

Entanglement in the family of division fields of elliptic curves with complex multiplication

Francesco Campagna, Riccardo Pengo

To cite this version:

Francesco Campagna, Riccardo Pengo. Entanglement in the family of division fields of elliptic curves with complex multiplication. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, In press. hal-02991146v1

HAL Id: hal-02991146 <https://hal.science/hal-02991146v1>

Submitted on 5 Nov 2020 $(v1)$, last revised 14 Dec 2021 $(v2)$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ENTANGLEMENT IN THE FAMILY OF DIVISION FIELDS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES WITH COMPLEX MULTIPLICATION

FRANCESCO CAMPAGNA AND RICCARDO PENGO

ABSTRACT. For every CM elliptic curve E defined over a number field F containing the CM field K, we prove that the family of p^{∞} -division fields of E, with $p \in \mathbb{N}$ prime, becomes linearly disjoint over E after removing an explicit finite subfamily of fields If $F - K$ and E is obtained as disjoint over F after removing an explicit finite subfamily of fields. If $F = K$ and E is obtained as the base-change of an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb Q$, we prove that this finite subfamily is never linearly disjoint over K as soon as it contains more than one element.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let *E* be an elliptic curve defined over a number field *F*, and let $\overline{F} \supseteq F$ be a fixed algebraic closure. The absolute Galois group Gal(\overline{F}/F) acts on the group $E_{\text{tors}} := E(\overline{F})_{\text{tors}}$ of all torsion points of E, giving rise to a Galois representation

$$
\rho_E : \text{Gal}(F(E_{\text{tors}})/F) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{\text{tors}}) \cong \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})
$$

where $F(E_{\text{tors}})$ is the compositum of the family of fields $\{F(E[p^{\infty}])\}_p$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ prime. Each extension $F \subset F(F[n^{\infty}])$ is in turn defined as the compositum of the family $\{F(F[n^n])\}_n$ extension $F \subseteq F(E[p^\infty])$ is in turn defined as the compositum of the family $\{F(E[p^n])\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$,
where for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $F(F[N])$ the division field obtained by adjoining to F the where, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $F(E[N])$ the *division field* obtained by adjoining to F the coordinates of all the points belonging to the N-torsion subgroup $E[N] := E[N](F)$.

For an elliptic curve E without complex multiplication (CM), Serre's Open Image Theorem [29, Théorème 3] asserts that the image of ρ_E has finite index in $GL_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. However, explicitly describing this image is a non-trivial problem in general which is connected to the celebrated Uniformity Conjecture $[29, § 4.3]$. A first step in this direction is to study the *entanglement* of the family $\{F(E[p^{\infty}])\}_p$ for p prime, *i.e.* to describe the image of the natural inclusion

(1)
$$
\operatorname{Gal}(F(E_{\text{tors}})/F) \hookrightarrow \prod_{p} \operatorname{Gal}(F(E[p^{\infty}])/F)
$$

where the product runs over all primes $p \in \mathbb{N}$. For each non-CM elliptic curve $E_{/F}$ this has
been done in [7] by Stevenhagen and the first named author. They identify a finite set S of "bad been done in [7] by Stevenhagen and the first named author. They identify a finite set S of "bad primes" (depending on E and F) such that the map (1) induces an isomorphism

$$
\text{Gal}(F(E_{\text{tors}})/F) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \text{Gal}(F(E[S^{\infty}])/F) \times \prod_{p \notin S} \text{Gal}(F(E[p^{\infty}])/F)
$$

where $F(E[S^{\infty}])$ denotes the compositum of the family of fields $\{F(E[p^{\infty}])\}_{p\in S}$. In this case one
says that the family $\{F(F[S^{\infty}])\}\cup \{F(F[N^{\infty}])\}$ is *linearly disjoint* over F. The first goal of this says that the family $\{F(E[S^{\infty}])\}\cup \{F(E[p^{\infty}])\}_p$ is *linearly disjoint* over *F*. The first goal of this paper is to prove the following analogous statement for CM elliptic curves paper is to prove the following analogous statement for CM elliptic curves.

Theorem 1.1. Let F be a number field and E_{F} an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order O in an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq F$. Denote by $b_E := \mathfrak{f}_O \Delta_F N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{f}_E)$ the product of the conductor $\mathfrak{f}_O := |O_K:O|$ of the order O, the absolute discriminant $\Delta_F \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the number field F and the norm $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{f}_E) := |O_F/\mathfrak{f}_E|$ of the conductor ideal $\mathfrak{f}_E \subseteq O_F$.

Date: August 3, 2020.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11G05, 14K22, 11G15; Secondary: 11S15, 11F80.

Key words and phrases. Elliptic curves, Complex multiplication, Division fields, Entanglement.

Then the map (1) induces an isomorphism

$$
\text{Gal}(F(E_{tors})/F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(F(E[S^{\infty}])/F) \times \prod_{p \notin S} \text{Gal}(F(E[p^{\infty}])/F)
$$

where $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ denotes the finite set of primes dividing \mathfrak{b}_E .

A key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is Proposition 3.3, which can be seen as an explicit version of Deuring's analogue, for CM elliptic curves, of Serre's Open Image Theorem (see [29, § 4.5]). More precisely, if $E_{/F}$ is an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an electron contact the extension $F \subset F(F)$ is abelian. This shows order O in an imaginary quadratic field K, the extension $F \subseteq F(E_{\text{tors}})$ is abelian. This shows that the image of ρ_E has infinite index in Aut_Z(E_{tors}) \cong GL₂($\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$), and in particular the conclusion of Serre's theorem does not hold in this setting. Nevertheless, the elements of Gal(\overline{F}/F) act on E_{tors} as O-module automorphisms, so that the image of ρ_E is contained in the subgroup Aut_{$O(E_{\text{tors}}) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{\text{tors}})$. Then Proposition 3.3 says that $\rho_E(\text{Gal}(F(E[p^n])/F)) = \text{Aut}_{O}(E[p^n]))$
for every prime $p \notin S$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence one has the inclusion} for every prime $p \notin S$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence one has the inclusion

$$
\prod_{p \notin S} \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(E[p^{\infty}]) \subseteq \text{Im}(\rho_E) := \rho_E(\text{Gal}(F(E_{\text{tors}})/F))
$$

which can be used to show, as Deuring did, that $\text{Im}(\rho_E) \subseteq \text{Aut}_O(E_{\text{tors}})$ has finite index. Proposition 3.3 is proved using some results concerning formal groups attached to CM elliptic curves, which are recalled in Section 2. We point out that another proof of Proposition 3.3 can also be deduced from previous work of Lozano-Robledo, as explained in Remark 3.4.

While Proposition 3.3 (combined with Lemma 3.1) gives the identification

(2)
$$
\operatorname{Gal}(F(E[N])/F) \cong (O/NO)^{\times})
$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime with \mathfrak{b}_E , we prove in Theorem 4.3 that, if the extension $K \subseteq F$ is abelian and $F(E_{\text{tors}}) \subseteq K^{\text{ab}}$, the isomorphism (2) does not hold for infinitely many $N \in \mathbb{N}$ not coprime
with hn. Theorem 4.3 extends results of Coates and Wiles (see [9, Lemma 3]) and Kuhman (see with b_E . Theorem 4.3 extends results of Coates and Wiles (see [9, Lemma 3]) and Kuhman (see [15, Chapter II, Lemma 3]) using a class of abelian extensions of K which are constructed in Appendix A. These extensions are a generalisation both of the usual ray class fields for K (see [26, Chapter VI, § 6]) and of the ray class fields for orders defined in [37] and [38, § 4].

The condition $F(E_{\text{tors}}) \subseteq K^{\text{ab}}$ was introduced by Shimura in [32, Theorem 7.44]. The author
so shows in [32, Page 217] that if K is an imaginary quadratic field with absolute discriminant also shows in [32, Page 217] that if K is an imaginary quadratic field with absolute discriminant Δ_K \neq -1(3), then there exists an elliptic curve E defined over the Hilbert class field H_K with complex multiplication by O_K such that $H_K(E_{\text{tors}}) \subseteq K^{\text{ab}}$. We generalise Shimura's result in
Theorem 4.8 by proving that, for every imaginary quadratic field K and any order $O \subset K$ Theorem 4.8 by proving that, for every imaginary quadratic field K and any order $O \subseteq K$, there exist infinitely many ellipic curves $E_{/H_O}$ with complex multiplication by O which satisfy Shimura's condition, *i.e.* such that the extension $K \subseteq H_O(E_{tors})$ is abelian. Here H_O denotes the ring class field of K relative to O (see [11, § 9]), which is an abelian extension of K coinciding with the Hilbert class field H_K when $O = O_K$. We also show in Theorem 4.9 that there exist infinitely many elliptic curves $E_{/H_O}$ which have complex multiplication by O and do not satisfy Shimura's condition. For these elliptic curves, we show in Corollary 4.6 that the whole family of division fields $\{H_O(E[p^\infty])\}_p$ is linearly disjoint over H_O .
In the final section, we use Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.

In the final section, we use Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.3 to prove Theorem 5.5, which provides a complete description of the image of (1) when $F = K$ is an imaginary quadratic field and $E_{/K}$ is the base-change of an elliptic curve defined over Q. In particular, as we note in Remark 5.6, Theorem 5.5 shows that the finite set of primes S appearing in Theorem 1.1 cannot be made smaller in general. However, see Remark 3.7 for a general discussion about this topic.

We finally remark that our work, despite having different objectives, bears a connection with Lozano-Robledo's recent work [20], which provides an explicit list of subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ that can occur as the image of the p-adic Galois representations associated to a CM elliptic curve. We comment more punctually on this relation in Remark 3.6, Remark 4.7 and Remark 5.2.

The results contained in this article are applied by the authors in two different ways. The first named author uses Theorem 1.1 in $\lceil 6 \rceil$ to study, jointly with Stevenhagen, cyclic reduction of CM elliptic curves. The second named author uses Theorem 1.1 in [27] to provide explicit planar models of CM elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb Q$ and to compute their Mahler measure.

2. Formal groups and elliptic curves

2.1. **Formal groups.** The aim of this subsection is to recall, following $[34,$ Chapter IV], some of the main points of the theory of one dimensional, commutative formal group laws dened over a ring R , which we call *formal groups* for short. Roughly speaking, these are power series $\mathcal{F} \in R[\![z_1, z_2]\!]$ for which the association $x +_{\mathcal{F}} y := \mathcal{F}(x, y)$ behaves like an abelian group law.

Given a formal group $\mathcal{F} \in R[\![z_1, z_2]\!]$ we denote the set of endomorphisms of \mathcal{F} by

$$
\operatorname{End}_R(\mathcal{F}) := \{ f \in tR[[t]] \mid f(x +_{\mathcal{F}} y) = f(x) +_{\mathcal{F}} f(y) \}
$$

which is a ring under the operations $(f +_{\mathcal{F}} g)(t) := \mathcal{F}(f(t), g(t))$ and $(g \circ f)(t) := g(f(t))$. We
write Auto(\mathcal{F}) for the unit group $\text{End}_p(\mathcal{F})^{\times}$ and we denote by $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{F}}$ the unique ring homomorwrite $Aut_R(\mathcal{F})$ for the unit group $End_R(\mathcal{F})^{\times}$ and we denote by $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{F}}$ the unique ring homomor-
phism $\mathbb{Z} \to \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{F})$. For every $\phi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{F})$ and her that $\phi \in Aut(\mathcal{F})$ if and only if $\phi'($ phism $\mathbb{Z} \to \text{End}_R(\mathcal{F})$. For every $\phi \in \text{End}_R(\mathcal{F})$ one has that $\phi \in \text{Aut}_R(\mathcal{F})$ if and only if $\phi'(0) \in R^{\times}$
where $\phi'(t) := \frac{d}{dt} \phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (see [34, Chapter IV, Lemma 2.4)). Moreover, every $\phi \in \text{End}_R(\$ where $\phi'(t) := \frac{d}{dt} \phi \in R[\![t]\!]$ (see [34, Chapter IV, Lemma 2.4]). Moreover, every $\phi \in \text{End}_R(\mathcal{F})$ is uniquely determined by $\phi'(0)$ whenever R is torsion-free. More precisely, there exist two power uniquely determined by $\vec{\phi}'(0)$ whenever R is torsion-free. More precisely, there exist two power
series exp – $\log_{\phi} \in (R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{O})$ | t | such that series $\exp_{\mathcal{F}}$, $\log_{\mathcal{F}} \in (R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})[[t]]$ such that

(3)
$$
\phi(t) = \exp_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi'(0) \cdot \log_{\mathcal{F}}(t))
$$

as explained in [34, Chapter IV, § 5].

