



**HAL**  
open science

# ISOMORPHISMS OF $\beta$ -DYSON'S BROWNIAN MOTION WITH BROWNIAN LOCAL TIME

Titus Lupu

► **To cite this version:**

Titus Lupu. ISOMORPHISMS OF  $\beta$ -DYSON'S BROWNIAN MOTION WITH BROWNIAN LOCAL TIME. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 2021, 26, pp.1-31. 10.1214/21-EJP697 . hal-02996476v2

**HAL Id: hal-02996476**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02996476v2>**

Submitted on 7 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Isomorphisms of $\beta$ -Dyson’s Brownian motion with Brownian local time\*

Titus Lupu<sup>†</sup>

### Abstract

We show that the Brydges-Fröhlich-Spencer-Dynkin and the Le Jan’s isomorphisms between the Gaussian free fields and the occupation times of symmetric Markov processes generalize to the  $\beta$ -Dyson’s Brownian motion. For  $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$  this is a consequence of the Gaussian case, however the relation holds for general  $\beta$ . We further raise the question whether there is an analogue of  $\beta$ -Dyson’s Brownian motion on general electrical networks, interpolating and extrapolating the fields of eigenvalues in matrix-valued Gaussian free fields. In the case  $n = 2$  we give a simple construction.

**Keywords:** Dyson’s Brownian motion; Gaussian beta ensembles; Gaussian free field; isomorphism theorems; local time; permanental fields; topological expansion.

**MSC2020 subject classifications:** Primary 15B52; 60B20; 60J55, Secondary 60G15; 81T18.

Submitted to EJP on March 1, 2021, final version accepted on September 1, 2021.

Supersedes arXiv:2009.03026.

Supersedes HAL:hal-02996476.

## 1 Introduction

There is a class of results, known as *isomorphism theorems*, relating the squares of Gaussian free fields (GFFs) to occupation times of symmetric Markov processes. They originate from the works in mathematical physics [34, 3]. For a review, see [26, 31]. Here in particular we will be interested in the Brydges-Fröhlich-Spencer-Dynkin isomorphism [3, 8, 9] and in the Le Jan’s isomorphism [21, 22]. The BFS-Dynkin isomorphism involves Markovian paths with fixed ends. Le Jan’s isomorphism involves a Poisson point process of Markovian loops, with an intensity parameter  $\alpha = 1/2$  in the case of real scalar GFFs. For vector-valued GFFs with  $d$  components, the intensity parameter is  $\alpha = d/2$ . We show that both Le Jan’s and BFS-Dynkin isomorphisms have a generalization to  $\beta$ -Dyson’s Brownian motion, and provide identities relating the latter to local times of one-dimensional Brownian motions. By doing so, we go beyond the Gaussian setting.

\*This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) grant within the project MALIN (ANR-16-CE93-0003).

<sup>†</sup>CNRS and Sorbonne Université, LPSM, Paris, France. E-mail: [titus.lupu@upmc.fr](mailto:titus.lupu@upmc.fr)

For  $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ , a  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion is the diffusion of eigenvalues in a Brownian motion on the space of real symmetric ( $\beta = 1$ ), complex Hermitian ( $\beta = 2$ ), respectively quaternionic Hermitian ( $\beta = 4$ ) matrices. Yet, the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion is defined for every  $\beta \geq 0$ . The one-dimensional marginals of  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion are Gaussian beta ensembles  $G\beta E$ . The generalization of Le Jan's and BFS-Dynkin isomorphisms works for every  $\beta \geq 0$ , and for  $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$  it follows from the Gaussian case. The intensity parameter  $\alpha$  appearing in the Le Jan's type isomorphism is given by

$$2\alpha = d(\beta, n) = n + n(n - 1)\frac{\beta}{2},$$

where  $n$  is the number of "eigenvalues". In particular,  $\alpha$  takes not only half-integer values, as in the Gaussian case, but a whole half-line of values. The BFS-Dynkin type isomorphism involves polynomials defined by a recurrence with a structure similar to that of the Schwinger-Dyson equation for  $G\beta E$ . These polynomials also give the symmetric moments of the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion.

We further ask the question whether an analogue of  $G\beta E$  and  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion could exist on electrical networks and interpolate and extrapolate the distributions of the eigenvalues in matrix-valued GFFs. Our motivation for this is that such analogues could be related to Poisson point process of random walk loops, in particular to those of non half-integer intensity parameter. If the underlying graph is a tree, the construction of such analogues is straightforward, by taking  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motions along each branch of the tree. However, if the graph contains cycles, this is not immediate, and one does not expect a Markov property for the obtained fields. However, in the simplest case  $n = 2$ , we provide a construction working on any graph.

Our article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the BFS-Dynkin and the Le Jan's isomorphisms in the particular case of 1D Brownian motion. In Section 3 we recall the definition of Gaussian beta ensembles and the corresponding Schwinger-Dyson equation. Section 4 deals with  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion and the corresponding isomorphisms. Section 5 deals with general electrical networks. We give our construction for  $n = 2$  and ask our questions for  $n \geq 3$ .

## 2 Isomorphism theorems for 1D Brownian motion

Let  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the standard Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $L^x$  will denote the Brownian local times:

$$L^x((B_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{|B_s - x| < \epsilon} ds.$$

We will denote by  $p(t, x, y)$  the heat kernel on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and by  $p_{\mathbb{R}_+}(t, x, y)$  the heat kernel on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with condition 0 in 0:

$$p(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2t}}, \quad p_{\mathbb{R}_+}(t, x, y) = p(t, x, y) - p(t, x, -y).$$

We will denote by  $\mathbb{P}^{t,x,y}(\cdot)$  the Brownian bridge probability from  $x$  to  $y$  in time  $t$ , and by  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{t,x,y}(\cdot)$  (for  $x, y > 0$ ) the probability measures where one conditions  $\mathbb{P}^{t,x,y}(\cdot)$  on that the bridge does not hit 0. Let  $(G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x, y))_{x,y \geq 0}$  be the Green's function of  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with 0 condition in 0, and for  $K > 0$ ,  $(G_K(x, y))_{x,y \geq 0}$  the Green's function of  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - K$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x, y) &= 2x \wedge y = \int_0^{+\infty} p_{\mathbb{R}_+}(t, x, y) dt, \\ G_K(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2K}} e^{-\sqrt{2K}|y-x|} = \int_0^{+\infty} p(t, x, y) e^{-Kt} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,y})_{x,y>0}$ , resp.  $(\mu_K^{x,y})_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}}$  be the following measures on finite-duration paths:

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,y}(\cdot) := \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{t,x,y}(\cdot) p_{\mathbb{R}_+}(t,x,y) dt, \quad \mu_K^{x,y}(\cdot) := \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}^{t,x,y}(\cdot) p(t,x,y) e^{-Kt} dt. \quad (2.1)$$

The total mass of  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,y}$ , resp.  $\mu_K^{x,y}$ , is  $G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x,y)$ , resp.  $G_K(x,y)$ . The image of  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,y}$ , resp.  $\mu_K^{x,y}$ , by time reversal is  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{y,x}$ , resp.  $\mu_K^{y,x}$ .

Let  $T_x$  denote the first hitting time of a level  $x$  by the Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ . We will denote by  $\gamma$  a generic path on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $(\check{\mu}^{x,y}(\cdot))_{x<y\in\mathbb{R}}$ , resp.  $(\check{\mu}_K^{x,y}(\cdot))_{x<y\in\mathbb{R}}$  be the following measures on paths from  $x$  to  $y$ :

$$\check{\mu}^{x,y}(F(\gamma)) = \mathbb{E}_{B_0=y}[F((B_{T_x-t})_{0\leq t\leq T_x})], \quad \check{\mu}_K^{x,y}(F(\gamma)) = \mathbb{E}_{B_0=y}[e^{-KT_x} F((B_{T_x-t})_{0\leq t\leq T_x})].$$

The measure  $\check{\mu}^{x,y}$  has total mass 1 (probability measure), whereas the total mass of  $\check{\mu}_K^{x,y}$  is

$$\mathbb{E}_{B_0=y}[e^{-KT_x}] = e^{-\sqrt{2K}|y-x|} = \frac{G_K(x,y)}{G_K(x,x)}.$$

For  $0 < x \leq y < z$ , the measure  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,z}$  can be obtained as the image of the product measure  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,y} \otimes \check{\mu}^{y,z}$  under the concatenation of two paths. Similarly, for  $x \leq y < z \in \mathbb{R}$ , the measure  $\mu_K^{x,z}$  is the image of  $\mu_K^{x,y} \otimes \check{\mu}_K^{y,z}$  under the concatenation of two paths.

Let  $(W(x))_{x\in\mathbb{R}}$  denote a two-sided Brownian motion, i.e.  $(W(x))_{x\geq 0}$  and  $(W(-x))_{x\geq 0}$  being two independent standard Brownian motions starting from 0 ( $W(0) = 0$ ). Note that here  $x$  is rather a one-dimensional space variable than a time variable. The derivative  $dW(x)$  is a white noise on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $(\phi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x))_{x\geq 0}$  denote the process  $(\sqrt{2}W(x))_{x\geq 0}$ . The covariance function of  $\phi_{\mathbb{R}_+}$  is  $G_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ . Let  $(\phi_K(x))_{x\in\mathbb{R}}$  be the stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with invariant measure  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1/\sqrt{2K})$ . It is a solution to the SDE

$$d\phi_K(x) = \sqrt{2}dW(x) - \sqrt{2K}\phi_K(x)dx.$$

The covariance function of  $\phi_K$  is  $G_K$ .

What follows is the BFS-Dynkin isomorphism (Theorem 2.2 in [3], Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 in [8], Theorem 1 in [9]) in the particular case of a 1D Brownian motion. In general, the BFS-Dynkin isomorphism relates the squares of Gaussian free fields to local times of symmetric Markov processes.

**Theorem 2.1** (Brydges-Fröhlich-Spencer [3], Dynkin [8, 9]). *Let  $F$  be a bounded measurable functional on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , resp. on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}$  in  $(0, +\infty)$ , resp. in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{2k} \phi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_i) F(\phi_{\mathbb{R}_+}^2/2)\right] = \sum_{\substack{(\{a_i, b_i\}_{1\leq i\leq k} \\ \text{partition in pairs} \\ \text{of } \{1, 2, \dots, 2k\})}} \int \mathbb{E}\left[F(\phi_{\mathbb{R}_+}^2/2 + L(\gamma_1) + \dots + L(\gamma_k))\right] \prod_{i=1}^k \mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_{a_i}, x_{b_i}}(d\gamma_i),$$

resp.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{2k} \phi_K(x_i) F(\phi_K^2/2)\right] = \sum_{\substack{(\{a_i, b_i\}_{1\leq i\leq k} \\ \text{partition in pairs} \\ \text{of } \{1, 2, \dots, 2k\})}} \int \mathbb{E}\left[F(\phi_K^2/2 + L(\gamma_1) + \dots + L(\gamma_k))\right] \prod_{i=1}^k \mu_K^{x_{a_i}, x_{b_i}}(d\gamma_i),$$

where the sum runs over the  $(2k)!/(2^k k!)$  partitions in pairs, the  $\gamma_i$ -s are Brownian paths and the  $L(\gamma_i)$ -s are the corresponding occupation fields  $x \mapsto L^x(\gamma_i)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Since for  $x < y$ , the measure  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,y}$ , resp.  $\mu_K^{x,y}$ , can be decomposed as  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,x} \otimes \check{\mu}^{x,y}$ , resp.  $\mu_K^{x,x} \otimes \check{\mu}^{x,y}$ , Theorem 2.1 can be rewritten using only the measures of type  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,x}$  and  $\check{\mu}^{x,y}$ , resp.  $\mu_K^{x,x}$  and  $\check{\mu}^{x,y}$ .

To a wide class of symmetric Markov processes one can associate in a natural way an infinite,  $\sigma$ -finite measure on loops [20, 19, 18, 21, 22, 23, 12]. It originated from the works in mathematical physics [32, 33, 34, 3]. Here we recall it in the setting of a 1D Brownian motion, which has been studied in [24]. The range of a loop will be just a segment on the line, but it will carry a non-trivial Brownian local time process which will be of interest for us.

Given a Brownian loop  $\gamma$ ,  $T(\gamma)$  will denote its duration. The measures on (rooted) loops are

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\text{loop}}(d\gamma) := \frac{1}{T(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,x}(d\gamma)dx, \quad \mu_K^{\text{loop}}(d\gamma) = \frac{1}{T(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_K^{x,x}(d\gamma)dx. \tag{2.2}$$

Usually one considers unrooted loops, but this will not be important here. The 1D Brownian loop soups are the Poisson point processes, denoted  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha$ , resp.  $\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha$ , of intensity  $\alpha \mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\text{loop}}$ , resp.  $\alpha \mu_K^{\text{loop}}$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is an intensity parameter.  $L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha)$ , resp.  $L(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha)$ , will denote the occupation field of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha$ , resp.  $\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha$ :

$$L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha) := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha} L^x(\gamma), \quad L^x(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha) := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_K^\alpha} L^x(\gamma).$$

The following statement deals with the law of  $L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha)$ , resp.  $L(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha)$ . See Proposition 4.6, Property 4.11 and Corollary 5.5 in [24]. For the analogous statements in discrete space setting, see Corollary 5, Proposition 6, Theorem 13 in [21] and Corollary 1, Section 4.1, Proposition 16, Section 4.2, Theorem 2, Section 5.1 in [22]. In general, one gets  $\alpha$ -permanental fields (see also [23, 12]). For  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  in particular, one gets square Gaussians. We recall that given a matrix  $M = (M_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ , its  $\alpha$ -permanent is

$$\text{Perm}_\alpha(M) := \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ permutation} \\ \text{of } \{1, 2, \dots, k\}}} \alpha^{\#\text{ cycles of } \sigma} \prod_{i=1}^k M_{i\sigma(i)}. \tag{2.3}$$

**Theorem 2.3** (Le Jan [21, 22], Lupu [24]). *For every  $\alpha > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , resp.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the r.v.  $L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha)$ , resp.  $L^x(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha)$ , follows the distribution  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x, x)^{-1})$ , resp.  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, G_K(x, x)^{-1})$ . Moreover, the process  $\alpha \mapsto L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha)$ , resp.  $L^x(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha)$ , is a pure jump Gamma subordinator with Lévy measure*

$$\mathbf{1}_{l>0} \frac{e^{-l/G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x,x)}}{l} dl, \quad \text{resp. } \mathbf{1}_{l>0} \frac{e^{-l/G_K(x,x)}}{l} dl.$$

