



HAL
open science

Reply to Theuerkauf and Couwenberg (2020) comment on: “Pollen-based reconstruction of Holocene land-cover in mountain regions: evaluation of the Landscape Reconstruction Algorithm in the Vicdessos valley, Northern Pyrenees, France”

Laurent Marquer, Florence Mazier, Shinya Sugita, Didier Galop, Thomas Houet, Elodie Faure, M.J. Gaillard, Sébastien Haunold, Nicolas de Munnik, Anaëlle Simonneau, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Laurent Marquer, Florence Mazier, Shinya Sugita, Didier Galop, Thomas Houet, et al.. Reply to Theuerkauf and Couwenberg (2020) comment on: “Pollen-based reconstruction of Holocene land-cover in mountain regions: evaluation of the Landscape Reconstruction Algorithm in the Vicdessos valley, Northern Pyrenees, France”. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 2020, 244, 10.1016/j.quascirev.2020.106462 . hal-02991318

HAL Id: hal-02991318

<https://hal.science/hal-02991318v1>

Submitted on 5 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Reply to Theuerkauf and Couwenberg (2020) comment on: “Pollen-based reconstruction of**
2 **Holocene land-cover in mountain regions: evaluation of the Landscape Reconstruction**
3 **Algorithm in the Vicdessos valley, Northern Pyrenees, France”**

4
5
6 Laurent Marquer ^{a,b,c*}, Florence Mazier ^b, Shinya Sugita ^d, Didier Galop ^b, Thomas Houet ^e, Elodie
7 Faure ^b, Marie-José Gaillard ^f, Sébastien Haunold ^{a,b}, Nicolas de Munnik ^b, Anaëlle Simonneau ^g,
8 François De Vleeschouwer ^h, Gaël Le Roux ^a

9
10
11
12 ^aLaboratoire écologie fonctionnelle et environnement, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS, Toulouse
13 (France), laurent.marquer.es@gmail.com; francois.devleeschouwer@ensat.fr; gael.leroux@ensat.fr;
14 sebastien.haunold@ensat.fr

15 ^bGEODE, UMR-CNRS 5602, Labex DRIIHM (OHM Pyrénées Haut Vicdessos), Université Toulouse Jean Jaurès
16 (France), florence.mazier@univ-tlse2.fr; elo_faure@yahoo.fr; nicolas.demunnik@univ-tlse2.fr;
17 didier.galop@univ-tlse2.fr

18 ^cResearch Group for Terrestrial Palaeoclimates, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz (Germany),
19 l.marquer@mpic.de

20 ^dInstitute of Ecology, Tallinn University (Estonia), sugita@tlu.ee

21 ^eLETG-Rennes COSTEL, UMR 6554 CNRS, Université Rennes 2 (France), thomas.houet@univ-rennes2.fr

22 ^fDepartment of Biology and Environmental Science, Linnaeus University (Sweden),
23 marie-jose.gaillard-lemdahl@lnu.se

24 ^g OSUC, Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers en région Centre, Université d'Orléans (France),

25 anaelle.simonneau@univ-orleans.fr

26 ^h Instituto Franco-Argentino para el Estudio del Clima y sus Impactos (UMI

27 IFAECI/CNRS-CONICET-UBA-IRD), Dpto. de Ciencias de la Atmosfera y los Océanos, FCEN, Universidad de

28 Buenos Aires, Argentina, fdevleeschouwer@cima.fcen.uba.ar

29

30

31 * Corresponding author:

32 Laurent Marquer

33 E-mail: laurent.marquer.es@gmail.com; l.marquer@mpic.de

34

35

36 Comments on Marquer et al. (2020) by Theuerkauf and Couwenberg (2020) revisit two

37 issues important for pollen-based reconstruction of past vegetation with the Landscape

38 Reconstruction Algorithm (LRA; Sugita 2007a, 2007b) and related models: the selection of pollen

39 dispersal model and the appropriate use of pollen productivity estimates. We are aware of those

40 issues, and our paper addresses those questions and advises for caution and necessary future steps to

41 improve model-based reconstruction of vegetation in mountain environments and elsewhere. This

42 reply restates briefly some of the important take-home messages from our study and presents our

43 suggestion and proposal in response to Theuerkauf and Couwenberg's comments.

