

RAD54 is essential for RAD51-mediated repair of meiotic DSB in Arabidopsis

Miguel Hernandez Sanchez-Rebato, Alida Bouatta, Maria E. Gallego, Charles White, Olivier da Ines

▶ To cite this version:

Miguel Hernandez Sanchez-Rebato, Alida Bouatta, Maria E. Gallego, Charles White, Olivier da Ines. RAD54 is essential for RAD51-mediated repair of meiotic DSB in Arabidopsis. 2020. hal-02994937

HAL Id: hal-02994937 https://hal.science/hal-02994937

Preprint submitted on 8 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Manuscript Title:

2	
3	RAD54 is essential for RAD51-mediated repair of meiotic DSB in Arabidopsis.
4	
5	
6	Miguel Hernandez Sanchez-Rebato, Alida M. Bouatta, Maria E. Gallego, Charles I. White*
7	and Olivier Da Ines*.
8	
9	Institut Génétique Reproduction et Développement (GReD), Université Clermont Auvergne, UMR 6293
10	CNRS, U1103 INSERM, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France
11	
12	
13	Short title: RAD51 and RAD54 in meiotic recombination in Arabidopsis
14	
15	
16	*Corresponding author: Email: <u>Olivier.da_ines@uca.fr;</u> Email: <u>charles.white@uca.fr</u>
17	
18	
19	
20	

21 Abstract

22 An essential component of the homologous recombination machinery in eukaryotes. 23 the RAD54 protein is a member of the SWI2/SNF2 family of helicases with dsDNA-dependent 24 ATPase, DNA translocase, DNA supercoiling and chromatin remodelling activities. It is a motor 25 protein that translocates along dsDNA and performs multiple functions in homologous 26 recombination. In particular, RAD54 is an essential cofactor for regulating RAD51 activity. It 27 stabilizes the RAD51 nucleofilament, remodels nucleosomes, and stimulates homology search 28 and strand invasion activity of RAD51. Accordingly, deletion of RAD54 has dramatic 29 consequences on DNA damage repair in mitotic cells. In contrast, its role in meiotic 30 recombination is less clear.

31 RAD54 is essential for meiotic recombination in Drosophila and C. elegans, but plays 32 minor roles in yeast and mammals. We present here characterization of the roles of RAD54 in 33 meiotic recombination in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana. Absence of RAD54 has no 34 detectable effect on meiotic recombination in otherwise wild-type plants but RAD54 becomes 35 essential for meiotic DSB repair in absence of DMC1. In Arabidopsis, *dmc1* mutants have an 36 achiasmate meiosis, in which RAD51 repairs meiotic DSBs. Absence of RAD54 in dmc1 37 mutants leads to meiotic chromosomal fragmentation. The action of RAD54 in meiotic RAD51 activity is thus downstream of the role of RAD51 in supporting the activity of DMC1. Equivalent 38 39 analyses show no effect on meiosis of combining dmc1 with the mutants of the RAD51-40 mediators RAD51B, RAD51D and XRCC2.

RAD54 is thus required for repair of meiotic DSBs by RAD51 and the absence of
meiotic phenotype in *rad54* plants is a consequence of RAD51 playing a RAD54-independent
supporting role to DMC1 in meiotic recombination.

- 44
- 45
- 46

47 Author Summary

48 Homologous recombination is a universal pathway which repairs broken DNA molecules 49 through the use of homologous DNA templates. It is both essential for maintenance of genome 50 stability and for the generation of genetic diversity through sexual reproduction. A central step 51 of the homologous recombination process is the search for and invasion of a homologous 52 intact DNA sequence that will be used as template. This key step is catalysed by the RAD51 53 recombinase in somatic cells and RAD51 and DMC1 in meiotic cells, assisted by a number of 54 associated factors. Among these, the chromatin-remodelling protein RAD54 is a required 55 cofactor for RAD51 in mitotic cells. Understanding of its role during meiotic recombination 56 however remains elusive. We show here that RAD54 is required for repair of meiotic double 57 strand breaks by RAD51 in the plant Arabidopsis thaliana, and this function is downstream of 58 the meiotic role of RAD51 in supporting the activity of DMC1. These results provide new 59 insights into the regulation of the central step of homologous recombination in plants and very 60 probably also other multicellular eukaryotes.

62 Introduction

Homologous recombination (HR) is a universally conserved DNA repair mechanism essential for maintaining genomic integrity and ensuring genetic diversity [1, 2]. In somatic cells, HR is used to repair DNA breaks caused by environmental and endogenous factors and is critical in the recovery of stalled and collapsed replication forks. In meiotic cells of the majority of studied eukaryotes, HR is essential for accurate chromosome segregation during the first meiotic division, also generating genetic diversity among meiotic products [3, 4].

69 Homologous recombination is a DNA repair pathway that involves the use of a 70 homologous template for restoration of the original sequence. It is initiated by DNA double-71 strand breaks (DSBs) and subsequent resection of the 5'-ended strands of the DSB. 72 generating long 3' single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) overhangs [5]. The ssDNA overhangs are 73 further coated by replication protein A (RPA) protecting them from nucleases and removing 74 secondary structures. In a subsequent step, RPA is displaced by the recombinase RAD51 in 75 somatic cells, or RAD51 and DMC1 in meiotic cells, forming a right-handed helical 76 nucleofilament on the exposed single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) flanking the DSB [6, 7]. This 77 helical nucleofilament performs the homology search and catalyses the invasion of a 78 homologous DNA template sequence by the 3'-ended DNA strands, which are then extended 79 through DNA synthesis. The resulting joint recombination intermediate can be processed 80 through several different pathways eventually leading to separation of the recombining DNA 81 molecules and restoration of chromosome integrity [1, 2].

The nucleoprotein filament is the active protein machinery for DNA homology search and strand exchange during HR. In somatic cells, the nucleoprotein filament is formed by the RAD51 recombinase. The *in vivo* assembly and disassembly of the RAD51 nucleoprotein filament is a highly dynamic process, regulated via the coordinated actions of various positive and negative factors, and notably, the RAD51 mediators [8, 9]. These proteins, involved in the regulation of the formation, stability and activity of the RAD51 nucleofilament, include the RAD51 paralogues and the SHU complex that are known to be essential RAD51 positive

89 regulators (for reviews see [8-11]. The RAD51 paralogues are important for homologous recombination and DNA repair in somatic cells [9, 12]. In contrast, clear understanding of their 90 91 roles during meiosis remains elusive. Budding yeast has two RAD51 paralogues, Rad55 and 92 Rad57, which form a heterodimer, and are essential for meiotic recombination [13-15] and 4 93 Shu proteins (Psy3, Csm2, Shu1 and Shu3) forming the Shu/PCSS complex that is also 94 required for Rad51 filament assembly and meiotic recombination [16]. Vertebrates, like 95 Arabidopsis thaliana, have five RAD51 paralogues (in addition to DMC1): RAD51B, RAD51C, 96 RAD51D, XRCC2 and XRCC3 which form different complexes [8-11]. Vertebrate mutants for 97 any of the RAD51 paralogues are embryonic lethal and this has hampered the study of their 98 meiotic phenotypes. Nevertheless, a number of studies have demonstrated that RAD51C and 99 XRCC3 are essential for meiotic recombination both in vertebrates and plants [17-27]. In 100 contrast, the possible meiotic roles of RAD51B, RAD51D and XRCC2 are less clearly 101 understood. These three genes are highly expressed in meiotic tissues in animals [28-30] and 102 plants [31-33]. In humans, mutation in XRCC2 has been linked to meiotic arrest, azoospermia 103 and infertility [34] and absence of RAD51B or RAD51D lead to meiotic defects in the moss 104 *Physcomitrella patens* and rice, respectively [35-37]. The Arabidopsis *xrcc2* mutant and, to a 105 lesser extent rad51b, have been associated with increased meiotic recombination rates, but 106 all three mutants are fully fertile and present no detectable meiotic defects [24, 38-40]. 107 Vertebrate genomes also encode two Shu-related proteins, SWS1-SWSAP1, which form a 108 complex dispensable for mouse viability but essential for meiotic progression [41]. To date, 109 Shu proteins have not been identified in plants.

110 RAD51 nucleofilament activity is further supported by the highly conserved RAD54 111 protein, which belongs to the SWI2/SNF2 DNA helicase family. It is a dsDNA-dependent 112 ATPase that uses energy from ATP hydrolysis to translocate along dsDNA. It is thus a motor 113 protein and performs multiple functions in homologous recombination. In particular, RAD54 is 114 an essential cofactor stimulating RAD51 activity. It has been shown to stabilize the RAD51 115 nucleofilament, remodel nucleosomes, stimulate homology search and strand invasion activity 116 of RAD51, dissociate bound RAD51 after completion of strand exchange and even to catalyse branch migration [42-44]. Accordingly, deletion of RAD54 has dramatic consequences on DNA

118 damage repair in mitotic cells (For reviews see [42-44]).