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , resp.  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k L^{x_i}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha) \right] = \text{Perm}_\alpha(G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_i, x_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}),$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k L^{x_i}(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha) \right] = \text{Perm}_\alpha(G_K(x_i, x_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}).$$

For  $x \geq 0$ ,  $x \mapsto L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha)$  is a solution to the SDE

$$dL^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha) = 2(L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha))^{\frac{1}{2}} dW(x) + 2\alpha dx,$$

with initial condition  $L^0(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+^\alpha}) = 0$ . That is to say it is a square Bessel process of dimension  $2\alpha$ , reflected at level 0 for  $\alpha < 1$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \mapsto L^x(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha)$  is a stationary solution to the SDE

$$dL^x(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha) = 2(L^x(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha))^{\frac{1}{2}}dW(x) - 2\sqrt{2K}L^x(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha) + 2\alpha dx.$$

In particular, for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , one has the following identities in law between stochastic processes:

$$L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+^\alpha}) \stackrel{(law)}{=} \frac{1}{2}\phi_{\mathbb{R}_+}^2, \quad L(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha) \stackrel{(law)}{=} \frac{1}{2}\phi_K^2. \tag{2.4}$$

### 3 Gaussian beta ensembles

For references on Gaussian beta ensembles, see [7, 13], [11, Section 1.2.2], and [1, Section 4.5]. Fix  $n \geq 2$ . For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D(\lambda)$  will denote the Vandermonde determinant

$$D(\lambda) := \prod_{1 \leq j < j' \leq n} (\lambda_{j'} - \lambda_j).$$

For  $q \geq 1$ ,  $p_q(\lambda)$  will denote the  $q$ -th power sum polynomial

$$p_q(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^q.$$

By convention,

$$p_0(\lambda) = n.$$

A Gaussian beta ensemble  $G\beta E$ , with  $\beta > -\frac{2}{n}$ , follows the distribution

$$\frac{1}{Z_{\beta,n}} |D(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)} \prod_{j=1}^n d\lambda_j, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $Z_{\beta,n}$  is given by ([27, Formula (17.6.7)] and [11, Formula (1.2.23)])

$$Z_{\beta,n} = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(1 + j\frac{\beta}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\beta}{2})}.$$

The brackets  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta,n}$  will denote the expectation with respect to (3.1). For  $\beta = 0$  one gets  $n$  i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  Gaussians. For  $\beta$  equal to 1, 2, resp. 4, one gets the eigenvalue distribution of GOE, GUE, resp. GSE random matrices [27, 11]. Usually the  $G\beta E$  are studied for  $\beta > 0$  [7], but the distribution (3.1) is well defined for all  $\beta > -\frac{2}{n}$ . For  $\beta \in (-\frac{2}{n}, 0)$  there is an attraction between the  $\lambda_j$ -s instead of a repulsion as for  $\beta > 0$ . Moreover, as  $\beta \rightarrow -\frac{2}{n}$ ,  $\lambda$  under (3.1) converges in law to

$$\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\xi, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\xi, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\xi \right), \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\xi$  follows  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

Let  $d(\beta, n)$  denote

$$d(\beta, n) = n + n(n-1)\frac{\beta}{2}.$$

One can see  $d(\beta, n)$  as a kind of pseudo-dimension. For  $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ ,  $d(\beta, n)$  is the dimension of the corresponding space of matrices.

Let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m)$ , where  $m \geq 1$ , and for all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\nu_k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . We will denote

$$m(\nu) = m, \quad |\nu| = \sum_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} \nu_k.$$

Let  $p_\nu(\lambda)$  denote

$$p_\nu(\lambda) := \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda).$$

By convention, we set  $p_\emptyset(\lambda) = 1$  and  $|\emptyset| = 0$ . Note that  $p_\emptyset(\lambda) \neq p_0(\lambda)$ . We are interested in the expression of the moments  $\langle p_\nu(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n}$ . These are 0 if  $|\nu|$  is not even. For  $|\nu|$  even, these moments are given by a recurrence known as *loop equation* or *Schwinger-Dyson equation* ([15, Lemma 4.13], [16, slide 3/15] and [11, Section 4.1.1]). See the Appendix for the expressions of some moments.

**Proposition 3.1** (Schwinger-Dyson equation [15, 16, 11]). *For every  $\beta > -2/n$  and every  $\nu$  as above with  $|\nu|$  even,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_\nu(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n} &= \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1} \langle p_{(\nu_r)_{r \neq m(\nu)}}(\lambda) p_{i-1}(\lambda) p_{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1-i}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n} \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) (\nu_{m(\nu)} - 1) \langle p_{(\nu_r)_{r \neq m(\nu)}}(\lambda) p_{\nu_{m(\nu)}-2}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m(\nu)-1} \nu_k \langle p_{(\nu_r)_{r \neq k, m(\nu)}}(\lambda) p_{\nu_k + \nu_{m(\nu)}-2}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $p_0(\lambda) = n$ . In particular, for  $q$  even,

$$\langle p_q(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n} = \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \langle p_{i-1}(\lambda) p_{q-1-i}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n} + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) (q-1) \langle p_{q-2}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n},$$

and for  $\nu$  with  $\nu_{m(\nu)} = 1$ ,

$$\langle p_\nu(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n} = \sum_{k=1}^{m(\nu)-1} \nu_k \langle p_{(\nu_r)_{r \neq k, m(\nu)}}(\lambda) p_{\nu_k-1}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n}.$$

The recurrence (3.3) and the initial condition  $p_0(\lambda) = n$  determine all the moments  $\langle p_\nu(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n}$ .

*Proof.* Note that (3.3) determines the moments  $\langle p_\nu(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n}$  because on the left-hand side one has a degree  $|\nu|$ , and on the right-hand side all the terms have a degree  $|\nu| - 2$ . It is enough to check (3.3) for  $\beta > 0$ , since both sides are analytic in  $\beta$ . For  $\beta > 0$ , we outline the proof appearing in [15, Lemma 4.13] and [11, Section 4.1.1], so as to be self-contained. Let us denote here  $\tilde{\nu} := (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{m(\nu)-1})$ , so that  $p_\nu(\lambda) = p_{\nu_{m(\nu)}}(\lambda) p_{\tilde{\nu}}(\lambda)$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1} \left( \lambda_1^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1} p_{\tilde{\nu}}(\lambda) |D(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)} \right) &= \\ &\quad - \lambda_1^{\nu_{m(\nu)}} p_{\tilde{\nu}}(\lambda) |D(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)} \\ &\quad + \beta \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\lambda_1^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_j} p_{\tilde{\nu}}(\lambda) |D(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)} \\ &\quad + (\nu_{m(\nu)} - 1) \lambda_1^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-2} p_{\tilde{\nu}}(\lambda) |D(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m(\nu)-1} \nu_k \lambda_1^{\nu_k + \nu_{m(\nu)}-2} p_{(\nu_r)_{r \neq k, m(\nu)}}(\lambda) |D(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1} \left( \lambda_1^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1} p_{\tilde{\nu}}(\lambda) |D(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)} \right) d\lambda_1 = 0,$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_1^{\nu_{m(\nu)}} p_{\bar{\nu}}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n} &= \beta \sum_{j=2}^n \left\langle \frac{\lambda_1^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_j} p_{\bar{\nu}}(\lambda) \right\rangle_{\beta, n} + (\nu_{m(\nu)} - 1) \langle \lambda_1^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-2} p_{\bar{\nu}}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m(\nu)-1} \nu_k \langle \lambda_1^{\nu_k + \nu_{m(\nu)}-2} p_{(\nu_r)_{r \neq k, m(\nu)}}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous relations hold for all other indices  $j' \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ . By summing over  $j' \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_{\nu}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n} &= \beta \sum_{1 \leq j < j' \leq n} \left\langle \frac{\lambda_j^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1} - \lambda_{j'}^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1}}{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j'}} p_{\bar{\nu}}(\lambda) \right\rangle_{\beta, n} + (\nu_{m(\nu)} - 1) \langle p_{\nu_{m(\nu)}-2}(\lambda) p_{\bar{\nu}}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m(\nu)-1} \nu_k \langle p_{\nu_k + \nu_{m(\nu)}-2}(\lambda) p_{(\nu_r)_{r \neq k, m(\nu)}}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < j' \leq n} \frac{\lambda_j^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1} - \lambda_{j'}^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1}}{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j'}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\nu_{m(\nu)} - 1) p_{\nu_{m(\nu)}-2}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1} p_{i-1}(\lambda) p_{\nu_{m(\nu)}-1-i}(\lambda).$$

So we get (3.3). □

Next are some elementary properties of  $G\beta E$ , which follow from the form of the density (3.1).

**Proposition 3.2.** *The following holds.*

1. For every  $\beta > -2/n$ ,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} p_1(\lambda)$  under  $G\beta E$  has for distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
2. For every  $\beta > -2/n$ ,  $p_2(\lambda)/2$  under  $G\beta E$  has for distribution  $\text{Gamma}(d(\beta, n)/2, 1)$ .
3.  $p_1(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)$  under  $G\beta E$  are independent.
4.  $\frac{1}{2} (p_2(\lambda) - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)^2) = \frac{1}{2} p_2(\lambda - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda))$  under  $G\beta E$  follows a  $\text{Gamma}((d(\beta, n) - 1)/2, 1)$  distribution.

*Proof.* One can factorize the density (3.1) as

$$\frac{1}{Z_{\beta, n}} \left| D\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)\right) \right|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2} p_2\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} d\left(\lambda_j - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)\right) \times e^{-\frac{1}{2n} p_1(\lambda)^2} dp_1(\lambda),$$

where

$$D\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)\right) = \prod_{1 \leq j < j' \leq n} \left( \left(\lambda_{j'} - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)\right) - \left(\lambda_j - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)\right) \right) = D(\lambda).$$

This immediately implies (3) and (1). The property (2) is implied by (4), (3) and (1). The property (4) can be obtained by computing a Laplace transform. Fix  $K > 0$ . We have that

$$\left\langle e^{-\frac{1}{2} K p_2\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)\right)} \right\rangle_{\beta, n} = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta, n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}(K+1)p_2\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda)\right) - \frac{1}{2n} p_1(\lambda)^2} \prod_{j=1}^n d\lambda_j.$$



By performing the change of variables  $\tilde{\lambda} = (K + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda$ , we get that the expression above equals

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(K + 1)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{Z_{\beta,n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D((K + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\lambda})|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\tilde{\lambda} - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\tilde{\lambda})) - \frac{1}{2n(K+1)}p_1(\tilde{\lambda})^2} \prod_{j=1}^n d\tilde{\lambda}_j \\ = \frac{(K + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}d(\beta,n)}}{Z_{\beta,n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D(\tilde{\lambda})|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\tilde{\lambda}) + \frac{K}{2n(K+1)}p_1(\tilde{\lambda})^2} \prod_{j=1}^n d\tilde{\lambda}_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\langle e^{-\frac{1}{2}Kp_2(\lambda - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda))} \rangle_{\beta,n} = (K + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}d(\beta,n)} \langle e^{\frac{K}{2n(K+1)}p_1(\lambda)^2} \rangle_{\beta,n} = (K + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}(d(\beta,n)-1)}.$$

So we get the Laplace transform of a Gamma( $(d(\beta, n) - 1)/2, 1$ ) r.v. □

Next is an embryonic version of the BFS-Dynkin isomorphism (Theorem (2.1)) for the  $G\beta E$ . One should imagine that the state space is reduced to one vertex, and a particle on it gets killed at an exponential time.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\beta > -2/n$ . The following holds.*

1. *Let  $a \geq 0$ . Let  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function such that  $\langle |h(\lambda)| \rangle_{\beta,n} < +\infty$ . Assume that  $h$  is  $a$ -homogeneous, that is to say  $h(s\lambda) = s^a h(\lambda)$  for every  $s > 0$ . Let  $F : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded measurable function. Let  $\theta$  be a r.v. with distribution Gamma( $(d(\beta, n) + a)/2, 1$ ). Then*

$$\langle h(\lambda)F(p_2(\lambda)/2) \rangle_{\beta,n} = \langle h(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n} \mathbb{E}[F(\theta)]. \tag{3.4}$$

2. *In particular, let  $\nu$  be a finite family of positive integers such that  $|\nu|$  is even. Let  $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{|\nu|/2}$  be an i.i.d. family of exponential times of mean 1, independent of the  $G\beta E$ . Then*

$$\langle p_\nu(\lambda)F(p_2(\lambda)/2) \rangle_{\beta,n} = \langle p_\nu \rangle_{\beta,n} \mathbb{E}[\langle F(p_2(\lambda)/2 + \mathcal{T}_1 + \dots + \mathcal{T}_{|\nu|/2}) \rangle_{\beta,n}].$$

*Proof.* (1) clearly implies (2). It is enough to check (3.4) for  $F$  of form  $F(t) = e^{-Kt}$ , with  $K > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h(\lambda)e^{-\frac{1}{2}Kp_2(\lambda)} \rangle_{\beta,n} &= \frac{1}{Z_{\beta,n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\lambda)|D(\lambda)|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}(K+1)p_2(\lambda)} \prod_{j=1}^n d\lambda_j \\ &= \frac{(K + 1)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{Z_{\beta,n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h((K + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\lambda})|D((K + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\lambda})|^\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_2(\tilde{\lambda})} \prod_{j=1}^n d\tilde{\lambda}_j \\ &= (K + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+n(n-1)\frac{\beta}{2}+a)} \langle h(\tilde{\lambda}) \rangle_{\beta,n}, \end{aligned}$$

where on the second line we used the change of variables  $\tilde{\lambda} = (K + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda$ , and on the third line the homogeneity. Further,

$$(K + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+n(n-1)\frac{\beta}{2}+a)} = \mathbb{E}[e^{-K\theta}]. \tag{□}$$

## 4 Isomorphisms for $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion

### 4.1 $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motions and the occupation fields of 1D Brownian loop soups

For references on  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion, see [10, 6, 30, 4, 5], [27, Chapter 9] and [1, Section 4.3]. Let  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 2$ . The  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion is the process

$(\lambda(x) = (\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_n(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  with  $\lambda_1(x) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(x)$ , satisfying the SDE

$$d\lambda_j(x) = \sqrt{2}dW_j(x) + \beta \sum_{j' \neq j} \frac{dx}{\lambda_j(x) - \lambda_{j'}(x)}, \tag{4.1}$$

with initial condition  $\lambda(0) = 0$ . The derivatives  $(dW_j(x))_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  are independent white noises. Since we will be interested in isomorphisms with Brownian local times, the variable  $x$  corresponds here to a one-dimensional spatial variable rather than a time variable. For every  $x > 0$ ,  $\lambda(x)/\sqrt{G_{\mathbb{R}^+}(x, x)} = \lambda(x)/\sqrt{2x}$ , is distributed, up to a reordering of the  $\lambda_j(x)$ -s, as a  $G\beta E$  (3.1). For  $\beta$  equal to 1, 2 resp. 4,  $(\lambda(x))_{x \geq 0}$  is the diffusion of eigenvalues in a Brownian motion on the space of real symmetric, complex Hermitian, resp. quaternionic Hermitian matrices. For  $\beta \geq 1$ , there is no collision between the  $\lambda_j(x)$ -s, and for  $\beta \in [0, 1)$  two consecutive  $\lambda_j(x)$ -s can collide, but there is no collision of three or more particles [5]. Note that for  $\beta > 0$  and  $j \in \llbracket 2, n \rrbracket$ ,  $(\lambda_j(x) - \lambda_{j-1}(x))/2$  behaves near level 0 like a Bessel process of dimension  $\beta + 1$  reflected at level 0, and since  $\beta + 1 > 1$ , the complication with the principal value and the local time at zero does not occur; see [35, Chapter 10]. In particular, each  $(\lambda_j(x))_{x \geq 0}$  is a semimartingale. For  $\beta = 0$ ,  $(\lambda(x)/\sqrt{2})_{x \geq 0}$  is just a reordered family of  $n$  i.i.d. standard Brownian motions.

