

# New fossil remains of Fusuisaurus zhaoi (Sauropoda: Titanosauriformes) from the Lower Cretaceous of Guangxi, southern China

Jinyou Mo, Jincheng Li, Yunchuan Ling, Eric Buffetaut, Suravech Suteethorn, Varavudh Suteethorn, Haiyan Tong, Gilles Cuny, Romain Amiot, Xing Xu

# ▶ To cite this version:

Jinyou Mo, Jincheng Li, Yunchuan Ling, Eric Buffetaut, Suravech Suteethorn, et al.. New fossil remains of Fusuisaurus zhaoi (Sauropoda: Titanosauriformes) from the Lower Cretaceous of Guangxi, southern China. Cretaceous Research, 2020, 109, pp.104379. 10.1016/j.cretres.2020.104379. hal-02991795

HAL Id: hal-02991795

https://hal.science/hal-02991795

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 New fossil remain of Fusuisaurus zhaoi (Sauropoda: Titanosauriformes) from the Early
- 2 Cretaceous of Guangxi, southern China

- 4 Jinyou Mo<sup>1\*</sup>, Jincheng Li<sup>2</sup> Yunchuan Ling<sup>3</sup>, Eric Buffetaut<sup>4,5</sup>, Suravech Suteethorn<sup>5</sup>,
- 5 Varavudh Suteethorn <sup>5</sup> Haiyan Tong <sup>5, 6</sup>, Gilles Cuny <sup>7</sup>, Romain Amiot <sup>7</sup> and Xing Xu <sup>6</sup>

6

- 7 1 Natural History Museum of Guangxi, Nanning 530012, China
- 8 2 Guangxi Institute of Regional Geological Survey, Guilin 541003, China
- 9 3 Fusui Bureau of Land and Resources, Fusui 532199, China
- 4 CNRS (UMR 8538), Laboratoire de Géologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure,
- 11 PSL Research University, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
- 12 5 Palaeontological Research and Education Centre, Mahasarakham University,
- 13 Kantarawichai, Mahasarakham 44150, Thailand.
- 14 6 Key Laboratory of Vertebrate Evolution and Human Origins of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of
- 15 Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology,
- 16 Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100044, China
- 17 7 Univ Lyon, Universit éClaude Bernard Lyon 1, ENS de Lyon, CNRS,
- 18 UMR 5276 LGL-TPE, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France

19

- 20 \* Corresponding author. Natural History Museum of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, Nanning 530012,
- 21 China. Telephone: 86 (0771) 2443819. E-mail: jinyoumo@163.com

- 23 Abstract: We describe a large, nearly completely preserved sauropod humerus from the Lower
- 24 Cretaceous Xinlong Formation of Napai Basin, Fusui County, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous
- 25 Region, southern China. It was excavated from the quarry that produced the holotypic specimen of
- 26 the titanosauriform Fusuisaurus zhaoi and is considered here to belong to the same individual as

the holotype of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*. With a preserved length of 183.5 cm, the newly collected humerus provides additional evidence suggesting the presence of a giant sauropod (i.e., *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*) during the late Early Cretaceous of Guangxi, China, which increases our knowledge of the diversity of giant titanosauriforms from the late Early Cretaceous of Asia.

**Key words**: Titanosauriformes; Early Cretaceous; Taphonomy; Asia; *Fusuisaurus zhaoi* 

# 1 Introduction

deposited in a non-marine, fluvial environment, has yielded a diverse assemblage of vertebrates including hybodont sharks (*Hybodus*, *Heteroptychodus*, *Khoratodus*, *Acrorhizodus* and *Thaiodus*), actinopterygians (Halecomorphi and Ginglymodi), turtles (the adocid *Shachemys* and the carettochelyid *Kizylkumenys*), crocodilians (cf. *Theriosuchus*) and dinosaurs (the sauropods *Fusuisaurus* and *Liubangosaurus*, carcharodontosaurid and spinosaurid theropods, iguanodontians and a possible psittacosaurid) (Mo et al., 2016).