Let us now recall that if (R, m) is a complete local ring there is a well defined map

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} & \xrightarrow{+_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathfrak{m} \\ (x, y) & \mapsto \mathcal{F}(x, y) \end{aligned}
$$

endowing the set m with the structure of an abelian group, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m})$. We will sometimes refer to $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m})$ as the group of \mathfrak{m} -points of \mathcal{F} . Every $\phi \in \text{End}_R(\mathcal{F})$ induces an endomorphism $\phi_m : \mathcal{F}(m) \to \mathcal{F}(m)$, and for every ideal $\Phi \subseteq \text{End}_R(\mathcal{F})$ we define the Φ -torsion subgroup $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m})[\Phi] \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m})$ as

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m})[\Phi] := \bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi} \ker(\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}).
$$

These Φ-torsion subgroups generalise the usual N-torsion subgroups $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m})[N] \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m})$ defined for every $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following lemma provides some information about the behaviour of $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m})[p^n]$ under finite extensions of local rings with residue characteristic p .

Lemma 2.1 (see [34, Chapter IV, Exercise 4.6] and [35, Page 15]). Let $R \subseteq S$ be a finite extension of complete discrete valuation rings of characteristic zero with maximal ideals $m_R \subseteq m_S$ and residue fields $\kappa_R \subseteq \kappa_S$. Let $p := \text{char}(\kappa_R) > 0$ be the residue characteristic of R and S, and suppose that $m_R = pR$. Then for every formal group $\mathcal{F} \in R[\![z_1, z_2]\!]$ and every $x \in \mathcal{F}(m_S)[p^n] \setminus \mathcal{F}(m_S)[p^{n-1}]$
with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have that with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have that

$$
v_S(x) \le \frac{v_S(p)}{p^{h(n-1)} \cdot (p^h - 1)}
$$

where v_S denotes the normalised valuation on S, and
—

$$
h = \mathrm{ht}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) := \max \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid [p]_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}} \in \kappa_R [\![t^{p^n}]\!]\right\}
$$

is the height of the reduced formal group $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \in \kappa_R[[z_1, z_2]]$.

Proof. Using that $h = \text{ht}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})$ and that $m_R = p \cdot R$ we see that there exist $f, g \in R[[t]]$ such that $[p]_F = f(t^{p^h}) + pg(t)$. We can assume that $f, g \in t R[[t]]$ and $g'(0) = 1$ because $[p]_F \in t R[[t]]$ and $[p]'(0) = p$. Now fix $x \in \mathcal{F}(m_0)[p^n] \setminus \mathcal{F}(m_0)[p^{n-1}]$ and proceed by induction on $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$. $[p]'_9$ $\mathcal{F}_f(0) = p$. Now fix $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m}_S)[p^n] \setminus \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m}_S)[p^{n-1}]$ and proceed by induction on $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

If $n = 1$ then $f(x^{p^n}) + pg(x) = [p]_F(x) = 0$, hence $v_S(p) + v_S(g(x)) = v_S(f(x^{p^n}))$. Now $v_S(g(x)) = v_S(x)$ because $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) = 1$, and $v_S(f(x^{p^h})) \ge v_S(x^{p^h}) = p^h v_S(x)$ because
 $f(0) = 0$. Hence $v_S(h) \ge (p^h - 1)$, $v_S(x)$ which is what we wanted to prove $f(0) = 0$. Hence $v_s(p) \ge (p^h - 1) \cdot v_s(x)$, which is what we wanted to prove.
If $n > 2$ we know by induction that

If $n \geq 2$ we know by induction that

$$
\frac{\upsilon_S(p)}{p^{h(n-2)} \cdot (p^h - 1)} \ge \upsilon_S([p]_{\mathcal{F}}(x)) = \upsilon_S(f(x^{p^h}) + pg(x)) \ge \min(\upsilon_S(x^{p^h}), \upsilon_S(px))
$$

because $[p]_{\mathcal{F}}(x) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m}_S)[p^{n-1}] \setminus \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{m}_S)[p^{n-2}]$. This implies that $\min(v_S(x^{p^h}), v_S(px)) = v_S(x^{p^h})$.
Otherwise we would get the contradiction $v_S(p) > p^{h(n-2)}$, $(h^h - 1)$, $v_S(px) > v_S(p)$ because Otherwise we would get the contradiction $v_S(p) \ge p^{\bar{h}(n-2)} \cdot (p^h - 1) \cdot v_S(px) > v_S(p)$ because $n > 2$, $v_S(r) > 0$ and $h > 1$. Hence we have that $n \geq 2$, $v_S(x) > 0$ and $h \geq 1$. Hence we have that

$$
v_S(x) = \frac{v_S(x^{p^h})}{p^h} \le \frac{v_S(p)}{p^h \cdot (p^{h(n-2)} \cdot (p^h - 1))} = \frac{v_S(p)}{p^{h(n-1)} \cdot (p^h - 1)}
$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

2.2. Formal groups and elliptic curves. Given an elliptic curve E defined over a number field F by an integral Weierstrass equation one can construct, following for example [34, Chapter IV], a formal group $\widehat{E}\in O_F[\![z_1,z_2]\!]$ which can be thought of as the formal counterpart of the addition law on E. The association $E \mapsto \widehat{E}$ is functorial and in particular induces a map

(4)
$$
\operatorname{End}_F(E) \to \operatorname{End}_F(E)
$$

$$
\phi \mapsto \widehat{\phi}
$$

between the endomorphism rings of E and \widehat{E} . The power series lying in the image of (4) have integral coefficients, as proved in the following theorem, due to Streng.

Theorem 2.2 (see [39, Theorem 2.9]). Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field F and let $\widehat{E}\in O_F[\![z_1,z_2]\!]$ be the formal group law associated to a Weierstrass model of E with coefficients $a_1, \ldots, a_6 \in O_F$. Then for every $\phi \in \text{End}_F(E)$ we have that $\widehat{\phi} \in O_F[[t]]$.

Proof. One can show by induction that $\widehat{[n]}_E = [n]_{\widehat{E}} \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \ldots, a_6]$ $[\![t]\!] \subseteq O_F[\![t]\!]$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $[n]_E \in \text{End}_E(E)$ denotes the multiplication-by-n man. This proves the theorem when where $[n]_E \in \text{End}_F(E)$ denotes the multiplication-by-n map. This proves the theorem when $\text{End}_F(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise E has complex multiplication by [34, Chapter III, Corollary 9.4], and one can combine [33, Chapter II, Proposition 1.1] and [34, Chapter IV, Corollary 4.3] to see that there exists a unique isomorphism $[\cdot]_E: O \to \text{End}_F(E)$ such that $\widehat{[\alpha]}_E^{\prime}(0) = \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in O$
where O is an order in an imaginary quadratic field $K \subset F$ where *O* is an order in an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq F$.

Let $\{\psi_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq F[s]$ be the polynomials determined by the equality

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \psi_j(s) \cdot t^j = \exp_{\widehat{E}}(s \cdot \log_{\widehat{E}}(t)) \in F[[t, s]]
$$

and observe that $\psi_j(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq O_F$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ because (3) shows that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \psi_j(n) \cdot t^j = [n]_{\widehat{E}}(t) \in O_F[[t]]
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

To conclude it is sufficient to show that $\psi_j(O) \subseteq O_{F_\mathfrak{P}}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every prime $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq O_F$, were $F_\mathfrak{P}$ denotes the completion of F at \mathfrak{P} . Indeed in this case $\psi(O) \subset O_F$ for every $i \in \$ where $F_{\mathfrak{B}}$ denotes the completion of F at \mathfrak{P} . Indeed, in this case $\psi_i(O) \subseteq O_F$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and again (3) gives

$$
\widehat{[\alpha]}_E(t) = \exp_{\widehat{E}}(\widehat{[\alpha]_E}'(0) \cdot \log_{\widehat{E}}(t)) = \exp_{\widehat{E}}(\alpha \cdot \log_{\widehat{E}}(t)) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \psi_j(\alpha) \cdot t^j \in O_F[\![t]\!]
$$

for every $\alpha \in O$. The inclusion $\psi_j(O) \subseteq O_{F_{\mathfrak{P}}}$ is easily seen if $\mathfrak P$ lies above a rational prime $p \in \mathbb N$
which splits in K, because under this assumption $O \subset \mathbb Z$, and $\psi(\mathbb Z_n) \subset O_F$, since Z is dense which splits in K, because under this assumption $O \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\psi_j(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subseteq O_{F_{\mathfrak{P}}}$ since $\mathbb Z$ is dense
in $\mathbb Z$, and $\psi_j: F_{\mathfrak{P}} \to F_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is continuous with respect to the \mathfrak{R} -adic topology. For t in \mathbb{Z}_p and $\psi_j: F_{\mathfrak{P}} \to F_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is continuous with respect to the \mathfrak{P} -adic topology. For the remaining cases we refer the reader to the original proof contained in [39] cases we refer the reader to the original proof contained in $[39]$.

Let now $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq O_F$ be a prime of F with residue field $\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and corresponding maximal ideal $m_{\mathfrak{P}} \subseteq O_{F_{\mathfrak{P}}}$, where $F_{\mathfrak{P}}$ denotes the completion of F at \mathfrak{P} . Then [39, § 2] shows that there is a unique injective group homomorphism $\iota_{\mathbb{R}} : E(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}) \to E(F_{\mathbb{R}})$ making the following diagram

(5)
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\widehat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{P}}} & E(F_{\mathfrak{P}}) \\
& \widehat{\phi}_{\mathfrak{P}} & & \downarrow \phi \\
& \widehat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{P}}} & E(F_{\mathfrak{P}})\n\end{array}
$$

commute for every $\phi \in \text{End}_{F_{\mathfrak{P}}}(E)$, where $\phi_{\mathfrak{P}} := (\phi)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{P}}}$ (see Section 2.1). Moreover [34, Chapter VII Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2] imply that $\iota_{\mathfrak{P}}$ fits in the following exact seque ter VII, Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2] imply that $\iota_{\mathfrak{B}}$ fits in the following exact sequence

$$
0 \to \widehat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{P}}) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{P}}} E(F_{\mathfrak{P}}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}} \widetilde{E}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}}) \to 0
$$

in which \widetilde{E} denotes the reduction of E modulo \mathfrak{P} and $\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}: E(F_{\mathfrak{P}}) \to \widetilde{E}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})$ is the canonical projection. Taking torsion and using (5) we get a left-exact sequence

(6)
$$
0 \to \widehat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{P}})[\widehat{\Phi}] \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathfrak{P}}} E(F_{\mathfrak{P}})[\Phi] \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}} \widetilde{E}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})[\Phi]
$$

for every ideal $\Phi \subseteq \text{End}_{F_{\mathfrak{P}}}(E)$. Here $E(F_{\mathfrak{P}})[\Phi] \subseteq E(F_{\mathfrak{P}})$ is the Φ -torsion subgroup

$$
E(F_{\mathfrak{P}})[\Phi] := \bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi} \ker(\phi)
$$

and $E(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})[\Phi]$ is defined analogously, noting that the map $\text{End}_{F_{\mathfrak{P}}}(E) \to \text{End}_{\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}}}(E)$ is injective (see [33, Chapter II, Proposition 4.4]). We remark that $\widehat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{B}})[\widehat{\Phi}]$ is well defined since $\widehat{\Phi} \subseteq O_F[\![t]\!]$ by Theorem 2.2. Sequence (6) will be extensively used in the next section.