**Remark 4.1.** We restrict to  $\beta \geq 0$  because the case  $\beta < 0$  has not been considered in the literature. The problem is the extension of the process after a collision of  $\lambda_j(x)$ -s. The collision of three or more particles, including all the  $n$  together for  $\beta < -\frac{2(n-3)}{n(n-1)}$ , is no longer excluded. However, we believe that the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion can be defined for all  $\beta > -\frac{2}{n}$ . This is indeed the case if  $n = 2$ . One can use the reflected Bessel processes for that. Let  $(\rho(x))_{x \geq 0}$  be the Bessel process of dimension  $\beta + 1$ , reflected at level 0, satisfying away from 0 the SDE

$$d\rho(x) = dW(x) + \frac{\beta}{2\rho(x)}dx,$$

with  $\rho(0) = 0$ . The reflected version is precisely defined for  $\beta > -1 = \frac{-2}{2}$ ; see [29, Section XI.1] and [17, Section 3]. Let  $(\widetilde{W}(x))_{x \geq 0}$  be a standard Brownian motion starting from 0, independent from  $(W(x))_{x \geq 0}$ . Then, for  $n = 2$ , one can construct the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion as

$$\lambda_1(x) = \widetilde{W}(x) + \rho(x), \quad \lambda_2(x) = \widetilde{W}(x) - \rho(x). \tag{4.2}$$

Next are some simple properties of the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The following holds.*

1. The process  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}p_1(\lambda(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  has the same law as  $\phi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ .
2. The process  $(\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  is a square Bessel process of dimension  $d(\beta, n)$  starting from 0.
3. The processes  $(p_1(\lambda(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  and  $(\lambda(x) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  are independent.
4. The process  $(\frac{1}{2}(p_2(\lambda(x)) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))^2))_{x \geq 0}$  is a square Bessel process of dimension  $d(\beta, n) - 1$  starting from 0.

*Proof.* With Itô's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} dp_1(\lambda(x)) &= \sqrt{2} \sum_{j=1}^n dW_j(x), \\ d\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda(x)) &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j(x)}{\sqrt{2}} dW_j(x) + d(\beta, n)dx, \\ d\frac{1}{2}\left(p_2(\lambda(x)) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))^2\right) &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j(x) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))}{\sqrt{2}} dW_j(x) + (d(\beta, n) - 1)dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where the points  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  for which  $\lambda_j(x) = \lambda_{j-1}(x)$  for some  $j \in \llbracket 2, n \rrbracket$  can be neglected. This gives (1), (2) and (4) since the processes

$$d\widetilde{W}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j(x)}{\sqrt{p_2(\lambda(x))}} dW_j(x), \quad \widetilde{W}(0) = 0,$$

and

$$d\widetilde{W}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j(x) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))}{\sqrt{p_2(\lambda(x)) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))^2}} dW_j(x), \quad \widetilde{W}(0) = 0,$$

are both standard Brownian motions. Again, one can neglect the points  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  where  $p_2(\lambda(x)) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))^2 = 0$ , which only occur for  $n = 2$ .

For (3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\lambda_j(x) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))\right) &= \sqrt{2}d\left(W_j(x) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(W(x))\right) \\ &\quad + \beta \sum_{j' \neq j} \frac{dx}{\left(\lambda_j(x) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))\right) - \left(\lambda_{j'}(x) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_1(W(x)) = \sum_{j'=1}^n W_{j'}(x).$$

The Brownian motion  $p_1(W) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p_1(\lambda)$  is independent from the family of Brownian motions  $(W_j - \frac{1}{n}p_1(W))_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ . Further, the measurability of  $(\lambda_j - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda))_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  with respect to  $(W_j - \frac{1}{n}p_1(W))_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  follows from the pathwise uniqueness of the solution to (4.1); see [4, Theorem 3.1].  $\square$

By combining Proposition 4.2 with Theorem 2.3, we get a first relation between the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion and 1D Brownian local times. Compare it with Le Jan's isomorphism (2.4).

**Corollary 4.3.** *The process  $(\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  is distributed as the occupation field  $(L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha))_{x \geq 0}$  of a 1D Brownian loop soup  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^\alpha$ , with the correspondence*

$$2\alpha = d(\beta, n) = n + n(n-1)\frac{\beta}{2}. \tag{4.4}$$

Further, let  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  be two independent 1D Brownian loop soups,  $\alpha$  still given by (4.4). Then, one has the following identity in law between pairs of processes:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(p_2(\lambda(x)) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x))^2\right), \frac{1}{2n}p_1(\lambda(x))^2\right)_{x \geq 0} \stackrel{(law)}{=} (L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}), L^x(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\frac{1}{2}}))_{x \geq 0}.$$

#### 4.2 Symmetric moments of $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion

We will denote by  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+}$  the expectation with respect to the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion (4.1). This section will be devoted to deriving a recursive way to express the symmetric moments

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} \tag{4.5}$$

for  $\nu$  be a finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even and  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . This generalizes the Schwinger-Dyson equation (3.3). Note that if  $|\nu|$  is odd then the moment equals 0.

We will also use in the sequel the following notation. For  $k \geq k' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\llbracket k, k' \rrbracket$  will denote the interval of integers

$$\llbracket k, k' \rrbracket = \{k, k + 1, \dots, k'\}.$$

We start by some lemmas.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $q \geq 3$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} dp_q(\lambda(x)) &= q\sqrt{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(x)^{q-1} dW_j(x) + \frac{\beta}{2} q \sum_{i=2}^{q-2} p_{i-1}(\lambda(x)) p_{q-1-i}(\lambda(x)) dx \\ &\quad + 2\frac{\beta}{2} n q p_{q-2}(\lambda(x)) dx + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) q(q-1) p_{q-2}(\lambda(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} dp_q(\lambda(x)) &= q\sqrt{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(x)^{q-1} dW_j(x) + q(q-1) p_{q-2}(\lambda(x)) dx \\ &\quad + \beta q \sum_{1 \leq j < j' \leq n} \frac{\lambda_j(x)^{q-1} - \lambda_{j'}(x)^{q-1}}{\lambda_j(x) - \lambda_{j'}(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq j < j' \leq n} \frac{\lambda_j(x)^{q-1} - \lambda_{j'}(x)^{q-1}}{\lambda_j(x) - \lambda_{j'}(x)} &= \sum_{1 \leq j < j' \leq n} \sum_{r=0}^{q-2} \lambda_j(x)^r \lambda_{j'}(x)^{q-2-r} \\ &= \left(n - \frac{q-1}{2}\right) p_{q-2}(\lambda(x)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{q-2} p_{i-1}(\lambda(x)) p_{q-1-i}(\lambda(x)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $q, q' \geq 1$  with  $q + q' > 2$ . Then*

$$d\langle p_q(\lambda(x)), p_{q'}(\lambda(x)) \rangle = 2qq' p_{q+q'-2}(\lambda(x)) dx.$$

Moreover,

$$d\langle p_1(\lambda(x)), p_1(\lambda(x)) \rangle = 2n dx.$$

*Proof.* This is a straightforward computation. □

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\nu$  be a finite family of positive integers and let  $q \geq 0$ . Then the process*

$$\int_0^x p_\nu(\lambda(y)) \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(y)^q dW_j(y) \tag{4.6}$$

*is a martingale in the filtration of the Brownian motions  $((W_j(x))_{1 \leq j \leq n})_{x \geq 0}$ .*

*Proof.* The process (4.6) is a local martingale. Its quadratic variation is given by

$$\int_0^x p_\nu(\lambda(y))^2 p_{2q}(\lambda(y)) dy.$$

For every  $y > 0$ ,  $\lambda(y)/\sqrt{2y}$  follows a fixed distribution, which is up to reordering the  $G\beta E$  (3.1). Thus,

$$\left\langle \int_0^x p_\nu(\lambda(y))^2 p_{2q}(\lambda(y)) dy \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} = \langle p_\nu(\lambda)^2 p_{2q}(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n} \int_0^x (2y)^{|\nu|+q} dy < +\infty.$$

So the quadratic variation is locally bounded in  $\mathbb{L}^1$ . It follows that (4.6) is a true martingale. □

Let  $\nu$  be a finite family of positive integers. and let  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . For  $k \in \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$  and  $x \geq x_{k-1}$ , let  $f_k(x)$  denote the function

$$f_k(x) := \left\langle \prod_{k'=1}^{k-1} p_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda(x_{k'})) \prod_{k'=k}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda(x)) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+}. \tag{4.7}$$

The main idea for expressing a symmetric moment (4.5) is that for  $x \geq x_{k-1}$ , the derivative  $f'_k(x)$  is a linear combination of symmetric moments of degree  $|\nu| - 2$ , with coefficients depending on  $\beta$  and  $n$ . The precise expressions for these coefficients can be deduced from Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5. Further, the moment (4.5) equals  $f_{m(\nu)}(x_{m(\nu)})$ , for every  $k \in \llbracket 2, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ ,  $f_k(x_{k-1}) = f_{k-1}(x_{k-1})$ , and

$$f_1(x_1) = (2x_1)^{|\nu|/2} \langle p_\nu(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n},$$

where  $\langle p_\nu(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n}$  is the moment of the  $G\beta E$ , given by Proposition 3.1. So given the above initial conditions, and knowing the derivatives  $f'_k(x)$  one gets the moment (4.5). It turns out that this moment is a multivariate polynomial in  $(x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$ . Next we describe the recursion for this polynomial.

Let  $(Y_{kk})_{k \geq 1}$  denote a family of formal commuting polynomials variables. We will consider finite families of positive integers  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{m(\nu)})$  with  $|\nu|$  even. The order of the  $\nu_k$  will matter. That is to say we distinguish between  $\nu$  and  $(\nu_{\sigma(1)}, \nu_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \nu_{\sigma(m(\nu))})$  for  $\sigma$  a permutation of  $\llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ . We want to construct a family of formal polynomials  $Q_{\nu, \beta, n}$  with parameters  $\nu$ ,  $\beta$  and  $n$ , where  $Q_{\nu, \beta, n}$  has for variables  $(Y_{kk})_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$ . To simplify the notations, we will drop the subscripts  $\beta, n$  and just write  $Q_\nu$ . The polynomials  $Q_\nu$  will appear in the expression of the symmetric moments (4.5). We will denote by  $c(\nu, \beta, n)$  the solutions to the recurrence (3.3), which for  $\beta \in (-2/n, +\infty)$  are the moments  $\langle p_\nu(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n}$ . By convention,  $c((0), \beta, n) = n$  and  $c(\emptyset, \beta, n) = 1$ . For  $k \geq 1$  and  $Q$  a polynomial,  $Q^{k \leftarrow}$  will denote the polynomial in the variables  $(Y_{k'k'})_{1 \leq k' \leq k}$ , obtained from  $Q$  by replacing each variable  $Y_{k'k'}$  with  $k' \geq k + 1$  by the variable  $Y_{kk}$ . Note that  $Q_\nu^{m(\nu) \leftarrow} = Q_\nu$  and that  $Q_\nu^{1 \leftarrow}$  is an univariate polynomial in  $Y_{11}$ . For  $Y$  a formal polynomial variable,  $\deg_Y$  will denote the partial degree in  $Y$ .

**Definition 4.7.** The family of polynomials  $(Q_\nu)_{|\nu| \text{ even}}$  is defined by the following.

1.  $Q_\nu^{1 \leftarrow} = c(\nu, \beta, n) Y_{11}^{|\nu|/2}$ .
2. If  $m(\nu) \geq 2$ , then for every  $k \in \llbracket 2, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{kk}} Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow} &= \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{\substack{k \leq k' \leq m(\nu) \\ \nu_{k'} > 2}} \frac{\nu(k')}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{\nu_{k'}-2} Q_{((\nu_r)_{r \neq k', i-1, \nu_{k'}-1-i})}^{k \leftarrow} \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2} n \sum_{\substack{k \leq k' \leq m(\nu) \\ \nu_{k'} > 2}} \nu(k') Q_{((\nu_r)_{r \neq k', \nu_{k'}-2})}^{k \leftarrow} \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2} n^2 \sum_{\substack{k \leq k' \leq m(\nu) \\ \nu_{k'} = 2}} Q_{(\nu_r)_{r \neq k'}}^{k \leftarrow} \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{k \leq k' \leq m(\nu) \\ \nu_{k'} > 2}} \frac{\nu_{k'}(\nu_{k'} - 1)}{2} Q_{((\nu_r)_{r \neq k', \nu_{k'}-2})}^{k \leftarrow} \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) n \sum_{\substack{k \leq k' \leq m(\nu) \\ \nu_{k'} = 2}} Q_{(\nu_r)_{r \neq k'}}^{k \leftarrow} \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \sum_{\substack{k \leq k' < k'' \leq m(\nu) \\ \nu_{k'} + \nu_{k''} > 2}} \nu_{k'} \nu_{k''} Q_{((\nu_r)_{r \neq k', k'', \nu_{k'} + \nu_{k''} - 2})}^{k \leftarrow} \\
 &+ n \sum_{\substack{k \leq k' < k'' \leq m(\nu) \\ \nu_{k'} = \nu_{k''} = 1}} Q_{((\nu_r)_{r \neq k', k''})}^{k \leftarrow}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $k = m(\nu)$ , then the last two lines of (4.8) vanish.