The holotype of the giant *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*, which comprises about 10% of a complete sauropod skeleton, that of the medium-sized sauropod *Liubangosaurus hei*, and some unidentified sauropod bones were excavated in 2001 by a team from the Natural History Museum of Guangxi (NHMG) at the "Longcaoling" quarry, Liubang Village (Mo et al., 2006, 2010). In 2016-2017, a joint team from the NHMG and the Bureau of Land and Resources of Fusui County (BLRF) reopened the Longcaoling quarry and uncovered nearly 100 disarticulated dinosaur bones within an area of nearly 600 m² (Fig. 1). Most of these bones are probably derived from juvenile individuals

The Lower Cretaceous Xinlong Formation in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous region, which was

of hadrosauroid and sauropod dinosaurs, based on the disarticulated conditions of the vertebral centra and neural arches. A large, nearly complete right humerus (183.5 cm in preserved length, obviously belonging to a giant sauropod) was recovered from the fossil-bearing layer from which the holotype of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi* was collected and it is positioned close to the latter (25 m), and from the holotypic *Fusuisaurus* in the same bonebed, we conclude that this large humerus belongs to the same individual of *Fusuisaurus* and consider it as the holotype (field number LCL 63).

# 2 Taphonomy

The Longcaoling site is located near the Liubang Village, about 70 km away from Nanning City, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous region. Dinosaur fossils were first excavated by local farmers in 1960s, but all were lost due to a lack of relevant knowledge. In 2001, the Longcaoling site was first excavated by a team organized by NHMG, and yielded the holotype of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi* and of *Liubangosaurus hei*. In 2016-2017, the Longcaoling quarry was re-opened by a joint team from NHMG and BRLF, and yielded nearly 100 dinosaur bones, most of which belong to juvenile hadrosauroid and sauropod dinosaurs (Fig. 1).

Two layers of outcrops at the Longcaoling quarry include the fossil bearing deposit and the underlying deposit (the overlying deposit has been cultivated by local farmers). The fossil bearing deposit is exposed on the surface. It exhibits a succession of purple-red sandy gravels, fine sandstones, muddy sandstones and sandy mudstones. The layer of sandy gravels has a thickness of 15-60 cm in the northern part of the quarry (where LCL 63 was discovered), while it becomes thinner and finally disappears southward. The underlying deposit exhibits a succession of purple-

red, light-green mudstones, siltstones and fine-grained sandstones containing some calcareous

concretions. The surface of this layer in the southern area is full of mud cracks. Some mud cracks are nearly 30 cm in depth and 8 cm in width. This indicates that the fossil site had experienced a prolonged dry period before the debris flow occurred and the dinosaurs were buried, compatible with the inferred environment and ecology, characterized by aridity (Amiot et al., 2015).

The underlying deposit is a lakeshore subfacies. It may have been submerged in the rainy season, and exposed to the surface in the low water season, so that mud cracks formed when the lake dried up for a long time. The fossil-bearing deposit was probably formed by a sudden flood carrying gravels, sand, mud, and scattered dinosaur bones. Some dinosaur bones, such as an ulna, pubis, and metacarpal were preserved in a steeply inclined position. The articulated condition of the holotypic *Liubangosaurus* and the semidisarticulated condition of the holotypic *Fusuisaurus* can be attributed to a rapid deposition before they were scattered. Many of the lost dinosaur bones were either displaced before burial or destroyed by the local farmers prior to discovery.

#### 3 LCL 63 as the holotypic material of Fusuisaurus zhaoi

As Mo et al. (2010) noted, there were three individuals of sauropods present at the Quarry A (Fig. 1A), a very large one (which pertains to *Fusuisaurus*), and two smaller ones (one of which pertains to *Liubangosaurus hei*, an adult, medium-sized sauropod, and the other is an unnamed, small-sized sauropod), based on the three left ilia present at the Qurry A, measuring 145 cm, 119 cm, and at least 67 cm in length, respectively. In addition, it is certainty that there are one giant sauropod (represented by the large humerus LCL 63), and one small, juvenile sauropod dinosaur present at the Quarry B (Fig. 1B), based on some disarticulated conditions of the vertebral centra

