta^{15}N_{AIR}$  0.6 $\pm$ 2.0% on

252 n=14, certified 0.4±0.04), IAEA N2 (measured -20.3±0.8 on n=12, certified 20.3±0.07), IAEA

253 NO3 (measured 4.7±0.3 on n=15, certified 4.7±0.2), and USGS 40 (measured -4.5±0.3 on n=11,

254 certified -4.5±0.06).

255

#### 256 Statistical methods

257 All the statistical analysis was done using R software version 3.4.0 for Windows. Analysis of

variance (ANOVA) was performed on each variable based on a two-factor design with

259 interactions. The differences between groups were assessed using paired-t-tests with Bonferroni

260 correction (BF). When data did not meet the assumptions of equal variances or normality, the

261 non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis (KW) ranks test and the Dunn's test with Benjamini–Hochberg

adjustment (Dunn) were used instead. Excel 2016 (Microsoft Office 365 Pro Plus version 1708)

and Veusz 1.23.2 were used to create graphs.

264

265 **Results** 

#### 266 Characterisation of ZnO sources

- Zinc purity was very similar in all four ZnO sources: 94.2% for Bulk, 96.3% for NP100, 90.2%
- for NP50, and 94.5% for NW (Supplementary Table 4). According to the manufacturer, Zn
- 269 purity was 99.9%, ~80%, and 91% for Bulk, NP100, and NP50 respectively (no information
- was given for NW). Besides, 6% Al was reportedly added to NP50 as a dopant but only 2.2%
- was measured. Traces of other unreported elements were also found: up to  $27.2 \ \mu g \ g^{-1} \ Pb$
- 272 (NP50), 8.5  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> Fe, 4.5  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> Cu, 3.4  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> Ni, 1.2  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> Cd, and 0.7  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> Cr. Average
- particle length was  $215\pm4$  nm (mean $\pm$ SE, n = 946) for bulk ZnO, with 10% of particles in the
- nano range. For NP100, the mean particle length was  $99\pm2$  nm (n = 956), but 35% of the
- particles were >100 nm. Similarly, NP50 diameter was  $48.7\pm0.57$  nm (n = 467), with 39% of
- the particles in the 50-100 nm range. Finally, the mean diameter of NW was  $59\pm0.5$  nm (n =
- 277

223).

278

#### 279 Zinc and Al dissolution and uptake

- 280 Zinc concentrations in the growth solution  $([Zn]_{sol})$ , roots  $([Zn]_{root})$ , and shoots  $([Zn]_{shoot})$
- increased with increasing ZnO supply (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 5), reaching 20x, 231x, and

60x those of the controls at 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (P < 0.001). Controls had similar [Zn]<sub>root</sub>

- and [Zn]<sub>shoot</sub> (~30 µg g<sup>-1</sup>). By contrast, plants treated with ZnO accumulated Zn preferentially in
- the roots (7.6 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in roots vs. 1.8 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in shoots at the highest ZnO supply) (Fig. 1B, C). At
- 100 and 1000 mg  $l^{-1}$ , NP50 released up to twice as much Zn into solution as bulk and NP100 (*P*
- =0.019 and 0.011, respectively) (Fig. 1A).  $[Zn]_{shoot}$  in plants treated with 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> NP50
- reached 3.1 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, twice as much as bulk and NP100 plants (P = 0.034) (Fig. 1C), and [Zn]<sub>root</sub>
- reached 10.4 mg g<sup>-1</sup> Zn, 60% higher than bulk and 80% higher than NP100 plants (Fig. 1B). The

mass balance was calculated to assess the fate of Zn in our system. The proportion of Zn in the 289 solid fraction at the end of the experiment  $(Zn_{solid})$  increased with increasing [ZnO] (P<0.001) 290 (Fig. 2). At 100 and 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, the majority of Zn (85 - 99 %) remained in the solid fraction. 291 292 By contrast, the dissolved Zn fraction  $(Zn_{sol})$  decreased with increasing ZnO (P<0.001). The fraction of Zn stored in roots ( $Zn_{root}$ ) and shoots ( $Zn_{shoot}$ ) gradually increased up to 10 mg l<sup>-1</sup> and 293 294 decreased at the two highest concentrations (P < 0.001). At 100 and 1000 mg  $\Gamma^1$ , Zn<sub>sol</sub> was the highest in NP50 treatments (9.8 and 2.9%, respectively). The maximum Zn<sub>root</sub> was 16% and 295  $Zn_{shoot}$  8%, both at 10 mg l<sup>-1</sup> NP50. 296 297 To study the uptake of doping elements by plants and the capacity of ENMs to retain metals from solution, Al content and mass balance were investigated. At 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO (P<0.001) 298 299 (Supplementary Table 5) the [Al]<sub>roots</sub> was 3.5x higher than the control. The only Al-doped 300 material, NP50, resulted in the highest [A1]<sub>root</sub> (up to 195 mg g<sup>-1</sup> at 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, P=0.022). The [Al]<sub>shoots</sub> increased from the 10 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO treatments and beyond, with a maximum increase of 301 302 30% at 1000 mg  $l^{-1}$  ZnO (all P<0.001). However, the mass balance calculations indicated that 303 the Al missing from solution mostly ended up in the solid fraction (Al<sub>solid</sub>), which increased 304 progressively with [ZnO] (P<0.001) (Supplementary Fig. 1). NP50 showed the highest Al<sub>solid</sub> (P<0.001, Supplementary Fig. 1C), starting with 98.9% at 0.1 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. For the rest of the ZnO 305 materials, at 10 mg  $\Gamma^1$  the Al<sub>solid</sub> was 42% in Bulk (Supplementary Fig. 1A), 59% in NP100 306 307 (Supplementary Fig. 1B), and 78% in NW (Supplementary Fig. 1D). The solution pH 308 progressively increased in pots containing plants, reaching 8.2 by the end of the experiment, while pots without plants had a pH value of 5.5. At week 8, the pH of the 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> solutions 309 310 was 1 pH unit higher than controls and close to neutrality (P<0.001) (Supplementary Table 2). 311 The pH was highest in NP100 treatments and lowest with NW (P=0.011). At the end of the experiment, the solution pH was higher for plants under 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO and controls than for 312 313 the rest of concentrations, with no difference between sources.

#### 315 Zn isotopic fractionation

- 316 The  $\delta^{66}$ Zn of all ZnO materials was very similar, on average 0.33 ± 0.04 % (n = 10, Fig. 3,
- Supplementary Table 6). By contrast, the  $\delta^{66}$ Zn<sub>root</sub> showed clear differences between treatments
- 318 (*P*=0.003). Bulk and NP100 plants had the lightest  $\delta^{66}$ Zn<sub>root</sub> (0.02 and 0.04%<sup>*a*</sup>, respectively),
- followed by NP50  $(0.13\%^{ab})$ , and NW and controls  $(0.35 \text{ and } 0.38\%^{b})$ , respectively). The
- 320  $\delta^{66}$ Zn<sub>shoot</sub> also differed between ZnO treatments (*P*=0.027, Fig. 3). The shoots of plants treated
- 321 with bulk ZnO had the lightest  $\delta^{66}$ Zn<sub>shoot</sub> (-0.61 % $^{a}$ ), while the rest of the ZnO treatments
- ranged -0.5 to -0.32%  $a^{ab}$ , and controls had the heaviest  $\delta^{66}$ Zn<sub>shoot</sub> (0.27%  $b^{b}$ ). The root-to-shoot
- fractionation ( $\Delta Zn_{shoot-root}$ ) was very small in controls (-0.08%), which had no Zn added to the
- nutrient solution. For the rest of the treatments,  $\Delta Zn_{shoot-root}$  ranged from -0.71 to -0.52%, with
- 325 no statistically significant difference among them.
- 326