119 The role of RAD54 in meiotic recombination is less clear. In Drosophila and C. elegans, 120 which exclusively rely on RAD51 (not DMC1), RAD54 is essential for meiotic recombination 121 [45-47]. Yet, in most eukaryotes, meiotic HR is mediated by RAD51 and the meiosis-specific 122 DMC1 [6, 48]. Interestingly however, while RAD51 is essential for homology search and strand 123 invasion in mitotic cells, it only plays an accessory role for DMC1 in meiosis [49, 50]. Thus, 124 DMC1 is the active meiotic recombinase but requires the support of RAD51 to function [49, 125 50]. Accordingly, data from budding yeast have demonstrated that Rad51 activity is 126 downregulated during meiosis to favour Dmc1 catalysing DNA strand-exchange using the 127 homologous chromosome as a template [49, 51-54].

128 In yeast, down-regulation of Rad51 activity is mediated by the coordinated 129 phosphorylation of Hed1 and the Rad51-cofactor Rad54 by the meiosis-specific kinase Mek1 130 [51-57]. Hed1 is a meiosis-specific protein that binds to Rad51, impeding access of Rad54 and 131 thereby restricting activity of Rad51 nucleofilaments in meiosis [52, 55, 56, 58]. 132 Phosphorylation of Rad54 by Mek1 also reduces its affinity for Rad51 [51, 59]. Thus, both 133 pathways downregulate Rad51 through inhibition of Rad51-Rad54 complex formation and this 134 in turns favour Dmc1-dependent inter-homologue recombination. In accordance with this 135 down-regulation, Rad54 is also not essential for Dmc1 activity and plays a relatively minor role 136 in meiotic recombination in budding yeast [60-66]. This is however due to the presence of a 137 second, Dmc1-specific Rad54 homologue, Rdh54/Tid1 [62, 64-66]. Biochemical and genetic 138 experiments have demonstrated that Rdh54 preferentially acts with Dmc1 to promote inter-139 homologue recombination whereas Rad54 preferentially stimulates Rad51-mediated strand 140 invasion for sister chromatid repair of excess DSBs [60, 61, 64, 67, 68].

141

142 In mouse, two RAD54 homologues, RAD54 and RAD54B, have been identified. Both 143 are required for somatic recombination but neither is essential for meiotic recombination as 144 single and double mutant mice are fertile, although RAD54 may be needed for normal

145 distribution of RAD51 on meiotic chromosomes [69, 70]. To date in plants, only one RAD54 146 orthologue has been characterized (Arabidopsis locus AT3G19210). As in yeast and 147 mammals, Arabidopsis RAD54 is essential for RAD51-mediated recombination in somatic 148 cells. Absence of RAD54 leads to DNA damage hypersensitivity, strong reduction in 149 homologous recombination efficiency and defects in pairing of homologous loci following DSB 150 formation [71-76]. However, beyond the fact that Arabidopsis rad54 plants are fertile, a role for 151 RAD54 in Arabidopsis meiotic recombination has not been assessed. Given its essential role 152 in RAD51-nucleofilament activity and its expression in meiocytes [32, 33] we hypothesized that 153 RAD54 may also play an important role in meiotic recombination in plants.

154 Here, we present a detailed analysis of RAD54 function in meiotic recombination in 155 Arabidopsis. Our data show that absence of RAD54 has no detectable effect on meiotic 156 recombination in otherwise wild-type plants, but that RAD54 becomes essential for meiotic 157 DSB repair in absence of DMC1. In Arabidopsis dmc1 mutants, RAD51 repairs meiotic DSBs 158 but does not produce chiasmata and absence of RAD54 in *dmc1* mutants leads to massive 159 chromosome fragmentation (a "rad51-like" phenotype). RAD51 immunolocalization confirms 160 that meiotic RAD51 nucleofilaments are formed (but non-productive) in dmc1 rad54 double 161 mutants. Strikingly, similar analyses show no effect on meiosis of combining *dmc1* with the 162 mutants of the RAD51-mediators RAD51B, RAD51D and XRCC2.

Altogether our data demonstrate that RAD54 is required for RAD51-dependent repair of meiotic DSBs in Arabidopsis in the absence of DMC1. The absence of detectable meiotic phenotype in *rad54* plants is thus a consequence of RAD51 playing only a supporting, noncatalytic role in meiotic recombination and this role is RAD54-independent. Our findings have several interesting implications for the regulation of the strand invasion step during meiotic recombination in Arabidopsis, which are further discussed.

169

171 **Results**

172 RAD54 is essential for somatic DNA repair

173 RAD54 is instrumental for homologous recombination in both mitotic and meiotic cells in many 174 organisms (see above). In plants, previous analyses have also demonstrated a role of RAD54 175 in RAD51-mediated DSB repair in somatic cells, while the observation that rad54-1 176 Arabidopsis mutant plants are fertile showed that the RAD54 protein does not play an essential 177 role in Arabidopsis meiosis [71-76]. However, the existence of more subtle evidence for meiotic 178 roles of RAD54 has not yet been assessed in plants. In addition to using the previously 179 characterised rad54-1 allele, we have characterised a second RAD54 T-DNA insertion allele 180 (SALK 124992), which we have named rad54-2 (Figure 1A). The exact genomic structure of 181 the T-DNA insertion in the rad54-2 allele was verified by PCR and sequencing (Figure 1A) and 182 homozygous mutant lines were analysed by RT-PCR to confirm the absence of the respective 183 transcripts (Figure 1B). In rad54-2, the T-DNA is inserted in exon 4 of the RAD54 gene. This 184 insertion is flanked by T-DNA LB sequences in opposite orientations and is associated with a 185 deletion of 11 bp of the RAD54 exon 4 sequence (Figure 1A). No transcript was detected with 186 primers spanning the T-DNA insertion site, confirming the absence of full-length transcript 187 (Figure 1B), although as commonly observed in the insertions, a transcript could be detected 188 in rad54-2 downstream of the T-DNA insertion. Sequence analysis showed that an in-frame 189 stop codon is present in the upstream T-DNA left border, 24 bp after the chromosome-T-DNA 190 junction (Figure 1A). Thus, a protein of the first 285 amino acids (out of 910) of RAD54 fused 191 to 8 amino acids translated from the first 24 nt of the T-DNA LB could potentially be expressed 192 from the rad54-2 allele. If present, this protein would lack all of the described essential domains 193 for RAD54 activity.

The *rad54-1* and *rad54-2* plants were used to confirm the role of RAD54 in DSB repair and homologous recombination in somatic cells by testing the sensitivity of the mutants to the DNA damaging agent Mitomycin C (MMC; Figure 1C-D). MMC is known to form DNA interstrand cross-link adducts, which produce DNA strand breaks *in vivo*. The importance of homologous

recombination in the repair of DNA cross-links has led to the use of MMC hypersensitivity as a test for HR capacity in a number of organisms. In Arabidopsis, this is seen in the MMC hypersensitivity of many homologous recombination-deficient mutants [24, 26, 50, 74, 77]. As previously shown, *rad54-1* plants display clear hypersensitivity to MMC [74] (Figure 1C and D). MMC hypersensitivity is also seen in *rad54-2* plants, particularly visible at MMC doses of 30 and 40 μ M (Figure 1C and D) and confirming the importance of RAD54 in homologous recombination in somatic cells.

205

206 Absence of RAD54 does not affect meiotic progression

207 Meiotic defects are usually reflected in reduced fertility and thus in a reduction in seed number 208 in Arabidopsis [78]. We thus monitored number of seeds per silique in our two rad54 mutant 209 lines and found, as expected, no fertility defects in either rad54-1 or rad54-2 (Figure S1). The 210 mean seed number per silique was 56 seeds per silique for both rad54-1 (n = 40 siliques) and 211 rad54-2 (n = 80), while wild-type siliques contained on average 58 seeds per silique (n = 40 212 for RAD54-1 and n = 60 for RAD54-2) (Figure S1). These small differences are not statistically 213 significant (p > 0.05; unpaired, two-tailed Mann-Whitney test). In agreement with previous 214 results [74], this confirms that RAD54 is not instrumental for meiosis in plants, notwithstanding 215 its importance in somatic recombination. This conclusion was further supported through 216 cytogenetic analyses of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) stained chromosomes through 217 male meiosis. Wild-type Arabidopsis meiosis has been well described and the major stages 218 are shown in Figure 2. During prophase I, meiotic chromosomes condense, pair, recombine 219 and undergo synapsis. Full synapsis of homologues is seen at pachytene (Figure 2A). 220 Chromosomes further condense and five bivalents (two homologous chromosomes attached 221 by sister chromatid cohesion and chiasmata) are visible at metaphase I (Figure 2B). Each 222 chromosome then separates from its homologue, leading to the formation of two groups of five 223 chromosomes easily visualised at metaphase II (Figure 2C). Meiosis II proceeds and gives 224 rise to 4 balanced haploid nuclei (Figure 2D). In rad54 mutants, meiotic stages appear

indistinguishable from the wild-type, resulting in the expected 4 haploid meiotic products

226 (Figure 2E to L). Thus, meiotic progression is not affected by absence of RAD54.