96 and neural arches. Therefore, there are at least three individuals of sauropod dinosaurs present at 97 the Quarry A and B, including a giant one, a medium-sized one, and a small, juvenile one, based 98 the absence of overlapping materials within these three sauropods. Fusuisaurus zhaoi was the only 99 giant sauropod recovered from Longcaoling site. Thus, we consider the humerus LCL 63 100 (obviously belongs to a giant sauropod) as the same individual of *F. zhaoi*. 101 102 4 Systematic Palaeontology 103 104 Saurischia Seeley, 1888 105 Sauropoda Marsh, 1878 Titanosauriformes Salgado, Coria & Calvo, 1997 106 107 Fusuisaurus zhaoi Mo, Wang, Huang, Huang & Xu 2006 108 Holotype. NHMG 6729, a relatively complete left ilium, a complete left pubis, 3 incomplete 109 110 articulated anterior caudals, most of dorsal ribs, and distal end of the left femur. LCL 63 (field number at "Longcaoling" quarry of Liubang Village), a nearly complete right humerus. 111 Type locality. Longcaoling quarry, Liubang Village, Shanxu Town, Fusui County, Guangxi 112 113 Zhuang Autonomous Region, southern China. Type horizon. Napai Basin, Xinlong Formation, Early Cretaceous (possibly Aptian) (Mo et al., 114 115 2016) 116 Emended Diagnosis. A gigantic basal titanosauriform (Mo et al., 2006) or Somphospondyli 117 (Mannion et al., 2013) diagnosed by the following characters: humerus with Slenderness Index 118 8.55 and Proximal Humeral Robusticity 2.63, anterior dorsal ribs lacking pneumatic foramina, ilium with a prominent, pointed anteroventral process, ilium with a strongly dorsoventrally expanded preacetabular blade, anterior caudal vertebrae with dorsoventrally flattened transverse processes.

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

119

120

121

**Description**. The humerus is nearly completely preserved, missing only the anterior margins of the distal and proximal parts of the shaft (including the deltopectoral crest), and the distomedial portion (Fig. 2). Its preserved length is 183.5 cm, being the longest one for any known sauropod from the Cretaceous (Table 1). The proximal, midshaft, and distal widths are 56.5 cm, 21.5 cm, and about 54.0 cm, respectively. The humerus is gracile in anterior view, with the SI (Slenderness Index, i.e., ratio of the proximodistal length to the midshaft width) value being about 8.55, which is substantially greater than those of all other giant Cretaceous sauropods. The proximomedial process is round and robust, pointing dorsomedially, with its apex lying well medial to the midshaft. The proximal end is robust relatively to the midshaft, with its PHR (Proximal Humeral Robusticity, i.e., ratio of proximal to midshaft width) value being about 2.63, only smaller than those of Ruyangosaurus and Notocolossus, and larger than those of other giant Cretaceous sauropods. It is unknown whether the proximolateral corner was round or square due to the erosion of this part. The deltopectoral crest is not preserved, but appears to have continued to about midshaft and does not appear to exhibit a significant transverse expansion. Medial to the deltopectoral crest, the anterior margin of the proximal part of the shaft is greatly excavated. The posterolateral bulge on the lateral margin of the proximal part of the shaft is not present. The proximal and distal transverse widths are significantly greater than the midshaft width. The shaft is elliptical in cross-section, with its long axis oriented mediolaterally. The anterior surface and the distal end of the distal part of the shaft were eroded during its fossilization.