#### 327 Plant growth and evapotranspiration

328 ZnO caused severe, dose-dependent effects on plant growth and evapotranspiration (ET). Fresh 329 weight (FW) was reduced by up to 74% in whole plants, 66% in roots, and 88% in shoots, whereas FW<sub>root</sub>/ FW<sub>shoot</sub> was up to 2.6x higher (all P<0.001) (Supplementary Table 7). In 330 agreement, dry weight (DW) greatly decreased in response to ZnO, up to 61% in roots and 83% 331 332 in shoots (both P < 0.001). The DW<sub>root</sub>/DW<sub>shoot</sub> ratio increased up to 2.1x (P < 0.001). Plant height decreased up to 49% in response to ZnO (P<0.001). Root length also decreased with increasing 333 ZnO, with a 61% reduction at 1000 mg  $l^{-1}$  (P<0.001) (Supplementary Table 7). Mean root 334 length was lowest in NP50 plants (P = 0.005). The rest of the growth parameters measured did 335 336 not show any significant differences between sources. The ET decreased up to 59% with ZnO (P < 0.001). ET from plants at 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> was 1078±41 g of solution (n = 14), which was very 337 similar to pots without plants ( $992\pm24$ , n = 5), evidencing a strong inhibition of plant 338 transpiration. Significant effects on growth and ET started from 1 mg 1<sup>-1</sup> (DW<sub>shoot</sub>, DW<sub>root</sub>/ 339  $DW_{shoot}$ , ET), 10 mg  $\Gamma^{1}$  (all FW,  $DW_{root}$ , height), or 100 mg  $\Gamma^{1}$  (root length), but the trend was 340 often present at 0.1 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. The  $\delta^{13}$ C increased in shoots at [ZnO]  $\geq$ 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (P<0.001) 341

342 (Supplementary Table 8). A similar trend was observed in roots but it did not attain

- 343 significance.
- 344
- 345 Photosynthetic performance
- 346 The chlorophyll content of mature leaves decreased in response to all ZnO materials and was
- 347 25% lower than controls at 1000 mg  $\Gamma^{1}$  (*P*<0.001, Supplementary Table 9). Accordingly,
- 348 photosynthetic efficiency was severely affected. A<sub>s</sub> and  $\Phi CO_2$  decreased from 10 mg l<sup>-1</sup> and
- dropped by 79% and 75% respectively at 1000 mg  $l^{-1}$  (*P*<0.001) (Fig. 4, Supplementary Table
- 9). The  $\Phi$ PSII, qP, and ETR also decreased dramatically, up to 80% at 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (all
- 351 P < 0.001). By contrast,  $F_v/F_m$  had only a minor reduction (6%) (P=0.002), whereas NPQ
- increased by 4.4x at 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (P=0.005). The  $\Phi$ CO<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>,  $\Phi$ PSII, qP, and ETR were lower
- in plants under the Bulk treatment, followed by the NP50 treatment (*P*=0.046, 0.038, 0.002,
- 354 0.014, and 0.002, respectively, Fig. 4, Supplementary Table 9). Gas exchange was also affected
- by ZnO:  $g_s$  and E decreased up to 78% and 72%, respectively (both *P*<0.001), while VPD was
- 356 20% higher than controls at 1000 mg  $l^{-1}$  (*P*=0.002). Finally,  $F_v$ '/ $F_m$ ' was not affected by ZnO.
- 357
- 358 Root anatomy and ultrastructure

359 Initial light microscope exploration revealed extensive damage caused by ZnO to the roots:

360 detachment of the epidermis, disorganisation and thickening of cell walls, and vacuolisation and

death of root cells, which is indicative of increased aerenchyma formation (Supplementary Fig.

2). Plants treated with NW showed the most severe effects, with generalised apoptosis of the

363 cortex cells that made further characterisation difficult. On the 100Kev TEM, the root epidermis

364 showed significant loss of cell wall material in the Bulk, NP100, and NP50 treatments

365 (Supplementary Fig. 3). The epidermal cells in the Bulk and NP50 treatments exhibited loss of

- 366 turgor, protoplasm shrinkage, and accumulation of electron-dense granules in the vacuoles. In
- 367 the cortex, cell-wall thickening, protoplasm shrinkage, and a high number of starch granules or
- amyloplasts were observed in all three treatments (Supplementary Fig. 4). Additionally,
- 369 electron-dense precipitates accumulated in the intercellular spaces (Supplementary Fig. 5).

370 Microanalysis confirmed the presence of Zn in various locations: i) a few granules on the root surface in NP50 and NP100 that are morphologically consistent with NPs (Fig.5); ii) large 371 372 amorphous precipitates between cell walls and plasma membrane in the cortex of NP50, NP100, 373 and Bulk plants (in order of abundance, Fig.6-7), where most of Zn accumulated; and iii) small 374 vacuoles containing Zn, P, and sometimes Ca in the rhizodermis and cortex of NP50 plants 375 (Fig.6). 376 377 Nutrient content and distribution 378 Nitrogen content changed in response to ZnO, with a N<sub>shoot</sub> increase of up to 24% (P<0.001, 379 Supplementary Table 8). The C<sub>shoot</sub>/N<sub>shoot</sub> ratio decreased progressively in response to increasing ZnO, reaching a 17% reduction at 1000 mg  $l^{-1}$  (P<0.001). ZnO slightly increased C<sub>root</sub> (~5% at 380 381 1000 mg  $l^{-1}$ , P =0.023) and decreased C<sub>shoot</sub> (~3% at 100 mg  $l^{-1}$ , P =0.013). The C<sub>root</sub>/C<sub>shoot</sub> ratio increased progressively with increasing [ZnO], up to 7% (P =0.023). In the roots, [Mn] and [Fe] 382 greatly increased in response to ZnO, up to 79% and 99%, respectively, at 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (P=0.012 383 384 and 0.004, Supplementary Table 9). Conversely, [K] showed a progressive decrease that

reached 41% at 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO (P<0.001). Phosphorus in the roots increased in response to

low ZnO, then decreased up to 25% at 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (P<0.001). In the shoots, [P] was higher than

387 controls at 10 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO and above, with a peak 34% increase at 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (P<0.001).

- Besides, both [S] and [K] increased in response to 10-100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO, attaining levels 32% and
- 389 14% higher, respectively, than controls at 100 mg  $l^{-1}$  (*P*<0.001 and *P*=0.020). Copper in shoots

increased at 0.1 and 1 mg  $l^{-1}$  ZnO, then gradually decreased across treatments, reaching a 30%

- reduction at 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> (P<0.001). Manganese in shoots progressively decreased in response to
- 392 ZnO and was 66% lower than controls at the highest ZnO level (P<0.001). However, [Mn]<sub>shoot</sub>
- 393 was lower in the NP50 and NP100 treatments compared to the other ZnO sources (P < 0.001).