227

228 Absence of RAD54 does not affect crossover recombination rate and interference

229 We next sought to analyse more closely the impact of RAD54 on meiotic recombination by 230 measuring meiotic CO rates in genetic intervals marked by transgenes encoding fluorescent 231 marker proteins expressed in pollen (FTLs; [79, 80]). Combined with mutation of the 232 QUARTET1 gene (grt) which prevents separation of the four pollen grains [81], these FTL lines 233 permit direct measurement of recombination between the linked fluorescent markers by 234 scoring tetrad pollen fluorescence [79, 80]. We determined CO rates in two adjacent intervals 235 on chromosomes 1 (I1b and I1c) and 2 (I2f and I2g) in wild-type and rad54-2 mutant plants. In 236 wild-type plants, I1b (1.8 Mb) spans 10.3 cM and I1c (4.1 Mb) 22.2 cM (Figure 3 and Table 237 S1). No difference in recombination frequency was observed for either interval in rad54-2 238 mutants with 9 cM and 22.7 cM for I1b and I1c, respectively (Figure 3 and Table S1). Analyses 239 of two additional intervals, I2f (0.7 Mb) and I2g (0.4 Mb), on chromosome 2 confirmed this 240 result, with no significant difference in recombination frequency observed between the wild-241 type and rad54-2 mutants (6.8 cM to 6.9 cM for I2f and 4.3 cM to 4.9 cM for I2g; Figure 3 and 242 Table S1). We obtained similar results for rad54-1 mutant plants with 6.5 cM and 4.7 cM in I2f 243 and I2g, respectively (Supplemental Figure S2 and Table S1). In accordance with these 244 results, we found a similar interference ratio (IR) in wild-type plants and rad54 mutants for both 245 intervals (IR I1bc: 0.35 in wild-type and 0.36 in rad54-2; IR I2fg: 0.9 in wild-type, 1 in rad54-1 246 and 1 in rad54-2; p > 0.05, z-test).

Thus, absence of RAD54 does not affect meiotic CO rates in at least 4 different intervals on 2 chromosomes. These results were further confirmed genome-wide through counting chiasmata in metaphase I of wild-type, *rad54-1* and *rad54-2* male meiocytes, which show means of 9.6 (SD = 1.3; n = 19), 9.6 (SD = 1.5; n = 25) and 9.1 (SD=1; n=19) chiasmata per meiosis, respectively (p > 0.05, unpaired two-tailed t-tests).

253 RAD54 is essential for RAD51-dependent repair of meiotic DSB in absence of DMC1

254 These data confirm that RAD54 is not required for meiotic recombination in Arabidopsis, an a 255 priori surprising conclusion given the importance of RAD54 in homologous recombination (see 256 Introduction). Data from budding yeast have shown that RAD54 is not essential for meiotic 257 recombination in presence of DMC1 and the DMC1-specific RAD54 homologue Rdh54 (Tid1) 258 [51, 61, 64-66]. Instead, interaction of RAD54 with RAD51 is constrained during meiotic 259 recombination in yeast and this represents a key point in the mechanisms leading to 260 downregulation of RAD51 activity in meiosis [51, 58]. The RAD51-RAD54 pathway however 261 becomes essential for sister chromatid repair in absence of DMC1 [60, 61]. We thus 262 hypothesized that RAD54 may be essential for RAD51-mediated repair of meiotic DSB in 263 Arabidopsis. To test this hypothesis, we analysed meiosis in the absence of DMC1. Meiosis in 264 Arabidopsis dmc1 mutants has been well described [82, 83] and the major stages are 265 summarized in Figure 4. Absence of DMC1 leads to asynapsis and lack of CO. However intact 266 univalents are observed in metaphase I owing to DSB repair by RAD51, most probably using 267 sister chromatid (Figure 4E to H).

Analyses of *dmc1 rad54* double mutants show massive chromosome fragmentation in *dmc1 rad54* double mutants (Figure 4I to P), similar to that seen in *rad51* mutants (Figure 4Q to T). Thus, RAD51-dependent meiotic HR repair indeed depends upon the presence of RAD54 (Figure 4I to P). This effect is confirmed by the significant reduction of fertility caused by the absence of RAD54 in *dmc1* mutant plants (Figure S3). Accordingly, we propose that the absence of meiotic phenotype in *rad54* plants is a consequence of RAD51 playing only a RAD54-independent, non-catalytic role in supporting meiotic recombination by DMC1.

275

276 Absence of RAD54 blocks RAD51 catalytic activity rather than RAD51 focus formation

277 RAD54 is an essential cofactor for regulating RAD51 activity and has been implicated in both 278 early and late steps of the HR pathway (see Introduction). Our data show that RAD54 is 279 required for repair of meiotic DSB by RAD51 in Arabidopsis and the fact that RAD54 is not 280 required in the presence of DMC1 suggests that the RAD54-dependence of RAD51 is 281 downstream of that of the RAD51 nucleofilament in supporting DMC1 activity. To confirm the 282 RAD54-independence of RAD51-nucleofilament formation in meiosis, we quantified meiotic 283 RAD51 focus formation as a proxy for RAD51 nucleofilament formation in these plants. We 284 performed co-immunolocalization of RAD51 and the axis protein, ASY1, in wild-type, rad54, 285 dmc1, and dmc1 rad54 meiocytes and counted the number of RAD51 foci throughout early 286 prophase I (Figure 5). In wild-type meiocytes, we observed a mean of 91 ± 5 RAD51 foci (\pm 287 S.E.M, n = 35). Similar numbers of RAD51 foci were observed in rad54 (88 \pm 6, n = 9) and 288 dmc1 (97 ± 3, n = 50) single mutant plants and importantly, the numbers of RAD51 foci were 289 also unchanged in *dmc1 rad54* double mutants (94 \pm 2, n = 56) (Figure 5). RAD51 290 nucleofilaments are still formed in the *dmc1 rad54* double mutants but are not productive. 291 Hence, RAD54 acts downstream of RAD51 nucleofilament formation and its role must be in 292 the activity of the nucleofilament, presumably in facilitating invasion of the donor DNA duplex 293 [84]. We note also that this result concords with that fact that RAD54 is not needed for the 294 essential role of the RAD51 nucleofilament in supporting DMC1 activity in meiotic HR.

295

RAD51-dependent repair of meiotic DSB does not require RAD51 paralogues RAD51B, RAD51D and XRCC2

298 RAD51 nucleofilament activity is also extensively regulated by the RAD51 paralogues (see 299 Introduction). In Arabidopsis, RAD51C and XRCC3 are essential for meiotic recombination, 300 with absence of either leading to massive chromosome fragmentation [22-24, 26, 27]. In 301 contrast, the roles of RAD51B, RAD51D and XRCC2 in meiosis are less clear and their 302 absence does not lead to any obvious visible meiotic defects [24, 39, 40]. They are however 303 expressed in meiotic tissues [31-33] and we have previously reported an increased meiotic 304 recombination rate in Arabidopsis xrcc2 (and to a lesser extent rad51b) mutants in two genetic 305 intervals [39], suggesting potential roles for these paralogues during meiosis.

It thus appears possible, in analogy to RAD54 (above), that the absence of visible meiotic
phenotype in *rad51b*, *rad51d* or *xrcc2* mutants could simply be a consequence of RAD51
strand-invasion activity not being required for meiotic recombination in the presence of DMC1.

309 We thus sought to test the impact of RAD51 paralogues in RAD51-dependent meiotic DSB 310 repair by analysing meiotic progression in their absence in a *dmc1* mutant background (Figure 311 6). As described above, *dmc1* mutants are characterized by strong synaptic defects and lack 312 of CO (Figure 6A-C). However, meiotic DSB are still repaired as seen in the presence of intact 313 achiasmate univalents at metaphase I (Figure 6B), that segregate randomly at anaphase I 314 (Figure 6C). These analyses did not show any detectable effects of the absence of RAD51B. 315 RAD51D or XRCC2 in the *dmc1* mutant background (Figure 6D to L). In contrast, the expected 316 chromosome fragmentation is observed in xrcc3 mutant meiosis [26] and this is not affected 317 by the additional absence of DMC1 (Figure 6M-O). Thus, despite being expressed in meiotic 318 cells and playing key roles in RAD51 activity in somatic cells, RAD51B, RAD51D and XRCC2 319 are not required for RAD51-dependent meiotic DSB repair in Arabidopsis.

320

321

322 Discussion

323 Here, we provide evidence that Arabidopsis RAD54 is essential for meiotic double-strand 324 break repair mediated by RAD51. This requirement for RAD54 is not observed in the presence 325 of DMC1 as (all?) meiotic DSBs are repaired by DMC1 with RAD51 playing a supporting role 326 to DMC1 in this process [49, 50, 59]. In the absence of DMC1 however, RAD51 catalyses the 327 repair of meiotic DSB, leading to segregation of intact univalent chromosomes at meiotic anaphase I. Thus, absence of Arabidopsis RAD54 has no detectable effect on meiotic 328 329 recombination in otherwise wild-type plants, but becomes essential for RAD51-dependent 330 meiotic DSB repair in the absence of DMC1.

331

That this effect is not simply a reflection of a "mitotic" RAD51-dependent recombination context in *dmc1* meiosis is seen in the results of equivalent analyses with three RAD51 paralogue proteins, XRCC2, RAD51B and RAD51D, essential positive regulators of homologous recombination in somatic cells (reviewed in [9-11]). Mutants of these key RAD51-mediator proteins have no detectable meiotic phenotypes, beyond a mild meiotic hyper-rec phenotype reported for *xrcc2* and *rad51b* plants [24, 39, 40]. We report here that their absence does not visibly alter the meiotic phenotype of *dmc1* plants. Thus, in striking contrast to RAD54, the RAD51 paralogues RAD51B, RAD51D and XRCC2 are not required for RAD51-dependent meiotic DSB repair in Arabidopsis, despite being expressed in meiotic cells and playing key roles in somatic RAD51 activity.