Note that since the polynomials  $Q_{\nu, \beta, n}$  are formal, one is not restricted by a specific range for  $\beta$ . One could take any  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  or even consider  $\beta$  as a formal parameter. The specific range for  $\beta$  will only matter when relating  $Q_{\nu, \beta, n}$  to the symmetric moments of the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Definition 4.7 uniquely defines a family of polynomials  $(Q_\nu)_{|\nu| \text{ even}}$ . Moreover, the following properties hold.*

1. For every  $A$  monomial of  $Q_\nu$  and every  $k \in \llbracket 2, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ ,

$$2 \sum_{k \leq k' \leq m(\nu)} \deg_{Y_{k'k'}} A \leq \sum_{k \leq k' \leq m(\nu)} \nu_{k'}, \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$2 \sum_{1 \leq k' \leq m(\nu)} \deg_{Y_{k'k'}} A = |\nu|.$$

In particular,  $Q_\nu$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $|\nu|/2$ .

2. For every  $k \in \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$  and every permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\llbracket k, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ ,

$$Q_{(\nu_r)_{1 \leq r \leq k-1, (\nu_{\sigma(r)})_{k \leq r \leq m(\nu)}}}^{k \leftarrow} = Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow}.$$

*Proof.* The fact that the polynomials  $Q_\nu$  are well defined can be proved by induction on  $|\nu|/2$ .

For  $|\nu|/2 = 1$ , there are only two polynomials,  $Q_{(2)}$  and  $Q_{(1,1)}$ . According to the condition (1),

$$Q_{(2)} = c((2), \beta, n) Y_{11} = d(\beta, n) Y_{11} = \left( \frac{\beta}{2} n^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) n \right) Y_{11}.$$

The condition (2) does not apply for  $Q_{(2)}$ . For  $Q_{(1,1)}$ , according to the condition (2),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{22}} Q_{(1,1)} = 0.$$

Thus,  $Q_{(1,1)}$  contains no terms in  $Y_{22}$  and  $Q_{(1,1)} = Q_{(1,1)}^{1 \leftarrow}$ . From the condition (1) we further get

$$Q_{(1,1)} = c((1, 1), \beta, n) Y_{11} = n Y_{11}.$$

The induction step works as follows. Assume  $|\nu|/2 \geq 2$ . The right hand side of (4.8) involves only families of integers  $\tilde{\nu}$  with  $|\tilde{\nu}| = |\nu| - 2$ . According to the induction hypotheses,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{kk}} Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow}$  is uniquely determined for every  $k \in \llbracket 2, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ . Thus, for every  $k \in \llbracket 2, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ ,  $Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow} - Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow}(Y_{kk} = 0)$  is uniquely determined. On top of that,

$$Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow}(Y_{kk} = 0) = Q_\nu^{k-1 \leftarrow} - (Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow} - Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow}(Y_{kk} = 0))^{k-1 \leftarrow}.$$

Moreover, by the condition (1),  $Q_\nu^{1 \leftarrow}$  is also uniquely determined. Thus, all the polynomials  $(Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow})_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$  are uniquely determined, with consistency by the  $Q \mapsto Q^{k \leftarrow}$  operations. Finally,  $Q_\nu = Q_\nu^{m(\nu) \leftarrow}$ .

The properties (1) and (2) again follow easily by induction on  $|\nu|/2$ . □

We are ready now to express the symmetric moments (4.5).

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $\beta \geq 0$ . Let  $\nu$  be a finite family of positive integers, with  $|\nu|$  even. Let  $Q_\nu = Q_{\nu, \beta, n}$  be the polynomial given by Definition 4.7. Let  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then,*

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} = Q_\nu((Y_{kk} = 2x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}).$$

*Proof.* The proof is done by induction on  $|\nu|/2$ .

The case  $|\nu|/2 = 1$  corresponds to  $\nu = (1, 1)$  or  $\nu = (2)$ . These are treated by Proposition 4.2, and taking into account that the one-dimensional marginals of square Bessel processes follow Gamma distributions.

Now consider the induction step. Assume  $|\nu|/2 \geq 2$ . Recall the function  $f_k(x)$  (4.7) for  $k \in \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ . We have that

$$f_1(x_1) = c(\nu, \beta, n)(2x_1)^{|\nu|/2} = Q_\nu^{1 \leftarrow} (Y_{11} = 2x_1), \tag{4.10}$$

where for the second equality we applied the condition (1) in Definition 4.7. If  $m(\nu) = 1$ , there is nothing more to check. In the case  $m(\nu) \geq 2$ , we need only to check that for every  $k \in \llbracket 2, m(\nu) \rrbracket$  and every  $x > x_{k-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f'_k(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow} ((Y_{k'k'} = 2x_{k'})_{1 \leq k' \leq k-1}, Y_{kk} = 2x) \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_{kk}} Q_\nu^{k \leftarrow} \right) ((Y_{k'k'} = 2x_{k'})_{1 \leq k' \leq k-1}, Y_{kk} = 2x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Indeed, given (4.10), by applying (4.11) to  $k = 2$ , we further get

$$f_2(x_2) = P_\nu^{2 \leftarrow} (Y_{11} = 2x_1, Y_{22} = 2x_2),$$

and by successively applying (4.11) to  $k = 3, \dots, k = m(\nu)$ , we at the end get

$$f_{m(\nu)}(x_{m(\nu)}) = Q_\nu^{m(\nu) \leftarrow} ((Y_{k'k'} = 2x_{k'})_{1 \leq k' \leq m(\nu)}),$$

which is exactly what we want. To show (4.11), we proceed as follows. Let  $(\mathcal{F}_x)_{x \geq 0}$  be the filtration of the Brownian motions  $((W_j(x))_{1 \leq j \leq n})_{x \geq 0}$ . Then, for  $x > x_{k-1}$ ,

$$f_k(x) = \left\langle \prod_{k'=1}^{k-1} p_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda(x_{k'})) \right\rangle_{\beta, n} \left\langle \prod_{k'=k}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda(x)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{x_{k-1}} \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} \Big|_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+},$$

where  $\langle \cdot | \mathcal{F}_{x_{k-1}} \rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+}$  denotes the conditional expectation. To express

$$\left\langle \prod_{k'=k}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda(x)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{x_{k-1}} \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+},$$

we apply Itô's formula to

$$\prod_{k'=k}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda(x)) - \left\langle \prod_{k'=k}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda(x_{k-1})) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+}.$$

The local martingale part is, according to Lemma 4.6, a true martingale, and thus gives a 0 conditional expectation. The bounded variation part is a linear combination of terms of form  $p_{\tilde{\nu}}(\lambda(x))dx$ , with

$$|\tilde{\nu}| = \left( \sum_{k'=k}^{m(\nu)} \nu_{k'} \right) - 2,$$

the exact expressions following from Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5. By comparing these expressions with the recurrence (4.8), and using the induction hypothesis at the step  $|\nu|/2 - 1$ , we get (4.11). At this stage we omit detailing the tedious but completely elementary computations.  $\square$

### 4.3 More general formal polynomials

In previous Section 4.2, we defined recursively a family of formal polynomials  $Q_\nu = Q_{\nu,\beta,n}$  (Definition 4.7), which encode the symmetric moments of the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion (Proposition 4.9). However, these polynomials are insufficient both for the generalization of the BFS-Dynkin isomorphism (forthcoming Proposition 4.14) and for expressing the symmetric moments of the stationary version of the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion (forthcoming Proposition 4.22). Therefore we introduce an other family of formal polynomials  $P_\nu = P_{\nu,\beta,n}$ , with  $P_\nu$  constructed out of  $Q_\nu$  in a straightforward way which we describe next.

On top of the formal commuting polynomial variables  $(Y_{kk})_{k \geq 1}$  appearing in the polynomials  $Q_\nu$ , we also consider the family of the formal commuting variables  $(\check{Y}_{k-1k})_{k \geq 2}$ , also commuting with the first one. A polynomial  $P_\nu$  will have for variables  $(Y_{kk})_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$  and  $(\check{Y}_{k-1k})_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$ .

**Definition 4.10.** Given  $\nu$  a finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even, let  $P_\nu$  be the polynomial in the variables  $(Y_{kk})_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$ ,  $(\check{Y}_{k-1k})_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$  defined by the following.

1.  $P_\nu((Y_{kk})_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = 1)_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}) = Q_\nu((Y_{kk})_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)})$ .
2. For every  $A$  monomial of  $P_\nu$  and every  $k \in \llbracket 2, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ ,

$$\deg_{\check{Y}_{k-1k}} A + 2 \sum_{k \leq k' \leq m(\nu)} \deg_{Y_{k'k'}} A = \sum_{k \leq k' \leq m(\nu)} \nu_{k'}. \tag{4.12}$$

The property (4.9) ensures that  $P_\nu = P_{\nu,\beta,n}$  is well defined. As for  $Q_{\nu,\beta,n}$ ,  $P_{\nu,\beta,n}$  is defined for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Proposition 4.9 and Definition 4.10 immediately imply the following.

**Corollary 4.11.** Let  $\beta \geq 0$ . Let  $\nu$  be a finite family of positive integers, with  $|\nu|$  even. Let  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then,

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta,n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} = P_\nu((Y_{kk} = 2x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = 1)_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}) = P_\nu((Y_{kk} = G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_k, x_k))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_{k-1}, x_k)/G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}))_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}).$$

Next are the expressions for  $Q_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ ,  $P_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$ ,  $Q_{(2,2,\dots,2)}$  and  $P_{(2,2,\dots,2)}$ .

**Proposition 4.12.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $M = (M_{kk'})_{1 \leq k, k' \leq m}$  be the formal symmetric matrix with entries given by

$$M_{kk} = Y_{kk}, \quad \text{for } k < k', \quad M_{kk'} = M_{k'k} = Y_{kk} \prod_{k+1 \leq r \leq k'} \check{Y}_{r-1r}. \tag{4.13}$$

The following holds.

1. Assume  $m$  is even, and let  $\nu = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ , where 1 appears  $m$  times. Then  $Q_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$



$P_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$  satisfies the Wick's rule for Gaussians:

$$Q_{(1,1,\dots,1)} = n^{\frac{m}{2}} \sum_{\substack{\{\{a_i, b_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m/2}\} \\ \text{partition in pairs} \\ \text{of } [1, m]}} \prod_{i=1}^{m/2} Y_{a_i \wedge b_i, a_i \vee b_i},$$

$$P_{(1,1,\dots,1)} = n^{\frac{m}{2}} \sum_{\substack{\{\{a_i, b_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m/2}\} \\ \text{partition in pairs} \\ \text{of } [1, m]}} \prod_{i=1}^{m/2} M_{a_i b_i},$$

where  $a_i \wedge b_i = \min(a_i, b_i)$  and where the sums run over the  $m!/(2^{\frac{m}{2}} (m/2)!)$  partitions in pairs.

2. Let  $\nu = (2, 2, \dots, 2)$ , where 2 appears  $m$  times. Then

$$Q_{(2,2,\dots,2)} = 2^m \text{Perm}_{d(\beta, n)/2}((Y_{k \wedge k', k \vee k'})_{1 \leq k, k' \leq m}),$$

$$P_{(2,2,\dots,2)} = 2^m \text{Perm}_{d(\beta, n)/2}(M).$$

*Proof.* The expressions for  $Q_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$  and  $Q_{(2,2,\dots,2)}$  are easily obtained by induction on  $m$  using Definition 4.7. Alternatively, for  $\beta \geq 0$ , one can use that under the law of  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion, the process  $(p_1(\lambda(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  is Gaussian and the process  $(p_2(\lambda(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  is  $d(\beta, n)/2$ -permanental; see Proposition 4.2. This gives the expression of  $Q_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$  and  $Q_{(2,2,\dots,2)}$  for  $\beta \geq 0$ . To extend it to general  $\beta$  one can use that the coefficients of the polynomials  $Q_\nu$  are themselves polynomials in  $\beta$ . The expressions for  $P_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$  and  $P_{(2,2,\dots,2)}$  are immediately deducible from those for  $Q_{(1,1,\dots,1)}$  and  $Q_{(2,2,\dots,2)}$  by following Definition 4.10.  $\square$

For other examples of  $P_\nu$ , see the Appendix.

As a side remark, we observe next that the value  $\beta = -\frac{2}{n}$  plays a special role for the polynomials  $Q_{\nu, \beta, n}$  and  $P_{\nu, \beta, n}$ . In particular,  $P_{\nu, \beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n}$  gives the moments of the stochastic processes  $(\phi_{\mathbb{R}^+}(x))_{x \geq 0}$  and  $(\phi_K(x))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$  introduced in Section 2, which are Gaussian. This is also related to the fact that in the limit  $\beta \rightarrow -\frac{2}{n}$ , the  $G\beta E$  converges in law to  $n$  identical Gaussians (3.2).

