



HAL
open science

COMPLETE MONOTONICITY AND MONOTONICITY OF TWO FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY TWO DERIVATIVES OF A FUNCTION INVOLVING TRIGAMMA FUNCTION

Feng Qi

► **To cite this version:**

Feng Qi. COMPLETE MONOTONICITY AND MONOTONICITY OF TWO FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY TWO DERIVATIVES OF A FUNCTION INVOLVING TRIGAMMA FUNCTION. 2020. hal-02993723v1

HAL Id: hal-02993723

<https://hal.science/hal-02993723v1>

Preprint submitted on 7 Nov 2020 (v1), last revised 2 Feb 2022 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

COMPLETE MONOTONICITY AND MONOTONICITY OF TWO FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY TWO DERIVATIVES OF A FUNCTION INVOLVING TRIGAMMA FUNCTION

FENG QI

*Dedicated to my PhD supervisor, Prof. Sen-Lin Xu, retired at USTC in China
on his 80th birthday anniversary*

ABSTRACT. In the paper, by convolution theorem for the Laplace transforms, Bernstein's theorem for completely monotonic functions, some properties of a function involving exponential function, and other analytic techniques, the author finds necessary and sufficient conditions for two functions defined by two derivatives of a function involving trigamma function to be completely monotonic or monotonic. These results generalize corresponding known ones. The author also poses several guesses related to these results.

CONTENTS

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 2. | Lemmas | 3 |
| 3. | Necessary and sufficient conditions of complete monotonicity | 5 |
| 4. | Necessary and sufficient conditions of monotonicity | 8 |
| 5. | Several remarks and guesses | 11 |
| | References | 12 |

1. INTRODUCTION

In the literature [1, Section 6.4], the function

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \Re(z) > 0$$

and its logarithmic derivative

$$\psi(z) = [\ln \Gamma(z)]' = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)}$$

are respectively called Euler's gamma function and digamma function. Further, the functions $\psi'(z)$, $\psi''(z)$, $\psi'''(z)$, and $\psi^{(4)}(z)$ are known as trigamma, tetragamma,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 33B15; Secondary 26A48, 26A51, 26D07, 44A10.

Key words and phrases. complete monotonicity; monotonicity; necessary and sufficient condition; derivative; trigamma function; Bernstein's theorem for completely monotonic functions; convolution theorem for the Laplace transforms; exponential function; inequality; guess.

This paper was typeset using $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$.

pentagamma, and hexagamma functions respectively. As a whole, all the derivatives $\psi^{(k)}(z)$ for $k \geq 0$ are known as polygamma functions.

Recall from Chapter XIII in [4], Chapter 1 in [11], and Chapter IV in [12] that, if a function $f(x)$ on an interval I has derivatives of all orders on I and satisfies $(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in I$ and $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers, then we call $f(x)$ a completely monotonic function on I .

In [7, Section 4] and [8, Theorem 4], the author turned out that,

- (1) if and only if $\alpha \geq 2$, the function

$$\mathfrak{H}_\alpha(x) = \psi'(x) + x\psi''(x) + \alpha[x\psi'(x) - 1]^2 \quad (1.1)$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;

- (2) if and only if $\alpha \leq 1$, the function $-\mathfrak{H}_\alpha(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;

- (3) the double inequality

$$-2 < \frac{\psi'(x) + x\psi''(x)}{[x\psi'(x) - 1]^2} < -1 \quad (1.2)$$

is valid on $(0, \infty)$ and sharp in the sense that the lower and upper bounds -2 and -1 cannot be replaced by any bigger and smaller ones respectively.