#### **5 Discussion**

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

Fusuisaurus is based on a partial skeleton, including a relatively complete left ilium, a complete left pubis, 3 incomplete articulated anterior caudals, most of the dorsal ribs, and the distal part of the left femur. The left ilium is 145 cm in length, obviously longer than any other known sauropod ilia. The incomplete left femur is 60 cm in distal width, with a probable restored length of 200 cm. One anterior caudal centrum is about 40 cm in diameter. In addition, one complete posterior dorsal rib measures 230 cm in length. The recently recovered right humerus, the holotype of Fusuisaurus, is the longest one for any giant sauropod from the Cretaceous (Table 1). These available fossils suggest that *Fusuisaurus* was a typical gigantic titanosauriform (Fig. 3). Titanosauriformes represent a diverse and globally distributed clade of neosauropod dinosaurs (D'Emic, 2012; Mannion et al., 2013). Although the number of named Asian Titanosauriformes has dramatically increased in the last decade, most of them are represented by medium or largesized animals (Azuma and Shibata, 2010; Ksepka and Norell, 2006; Li et al., 2014; Lü et al., 2008, 2009a, 2013a; Mo et al., 2008, 2010, 2017; Saegusa and Ikeda, 2014; Wang et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2006; You et al., 2006; You and Li, 2009; Zhang et al., 2009), only Huanghetitan ruyangensis (Lü et al., 2007), Daxiatitan (You et al., 2008), Yunmenglong (Lü et al., 2013b), Ruyangosaurus (Lüet al., 2009b, 2014) are comparable with Fusuisaurus in terms of gigantism. Huanghetitan ruyangensis is based on a partial skeleton, including a nearly complete sacrum, 10 proximal caudals, haemal arches, dorsal ribs, and incomplete ischium, from the Early Cretaceous Haoling Formation (Aptian-Albian, Xu et al., 2012), Ruyang Basin, Henan Province, central China. The anteriormost caudal centrum is 26.9 cm and 32 cm in posterior height and width, respectively, significantly smaller than that of *Fusuisaurus*. However, the longest preserved dorsal rib in *Huanghetitan ruyangensis* is 293 cm in length, substantially larger than any known dorsal rib of *Fusuisaurus*.

Daxiatitan is based on a postcranial skeleton, including the caudalmost 10 cervicals, 10 dorsals, 2 proximal caudals, partial cervical and dorsal ribs, one haemal arch, right scapulocoracoid, and right femur, from the Early Cretaceous of the Hekou Group, Gansu Province, northwestern China. The complete right femur measured 57 cm at its distal width, comparable to that of *Fusuisaurus*.

*Yunmenglong* is based on a partial skeleton, including 7 articulated anterior cervicals, 2 isolated posterior cervicals, one dorsal, 4 anterior caudals, and one complete right femur, from the Early Cretaceous Haoling Formation, Ruyang Basin. The anterior caudal centrum measures 38cm and 42cm in its posterior centrum height and width, respectively, comparable to that of *Fusuisaurus*. The complete right femur in *Yunmenglong* measures 192cm and 65 cm in its total length and distal width, respectively, also comparable to that of *Fusuisaurus*.

Ruyangosaurus is known from two skeletons, including 9 cervicals, 13 dorsals, a dorsosacral, articulated sacrum and ilia, 5 mid-posterior caudals, 7 incomplete cervical and dorsal ribs, a complete right humerus, a complete right femur, the proximal half of the right femur, and a complete right tibia, from the Early Cretaceous Haoling Formation, Ruyang Basin. The estimated body length of Ruyangosaurus may have exceeded 35 m, with the maximum width of the dorsosacral centrum, the length of the longest cervical centrum, and the length of the right tibia reaching 68 cm, 124 cm, and 127cm, respectively, being among the largest ones reported for any known sauropods. Its ilium is 130 cm in length, smaller than that of Fusuisaurus. Its incomplete right femur is 60 cm in proximal width, with its estimated length being 207 cm, comparable to that

of *Fusuisaurus*. The complete right humerus of the smaller individual is 145 cm in its preserved length, and the incomplete humerus of the bigger individual is 135 cm and 26 cm in its preserved length and midshaft width, respectively, reaching nearly 190 cm in its reconstructed length, also comparable to that of *Fusuisaurus*.

Interestingly, the above mentioned five gigantic Asian titanosauriforms are all from the late Early Cretaceous (Abtian-Abian), implying a high diversity of giant Asian titanosauriforms from this period. This raises the possibility that the late Early Cretaceous was a key period for the evolution and diversity of giant titanosauriforms, corresponding to the simultaneous evolution event of giant Patagonian titanosaurs in the Southern Hemisphere (Carballido et al., 2017).