44 Two major modelling-schemes have been widely used since the 1950s to describe
45 dispersal and deposition of pollen grains in the air: the Gaussian Plume models (GPMs) and the
46 Lagrangian Stochastic models (LSMs). A modified version of GPMs (after Sutton, 1953) has been
47 applied for the evaluation of pollen-vegetation relationships (e.g. Tauber, 1965; Gregory, 1973;
48 Prentice, 1985, 1988; Sugita, 1993, 1994) and the estimation of relative pollen productivity (RPP).
49 The GPM has also been implemented as a dispersal kernel in the REVEALS and LOVE models of
50 the LRA (Sugita, 2007a, 2007b). Pros and cons of GPMs are discussed elsewhere (e.g. Pasquill and
51 Smith, 1983; Prentice, 1985; Jackson and Lyford, 1999). Since the 1990s simulation approaches
52 with LSMs have become available for a more advanced description of pollen and spore dispersal,
53 considering realistic atmospheric airflows and wind fields (e.g. Wilson and Sawford, 1996; Aylor
54 and Flesh, 2001).

55 Theuerkauf et al. (2013, 2015, 2016) and Mariani et al. (2016, 2017) have applied a LSM
56 proposed by Kuparinen et al. (2007) for the evaluation of pollen-vegetation relationships, RPPs and
57 REVEALS-based reconstructions of past vegetation in northern Germany and Tasmania,
58 respectively. Theuerkauf et al. (2013) obtained RPPs for six tree taxa using an optimization method
59 and Theuerkauf et al. (2015) for a set of open-land taxa based on the R-value model of Davis (1963).
60 The LSM-based RPPs for some of these taxa differ from those previously obtained using the
61 Extended R-value models with the GPM implemented for calculation of the distance-weighted plant

62 abundance around study sites (Broström et al., 2008; Mazier et al., 2012). As expected, the selection
63 of the dispersal model matters for both RPPs and LRA applications for vegetation reconstruction.
64 Because of the limited number of LSM-based RPPs available in Europe and elsewhere, most of the
65 LRA applications have so far used GPM-based RPPs (e.g. Mazier et al., 2012).

66 Theoretically, the choice of dispersal model needs to be consistent for both obtaining
67 RPPs and in reconstructions of past vegetation using those RPPs. The simulation study in section 2
68 of Marquer et al. (2020) follows this general rule; the pollen assemblages simulated with the LSM
69 are used for the REVEALS and LOVE applications that assume the LSM for pollen dispersal.
70 Similarly, the pollen assemblages with the GPM are used for the LRA applications with the GPM
71 implemented. This general rule is also clearly stated in our paper and discussed in section 5.2,
72 including statements such as “*Ideally, when the LSM is used for the LRA, RPPs need to be obtained*
73 *from other data sets by assuming the LSM as a pollen dispersal model for consistency.*”. Results
74 from a simple simulation exercise shown in Theuerkauf and Couwenberg (2020) are not surprising.
75 We are puzzled by the concept of “true RPPs”, however. The “true RPP” values differ from the
76 estimates obtained in Theuerkauf et al. (2013, 2015, 2016). What are the “true RPPs”? Where do
77 these values come from? In any case, further studies are necessary to evaluate the impacts on the
78 LRA-based reconstruction of using different dispersal-models.

79 In practice, the number of plant taxa for which LSM-based RPPs are available is too

80 limited to be used by Marquer et al. (2020). Additionally, the published LSM-based RPPs
81 (Theuerkauf et al. 2013, 2015, 2016, 2020) are inconsistent. In Marquer et al. (2020), we therefore
82 used the GPM-based RPPs from Mazier et al. (2012) that averages the RPP results from eight
83 regions in Western Europe. The reasons behind the use of average values are given in Mazier et al.
84 (2012). Using the GPM-based RPPs (Table 2 of Marquer et al. 2020), the GPM-based results of the
85 REVEALS reconstruction in the Pyrenees tend to be closer to the surveyed land-cover data in the
86 region than those using the LSM-based REVEALS model. For reconstructing the local-scale
87 land-cover composition, the GPM-based and LSM-based LOVE results do not differ significantly
88 from each other. Therefore, when a proper set of LSM-based RPPs becomes available, our current
89 hypothesis is that the LSM-based LRA results should reveal closer to the surveyed vegetation data.
90 This requires further studies from the pollen-based vegetation modelling community in the coming
91 years.