**Proposition 4.13.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $K > 0$ . Let  $\nu$  be a finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even. Let  $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{m(\nu)}$  be  $m(\nu)$  points in  $(0, +\infty)$ , resp. in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$Q_{\nu, \beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n}((Y_{kk} = 2x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}) =$$

$$P_{\nu, \beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n}((Y_{kk} = 2x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1 k} = 1)_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}) = n^{m(\nu) - |\nu|/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} \phi_{\mathbb{R}^+}(x_k)^{\nu_k} \right],$$

resp.

$$P_{\nu, \beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n}((Y_{kk} = 1/\sqrt{2K})_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1 k} = e^{-\sqrt{2K}(x_k - x_{k-1})})_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)})$$

$$= n^{m(\nu) - |\nu|/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} \phi_K(x_k)^{\nu_k} \right].$$

That is to say, the variables  $Y_{kk}$  are replaced by  $G_{\mathbb{R}^+}(x_k, x_k)$ , resp.  $G_K(x_k, x_k)$ , and the variables  $\check{Y}_{k-1 k}$  by  $G_{\mathbb{R}^+}(x_{k-1}, x_k)/G_{\mathbb{R}^+}(x_{k-1}, x_{k-1})$ , resp.  $G_K(x_{k-1}, x_k)/G_K(x_{k-1}, x_{k-1})$ .

*Proof.* First, one can check that

$$c\left(\nu, \beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n\right) = n^{m(\nu)-|\nu|/2} \frac{|\nu|!}{2^{|\nu|/2} (|\nu|/2)!}. \tag{4.14}$$

This follows from Proposition 3.2. The key point is that

$$d\left(\beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n\right) = 1.$$

Given  $\nu$  a finite family of positive integers, let  $\mathbf{k}_\nu : \llbracket 1, |\nu| \rrbracket \mapsto \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$  be the function such that

$$\mathbf{k}_\nu^{-1}(1) = \llbracket 1, \nu_1 \rrbracket, \quad \text{for } k' \in \llbracket 2, m(\nu) \rrbracket, \mathbf{k}_\nu^{-1}(k') = \llbracket \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_{k'-1} + 1, \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_{k'} \rrbracket. \tag{4.15}$$

Further, let  $(\tilde{Q}_\nu)_{|\nu| \text{ even}}$  be the following formal polynomials:

$$\tilde{Q}_\nu = n^{m(\nu)-|\nu|/2} \sum_{\substack{\{a_i, b_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq |\nu|/2} \\ \text{partition in pairs} \\ \text{of } \llbracket 1, |\nu| \rrbracket}} \prod_{i=1}^{|\nu|/2} Y_{\mathbf{k}_\nu(a_i) \wedge \mathbf{k}_\nu(b_i) \mathbf{k}_\nu(a_i) \wedge \mathbf{k}_\nu(b_i)}.$$

To conclude, we need only to check that  $\tilde{Q}_\nu = Q_{\nu, \beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n}$  for all  $\nu$  with  $|\nu|$  even. Indeed, this immediately implies that

$$P_{\nu, \beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n} = n^{m(\nu)-|\nu|/2} \sum_{\substack{\{a_i, b_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq |\nu|/2} \\ \text{partition in pairs} \\ \text{of } \llbracket 1, |\nu| \rrbracket}} \prod_{i=1}^{|\nu|/2} M_{\mathbf{k}_\nu(a_i) \mathbf{k}_\nu(b_i)},$$

where the  $M_{kk'}$  are given by (4.13), and thus  $n^{-m(\nu)+|\nu|/2} P_{\nu, \beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n}$  corresponds to the Wick's rule. So by evaluating in  $Y_{kk} = G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_k, x_k)$  and  $\check{Y}_{k-1 k} = G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_{k-1}, x_k) / G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_{k-1}, x_{k-1})$ , resp.  $Y_{kk} = G_K(x_k, x_k)$  and  $\check{Y}_{k-1 k} = G_K(x_{k-1}, x_k) / G_K(x_{k-1}, x_{k-1})$ , one gets the moments of  $\phi_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ , resp.  $\phi_K$ .

The identity  $\tilde{Q}_\nu = Q_{\nu, \beta = -\frac{2}{n}, n}$  can be checked by induction over  $|\nu|/2$  by following Definition 4.7. From (4.14) follows that the  $\tilde{Q}_\nu$  satisfy the condition (1) in Definition 4.7. One can further check the recurrence (4.8), and this amounts to counting the pairs in  $\mathbf{k}_\nu^{-1}(\llbracket k, m(\nu) \rrbracket)$ .  $\square$

#### 4.4 BFS-Dynkin isomorphism for $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion

We will denote by  $\Upsilon$  a generic finite family of continuous paths on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Upsilon = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_J)$ , and  $J(\Upsilon)$  will denote the size  $J$  of the family. We will consider finite Brownian measures on  $\Upsilon$  where  $J(\Upsilon)$  is not fixed but may take several values under the measure. Given  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $L^x(\Upsilon)$  will denote the sum of Brownian local times at  $x$ :

$$L^x(\Upsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{J(\Upsilon)} L^x(\gamma_i).$$

$L(\Upsilon)$  will denote the occupation field  $x \mapsto L^x(\Upsilon)$ .

Given  $\nu$  a finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even and  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{m(\nu)}$ ,  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\nu, x_1, \dots, x_{m(\nu)}}(d\Upsilon)$  (also depending on  $\beta$  and  $n$ ) will be the measure on finite families of continuous paths obtained by substituting in the polynomial  $P_\nu = P_{\nu, \beta, n}$  for each variable  $Y_{kk}$  the measure  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_k, x_k}$ , and for each variable  $\check{Y}_{k-1 k}$  the measure  $\check{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_{k-1}, x_k}$ ; see Section

2. Since we will deal with the functional  $L(\Upsilon)$  under  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\nu, x_1, \dots, x_{m(\nu)}}(d\Upsilon)$ , the order of the Brownian measures in a product will not matter. For instance, for  $\nu = (2, 1, 1)$  (see Appendix),

$$P_{(2,1,1)} = \left(\frac{\beta}{2}n^3 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2\right)Y_{11}Y_{22}\check{Y}_{23} + 2nY_{11}^2\check{Y}_{12}^2\check{Y}_{23},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{(2,1,1), x_1, x_2, x_3} &= \left(\frac{\beta}{2}n^3 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2\right)\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_1, x_1} \otimes \mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_2, x_2} \otimes \check{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_2, x_3} \\ &\quad + 2n\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_1, x_1} \otimes \mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_1, x_1} \otimes \check{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_1, x_2} \otimes \check{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_1, x_2} \otimes \check{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x_2, x_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that depending on values of  $n$  and  $\beta$ , a measure  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\nu, x_1, \dots, x_{m(\nu)}}$  may be signed.

Next is a version of BFS-Dynkin isomorphism (Theorem (2.1)) for  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion.

**Proposition 4.14.** *Let  $\nu$  be a finite family of positive integers, with  $|\nu|$  even and let  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{m(\nu)}$ . Let  $F$  be a bounded measurable functional on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then*

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) F\left(\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)\right) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} = \int_{\Upsilon} \left\langle F\left(\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda) + L(\Upsilon)\right) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\nu, x_1, \dots, x_{m(\nu)}}(d\Upsilon). \quad (4.16)$$

**Remark 4.15.** In the limiting case when  $x_k = x_{k-1}$  for some  $k \in \llbracket 2, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ ,  $\check{Y}_{k-1 k}$  in  $P_\nu$  has to be replaced by the constant 1 instead of a measure on Brownian paths.

**Remark 4.16.** For  $\beta \in \{0, 1, 2, 4\}$ , (4.16) reduces to the Gaussian case of Theorem 2.1.

Let us first outline our strategy for proving Proposition 4.14. By density arguments it is enough to show (4.16) for functionals  $F$  of form

$$F((\ell(x))_{x \geq 0}) = \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \ell(x)\chi(x)dx\right),$$

where  $\chi$  is a continuous non-negative function with compact support in  $(0, +\infty)$ . For such  $F$ , the value returned by the right-hand side of (4.16) is well understood and is related to the local times of Brownian motions with a killing rate given by  $\chi$ . In order to deal with the left-hand side of (4.16), one interprets

$$\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{+\infty} p_2(\lambda(y))\chi(y)dy\right)}{\left\langle \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{+\infty} p_2(\lambda(y))\chi(y)dy\right) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+}}$$

as a density in a change of measure. Then it remains to describe the law of the stochastic process  $(\lambda(x))_{x \geq 0}$  under the new measure, and in particular express its symmetric moments. It turns out that under the new measure, the process can still be reduced to a  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion through a deterministic transformation reminiscent of the scale and time changes for one-dimensional diffusions; see Lemma 4.19.

We start by some intermediate lemmas. Recall that  $(\mathcal{F}_x)_{x \geq 0}$  denotes the filtration of the Brownian motions  $((W_j(x))_{1 \leq j \leq n})_{x \geq 0}$  in (4.1). Consider  $\chi$  a continuous non-negative function with compact support in  $(0, +\infty)$ . Let  $u_{\chi \downarrow}$  denote the unique solution to

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} u = \chi u$$

which is positive non-increasing on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , with  $u_{\chi \downarrow}(0) = 1$ . See [24, Section 2.1] for details. Then

$$u_{\chi \downarrow}(+\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u_{\chi \downarrow}(x) > 0.$$

**Lemma 4.17.** Let  $\mathcal{D}_\chi(+\infty)$  be the positive r.v.

$$\mathcal{D}_\chi(+\infty) := u_{\chi\downarrow}(+\infty)^{-\frac{1}{2}d(\beta,n)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{+\infty} p_2(\lambda(y))\chi(y)dy\right). \quad (4.17)$$

Then  $\langle \mathcal{D}_\chi(+\infty) \rangle_{\beta,n}^{\mathbb{R}^+} = 1$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\chi(x) &:= \langle \mathcal{D}_\chi(+\infty) | \mathcal{F}_x \rangle_{\beta,n}^{\mathbb{R}^+} \\ &= u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}d(\beta,n)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x p_2(\lambda(y))\chi(y)dy\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}p_2(\lambda(x))\frac{u'_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{M}_\chi(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^x \frac{u'_{\chi\downarrow}(y)}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(y) dW_j(y).$$

Then  $(\mathcal{M}_\chi(x))_{x \geq 0}$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_x)_{x \geq 0}$  and for all  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}_\chi(x) = \exp\left(\mathcal{M}_\chi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathcal{M}_\chi, \mathcal{M}_\chi \rangle(x)\right).$$

*Proof.* (4.17) and (4.18) follow from the properties of square Bessel processes. See Theorem (1.7), Section XI.1 in [29].  $(\mathcal{M}_\chi(x))_{x \geq 0}$  is obviously a (true) martingale, as can be seen with the quadratic variation. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{1}{4}p_2(\lambda(x))\frac{u'_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}\right) &= d\mathcal{M}_\chi(x) + \frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda(x))\chi(x)dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}p_2(\lambda(x))\frac{u'_{\chi\downarrow}(x)^2}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)^2}dx + \frac{1}{2}d(\beta,n)\frac{u'_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathcal{M}_\chi, \mathcal{M}_\chi \rangle(x) = \frac{1}{4}p_2(\lambda(x))\frac{u'_{\chi\downarrow}(x)^2}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)^2}dx.$$

Thus

$$d\left(\mathcal{M}_\chi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathcal{M}_\chi, \mathcal{M}_\chi \rangle(x)\right) = d\log(\mathcal{D}_\chi(x)). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.18.** Let be  $(\tilde{\lambda}(x) = (\tilde{\lambda}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  with  $\tilde{\lambda}_1(x) \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\lambda}_n(x)$ , satisfying the SDE

$$d\tilde{\lambda}_j(x) = \sqrt{2}dW_j(x) + \frac{u'_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}\tilde{\lambda}_j(x)dx + \beta \sum_{j' \neq j} \frac{dx}{\tilde{\lambda}_j(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{j'}(x)}, \quad (4.19)$$

with initial condition  $\tilde{\lambda}(0) = 0$ . Further consider a change of measure with density  $\mathcal{D}_\chi(+\infty)$  (4.17) on the filtered probability space with filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_x)_{x \geq 0}$ . Then  $\lambda$  after the change of measure and  $\tilde{\lambda}$  before the change of measure have the same law.

*Proof.* The existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to (4.19) is given by [4, Theorem 3.1]. The rest is a consequence of Girsanov's theorem; see Theorems (1.7) and (1.12), Section VIII.1, in [29]. Indeed,

$$d\langle W_j(x), \mathcal{M}_\chi(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{u'_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)} \lambda_j(x) dx.$$

Thus, after the change of measure, the

$$W_j(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^x \frac{u'_{\chi\downarrow}(y)}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)} \lambda_j(y) dy$$

for  $j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$  are  $n$  i.i.d. standard Brownian motions. □

Let  $\psi_\chi$  denote the following diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ :

$$\psi_\chi(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dy}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)^2}.$$

Let  $\psi_\chi^{-1}$  be the inverse diffeomorphism.

**Lemma 4.19.** *If  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is a solution to the SDE (4.19), then the process*

$$\left(\frac{1}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(\psi_\chi^{-1}(x))} \tilde{\lambda}(\psi_\chi^{-1}(x))\right)_{x \geq 0}$$

satisfies the SDE (4.1).

