Characterizing measures for the assessment of cluster analysis and community detection

> **Nejat Arinik** Vincent Labatut Rosa Figueiredo

Laboratoire Informatique d'Avignon, University of Avignon, France.

MARAMI Oct 15, 2020

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

#### Context

- **Context:** comparing two non-overlapping partitions through an external measure → cluster analysis, graph partitioning
  - ground-truth vs. estimated partition, 2 estimated partitions

∃ →

#### Context

- **Context:** comparing two non-overlapping partitions through an external measure → cluster analysis, graph partitioning
  - ground-truth vs. estimated partition, 2 estimated partitions

#### Issues:

- profusion of available measures → trend to follow popular measures
- lack of comprehensive comparison



#### Context

- Context: comparing two non-overlapping partitions through an external measure → cluster analysis, graph partitioning
  - ground-truth vs. estimated partition, 2 estimated partitions

#### Issues:

- profusion of available measures → trend to follow popular measures
- lack of comprehensive comparison



• Our solution: a new framework of evaluation

## Outline

#### Our framework

- Characterizing of the measures
- Regression Analysis

#### 2 Experiments







## Our framework: Characterizing of the measures



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

## Our framework: Characterizing of the measures



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

## Our framework: Characterizing of the measures



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

## Our framework: proposed deterministic transformations



 </l

∃ →

## Our framework: Regression Analysis

Our multiple linear regression model:

$$y = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left( \beta_{0ij} t_i m_j + \beta_{1ij} nt_i m_j + \beta_{2ij} kt_i m_j + \beta_{3ij} pt_i m_j + \beta_{4ij} ht_i m_j + \beta_{5ij} nkt_i m_j + \beta_{6ij} nht_i m_j + \beta_{7ij} npt_i m_j + \beta_{8ij} kht_i m_j + \beta_{9ij} kpt_i m_j + \beta_{10ij} hpt_i m_j \right) + \epsilon,$$
(1)

- $\beta_{\cdot ij}$ : regression coefficients
- $t_i$   $(1 \le i \le T)$  and  $m_j$   $(1 \le j \le M)$ : binary dummy variables, where T = number of transformations and M = number of measures
- ε: common error

## Our framework: Regression Analysis

Our multiple linear regression model:

$$y = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \left( \beta_{0ij} t_i m_j + \beta_{1ij} nt_i m_j + \beta_{2ij} kt_i m_j + \beta_{3ij} pt_i m_j + \beta_{4ij} ht_i m_j + \beta_{5ij} nkt_i m_j + \beta_{6ij} nht_i m_j + \beta_{7ij} npt_i m_j + \beta_{8ij} kht_i m_j + \beta_{9ij} kpt_i m_j + \beta_{10ij} hpt_i m_j \right) + \epsilon,$$
(1)

- $\beta_{\cdot ij}$ : regression coefficients
- $t_i$   $(1 \le i \le T)$  and  $m_j$   $(1 \le j \le M)$ : binary dummy variables, where T = number of transformations and M = number of measures
- ε: common error

#### ● Relative importance analysis → squared beta weights

## Experiments

- Our data: 50,000 pairs of partitions
  - measures (*m*) = {Rand Index (*D<sub>RI</sub>*), Adjusted Rand Index (*D<sub>ARI</sub>*), Fowlkes-Mallows Index (*D<sub>FMI</sub>*), Jaccard Index (*D<sub>JI</sub>*), F-measure (*D<sub>F</sub>*), Normalized Mutual Information (*D<sub>NMI</sub>*)}
  - transformations (t) = {K New Cluster, Singleton Clusters, 1 New Cluster, Neighbor Cluster Swap, Orthogonal Clusters}
  - number of elements (*n*) = 3240, 4320, ..., 12960
  - number of clusters (k) = 2, 3, ..., 11
  - heterogeneity of cluster sizes (h) = 0, 0.1, ..., 0.9
  - transformation intensity (q) = 0.1, 0.2, ..., 1
- Regression assumptions
  - by design  $\rightarrow$  no collinearity between the quantitative variables
  - large dataset & central limit theorem  $\rightarrow$  no issue with the residuals
  - heteroscedasticity  $\rightarrow$  increase of the variance in y with parameter q

#### **Results**







C) DFMI

0.5

Terms

h:q k:q k'h

n:q

n:h

n:k

k

🗖 n

h



e) DF 0.4 Relative Importance 0.3 ۸ ۸ ۸ 0.2 0.1 ۸ T . 0.0 -Orthogonal Clusters k New Clusters Neighbor Cluster Swaps 1 New Cluster Singleton Clusters

0.5 -



≣≯ 3

## Practical case: vote application in European Parliament

N. Arinik & R. Figueiredo & V. Labatut. Multiple Partitioning of Multiplex Signed Networks. Social Networks, 2020, 60, 83–102.

#### • Requirements:

- up to 3 clusters
- n fixed

#### Expectations:

- detecting an extra cluster, or a missing one, is an important change → the difference of k between the original and transformed partitions
- the effect of *k* should be stronger than that of *h*
- a dissimilarity score should decrease, when *h* increases



## Practical case: vote application in European Parliament

N. Arinik & R. Figueiredo & V. Labatut. Multiple Partitioning of Multiplex Signed Networks. Social Networks, 2020, 60, 83–102.

#### • Requirements:

- up to 3 clusters
- n fixed

#### Expectations:

- detecting an extra cluster, or a missing one, is an important change → the difference of k between the original and transformed partitions
- the effect of k should be stronger than that of h
- a dissimilarity score should decrease, when h increases





C) DEM









## Practical case: vote application in European Parliament

N. Arinik & R. Figueiredo & V. Labatut. Multiple Partitioning of Multiplex Signed Networks. Social Networks, 2020, 60, 83-102.

#### Requirements: ۰

- up to 3 clusters
- n fixed

#### Expectations:

- detecting an extra cluster, or a missing one, is an important change  $\rightarrow$  the difference of k between the original and transformed partitions
- the effect of k should be stronger than that of h
- a dissimilarity score should decrease, when h increases





f) DNM

I New





#### selected measure: D<sub>F</sub> (F-measure) ۲

 $d)_{D_{u}}$ 

#### Conclusion & Further research

- a new generic framework of evaluation
- ease of interpretation for the results
- typology of measures based on their performances

	Orthogonal Clusters	k New Clusters	Neighbor Cluster Swap	1 New Cluster	Singleton Clusters
D <sub>RI</sub>					
D <sub>ARI</sub>					
D <sub>FMI</sub>					
DJI					
$D_F$					
D <sub>NMI</sub>					

## Conclusion & Further research

- a new generic framework of evaluation
- ease of interpretation for the results
- typology of measures based on their performances

	Orthogonal Clusters	k New Clusters	Neighbor Cluster Swap	1 New Cluster	Singleton Clusters
D <sub>RI</sub>					
D <sub>ARI</sub>					
D <sub>FMI</sub>					
D <sub>JI</sub>					
$D_F$					
D <sub>NMI</sub>					

- evaluation with more measures and transformations
- designing the framework for graph similarity measures
- designing the framework for overlapping partitions

# Thank you for your attention!

#### **Contact Information:**

Nejat ARINIK nejat.arinik@univ-avignon.fr