For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$H_\beta(x) = \frac{\psi'(x) + x\psi''(x)}{[x\psi'(x) - 1]^\beta} \quad (1.3)$$

on $(0, \infty)$. In [7, Theorem 1.1], the author generalized the double inequality (1.2) by finding the following necessary and sufficient conditions:

- (1) if and only if $\beta \geq 2$, the function $H_\beta(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} H_\beta(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & \beta = 2 \\ 0, & \beta > 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_\beta(x) = \begin{cases} -2, & \beta = 2 \\ -\infty, & \beta > 2; \end{cases}$$

- (2) if $\beta \leq 1$, the function $H_\beta(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits

$$H_\beta(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} -\infty, & x \rightarrow 0^+ \\ 0, & x \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let $\Phi(x) = x\psi'(x) - 1$ on $(0, \infty)$. It is easy to see that

$$\Phi^{(k)}(x) = k\psi^{(k)}(x) + x\psi^{(k+1)}(x), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.4)$$

The functions $\mathfrak{H}_\alpha(x)$ and $H_\beta(x)$ in (1.1) and (1.3) and the double inequality (1.2) can be reformulated in terms of $\Phi(x)$ and its first derivative as

$$\mathfrak{H}_\alpha(x) = \Phi'(x) + \alpha\Phi^2(x), \quad H_\beta(x) = \frac{\Phi'(x)}{\Phi^\beta(x)}, \quad -2 < \frac{\Phi'(x)}{\Phi^2(x)} < -1.$$

For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_k, \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\mathfrak{J}_{k, \lambda_k}(x) = \Phi^{(2k+1)}(x) + \lambda_k[\Phi^{(k)}(x)]^2 \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$J_{k,\mu_k}(x) = \frac{\Phi^{(2k+1)}(x)}{[(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x)]^{\mu_k}} \tag{1.6}$$

on $(0, \infty)$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{J}_{0,\lambda_0}(x) = \mathfrak{H}_{\lambda_0}(x)$ and $J_{0,\mu_0}(x) = H_{\mu_0}(x)$.

In this paper, we mainly find necessary and sufficient conditions on λ_k and μ_k such that

- (1) the functions $\pm \mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
- (2) the function $J_{k,\mu_k}(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

These results generalize corresponding ones in [7, 8] mentioned above.

In the last section of this paper, we pose several guesses related to our main results in this paper.

2. LEMMAS

The following lemmas are necessary in this paper.

Lemma 2.1 ([7, Lemma 2.3]). *Let*

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^t(e^t - 1 - t)}{(e^t - 1)^2}, & t \neq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Then the following conclusions are valid:

- (1) the function $h(t)$ is increasing from $(-\infty, \infty)$ onto $(0, 1)$, convex on $(-\infty, 0)$, concave on $(0, \infty)$, and logarithmically concave on $(-\infty, \infty)$;
- (2) the function $\frac{h(2t)}{h^2(t)}$ is increasing from $(-\infty, 0)$ onto $(0, 2)$ and decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(1, 2)$;
- (3) the double inequality

$$1 < \frac{h(2t)}{h^2(t)} < 2 \tag{2.1}$$

is valid on $(0, \infty)$ and sharp in the sense that the lower bound 1 and the upper bound 2 cannot be replaced by any larger scalar and any smaller scalar respectively;

- (4) for any fixed $t > 0$, the function $h(st)h((1-s)t)$ is increasing in $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Lemma 2.2. *For $k \geq 0$, the function $(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits*

$$(-1)^k x^{k+1} \Phi^{(k)}(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} k!, & x \rightarrow 0^+; \\ \frac{k!}{2}, & x \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. In the proof of [8, Theorem 4], the author established that

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^\infty h(t)e^{-xt} dt.$$

This means

$$(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x) = \int_0^\infty h(t)t^k e^{-xt} dt \tag{2.3}$$

which is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

For $\Re(z) > 0$ and $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\psi^{(k-1)}(z+1) = \psi^{(k-1)}(z) + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{z^k}.$$

See [1, p. 260, 6.4.6]. Considering (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1}\Phi^{(k)}(x) &= x^{k+1} \left(k \left[\psi^{(k)}(x+1) - (-1)^k \frac{k!}{x^{k+1}} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + x \left[\psi^{(k+1)}(x+1) - (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(k+1)!}{x^{k+2}} \right] \right) \\ &\rightarrow (-1)^k k! \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0^+$. The first limit in (2.2) follows.