*Proof.* The process  $\left(\frac{1}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)} \tilde{\lambda}(x)\right)_{x \geq 0}$  satisfies

$$d\left(\frac{1}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)} \tilde{\lambda}_j(x)\right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)} dW_j(x) + \beta \sum_{j' \neq j} \frac{1}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)^{-1} \tilde{\lambda}_j(x) - u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)^{-1} \tilde{\lambda}_{j'}(x)} \frac{dx}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)^2}.$$

By further performing the change of variable given by  $\psi_\chi$ , one gets (4.1). □

In the sequel  $(G_{\mathbb{R}_+, \chi}(x, y))_{x, y \geq 0}$  will denote the Green's function of  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \chi$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with condition 0 in 0. Then for  $0 \leq x \leq y$ ,

$$G_{\mathbb{R}_+, \chi}(x, y) = 2u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)\psi_\chi(x)u_{\chi\downarrow}(y). \tag{4.20}$$

Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left(2u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)\psi_\chi(x)u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)\right) = \chi(y) \left(2u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)\psi_\chi(x)u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(2u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)\psi_\chi(x)u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(2u'_{\chi\downarrow}(x)\psi_\chi(x)u_{\chi\downarrow}(y) + 2\frac{u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)}\right) \\ &= \chi(x) \left(2u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)\psi_\chi(x)u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)\right) + 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=x}\right) \left(2u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)\psi_\chi(x)u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)\right) = 1.$$

**Lemma 4.20.** *Let  $(\tilde{\lambda}(x))_{x \geq 0}$  be the solution to (4.19) with  $\tilde{\lambda}(0) = 0$ . Let  $\nu$  be a finite family of positive integers, with  $|\nu|$  even. Let  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\tilde{\lambda}(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} &= \\ P_\nu((Y_{kk} = G_{\mathbb{R}_+, \chi}(x_k, x_k))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)/u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_{k-1}))_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.19 and Proposition 4.9 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\tilde{\lambda}(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)^{\nu_k}\right) Q_\nu((Y_{kk} = 2\psi_\chi(x_k))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)^{\nu_k}\right) P_\nu((Y_{kk} = 2\psi_\chi(x_k))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = 1)_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}). \end{aligned}$$

Further, let  $A$  be a monomial of  $P_\nu$ . One has to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)^{\nu_k} \right) A((Y_{kk} = 2\psi_\chi(x_k))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = 1)_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}) \\ &= A((Y_{kk} = 2\psi_\chi(x_k)u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)^2)_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)/u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_{k-1}))_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}). \end{aligned}$$

This amounts to counting the power for each  $u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)$  on both sides. On the left-hand side, each  $u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)$  appears with power  $\nu_k$ . The power of  $u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)$  on the right-hand side is

$$2 \deg_{Y_{kk}} A + \deg_{Y_{k-1k}} A - \deg_{Y_{kk+1}} A.$$

By (4.12), this is again  $\nu_k$ . Finally, by (4.20),

$$2\psi_\chi(x_k)u_{\chi\downarrow}(x_k)^2 = G_{\mathbb{R}_+, \chi}(x_k, x_k). \quad \square$$

*Proof of Proposition 4.14.* It is enough to show (4.16) for functionals  $F$  of form

$$F((\ell(x))_{x \geq 0}) = \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \ell(x)\chi(x)dx\right),$$

where  $\chi$  is a continuous non-negative function with compact support in  $(0, +\infty)$ . For such a  $\chi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} p_2(\lambda(x))\chi(x)dx\right) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} = \\ & \left\langle \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} p_2(\lambda(x))\chi(x)dx\right) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\tilde{\lambda}(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta, n}^{\mathbb{R}_+}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is given by (4.19), with  $\tilde{\lambda}(0) = 0$ . The symmetric moments of  $\tilde{\lambda}$  are given by Lemma 4.20. To conclude, we use that

$$\int_{\gamma} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} L^z(\gamma)\chi(z)dz\right) \mu_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{x,x}(d\gamma) = G_{\mathbb{R}_+, \chi}(x, x),$$

and for  $0 < x < y$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} L^z(\gamma)\chi(z)dz\right) \check{\mu}^{x,y}(d\gamma) = \frac{G_{\mathbb{R}_+, \chi}(x, y)}{G_{\mathbb{R}_+, \chi}(x, x)} = \frac{u_{\chi\downarrow}(y)}{u_{\chi\downarrow}(x)};$$

see [24, Section 3.2]. □

#### 4.5 The stationary case

In this section we consider the stationary  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion on the whole line and state the analogues of Propositions 4.2, 4.9 and 4.14 for it. The proofs are omitted, as they are similar to the previous ones. As previously,  $n \geq 2$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Let  $K > 0$ . We consider the process  $(\lambda(x) = (\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_n(x)))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$  with  $\lambda_1(x) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(x)$ , satisfying the SDE

$$d\lambda_j(x) = \sqrt{2}dW_j(x) - \sqrt{2K} \lambda_j(x) + \beta\sqrt{2K} \sum_{j' \neq j} \frac{dx}{\lambda_j(x) - \lambda_{j'}(x)}, \quad (4.21)$$

the  $dW_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , being  $n$  i.i.d. white noises on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\lambda$  being stationary, with  $(2K)^{\frac{1}{4}}\lambda(x)$  being distributed according to (3.1) (up to reordering of the  $\lambda_j(x)$ -s).

**Proposition 4.21.** *The following holds.*

1. The process  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}p_1(\lambda(x)))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$  has the same law as  $\phi_K$ .
2. Let be a 1D Brownian loop soup  $\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha$ , with  $\alpha$  given by (4.4). The process  $(\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda(x)))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$  has the same law as the occupation field  $(L^x(\mathcal{L}_K^\alpha))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ .
3. The processes  $(p_1(\lambda(x)))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$  and  $(\lambda(x) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda(x)))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$  are independent.
4. Let  $\mathcal{L}_K^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_K^{\frac{1}{2}}$  be two independent 1D Brownian loop soups,  $\alpha$  given by (4.4). Then, one has the following identity in law between pairs of processes:

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} \left( p_2(\lambda(x)) - \frac{1}{n} p_1(\lambda(x))^2 \right), \frac{1}{2n} p_1(\lambda(x))^2 \right)_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \stackrel{(law)}{=} (L^x(\mathcal{L}_K^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}), L^x(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_K^{\frac{1}{2}}))_{x \in \mathbb{R}}.$$

We will denote by  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta,n}^K$  the expectation with respect to the stationary  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion. Given  $\nu$  a finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even and  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu_K^{\nu, x_1, \dots, x_{m(\nu)}}(d\Upsilon)$  (also depending on  $\beta$  and  $n$ ) will be the measure on finite families of continuous paths obtained by substituting in the polynomial  $P_\nu = P_{\nu, \beta, n}$  for each variable  $Y_{kk}$  the measure  $\mu_K^{x_k, x_k}$ , and for each variable  $\check{Y}_{k-1k}$  the measure  $\mu_K^{x_{k-1}, x_k}$ .

**Proposition 4.22.** *Let  $\nu$  a finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even. Let  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta,n}^K &= \\ P_\nu((Y_{kk} = 1/\sqrt{2K})_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = e^{-\sqrt{2K}(x_k - x_{k-1})})_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}) &= \\ P_\nu((Y_{kk} = G_K(x_k, x_k))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = G_K(x_{k-1}, x_k)/G_K(x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}))_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}) &. \end{aligned}$$

Further, let  $F$  be a bounded measurable functional on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) F\left(\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)\right) \right\rangle_{\beta,n}^K = \int_{\Upsilon} \left\langle F\left(\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda) + L(\Upsilon)\right) \right\rangle_{\beta,n}^K \mu_K^{\nu, x_1, \dots, x_{m(\nu)}}(d\Upsilon).$$

## 5 The case of general electrical networks: a construction for $n = 2$ and further questions

### 5.1 Formal polynomials for $n = 2$

In this section  $n = 2$ , and  $\beta$  is arbitrary, considered as a formal parameter. Note that  $d(\beta, n = 2) = \beta + 2$ . In Section 4.2 we introduced the formal commuting polynomial variables  $(Y_{kk})_{k \geq 1}$ . Here we further consider the commuting variables  $(Y_{kk'})_{1 \leq k < k'}$ , and by convention set  $Y_{kk'} = Y_{k'k}$  for  $k' < k$ . Given  $\tilde{\nu} = (\tilde{\nu}_1, \dots, \tilde{\nu}_m)$  with  $\tilde{\nu}_k \in \mathbb{N}$  (value 0 allowed),  $\mathfrak{P}_{\tilde{\nu}, \beta}$  will be the following multivariate polynomial in the variables  $(Y_{kk'})_{1 \leq k \leq k' \leq m}$ :

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\tilde{\nu}, \beta} := \text{Perm}_{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}((Y_{f(i)f(j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \tilde{\nu}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\nu}_m}),$$

where  $f$  is a map  $f : \llbracket 1, \tilde{\nu}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\nu}_m \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$ , such that for every  $k \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$ ,  $|f^{-1}(k)| = \tilde{\nu}_k$ . Recall the expression of the  $\alpha$ -permanents (2.3). It is clear that  $\mathfrak{P}_{\tilde{\nu}, \beta}$  does not depend on the particular choice of  $f$ . In case  $\tilde{\nu}_1 = \dots = \tilde{\nu}_m = 0$ , by convention we set  $\mathfrak{P}_{\tilde{\nu}, \beta} = 1$ . Given  $\nu$  a finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even, let  $\mathbf{k}_\nu : \llbracket 1, |\nu| \rrbracket \mapsto \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$  be the map given by (4.15). Let  $\mathcal{I}_\nu$  be the following set of subsets of  $\llbracket 1, |\nu| \rrbracket$ :

$$\mathcal{I}_\nu := \{I \subseteq \llbracket 1, |\nu| \rrbracket \mid \forall k \in \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket, |\mathbf{k}_\nu^{-1}(k) \setminus I| \text{ is even}\},$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the cardinal. Note that necessarily, for every  $I \in \mathcal{I}_\nu$ , the cardinal  $|I|$  is even. Let  $\widehat{P}_{\nu,\beta}$  be the following multivariate polynomial in the variables  $(Y_{kk'})_{1 \leq k \leq k' \leq m(\nu)}$ :

$$\widehat{P}_{\nu,\beta} := \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_\nu} 2^{m(\nu)-|I|/2} \left( \sum_{\substack{(\{a_i, b_i\})_{1 \leq i \leq |I|/2} \\ \text{partition in pairs} \\ \text{of } I}} \prod_{i=1}^{|I|/2} Y_{\mathbf{k}_\nu(a_i)\mathbf{k}_\nu(b_i)} \right) \mathfrak{P}_{(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{k}_\nu^{-1}(k)\setminus I|)_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu), \beta}}.$$

By construction, for every  $A$  monomial of  $\widehat{P}_{\nu,\beta}$  and every  $k \in \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ ,

$$2 \deg_{Y_{kk}} A + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq m(\nu) \\ k' \neq k}} \deg_{Y_{kk'}} A = \nu_k. \tag{5.1}$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\nu$  be finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even.  $P_{\nu,\beta,n=2}$  is obtained from  $\widehat{P}_{\nu,\beta}$  by replacing each variable  $Y_{kk'}$  with  $1 \leq k < k' \leq m(\nu)$  by  $Y_{kk} \prod_{k+1 \leq r \leq k'} \check{Y}_{r-1r}$ :*

$$P_{\nu,\beta,n=2} = \widehat{P}_{\nu,\beta} \left( (Y_{kk'} = Y_{kk} \prod_{k+1 \leq r \leq k'} \check{Y}_{r-1r})_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m(\nu)} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let be

$$\widetilde{P}_{\nu,\beta} := \widehat{P}_{\nu,\beta} \left( (Y_{kk'} = Y_{kk} \prod_{k+1 \leq r \leq k'} \check{Y}_{r-1r})_{1 \leq k < k' \leq m(\nu)} \right).$$

We want to show the equality  $\widetilde{P}_{\nu,\beta} = P_{\nu,\beta,n=2}$ . Since a direct combinatorial proof would be a bit lengthy, we proceed differently. Let  $\beta \geq 0$  and let  $(\lambda(x) = (\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x)))_{x \geq 0}$  be the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion (4.1) in the case  $n = 2$ . We use its construction through (4.2). We claim that for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta,n=2}^{\mathbb{R}_+} = \widehat{P}_{\nu,\beta} \left( (Y_{kk'} = G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_{k-1}, x_k))_{1 \leq k \leq k' \leq m(\nu)} \right).$$

Indeed, in the expansion of

$$\left( \widetilde{W}(x_k) + \rho(x_k) \right)^{\nu_k} + \left( \widetilde{W}(x_k) - \rho(x_k) \right)^{\nu_k}$$

only enter the even powers of  $\rho(x_k)$ , which is how  $\mathcal{I}_\nu$  appears. Then one uses that the square Bessel process  $(\rho(x))_{x \geq 0}$  is a  $(\beta + 1)/2$ -permanental field with kernel  $(G_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x, y))_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ . Because of the particular form of  $G_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ , we have that for  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{m(\nu)} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta,n=2}^{\mathbb{R}_+} = \widetilde{P}_{\nu,\beta} \left( (Y_{kk} = 2x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}, (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = 1)_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)} \right).$$

By combining with Corollary 4.11, we get that the following multivariate polynomials in the variables  $(Y_{kk})_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$  are equal for  $\beta \geq 0$ :

$$\widetilde{P}_{\nu,\beta} \left( (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = 1)_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)} \right) = P_{\nu,\beta,n=2} \left( (\check{Y}_{k-1k} = 1)_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)} \right).$$

Since the coefficients of both are polynomials in  $\beta$ , the equality above holds for general  $\beta$ . To conclude the equality  $\widetilde{P}_{\nu,\beta} = P_{\nu,\beta,n=2}$ , we have to deal with the variables  $(\check{Y}_{k-1k})_{2 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$ . For this we use that both in case of  $P_{\nu,\beta,n=2}$  and in case of  $\widetilde{P}_{\nu,\beta}$ , each monomial satisfies (4.12). For  $\widetilde{P}_{\nu,\beta}$  this follows from (5.1).  $\square$



**5.2 A construction on discrete electrical networks for  $n = 2$**

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  be an undirected connected graph, with  $V$  finite. We do not allow multiple edges or self-loops. The edges  $\{x, y\} \in E$  are endowed with conductances  $C(x, y) = C(y, x) > 0$ . There is also a non-uniformly zero killing measure  $(K(x))_{x \in V}$ , with  $K(x) \geq 0$ . We see  $\mathcal{G}$  as an electrical network. Let  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}$  denote the discrete Laplacian

$$(\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}f)(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} C(x, y)(f(y) - f(x)).$$

Let  $(G_{\mathcal{G}, K}(x, y))_{x, y \in V}$  be the massive Green's function  $G_{\mathcal{G}, K} = (-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + K)^{-1}$ . The (massive) real scalar Gaussian free field (GFF) is the centered random Gaussian field on  $V$  with covariance  $G_{\mathcal{G}, K}$ , or equivalently with density

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|V|} \det G_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} K(x)\varphi(x)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{x, y\} \in E} C(x, y)(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2\right). \quad (5.2)$$

Let  $X_t$  be the continuous time Markov jump process to nearest neighbors with jump rates given by the conductances. The process  $X_t$  is also killed by  $K$ . Let  $\zeta \in (0, +\infty]$  be the first time  $X_t$  gets killed by  $K$ . Let  $p_{\mathcal{G}, K}(t, x, y)$  be the transition probabilities of  $(X_t)_{0 \leq t < \zeta}$ . Then  $p_{\mathcal{G}, K}(t, x, y) = p_{\mathcal{G}, K}(t, y, x)$  and

$$G_{\mathcal{G}, K}(x, y) = \int_0^{+\infty} p_{\mathcal{G}, K}(t, x, y) dt.$$

Let  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{t, x, y}$  be the bridge probability measure from  $x$  to  $y$ , where one conditions on  $t < \zeta$ . For  $x, y \in V$ , let  $\mu_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{x, y}$  be the following measure on paths:

$$\mu_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{x, y}(\cdot) := \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{t, x, y}(\cdot) p_{\mathcal{G}, K}(t, x, y) dt.$$

It is the analogue of (2.1). The total mass of  $\mu_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{x, y}$  is  $G_{\mathcal{G}, K}(x, y)$ , and the image of  $\mu_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{x, y}$  by time reversal is  $\mu_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{y, x}$ . Similarly, one defines the measure on (rooted) loops by

$$\mu_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\text{loop}}(d\gamma) := \frac{1}{T(\gamma)} \sum_{x \in V} \mu_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{x, x}(d\gamma),$$

where  $T(\gamma)$  denotes the duration of the loop  $\gamma$ . It is the analogue of (2.2). The measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\text{loop}}$  has an infinite total mass because it puts an infinite mass on trivial "loops" that stay in one vertex. For  $\alpha > 0$ , one considers Poisson point processes  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\alpha}$  of intensity  $\alpha \mu_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\text{loop}}$ . These are (continuous time) *random walk loop soups*. For details, see [19, 18, 21, 22].