394

#### 395 **Discussion**

#### **396 Route of plant exposure to ZnO-ENMs**

Our results show that ZnO-ENMs dissolve slowly in the chosen experimental conditions and 397 398 that the majority was still solid at the end of the experiment (Fig. 2). Dissolved Zn reached only 4.3-7.2 mg  $l^{-1}$  in 100 mg  $l^{-1}$  ZnO-ENM treatments (Fig.1). This range closely agrees with 399 dissolution experiments by Reed and co-workers, where 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO-NP suspended in water 400 for 60 days released 2.2-7.4 mg l<sup>-1</sup> into solution<sup>27</sup>. Smaller NPs are known to dissolve more 401 easily due to their larger surface area<sup>28</sup>, which explains why  $[Zn]_{sol}$  was higher in the NP50 402 403 treatment than in the NP100 and bulk treatments (Fig.1). Solution pH was ~6 initially but 404 gradually increased up to 7.5-8.2 in the presence of plants. This pH range is typical of wetland waters<sup>29</sup>. In our study, the proportion of undissolved Zn and Al increased with increasing ZnO 405 406 concentrations and solution pH. Accordingly, removal of Zn, Cd, Cu, Hg, Ni, and Pb from solution by ZnO-ENMs has been reported to increase with pH and increasing sorbent mass<sup>30,31</sup>. 407 408 The effect of pH on metal removal is explained by the increased deprotonation of the surface 409 and attraction between negative hydroxyl sites and cations, while the effect of the sorbent mass is due to the increased number of binding sites. Aluminium is frequently added as a dopant to 410 ZnO-NPs to enhance their electrical and optical properties<sup>32</sup>, so the Al mass balance in our 411 412 system can serve as a proxy for the fate of doping elements. In our study, most of the Al ended 413 up in the solid fraction, which increased with increasing ZnO, while the dissolved Al fraction 414 decreased in the presence of ZnO (Supplementary Fig.1). However, [Al] was higher in plants 415 grown at high [ZnO], especially for Al-doped NP50. This is consistent with the "Trojan horse 416 effect" hypothesis, which states that ENMs might bind to other chemicals in solution and 417 enhance their uptake by plants, leading to increased phytotoxicity. Aluminium is toxic to plants, with a reduction in root elongation as the main symptom<sup>33</sup>. The increased uptake of Zn and Al 418 419 could explain the stronger reduction in root length in NP50 plants as compared with other 420 treatments (Supplementary Table 6). Additionally, the capacity of ZnO-ENMs for metal 421 removal from solution might have affected the bioavailability of essential nutrients, as seen 422 from the changes in nutrient content. 423 In all ZnO treatments, roots showed intense vesicularisation at the plasma membrane, but the

424 vesicles did not contain either ZnO-ENMs or Zn. Instead, Zn was found mostly in amorphous

precipitates outside the plasma membrane, and in NP50 plants alone, in small vacuoles 425 426 containing Zn, Ca, and P (Fig.6). Very few ZnO-ENMs were found attached to the root surface 427 and none were detected in the cytoplasm (Fig.5). These observations indicate that ZnO-ENMs dissolve and the roots take up  $Zn^{2+}$ , which they mostly immobilise as Zn precipitates in the 428 429 apoplast and Zn phosphates in small vacuoles. There is abundant evidence in the literature that 430 plant roots sequester excess Zn as precipitates in the intercellular spaces or store Zn in vacuoles bound to phosphates, organic acids or phytochelatins<sup>34–39</sup>. Plants exposed to ZnO-ENMs also 431 accumulate Zn in roots in the form of amorphous phosphates or phytates<sup>12,14,40</sup>. In our study, Zn 432 433 and P only co-occurred in the vacuoles of NP50 plants (Fig.6). The higher [Zn] achieved in the 434 roots of NP50 plants might have activated vacuolar sequestration. Alternatively, NPs of this size 435 might have been captured by endocytosis and then dissolved inside the vacuole and sequestered 436 as phosphates. The endocytosis of entire 50 nm ZnO-NPs has been reported in hydroponically grown rice<sup>10</sup>. However, our results do not support this explanation because no NPs were 437 438 detected inside the cells in our study.

The Zn isotope data support the predominant uptake of  $Zn^{2+}$ , followed by sequestration of 439 440 excess Zn as precipitates and complexes in root cells. The main causes of Zn isotopic 441 fractionation in plants are Zn speciation, compartmentalisation, and the activity of membrane transporters<sup>20</sup>. Divalent Zn uptake by low-affinity transporters at the plasma membrane favours 442 the light isotopes, which diffuse faster across membranes thanks to their smaller size<sup>20,41</sup>. Hence, 443 444 the relative accumulation of light Zn isotopes in the Bulk, NP100, and NP50 roots compared to ZnO (Fig. 3) can be explained by ZnO dissolution followed by  $Zn^{2+}$  uptake mediated by 445 446 membrane transporters. However, NP50 roots were to some extent enriched in heavy isotopes 447 compared to NP100 and bulk roots, although this tendency was not significant. This can be 448 attributed to a greater number and size of Zn precipitates in the intercellular spaces of NP50 449 roots, which also contained more Zn relative to other treatments, and to the presence of Zn-rich 450 vacuoles in the cortex (Fig.6-7). The formation of Zn precipitates and complexes in roots during the plant response to excess  $Zn^{2+}$  left an isotopically lighter pool of  $Zn^{2+}$  for transportation to the 451

shoot and favoured the accumulation of heavy isotopes in the root<sup>42-44</sup>. Nevertheless, the  $\delta^{66}$ Zn 452 453 of NP50 roots remained lighter than the starting ZnO because this isotopic composition was still 454 dominated by the initial transfer through the membrane, which favours light isotopes. NW roots were very damaged and had lost their structural coherence, which explains why these roots had 455 456 an isotopic composition that equalled the ZnO of the NW. Further, the shoots became equally depleted in heavy isotopes in all treatments relative to the roots:  $\Delta Zn_{shoot-root}$  was -0.64±0.22, -457 458 0.52±0.25, -0.57±0.12, and -0.71±0.01 for Bulk, NP100, NP50, and NW50, respectively. The magnitude and direction of  $\Delta Zn_{shoot-root}$  are in the same range as previously observed in *P*. 459 australis in response to ZnCl<sub>2</sub> toxicity<sup>44</sup>. Depletion of heavy Zn isotopes during Zn transport to 460 the shoot is attributed to preferential sequestration of heavy isotopes in the root, the activity the 461 membrane transporters during Zn loading into the xylem, and bulk flow<sup>44–46</sup>. Conversely, there 462 463 is no isotopic fractionation when Zn is transported in complexes up the shoot due to the bigger mass of the complexes<sup>47</sup>. The same likely applies to ZnO-ENMs. Hence, our isotope data 464 indicate that Zn was predominantly transported up the shoot as  $Zn^{2+}$ , regardless of the ZnO 465 466 source, and that plants roots are an effective barrier against ZnO-ENM transport up the shoot, 467 which is in agreement with our TEM observations. If ZnO-ENM had been transported through 468 the plant without dissolution, then no isotopic fractionation would have been detected during Zn 469 uptake and transport in the plants. ZnO-NPs in the apoplast reportedly can reach the symplast by endocytosis<sup>11</sup> or through the discontinuity of Casparian bands<sup>6,14</sup>. However, ZnO-NPs in our 470 471 study were found on the surface of the root but not in the apoplast, indicating that the 472 rhizodermis was an effective barrier. Similarly, recent research shows that ZnO-NPs are unlikely to reach the shoots unless roots are damaged<sup>13</sup>. Finally, the fractionation of Zn isotopes 473 474 in control plants is consistent with the plants' response to Zn-deficiency, which results in Zn 475 uptake and transport to the shoots in the form of Zn complexes, with root exudates enriched in heavy isotopes<sup>48</sup>. The  $\delta^{66}$ Zn of all the ZnO materials was remarkably similar (0.33%) and the 476 value was consistent with reported ranges for both ZnO-ENMs (-0.31 to 0.28%)<sup>49</sup> and natural 477 Zn minerals like hydrozincite  $(0-0.30\%)^{50}$ . 478