In [1, p. 260, 6.4.11], it was given that, for $|\arg z| < \pi$, as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\psi^{(n)}(z) \sim (-1)^{n-1} \left[\frac{(n-1)!}{z^n} + \frac{n!}{2z^{n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{(2k+n-1)!}{(2k)!z^{2k+n}} \right],$$

where B_{2k} for $k \geq 1$ stands for the Bernoulli numbers which are generated [5] by

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = 1 - \frac{z}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{z^{2k}}{(2k)!}, \quad |z| < 2\pi.$$

Considering (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1}\Phi^{(k)}(x) &\sim x^{k+1} \left(k \left[(-1)^{k-1} \left[\frac{(k-1)!}{x^k} + \frac{k!}{2x^{k+1}} + \dots \right] \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + x \left[(-1)^k \left[\frac{k!}{x^{k+1}} + \frac{(k+1)!}{2x^{k+2}} + \dots \right] \right] \right) \\ &\rightarrow (-1)^k \frac{k!}{2} \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. The second limit in (2.2) is thus proved. The proof of Lemma 2.2 is complete. \square

Lemma 2.3 (Convolution theorem for the Laplace transforms [12, pp. 91–92]). *Let $f_k(t)$ for $k = 1, 2$ be piecewise continuous in arbitrary finite intervals included in $(0, \infty)$. If there exist some constants $M_k > 0$ and $c_k \geq 0$ such that $|f_k(t)| \leq M_k e^{c_k t}$ for $k = 1, 2$, then*

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left[\int_0^t f_1(u) f_2(t-u) du \right] e^{-st} dt = \int_0^{\infty} f_1(u) e^{-su} du \int_0^{\infty} f_2(v) e^{-sv} dv.$$

Lemma 2.4 ([6, Theorem 6.1]). *If $f(x)$ is differentiable and logarithmically concave on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then the product $f(x)f(x_0 - x)$ for any fixed number $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is increasing in $x \in (-\infty, \frac{x_0}{2})$ and decreasing in $x \in (\frac{x_0}{2}, \infty)$.*

Lemma 2.5 (Bernstein's theorem [12, p. 161, Theorem 12b]). *A function $f(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if*

$$f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} d\sigma(t), \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\sigma(s)$ is non-decreasing and the integral in (2.4) converges for $x \in (0, \infty)$.

Lemma 2.6. For $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the function

$$U_{k,m}(x) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^m} \frac{x^{k+m} + (x+2)^{k+m}}{x^k + (x+2)^k} \quad (2.5)$$

is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$, with $U_{k,m}(0) = 2^m$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} U_{k,m}(x) = 1$. Equivalently, the function

$$V_{k,m}(x) = \frac{(1-x)^{k+m} + (1+x)^{k+m}}{(1-x)^k + (1+x)^k}$$

is increasing in $x \in [0, 1]$, with $V_{k,m}(0) = 1$ and $V_{k,m}(1) = 2^m$.

Proof. Direct differentiation gives

$$\begin{aligned} U'_{k,m}(x) &= - \frac{\left(\begin{array}{l} [(2k+m)x+2k][(x+2)^m - x^m]x^k(x+2)^k \\ - 2mx^{k+m}(x+2)^k \\ + mx(x+2)[(x+2)^{2k+m-1} - x^{2k+m-1}] \end{array} \right)}{x(x+2)(x+1)^{m+1}[x^k + (x+2)^k]^2} \\ &= - \frac{\left(\begin{array}{l} [(2k+m)x+2k][(x+2)^m - x^m]x^k(x+2)^k \\ - 2mx^{k+m}(x+2)^k \\ + 2mx(x+2) \sum_{\ell=0}^{2k+m-2} (x+2)^\ell x^{2k+m-2-\ell} \end{array} \right)}{x(x+2)(x+1)^{m+1}[x^k + (x+2)^k]^2} \\ &= - \frac{\left(\begin{array}{l} [(2k+m)x+2k][(x+2)^m - x^m]x^k(x+2)^k \\ + 2m \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \ell \leq 2k+m-2 \\ \ell \neq k-1}} (x+2)^{\ell+1} x^{2k+m-(\ell+1)} \end{array} \right)}{x(x+2)(x+1)^{m+1}[x^k + (x+2)^k]^2} \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, the function $U_{k,m}(x)$ is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$.