342

343 RAD54 is a required cofactor for RAD51 activity and is thus instrumental for both mitotic and 344 meiotic recombination in organisms lacking the meiosis-specific recombinase DMC1 [45-47]. 345 The role of RAD54 in meiosis is however less clear in organisms expressing DMC1. Studies 346 in budding and fission yeast have shown that Rad54 plays a relatively minor role in meiotic 347 recombination [60-66]. This is however due to the presence of a second RAD54 homologue, 348 Rdh54/Tid1. While both rad54 and rdh54 mutants form viable spores (albeit at reduced 349 frequency), the rad54 rdh54 double mutant rarely produces spores and is severely defective 350 in meiotic recombination [62, 64-66]. These data reveal overlapping roles of Rad54 and 351 Rdh54/Tid1 in meiotic recombination. In addition, Rdh54 preferentially acts with Dmc1 to 352 promote inter-homologue recombination, whereas Rad54 preferentially stimulates Rad51-353 mediated strand invasion for sister chromatid repair [60, 61, 64, 68]. It is thus suggested that 354 Rad54 is involved with Rad51 in sister chromatid repair of residual meiotic DSBs and this is in 355 accordance with the recent demonstration of Rad51 being essential only to support Dmc1 and 356 to repair residual DSBs after IH recombination is complete [49, 67, 85, 86].

In multicellular eukaryotes, evidence for a role of RAD54 homologues in meiosis however remains to be demonstrated. Mammals have two known RAD54 family members, RAD54 and RAD54B, neither of which appear to have important functions in meiosis, as mice lacking RAD54, RAD54B or both exhibit no, or only minor meiotic recombination defects [69, 70]. Our data demonstrate that RAD54 is essential for RAD51-mediated repair of meiotic DSBs in *dmc1* Arabidopsis. To our knowledge this is the first evidence of a clear meiotic role of RAD54 in a DMC1-expressing multicellular eukaryote. In Arabidopsis *dmc1* mutants, DSBs are repaired

without formation of inter-homologue CO and this concords with the suggestion that RAD51 repairs meiotic DSB using the sister chromatid template [82, 83, 87]. Although this essential role is only observed in the absence of DMC1, we cannot exclude that the RAD51/RAD54 DSB repair pathway is also active (albeit weakly) in wild-type plants, possibly to repair excess DSBs as has been shown in yeast [60, 61]. Whether this pathway also exists in wild-type plants, remains however to be demonstrated.

370

371 Another conclusion inferred from our data is that Arabidopsis RAD54 is not necessary for 372 DMC1 activity, either alone or as a RAD51 cofactor. That absence of RAD54 has no detectable 373 effect on meiotic recombination in the presence of DMC1 tells us that RAD51's function as an 374 essential accessory factor for DMC1 is RAD54-independent. This conclusion concords with 375 the reported absence of interaction between Arabidopsis RAD54 and DMC1 [74]. Yet, the 376 DMC1 nucleofilament must perform homology search and strand invasion and this requires 377 ATP-dependent DNA translocases (reviewed in [42, 44, 88]). We thus hypothesize that there 378 exists a second, as yet unknown, DMC1-specific RAD54 homologue in plants. RAD54 is a 379 SWI2/SNF2-remodelling factor that belongs to the SF2 helicase family, a number of which are 380 encoded by the Arabidopsis genome [74, 76, 89], but to date only RAD54 (this work) has been 381 found to play a role in meiosis.

382

383 Control of Rad51/Rad54 complex formation is used to downregulate Rad51 activity during 384 meiosis in budding yeast, presumably to favour interhomolog recombination driven by Dmc1 385 [6, 49, 53, 54, 59]. This downregulation is largely achieved through preventing Rad51/Rad54 386 complex formation via two pathways involving two meiosis-specific proteins: the RAD51-387 binding protein Hed1 and the Mek1 kinase (which phosphorylates both RAD54 and Hed1) [51-388 53, 55, 56, 58]. Briefly, Mek1-mediated phosphorylation of RAD54 weakens RAD51-RAD54 389 interaction [51, 53] and binding of Hed1 to RAD51 also prevents association of RAD54 [52, 53, 390 55, 56, 58]. Interestingly, no apparent Hed1 or Mek1 orthologues have been identified in higher 391 eukaryotes and in particular in plants. Several reports suggest that RAD51 is also down-

392 regulated in Arabidopsis meiosis [50, 82, 83, 87, 90, 91], but the evidence for this remains 393 indirect. Thus, whether RAD51 strand exchange activity is down-regulated during meiosis in higher organisms and if so, how this is achieved, is not clear. The absence of meiotic 394 395 phenotype of Arabidopsis rad54 mutants, together with the demonstration of the RAD54-396 dependence of meiotic RAD51 activity (in the absence of DMC1), supports the idea of a 397 hypothetical RAD54-dependent control of RAD51 activity through modulation of the 398 RAD54/RAD51 interaction. It also, however, invites speculation concerning whether it is 399 necessary to invoke such a downregulation to explain numbers of CO vs non-CO 400 recombination events in plants, and very likely in vertebrates. Previous work has shown that 401 DMC1 is capable of catalysing repair of all meiotic DSB in Arabidopsis in strand-invasion 402 mutants of RAD51 [50, 90], or as shown here, by blocking RAD51 activity through the absence 403 of RAD54. In both of these contexts, no evidence of alteration of numbers nor distribution of 404 meiotic recombination has been found.

405

406 In conclusion, we present here an essential role for RAD54 in supporting meiotic RAD51-407 mediated DSB repair in the absence of DMC1 in Arabidopsis. In striking contrast, testing of 408 three other key RAD51 mediator mutants (rad51b, rad51d, xrcc2) did not reveal any detectable 409 impact on *dmc1* meiosis, notwithstanding the fact that they are, like RAD54, needed for 410 RAD51-dependent recombination in somatic cells. This RAD54-dependent, RAD51-mediated 411 meiotic DSB repair is thus not the reflection of a simple "mitotic-like" RAD51 DSB repair in 412 meiocytes lacking DMC1, but points to RAD54 acting downstream of the role of the RAD51 413 nucleofilament in supporting meiotic DMC1-mediated recombination. It will be of particular 414 interest to further study in which context this pathway is activated in wild-type meiosis and also 415 whether a similar pathway exists in other organisms outside the fungal taxa. Although further 416 studies are needed to confirm whether (and how) RAD51 strand-invasion activity is 417 downregulated during meiosis in plants, we speculate that this could be achieved through 418 prevention of RAD54/RAD51 interaction, and/or via helicases dissociating precocious strand-419 invasion between sister chromatids, as has recently been shown in budding yeast [92].

420 Materials and Methods

421 Plant Material and Growth Conditions

422 All Arabidopsis thaliana plants used in this study were in the Columbia background. Seeds of 423 the rad54-2 (SALK 124992) [93] T-DNA insertion mutant were obtained through the 424 Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre and characterised in this study. For other mutants, we 425 used the following alleles: rad54-1 [74], dmc1-2 [83], rad51-1 [94], rad51b-1 [24], rad51d-3 [39] 426 and xrcc2-1 [24]. Fluorescent-Tagged lines (FTLs) were: I1bc (FTL567-YFP/FTL1262-427 DsRed2/FTL992-AmCvan/art1-2). and l2fg (FTL800-DsRed2/FTL3411-YFP/FTL3263-428 AmCyan/grt1-2) [79].

Seeds were stratified in water at 4°C for 2 days and grown on soil in a growth chamber. For *in vitro* culture, seeds were surface sterilised for 5 min with 75% Ethanol, 0.05% SDS, rinsed with 95% Ethanol for 5 min and air-dried. Sterilised seeds were then sown on half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium, stratified at 4°C for 2 days and placed in a growth cabinet.

All plants were grown under 16h light /8 h dark cycles at 23°C and 60% relative humidity.

434

435 Molecular Characterization of rad54-2 T-DNA Insertion Mutants

The *rad54-2* (SALK_124992) mutant was genotyped using primers P1 and P2 to detect the wild-type loci and primers P1, P2, and Lba1 (SALK T-DNA Left Border specific primer) were used to detect the T-DNA insertion allele. The junctions of the T-DNA insertion in the *RAD54* locus (AT3G19210) were amplified by PCR and verified by DNA sequencing.

For semi-quantitative RT–PCR, total RNA was extracted from young buds of wild-type, *rad54-1* and *rad54-2* plants using RNeasy Plant mini Kit (QIAGEN), following the manufacturer's instructions. 2 μg RNA were treated with RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega) followed by reverse transcription using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR amplifications were eventually performed in homozygous lines showing the absence of full-length *RAD54* transcripts (Figure 1).

446 Sequences of primers used for genotyping and RT-PCR are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

447

448 Mitomycin C Sensitivity Assays

For the MMC sensitivity assay, seeds were surface-sterilised and sown onto solid medium (half strength Murashige and Skoog salts, 1% sucrose, 0.8% agar) supplemented with 0, 20, 30 or 40µM Mitomycin C (SIGMA). Seeds were stratified in the dark for 2 days at 4°C, transferred to a growth cabinet and grown for two weeks. Sensitivity was then analysed in twoweek-old seedlings by counting the number of true leaves as previously described [26]. Plants with more than three true leaves were considered as resistant. In each case, the number of leaves was counted on at least 25 seedlings in three to five independent experiments.