#### 479 Phytotoxicity

480 Chronic exposure to high levels of ZnO-ENMs decreased growth, chlorophyll content, and photosynthetic assimilation in P. australis (Fig.4, Supplementary Tables 6-7). Biomass 481 allocation, nutrient uptake and distribution, and fractionation of C isotopes were also altered by 482 ZnO-ENMs (Supplementary Tables 8-9). Similar effects have been previously described in 483 aquatic macrophytes treated with toxic levels of ZnO-ENMs<sup>4-6,8,10,51,52</sup>,  $Zn^{2+}$  (reviewed by <sup>20</sup>), 484 and other metals <sup>53–56</sup>. In our previous research on *P. australis*, exposure to 2 mM ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (131 485 mg l<sup>-1</sup> Zn in solution) for 40 days caused a height reduction of  $25\%^{44}$ . Song and coworkers<sup>4</sup> 486 reported a ~50% reduction in height after exposure to 100 mg  $l^{-1}$  ZnO NP50 (only 4.5 mg  $l^{-1}$  Zn 487 in solution) for 35 days<sup>4</sup> Even though  $[Zn^{2+}]$  was lower in the latter study, the effects were more 488 severe and attributed to direct root contact with ZnO-NPs. Accordingly, we report a height 489 decrease of 43% after three months at 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO (3.5-7.2 mg l<sup>-1</sup> in solution), irrespective of 490 491 particle size. The magnitude of the effect paralleled the Song *et al.* study despite the longer 492 exposure time and larger particle sizes of the Bulk, NP100, and NW treatments. To sum up, 493 ZnO is more toxic to *P. australis* than ZnCl<sub>2</sub>. The total ZnO added to the system is the most important factor to explain the impact of ZnO-ENMs on plant growth, rather than  $[Zn^{2+}]$  in 494 495 solution and particle size. The toxicity cannot be attributed to the osmotic effect of the increased  $[Zn^{2+}]$ . The conductance (EC) did not increase with increasing ZnO, which remained mostly 496 497 undissolved. This clearly demonstrates that undissolved ZnO plays a key role in ENM 498 phytotoxicity. To correctly assess the environmental risks of ZnO-ENMs, field studies should 499 include the solid ZnO fraction in sediments, rather than just focus on ZnO-ENM levels in the 500 water. However, particle size was relevant for ZnO-ENM impacts on root growth and [Mn]shoot 501 due to the greater dissolution of smaller NPs. 502 The lower photosynthetic rates can be explained by stomatal closure and root malfunction 503 leading to water deficit, higher  $\delta^{13}$ C, lower chlorophyll content, and nutritional imbalance. Of

504 particular interest were the changes in Mn content, which greatly decreased in shoots in a dose-

505 dependent response to [ZnO] and particle size (Supplementary Table 9). It is unlikely that this

506 decrease in [Mn]<sub>shoot</sub> is caused by Mn removal from solution by ENMs. The removal efficiency 507 is low for Mn<sup>57</sup> and the [Mn]<sub>root</sub> was high, proving that Mn uptake was not impaired. We propose that excess  $Zn^{2+}$  might inhibit or compete for  $Mn^{2+}$  transporters involved in Mn root-to-508 shoot transport, like AtZIP1 and ATZIP2. These carriers transport Zn and Mn, are expressed in 509 510 the root vasculature, and mediate Mn radial movement towards the xylem and xylem loading $^{58}$ . 511 Manganese has a key role as a catalyst of the oxygen-evolving complex of PSII and its deficiency greatly reduces photosynthesis<sup>59</sup>. Gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence data 512 513 taken at the same time confirm lower electron transport efficiency. E and g<sub>s</sub> decreased, 514 indicating that the stomatal opening was strongly inhibited. The reduced gas exchange could 515 lower A<sub>s</sub> by restricting CO<sub>2</sub> availability. The parallel increase in  $\delta^{13}$ C of shoot and root dry 516 matter also supports this conclusion. However, Ci was not affected in our study. Helophytes 517 take up CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in water by the roots, and it diffuses towards the photosynthetic tissues via the aerenchyma<sup>60</sup>. This alternative source of  $CO_2$  can contribute significantly to  $Ci^{61,62}$ . The 518 small 5% reduction in  $F_v/F_m$  in dark-adapted leaves and the unaltered  $F_v'/F_m'$  showed that PSII 519 520 was mostly functional. By contrast, the  $\Phi$ PSII, qP,  $\Phi$ CO<sub>2</sub>, and ETR were severely reduced in 521 light-adapted leaves, while qN and NPQ increased. Hence, we attribute the reduced  $\Phi CO_2$  and 522 As to decreased stomatal conductance and lower efficiency of electron transport downstream 523 due to an Mn deficiency that caused PSII to become easily saturated by light. Reduced chlorophyll content,  $A_s$ ,  $g_s$ , E,  $C_i$ ,  $F_v/F_m$ , qP, and ETR coupled with increased NPQ have been 524 reported in terrestrial plants exposed to toxic levels of ZnO-ENMs<sup>63,64</sup>. In agreement, the aquatic 525 plants Azolla filiculoides and Lemna minor have shown severely reduced growth, chlorophyll 526 content and  $F_v/F_m$  after exposure to ZnO-ENMs at pH 4.5-5.5<sup>5,10,65</sup>. Remarkably, growth and 527  $F_v/F_m$  in *L. minor* were not equally reduced at pH 8, due to the lower NP dissolution<sup>65</sup>. In our 528 529 study, P. australis progressively basified the growth media, which reached pH~8 at the end of 530 the experiment. This high final pH could explain the limited effect of ZnO on  $F_v/F_m$ . The 531  $\delta^{13}C_{\text{shoot}}$  was within -26.7 to -30.7 %, which is in agreement with values previously reported for C3 helophytes<sup>53,66</sup>. In C3 plants, diffusion of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> through the stomatal pore and C 532 fixation by RuBisCO favour <sup>12</sup>C<sup>67</sup>. During stomatal closure, RuBisCO continues consuming 533

intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> and the  $\delta^{13}C_{shoot}$  increases as  ${}^{12}C$  is depleted<sup>68</sup>. In our study,  $\delta^{13}C_{shoot}$  and 534  $\delta^{13}C_{root}$  increased (~1%) in response to high ZnO. This, in the context of reduced transpiration, 535 is generally caused by Ci exhaustion<sup>67</sup>. However, our gas exchange data do not indicate Ci 536 depletion. While *P. australis* mainly assimilates atmospheric C, it can also take up CO<sub>2</sub> 537 dissolved in water by the roots<sup>60</sup>. Plants that assimilate more CO<sub>2</sub> by this route show higher 538  $\delta^{13}$ C, as seen when comparing submerged aquatic plants relative to helophytes<sup>66</sup>. The 539 assimilation of a larger proportion of  $CO_2$  from the roots during stomatal closure can explain a 540 higher  $\delta^{13}$ C while maintaining Ci levels. In agreement, we observed increased root aerenchyma 541 development in response to excess Zn. We found no previous record of  $\delta^{13}$ C in plants exposed 542 to toxic levels of ZnO-ENMs, although Iris pseudacorus grown in 200 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnCl<sub>2</sub> showed a 543 similar increase of  $\delta^{13}C_{\text{shoot}}^{53}$ . 544 Our experiment shows that ZnO-ENMs are toxic from 1 mg  $1^{-1}$ . Effects at this concentration 545 546 include reduced growth, allocation of new biomass to the roots and rhizomes instead of the photosynthetic tissues, and restriction of transpiration. These levels are high compared to the 547 few existing records of ZnO-ENMs in surface waters: there are up to 1.84 µg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO NMs in 548 the surface waters of Singapore<sup>69</sup> and just 5 ng  $1^{-1}$  in Canada<sup>70</sup>. However, recent modelling 549 studies estimate ZnO-ENM concentrations of  $10^{-6}$  to 1 µg l<sup>-1</sup> in surface waters and 11-32 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> 550 <sup>1</sup> in sediments<sup>71–73</sup>. Current levels predicted for the sediments would cause substantial toxic 551 effects on *P. australis*. Besides, the said models have important limitations, and the real 552 concentrations are likely to be locally higher and to increase in coming years<sup>74</sup>. 553 554

#### 555 **Conclusion**

Emerging nano-pollutants like ZnO-ENM are increasingly discharged into surface waters and
they accumulate in sediments. This is a cause of major concern because of our limited
understanding of the mechanisms of ZnO-ENM uptake and toxicity in wetland plants, which
play key ecological roles in aquatic ecosystems. Besides, there is an ongoing controversy about

560 the capacity of ENMs to enter plant roots and be transported to the shoots. Our research

| 561 | concludes that ZnO-ENM dissolve before uptake by plant roots and that they are not transported      |
|-----|---|
| 562 | to the shoots. Nanoparticles smaller than 50 nm dissolve faster than the larger particles and are   |
| 563 | more toxic to plants. Exposure to ZnO-ENM takes place mostly through the uptake of dissolved        |
| 564 | $Zn^{2+}$ , but also through direct contact with the root surface. The mechanisms of action of ZnO- |
| 565 | ENM adsorbed onto the root surface require further investigation. In Phragmites australis,          |
| 566 | ZnO-ENM reduce shoot and root growth, transpiration, and photosynthetic rate, while inducing        |
| 567 | changes in nutrient uptake and distribution. This could be detrimental to the environmental         |
| 568 | health of aquatic ecosystems and their capacity to sequester carbon. Wetlands are one of the        |
| 569 | major carbon sinks globally. Pollutants that compromise the biomass production of wetland           |
| 570 | plants can increase global carbon emissions, of vital importance in the current context of climate  |
| 571 | change. In conclusion, current and future ZnO-ENM levels in sediments could pose a significant      |
| 572 | risk for aquatic plants and ecosystems. Our research also evidences the importance of               |
| 573 | considering the undissolved fraction, exposure time, and NP size to correctly evaluate the          |
| 574 | environmental risk of nanomaterials.  |
|     |   |

575

#### 576 Acknowledgements

577 This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and

578 innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement NANOREM No

579 704957. Franck Poitrasson is funded by the French Centre National de la Recherche

580 Scientifique. We are grateful for the technical assistance of Joan Martorell and Luís López with

581 TEM microanalysis; Manuel Henry and Jérôme Chmeleff with Zn isotope analysis; and Josep

582 Mata, Marta Pintó, and Xavier García with greenhouse tasks and photosynthesis measurements.

583 We thank Sheela Paramjothy for her help with maintaining the experiment.

584

### **References**

| 587 | 1  | A. A. Keller, S. McFerran, A. Lazareva and S. Suh, Global life cycle releases of engineered         |
|-----|----|---|
| 588 | -  | nanomaterials, Journal of Nanoparticle Research, DOI:10.1007/s11051-013-1692-4.                     |
| 589 | 2  | T. Y. Sun, F. Gottschalk, K. Hungerbühler and B. Nowack, Comprehensive probabilistic                |
| 590 |    | modelling of environmental emissions of engineered nanomaterials, <i>Environmental</i>              |
| 591 | _  | <i>Pollution</i> , 2014, <b>185</b> , 69–76.  |
| 592 | 3  | J. M. Caffrey, C. Monahan and D. Tierney, Factors influencing the distribution of aquatic           |
| 593 |    | plant communities in Irish canals, Hydrobiologia, 2006, <b>570</b> , 133–139.                       |
| 594 | 4  | U. Song and S. Lee, Phytotoxicity and accumulation of zinc oxide nanoparticles on the               |
| 595 |    | aquatic plants Hydrilla verticillata and Phragmites Australis: leaf-type-dependent responses,       |
| 596 |    | Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2016, 23, 8539–8545.                                  |
| 597 | 5  | G. S. Zarate-Cruz, H. A. Zavaleta-Mancera, A. Alarcón and L. F. Jiménez-García, Phytotoxicity       |
| 598 |    | of ZnO nanoparticles on the aquatic fern Azolla filiculoides Lam, Agrociencia, 2016, 50, 677–       |
| 599 |    | 691.  |
| 600 | 6  | D. Zhang, T. Hua, F. Xiao, C. Chen, R. M. Gersberg, Y. Liu, D. Stuckey, W. J. Ng and S. K. Tan,     |
| 601 |    | Phytotoxicity and bioaccumulation of ZnO nanoparticles in Schoenoplectus                            |
| 602 |    | tabernaemontani, <i>Chemosphere</i> , 2015, <b>120</b> , 211–219.                                   |
| 603 | 7  | C. Hu, X. Liu, X. Li and Y. Zhao, Evaluation of growth and biochemical indicators of Salvinia       |
| 604 |    | natans exposed to zinc oxide nanoparticles and zinc accumulation in plants., Environmental          |
| 605 |    | science and pollution research international, 2014, <b>21</b> , 732–9.                              |
| 606 | 8  | C. Hu, Y. Liu, X. Li and M. Li, Biochemical responses of duckweed (Spirodela polyrhiza) to          |
| 607 |    | zinc oxide nanoparticles, Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 2013,             |
| 608 |    | <b>64</b> , 643–651.  |
| 609 | 9  | M. Thwala, N. Musee, L. Sikhwivhilu and V. Wepener, The oxidative toxicity of Ag and ZnO            |
| 610 |    | nanoparticles towards the aquatic plant Spirodela punctata and the role of testing media            |
| 611 |    | parameters, Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts, 2013, <b>15</b> , 1830.                     |
| 612 | 10 | ) X. Chen, J. O'Halloran and M. A. K. Jansen, Time Matters: the Toxicity of Zinc Oxide              |
| 613 |    | Nanoparticles to Lemna minor L. Increases with Exposure Time, Water, Air, & Soil Pollution,         |
| 614 |    | 2018, <b>229</b> , 99.  |
| 615 | 11 | J. Chen, R. Dou, Z. Yang, T. You, X. Gao and L. Wang, Phytotoxicity and bioaccumulation of          |
| 616 |    | zinc oxide nanoparticles in rice (Oryza sativa L.), Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 2018,        |
| 617 |    | <b>130</b> , 604–612.   |
| 618 | 12 | P. Wang, N. W. Menzies, E. Lombi, B. A. McKenna, B. Johannessen, C. J. Glover, P. Kappen            |
| 619 |    | and P. M. Kopittke, Fate of ZnO Nanoparticles in Soils and Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata),              |
| 620 |    | Environmental Science & Technology, 2013, <b>47</b> , 13822–13830.                                  |
| 621 | 13 | BT. N. M. da Cruz, S. M. Savassa, M. H. F. Gomes, E. S. Rodrigues, N. M. Duran, E. de Almeida,      |
| 622 |    | A. P. Martinelli and H. W. P. de Carvalho. Shedding light on the mechanisms of absorption           |
| 623 |    | and transport of ZnO nanoparticles by plants via in vivo X-ray spectroscopy. Environmental          |
| 624 |    | Science: Nano. 2017. <b>4</b> . 2367–2376.  |
| 625 | 14 | I. I. V. S. Zhang, L. Luo, J. Zhang, K. Yang and P. Christie, Accumulation, speciation and uptake   |
| 626 | _  | pathway of ZnO nanoparticles in maize. <i>Environmental Science: Nano</i> , 2015, <b>2</b> , 68–77. |
| 627 | 15 | 5 D. Lin and B. Xing. Root Untake and Phytotoxicity of 7nO Nanoparticles. <i>Environmental</i>      |
| 628 |    | Science & Technology 2008 42, 5580–5585   |
| 629 | 16 | SLA Hernandez-Viezcas H Castillo-Michel L C Andrews M Cotte C Rico L R Peralta-                     |
| 630 | 10 | Videa Y Ge, I. H. Priester, P. A. Holden and I. I. Gardea-Torresdey. In Situ Synchrotron Y-         |
| 631 |    | ray Eluorescence Manning and Speciation of CeO2 and ZnO Nanonarticles in Soil Cultivated            |
| 632 |    | Sovhean (Glycine max) ACS Nano 2013 7 1415–1423   |
| 632 | 17 | A R English and G K Violitz Interconnections with Other Organelles Cold Spring Harbor               |
| 637 | 1  | nerspectives in hiology 2013 1–16   |
| 054 |    | perspectives in biology, 2013, 1-10.  |