It is straightforward to verify

$$V_{k,m}\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) = U_{k,m}(x).$$

Since $U_{k,m}(x)$ is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$, the function $V_{k,m}(x)$ is increasing on $[0, 1]$. The proof of Lemma 2.6 is complete. \square

3. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS OF COMPLETE MONOTONICITY

In this section, we find necessary and sufficient conditions on λ_k such that the functions $\pm \mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x)$ defined in (1.5) are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.1. For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$,

- (1) if and only if $\lambda_k \geq \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$, the function $\mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
- (2) if and only if $\lambda_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$, the function $-\mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

First proof. If $\mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then its first derivative

$$\mathfrak{J}'_{k,\lambda_k}(x) = \Phi^{(2k+2)}(x) + 2\lambda_k \Phi^{(k)}(x) \Phi^{(k+1)}(x) \leq 0$$

on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, we have

$$\lambda_k \geq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Phi^{(2k+2)}(x)}{\Phi^{(k)}(x) \Phi^{(k+1)}(x)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)\psi^{(2k+2)}(x) + x\psi^{(2k+3)}(x)}{[k\psi^{(k)}(x) + x\psi^{(k+1)}(x)][(k+1)\psi^{(k+1)}(x) + x\psi^{(k+2)}(x)]} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^{2k+3}[(2k+2)\psi^{(2k+2)}(x) + x\psi^{(2k+3)}(x)]}{x^{k+1}[k\psi^{(k)}(x) + x\psi^{(k+1)}(x)]x^{k+2}[(k+1)\psi^{(k+1)}(x) + x\psi^{(k+2)}(x)]} \\
&\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(-1)^{2k+2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{2}}{(-1)^k k! (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(k+1)!}{2}} \\
&= \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}
\end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where we used the second limit in (2.2). Consequently, the necessary condition for $\mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x)$ to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ is $\lambda_k \geq \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$.

Similarly, if $-\mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then $\mathfrak{J}'_{k,\lambda_k}(x) \geq 0$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_k &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^{2k+3}[(2k+2)\psi^{(2k+2)}(x) + x\psi^{(2k+3)}(x)]}{x^{k+1}[k\psi^{(k)}(x) + x\psi^{(k+1)}(x)]x^{k+2}[(k+1)\psi^{(k+1)}(x) + x\psi^{(k+2)}(x)]} \\
&\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(-1)^{2k+2}(2k+2)!}{(-1)^k k! (-1)^{k+1}(k+1)!} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}
\end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0^+$, where we used the first limit in (2.2). Consequently, the necessary condition for $-\mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x)$ to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ is $\lambda_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$.

By virtue of the integral representation (2.3), we arrive at

$$\mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x) = \lambda_k \left[\int_0^\infty t^k h(t) e^{-xt} dt \right]^2 - \int_0^\infty t^{2k+1} h(t) e^{-xt} dt.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{J}_{k,\lambda_k}(x) &= \lambda_k \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k h(u) h(t-u) du \right] e^{-xt} dt - \int_0^\infty t^{2k+1} h(t) e^{-xt} dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left[\lambda_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k h(u) h(t-u) du - t^{2k+1} h(t) \right] e^{-xt} dt. \quad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

By logarithmic concavity of $h(t)$ in Lemma 2.1 and by Lemma 2.4, we acquire

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k h(u) h(t-u) du - t^{2k+1} h(t) \\
&\leq \lambda_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k h\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) h\left(t - \frac{t}{2}\right) du - t^{2k+1} h(t) \\
&= \lambda_k \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!} t^{2k+1} \left[h\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right]^2 - t^{2k+1} h(t) \\
&= \left[h\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right]^2 \left(\lambda_k \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!} - \frac{h(t)}{\left[h\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right]^2} \right) t^{2k+1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k h(u) h(t-u) du - t^{2k+1} h(t) \\
& \geq \lambda_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k h(0) h(t) du - t^{2k+1} h(t) \\
& = \lambda_k \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!} t^{2k+1} h(0) h(t) - t^{2k+1} h(t) \\
& = \left[\frac{\lambda_k (k!)^2}{2 (2k+1)!} - 1 \right] t^{2k+1} h(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the computation