456

457 Recombination measurement using Fluorescent-Tagged Lines (FTL) tetrad analysis.

458 We used Fluorescent Tagged Lines to estimate male meiotic recombination rates at two pairs 459 of genetic intervals: 11bc on chromosome 1 and 12fg on chromosome 2. For each experiment, 460 heterozygous plants for the linked fluorescent markers were generated and siblings from the 461 same segregating progeny were used to compare the recombination frequency between 462 different genotypes. Slides and fluorescent tetrad analysis were performed as described by 463 Berchowitz and Copenhaver [79]. Tetrads were counted and attributed to specific classes (A 464 to L). Genetic distances of each interval were calculated using Perkins equation as follows: X 465 = 100[(1/2Tetratype + 3Non-Parental Ditype)/n] in cM.

The Interference Ratio (IR) was calculated as described previously [79]. Briefly, for two adjacent intervals I1 and I2, two populations of tetrads are considered: those with at least one CO in I2 and those without any CO in I2. Genetic distance of I1 is then calculated for these two populations using the Perkins equation, i.e. *X*1 (I1 with CO in I2) and *X*2 (I1 without a CO in I2). The Interference Ratio is thus defined as IR = *X*1/*X*2. An IR ratio <1 reveals the presence of interference while an IR ratio close to 1 reveals absence of interference. The Stahl Lab Online Tools was used for statistical analyses of the data.

473

474 Arabidopsis male meiotic chromosome spreads

475 Meiotic chromosome spreads were prepared according to [95]. Whole inflorescences were 476 fixed in ice-cold ethanol/glacial acetic acid (3:1) and stored at -20°C until further use. Immature 477 flower buds of appropriate size were selected under a binocular microscope and incubated for 478 75-90 min on a slide in 100µl of enzyme mixture (0.3% w/v cellulase (Sigma), 0.3% w/v 479 pectolyase (Sigma) and 0.3% cytohelicase (Sigma)) in a moist chamber at 37°C. Each bud was then softened for 1 minute in 20 µl 60% acetic acid on a microscope slide at 45°C, fixed 480 481 with ice-cold ethanol/glacial acetic acid (3:1) and air dried. Slide were mounted in Vectashield 482 mounting medium with DAPI (1.5 µg.ml⁻¹; Vector Laboratories Inc.).

483

484 RAD51 Immunolocalization in meiocytes

Spreads of PMCs for immunolocalization of RAD51 were performed as described previously
[96]. Primary antibodies used for immunostaining were: anti-ASY1 raised in guinea Pig (1:500)
[97] and anti-RAD51 raised in rat (1:500) [98]. Secondary antibody: anti-rat Alexa fluor 488;
anti-rat Cy3 were used at 1:100 dilution.

489

490 Microscopy

All observations were made with a motorised Zeiss AxioImager.Z1 epifluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Germany) driven by the ZEN Pro software (Carl Zeiss AG, Germany). Photographs were taken with an AxioC.am Mrm camera (Carl Zeiss AG, Germany) and Zeiss filter sets adapted for the fluorochromes used. Image stacks were captured in three dimensions (x, y, z) and further processed and adjusted for brightness and contrast on ZEN Pro and ImageJ/FIJI software. RAD51 foci were counted on collapsed z-stack projections by using counting tool of the ZEN Pro software.

498

499 Acknowledgements

500 We thank Gregory Copenhaver and Ian Henderson for FTL lines, Chris Franklin and Peter 501 Schlögelhofer for providing the ASY1 and RAD51 antibodies, respectively. We thank members 502 of the recombination group for their help and discussions.

503 **REFERENCES**

Heyer WD, Ehmsen KT, Liu J. Regulation of homologous recombination in eukaryotes.
 Annu Rev Genet. 2010;44:113-39. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-051710-150955. PubMed
 PMID: 20690856.

Ranjha L, Howard SM, Cejka P. Main steps in DNA double-strand break repair: an
 introduction to homologous recombination and related processes. Chromosoma.
 2018;127(2):187-214. doi: 10.1007/s00412-017-0658-1. PubMed PMID: 29327130.

510 3. Mercier R, Mezard C, Jenczewski E, Macaisne N, Grelon M. The molecular biology of 511 meiosis in plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2015;66:297-327. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-512 050213-035923. PubMed PMID: 25494464.

4. Hunter N. Meiotic Recombination: The Essence of Heredity. Cold Spring Harb Perspect
Biol. 2015;7(12). doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a016618. PubMed PMID: 26511629.

515 5. Symington LS. Mechanism and regulation of DNA end resection in eukaryotes. Crit Rev 516 Biochem Mol Biol. 2016;51(3):195-212. doi: 10.3109/10409238.2016.1172552. PubMed 517 PMID: 27098756.

Brown MS, Bishop DK. DNA strand exchange and RecA homologs in meiosis. Cold
 Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2014;7(1):a016659. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a016659. PubMed
 PMID: 25475089.

521 7. Crickard JB, Greene EC. Biochemical attributes of mitotic and meiotic presynaptic
522 complexes. DNA Repair (Amst). 2018;71:148-57. doi: 10.1016/j.dnarep.2018.08.018. PubMed
523 PMID: 30195641.

Kowalczykowski SC. An Overview of the Molecular Mechanisms of Recombinational
 DNA Repair. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2015;7(11). doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a016410.
 PubMed PMID: 26525148.

Zelensky A, Kanaar R, Wyman C. Mediators of homologous DNA pairing. Cold Spring
 Harb Perspect Biol. 2014;6(12):a016451. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a016451. PubMed PMID:
 25301930.

530 10. Suwaki N, Klare K, Tarsounas M. RAD51 paralogs: roles in DNA damage signalling,
531 recombinational repair and tumorigenesis. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2011;22(8):898-905. doi:
532 10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.07.019. PubMed PMID: 21821141.

533 11. Pradillo M, Varas J, Oliver C, Santos JL. On the role of *AtDMC1*, *AtRAD51* and its 534 paralogs during Arabidopsis meiosis. Front Plant Sci. 2014;5:23. doi: 535 10.3389/fpls.2014.00023. PubMed PMID: 24596572.

Liu J, Renault L, Veaute X, Fabre F, Stahlberg H, Heyer WD. Rad51 paralogues Rad55Rad57 balance the antirecombinase Srs2 in Rad51 filament formation. Nature.
2011;479(7372):245-8. doi: 10.1038/nature10522. PubMed PMID: 22020281.

539 13. Gasior SL, Olivares H, Ear U, Hari DM, Weichselbaum R, Bishop DK. Assembly of
540 RecA-like recombinases: distinct roles for mediator proteins in mitosis and meiosis. Proc Natl
541 Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(15):8411-8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.121046198. PubMed PMID:
542 11459983.

543 14. Gasior SL, Wong AK, Kora Y, Shinohara A, Bishop DK. Rad52 associates with RPA
544 and functions with rad55 and rad57 to assemble meiotic recombination complexes. Genes
545 Dev. 1998;12(14):2208-21. doi: 10.1101/gad.12.14.2208. PubMed PMID: 9679065.

546 15. Schwacha A, Kleckner N. Interhomolog bias during meiotic recombination: meiotic
547 functions promote a highly differentiated interhomolog-only pathway. Cell. 1997;90(6):1123548 35. PubMed PMID: 9323140.

549 16. Sasanuma H, Tawaramoto MS, Lao JP, Hosaka H, Sanda E, Suzuki M, et al. A new
550 protein complex promoting the assembly of Rad51 filaments. Nat Commun. 2013;4:1676. doi:
551 10.1038/ncomms2678. PubMed PMID: 23575680.

552 17. Serra H, Da Ines O, Degroote F, Gallego ME, White CI. Roles of XRCC2, RAD51B and
553 RAD51D in RAD51-independent SSA recombination. PLoS Genet. 2013;9(11):e1003971. doi:
554 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003971. PubMed PMID: 24278037.

18. Kuznetsov S, Pellegrini M, Shuda K, Fernandez-Capetillo O, Liu Y, Martin BK, et al.
RAD51C deficiency in mice results in early prophase I arrest in males and sister chromatid
separation at metaphase II in females. J Cell Biol. 2007;176(5):581-92. doi:
10.1083/jcb.200608130. PubMed PMID: 17312021.

559 19. Liu Y, Tarsounas M, O'Regan P, West SC. Role of RAD51C and XRCC3 in genetic 560 recombination and DNA repair. J Biol Chem. 2007;282(3):1973-9. doi: 561 10.1074/jbc.M609066200. PubMed PMID: 17114795.

562 20. Zhang B, Wang M, Tang D, Li Y, Xu M, Gu M, et al. XRCC3 is essential for proper 563 double-strand break repair and homologous recombination in rice meiosis. J Exp Bot. 564 2015;66(19):5713-25. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv253. PubMed PMID: 26034131.

565 21. Tang D, Miao C, Li Y, Wang H, Liu X, Yu H, et al. OsRAD51C is essential for double566 strand break repair in rice meiosis. Front Plant Sci. 2014;5:167. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00167.
567 PubMed PMID: 24847337.

568 22. Su H, Cheng Z, Huang J, Lin J, Copenhaver GP, Ma H, et al. Arabidopsis RAD51, 569 RAD51C and XRCC3 proteins form a complex and facilitate RAD51 localization on 570 chromosomes for meiotic recombination. PLoS Genet. 2017;13(5):e1006827. doi: 571 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006827. PubMed PMID: 28562599.