For a continuous time path  $\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  of duration  $T(\gamma)$  and  $x \in V$ , we denote

$$L^x(\gamma) := \int_0^{T(\gamma)} \mathbf{1}_{\gamma(s)=x} ds.$$

Further,

$$L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\alpha}) := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\alpha}} L^x(\gamma).$$

One has equality in law between  $(L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\frac{1}{2}}))_{x \in V}$  and  $(\frac{1}{2}\phi_{\mathcal{G}, K}(x)^2)_{x \in V}$ , where  $\phi_{\mathcal{G}, K}$  is the GFF distributed according to (5.2) [21, 22]. This is the analogue of (2.4). For general  $\alpha > 0$ , the occupation field  $(L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\alpha}))_{x \in V}$  is the  $\alpha$ -permanental field with kernel  $G_{\mathcal{G}, K}$  [21, 22, 23]. In this sense it is analogous to squared Bessel processes. If  $(\chi(x))_{x \in V} \in \mathbb{R}^V$  is such that  $-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + K - \chi$  is positive definite, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\sum_{x \in V} \chi(x)L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}, K}^{\alpha})\right)\right] = \left(\frac{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + K)}{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + K - \chi)}\right)^{\alpha}. \quad (5.3)$$

See Corollary 5 in [21] and Corollary 1, Section 4.1 in [22].

Now we proceed with our construction. Fix  $\beta > -1$ . Let  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}d(\beta, n = 2) = \frac{\beta+2}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}$  be a GFF distributed according to (5.2), and  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}$  an independent random walk loop soup. For  $x \in V$  we set

$$\lambda_1(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}(x) + \sqrt{L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})}, \quad \lambda_2(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}(x) - \sqrt{L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})},$$

and  $\lambda := (\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x))_{x \in V}$ .  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta, n=2}^{\mathcal{G},K}$  will denote the expectation with respect to  $\lambda$ . As in Section 4.4,  $\Upsilon = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{J(\Upsilon)})$  will denote a generic family of continuous time paths, this time on the graph  $\mathcal{G}$ . For  $x \in V$ ,

$$L^x(\Upsilon) := \sum_{i=1}^{J(\Upsilon)} L^x(\gamma_i),$$

and  $L(\Upsilon)$  will denote the occupation field of  $\Upsilon$ ,  $x \mapsto L^x(\Upsilon)$ . Given  $\nu$  a finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even, and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m(\nu)} \in V$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\nu, \beta, x_1, \dots, x_{m(\nu)}}$  will denote the measure on families of  $|\nu|/2$  paths on  $\mathcal{G}$  obtained by substituting in the polynomial  $\hat{P}_{\nu, \beta}$  for each variable  $Y_{kk'}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq k' \leq m(\nu)$ , the measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{G},K}^{x_k, x_{k'}}$ . The order of the paths will not matter.

**Proposition 5.2.** *The following holds.*

1. For every  $x \in V$ ,  $(\lambda_1(x)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)}, \lambda_2(x)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)})$  is distributed, up to re-ordering, according to (3.1) for  $n = 2$ .
2. Let  $x, y \in V$ . Let

$$\eta = \frac{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)G_{\mathcal{G},K}(y,y)}{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,y)^2} \geq 1. \tag{5.4}$$

Then the couple  $(\sqrt{2}\lambda(x)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)}, \sqrt{2}\eta\lambda(y)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(y,y)})$  is distributed like the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion (4.1) at points 1 and  $\eta$ , for  $n = 2$ .

3. Let  $\nu$  be finite family of positive integers with  $|\nu|$  even and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m(\nu)} \in V$ . Then

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta, n=2}^{\mathcal{G},K} = \hat{P}_{\nu, \beta}((Y_{kk'} = G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x_k, x_{k'}))_{1 \leq k \leq k' \leq m(\nu)}).$$

4. (BFS-Dynkin's isomorphism) Moreover, given  $F$  a measurable bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}^V$ ,

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) F\left(\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)\right) \right\rangle_{\beta, n=2}^{\mathcal{G},K} = \int_{\Upsilon} \left\langle F\left(\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda) + L(\Upsilon)\right) \right\rangle_{\beta, n=2}^{\mathcal{G},K} \hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\nu, \beta, x_1, \dots, x_{m(\nu)}}(d\Upsilon). \tag{5.5}$$

5. For  $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ ,  $(\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x))_{x \in V}$  is distributed like the ordered family of eigenvalues in a GFF with values in  $2 \times 2$  real symmetric ( $\beta = 1$ ), complex Hermitian ( $\beta = 2$ ), resp. quaternionic Hermitian ( $\beta = 4$ ) matrices, with density proportional to

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} K(x) \text{Tr}(M(x)^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} C(x,y) \text{Tr}((M(y) - M(x))^2)\right). \tag{5.6}$$

6. Assume that  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  be two independent scalar GFFs distributed according to (5.2).  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-1}$  be a random walk loop soup independent from  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ , with still  $\alpha = \frac{\beta+2}{2}$ . Then  $(\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x))_{x \in V}$  is distributed as the ordered family of eigenvalues in the matrix-valued field

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x) & \sqrt{L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-1})} \\ \sqrt{L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-1})} & \phi_2(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in V. \tag{5.7}$$

7. Given another killing measure  $\tilde{K} \in \mathbb{R}_+^V$ , non uniformly zero, and  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2)$  the field obtained by using  $\tilde{K}$  instead of  $K$ , the density of the law of  $\tilde{\lambda}$  with respect to that of  $\lambda$  is

$$\left( \frac{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + \tilde{K})}{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + K)} \right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} (\tilde{K}(x) - K(x)) p_2(\lambda(x))\right).$$

*Proof.* (1) This follows from Proposition 3.2 and the fact that  $\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}(x)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)}$  is distributed according to  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , and  $L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)}$  according to Gamma  $(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}, 1)$ .

(2) One uses the decomposition (4.2) of a  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion for  $n = 2$ . Indeed,  $(\sqrt{2}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}(x)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)}, \sqrt{2\eta}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}(y)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(y,y)})$  and  $(\phi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(1), \phi_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\eta))$  are two Gaussian vectors with the same distribution, with covariance matrix given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2\eta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.8}$$

Moreover, the couple  $(\sqrt{2}L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)}, \sqrt{2\eta}L^y(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(y,y)})$  is distributed as  $(\rho(1), \rho(\eta))$ , a two-dimensional marginal of a Bessel process of dimension  $\beta + 1$ . The latter can be seen using the moments, that characterize the finite-dimensional marginals of the Bessel process  $\rho$ . In both cases those are  $(\beta + 1)/2$ -permanents, with coefficients given by the matrix (5.8).

(3) This follows by expanding

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}(x_k) + \sqrt{L^{x_k}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{\nu_k} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}(x_k) - \sqrt{L^{x_k}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})}\right)^{\nu_k} \tag{5.9}$$

for every  $k \in \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ . In this decomposition only the integer powers of  $L^{x_k}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})$  survive cancellation. The moments of  $(\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}(x_k))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$  give rise to the Wick part in  $\hat{P}_{\nu,\beta}$  (sums over partitions in pairs). The moments of  $(L^{x_k}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$  give rise to the permanent part in  $\hat{P}_{\nu,\beta}$ .

(4) The GFF  $\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}$  satisfies the BFS-Dynkin isomorphism; see [3, Theorem 2.2], [8, Theorems 6.1, 6.2], and [9, Theorem 1]. Moreover, there is a version of BFS-Dynkin isomorphism for the occupation field  $L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})$  obtained by applying Palm's identity to Poisson point processes; see [23, Theorem 1.3] and [24, Sections 3.4, 4.3]. More precisely, for any  $y_1, \dots, y_r \in V$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^r L^{y_i}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}) F(L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}))\right] = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ permutation} \\ \text{of } \{1,2,\dots,r\}}} \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\#\text{cycles of } \sigma} \int_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r} \mathbb{E}\left[F(L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}) + L(\gamma_1) + \dots + L(\gamma_r))\right] \prod_{i=1}^r \mu_{\mathcal{G},K}^{y_i, y_{\sigma(i)}}(d\gamma_i).$$

Further, by expanding (5.9) for  $k \in \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ , we get that  $\prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k))$  is actually a polynomial in the variables  $(\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}(x_k))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$  and  $(L^{x_k}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}))_{1 \leq k \leq m(\nu)}$ , the non-integer powers of  $L^{x_k}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})$  cancelling out. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}^2 + L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Since the fields  $\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}$  and  $L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})$  are independent, one gets (5.5) by combining the BFS-Dynkin isomorphism for  $\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}$  and the BFS-Dynkin isomorphism for  $L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})$ .

(5) Recall that for all three matrix spaces considered,  $\beta + 2$  is the dimension. Given  $(M(x))_{x \in V}$  a matrix field distributed according to (5.6),  $M_0(x)$  will denote the matrix  $M(x) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(M(x))\mathbf{I}_2$ , where  $\mathbf{I}_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix, so that  $\text{Tr}(M_0(x)) = 0$ . Since the hyperplane of zero trace matrices is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{I}_2$  for the inner product  $(A, B) \mapsto \text{Re}(\text{Tr}(AB))$ , we get that  $(M_0(x))_{x \in V}$  and  $(\text{Tr}(M(x)))_{x \in V}$  are independent. Moreover,  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Tr}(M(x)))_{x \in V}$  is distributed as the scalar GFF (5.2). As for  $(\text{Tr}(M(x)^2))_{x \in V}$ , on one hand it is the sum of  $\beta + 2$  i.i.d. squares of scalar GFFs (5.2) corresponding to the entries of the matrices. On the other hand,

$$\text{Tr}(M(x)^2) = \text{Tr}(M_0(x)^2) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(M(x))^2.$$

So  $(\text{Tr}(M_0(x)^2))_{x \in V}$  is distributed as the sum of  $\beta + 1$  i.i.d. squares of scalar GFFs (5.2). So in particular, this is the same distributions as for  $(2L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}))_{x \in V}$ . Finally, the eigenvalues of  $M(x)$  are

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(M(x)) \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\text{Tr}(M_0(x)^2)}.$$

(6) The eigenvalues of the matrix (5.7) are

$$\frac{\phi_1(x) + \phi_2(x)}{2} \pm \sqrt{L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-1}) + (\phi_2(x) - \phi_1(x))^2/4}.$$

$(\phi_1 + \phi_2)/\sqrt{2}$  and  $(\phi_2 - \phi_1)/\sqrt{2}$  are two independent scalar GFFs. Moreover,

$$L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-1}) + \frac{1}{4}(\phi_2 - \phi_1)^2$$

has same distribution as  $L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})$ .

(7) The density of the GFF  $\phi_{\mathcal{G},\tilde{K}}$  with respect to  $\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}$  is

$$\left( \frac{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + \tilde{K})}{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} (\tilde{K}(x) - K(x))\varphi(x)^2 \right).$$

The density of  $L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},\tilde{K}}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})$  with respect to  $L(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})$  is

$$\left( \frac{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + \tilde{K})}{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + K)} \right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( -\sum_{x \in V} (\tilde{K}(x) - K(x))L^x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}) \right),$$

as can be seen from the Laplace transform (5.3). □

**5.3 Further questions**

Here we present our questions that motivated this paper. The first question is combinatorial. We would like to have the polynomials  $P_{\nu,\beta,n}$  given by Definition 4.7 under a more explicit form. The recurrence on polynomials (4.8) is closely related to the Schwinger-Dyson equation (3.3). Its very form suggests that the polynomials  $P_{\nu,\beta,n}$  might be expressible as weighted sums over maps drawn on 2D compact surfaces (not necessarily connected), where the maps associated to  $\nu$  have  $m(\nu)$  vertices with degrees given by  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{m(\nu)}$ , with powers of  $n$  corresponding to the number of faces. This is indeed the case for  $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ , and this corresponds to the topological expansion of matrix integrals [2, 14, 28, 25].

**Question 5.3.** Is there a more explicit expression for the polynomials  $P_{\nu,\beta,n}$ ? Can they be expressed as weighted sums over the maps on 2D surfaces (topological expansion)?

The second question is whether there is a natural generalization of Gaussian beta ensembles and  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion to electrical networks. For  $n = 2$ , such a generalization was given in Section 5.2.

**Question 5.4.** We are in the setting of an electrical network  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  endowed with a killing measure  $K$ , as in Section 5.2. Given  $n \geq 3$  and  $\beta > -\frac{2}{n}$ , is there a distribution on the fields  $(\lambda(x) = (\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x), \dots, \lambda_n(x)))_{x \in V}$ , with  $\lambda_1(x) > \lambda_2(x) > \dots > \lambda_n(x)$ , satisfying the following properties?