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k du &= t^{2k+1} \int_0^1 s^k (1-s)^k ds \\
&= B(k+1, k+1) t^{2k+1} = \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

By the double inequality (2.1) in Lemma 2.1, when $\lambda_k \leq \frac{(2k+1)!}{(k!)^2}$, we deduce

$$\lambda_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k h(u) h(t-u) du - t^{2k+1} h(t) < 0, \quad t \in (0, \infty);$$

when $\lambda_k \geq 2 \frac{(2k+1)!}{(k!)^2}$, we have

$$\lambda_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k h(u) h(t-u) du - t^{2k+1} h(t) > 0, \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

Consequently, when $\lambda_k \geq 2 \frac{(2k+1)!}{(k!)^2} = \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$, the function $\mathfrak{J}_{k, \lambda_k}(x)(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$; when $\lambda_k \leq \frac{(2k+1)!}{(k!)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$, the function $-\mathfrak{J}_{k, \lambda_k}(x)(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. \square

Second proof. The integral representation (3.1) can be alternatively reformulated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{J}_{k, \lambda_k}(x) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\lambda_k \frac{\int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k h(u) h(t-u) du}{t^{2k+1} h(t)} - 1 \right] t^{2k+1} h(t) e^{-xt} dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left[\lambda_k \frac{\int_0^1 v^k (1-v)^k h(vt) h((1-v)t) dv}{h(t)} - 1 \right] t^{2k+1} h(t) e^{-xt} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By the last conclusion in Lemma 2.1, the sharp lower bound in (2.1), and the equation (3.2) in sequence, we obtain the sharp inequalities

$$\frac{\int_0^1 v^k (1-v)^k h(vt) h((1-v)t) dv}{h(t)} > \frac{h(0) h(t) \int_0^1 v^k (1-v)^k dv}{h(t)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!}$$

and

$$\frac{\int_0^1 v^k (1-v)^k h(vt) h((1-v)t) dv}{h(t)} < \frac{[h(\frac{1}{2}t)]^2 \int_0^1 v^k (1-v)^k dv}{h(t)} < \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!}$$

for $t \in (0, \infty)$. Due to the sharpness of these inequalities, making use of Lemma 2.5 immediately leads to necessary and sufficient conditions on λ_k in Theorem 3.1. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. \square

4. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS OF MONOTONICITY

In this section, we find necessary and sufficient conditions on μ_k such that the function $J_{k,\mu_k}(x)$ defined in (1.6) is monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 4.1. *For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$,*

- (1) *if and only if $\mu_k \geq 2$, the function $J_{k,\mu_k}(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} J_{k,\mu_k}(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}, & \mu_k = 2 \\ 0, & \mu_k > 2 \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} J_{k,\mu_k}(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}, & \mu_k = 2 \\ -\infty, & \mu_k > 2; \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

- (2) *if $\mu_k \leq 1$, the function $J_{k,\mu_k}(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits*

$$J_{k,\mu_k}(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} -\infty, & x \rightarrow 0^+ \\ 0, & x \rightarrow \infty; \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

- (3) *the double inequality*

$$-\frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!} < \frac{\Phi^{(2k+1)}(x)}{[\Phi^{(k)}(x)]^2} < -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!} \quad (4.4)$$

is valid on $(0, \infty)$ and sharp in the sense that the lower and upper bounds cannot be replaced by any larger and smaller numbers respectively.

Proof. If the function $J_{k,\mu_k}(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, then its first derivative

$$J'_{k,\mu_k}(x) = \frac{\Phi^{(2k+2)}(x)[(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x)] - \mu_k (-1)^k \Phi^{(k+1)}(x) \Phi^{(2k+1)}(x)}{[(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x)]^{\mu_k+1}} \leq 0,$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k &\geq \frac{\Phi^{(k)}(x) \Phi^{(2k+2)}(x)}{\Phi^{(k+1)}(x) \Phi^{(2k+1)}(x)} \\ &= \frac{[(-1)^k x^{k+1} \Phi^{(k)}(x)] [(-1)^{2k+2} x^{2k+3} \Phi^{(2k+2)}(x)]}{[(-1)^{k+1} x^{k+2} \Phi^{(k+1)}(x)] [(-1)^{2k+1} x^{2k+2} \Phi^{(2k+1)}(x)]} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{k!(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!(2k+1)!} \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ or $x \rightarrow \infty$, where we used the limits in (2.2). Hence, the necessary condition for $J_{k,\mu_k}(x)$ to be decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ is $\mu_k \geq 2$.