635 18 C. Caldelas, S. Dong, J. L. Araus and D. Jakob Weiss, Zinc isotopic fractionation in Phragmites 636 australis in response to toxic levels of zinc, Journal of Experimental Botany, 637 DOI:10.1093/jxb/erq414. 638 19 A. M. Aucour, S. Pichat, M. R. MacNair and P. Oger, Fractionation of stable zinc isotopes in 639 the zinc hyperaccumulator Arabidopsis halleri and nonaccumulator arabidopsis petraea, 640 Environmental Science and Technology, 2011, 45, 9212–9217. 641 20 C. Caldelas and D. J. Weiss, Zinc Homeostasis and isotopic fractionation in plants: a review, 642 Plant and Soil, 2017, 411, 17–46. 643 21 Emanuel. Epstein, Mineral nutrition of plants: principles and perspectives., Wiley, 1972. 644 22 K. Peel, D. Weiss, J. Chapman, T. Arnold and B. Coles, A simple combined sample-standard 645 bracketing and inter-element correction procedure for accurate mass bias correction and 646 precise Zn and Cu isotope ratio measurements, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2008, 23, 103–110. 647 23 C. Archer, M. B. Andersen, C. Cloquet, T. M. Conway, S. Dong, M. Ellwood, R. Moore, J. 648 Nelson, M. Rehkämper, O. Rouxel, M. Samanta, K.-C. C. Shin, Y. Sohrin, S. Takano and L. 649 Wasylenki, Inter-calibration of a proposed new primary reference standard AA-ETH Zn for 650 zinc isotopic analysis, Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 2017, 32, 415–419. 651 24 E. Smolders, L. Versieren, D. Shuofei, N. Mattielli, D. Weiss, I. Petrov and F. Degryse, Isotopic 652 fractionation of Zn in tomato plants suggests the role of root exudates on Zn uptake, Plant and Soil, 2013, 370, 605-613. 653 654 25 B. Krugh, L. Bickham and D. Miles, The solid-state chlorophyll meter: a novel instrument for 655 rapidly and accurately determining the chlorophyll concentrations in seedling leaves, Maize 656 Genetics Cooperation Newsletter, 1994, 68, 25–27. 657 26 B. Genty, J.-M. Briantais and N. R. Baker, The relationship between the quantum yield of 658 photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence, Biochimica et 659 Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects, 1989, 990, 87–92. 660 27 R. B. Reed, D. A. Ladner, C. P. Higgins, P. Westerhoff and J. F. Ranville, Solubility of nano-zinc 661 oxide in environmentally and biologically important matrices., Environmental toxicology and 662 chemistry, 2012, **31**, 93–9. 663 28 I. A. Mudunkotuwa, T. Rupasinghe, C.-M. Wu and V. H. Grassian, Dissolution of ZnO Nanoparticles at Circumneutral pH: A Study of Size Effects in the Presence and Absence of 664 665 Citric Acid, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 396–403. 666 29 P. J. Gerla, Can pH and electrical conductivity monitoring reveal spatial and temporal patterns in wetland geochemical processes?, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 667 668 Discussions, 2013, 10, 699-728. 669 30 S. Mahdavi, M. Jalali and A. Afkhami, Removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions 670 using Fe3O4, ZnO, and CuO nanoparticles, Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2012, 14, 846. 671 31 T. Sheela, Y. A. Nayaka, R. Viswanatha, S. Basavanna and T. G. Venkatesha, Kinetics and 672 thermodynamics studies on the adsorption of Zn(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II) from aqueous solution 673 using zinc oxide nanoparticles, *Powder Technology*, 2012, **217**, 163–170. 674 32 P. Zhang, R. Y. Hong, Q. Chen, W. G. Feng and D. Badami, Aluminum-doped zinc oxide 675 powders: synthesis, properties and application, Journal of Materials Science: Materials in 676 *Electronics*, 2014, **25**, 678–692. 677 33 S. R. Imadi, S. Waseem, A. G. Kazi, M. M. Azooz and P. Ahmad, in Plant Metal Interaction, 678 Elsevier, 2016, pp. 1–20. 679 34 A. Straczek, G. Sarret, A. Manceau, P. Hinsinger, N. Geoffroy and B. Jaillard, Zinc distribution 680 and speciation in roots of various genotypes of tobacco exposed to Zn, Environmental and 681 Experimental Botany, 2008, 63, 80–90. 682 35 W.-Y. Song, D. G. Mendoza-Cózatl, Y. Lee, J. I. Schroeder, S.-N. Ahn, H.-S. Lee, T. Wicker and 683 E. Martinoia, Phytochelatin-metal(loid) transport into vacuoles shows different substrate 684 preferences in barley and Arabidopsis., Plant, cell & environment, 2014, 37, 1192–201.