3. Division fields of CM elliptic curves: ramification and entanglement

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1 by studying the ramification properties of primes in division field extensions associated to CM elliptic curves, as described in Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3. The proof of these results is an application to the CM case of the theory of formal groups outlined in Section 2. We work in a fixed algebraic closure $\mathbb Q$ of $\mathbb Q$.

Let $F \subseteq \overline{Q}$ be a number field and let E_{F} be an elliptic curve with *complex multiplication* by an order O in an imaginary quadratic field K, which means that $\text{End}_{\overline{Q}}(E) \cong O$. One can always x, combining [33, Chapter II, Proposition 1.1] and [34, Chapter IV, Corollary 4.3], a unique isomorphism $[\cdot]_E: O \to \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(E)$ normalised in such a way that $[\alpha]_E'(0) = \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in O$, where $\widehat{[\alpha]}_E \in \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(\widehat{E})$ denotes the endomorphism of the formal group \widehat{E} associated to $[\alpha]_E$ by (4). We will assume throughout this section that the field of definition F contains the CM field K . This assumption implies in particular that all the endomorphisms of E are already defined over F , as proved in [31, Chapter II, Proposition 30].

For any field extension $F \subseteq L \subseteq \overline{Q}$ and any ideal $I \subseteq O$ we write

$$
E(L)[I] := \{ P \in E(L) : [\alpha]_E(P) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in I \}
$$

for the set of *I*-torsion points of *E* defined over *L*, which is naturally a module over *O*/*I*. When $I = \alpha$, *O* for some $\alpha \in O$ we write $F(I)[\alpha] = F(I)[I]$ and $F[\alpha] = F(\overline{O})[\alpha]$. For some ideal $I \subseteq O$ $I = \alpha \cdot O$ for some $\alpha \in O$ we write $E(L)[\alpha] := E(L)[I]$ and $E[\alpha] := E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[\alpha]$. For any ideal $I \subseteq O$ the groups $E[I] := E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[I]$ are always finite and they give rise to finite extensions $F \subseteq F(E[I])$ obtained by adjoining to F the coordinates of every *I*-torsion point. We refer to the number field $F(E[I])$ as the *I-division field* of $E_{/F}$. The next result summarises the main properties of the extension $F \subset F(E[I])$ when *I* is invertible (see [26] Chapter I & 12]) the extension $F \subseteq F(E[I])$ when I is invertible (see [26, Chapter I, § 12]).

Lemma 3.1. Let F be a number field and $E_{/F}$ an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order O in an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq F$. Then for every ideal $I \subseteq O$ the extension $F \subseteq F(E[I])$ is Galois and there is a canonical inclusion Gal($F(E[I])/F) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(E[I])$. Moreover, if I is invertible, the group $E[I]$ has a natural structure of free O/I -module of rank one and, after choosing a generator, one gets an injective group homomorphism

$$
\rho_{E,I} \colon \operatorname{Gal}(F(E[I])/F) \hookrightarrow (O/I)^{\times}
$$

which will be denoted by $\rho_{E,N}$ when $I = N \cdot O$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. Under the further assumption that I is continue to the ideal $f \circ \cdot O$ generated by the conductor $f \circ \cdot \neg O$ of the order O one has I is coprime to the ideal $\mathfrak{f}_O \cdot O$ generated by the conductor $\mathfrak{f}_O := |O_K : O|$ of the order O, one has that $O/I \cong O_K/IO_K$.

Proof. Since F contains the CM field K, the endomorphisms of E are all defined over F and this implies that Gal(\overline{Q}/F) acts on E[I] by O-module automorphisms. In particular $F \subseteq F(E[I])$ is Galois and there is a canonical inclusion Gal($F(E[I])/F) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_O(E[I])$. If I is invertible, $E[I]$ has the structure of free O/I -module of rank one by [5, Lemma 2.4], and the choice of a generator induces an isomorphism $\text{Aut}_O(E[I]) \cong (O/I)^\times$ which gives the map $\rho_{E,I}$ appearing in
the statement. The last assertion follows from [11, Proposition 7, 20] the statement. The last assertion follows from [11, Proposition 7.20]. \square

With the next proposition we start our study concerning the ramification properties of the extensions $F \subseteq F(E[I])$ by finding an explicit finite set of primes outside which these are unramified.

Proposition 3.2. Let F be a number field and E_{F} an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order O in an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq F$. Denote by $\mathfrak{f}_O := |O_K : O|$ the conductor of the order O and by $\mathfrak{f}_E \subseteq O_F$ the conductor ideal of the elliptic curve E. Then for every ideal $I \subseteq O$ coprime with \mathfrak{f}_O the extension $F \subseteq F(E[I])$ is unramified at all primes not dividing $(I \cdot O_F) \cdot \mathfrak{f}_E$.

Proof. Since I is coprime with the conductor of the order O , it can be uniquely factored into a product of invertible prime ideals of O (see [11, Proposition 7.20]). The field $F(E[I])$ is then the compositum of all the division fields $F(E[\mathfrak{p}^n])$ with \mathfrak{p}^n the prime power factors of *I* in *O*. Hence
it suffices to prove that for every invertible prime ideal $\mathfrak{n} \subset \Omega$ and $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ the field ext it suffices to prove that for every invertible prime ideal $p \subseteq O$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the field extension $F \subseteq F(E[\mathfrak{p}^n])$ is unramified at every prime of F not dividing $(\mathfrak{p} O_F) \cdot \mathfrak{f}_E$.
Fix an invertible prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset O$ and write $L := F(F[\mathfrak{p}^n])$. Let $\mathfrak{q} \nmid (n O_F)$

Fix an invertible prime $p \subseteq O$ and write $L := F(E[p^n])$. Let $q \nmid (p \ O_F) \cdot f_E$ be a prime of F and fix a prime $\mathfrak{Q} \subseteq O_L$ lying above q, with residue field κ . Since q does not divide the conductor f_E of the elliptic curve, E has good reduction \widetilde{E} modulo q and we then denote by $\pi: E(L) \to \widetilde{E}(\kappa)$ the reduction map. Take $\sigma \in I(\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{q})$, where $I(\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq \text{Gal}(L/F)$ denotes the inertia subgroup of $q \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}$, and fix a torsion point $Q \in E[p^n] = E(L)[p^n]$. By definition of inertia σ acts trivially on the residue field κ hence the residue field κ , hence

(7)
$$
\pi(Q^{\sigma} - Q) = \pi(Q^{\sigma}) - \pi(Q) = \pi(Q) - \pi(Q) = 0
$$

i.e. the point $Q^{\sigma} - Q$ is in the kernel of the reduction map π . We are going to use the exact sequence (6) to show that the only n^{μ} -torsion point contained in this kernel is 0. To this aim sequence (6) to show that the only $pⁿ$ -torsion point contained in this kernel is 0. To this aim, we embed L in its Ω -adic completion L_{Ω} with ring of integers $O_{L_{\Omega}}$ and maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_{Ω} .
Notice that the set $(\mathfrak{n}^n \cap \Omega) \setminus (\Omega \cap \Omega)$ is non-empty because $\mathfrak{n} \nmid$ fo and $\mathfrak{a} \nmid (\mathfrak{n} O_{\Gamma})$. Notice that the set $(\mathfrak{p}^n \cap O) \setminus (\Omega \cap O)$ is non-empty because $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \tilde{f}_O$ and $\mathfrak{q} \nmid (\mathfrak{p} O_F)$. Consider then the formal group $\widehat{E} \in O_F [\![z_1, z_2]\!]$ associated to an integral Weierstrass model of E, and let $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{p}^n \cap O) \setminus (\mathfrak{Q} \cap O)$. The endomorphism $[\alpha]_E \in \text{End}_F(\widetilde{E})$ corresponding to $[\alpha]_E \in \text{End}_F(E)$ via (4) becomes an automorphism over $L_{\mathfrak{D}}$, because $\widehat{[\alpha]}_l$
in (6) shows that $F[n^n] \cap \ker(\pi) \subset F[\alpha] \cap \ker(\pi) = \{0\}$ $\Gamma_E'(0) = \alpha \in O_{L_{\mathfrak{Q}}}^{\times}$. Hence taking $\Phi = [\mathfrak{p}^n]_E$
by where the last equality holds because in (6) shows that $E[p^n] \cap \text{ker}(\pi) \subseteq E[\alpha] \cap \text{ker}(\pi) = \{0\}$, where the last equality holds because $E(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{D}})[\alpha]_E = 0$. Combining this with (7) we see that $Q^{\sigma} = Q$ for every $Q \in E[p^n]$ and $\sigma \in I(\mathfrak{D}/q)$.
Since L is generated over E by the elements of $E[n^n]$ we deduce that the inertia group $I(\mathfrak{D}/q)$ is Since L is generated over F by the elements of $E[\mathfrak{p}^n]$, we deduce that the inertia group $I(\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{q})$ is
trivial. In particular, $F \subset I$ is unramified at every prime not dividing $(\mathfrak{n} \cdot O_F)$ is as wanted. trivial. In particular, $F \subseteq L$ is unramified at every prime not dividing $(\mathfrak{p} \cdot O_F) \mathfrak{f}_E$, as wanted. $□$

We now turn to the study of the primes which ramify in $F \subseteq F(E[I])$. To do this it suffices to restrict our attention to the case $I = p^n$ for some prime $p \subseteq O$ and some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as we do in the following proposition following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. Let F be a number field and E_{F} an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order O in an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq F$. Denote by $\mathfrak{b}_E := \mathfrak{f}_O \Delta_F N_{F/O}(\mathfrak{f}_E)$ the product of the conductor $\mathfrak{f}_O := |O_K : O|$ of the order O, the absolute discriminant $\Delta_F \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the number field F and the norm $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(f_E) := |O_F/f_E|$ of the conductor ideal $f_E \subseteq O_F$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any prime ideal $p \subseteq O$ coprime with $b_E O$ the extension $F \subseteq F(E[p^n])$ is totally ramified at each prime dividing $p \cap F$. Moreover, the Galois representation prime dividing $p O_F$. Moreover, the Galois representation

$$
\rho_{E,\mathfrak{p}^n} \colon \operatorname{Gal}(F(E[\mathfrak{p}^n])/F) \hookrightarrow (O/\mathfrak{p}^n)^{\times} \cong (O_K/\mathfrak{p}^n O_K)^{\times}
$$

defined in Lemma 3.1 is an isomorphism.

Proof. The statement is trivially true if $n = 0$, hence we assume that $n \geq 1$. Fix $\widehat{E} \in O_F \llbracket z_1, z_2 \rrbracket$ to be the formal group associated to an integral Weierstrass model of E, and let $p \subseteq O$ be as in the statement. The hypothesis of coprimality with $b_E O$ implies that p is invertible in O and that it lies above a rational prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ that is unramified in K. We divide the proof according to the splitting behaviour of p in O, which is the same as the splitting behaviour in K, since $p \nmid f_Q$.