During the Early Cretaceous, the global temperature was generally warm (Tremolada et al., 2006), with some short periods of cold temperature in some areas (Amiot et al., 2011). Seasonal climatic changes were probably present in the Early Cretaceous (Steuber et al., 2005). On the other hand, warm or seasonal climate may have resulted in the expansion of the angiosperms in continental environments (Sun et al., 2002; Leng et al., 2003). These environmental conditions may have influenced the distribution and evolution of titanosauriforms, the dominant sauropod clade in Asia during the Cretaceous (Barrett et al., 2002; Barrett and Wang, 2007), especially those gigantic forms from the late Early Cretaceous of Asia.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Duo Xiong, Chaolin Huang, Shaowen Xie, Xueqiang Lei, Qi Zhou from NHMG for their contributions in the field. This research was supported by a fund from Guangxi Department of Natural Resources, the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi

211 (2016GXNSFAA380009), the project PalBioDivASE under a "Groupe de Recherche
212 International" (GDRI) grant from CNRS, the CNRS PICS program n PICS07193, the
213 Mahasarakham University Development Fund and the National Research Council of Thailand
214 (NRCT) of the fiscal year 2016.

215

216

### References

- 218 Amiot, R., Wang, X., Zhou, Z.H., Wang, X.L., Buffetaut, E., Lécuyer, C., Ding, Z.L., Fluteau, F., Hibino, T., Kusuhashi, N., Mo, J.Y., Suteethorn, V., Wang, Y., Xu, X., Zhang, F.C., 2011. 219 220 Oxygen isotopes of East Asian dinosaurs reveal exceptionally cold Early Cretaceous Climates. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108, 5179–5183. 221 Amiot, R., Wang, X., Zhou, Z.H., Wang, X.L., Lécuyer, C., Buffetaut, E., Fluteau, F., Ding, Z.L., 222 Kusuhashi, N., Mo, J.Y., Philippe, M., Suteethorn, V., Wang, Y.O., Xu, X., 2015. 223 Environment and ecology of East Asian dinosaurs during the Early Cretaceous inferred from 224 stable oxygen and carbon isotopes in apatite. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 98: 358-370 225 226 Azuma, Y., Shibata, M., 2010. Fukuititan nipponensis, a new titanosauriform sauropod from the Early Cretaceous Tetori Group of Fukui Prefecture, Japan. Acta Geologica Sinica 84: 454-227 228 462.
- Barrett, P.M., Hasegawa, Y., Manabe, M., Isaji, S., Matsuoka, H., 2002. Sauropod dinosaurs from the Lower Cretaceous of eastern Asia: taxonomic and biogeographical implications.
- 231 Palaeontology 45, 1197–1217.
- Barrett P.M., Wang, X.L., 2007. Basal titanosauriform (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) teeth from the
- Lower Cretaceous Yixian Formation of Liaoning Province, China. Palaeoworld 16: 265–271.