572 23. Abe K, Osakabe K, Nakayama S, Endo M, Tagiri A, Todoriki S, et al. Arabidopsis 573 *RAD51C* gene is important for homologous recombination in meiosis and mitosis. Plant 574 Physiol. 2005;139(2):896-908. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.065243. PubMed PMID: 16169964.

575 24. Bleuyard JY, Gallego ME, Savigny F, White CI. Differing requirements for the 576 Arabidopsis Rad51 paralogs in meiosis and DNA repair. Plant J. 2005;41(4):533-45. doi: 577 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02318.x. PubMed PMID: 15686518.

578 25. Bleuyard JY, Gallego ME, White CI. The atspo11-1 mutation rescues atxrcc3 meiotic 579 chromosome fragmentation. Plant Mol Biol. 2004;56(2):217-24. doi: 10.1007/s11103-004-580 2812-4. PubMed PMID: 15604739.

581 26. Bleuyard JY, White CI. The Arabidopsis homologue of Xrcc3 plays an essential role in
582 meiosis. EMBO J. 2004;23(2):439-49. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600055. PubMed PMID:
583 14726957.

Li W, Yang X, Lin Z, Timofejeva L, Xiao R, Makaroff CA, et al. The *AtRAD51C* gene is required for normal meiotic chromosome synapsis and double-stranded break repair in 586 Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2005;138(2):965-76. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.058347. PubMed PMID:
587 15923332.

28. Cartwright R, Dunn AM, Simpson PJ, Tambini CE, Thacker J. Isolation of novel human
and mouse genes of the recA/RAD51 recombination-repair gene family. Nucleic Acids Res.
1998;26(7):1653-9. doi: 10.1093/nar/26.7.1653. PubMed PMID: 9512535.

Sentimized Se

30. Tarsounas M, Munoz P, Claas A, Smiraldo PG, Pittman DL, Blasco MA, et al. Telomere
maintenance requires the RAD51D recombination/repair protein. Cell. 2004;117(3):337-47.
doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(04)00337-x. PubMed PMID: 15109494.

597 31. Chen C, Farmer AD, Langley RJ, Mudge J, Crow JA, May GD, et al. Meiosis-specific
598 gene discovery in plants: RNA-Seq applied to isolated Arabidopsis male meiocytes. BMC Plant
599 Biol. 2010;10:280. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-280. PubMed PMID: 21167045.

Walker J, Gao H, Zhang J, Aldridge B, Vickers M, Higgins JD, et al. Sexual-lineagespecific DNA methylation regulates meiosis in Arabidopsis. Nat Genet. 2018;50(1):130-7. doi:
10.1038/s41588-017-0008-5. PubMed PMID: 29255257.

33. Yang H, Lu P, Wang Y, Ma H. The transcriptome landscape of Arabidopsis male
meiocytes from high-throughput sequencing: the complexity and evolution of the meiotic
process. Plant J. 2011;65(4):503-16. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04439.x. PubMed PMID:
21208307.

34. Yang Y, Guo J, Dai L, Zhu Y, Hu H, Tan L, et al. XRCC2 mutation causes meiotic arrest,
azoospermia and infertility. J Med Genet. 2018;55(9):628-36. doi: 10.1136/jmedgenet-2017105145. PubMed PMID: 30042186.

35. Byun MY, Kim WT. Suppression of OsRAD51D results in defects in reproductive
development in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). Plant J. 2014;79(2):256-69. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12558.
PubMed PMID: 24840804.

613 36. Charlot F, Chelysheva L, Kamisugi Y, Vrielynck N, Guyon A, Epert A, et al. RAD51B
614 plays an essential role during somatic and meiotic recombination in Physcomitrella. Nucleic
615 Acids Res. 2014;42(19):11965-78. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku890. PubMed PMID: 25260587.

37. Zhang F, Shen Y, Miao C, Cao Y, Shi W, Du G, et al. OsRAD51D promotes
homologous pairing and recombination by preventing non-homologous interactions in rice
meiosis. New Phytol. 2020. doi: 10.1111/nph.16595. PubMed PMID: 32275774.

619 38. Osakabe K, Abe K, Yamanouchi H, Takyuu T, Yoshioka T, Ito Y, et al. Arabidopsis
620 Rad51B is important for double-strand DNA breaks repair in somatic cells. Plant Mol Biol.
621 2005;57(6):819-33. doi: 10.1007/s11103-005-2187-1. PubMed PMID: 15952068.

39. Da Ines O, Degroote F, Amiard S, Goubely C, Gallego ME, White CI. Effects of XRCC2
and RAD51B mutations on somatic and meiotic recombination in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant
J. 2013;74(6):959-70. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12182. PubMed PMID: 23521529.

40. Wang Y, Xiao R, Wang H, Cheng Z, Li W, Zhu G, et al. The Arabidopsis RAD51
paralogs RAD51B, RAD51D and XRCC2 play partially redundant roles in somatic DNA repair
and gene regulation. New Phytol. 2014;201(1):292-304. doi: 10.1111/nph.12498. PubMed
PMID: 24102485.

41. Abreu CM, Prakash R, Romanienko PJ, Roig I, Keeney S, Jasin M. Shu complex
SWS1-SWSAP1 promotes early steps in mouse meiotic recombination. Nat Commun.
2018;9(1):3961. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06384-x. PubMed PMID: 30305635.

632 42. Ceballos SJ, Heyer WD. Functions of the Snf2/Swi2 family Rad54 motor protein in
633 homologous recombination. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011;1809(9):509-23. doi:
634 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.06.006. PubMed PMID: 21704205.

635 43. Crickard JB, Greene EC. Helicase Mechanisms During Homologous Recombination in
 636 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Annu Rev Biophys. 2019. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biophys-052118 637 115418. PubMed PMID: 30857400.

44. Mazin AV, Mazina OM, Bugreev DV, Rossi MJ. Rad54, the motor of homologous
recombination. DNA Repair (Amst). 2010;9(3):286-302. doi: 10.1016/j.dnarep.2009.12.006.
PubMed PMID: 20089461.

641 45. Ghabrial A, Ray RP, Schupbach T. okra and spindle-B encode components of the
642 RAD52 DNA repair pathway and affect meiosis and patterning in Drosophila oogenesis. Genes
643 Dev. 1998;12(17):2711-23. doi: 10.1101/gad.12.17.2711. PubMed PMID: 9732269.

644 Kooistra R, Vreeken K, Zonneveld JB, de Jong A, Eeken JC, Osgood CJ, et al. The 46. 645 Drosophila melanogaster RAD54 homolog, DmRAD54, is involved in the repair of radiation 646 recombination. Mol Biol. 1997;17(10):6097-104. damage and Cell doi: 647 10.1128/mcb.17.10.6097. PubMed PMID: 9315669.

648 47. Mets DG, Meyer BJ. Condensins regulate meiotic DNA break distribution, thus
649 crossover frequency, by controlling chromosome structure. Cell. 2009;139(1):73-86. doi:
650 10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.035. PubMed PMID: 19781752.

48. Bishop DK, Park D, Xu L, Kleckner N. *DMC1*: a meiosis-specific yeast homolog of *E. coli recA* required for recombination, synaptonemal complex formation, and cell cycle
progression. Cell. 1992;69(3):439-56. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90446-j. PubMed PMID:
1581960.

655 49. Cloud V, Chan YL, Grubb J, Budke B, Bishop DK. Rad51 is an accessory factor for
656 Dmc1-mediated joint molecule formation during meiosis. Science. 2012;337(6099):1222-5.
657 doi: 10.1126/science.1219379. PubMed PMID: 22955832.

50. Da Ines O, Degroote F, Goubely C, Amiard S, Gallego ME, White CI. Meiotic
recombination in Arabidopsis is catalysed by DMC1, with RAD51 playing a supporting role.
PLoS Genet. 2013;9(9):e1003787. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003787. PubMed PMID:
24086145.

51. Niu H, Wan L, Busygina V, Kwon Y, Allen JA, Li X, et al. Regulation of meiotic
recombination via Mek1-mediated Rad54 phosphorylation. Mol Cell. 2009;36(3):393-404. doi:
10.1016/j.molcel.2009.09.029. PubMed PMID: 19917248.

52. Tsubouchi H, Roeder GS. Budding yeast Hed1 down-regulates the mitotic
recombination machinery when meiotic recombination is impaired. Genes Dev.
2006;20(13):1766-75. doi: 10.1101/gad.1422506. PubMed PMID: 16818607.

53. Callender TL, Laureau R, Wan L, Chen X, Sandhu R, Laljee S, et al. Mek1 Down
Regulates Rad51 Activity during Yeast Meiosis by Phosphorylation of Hed1. PLoS Genet.
2016;12(8):e1006226. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006226. PubMed PMID: 27483004.

54. Lao JP, Cloud V, Huang CC, Grubb J, Thacker D, Lee CY, et al. Meiotic crossover
control by concerted action of Rad51-Dmc1 in homolog template bias and robust homeostatic
regulation. PLoS Genet. 2013;9(12):e1003978. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003978. PubMed
PMID: 24367271.

55. Busygina V, Saro D, Williams G, Leung WK, Say AF, Sehorn MG, et al. Novel attributes of Hed1 affect dynamics and activity of the Rad51 presynaptic filament during meiotic recombination. J Biol Chem. 2012;287(2):1566-75. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.297309. PubMed PMID: 22115747.