First, assume that p is inert in K, so that $p = pO$. In this case, $L := F(E[p^n])$ coincides with P^n -division field $F(E[p^n])$ The injectivity of the Galois representation the p^n -division field $F(E[p^n])$. The injectivity of the Galois representation

$$
\rho_{E,p^n}: \text{ Gal}(L/F) \hookrightarrow (O/p^n O)^\times \cong (O_K/p^n O_K)^\times
$$

shows that the degree of the extension $F \subseteq L$ is bounded as

$$
[L:F] \le |(O_K/p^n O_K)^{\times}| = p^{2(n-1)}(p^2 - 1).
$$

Let $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq O_L$ be a prime of L lying above p and denote by $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ the \mathfrak{P} -adic completion of L with ring
of integers O_L —maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_R and residue field κ_R . We want to determine the ramif of integers $O_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}}$, maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and residue field $\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}}$. We want to determine the ramification index $e(\mathfrak{R}/(\mathfrak{R} \cap O_{\Gamma}))$ index $e(\mathfrak{P}/(\mathfrak{P} \cap O_F))$.

Since p is inert in K, the reduced elliptic curve \widetilde{E} is supersingular by [16, § 14, Theorem 12], hence $\overline{E}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})[p^n] = 0$. Taking $\Phi = [p^n]_E$ in (6), we see that the group $\overline{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{P}})$ contains a non-zero point of exact order p^n . We can now use Lemma 2.1 and the hypothesis $p \nmid \Delta_E$ to get point of exact order p^n . We can now use Lemma 2.1 and the hypothesis $p \nmid \Delta_F$ to get

(8)
$$
p^{h(n-1)}(p^h - 1) \le v_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}}(p) = e(\mathfrak{P}/p) = e(\mathfrak{P}/(\mathfrak{P} \cap O_F)) \le [L : F] \le p^{2(n-1)}(p^2 - 1).
$$

where $h \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the height of the reduction modulo \mathfrak{P} of the formal group E. Since the latter is precisely the formal group associated to \widetilde{E} , we have that $h = 2$ by [34, Chapter V, Theorem 3.1]. Thus all the inequalities appearing in (8) are actually equalities, and we see at once that $e(\mathfrak{P}/(\mathfrak{P} \cap O_F)) = [L : F] = p^{2(n-1)}(p^2 - 1)$, which implies that ρ_{E,p^n} is an isomorphism
and that $\mathfrak{P} \cap O_F$ is totally ramified in *I*. This concludes the proof of the inert case and that $\mathfrak{P} \cap O_F$ is totally ramified in L. This concludes the proof of the inert case.

Suppose now that p splits in K, so that $pQ = p\overline{p}$, where \overline{p} is the image of p under the unique non-trivial automorphism of *K*. If we put again $L := F(E[p^n])$, the injectivity of ρ_{E,p^n} gives

$$
[L:F] \le |(O_K/p^n O_K)^{\times}| = p^{n-1}(p-1).
$$

It is convenient in this case to work inside the bigger division field $F := F(E[p^n])$, which contains
both L and $I' := F(E[\bar{x}^n])$. We then fix $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega$ two primes of \tilde{F} lying respectively above both *L* and *L'* := $F(E[\overline{p}^n])$. We then fix $\mathfrak{P}, \overline{\mathfrak{P}} \subseteq O_{\overline{F}}$ two primes of \overline{F} lying respectively above $P \subseteq O_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\overline{P} \subseteq P$ and $\overline{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{P} \cap O_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\overline{P} \cap O_{\mathfrak{P}}$ the cor $\mathfrak{p}O_K$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}O_K$, and we denote by $\mathcal{P} := \mathfrak{P} \cap O_L$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}} := \overline{\mathfrak{P}} \cap O_L$ the corresponding primes in L. For every prime ideal $q \in \{\mathfrak{P}, \overline{\mathfrak{P}}\}$ we denote by \widetilde{F}_q the q-adic completion of \widetilde{F} with ring of integers $O_{\widetilde{F}_q}$ and residue field κ_q , and by E_q the reduction of $E_{/\widetilde{F}}$ modulo q. We use analogous $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$ notation for P and P. The goal is to compute the ramification index $e(P/P \cap O_F)$, and we
divide our argument in three steps divide our argument in three steps.

Step 1 First of all, we prove that the reduction map $E[\mathfrak{p}^n] \to E_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}}(\kappa_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}})$ is injective. This is introduction to see that $\ker(\pi) \circ E(L)$ limit = 0, where equivalent to say that ker($\pi_{\overline{P}}$) $\cap E(L_{\overline{P}})[p^n] = 0$, where

$$
\pi_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}}\colon E(L_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}})\twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{E}_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}}(\kappa_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}})\subseteq \widetilde{E}_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}}(\kappa_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}})
$$

denotes the reduction modulo P. Since p is coprime with the conductor of the order O by
examplien it is possible to find $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and that $\alpha \notin \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The andematrical $\widehat{(\alpha)}$ \in End $\widehat{(\widehat{\alpha})}$ assumption, it is possible to find $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}^n$ such that $\alpha \notin \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$. The endomorphism $\widehat{[\alpha]}_E \in \text{End}_F(\widehat{E})$ corresponding to $[\alpha]_E \in \text{End}_F(E)$ via (4) becomes an automorphism over $L_{\overline{p}}$, because $[\alpha]_E^{\prime}(0) =$
 $\alpha \in \Omega^{\times}$. Hence taking $\Phi = [\mathbf{n}^n]_E$ in (6) shows that $\alpha \in O_{L_{\overline{p}}}^{\times}$. Hence taking $\Phi = [\mathfrak{p}^n]_E$ in (6) shows that

$$
\ker(\pi_{\overline{p}}) \cap E(L_{\overline{p}})[\mathfrak{p}^n] \subseteq \ker(\pi_{\overline{p}}) \cap E(L_{\overline{p}})[\alpha] = 0
$$

where the last equality holds because $\widehat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{P}})[\widehat{\alpha}]_E = 0$. In exactly the same way, using L' in place of *L*, one shows that the reduction map $E[\bar{p}^n] \to E_{\mathfrak{P}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})$ is injective.

Step 2 We now claim that ker($\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}$) ∩ $E[p^n] = E[p^n]$ where $\pi_{\mathfrak{P}} : E(F) \to E_{\mathfrak{P}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})$ denotes the reduction modulo \mathcal{P} . Since $pO = p\overline{p}$, there is a decomposition of the group $E[p^n]$ into the direct
sum of $E[\mathbf{n}^n]$ and $E[\overline{\mathbf{n}}^n]$ which are cyclic groups of order p^n by Lemma 3.1. In particular, there sum of $E[$ \mathfrak{p}^n] and $E[\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^n]$, which are cyclic groups of order p^n by Lemma 3.1. In particular, there
exists $A \in E[n^n]$ and $B \in E[\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^n]$ such that every p^n -torsion point $O \in E[p^n]$ can be written a exists $A \in E[p^n]$ and $B \in E[\bar{p}^n]$ such that every p^n -torsion point $Q \in E[p^n]$ can be written as

$$
Q = [a](A) + [b](B)
$$

for unique $a, b \in \{0, \ldots, p^n - 1\}$. If $\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}(Q) = 0$ then

$$
\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}([b](B)) = \pi_{\mathfrak{P}}([-a](A)) \in \widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{P}}[\mathfrak{p}^n] \cap \widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{P}}[\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^n] = \{0\}
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that \mathfrak{p}^n and $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^n$ are coprime in O . In particular, $[b](B)$ is in the kernel of the reduction map $E[\bar{p}^n] \to E_{\mathfrak{P}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})[p^n]$, which is the restriction of $\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}$
to $E[\bar{n}^n]$ and is injective by **Step 1**. Hence we have $O = [a](A) \in E[n^n]$ and this shows the to $E[\bar{p}^n]$ and is injective by **Step 1**. Hence we have $Q = [a](A) \in E[p^n]$, and this shows the inclusion ker(π_n) \cap $E[p^n] \subset E[n^n]$ To prove the other inclusion first notice that the restriction inclusion ker($\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}$) $\cap E[p^n] \subseteq E[p^n]$. To prove the other inclusion first notice that the restriction of $\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ to $E[p^n]$ given rise to a quitation $E[p^n]$ \rightarrow \widetilde{E}_p ($\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}$) \rightarrow \widetilde{E}_p (π_{\mathfr of $\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}$ to $E[p^n]$ gives rise to a surjection $E[p^n] \to \widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})[p^n]$ because $E[\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^n] \to \widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})[p^n]$ is injective and the elliptic gurve $\widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is ardinary by [16, 8 injective and the elliptic curve $\widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is ordinary by [16, § 14, Theorem 12]. This gives

$$
\frac{E[p^n]}{\ker(\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}) \cap E[p^n]} \cong \widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})[p^n]
$$

which in turn shows that

$$
|\ker(\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}) \cap E[p^n]| = \frac{|E[p^n]|}{|\widetilde{E}_{\mathfrak{P}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{P}})[p^n]|} = \frac{p^{2n}}{p^n} = p^n = |E[p^n]|.
$$

We conclude that $\ker(\pi_{\mathfrak{P}}) \cap E[p^n] = E[\mathfrak{p}^n]$.

Step 3 Using (6) with $\Phi = [p^n]_E$ and **Step 2**, after recalling that \mathfrak{P} lies over \mathcal{P} , one can see that the group $\overline{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{P}})$ contains a point of exact order p^n . We now apply Lemma 2.1 and the
hypothesis $p \nmid \Delta_F$ to get hypothesis $p \nmid \Delta_F$ to get

(9)
$$
p^{h(n-1)}(p^h - 1) \le v_{L_p}(p) = e(P/p) = e(P/(\mathcal{P} \cap O_F)) \le [L : F] \le p^{n-1}(p-1).
$$

where $h \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the height of the reduction modulo $\mathcal P$ of the formal group \widehat{E} . Since the latter is precisely the formal group associated to the ordinary elliptic curve \widetilde{E} we have that latter is precisely the formal group associated to the ordinary elliptic curve $\widetilde{E}_{\mathcal{P}}$, we have that $h = 1$ by [34, Chapter V, Theorem 3.1]. Thus all the inequalities appearing in (9) are actually equalities, and we see at once that $e(\mathcal{P}/(\mathcal{P} \cap O_F)) = [L: F] = p^{n-1}(p-1)$, which implies that $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{O}_F$ is totally ramified in *I*. This concludes the proof ρ_{E,\mathfrak{p}^n} is an isomorphism and that $\mathcal{P} \cap O_F$ is totally ramified in \tilde{L} . This concludes the proof. \Box

Remark 3.4. As we already stated in the introduction, Proposition 3.3 can be obtained by combining various results of Lozano-Robledo. More precisely, see [21, Proposition 5.6] for the inert case and the proof of [22, Theorem 6.10] for the split case. The arguments used by Lozano-Robledo for the inert case involve a formula for the valuation of the coefficient of t^p in the
power series [p] \prec () $\in O$ [H] (see [19] Theorem 3.9]), and the study of the split case goes through power series [p]_{$\widehat{E}(t)$ ∈ O_F [[t]] (see [19, Theorem 3.9]), and the study of the split case goes through
a detailed investigation of Borel subgroups of GL2(ℤ/ p^n ℤ) (see [22, Section 41). Our proof of} a detailed investigation of Borel subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ (see [22, Section 4]). Our proof of Proposition 3.3, which concerns only CM elliptic curves and prime ideals not dividing br Ω Proposition 3.3, which concerns only CM elliptic curves and prime ideals not dividing $b_E O$, appears to be shorter because it uses the same techniques to deal with the split and inert case. Notice as well that our discussion is explicitly written for general imaginary quadratic orders, whereas [22, Theorem 6.10] is stated and proved only for maximal orders. We observe however that [22, Remark 6.12] points out that the proof of [22, Theorem 6.10] carries over to the general case.