1. For  $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ ,  $\lambda$  is distributed as the fields of ordered eigenvalues in a GFF with values into  $n \times n$  matrices, real symmetric ( $\beta = 1$ ), complex Hermitian ( $\beta = 2$ ), resp. quaternionic Hermitian ( $\beta = 4$ ).
2. For  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\lambda$  is obtained by reordering  $n$  i.i.d. scalar GFFs (5.2).
3. As  $\beta \rightarrow -\frac{2}{n}$ ,  $\lambda$  converges in law to

$$\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\phi_{\mathcal{G},K} \right),$$

where  $\phi_{\mathcal{G},K}$  is a scalar GFF (5.2).

4. For every  $x \in V$ ,  $\lambda(x)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)}$  is distributed, up to reordering, as the  $\beta\beta E$  (3.1).
5. For every  $x, y \in V$ , the couple  $(\sqrt{2}\lambda(x)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x,x)}, \sqrt{2\eta}\lambda(y)/\sqrt{G_{\mathcal{G},K}(y,y)})$ , with  $\eta$  given by (5.4), is distributed as the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion (4.1) at points 1 and  $\eta$ .
6. The fields  $p_1(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda)$  are independent.
7. The field  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}p_1(\lambda)$  is distributed as a scalar GFF (5.2).
8. The field  $\frac{1}{2}(p_2(\lambda) - \frac{1}{n}p_1(\lambda)^2)$  is the  $\alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ -permanental field with kernel  $G_{\mathcal{G},K}$ , where  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}d(\beta, n)$ , and in particular is distributed as the occupation field of the continuous-time random walk loop soup  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}$ .
9. The field  $\frac{1}{2}p_2(\lambda)$  is the  $\alpha$ -permanental field with kernel  $G_{\mathcal{G},K}$ , where  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}d(\beta, n)$ , and in particular is distributed as the occupation field of the continuous-time random walk loop soup  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},K}^\alpha$  (already implied by (6)+(7)+(8)).
10. The symmetric moments

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{m(\nu)} p_{\nu_k}(\lambda(x_k)) \right\rangle_{\beta,n}^{\mathcal{G},K}$$

are linear combination of products

$$\prod_{1 \leq k \leq k' \leq m(\nu)} G_{\mathcal{G},K}(x_k, x_{k'})^{a_{kk'}}$$

with  $a_{kk'} \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $k \in \llbracket 1, m(\nu) \rrbracket$ ,

$$2a_{kk} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq m(\nu) \\ k' \neq k}} a_{kk'} = \nu_k,$$

the coefficients of the linear combination being universal polynomials in  $\beta$  and  $n$ , not depending on the electrical network and its parameters; see also Question 5.3.

11. Given  $\tilde{K} \in \mathbb{R}_+^V$ , non-uniformly zero, and  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n)$  the field associated to the killing measure  $\tilde{K}$  instead of  $K$ , the law of  $\tilde{\lambda}$  has the following density with respect to that of  $\lambda$ :

$$\left( \frac{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + \tilde{K})}{\det(-\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} + K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}d(\beta, n)} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} (\tilde{K}(x) - K(x)) p_2(\lambda(x)) \right).$$

12.  $\lambda$  satisfies a BFS-Dynkin type isomorphism with continuous time random walks (already implied by (10)+(11)).

If the graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is a tree, the natural generalization  $\lambda$  of the  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion is straightforward to construct, at least for  $\beta \geq 0$ . In absence of cycles,  $\lambda$  satisfies a Markov property, and along each branch of the tree one has the values of a  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion at different positions. On the random walk loop soup side, (8) and (9) is ensured by the covariance of the loop soups under the rewiring of graphs; see [22, Chapter 7]. Constructing  $\lambda$  on a tree for  $\beta \in (-\frac{2}{n}, 0)$  is a matter of constructing the corresponding  $\beta$ -Dyson's Brownian motion. However, if the graph  $\mathcal{G}$  contains cycles, constructing  $\lambda$  is not immediate, and we have not encountered such a construction in the literature. One does not expect a Markov property, since already for  $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$  one has to take into account the angular part of the matrices.

### Appendix: A list of moments for $\mathbf{G}\beta\mathbf{E}$ and the corresponding formal polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_1(\lambda)^2 \rangle_{\beta, n} &= n, \\ P_{(1,1)} &= nY_{11}\check{Y}_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_2(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta, n} &= \frac{\beta}{2}n^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n = d(\beta, n), \\ P_{(2)} &= \left(\frac{\beta}{2}n^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n\right)Y_{11} = d(\beta, n)Y_{11}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_1(\lambda)^4 \rangle_{\beta, n} &= 3n^2, \\ P_{(1,1,1,1)} &= n^2Y_{11}\check{Y}_{12}Y_{33}\check{Y}_{34} + 2n^2Y_{11}\check{Y}_{12}Y_{22}\check{Y}_{23}^2\check{Y}_{34}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_2(\lambda)p_1(\lambda)^2 \rangle_{\beta, n} &= \frac{\beta}{2}n^3 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2 + 2n, \\ P_{(2,1,1)} &= \left(\frac{\beta}{2}n^3 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2\right)Y_{11}Y_{22}\check{Y}_{23} + 2nY_{11}^2\check{Y}_{12}^2\check{Y}_{23}, \\ P_{(1,2,1)} &= \left(\frac{\beta}{2}n^3 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2 + 2n\right)Y_{11}\check{Y}_{12}Y_{22}\check{Y}_{23}, \\ P_{(1,1,2)} &= \left(\frac{\beta}{2}n^3 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2\right)Y_{11}\check{Y}_{12}Y_{33} + 2nY_{11}\check{Y}_{12}Y_{22}\check{Y}_{23}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_2(\lambda)^2 \rangle_{\beta,n} &= \frac{\beta^2}{4}n^4 + 2\frac{\beta}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^3 \\ &\quad + \left(\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^2 + 2\frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2 + 2\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n \\ &= d(\beta, n)(d(\beta, n) + 2), \\ P_{(2,2)} &= \left(\frac{\beta^2}{4}n^4 + 2\frac{\beta}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^3 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^2 n^2\right)Y_{11}Y_{22} \\ &\quad + \left(2\frac{\beta}{2}n^2 + 2\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n\right)Y_{11}^2\check{Y}_{12}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_3(\lambda)p_1(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n} &= 3\frac{\beta}{2}n^2 + 3\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n, \\ P_{(3,1)} &= \left(3\frac{\beta}{2}n^2 + 3\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n\right)Y_{11}^2\check{Y}_{12}, \\ P_{(1,3)} &= \left(3\frac{\beta}{2}n^2 + 3\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n\right)Y_{11}\check{Y}_{12}Y_{22}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_4(\lambda) \rangle_{\beta,n} &= 2\frac{\beta^2}{4}n^3 + 5\frac{\beta}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2 + \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + 3\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^2\right)n, \\ P_{(4)} &= \left(2\frac{\beta^2}{4}n^3 + 5\frac{\beta}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2 + \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + 3\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^2\right)n\right)Y_{11}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_3(\lambda)^2 \rangle_{\beta,n} &= 12\frac{\beta^2}{4}n^3 + 27\frac{\beta}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2 + \left(3\frac{\beta}{2} + 15\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^2\right)n, \\ P_{(3,3)} &= 9\left(\frac{\beta^2}{4}n^3 + 2\frac{\beta}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^2 n\right)Y_{11}^2\check{Y}_{12}Y_{22} \\ &\quad + 3\left(\frac{\beta^2}{4}n^3 + 3\frac{\beta}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)n^2 + \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + 2\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^2\right)n\right)Y_{11}^3\check{Y}_{12}^3. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] Anderson, G. W., Guionnet, A., and Zeitouni, O.: *An introduction to random matrices*, vol. 118 of *Camb. Stud. Adv. Math.*, Cambridge University Press, 2009. MR-2760897
- [2] Brézin, E., Itzykson, C., Parisi, G., and Zuber, J.-B.: Planar diagrams. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **59**, (1978), 35–51. MR-0471676
- [3] Brydges, D., Fröhlich, J., and Spencer, T.: The random walk representation of classical spin systems and correlation inequalities. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **83**, (1982), 123–150. MR-0648362
- [4] Cépa, E., and Lépingle, D.: Diffusing particles with electrostatic repulsion. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **107**, (1997), 429–449. MR-1440140
- [5] Cépa, E., and Lépingle, D.: No multiple collisions for mutually repelling Brownian particles. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XL*, C. Donati-Martin, M. Émery, A. Rouault, and C. Stricker, Eds., vol. 1899 of *Lect. Notes Math.*, Springer, 2007, pp. 241–246. MR-2409009
- [6] Chan, T.: The Wigner semi-circle law and eigenvalues of matrix-valued diffusions. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **93**, (1992), 249–272. MR-1176727
- [7] Dumitriu, I., and Edelman, A.: Matrix models for beta ensembles. *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, (2002), 5830–5847. MR-1936554
- [8] Dynkin, E.: Gaussian and non-Gaussian random fields associated with Markov processes. *J. Funct. Anal.* **55**, (1984), 344–376. MR-0734803
- [9] Dynkin, E.: Local times and quantum fields. In *Seminar on Stochastic Processes, Gainesville 1983*, vol. 7 of *Prog. Probab. Stat.*, Birkhauser, 1984, pp. 69–84. MR-0902412
- [10] Dyson, F. J.: A Brownian-motion model for the eigenvalues of a random matrix. *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, (1962), 1191–1198. MR-0148397

- [11] Eynard, B., Kimura, T., and Ribault, S.: Random matrices. arXiv:1510.04430, 2018.
- [12] Fitzsimmons, P. J., and Rosen, J.: Markovian loop soups: permanent processes and isomorphism theorems. *Electron. J. Probab.* **19**, (2014), 1–30. MR-3238780
- [13] Forrester, P.: *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, 1st ed. Oxford Handbooks. Oxford University Press, 2015, ch. 20 Beta ensembles, pp. 415–432. MR-2932640
- [14] Itzykson, C., and Zuber, J.-B.: The planar approximation. II. *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, (1980), 411–421. MR-0562985
- [15] La Croix, M.: *The combinatorics of the Jack parameter and the genus series for topological maps*. PhD thesis, University of Waterloo, 2009. MR-2714192
- [16] La Croix, M.:  $\beta$ -Gaussian ensembles and the non-orientability of polygonal glueings. Slides available on author's webpage <http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~malacroix/>, 2013.
- [17] Lawler, G. F.: Notes on the Bessel process. Notes available on author's webpage <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~lawler/bessel18new.pdf>, 2019.
- [18] Lawler, G. F., and Limic, V.: *Random walk: a modern introduction*, vol. 123 of *Camb. Stud. Adv. Math.*, Cambridge University Press, 2010. MR-2677157
- [19] Lawler, G. F., and Trujillo-Ferreras, J. A.: Random walk loop soup. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359**, (2007), 767–787. MR-2255196
- [20] Lawler, G. F., and Werner, W.: The Brownian loop soup. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **128**, (2004), 565–588. MR-2045953
- [21] Le Jan, Y.: Markov loops and renormalization. *Ann. Probab.* **38**, (2010), 1280–1319. MR-2675000
- [22] Le Jan, Y.: Markov paths, loops and fields. In *2008 St-Flour summer school*, vol. 2026 of *Lect. Notes Math.*, Springer, 2011. MR-2815763
- [23] Le Jan, Y., Marcus, M. B., and Rosen, J.: Permanent fields, loop soups and continuous additive functionals. *Ann. Probab.* **43**, (2015), 44–84. MR-3298468
- [24] Lupu, T.: *Poisson ensembles of loops of one-dimensional diffusions*, vol. 158 of *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr.*, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2018. MR-3865570
- [25] Lupu, T.: Topological expansion in isomorphism theorems between matrix-valued fields and random walks. To appear in *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, arXiv:1908.06732, 2021.
- [26] Marcus, M. B., and Rosen, J.: *Markov processes, Gaussian processes and local times*, vol. 100 of *Camb. Stud. Adv. Math.*, Cambridge University Press, 2006. MR-2250510
- [27] Mehta, M. L.: *Random Matrices*, 3rd ed., vol. 142 of *Pure Appl. Math.*, Academic Press, 2004. MR-2129906
- [28] Mulase, M., and Waldron, A.: Duality of orthogonal and symplectic matrix integrals and quaternionic Feynman graphs. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **240**, (2003), 553–586. MR-2005857
- [29] Revuz, D., and Yor, M.: *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, 3rd ed., vol. 293 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.*, Springer, 1999. MR-1725357
- [30] Rogers, L., and Shi, Z.: Interacting Brownian particles and the Wigner law. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **95**, (1993), 555–570. MR-1217451
- [31] Sznitman, A.-S.: *Topics in occupation times and Gaussian free field*. *Zur. Lect. Adv. Math.*, European Mathematical Society, 2012. MR-2932978
- [32] Symanzik, K.: *Euclidean quantum field theory I: Equations for a scalar model*. New York University, 1965. MR-0187686
- [33] Symanzik, K.: Euclidean quantum field theory I. Equations for a scalar model. *J. Math. Phys.* **7**, (1966), 510–525. MR-0187686
- [34] Symanzik, K.: Euclidean quantum field theory. In *Scuola internazionale di Fisica Enrico Fermi. XLV Corso.*, Academic Press, 1969, pp. 152–223.
- [35] Yor, M.: *Some aspects of Brownian motion. Part II: Some recent martingale problems*, 1st ed. *Lectures in Mathematics, ETH Zürich*, Springer, 1997. MR-1442263

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks Guillaume Chapuy and Jérémie Bouttier for discussions and references on the beta ensembles. The author thanks Yves Le Jan and Wendelin Werner for their feedback on the preliminary version of the article.



---

# Electronic Journal of Probability

## Electronic Communications in Probability

---

### Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)
- Secure publication (LOCKSS<sup>1</sup>)
- Easy interface (EJMS<sup>2</sup>)

### Economical model of EJP-ECP

- Non profit, sponsored by IMS<sup>3</sup>, BS<sup>4</sup>, ProjectEuclid<sup>5</sup>
- Purely electronic

### Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund<sup>6</sup> (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

---

<sup>1</sup>LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe <http://www.lockss.org/>

<sup>2</sup>EJMS: Electronic Journal Management System <http://www.vtex.lt/en/ejms.html>

<sup>3</sup>IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics <http://www.imstat.org/>

<sup>4</sup>BS: Bernoulli Society <http://www.bernoulli-society.org/>

<sup>5</sup>Project Euclid: <https://projecteuclid.org/>

<sup>6</sup>IMS Open Access Fund: <http://www.imstat.org/publications/open.htm>