- Calvo, J.O., 2014. New fossil remains of *Futalognkosaurus dukei* (Sauropoda, Titanosauria) from
- the Late Cretaceous of Neuquén, Argentina in 4th International Palaeontological Congress,
- The History of Life: A View from the Southern Hemisphere abstract volume (ed Cerde ño, E.)
- 237 325 (International Palaeontological Association, 2014).
- 238 D'Emic, M.D., 2012. The early evolution of titanosauriform sauropod dinosaurs. Zoological
- Journal of the Linnean Society 166: 624–671.
- Carballido, J.L., Pol, D., Otero, A., Cerda, I.A., Salgado, L., Garrido, A.C., Ramezani, J., Cu neo,
- N.R., Krause, J.M., 2017. A new giant titanosaur sheds light on body mass evolution among
- sauropod dinosaurs. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B 284: 20171219.
- Gonz alez Riga, B.J., Lamanna, M.C., Ortiz David, L.D., Calvo, J.O., Coria, J.P., 2016. A gigantic
- new dinosaur from Argentina and the evolution of the sauropod hind foot. Scientific Reports,
- 245 6, 19165; doi: 10.1038/srep19165.
- 246 Ksepka, D.T., Norell, M.A., 2006. Erketu ellisoni, a long-necked sauropod from Bor Guvé
- 247 (Dornogov Aimag, Mongolia). American Museum Novitates 3508: 1–16.
- Lacovara, K.J., Lamanna, M.C., Ibiricu, L.M., Poole, J.C., Schroeter, E.R., Ullmann, P.V., Voegele,
- 249 K.K., Boles, Z.M., Carter, A.M., Fowler, E.K., Egerton, V.M., Moyer, A.E., Coughenour,
- 250 C.L., Schein, J.P., Harris, J.D., Martı'nez, R.D., Novas, F.E., 2014. A gigantic, exceptionally
- complete titanosaurian sauropod dinosaur from Southern Patagonia, Argentina. Scientific
- 252 Reports, 4, 6196. (doi:10.1038/srep06196)
- 253 Leng, Q., Friis, E.M., 2003. Sinocarpus decussatus gen. et sp. nov., a new angiosperm with
- basally syncarpous fruits from the Yixian Formation of Northeast China. Plant Systematics
- 255 Evolution, 241: 77—88
- Li, L.G., Li, D.Q., You, H.L., Dodson, P., 2014. A New Titanosaurian Sauropod from the Hekou

- Group (Lower Cretaceous) of the Lanzhou-Minhe Basin, Gansu Province, China. PLoS ONE
- 258 9(1): e85979. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085979
- 259 Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Zhang, X.L., Hu, W.Y., Wu, Y.H., Jia, S.H., Ji, Q., 2007. A new gigantic sauropod
- 260 dinosaur with the deepest known body cavity from the Cretaceous of Asia. Acta Geologica
- 261 Sinica (English Edition), 81 (2): 167-176.
- 262 Lü, J.C., Azuma, Y., Chen, R.J., Zheng, W.J., Jin, X.S., 2008. A New Titanosauriform Sauropod
- from the Early Late Cretaceous of Dongyang, Zhejiang Province. Acta Geologica Sinica
- 264 (English Edition), 82 (2): 225–235.
- 265 Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Jiang, X.C., Jia, S.H., Li, M., Yuan, C.X., Zhang, X.L., Ji, Q., 2009a. A
- preliminary report on the new dinosaurian fauna from the Cretaceous of the Ruyang Basin,
- Henan Province of central China. Journal of the Paleontological Society of Korea, 25: 43-56
- 268 Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Jia, S.H., Zhang, X.L., Zhang, J.M., Yang, L.L., You, H.L., Ji,Q., 2009b. A new
- 269 gigantic sauropod dinosaur from the Cretaceous of Ruyang, Henan, China. Geological
- 270 Bulletin of China 28, 1–10
- Lü, J.C., Pu, H.Y., Xu, L., Jia, S.H., Zhang, J.M., Shen, C.Z., 2014. Osteology of the giant
- sauropod dinosaur Ruyangosaurus giganteus Lü et al., 2009. Geological Publishing House,
- 273 Beijing. 1-211
- Lü, J.C., Yi, L.P., Zhong, H., Wei, X.F., 2013a. A new Somphospondylan Sauropod (Dinosauria,
- 275 Titanosauriformes) from the Late Cretaceous of Ganzhou, Jiangxi Province of southern
- 276 China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition), 87 (3): 678-685
- 277 Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Pu, H.Y., Zhang, X.L., Zhang, Y.Y., Jia, S.H., Chang, H.L., Zhang, J.M., Wei,
- 278 X.F., 2013b. A new sauropod dinosaur (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) from the late Early
- 279 Cretaceous of the Ruyang Basin (central China). Cretaceous Research, 44: 202-213