We also remark that, if $O = O_K$ is a maximal order of class number 1 and $F = K$, Proposition 3.3 is proved by Coates and Wiles in [9, Lemma 5] (see also [1, Lemma 3] and [10, Proposition 47]). The main tool used in their proof is Lubin-Tate theory.

Remark 3.5. Let $E_{/F}$ be any elliptic curve (not necessarily with complex multiplication) which has good supersingular reduction at a prime $p \subseteq O_F$ lying above a prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ which does not ramify in $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq F$. Then one can use the same argument provided in the first part of the proof of Proposition 3.3 to show that the ramification index $e(\mathfrak{P}/p)$ is bounded from below by proved by Lozano-Robledo in [21, Proposition 5.6] and by Smith in [36, Theorem 2.1]. $2(n-1)(p^2-1)$, where $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq F(E[p^n])$ is any prime lying above p. This result has already been
royed by Lozano-Robledo in [21] Proposition 5.6] and by Smith in [36] Theorem 2.11

Remark 3.6. Let E be an elliptic curve having complex multiplication by an imaginary quadratic order O, and suppose that E is defined over the ring class field H_O . Then using the recent work [20] of Lozano-Robledo, and in particular [20, Theorem 1.2.(4)] and [20, Theorem 7.11], one can show that the Galois representation ρ_{E,p^n} is an isomorphism for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every rational
prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \nmid 25 \triangle x$. This strengthens, for elliptic curves defined over H_0 , the final prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \nmid 2\mathfrak{f}_Q \Delta_K$. This strengthens, for elliptic curves defined over H_Q , the final assertion of Proposition 3.3.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. Recall that a family $\mathcal{F} = \{F_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ of Galois extensions of a number field F, indexed over any set S , is called *linearly disjoint* over F if the natural inclusion map

$$
Gal(L/F) \hookrightarrow \prod_{s \in S} Gal(F_s/F)
$$

the change of the

is an isomorphism, where L denotes the compositum of the fields F_s . Otherwise the family is
called *entangled* over F called *entangled* over *F*.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The family $\{F(E[p^{\infty}])\}_{q \notin S} \cup \{F(E[S^{\infty}])\}$ appearing in the statement of Theorem 1.1 is linearly disjoint over *F* if and only if $F(F[n^n]) \cap F(F[m]) = F$ for every prime *n* $\notin S$ orem 1.1 is linearly disjoint over F if and only if $F(E[p^n]) \cap F(E[m]) = F$ for every prime $p \notin S$, every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime with p. To prove this latter statement, we first show that every non-trivial subextension of $F := F(E[p^n])$ is ramified at some prime dividing p.
When p is inert in K, this follows immediately from Proposition 3.3. Suppose the

When p is inert in K , this follows immediately from Proposition 3.3. Suppose then that p is split in K, with $pO_K = p\overline{p}$. The division field F is the compositum over F of the extensions $F_{\mathfrak{p}} := F(E[\mathfrak{p}^n])$ and $F_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} := F(E[\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^n])$. By Proposition 3.3 the extension $F \subseteq F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (respectively $F \subseteq F_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$) is totally ramified at every prime of F lying over n (resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$). Let is totally ramified at every prime of F lying over $\mathfrak p$ (resp. $\overline{\mathfrak p}$). Let $\mathfrak P$ be a prime of F lying above p, and denote by $I(\mathfrak{P}) \subseteq Gal(F/F)$ its inertia group and by $e(\mathfrak{P})$ its ramification index in the extension $F \subseteq \overline{F}$. If $F \subseteq L$ is a subextension of $F \subseteq \overline{F}$ in which $\mathfrak P$ does not ramify, then L must be contained in the inertia field $T = (\widetilde{F})^{I(\mathfrak{P})}$ relative to \mathfrak{P} . Notice that the latter also contains $F_{\overline{p}},$
since by Proposition 3.2 the extension $F \subset F$ - is unramified at \mathfrak{R} . On the other hand, since by Proposition 3.2 the extension $F \subseteq F_{\overline{p}}$ is unramified at \mathfrak{P} . On the other hand, the fact that $F \subset F_{\overline{p}}$ is totally ramified at \mathfrak{R} gives the chain of inequalities that $F \subseteq F_p$ is totally ramified at $\mathfrak P$ gives the chain of inequalities

$$
[F_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}\colon F] \leq [T\colon F] = \frac{[\overline{F}\colon F]}{|I(\mathfrak{P})|} = \frac{[\overline{F}\colon F]}{e(\mathfrak{P})} \leq \frac{[F_{\mathfrak{p}}\colon F] \cdot [F_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}\colon F]}{e(\mathfrak{P})} \leq [F_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}\colon F]
$$

which shows that $T = F_{\overline{p}}$. Hence Proposition 3.3 implies that any extension $F \subseteq L$ which is unramified at every prime above n is totally ramified at every prime above \overline{p} unramified at every prime above $\mathfrak p$ is totally ramified at every prime above $\overline{\mathfrak p}$.

Now it is easy to conclude that $\overline{F} \cap F(E[m]) = F$, since otherwise $F \subseteq F(E[m])$ would ramify some prime of F dividing p contradicting Proposition 3.2 at some prime of F dividing p , contradicting Proposition 3.2.

Remark 3.7. Let F be a number field and E_{F} an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order O in an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq F$. Denote by $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ the set of primes dividing \mathfrak{b}_E , as in Theorem 1.1. In this general setting it is an interesting question to study the entanglement in the finite family of "bad" division fields $\{F(E[p^{\infty}])\}_{p\in S}$, as we do in Section 5 where we specify $F - K$ and F to be the base-change of an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{D} $F = K$ and E to be the base-change of an elliptic curve defined over Q.

A first step towards a complete answer to the previous question in the general setting is to find the minimal set $S' \subseteq S$ such that the family of division fields

$$
\{F(E[p^{\infty}])\}_{p \notin S'} \cup \{F(E[(S')^{\infty}])\}
$$

is linearly disjoint over F. We partially answer the latter question in Corollary 4.6, where we
prove that one can take $S' = \emptyset$ for every elliptic curve E defined over the ring class field H_2 prove that one can take $S' = \emptyset$ for every elliptic curve E defined over the ring class field H_O
satisfying the condition $H_O(F_+) \not\subset K^{ab}$. There are infinitely many such elliptic curves when satisfying the condition $H_O(E_{\text{tors}}) \nsubseteq K^{\text{ab}}$. There are infinitely many such elliptic curves when
Pic(*O*) + {1} as we show in Theorem 4.9. On the other hand, if Pic(*O*) – {1} there are infinitely $Pic(O) \neq \{1\}$, as we show in Theorem 4.9. On the other hand, if $Pic(O) = \{1\}$ there are infinitely many examples of elliptic curves E having complex multiplication by O for which $S' = S$ can
be arbitrary large (see Remark 5.6) be arbitrary large (see Remark 5.6).

Remark 3.8. Let F be a number field and E be a CM elliptic curve defined over F . Then, even when $K \nsubseteq F$, we have that $K \subseteq F(E[N])$ for every $N > 2$. This has been showed in [25, Lemma 6] for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and in [4, Lemma 3.15] for arbitrary F. In particular, the statement of Theorem 1.1 does not hold when $K \nsubseteq F$.

The description of the set of primes S in Theorem 1.1 is actually redundant, since all the primes p dividing the conductor \tilde{p}_0 , with the possible exception of $p = 2$, also divide the absolute discriminant Δ_F of the field of definition of E. This can be seen as follows: since $K \subseteq F$, the field F always contains the field $K(j(E))$, obtained by adjoining to K the *j*-invariant $j(E)$ of the elliptic curve E. Despite its definition, $H_Q := K(j(E))$ does not depend on E but only on its CM order O, and is called the ring class field of K relative to the order O. The extension $K \subseteq H_O$ is always abelian and it is possibly ramified only at primes of K dividing the conductor \mathfrak{f}_O (see [11, § 9.A]). If $O = O_K$, the field H_{O_K} coincides with the *Hilbert class field* of *K*, *i.e.* the maximal abelian extension of *K* which is unramified everywhere. The initial assertion now follows from abelian extension of K which is unramified everywhere. The initial assertion now follows from the following proposition, which is a weaker form of [11, Exercise 9.20].

Proposition 3.9. Let O be an order of conductor $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_0 := |O_K : O|$ in an imaginary quadratic field K. Then the extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq H_O$ is ramified at all the odd primes dividing f_O . Moreover if 4 | f_O the same extension is also ramified at 2.

Proof. If $f_Q = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Otherwise let $f_Q = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$ be the prime factorisation of f_Q and observe that for every $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ one has the chain of inclusions tion of \mathfrak{f}_O , and observe that, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, one has the chain of inclusions

$$
K \subseteq H_{O_K} \subseteq H_{O_i} \subseteq H_O
$$

given by the Anordnungsatz for ring class fields (see Remark A.3), where O_i denotes the order
of conductor $P_i^{a_i}$. Now, the class number formula [11, Theorem 7,24] violds of conductor $p_i^{a_i}$. Now, the class number formula [11, Theorem 7.24] yields

(10)
$$
[H_{O_i} : H_{O_K}] = \frac{[H_{O_i} : K]}{[H_{O_K} : K]} = \frac{h_{O_i}}{h_K} = \frac{p_i^{a_i}}{|O_K^{\times} : O_i^{\times}|} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\Delta_K}{p_i}\right) \frac{1}{p_i}\right).
$$

where $h_{O_i} := [H_{O_i}: K] = |Pic(O_i)|$ and analogously $h_K := [H_{O_K}: K] = |Pic(O_K)|$. Since either $h_i \geq 3$ or $h_i = 2$ and $g_i \geq 2$ we see from (10) that $H_{O_i} \neq H_{O_i}$ except when $h_i = 3$ $g_i = 1$ $p_i \geq 3$ or $p_i = 2$ and $a_i \geq 2$, we see from (10) that $H_{O_i} \neq H_{O_K}$ except when $p_i = 3$, $a_i = 1$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. In this last case the extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$ is ramified at $p_i = 3$. Otherwise the extension $H_2 \subseteq H_2$ is ramified at some prime dividing p_i . Indeed $H_2 \subseteq H_2$ is ramified at extension $H_{O_K} \subsetneq H_{O_i}$ is ramified at some prime dividing p_i . Indeed, $H_{O_K} \subsetneq H_{O_i}$ is ramified at some prime because $K \subset H_{O_i}$ is abelian and H_{O_i} is the Hilbert class field of K and this suffices some prime because $K \subseteq H_{O_i}$ is abelian and H_{O_K} is the Hilbert class field of K , and this suffices to conclude because $K \subseteq H_{O_i}$ can ramify only at primes lying above p_i . to conclude because $K \subseteq H_{O_i}$ can ramify only at primes lying above p_i

Remark 3.10. If 2 | \mathfrak{f}_O but 4 $\nmid \mathfrak{f}_O$ the extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq H_O$ could still be unramified at 2. This happens, for instance, if $\mathfrak{f}_O = 2$ and 2 splits in K, because in this case the ring class field H_O is equal to the Hilbert class field H_{O_K} .

Proposition 3.9 shows that the set S in Theorem 1.1 could be replaced by the set S' of primes
 $\frac{1}{2}$ of primes in a slightly weaker statement. However, choosing dividing $2 \cdot \Delta_F \cdot N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(f_E)$, even if this results in a slightly weaker statement. However, choosing the set S' instead of the set S allows to draw a comparison with a result of Lombardo on the
image of a-adic Galois representations attached to CM elliptic curves, which is shown in [17] image of p -adic Galois representations attached to CM elliptic curves, which is shown in [17, Theorem 6.6]. In this paper Lombardo proves the isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(F(E[p^{\infty}])/F) \cong (O \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}
$$

for every prime $p \nmid \Delta_F \cdot N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(f_E)$. If moreover $p \geq 3$, *i.e.* $p \notin S'$, this isomorphism follows also
from Proposition 3.3 by taking inverse limits. The methods used in [17] are different from ours from Proposition 3.3 by taking inverse limits. The methods used in $[17]$ are different from ours and generalise also to higher dimensional abelian varieties.