By the integral representation (2.3), the function $J_{k,\mu_k}(x)$ can be rewritten as

$$J_{k,\mu_k}(x) = -\frac{\int_0^\infty t^{2k+1} h(t) e^{-xt} dt}{\left[\int_0^\infty t^k h(t) e^{-xt} dt \right]^{\mu_k}}.$$

Since

$$\frac{dJ_{k,\mu_k}(x)}{dx} = \frac{\left(\int_0^\infty t^{2k+2}h(t)e^{-xt}dt \int_0^\infty t^k h(t)e^{-xt}dt \right)}{\left(-\mu_k \int_0^\infty t^{2k+1}h(t)e^{-xt}dt \int_0^\infty t^{k+1}h(t)e^{-xt}dt \right)},$$

in order to prove that the function $J_{k,\mu_k}(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, it is sufficient to show the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k \int_0^\infty t^{2k+1}h(t)e^{-xt}dt \int_0^\infty t^{k+1}h(t)e^{-xt}dt \\ \geq \int_0^\infty t^{2k+2}h(t)e^{-xt}dt \int_0^\infty t^k h(t)e^{-xt}dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

By Lemma 2.3, the inequality (4.5) can be reformulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t u^{2k+1}(t-u)^{k+1}h(u)h(t-u)du \right] e^{-xt}dt \\ \geq \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t u^{2k+2}(t-u)^k h(u)h(t-u)du \right] e^{-xt}dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Let

$$P_k(t) = \int_0^t u^{2k+1}(t-u)^{k+1}h(u)h(t-u)du$$

and

$$Q_k(t) = \int_0^t u^{2k+2}(t-u)^k h(u)h(t-u)du.$$

Then the inequality (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^\infty Q_k(t) \left[\frac{P_k(t)}{Q_k(t)} - \frac{1}{\mu_k} \right] e^{-xt}dt \geq 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Changing the variable $u = \frac{(1+v)t}{2}$ results in

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_k(t)}{Q_k(t)} &= \frac{\int_0^1 [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^{k+1} h\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) h\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv}{\int_0^1 [(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}] (1-v^2)^k h\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) h\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\int_0^1 [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^{k+1} dv}{\int_0^1 [(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}] (1-v^2)^k dv} \\ &= \frac{2^{3k+3} B(2k+2, k+2)}{2^{3k+3} B(2k+3, k+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ or $t \rightarrow \infty$, where we used the fact in Lemma 2.1 that the function $h(t)$ is increasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and used the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 [(1+x)^{\mu-1}(1-x)^{\nu-1} + (1+x)^{\nu-1}(1-x)^{\mu-1}] dx &= 2^{\mu+\nu-1} B(\mu, \nu) \\ &= 2^{\mu+\nu-1} \frac{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\mu+\nu)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for $\Re(\mu), \Re(\nu) > 0$ in [2, p. 321, 3.214].

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(t) &= \int_0^1 [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^{k+1} h\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) h\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}] (1-v^2)^k h\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) h\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv \\ &= \int_0^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k h\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) h\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_k(v) = 1 - v^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}}{(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k}$$