- Mannion, P.D., Upchurch, P., Barnes, R.N., Mateus, O., 2013. Osteology of the Late Jurassic
- Portuguese sauropod dinosaur Lusotitan atalaiensis (Macronaria) and the evolutionary
- history of basal titanosauriforms. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 168: 98-206
- Mo, J.Y., Wang, W., Huang, Z.T., Huang, X., Xu, X., 2006. A Basal Titanosauriform from the
- Early Cretaceous of Guangxi, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English edition), 80 (4): 486-
- 285 489.
- Mo, J.Y., Huang, C.L., Zhao, Z.R., Wang, W., Xu, X., 2008. A new titanosaur (Dinosauria:
- Sauropoda) from the Late Cretaceous of Guangxi, China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 46: 147–156.
- Mo, J.Y., Xu, X., Buffetaut, E., 2010. A new eusauropod dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous of
- Guangxi Province, southern China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English edition), 84 (6): 1328-
- 290 1335.
- 291 Mo, J,Y., Buffetaut, E., Tong, H.Y., Amiot, R., Cavin, L., Cuny, G., Suteethorn, V., Suteethorn, S.,
- Jiang, S., 2016. Early Cretaceous vertebrates from the Xinlong Formation of Guangxi
- 293 (southern China): a review. Geological Magazine, 153 (1): 143-159.
- Mo, J.Y, Wang, K.B., Chen, S.Q., Wang, P.Y., Xu, X., 2017. A new titanosaurian sauropod from
- the Late Cretaceous strata of Shandong Province. Geological Bulletin of China, 36(9):1501-
- 296 1505
- Saegusa, H., Ikeda, T., 2014. A new titanosauriform sauropod (Dinosauria: Saurischia) from the
- Lower Cretaceous of Hyogo, Japan. Zootaxa, 3848 (1): 001-066.
- Smith, J.B., Lamanna, M.C., Lacovara, K.J., Dodson, P., Smith, J.R., Poole, J.C., Giegengack, R.,
- 300 Attia, Y., 2001. A giant sauropod dinosaur from an Upper Cretaceous mangrove deposit in
- 301 Egypt. Science 292 (5522): 1704–1706
- 302 Steuber, T., Rauch, M., Masse, J.P., Graaf, J., Malkoc, M., 2005. Low-latitude seasonality of

- 303 Cretaceous temperatures in warm and cold episodes. Nature, 437: 1341–1344
- Sun, G., Ji, Q., Dilcher, D.L., Zheng, S.L., Nixon, K.C., Wang, X.F., 2002. Archaefructaceae, a
- new basal angiosperm family. Science, 296: 899—904
- 306 Tremolada, F., Bornemann, A., Bralower, T.J., Koeberl, C., Schootbrugge, B., 2006.
- Paleoceanographic changes across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary: The calcareous
- phytoplankton response. Earth and Planet Science Letters, 241: 361—371
- Wang, X.R., You, H.L., Meng, Q.J., Gao, C.L., Cheng, X.D., Liu, J.Y., 2007. Dongbeititan dongi,
- the first sauropod dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous Jehol Group of western Liaoning
- 311 Province, China. Acta Geologica Sinica 81: 911–916.
- 312 Wu, W.H., Dong, Z.M., Sun, Y.W., Li, C.T., Li, T., 2006. A new sauropod dinosaur from the
- 313 Cretaceous of Jiutai, Jilin, China. Global Geology 25: 6–8.
- 314 Xu, L., Pan, Z.C., Wang, Z.H., Zhang, X.L., Jia, S.H., Lü, J.C., Jiang, B.L., 2012. Discovery and
- 315 significance of the Cretaceous system in Ruyang Basin, Henan Province. Geological Review
- **316 58**, 601-613
- 317 Xu, X., Zhang, X.H., Tan, Q.W., Zhao, X.J., Tan, L., 2006. A new titanosaurian sauropod from
- Late Cretaceous of Nei Mongol, China. Acta Geologica Sinica 80: 20–26.
- You, H.L., Li, D.Q., 2009. The first well-preserved Early Cretaceous brachiosaurid dinosaur in
- Asia. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B 276: 4077–4082.
- 321 You, H.L., Li, D.Q., Zhou, L.Q., Ji, Q., 2006. Huanghetitan liujiaxiaensis, a new sauropod
- dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous Hekou Group of Lanzhou Basin, Gansu Province, China.
- Geological Review, 52 (5): 668–674 (In Chinese with English abstract).
- You, H.L., Li, D.Q., Zhou, L.Q., Ji, Q., 2008. Dashatitan binglingi: a giant sauropod dinosaur
- from the Early Cretaceous of China. Gansu Geology, 17 (4): 1-10.