56. Busygina V, Sehorn MG, Shi IY, Tsubouchi H, Roeder GS, Sung P. Hed1 regulates
Rad51-mediated recombination via a novel mechanism. Genes Dev. 2008;22(6):786-95. doi:
10.1101/gad.1638708. PubMed PMID: 18347097.

57. Hong S, Sung Y, Yu M, Lee M, Kleckner N, Kim KP. The logic and mechanism of
homologous recombination partner choice. Mol Cell. 2013;51(4):440-53. doi:
10.1016/j.molcel.2013.08.008. PubMed PMID: 23973374.

58. Crickard JB, Kaniecki K, Kwon Y, Sung P, Lisby M, Greene EC. Regulation of Hed1
and Rad54 binding during maturation of the meiosis-specific presynaptic complex. EMBO J.
2018;37(7). doi: 10.15252/embj.201798728. PubMed PMID: 29444896.

59. Liu Y, Gaines WA, Callender T, Busygina V, Oke A, Sung P, et al. Down-regulation of
Rad51 activity during meiosis in yeast prevents competition with Dmc1 for repair of doublestrand breaks. PLoS Genet. 2014;10(1):e1004005. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004005.
PubMed PMID: 24465215.

692 60. Arbel A, Zenvirth D, Simchen G. Sister chromatid-based DNA repair is mediated by
693 RAD54, not by DMC1 or TID1. EMBO J. 1999;18(9):2648-58. doi: 10.1093/emboj/18.9.2648.
694 PubMed PMID: 10228176.

695 61. Bishop DK, Nikolski Y, Oshiro J, Chon J, Shinohara M, Chen X. High copy number 696 suppression of the meiotic arrest caused by a dmc1 mutation: REC114 imposes an early 697 recombination block and RAD54 promotes a DMC1-independent DSB repair pathway. Genes 698 Cells. 1999;4(8):425-44. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2443.1999.00273.x. PubMed PMID: 10526232.

699 62. Catlett MG, Forsburg SL. *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* Rdh54 (TID1) acts with Rhp54 700 (RAD54) to repair meiotic double-strand breaks. Mol Biol Cell. 2003;14(11):4707-20. doi: 701 10.1091/mbc.e03-05-0288. PubMed PMID: 14551247.

70263.Schmuckli-Maurer J, Heyer WD.Meiotic recombination in RAD54 mutants of703Saccharomycescerevisiae.Chromosoma.2000;109(1-2):86-93.doi:70410.1007/s004120050415.PubMed PMID: 10855498.10855498.

64. Shinohara M, Gasior SL, Bishop DK, Shinohara A. Tid1/Rdh54 promotes colocalization
of rad51 and dmc1 during meiotic recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2000;97(20):10814-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.20.10814. PubMed PMID: 11005857.

65. Shinohara M, Sakai K, Shinohara A, Bishop DK. Crossover interference in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* requires a TID1/RDH54- and DMC1-dependent pathway.
Genetics. 2003;163(4):1273-86. PubMed PMID: 12702674.

66. Shinohara M, Shita-Yamaguchi E, Buerstedde JM, Shinagawa H, Ogawa H, Shinohara
A. Characterization of the roles of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* RAD54 gene and a

homologue of RAD54, RDH54/TID1, in mitosis and meiosis. Genetics. 1997;147(4):1545-56.
PubMed PMID: 9409820.

Subramanian VV, MacQueen AJ, Vader G, Shinohara M, Sanchez A, Borde V, et al.
Chromosome Synapsis Alleviates Mek1-Dependent Suppression of Meiotic DNA Repair. PLoS
Biol. 2016;14(2):e1002369. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002369. PubMed PMID: 26870961.

Nimonkar AV, Dombrowski CC, Siino JS, Stasiak AZ, Stasiak A, Kowalczykowski SC. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Dmc1 and Rad51 proteins preferentially function with Tid1 and
Rad54 proteins, respectively, to promote DNA strand invasion during genetic recombination.
J Biol Chem. 2012;287(34):28727-37. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.373290. PubMed PMID:
22761450.

69. Essers J, Hendriks RW, Swagemakers SM, Troelstra C, de Wit J, Bootsma D, et al.
Disruption of mouse RAD54 reduces ionizing radiation resistance and homologous
recombination. Cell. 1997;89(2):195-204. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80199-3. PubMed
PMID: 9108475.

727 70. Wesoly J, Agarwal S, Sigurdsson S, Bussen W, Van Komen S, Qin J, et al. Differential
728 contributions of mammalian Rad54 paralogs to recombination, DNA damage repair, and
729 meiosis. Mol Cell Biol. 2006;26(3):976-89. doi: 10.1128/MCB.26.3.976-989.2006. PubMed
730 PMID: 16428451.

731 71. Klutstein M, Shaked H, Sherman A, Avivi-Ragolsky N, Shema E, Zenvirth D, et al.
732 Functional conservation of the yeast and Arabidopsis *RAD54-like* genes. Genetics.
733 2008;178(4):2389-97. doi: 10.1534/genetics.108.086777. PubMed PMID: 18430956.

734 72. Hirakawa T, Hasegawa J, White CI, Matsunaga S. RAD54 forms DNA repair foci in 735 response to DNA damage in living plant cells. Plant J. 2017;90(2):372-82. doi: 736 10.1111/tpj.13499. PubMed PMID: 28155243.

737 73. Hirakawa T, Katagiri Y, Ando T, Matsunaga S. DNA double-strand breaks alter the
738 spatial arrangement of homologous loci in plant cells. Sci Rep. 2015;5:11058. doi:
739 10.1038/srep11058. PubMed PMID: 26046331.

740 74. Osakabe K, Abe K, Yoshioka T, Osakabe Y, Todoriki S, Ichikawa H, et al. Isolation and
741 characterization of the *RAD54* gene from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. 2006;48(6):827-42.
742 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02927.x. PubMed PMID: 17227544.

743 75. Roth N, Klimesch J, Dukowic-Schulze S, Pacher M, Mannuss A, Puchta H. The
744 requirement for recombination factors differs considerably between different pathways of
745 homologous double-strand break repair in somatic plant cells. Plant J. 2012;72(5):781-90. doi:
746 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2012.05119.x. PubMed PMID: 22860689.

747 76. Shaked H, Avivi-Ragolsky N, Levy AA. Involvement of the Arabidopsis SWI2/SNF2
748 chromatin remodeling gene family in DNA damage response and recombination. Genetics.
749 2006;173(2):985-94. doi: 10.1534/genetics.105.051664. PubMed PMID: 16547115.

750 77. Mannuss A, Dukowic-Schulze S, Suer S, Hartung F, Pacher M, Puchta H. RAD5A,
751 RECQ4A, and MUS81 have specific functions in homologous recombination and define
752 different pathways of DNA repair in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell. 2010;22(10):3318-30. doi:
753 10.1105/tpc.110.078568. PubMed PMID: 20971895.

754 78. Crismani W, Mercier R. Identifying meiotic mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Methods 755 Mol Biol. 2013;990:227-34. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-333-6_22. PubMed PMID: 23559218. 756 79. Berchowitz LE, Copenhaver GP. Fluorescent Arabidopsis tetrads: a visual assay for
757 quickly developing large crossover and crossover interference data sets. Nat Protoc.
758 2008;3(1):41-50. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.491. PubMed PMID: 18193020.

Francis KE, Lam SY, Harrison BD, Bey AL, Berchowitz LE, Copenhaver GP. Pollen
tetrad-based visual assay for meiotic recombination in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2007;104(10):3913-8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0608936104. PubMed PMID: 17360452.

Francis KE, Lam SY, Copenhaver GP. Separation of Arabidopsis pollen tetrads is
regulated by QUARTET1, a pectin methylesterase gene. Plant Physiol. 2006;142(3):1004-13.
doi: 10.1104/pp.106.085274. PubMed PMID: 16980565.

765 82. Couteau F, Belzile F, Horlow C, Grandjean O, Vezon D, Doutriaux MP. Random
766 chromosome segregation without meiotic arrest in both male and female meiocytes of a *dmc1*767 mutant of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 1999;11(9):1623-34. PubMed PMID: 10488231.

Pradillo M, Lopez E, Linacero R, Romero C, Cunado N, Sanchez-Moran E, et al.
Together yes, but not coupled: new insights into the roles of RAD51 and DMC1 in plant meiotic
recombination. Plant J. 2012;69(6):921-33. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04845.x. PubMed
PMID: 22066484.

772 84. Zhang Z, Fan HY, Goldman JA, Kingston RE. Homology-driven chromatin remodeling
773 by human RAD54. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2007;14(5):397-405. doi: 10.1038/nsmb1223. PubMed
774 PMID: 17417655.

Argunhan B, Leung WK, Afshar N, Terentyev Y, Subramanian VV, Murayama Y, et al.
Fundamental cell cycle kinases collaborate to ensure timely destruction of the synaptonemal
complex during meiosis. EMBO J. 2017;36(17):2488-509. doi: 10.15252/embj.201695895.
PubMed PMID: 28694245.

Prugar E, Burnett C, Chen X, Hollingsworth NM. Coordination of Double Strand Break
Repair and Meiotic Progression in Yeast by a Mek1-Ndt80 Negative Feedback Loop. Genetics.
2017;206(1):497-512. doi: 10.1534/genetics.117.199703. PubMed PMID: 28249986.