92 The main research objective of Marquer et al. (2020) was to evaluate the extent to which
93 the LRA-based estimates of the local vegetation within the relevant source area of pollen (RSAP)
94 around small sites represent the observed vegetation composition above the tree line in the Pyrenees.
95 The estimated RSAP is a ca. 2km radius-area at each site. In general, studies in mountain
96 palynology select sites systematically at high altitudes (above the tree line) and thus violate the
97 assumption of random selection of site locations in the region when only small-sized sites are

98 available; the issue of site-selection is important for unbiased REVEALS-based reconstructions of
99 the regional plant cover - a critical step for the LOVE-based estimates of the local vegetation
100 composition. Wind fields in mountain ranges constitute another important factor that affects pollen
101 transport in ways differing from that of flat landscapes. LSMs consider the complex nature of
102 realistic airflows and wind fields in uneven terrains and surface roughness parameters affected by
103 the canopy structure of the vegetation. We would therefore expect LSMs to be better suited for LRA
104 applications in mountains. However, this is not the case of the Marquer et al. (2020) study. The
105 current LSM implemented by Theuerkauf et al. (2013, 2015, 2016) assumes field conditions similar
106 to those characteristic of Finnish pine forests (Kuparinen et al., 2007). Marquer et al. (2020) used
107 computer programs for the LRA and POLLSCAPE that can select the LSM as a dispersal kernel
108 (Sugita, unpublished). These programs use a lookup-table approach for the LSM calculation as in
109 Theuerkauf et al. (2013, 2015, 2016); the LSM lookup-table implemented was provided by
110 Theuerkauf and his colleagues. To extend the applicability of the LSM-based reconstruction of
111 vegetation in different parts of Europe and elsewhere, other factors and conditions need to be
112 considered in the LSM scheme, such as different types of vegetation canopies (e.g. broadleaved
113 forests, parkland and meadows), different pollen-source heights (e.g. trees vs. herbs), and complex
114 terrains (e.g. mountains vs. flat lands).

115 In conclusion, it is time for palynologists and palaeoecologists to develop new research

116 initiatives and collaborations to produce new sets of RPPs based on LSMs, as suggested by
117 Theuerkauf and Couwenberg (2020). Recent studies in China (Wan et al., 2020) are not conclusive
118 about the extent to which the LSM-based RPPs improve over the GPM-based RPPs; this study used
119 the Extended R-value (ERV) model (Prentice, 1988; Sugita, 1994) with the LSM and GPM options
120 implemented (Sugita, unpublished) and a modern pollen-vegetation dataset collected in the region.
121 Further comparative studies are also necessary in order to answer still open issues. For example,
122 with the same GPM and LSM, are there systematic differences in outcomes between the ERV
123 models and the optimization methods used in Theuerkauf et al. (2013, 2015) and Mariani et al.
124 (2016)? What would be the spatial resolutions and scales (e.g. local/landscape vs. regional) most
125 appropriate for obtaining the LSM- and GPM-based RPPs regardless of the analytical methods?
126 Other approaches independent of dispersal-model selection would also provide additional
127 information about RPPs of major taxa with direct and semi-direct measurements of the number of
128 flowers, and thus pollen grains, produced per unit area (e.g. Wada et al., 2018).

129 In summary, we envisage some major objectives for new research initiatives, including:
130 (1) compilation and reanalysis of the training datasets previously used for the GPM-based RPPs in
131 many parts of the globe (e.g. the recent RPP synthesis for China, Li et al., 2018), (2) clarification
132 and evaluation of the analytical tools and approaches that have been used and proposed for
133 estimation of the RPPs, and (3) collaboration with atmospheric scientists to better understand the

134 atmospheric dispersion of pollen and spores and to develop realistic and region-specific LSMs.

135

136

137

138 **REFERENCES**

139 Aylor, D.E. & Flesh, T.K. (2001) Estimating spore release rates using a Lagrangian stochastic
140 simulation model. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 40, 1196-1208.

141 Broström, A., Nielsen, A.B., Gaillard, M.J. et al. (2008) Pollen productivity estimates of key
142 European plant taxa for quantitative reconstruction of past vegetation - a review. *Vegetation
143 History and Archaeobotany*, 17, 461-478.

144 Davis, M.B. (1963) On the theory of pollen analysis. *American Journal of Science*, 261, 897-912.

145 Gregory, P.H. (1973) *The Microbiology of the Atmosphere*. Leonard Hill: Aylesbury.

146 Jackson, S.T. & Lyford, M.E. (1999) Pollen Dispersal Models in Quaternary Plant Ecology:
147 Assumptions, Parameters, and Prescriptions. *The Botanical Review*, 65, 39-75.

148 Kuparinen, A., Markkanen, T., Riikonen, H. et al. (2007) Modeling air-mediated dispersal of spores,
149 pollen and seeds in forested areas. *Ecological Modelling*, 208, 177-188.

150 Li, F., Gaillard, M.-J., Xu, Q. et al. (2018) A Review of Relative Pollen Productivity Estimates
151 From Temperate China for Pollen-Based Quantitative Reconstruction of Past Plant Cover.