326	Zhang, X.L., Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Li, J.H, Yang, L., Hu, W.Y., Jia, S.H., Ji, Q., Zhang, C.J., 2009. A
327	New Sauropod Dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous Gaogou Formation of Nanyang, Henan
328	Province. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition), 83 (2): 212–221.
329	
330	
331	
332	
333	
334	
335	
336	
337	
338	
339	
340	
341	
342	
343	
344	
345	
346	
347	
348	

349	
350	
351	Figure captions
352	
353	Fig. 1. Taphonomic map of the Longcaoling quarry showing the specimens. A, The Longcaoling
354	quarry excavated in 2001, yielding the holotypes of Fusuisaurus (Yellow) and Liubangosaurus
355	(Blue), and some unidentified sauropod bones (Black); B, The Longcaoling quarry was re-opened
356	in 2016-2017, yielding nearly 100 dinosaur bones of juvenile hadrosauroids and sauropods, and
357	some bones of adult sauropods, including a large humerus (LCL63), the holotype of Fusuisaurus
358	zhaoi.
359	
360	Fig. 2. The holotype of Fusuisaurus zhaoi, a nearly complete right humerus (LCL 63) in situ (A)
361	and anterior view (B), scale bar equals 50 cm. dpc, deltopectoral crest
362	
363	Fig. 3. Reconstructed skeleton and body silhouette of Fusuisaurus zhaoi showing preserved
364	elements from the holotype specimen.
365	
366	
367	
368	
369	
370	
371	

Species	Specimen	Length	Width, proximal	Width, midshaft	PHR	SI	Age	Sources
Fusuisaurus zhaoi	LCL 63	1835	565	215	2.63	8.55	Aptian	This paper
Notocolossus gonzalezparejasi	UNCUYO -LD 301	1760	720	250	2.88	7.04	Coniacian– Santonian	Gonz ález Riga et al., 2016
Paralititan stromeri	CGM 81119	1690	562	234	2.4	7.25	Cenomanian	Smith et al., 2001
Patagotitan mayorum	MPEF-PV 3397	1675	625	245	2.55	6.85	latest Albian	Carballido et al., 2017
Dreadnoughtus schrani	MPM-PV 1156	1600	740	320	2.31	5.00	Campanian– Maastrichtian	Lacovara et al., 2014
Futalognkosaurus dukei	MUCPv -323	1560	600	250	2.4	6.25	Coniacian	Calvo, 2014
Ruyangosaurus giganteus	KLR15-08- 2	1450	540	200	2.7	7.25	Aptian-Albian	Lüet al., 2014

Table caption

Table 1: Measurement (in mm) of the humerus (LCL 63), compared to those of giant sauropods

recovered from Cretaceous. SI, Slenderness Index; PHR, Proximal Humeral Robusticity




Fig. 1. Taphonomic map of the Longcaoling quarry showing the specimens. A, The Longcaoling quarry excavated in 2001, yielding the holotypes of *Fusuisaurus* (Yellow) and *Liubangosaurus* (Blue), and some unidentified sauropod bones (Black); B, The Longcaoling quarry was re-opened in 2016-2017, yielding nearly 100 dinosaur bones of juvenile hadrosauroids and sauropods, and some bones of adult sauropods, including a large humerus (LCL63), the holotype of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*.




Fig. 2. The holotype of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*, a nearly complete right humerus (LCL 63) in situ (A) and anterior view (B), scale bar equals 50 cm. dpc, deltopectoral crest




Fig. 3. Reconstructed skeleton and body silhouette of Fusuisaurus zhaoi showing preserved

elements from the holotype specimen.