782 87. Uanschou C, Ronceret A, Von Harder M, De Muyt A, Vezon D, Pereira L, et al.
783 Sufficient amounts of functional HOP2/MND1 complex promote interhomolog DNA repair but
784 are dispensable for intersister DNA repair during meiosis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell.
785 2013;25(12):4924-40. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.118521. PubMed PMID: 24363313.

786 88. Daley JM, Gaines WA, Kwon Y, Sung P. Regulation of DNA pairing in homologous
787 recombination. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2014;6(11):a017954. doi:
788 10.1101/cshperspect.a017954. PubMed PMID: 25190078.

89. Knizewski L, Ginalski K, Jerzmanowski A. Snf2 proteins in plants: gene silencing and
beyond. Trends Plant Sci. 2008;13(10):557-65. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2008.08.004. PubMed
PMID: 18786849.

90. Singh G, Da Ines O, Gallego ME, White CI. Analysis of the impact of the absence of
RAD51 strand exchange activity in Arabidopsis meiosis. PLoS One. 2017;12(8):e0183006.
doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183006. PubMed PMID: 28797117.

Vignard J, Siwiec T, Chelysheva L, Vrielynck N, Gonord F, Armstrong SJ, et al. The
interplay of RecA-related proteins and the MND1-HOP2 complex during meiosis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS Genet. 2007;3(10):1894-906. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030176. PubMed
PMID: 17937504.

Sandhu R, Monge Neria F, Monge Neria J, Chen X, Hollingsworth NM, Borner GV.
DNA Helicase Mph1(FANCM) Ensures Meiotic Recombination between Parental
Chromosomes by Dissociating Precocious Displacement Loops. Dev Cell. 2020;53(4):458-72
e5. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2020.04.010. PubMed PMID: 32386601.

803 93. Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, et al. Genome-wide
804 insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science. 2003;301(5633):653-7. doi:
805 10.1126/science.1086391. PubMed PMID: 12893945.

806 94. Li W, Chen C, Markmann-Mulisch U, Timofejeva L, Schmelzer E, Ma H, et al. The
807 Arabidopsis *AtRAD51* gene is dispensable for vegetative development but required for
808 meiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(29):10596-601. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0404110101.
809 PubMed PMID: 15249667.

810 95. Ross KJ, Fransz P, Jones GH. A light microscopic atlas of meiosis in *Arabidopsis* 811 *thaliana*. Chromosome Res. 1996;4(7):507-16. PubMed PMID: 8939362.

812 96. Armstrong SJ, Caryl AP, Jones GH, Franklin FC. Asy1, a protein required for meiotic
813 chromosome synapsis, localizes to axis-associated chromatin in Arabidopsis and Brassica. J
814 Cell Sci. 2002;115(Pt 18):3645-55. doi: 10.1242/jcs.00048. PubMed PMID: 12186950.

815 97. Higgins JD, Armstrong SJ, Franklin FC, Jones GH. The Arabidopsis *MutS* homolog
816 *AtMSH4* functions at an early step in recombination: evidence for two classes of recombination
817 in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. 2004;18(20):2557-70. doi: 10.1101/gad.317504. PubMed PMID:
818 15489296.

819 98. Kurzbauer MT, Uanschou C, Chen D, Schlogelhofer P. The recombinases DMC1 and
820 RAD51 are functionally and spatially separated during meiosis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell.
821 2012;24(5):2058-70. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.098459. PubMed PMID: 22589466.
822

824 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Characterisation of *rad54-2* T-DNA insertion mutant and sensitivity to MMC.

826 (A) Structure of AtRAD54 (At3g19210) and the rad54-1 and rad54-2 T-DNA insertion mutant 827 alleles, Boxes show exons (unfilled) and 5' and 3'UTRs (grev fill). The positions of the T-DNA 828 insertions in the two alleles (inverted triangles) is indicated, with arrows above showing 829 orientation of the left borders, and the sequences of the rad54-2 T-DNA/chromosome junctions 830 below. The rad54-2 T-DNA insertion is flanked by two left borders (LB1, LB2) and accompanied 831 by a 11 bp deletion in exon 4. An in-frame TGA STOP codon in rad54-2 is underlined. 832 Numbering under the sequences is relative to the RAD54 start codon. (B) RT-PCR analyses 833 of transcripts of rad54-1 and rad54-2. Amplification of the actin transcript (ACT) was used as 834 a control for RT-PCR. Positions and orientations of the PCR primers are shown on the 835 diagrams.

836 (C-D) Sensitivity of *rad54-1* and *rad54-2* plants to MMC. (C) Two-week-old seedlings grown 837 without, or with 40 μ M MMC are shown. (D) Sensitivity of the seedlings was scored after 2 838 weeks (see Materials and Methods) and the percentages of resistant plants (plants with more 839 than 3 true leaves) are shown. Symbols are mean \pm s.e.m of at least 3 independent 840 experiments.

841

Figure 2. Both rad54-1 and rad54-2 mutants have WT meiosis.

Chromosome spreads of male meiocytes in wild type (A-D), *rad54-1* (E-H) and *rad54-2* (I-L). Pachytene (A,E,I); Metaphase I (B,F,J); Metaphase II (C,G,K); Telophase II (D,H,L). Chromosomes were spread and stained with DAPI. (Scale bar = $10 \mu m$).

846

Figure 3. Crossing-over is not affected in *rad54-2* mutant meiosis.

Genetic distances (in centiMorgans, cM) measured from fluorescent tetrad analyses in marked
intervals on (A) chromosome 1 (I1b and I1c) and (B) chromosome 2 (I2f and I2g). Bars indicate
mean ± SD. On all intervals, WT and *rad54* do not significantly differ (p<0.05; Z-test).

```
851
```

852 Figure 4. Absence of RAD54 leads to chromosome fragmentation in *dmc1* meiosis.

- 853 Male meiosis is shown in (A-D) wild-type, (E-H) *dmc1*, (I-L) *dmc1 rad54-1*, (M-P) *dmc1 rad54-*
- 2, and rad51 (Q-T). Chromosome spreads at late prophase I (A,E,I,M,Q), Metaphase I
- 855 (B,F,J,N,R), Anaphase I (C,G,K,O,S) and Telophase II/Tetrad (D,H,L,P,T). Chromosomes
- were spread and stained with DAPI. (Scale bar = $10 \mu m$).
- 857

858 Figure 5. Absence of RAD54 does not affect numbers of meiotic RAD51 foci.

(A) Co-immunolocalization of RAD51 (green) and the chromosome axis protein ASY1 (red) on leptotene/zygotene meiotic chromosome spreads. (Scale Bars: 5 μ m). (B) Quantification of RAD51 foci per positive cell through early prophase I in wild-type, *rad54*, *dmc1*, and *dmc1 rad54-2* mutants. Means ± s.e.m are indicated. n.s.: not significantly different (p-value > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test).

864

Figure 6. Absence of RAD51B, RAD51D or XRCC2 does not affect *dmc1* meiosis.

Male meiosis is shown in (A-C) *dmc1*, (D-F) *dmc1 rad51b*, (G-I) *dmc1 rad51d*, (J-L) *dmc1 xrcc2*, and *dmc1 xrcc3* (M-O). Chromosome spreads at (A,D,G,J,M) late prophase I,
(B,E,H,K,N) Metaphase I, (C,F,I,L,O) Anaphase I. Chromosomes were spread and stained
with DAPI. (Scale bar = 10 μm).

871	Supporting information		
872			
873	Supplemental Figure 1. Fertility of rad54-1 and rad54-2 mutants.		
874	(A) pictures of wild-type and rad54 mutant siliques. (B) Number of seeds per silique in Wild		
875	type, rad54-1 and rad54-2 mutants. Each point represents the number of seeds in one silique		
876	Bars indicate mean ± SEM. n.s. : not significantly different. P > 0.05 (unpaired, two-tailed		
877	Mann-Whitney test).		
878			
879	Supplemental Figure 2. Genetic recombination in wild-type, rad54-1 and rad54-2		
880	mutants measured using I2fg fluorescent-tagged lines.		
881	Genetic distances (in centiMorgans, cM) calculated from tetrad analysis of the I2f and I2g		
882	intervals on chromosome 2. Bars indicate mean ± SD. For both intervals, WT and rad54 plant		
883	do not significantly differ (p<0.05; Z-test).		
884			
885	Supplemental Figure 3. Fertility of <i>dmc1 rad54-1</i> and <i>dmc1 rad54-2</i> mutant plants.		
886	Number of seeds per silique in Wild-type, <i>dmc1</i> , <i>dmc1 rad54-1</i> and <i>dmc1 rad54-2</i> mutants.		
887	Each spot represents the number of seeds in one silique. Bars indicate mean ± SEM. ****		
888	significantly different. P < 0.0001 (unpaired, two-tailed Mann-Whitney test).		
889			
890	Table S1. FTLs raw data.		
891	Tetrad count for all tetrad categories for I1bc and I2fg intervals. Tetrad categories (a to I) were		
892	classified as described previously by Berchowitz and Copenhaver (2008).		













Figure 2













в

annon rado r E	

Figure 5

n.s.

÷.

94 ± 2

(n = 56)



bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10 (which was not certified by peer review