4. Minimality of division fields

We have seen in Proposition 3.3 that for every CM elliptic curve E defined over a number field F with End_F(E) \cong O for some order O in an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq F$, the division fields $F(E[N])$ are *maximal* for all integers N coprime with a fixed integer $b_E \in \mathbb{N}$. This is to say that the associated Galois representation ρ_{EN} given by Lemma 3.1 is surjective. When E is defined over the ring class field H_O of K relative to O, the division fields $H_O(E[N])$ always contain a special abelian extension $H_{N,O} \subseteq K^{ab}$ called the *ray class field modulo N relative*
to the order O. If the division field $H_O(F[N])$ is maximal and $N > 2$ then the containment to the order O. If the division field $H_O(E[N])$ is maximal and $N > 2$ then the containment $H_{N,O} \subseteq H_O(E[N])$ is strict. In this section we want to study for which integers N the division fields are *minimal*, in the sense that $H_O(E[N]) = H_{N,O}$. Theorem 4.3, which is the main result of Section 4, provides an explicit set of integers $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for which such an equality occurs. This will be used in Section 5 to detect entanglement in families of division fields. We point out that Theorem 4.3 is formulated in a wider setting, with the integer N replaced by a general invertible ideal $I \subseteq O$. The study of the ray class fields H_{LO} associated to these ideals is the content of Appendix A. We begin instead this section with a summary of some basic facts on lattices in number fields which will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Our exposition follows [16, Chapter 8].

Let F be a number field. A *lattice* $\Lambda \subseteq F$ is an additive subgroup of F which is free of rank $[F: \mathbb{Q}]$ over Z. Given a pair of lattices $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq F$ we can form their sum $\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 \subseteq F$, their product $\Lambda_1 \cdot \Lambda_2 \subseteq F$ and their quotient $(\Lambda_1 : \Lambda_2) := \{x \in F \mid x \cdot \Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda_1\} \subseteq F$. Moreover, it is possible to define an action of the idèle group of F on the set $\{\Lambda \subseteq F : \Lambda \text{ lattice}\}\)$, as we are going to describe.

For a place $w \in M_F$ denote by F_w the completion of the number field F at w and by O_{F_w} its
or of integers Let \mathbb{A}_F be the *adèle ring* of F, defined by the restricted product ring of integers. Let A_F be the *adèle ring* of F , defined by the restricted product

$$
\mathbb{A}_F := \prod_{w \in M_F} F_w = \left\{ s = (s_w)_{w \in M_F} \in \prod_{w \in M_F} F_w \middle| s_w \in O_{F_w} \text{ for almost all } w \in M_F \right\}
$$

The discussion on [26, Page 371] shows that the adèle ring of F can be obtained from the rational adèle ring by extending scalars, *i.e.* there is a ring isomorphism $A_F \cong A_0 \otimes_0 F$. This enables us to talk, for a place $p \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$, of the *p-component* $s_p \in F_p := \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ of an adèle $s \in A_F$; in particular if $p = \infty$ is the unique infinite place of Q we have the *infinity component* $s_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$. Hence $s \in A_F$ can be alternatively written as

(11)
$$
s = (s_w)_{w \in M_F} \text{ or } s = (s_p)_{p \in M_Q}
$$

and of course the same is true if $s \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$ belongs to the *idèle group* \mathbb{A}_F^\times
often confuse finite places $\rho \in M^0$ and rational primes $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$ often confuse finite places $p \in M^0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and rational primes $p \in \mathbb{N}$. . In what follows, we will

Now, for a lattice $\Lambda \subseteq F$ and a prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\Lambda_p := \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ the completion of the lattice Λ at p. Given an idèle $s = (s_p)_{p \in M_Q} \in A_F^\times$ there exists a unique lattice $s \cdot \Lambda \subseteq F$ with the property that $(s \cdot \Lambda) = s \cdot \Lambda$, for every prime $h \in \mathbb{N}$. This defines an action of the idèle group property that $(s \cdot \Lambda)_p = s_p \cdot \Lambda_p$ for every prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$. This defines an action of the idèle group \mathbb{A}^\times on the set of lattices in F given by $(s, \Lambda) \mapsto s \cdot \Lambda$. We remark that the notation $s \cdot \Lambda$ although \hat{A}_F^{\times} on the set of lattices in F, given by $(s, \Lambda) \mapsto s \cdot \Lambda$. We remark that the notation $s \cdot \Lambda$, although evocative of a multiplication between an idèle and a lattice is purely formal and should not be evocative of a multiplication between an idèle and a lattice, is purely formal and should not be confused with the notation $\Lambda_1 \cdot \Lambda_2$ for the usual product of lattices. Nevertheless, it is easy to see from the definitions that $(s \cdot \Lambda_1) \cdot \Lambda_2 = s \cdot (\Lambda_1 \cdot \Lambda_2)$ for every pair of lattices $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq F$. Using the action just described, it is also possible to define a *multiplication by s* map $F/\Lambda \stackrel{s}{\rightarrow} F/(s \cdot \Lambda)$ by means of the following commutative diagram

where the vertical maps are the obvious isomorphisms induced by the inclusions $F \hookrightarrow F_p$ and the bottom map is given by $(x_p)_p \mapsto (s_p x_p)_p$.

An essential ingredient in the proof of Theorem 4.3 is Theorem 4.1, which describes the action of complex automorphisms on torsion points of a CM elliptic curve in terms of its analytic parametrisation. The statement of the result involves the global Artin map and the notion of Hecke character. The first one is defined for every number field F and is a surjective, continuous group homomorphism $[\cdot, F] \colon \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} \to \text{Gal}(F^{ab}/F)$ such that $F^{\times} \cdot F_{\infty}^{\times} \subseteq \text{ker}([\cdot, F])$, where $F^{\times} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$
via the diagonal inclusion and $F^{\times} := \prod_{\substack{d \in F^{\times}}} F^{\times}$ is the product of the unit groups of a via the diagonal inclusion and $F_{\infty}^{\times} := \prod_{w|\infty} F_{w}^{\times}$ is the product of the unit groups of all the Archimedean completions of M (see [26] Chanter VI & 5] and [2] Chanter IX]). Recall moreover Archimedean completions of *M* (see [26, Chapter VI, § 5] and [2, Chapter IX]). Recall moreover that an Hecke character on a number field F is a continuous group homomorphism

such that $\psi(F^{\times}) = 1$. Given a Hecke character ψ we denote by $\mathfrak{f}_{\psi} \subseteq O_F$ its conductor, as defined in [14]. Chapter 16. Definition 5.71. For every place $w \in M_F$ we denote by $\psi \colon F^{\times} \to C^{\times}$ the in [14, Chapter 16, Definition 5.7]. For every place $w \in M_F$ we denote by $\psi_w : F_w^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ the group homomorphism $\psi_w := \psi \circ \iota$, where $\iota_w : F^{\times} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\times}$ is the natural inclusion. Similarly for group homomorphism $\psi_w := \psi \circ \iota_w$, where $\iota_w : F_w^{\times} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$
every rational prime $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\iota_w : F^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ F is the natural inclusion. Similarly, for every rational prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\psi_p : F_p^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ the group homomorphism $\psi_p := \psi \circ \iota_p$
where $\iota : F^{\times} \to \mathbb{A}^{\times}$ is the analogous inclusion induced by the decomposition (11) where $\iota_p: F_p^{\times} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$ is the analogous inclusion induced by the decomposition (11).

Theorem 4.1. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a number field, $E_{/F}$ be an elliptic curve such that $\text{End}_F(E) \cong O$ for some order O inside an imaginary quadratic field $K \subset F$. Let $K \subset M \subset F$ be a subfield such that some order O inside an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq F$. Let $K \subseteq M \subseteq F$ be a subfield such that $F(E_{tors}) \subseteq M^{ab} \cdot F$. Then there exist $[M^{ab} \cap F : M]$ group homomorphisms $\alpha \colon \mathbb{A}_{M}^{\times} \to K^{\times} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that:

- the map $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}_{M}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ defined as $\varphi(s) := \alpha(s) \cdot N_{M/K}(s)_{\infty}^{-1}$ is a Hecke character, where $N_{M/K}: \mathbb{A}_{M}^{\times} \to \mathbb{A}_{M}^{\times}$ is the idelic norm map described for example in [26 Chapter VI § 2]. $N_{M/K}$: $\mathbb{A}_M^{\times} \to \mathbb{A}_K^{\times}$ is the idelic norm map described for example in [26, Chapter VI, § 2];
- for every lattice $\Lambda \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, every analytic isomorphism $\xi : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E(\mathbb{C})$ and every $s \in M^{\times} \cdot N_{\mathbb{R}} \cup (\mathbb{A}^{\times}) \subset \mathbb{A}^{\times}$ we have that $(\alpha(s) \cdot N_{\mathbb{R}} \cup (\mathbb{S})^{-1}) \cdot \Lambda = \Lambda$ and the following $\mathcal{S} \in M^{\times} \cdot N_{F/M}(\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_M^{\times}$ we have that $(\alpha(s) \cdot N_{M/K}(s)^{-1}) \cdot \Lambda = \Lambda$ and the following diagram diagram

$$
K/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\left(\alpha(s)\cdot N_{M/K}(s)^{-1}\right)} K/\Lambda
$$

$$
\xi \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \xi
$$

$$
E(M^{ab} \cdot F) \xrightarrow{\tau} E(M^{ab} \cdot F)
$$

 $E(M^{ab} \cdot F) \longrightarrow E(M^{ab} \cdot F)$
commutes, where $\tau \in \text{Gal}(M^{ab} \cdot F/F)$ is the unique automorphism such that $\tau|_{M^{ab}} = [s, M]$.

Proof. Combine [32, Proposition 7.40] and [32, Proposition 7.41] when $M = F$ and use [32, Theorem 7.44] for the general case. Notice that, by class field theory, for every $s \in M^{\times} \cdot N_{F/M}(\mathbb{A}_F^{\times})$
the restriction $[s, M^{\parallel}]$ is trivial. This gives a unique $\tau \in \text{Col}(M^{\text{ab}} - E/F)$ such that τ the restriction $[s, M]_{M^{ab}\cap F}$ is trivial. This gives a unique $\tau \in Gal(M^{ab} \cdot F/F)$ such that $\tau|_{M^{ab}} =$ For the restriction [5, M] $M_{M^{ab}\cap F}$ is trivial. This gives a unique $t \in \text{Gal}(M^{a} \cdot T/T)$ such that $t|_{M^{ab}} =$
[s, M]. Moreover, fixing an embedding $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ automatically fixes an embedding $M^{ab} \cdot F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, hence $E(M^{ab} \cdot F) \subseteq E(\mathbb{C})$, which gives a meaning to the vertical arrows in the diagram. \square

Remark 4.2. If $K \subseteq M \subseteq M' \subseteq F$ and $F(E_{tors}) \subseteq M^{ab}$ then $M \subseteq F$ is abelian and Theorem 4.1 gives us $[M^{ab} \cap F \colon M] = [F \colon M]$ Hecke characters $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}^{\times}_M \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and $[(M')^{ab} \cap F \colon M'] = [F \colon M']$
Hecke characters $\widetilde{\varphi} \colon \mathbb{A}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ We can observe that Hecke characters $\widetilde{\varphi} \colon \mathbb{A}^{\times}_{M'} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We can observe that

$$
\frac{[M^{ab} \cap F : M]}{[(M')^{ab} \cap F : M']} = \frac{[F : M]}{[F : M']} = [M' : M] \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and that for every Hecke character $\widetilde{\varphi} \colon \mathbb{A}^{\times}_{M'} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ given by Theorem 4.1 there are exactly $[M': M]$ Hecke characters $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ N_{M/M}$ If $K = M$ and $F = M'$ then [M': M] Hecke characters $\varphi: \mathbb{A}_{M}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ N_{M'/M}$. If $K = M$ and $F = M'$ then we have a unique Hecke character $\widetilde{\varphi}: \mathbb{A}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ which coincides with the usual Hecke cha have a unique Hecke character $\widetilde{\widetilde{\varphi}} \colon \mathbb{A}_F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ which coincides with the usual Hecke character
associated to ellintic curves with complex multiplication, defined for example in [33] Chapter II associated to elliptic curves with complex multiplication, defined for example in $[33,$ Chapter II, § 9] and [16, Chapter 10, Theorem 9].