with $T_k(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $T_k(1) = -2$. By Lemma 2.6 for $m = 2$, we see that the function $T_k(v)$ is decreasing on $[0, 1]$ and has only one zero $v_0 \in (0, 1)$. As a result, by the fourth conclusion in Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(t) &= \int_0^{v_0} + \int_{v_0}^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k h\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) h\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv \\ &> h\left(\frac{1+v_0}{2}t\right) h\left(\frac{1-v_0}{2}t\right) \int_0^{v_0} T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k dv \\ &\quad + h\left(\frac{1+v_0}{2}t\right) h\left(\frac{1-v_0}{2}t\right) \int_{v_0}^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k dv \\ &= h\left(\frac{1+v_0}{2}t\right) h\left(\frac{1-v_0}{2}t\right) \int_0^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k dv \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the formula (4.9) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k dv \\ &= \int_0^1 [(1+v)^{k+1}(1-v)^{2k+1} + (1+v)^{2k+1}(1-v)^{k+1}] dv \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [(1+v)^k(1-v)^{2k+2} + (1+v)^{2k+2}(1-v)^k] dv \\ &= 2^{3k+3} B(k+2, 2k+2) - 2^{3k+2} B(k+1, 2k+3) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, considering the limit in (4.8), we conclude an inequality $\frac{P_k(t)}{Q_k(t)} > \frac{1}{2}$ for $t > 0$, which is sharp in the sense that the lower bound $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot be replaced by any larger number. This sharp inequality shows that the inequality (4.7) is valid for all $\mu_k \geq 2$. Accordingly, the condition $\mu_k \geq 2$ is sufficient for $J_{k, \mu_k}(x)$ to be decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} &[(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^{k+1} - [(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}] (1-v^2)^k \\ &= -2v(1-v^2)^k [(1+v)^k - (1-v)^k + v((1-v)^k + (1+v)^k)] < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in (0, 1)$. Combining this negativity with the positivity of $h(t)$ on $(0, \infty)$, we deduce an inequality $0 < \frac{P_k(t)}{Q_k(t)} < 1$ on $(0, \infty)$. This means that, when $\mu_k \leq 1$, the function $J_{k, \mu_k}(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

The limits in (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) follow from applying the limits in (2.2).

The double inequality (4.4) and its sharpness follow from monotonicity of $J_{k, \mu_k}(x)$ and the limits (4.1) and (4.2) for $\mu_k = 2$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete. \square

Corollary 4.1. *For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$, the function*

$$(-1)^k [\mu_k \Phi^{(k+1)}(x) \Phi^{(2k+1)}(x) - \Phi^{(2k+2)}(x) \Phi^{(k)}(x)]$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\mu_k \geq 2$, while its negativity is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if $\mu_k \leq 1$.

Proof. This follows from the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

5. SEVERAL REMARKS AND GUESSES

Finally, we list several guesses related to main results in this paper in the form of remarks.

Remark 5.1. Corollary 4.1 in this paper generalizes [7, Corollary 3.1].

Remark 5.2. For $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we guess that the function $U_{k, m}(x)$ defined in (2.5) should be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Remark 5.3. For $k \geq m \geq 0$, let

$$\mathcal{J}_{k, m}(x) = \frac{\Phi^{(2k+2)}(x)}{\Phi^{(k-m)}(x) \Phi^{(k+m+1)}(x)}$$

on $(0, \infty)$. Motivated by the proof of necessary conditions in Theorem 3.1, we guess that the function $\mathcal{J}_{k, m}(x)$ for $k \geq m \geq 0$ should be decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, the inequality

$$-\frac{2(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!} < \mathcal{J}_{k, 0}(x) < -\frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$$

for $k \geq 0$ should be valid on $(0, \infty)$ and sharp in the sense that the lower and upper bounds cannot be replaced by any larger and smaller numbers respectively.

Remark 5.4. For $k \geq 0$, we guess that the function of $(-1)^k x^k \Phi^{(k)}(x)$ should be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, but the function $(-1)^k x^{k+1} \Phi^{(k)}(x)$ should not be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. In other words, the completely monotonic degree of $(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x)$ with respect to $x \in (0, \infty)$ should be $k \geq 0$. For the concept and new results of completely monotonic degrees, please refer to the papers [3, 6, 9, 10, 11] and closely related references therein.