685 36 A. C. Monsant, P. Kappen, Y. Wang, P. J. Pigram, A. J. M. Baker and C. Tang, In vivo 686 speciation of zinc in Noccaea caerulescens in response to nitrogen form and zinc exposure, 687 *Plant and Soil*, 2011, **348**, 167–183. 688 37 G. Sarret, P. Saumitou-Laprade, V. Bert, O. Proux, J.-L. Hazemann, A. Traverse, M. a Marcus 689 and A. Manceau, Forms of zinc accumulated in the hyperaccumulator Arabidopsis halleri., *Plant physiology*, 2002, **130**, 1815–26. 690 691 38 R. F. M. Van Steveninck, M. E. Van Steveninck, D. R. Fernando, W. J. Horst and H. 692 Marschner, Deposition of Zinc Phytate in Globular Bodies in Roots of Deschampsia 693 caespitosa Ecotypes; a Detoxification Mechanism?, Journal of Plant Physiology, 1987, 131, 694 247-257. 695 39 D. Neumann and U. zur Nieden, Silicon and heavy metal tolerance of higher plants, 696 *Phytochemistry*, 2001, **56**, 685–692. 697 40 S. Moghaddasi, A. Fotovat, F. Karimzadeh, H. R. Khazaei, R. Khorassani and A. Lakzian, 698 Effects of coated and non-coated ZnO nanoparticles on cucumber seedlings grown in gel 699 chamber, Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 2017, 63, 1108–1120. 700 41 S. G. John, R. W. Geis, M. a. Saito and E. a. Boyle, Zinc isotope fractionation during high-701 affinity and low-affinity zinc transport by the marine diatom Thalassiosira oceanica, 702 Limnology and Oceanography, 2007, 52, 2710–2714. 703 42 T. Fujii and F. Albarède, Ab initio calculation of the Zn isotope effect in phosphates, citrates, 704 and malates and applications to plants and soil, PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e30726. 705 43 T. Markovic, S. Manzoor, E. Humphreys-Williams, G. Kirk, R. Vilar and D. J. Weiss, 706 Experimental determination of zinc isotope fractionation in complexes with the 707 phytosiderophore 2'-deoxymugeneic acid (DMA) and its structural analogues, and 708 implications for plant uptake mechanisms, Environmental Science & Technology, 2016, 709 acs.est.6b00566. 710 44 C. Caldelas, S. Dong, J. L. Araus and D. J. Weiss, Zinc isotopic fractionation in Phragmites 711 australis in response to toxic levels of zinc, Journal of Experimental Botany, 2011, 62, 2169-712 2178. 713 45 J. Viers, P. Oliva, A. Nonell, A. Gélabert, J. E. Sonke, R. Freydier, R. Gainville and B. Dupré, 714 Evidence of Zn isotopic fractionation in a soil-plant system of a pristine tropical watershed 715 (Nsimi, Cameroon), Chemical Geology, 2007, 239, 124–137. 716 46 E. Couder, N. Mattielli, T. Drouet, E. Smolders, B. Delvaux, A. Iserentant, C. Meeus, C. 717 Maerschalk, S. Opfergelt and D. Houben, Transpiration flow controls Zn transport in 718 Brassica napus and Lolium multiflorum under toxic levels as evidenced from isotopic 719 fractionation, Comptes Rendus Geoscience, DOI:10.1016/j.crte.2015.05.004. 720 47 D. J. Weiss, T. F. D. Mason, F. J. Zhao, G. J. D. Kirk, B. J. Coles and M. S. a Horstwood, Isotopic 721 discrimination of zinc in higher plants, New Phytologist, 2005, 165, 703–710. 722 48 T. Arnold, G. J. D. Kirk, M. Wissuwa, M. Frei, F. J. Zhao, T. F. D. Mason and D. J. Weiss, 723 Evidence for the mechanisms of zinc uptake by rice using isotope fractionation, Plant Cell 724 and Environment, 2010, 33, 370-381. 725 49 F. Larner and M. Rehkämper, Evaluation of stable isotope tracing for ZnO nanomaterials-726 new constraints from high precision isotope analyses and modelling, Environmental Science 727 and Technology, 2012, 46, 4149-4158. 728 50 N. Mondillo, J. J. Wilkinson, M. Boni, D. J. Weiss and R. Mathur, A global assessment of Zn 729 isotope fractionation in secondary Zn minerals from sulfide and non-sulfide ore deposits 730 and model for fractionation control, Chemical Geology, 2018, 500, 182–193. 731 51 D. Haisel, T. Cyrusová, T. Vaněk and R. Podlipná, The effect of nanoparticles on the 732 photosynthetic pigments in cadmium—zinc interactions, Environmental Science and 733 Pollution Research, 2019, 26, 4147–4151. 734 52 M. Asztemborska, M. Bembenek, M. Jakubiak, R. Stęborowski and G. Bystrzejewska-735 Piotrowska, The Effect of Nanoparticles with Sorption Capacity on the Bioaccumulation of