We can now state the main theorem of this section, recalling that for every order O contained in an imaginary quadratic field K and every ideal $I \subseteq O$ we denote by $H_{I,O}$ the ray class field of K modulo I relative to the order O , as defined in Appendix A.

Theorem 4.3. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a number field and let $E_{/F}$ be an elliptic curve such that $End_F(E) \cong O$ for some order O inside an imaginary quadratic field $K ⊆ F$. Suppose that $F(E_{tors}) ⊆ K^{ab}$. Let
H : – H a the ring class field of O and fix α : $\mathbb{A}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ as in Theorem 4.1 with $M - K$. Then we $H := H_O$ the ring class field of O , and fix $\alpha : \mathbb{A}_K^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ as in Theorem 4.1, with $M = K$. Then we have that $F(E[I]) = F \cdot H_{LO}$ for every invertible ideal $I \subseteq O$ such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{f}_\alpha \cap O$ where $\mathfrak{f}_\alpha \subseteq O_V$ have that $F(E[I]) = F \cdot H_{I,O}$ for every invertible ideal $I \subseteq O$ such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{f}_{\varphi} \cap O$, where $\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi} \subseteq O_K$ is the conductor of the Hecke character $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}_K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ defined by $\varphi(s) := \alpha(s) \cdot s_\infty^{-1}$.

Proof. The containment $H_{I,O} \subseteq F(E[I])$ is given by Theorem A.7. Observe moreover that $K \subseteq F$ is an abelian extension, since $F \subseteq F(E_{\text{tors}}) \subseteq K^{\text{ab}}$ by assumption. Hence to prove that $F(E[I]) \subseteq$

 $F \cdot H_{I,O}$ it is sufficient to show that every *I*-torsion point of *E* is fixed by [*s*, *K*], for any $s \in \mathbb{A}_K^{\times}$
such that [s, *K*]] $-$ Id. Moreover, it suffices to consider only those $s \in \mathbb{A}^{\times}$ such that such that $[s, K] \big|_{H_{I, O}} = \text{Id}$. Moreover, it suffices to consider only those $s \in \mathbb{A}_K^\times$ such that $s_\infty = 1$ and $s \in U_{I,O}$, where $U_{I,O} \leq A_K^{\times}$ is the subgroup defined in (18). This follows from the fact that $[U_{I,O}, K] = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/H_{I,O})$ and $K^{\times}_{\infty} \subseteq \text{ker}([\cdot, K]) \cap U_{I,O}$ by Definition A.1 and Lemma A.4.
Fix then $s \in U_{I,O}$ with $s_{\infty} = 1$. To study the action of [s, K] on $F[I]$, we fix an invertible

Fix then $s \in U_{I,O}$ with $s_{\infty} = 1$. To study the action of [s, K] on E[I], we fix an invertible ideal $a \subseteq O \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and a complex uniformisation $\xi : \mathbb{C}/a \xrightarrow{\sim} E(\mathbb{C})$, which exists by [32, Proposition 4.8]. Take a torsion point $P \in E[I]$, and let $z \in (\mathfrak{a}: I)$ be any element such that $\xi(\overline{z}) = P$, where $\overline{z} \in (\mathfrak{a}: I)/\mathfrak{a}$ denotes the image of z in the quotient. Since $s \in K^{\times} \cdot N_{H/K}(\mathbb{A}_{H}^{\times})$, we have that

$$
P^{[s,K]} = \xi(\overline{z})^{[s,K]} = \xi ((\alpha(s) s^{-1}) \cdot \overline{z})
$$

which follows from applying Theorem 4.1 with $M = K$. This can be applied because

$$
s\in U_{I,O}\subseteq U_O\subseteq K^\times\cdot U_O=K^\times\cdot\mathrm{N}_{H/K}(\mathbb{A}_H^\times)
$$

where the last equality is given by Lemma A.4.

To conclude, it suffices to show that s
 $\subset \Omega$ is invertible and s. $\in \Omega^{\times}$ for ever $\overline{z} = \overline{z}$ and $\alpha(s) = 1$. Notice that $s^{-1} \cdot \alpha = \alpha$ because
ty rational prime $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. The equality $s^{-1} \cdot \overline{z} = \overline{z}$ then $a ⊆ O$ is invertible and $s_p ∈ O_p^{\times}$ for every rational prime $p ∈ \mathbb{N}$. The equality $s^{-1} \cdot \overline{z} = \overline{z}$ then follows from the feat that for grow prime b $\in \mathbb{N}$ we have $s^{-1} z - \overline{z} \in \mathbb{N}$ because $\overline{z} \in (z,$ follows from the fact that, for every prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $s_p^{-1} z - z \in a_p$ because $z \in (a : I)$ and $s_{p-1}^{-1} z - z \in a_p$ because $z \in (a : I)$ and $\frac{r}{\cdot}$ $p^{-1} \in 1 + I O_p$. To prove the equality $\alpha(s) = 1$, notice that for every prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
1 + I O_p \subseteq \prod_{\substack{w \mid p \\ w \in M_K^0}} (1 + \mathfrak{f}_{\varphi} O_{K_w})
$$

since $I \subseteq \mathfrak{f}_{\varphi} \cap O$ by assumption. This implies that $\varphi_p(s_p) = 1$ for every prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed $s \in \{1 + IO\}$ by the definition of U_s and for every $w \in M^0$ we have that $\varphi_n(1 + \mathfrak{f}/G_x) = 1$ $s_p \in 1 + I \hat{O}_p$ by the definition of $U_{I,O}$ and for every $w \in M_K^0$ we have that $\varphi_w(1 + \hat{f}_{\varphi} O_{K_w}) = 1$
because \hat{f}_{φ} is the conductor of φ . Since $s_{\varphi} = 1$ we get that $\varphi(s) = \varphi(s) = 1$ as was to be because \mathfrak{f}_{φ} is the conductor of φ . Since $s_{\infty} = 1$ we get that $\alpha(s) = \varphi(s) = 1$, as was to be shown. shown.

Remark 4.4. Theorem 4.3 has been proved by Coates and Wiles (see [9, Lemma 3]) if $O = O_K$ is a maximal order of class number one. Their result has been generalised in the PhD thesis of Kuhman (see [15, Chapter II, Lemma 3]) to maximal orders $O = O_K$, under the hypothesis that $F \subseteq H_{I,O_K}.$

Theorem 4.3 has a partial converse, as we show in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. Let O be an order in an imaginary quadratic field K and $F \supseteq K$ be an abelian extension. Let E_{F} be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by the order O. Suppose that there exists an invertible ideal $I \subseteq O$ such that $F(E[I]) = F \cdot H_{I,O}$ and $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = N\mathbb{Z}$ with $N > 2$ if $j(E) \neq 0 \text{ or } N > 3 \text{ if } j(E) = 0. \text{ Then } F(E_{tors}) = K^{ab}.$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $F(E_{\text{tors}}) \subseteq K^{\text{ab}}$, since the other inclusion follows from the class field theory of imaginary quadratic fields and the fact that $K \subset F$ is abelian class field theory of imaginary quadratic fields and the fact that $K \subseteq F$ is abelian.

Fix an embedding $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let $\xi : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E(\mathbb{C})$ be a complex parametrization for E, where $\Lambda \subseteq K$ is a lattice. Take $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{C}/K^{ab})$. By [32, Theorem 5.4] with $s = 1$, there exists a complex perconotrization $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \cong E(\mathbb{C})$ such that the following diagram. complex parametrization $\xi': \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E(\mathbb{C})$ such that the following diagram

commutes. This means that σ acts on E_{tors} as an automorphism $\gamma = \xi' \circ \xi^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(E) \cong O^{\times}$. In particular for any point $P \in E[I]$ we have particular, for any point $P \in E[I]$ we have

(12)
$$
\gamma(P) = \sigma(P) = P
$$

since by assumption $F(E[I]) = F \cdot H_{I,O} \subseteq K^{ab}$. Notice now that if $j(E) \neq 0,1728$ we have
Aut(F) = {+1} and equality (12) can occur for $x = -1$ only when $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = 2\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly Aut(E) = { ± 1 } and equality (12) can occur for $\gamma = -1$ only when $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = 2\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, if $j(E) = 1728$ or $j(E) = 0$ one sees that a non-trivial element of Aut(E) can possibly fix only points of E[2] or points of E[2]∪E[3], respectively. Our assumptions on *I* allow then to conclude that γ must be the identity on E .

We have shown that every complex automorphism which fixes the maximal abelian extension of K fixes also the torsion points of E. We conclude that $F(E_{\text{tors}}) \subseteq K^{\text{ab}}$ and this finishes
the proof the proof. \Box

As a consequence of Proposition 4.5 we deduce that, for an elliptic curve E with complex multiplication by an order O in an imaginary quadratic field K which is defined over the ring class field H_O , the whole family of division fields $\{H_O(E[p^\infty])\}_p$ is linearly disjoint over H_O as soon as the extension $K \subset H_O(E_{\text{true}})$ is not abelian soon as the extension $K \subseteq H_O(E_{tors})$ is not abelian.

Corollary 4.6. Let O be an order inside an imaginary quadratic field K, and let $E_{/H_O}$ be an elliptic
curve with complex multiplication by O. Then we have that curve with complex multiplication by O . Then we have that

$$
|\text{Aut}_O(E_{tors})\colon \text{Im}(\rho_E)| = \begin{cases} |O^\times|, & \text{if } K \subseteq H_O(E_{tors}) \text{ is abelian,} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

In particular, if $H_O(E_{tors}) \nsubseteq K^{ab}$ then all the Galois representations ρ_{E,p^n} defined in Lemma 3.1 are
isomorphisms, and the family of division fields $\{H_O(F[\mathbf{a}^{\infty}])\}$, is linearly disjoint over Ho isomorphisms, and the family of division fields $\{H_O(\tilde{E}[p^\infty])\}_p$ is linearly disjoint over H_O .

Proof. Suppose that $K \subseteq H_O(E_{tors})$ is not abelian. Since $H_O(E_{tors}) \subseteq H_O^{\text{ab}}$
that $K \neq H_O$ and hence that $i(F) \notin I_0$ 1728). Then Proposition 4.5 sho o^{ab} this shows in particular that $K \neq H_O$ and hence that $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$. Then Proposition 4.5 shows that

$$
H_O(E[N]) \neq H_{N,O}
$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \ge 2$. Since $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$ this implies that the Galois representation

$$
\rho_{E,N} \colon \text{Gal}(H_O(E[N])/H_O) \to (O/NO)^{\times})
$$

introduced in Lemma 3.1 is an isomorphism for every $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence the family of division fields $\{H_O(E[p^\infty])\}_p$ is linearly disjoint over H_O and $\text{Im}(\rho_E) = \text{Aut}_O(E_{\text{tors}})$.
Suppose now that $K \subset H_O(F)$ is abelian. Then Theorem 4.3 shows the

Suppose now that $K \subseteq H_O(E_{tors})$ is abelian. Then Theorem 4.3 shows that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \mid M$ we have that $H_O(E[M]) = H_{M,O}$. Combining this with Theorem A.6 we get that $[\text{Aut}_O(E_{\text{tors}}): \text{ Im}(\rho_E)] \ge |O^{\times}|$. However, Theorem A.6 and Theorem A.7 imply that $[\text{Aut}_O(E_{\text{tors}}): \text{ Im}(\rho_E)] < |O^{\times}|$ which allows us to conclude rem A.7 imply that $[\text{Aut}_O(E_{\text{tors}}): \text{Im}(\rho_E)] \le |O^\times|$, which allows us to conclude.