We also guess that the function $(-1)^k x^{k+1} \Phi^{(k)}(x)$ for $k \geq 0$ should be decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, considering the limits in (2.2), the double inequality

$$\frac{k!}{2} \frac{1}{x^{k+1}} < (-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x) < k! \frac{1}{x^{k+1}}$$

for $k \geq 0$ should be valid on $(0, \infty)$ and sharp in the sense that the scalars $\frac{k!}{2}$ and $k!$ in the lower and upper bounds cannot be replaced by any bigger and smaller ones respectively.

Remark 5.5. By virtue of the integral representation (2.3), integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} x^k(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x) &= -x^{k-1} \int_0^\infty t^k h(t) \frac{de^{-xt}}{dt} dt \\ &= -x^{k-1} \left([t^k h(t) e^{-xt}] \Big|_{t \rightarrow 0^+}^{t \rightarrow \infty} - \int_0^\infty [t^k h(t)]' e^{-xt} dt \right) \\ &= x^{k-1} \int_0^\infty [t^k h(t)]' e^{-xt} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, consecutively integrating by parts results in

$$\begin{aligned} x^k(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x) &= x \int_0^\infty [t^k h(t)]^{(k-1)} e^{-xt} dt \\ &= - \int_0^\infty [t^k h(t)]^{(k-1)} \frac{de^{-xt}}{dt} dt \\ &= - \left[([t^k h(t)]^{(k-1)} e^{-xt}) \Big|_{t \rightarrow 0^+}^{t \rightarrow \infty} - \int_0^\infty [t^k h(t)]^{(k)} e^{-xt} dt \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty [t^k h(t)]^{(k)} e^{-xt} dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x^{k+1}(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x) = \frac{k!}{2} + \int_0^\infty [t^k h(t)]^{(k+1)} e^{-xt} dt.$$

Utilizing the last two integral representations, considering the necessary and sufficient condition expressed in (2.4), and basing on those guesses in Remark 5.4 above, we guess that, for given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, all the derivatives $[t^k h(t)]^{(\ell)}$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ should be positive on $(0, \infty)$, but $[t^k h(t)]^{(k+1)}$ should change sign on $(0, \infty)$.

Remark 5.6. We guess that the sufficient condition $\mu_k \leq 1$ in Theorem 4.1 should be $\mu_k \leq \mu(k)$ with $1 < \mu(k) < 2$.

Remark 5.7. This paper is the third one in a series of papers including [7, 8].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series **55**, Reprint of the 1972 edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [2] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Daniel Zwillinger and Victor Moll, Eighth edition, Revised from the seventh edition, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2015; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384933-5.00013-8>.
- [3] B.-N. Guo and F. Qi, *A completely monotonic function involving the tri-gamma function and with degree one*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (2012), no. 19, 9890–9897; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.03.075>.
- [4] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1993; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1043-5>.
- [5] F. Qi, *A double inequality for the ratio of two non-zero neighbouring Bernoulli numbers*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **351** (2019), 1–5; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2018.10.049>.

- [6] F. Qi, *Completely monotonic degree of a function involving trigamma and tetragamma functions*, AIMS Math. **5** (2020), no. 4, 3391–3407; available online at <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020219>.
- [7] F. Qi, *Monotonicity of a ratio involving trigamma and tetragamma functions*, OSF Preprints (2020), available online at <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/5rfb8>.
- [8] F. Qi, *Some properties of several functions involving polygamma functions and originating from the sectional curvature of the beta manifold*, São Paulo J. Math. Sci. **15** (2021), in press; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40863-020-00193-1>.
- [9] F. Qi and C. Berg, *Complete monotonicity of a difference between the exponential and trigamma functions and properties related to a modified Bessel function*, Mediterr. J. Math. **10** (2013), no. 4, 1685–1696; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-013-0272-2>.
- [10] F. Qi and A.-Q. Liu, *Completely monotonic degrees for a difference between the logarithmic and psi functions*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **361** (2019), 366–371; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2019.05.001>.
- [11] R. L. Schilling, R. Song, and Z. Vondraček, *Bernstein Functions*, 2nd ed., de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **37**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, Germany, 2012; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110269338>.
- [12] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.
Email address: qifeng618@gmail.com, qifeng618@hotmail.com, qifeng618@qq.com
URL: <https://qifeng618.wordpress.com>, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6239-2968>