| 736<br>737        | Divalent Ions by Aquatic Plants, International Journal of Environmental Research, 2018, <b>12</b> , 245–253.  |
|-------------------|---|
| 738<br>739        | 53 C. Caldelas, J. L. L. Araus, A. Febrero and J. Bort, Accumulation and toxic effects of chromium and zinc in Iris pseudacorus L, <i>Acta Physiologiae Plantarum</i> , 2012, <b>34</b> , 1217–   |
| 740               | 1228.   |
| 741<br>742<br>743 | 54 C. Caldelas, S. Iglesia-turino, J. L. Araus, J. Bort and A. Febrero, Physiological responses of<br>Eichhornia crassipes [ Mart .] Solms to the combined exposure to excess nutrients and Hg .,<br>2009, <b>21</b> , 1–12.                                |
| 744<br>745<br>746 | 55 A. Basile, S. Sorbo, B. Conte, R. C. Cobianchi, F. Trinchella, C. Capasso and V. Carginale,<br>Toxicity, Accumulation, and Removal of Heavy Metals by Three Aquatic Macrophytes,<br>International Journal of Phytoremediation, 2012, <b>14</b> , 374–387 |
| 747               | 56 M. B. Costa, F. V. Tavares, C. B. Martinez, I. G. Colares and C. de M. G. Martins.   |
| 748               | Accumulation and effects of copper on aquatic macrophytes Potamogeton pectinatus L.:  |
| 749               | Potential application to environmental monitoring and phytoremediation, <i>Ecotoxicology</i>  |
| 750               | and Environmental Safety, 2018, <b>155</b> , 117–124.   |
| 751               | 57 A. T. Le, SY. Pung, S. Sreekantan, A. Matsuda and D. P. Huynh, Mechanisms of removal of  |
| 752               | heavy metal ions by ZnO particles, <i>Heliyon</i> , 2019, <b>5</b> , e01440.  |
| 753               | 58 M. J. Milner, J. Seamon, E. Craft and L. V Kochian, Transport properties of members of the   |
| 754               | ZIP family in plants and their role in Zn and Mn homeostasis., Journal of experimental  |
| 755               | botany, 2013, <b>64</b> , 369–81.   |
| 756               | 59 S. B. Schmidt, P. E. Jensen and S. Husted, Manganese Deficiency in Plants: The Impact on   |
| 757               | Photosystem II, Trends in Plant Science, 2016, <b>21</b> , 622–632.   |
| 758               | 60 H. Brix, Uptake and photosynthetic utilization of sediment-derived carbon by Phragmites  |
| 759               | australis (Cav.) Trin. ex Steudel, Aquatic Botany, 1990, <b>38</b> , 377–389.   |
| 760               | 61 J. V. H. Constable, J. B. Grace and D. J. Longstreth, High Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in  |
| 761               | Aerenchyma of Typha latifolia, American Journal of Botany, 1992, <b>79</b> , 415.   |
| 762               | 62 Wium-Andersen, Photosynthetic Optake of Free CO2, by the Roots of Lobella dortmanna,   |
| 703               | Filysiologia Plantarum, 1971, <b>25</b> , 245-248.  |
| 765               | growth photosynthetic traits and antioxidative enzymes in tomato plants. <i>Biologia</i>  |
| 766               | Plantarum 2018 62 801–808   |
| 767               | 64 D K Tripathi R K Mishra S S Singh S S Singh K Vishwakarma S Sharma V P Singh P   |
| 768               | K. Singh, S. M. Prasad, N. K. Dubey, A. C. Pandey, S. Sahi and D. K. Chauhan, Nitric Oxide  |
| 769<br>770        | Ameliorates Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles Phytotoxicity in Wheat Seedlings: Implication of the Ascorbate–Glutathione Cycle. <i>Frontiers in Plant Science</i> , 2017. <b>8</b> , 1.  |
| 771               | 65 X. Chen, J. O'Halloran and M. A. K. Jansen. The toxicity of zinc oxide nanoparticles to Lemna  |
| 772               | minor (L.) is predominantly caused by dissolved Zn, Aquatic Toxicology, 2016, <b>174</b> , 46–53.   |
| 773               | 66 E. Chappuis, V. Seriñá, E. Martí, E. Ballesteros and E. Gacia, Decrypting stable-isotope (δ <sup>13</sup> C  |
| 774               | and $\delta^{15}$ N) variability in aquatic plants, <i>Freshwater Biology</i> , DOI:10.1111/fwb.12996.  |
| 775               | 67 G. D. Farquhar, J. R. Ehleringer and K. T. Hubick, Carbon Isotope Discrimination and   |
| 776               | Photosynthesis, Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology, 1989, 40,  |
| 777               | 503–537.  |
| 778               | 68 G. Farquhar, M. O'Leary and J. Berry, On the Relationship Between Carbon Isotope   |
| 779               | Discrimination and the Intercellular Carbon Dioxide Concentration in Leaves, Australian   |
| 780               | Journal of Plant Physiology, 1982, <b>9</b> , 121.  |
| 781               | 69 S. M. Majedi, H. K. Lee and B. C. Kelly, Chemometric Analytical Approach for the Cloud Point   |
| 782               | Extraction and Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometric Determination of Zinc Oxide  |
| 783               | Nanoparticles in Water Samples, Analytical Chemistry, 2012, 84, 6546–6552.  |
| 784               | 70 M. Hadioui, V. Merdzan and K. J. Wilkinson, Detection and Characterization of ZnO  |
| /85               | Nanoparticles in Surface and Waste Waters Using Single Particle ICPMS, Environmental  |
| /86               | Science & Technology, 2015, <b>49</b> , 6141–6148.  |

- 787 71 T. Y. Sun, N. A. Bornhöft, K. Hungerbühler and B. Nowack, Dynamic Probabilistic Modeling 788 of Environmental Emissions of Engineered Nanomaterials, Environmental Science & 789 Technology, 2016, 50, 4701-4711. 72 K. L. Garner, S. Suh and A. A. Keller, Assessing the Risk of Engineered Nanomaterials in the 790 791 Environment: Development and Application of the nanoFate Model, Environmental Science 792 & Technology, 2017, 51, 5541–5551. 793 73 E. Dumont, A. C. Johnson, V. D. J. Keller and R. J. Williams, Nano silver and nano zinc-oxide 794 in surface waters - exposure estimation for Europe at high spatial and temporal resolution., 795 Environmental pollution (Barking, Essex : 1987), 2015, 196, 341–9. 796 74 J. R. Lead, G. E. Batley, P. J. J. Alvarez, M.-N. Croteau, R. D. Handy, M. J. McLaughlin, J. D.
- Judy and K. Schirmer, Nanomaterials in the environment: Behavior, fate, bioavailability, and
   effects-An updated review, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 2018, **37**, 2029–2063.
- 75 W. Bussler, Epstein, E.: Mineral Nutrition of Plants: Principles and Perspectives. John Wiley
  and Sons, Inc., New York, London, Sydney, Toronto. 1972. 412 Seiten, 23 × 16 cm, zahlreiche
  Abbildungen, £ 4.85, *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkunde*, 1972, **132**, 158–
  159.
- 802 803
- 804
- 805 **Figures**
- 806



- 807
- 808

Fig. 1. Zinc concentration in nutrient solutions (top), roots (middle), and shoots (bottom). Plants
were exposed to four different ZnO sources: micron-size (Bulk), NP < 100 nm (NP100), NP <</li>
50 nm (NP50), and nanowires of 50 nm diameter (NW). Controls (0 mg l<sup>-1</sup> ZnO) are represented

- 812 as 0.01 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. Data represent means  $\pm$ SE, where n = 4. Concentration data are expressed in mg
- 813  $I^{-1}$  for the growth solutions and in mg g<sup>-1</sup> for plant samples.

814

815



Fig. 2. Distribution of Al across the different pools. Plants were treated with four different ZnO sources: micron-size (Bulk), NP < 100 nm (NP100), NP < 50 nm (NP50), and nanowires of 50 nm diameter (NW). Data represent means, where n = 4, expressed as Al % relative to the total Al incorporated into the system from the nutrient solution and ZnO treatments.



822

823

824



Fig. 3. Zinc isotopic composition of ZnO materials, plants, and the BCR-281 (RYE) reference material. Plants were treated with four different ZnO sources at 100 mg  $\Gamma^1$ : micron-size (Bulk), NP < 100 nm (NP100), NP < 50 nm (NP50), and nanowires of 50 nm diameter (NW). Data are expressed relative to JMC Zn.



830

Fig. 4. Photosynthetic performance under ZnO stress. Plants were treated with four different ZnO sources: micron-size (Bulk), NP < 100 nm (NP100), NP < 50 nm (NP50), and nanowires of 50 nm diameter (NW). Data represent means  $\pm$ SE, where n = 4. The variables Fv/Fm (maximum quantum yield of PSII photochemistry),  $\Phi$ PSII (quantum yield of PSII electron transport, qP (photochemical quenching), and NPQ (non-photochemical quenching) are dimensionless.

837

838



841 Fig. 5. ZnO-NPs on the root surface. Roots in (a) were treated with NP  $\leq$  50 nm (NP50), while

roots in (b) were treated with NP < 100 nm (NP100). Spectra represent X-Ray spectrometry

analysis of the circled areas. Cell wall = cw; exterior solution = sol.



Fig. 6. Zinc deposits in the root cortex. (a), (b), and (c) show Zn precipitates between the cell
walls and plasma membranes in NP50, NP100, and Bulk plants, respectively. (d) shows Zn
sequestration in small vacuoles in NP50 plants. Spectra represent X-Ray spectrometry analysis
of the circled areas. Cell wall = cw; cytoplasm = cyt; plasma membrane = mb; small vacuole =
sv.



Fig. 7. Dark-field micrograph and elemental map of the same region as Fig.6a. The Zn
precipitates were located between the cell walls and plasma membranes in the NP50 root cortex.
The colour scale indicates the intensity of the Zn signal in counts, from 0 (black) to 8 (white).