- 152 Frontiers in Plant Science, 9, article 1214.
- 153 Mariani, M., Connor, S.E., Theuerkauf, M. et al. (2016) Testing quantitative pollen dispersal models
154 in animal-pollinated vegetation mosaic: An example from temperate Tasmania, Australia.
155 Quaternary Science Reviews, 154, 214-225.
- 156 Mariani, M., Kuneš, P. Connor, S.E. et al. (2017) How old is the Tasmanian cultural landscape? A
157 test of landscape openness using quantitative land-cover reconstructions. Journal of
158 Biogeography, 44, 2410-2420.
- 159 Marquer, L., Mazier, F., Sugita, S. et al. (2020) Pollen-based reconstruction of Holocene land-cover
160 in mountain regions: evaluation of the Landscape Reconstruction Algorithm in the Vicdessos
161 valley, Northern Pyrenees, France. Quaternary Science Reviews, 228, 106049.
- 162 Mazier, F., Gaillard, M.-J., Kuneš, P. et al. (2012) Testing the effect of site selection and parameter
163 setting on REVEALS model estimates of plant abundance using the Czech Quaternary
164 Palynological Database. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 187, 38-49.
- 165 Pasquill, F. & Smith, F.B. (1983) Atmospheric Diffusion, 3rd edition. Ellis Horwood Limited:
166 Chichester.
- 167 Prentice, I.C. (1985) Pollen representation, source area, and basin size: toward a unified theory of
168 pollen analysis. Quaternary Research, 23,76-86.
- 169 Prentice, I.C. (1988) Records of vegetation in time and space: the principles of pollen analysis. In

- 170 Huntley, B. & Webb, T. III, editors, *Vegetation History*. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic
171 Publishers, 17-42.
- 172 Sugita, S. (1993) A model of pollen source area for an entire lake surface. *Quaternary Research*, 39,
173 239-244.
- 174 Sugita, S. (1994) Pollen representation of vegetation in Quaternary sediments: Theory and method
175 in patchy vegetation. *Journal of Ecology*, 82, 881-897.
- 176 Sugita, S. (2007a) Theory of quantitative reconstruction of vegetation I: Pollen from large sites
177 REVEALS regional vegetation composition. *The Holocene*, 17, 229-241.
- 178 Sugita, S. (2007b) Theory of quantitative reconstruction of vegetation II: All you need is LOVE.
179 *The Holocene*, 17, 243-257.
- 180 Sutton, O.G. (1953) "Micrometeorology." Mc Graw - Hill, New York.
- 181 Tauber, H. (1965) Differential pollen dispersion and the interpretation of pollen diagrams.
182 *Danmarks Geologiske Undersøgelse. II. RÆKKE. No. 89*, 1-69.
- 183 Theuerkauf, M. & Couwenberg, J. (2020) Comment on Marquer et al. 2020: Pollen-based
184 reconstruction of Holocene land-cover in mountain regions: Evaluation of the Landscape
185 Reconstruction Algorithm in the Videssos valley, northern Pyrenees, France. *Quaternary
186 Science Reviews*.
- 187 Theuerkauf, M., Kuparinen, A. & Joosten, H. (2013) Pollen productivity estimates strongly depend

188 on assumed pollen dispersal. *The Holocene*, 23, 14-24.

189 Theuerkauf, M., Dräger, N., Kienel, U. et al. (2015) Effects of changes in land management practices
190 on pollen productivity of open vegetation during the last century derived from varved lake
191 sediments. *The Holocene*, 25, 733-744.

192 Theuerkauf, M., Couwenberg, J., Kuparinen, A. et al. (2016) A matter of dispersal: REVEALSinR
193 introduces state-of-the-art dispersal models to quantitative vegetation reconstruction.
194 *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany*, 25, 541-553.

195 Wada, S., Sasaki, N., Takahara, H. et al. (2018) Pollen production of six species in Poaceae – baseline
196 information toward quantitative reconstruction of vegetation in Japan. *Japanese Journal of*
197 *Palynology*, 63, 37-51.

198 Wan, Q., Zhang, Y., Huang, K. et al. (2020) Evaluating quantitative pollen representation of
199 vegetation in the tropics: A case study on the Hainan Island, tropical China. *Ecological*
200 *Indicators*, 114, 106297.

201 Wilson, J.D. & Sawford, B.L. (1996) Review of Lagrangian stochastic models for trajectories in the
202 turbulent atmosphere. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 78, 191-210.