Remark 4.7. The previous Corollary 4.6 generalises [20, Theorem 1.3], whose proof will appear in the forthcoming work [18]. Indeed, if $E_{\sqrt{Q}}$ is an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order *O* in an imaginary quadratic field *K* then we clearly have that $K(E_{\text{tors}}) \subseteq K^{ab}$, hence
Corollary 4.6 shows that the Colois representation $\mathcal{L}_k \subset \mathbb{R}^{\{V(K, \mathbb{R}^m) \mid V(K, \mathbb{R}^m) \}}$ is not supportive. Corollary 4.6 shows that the Galois representation $\rho_E: Gal(K(E_{\text{tors}})/K) \hookrightarrow \widehat{O}^{\times}$ is not surjective.
Let now $\widetilde{\rho}_E: Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{tot}}$ be the Galois representation associated to the elliptic surve E Let now $\widetilde{\rho}_E$: Gal $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathcal{N}_{\delta,\phi}$ be the Galois representation associated to the elliptic curve E over Q, where $\mathcal{N}_{\delta,\phi} \subseteq GL_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is the subgroup defined by Lozano-Robledo in [20, Theorem 1.1]. Then [20, Theorem 1.1.(2)] and Corollary 4.6 show that

$$
[\mathcal{N}_{\delta,\phi}\colon \operatorname{Im}(\widetilde{\rho}_E)]=[\widehat{O}^{\times}\colon \operatorname{Im}(\rho_E)]=|O^{\times}|
$$

hence we get that $\tilde{\rho}_E$ is not surjective. In particular, if $j(E) = 1728$ as in [20, Theorem 1.3] we get that $[N_{s+1}, Im(\tilde{\rho}_E)] = 4$ get that $[\mathcal{N}_{\delta,\phi}$: Im(ρ_E)] = 4.

We have seen that, for a CM elliptic curve E defined over an abelian extension F of the CM field K , having a minimal division field is essentially equivalent to the property that torsion points of E generate abelian extensions of K (and not only of F). It seems then natural to ask whether for a fixed order O in an imaginary quadratic field K there exists any elliptic curve E with complex multiplication by O and defined over the ring class field H_O (the smallest possible field of definition for E) with the property that $H_O(E_{\text{tors}}) = K^{\text{ab}}$. This question is discussed by
Shimura in [32, Page 217]. Here the author proves that, if $O = O_K$ is a maximal order whose Shimura in [32, Page 217]. Here the author proves that, if $O = O_K$ is a maximal order whose discriminant is a square mod 3, then there exists an elliptic curve $E_{/H_O}$ such that $H_O(E_{\text{tors}}) = K^{\text{ab}}$.
The next theorem generalises this result to arbitrary imaginary quadratic orders The next theorem generalises this result to arbitrary imaginary quadratic orders.

Theorem 4.8. Let O be an order in an imaginary quadratic field K and let $j \in H_0$ be the jinvariant of any elliptic curve with complex multiplication by O . Then there exist infinitely many elliptic curves $E_{/H_O}$ with $j(E) = j$ but non-isomorphic over H_O , and such that $H_O(E_{tors}) = K^{ab}$.

Proof. When O has class number 1 the statement is trivially true. We may then assume that $Pic(O) \neq \{1\}$, and in particular that $j \neq 0, 1728$.

Let E_{0/H_O} be any elliptic curve with $j(E) = j$, and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime satisfying

- $|1|p \equiv 3 \mod 4;$
- 2 p does not divide $\mathfrak{f}_O \cdot N_{H_O/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{f}_{E_0})$, where $\mathfrak{f}_O := |O_K : O|$ denotes the conductor of the order O and $\mathfrak{f}_F \subset O_K$ is the conductor ideal of the elliptic curve F_O : order O and $\mathfrak{f}_{E_0} \subseteq O_{H_O}$ is the conductor ideal of the elliptic curve E_0 ;
A splits completely in K
- $|3|p$ splits completely in K.

There are infinitely many such primes. Indeed, it clearly suffices to show that there are infinitely many primes satisfying conditions $\boxed{1}$ and $\boxed{3}$, which are equivalent to

$$
\left(\frac{-4}{p}\right) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\Delta_K}{p}\right) = 1
$$

respectively; here $\Delta_K \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the absolute discriminant of the imaginary quadratic field
K. The existence of an infinity of primes such that the above conditions hold then follows from K. The existence of an infinity of primes such that the above conditions hold then follows from Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progression (see [26, Chapter VII, Theorem 5.14]), noticing that Δ_K ≠ −4, −8 by the assumption Pic(*O*) ≠ {1}.

Let $p \subseteq O$ be a prime ideal lying over p and note that p is invertible by condition 2. We define a new elliptic curve E_p over H_Q as follows: consider the division field $H_Q(E_0[p])$. By Proposition 3.3 there is an isomorphism

$$
\text{Gal}(H_O(E_0[\mathfrak{p}])/H_O) \cong (O/\mathfrak{p}O)^{\times} \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}
$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the fact that p splits in K. In particular, the group
Gal(He(EeInl)/He) is evelic of order $p = 1$, so $H_2 \subset H_2(E_2[n])$ contains unique sub-extensions Gal($H_O(E_0[\mathfrak{p}])/H_O$) is cyclic of order $p-1$, so $H_O \subseteq H_O(E_0[\mathfrak{p}])$ contains unique sub-extensions of degree $(p-1)/2$ and of degree 2 over H_O . The first one is necessarily the ray class field $H_{p,O}$
(see Theorem A 7), the second one is of the form $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha})$ for some element $\alpha = \alpha \in H^{\times}$. By (see Theorem A.7), the second one is of the form $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha})$ for some element $\alpha = \alpha_p \in H_O^{\times}$ $\stackrel{\times}{\mathcal{O}}$. By condition $\boxed{1}$, the integer p – 1 is not divisible by 4, hence these two extensions must be linearly disjoint over H_O . We deduce that $H_O(E_0[\mathfrak{p}]) = H_{\mathfrak{p},O}(\mathfrak{p})$ the twist of F_0 by $\alpha \in H^\times$ √ $\overline{\alpha}$). We set $E_{\mathfrak{p}} := E_0^{(\alpha)}$, where $E_0^{(\alpha)}$ denotes the twist of E_0 by $\alpha \in H_0^{\times}$
By Proposition 5.1, wh $_{O}^{\times}$

By Proposition 5.1, which will be proved in the next section, the Galois representation

$$
\rho_{E_{\mathfrak{p}},\mathfrak{p}}: \text{Gal}(H_O(E_{\mathfrak{p}}[\mathfrak{p}])/H_O) \hookrightarrow (O/\mathfrak{p}O)^{\times}
$$

is not surjective. This in particular implies that $H_O(E_p[p]) = H_{p,O}$. It follows from Proposition 4.5 that $H_O(E_p) = K^{ab}$ tion 4.5 that $H_O((E_p)_{tors}) = K^{ab}$.
To conclude the proof we w

To conclude the proof, we want to show that the infinitely many elliptic curves E_p with $p \subseteq O$ chosen as above, are pairwise non-isomorphic over H_O . To do so, it suffices to prove
that the fields $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_s})$ associated to the quadratic twists are pairwise distinct. But this follows that the fields $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_p})$ associated to the quadratic twists are pairwise distinct. But this follows

from Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, which show that the extension $H_O ⊆ H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_p})$ is ramified at all primes of Ha which do not ramified at all primes of H_O lying above p and unramified at all primes of H_O which do not
divide n \cdot fr \cdot Out the property $H_O(\sqrt{m}) \subset H_O(F_O[n])$. This finishes the proof divide $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cdot \mathfrak{O}_{H_O}$, because $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \subseteq H_O(E_0[\mathfrak{p}])$. This finishes the proof.

We conclude this section by remarking that, under the assumption that $Pic(O) \neq \{1\}$, not all CM elliptic curves $E_{/H_O}$ with $j(E) = j$ as in Theorem 4.8 have the property that $H_O(E_{\text{tors}}) = K^{\text{ab}}$.
We prove this by detailing upon and generalising a remark of Shimura (see [32, Pages 217-218]). We prove this by detailing upon and generalising a remark of Shimura (see [32, Pages 217-218]).

Theorem 4.9. Let O be an order in an imaginary quadratic field K such that Pic(O) \neq {1}, and fix j \in H_O to be the j-invariant of any elliptic curve with complex multiplication by O. Then there exist infinitely many elliptic curves $E_{/H_O}$ with $j(E) = j$ but non-isomorphic over H_O , and such that $H_O(E_{tors}) \neq K^{ab}$.

Proof. Fix an elliptic curve E_0 defined over H_O such that $j(E_0) = j$ and $H_O((E_0)_{tors}) = K^{ab}$. We know that infinitely many such elliptic curves F_o exist by Theorem 4.8. We observe now that know that infinitely many such elliptic curves E_0 exist by Theorem 4.8. We observe now that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\{X \cdot \nabla \cdot \nabla$ for every $\alpha \in H_O^{\times}$ $\overline{\hat{O}}$ such that the extension $K \subseteq H_O(\sqrt{\alpha})$ is not abelian, we have that

$$
H_O((E_0^{(\alpha)})_{\text{tors}}) \neq K^{\text{ab}}
$$

where $E_0^{(\alpha)}$ denotes the quadratic twist of E_0 by $\alpha \in H_0^{\times}$
 $H_0(F_0[M]) = H_0$ o for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and this combined \sim_{O}^{\times} . Indeed, Theorem 4.3 shows that $H_O(E_0[N]) = H_{N,O}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and this combined with Proposition 5.1, which will be negative that $H_O(E^{(a)}[N]) = H_O(E) \nsubseteq K^{ab}$ proved in the next section, implies that $H_O(E_0^{(\alpha)}[N]) = H_{N,O}(\sqrt{\alpha}) \nsubseteq K^{ab}$.
In order to conclude the proof it is thus sufficient to show that there

In order to conclude the proof it is thus sufficient to show that there exist infinitely many $\alpha \in H_O^{\times}$
This is χ^2 such that $\sqrt{\alpha} \notin K^{ab}$ and the elliptic curves $E_0^{(\alpha)}$ are pairwise not isomorphic over H_O .
Sequivalent to say that there exist infinitely many distinct quadratic extensions of H_O . This is equivalent to say that there exist infinitely many distinct quadratic extensions of H_O which are not abelian over K. This can be shown, for instance, as follows.

Since Pic(O) \neq {1} we have that $K \neq H_O$. Hence Chebotarëv's density theorem shows that there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and an infinite set of prime ideals $\Lambda_0 = \{ \mathfrak{p}_j \subseteq O_K \}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every index *j* ∈ N we have that 2 ∉ p_j and p_j. $O_{H_O} = \mathfrak{P}_{1,j} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_{r,j}$ where $\mathfrak{P}_{1,j}, \ldots, \mathfrak{P}_{r,j} \subseteq O_{H_O}$ are distinct
prime ideals. Fix now an index *i*_c ∈ N (e g, *i*_c = 0), and take any element $\alpha_s \in \$ prime ideals. Fix now an index $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ (e.g. $j_0 = 0$), and take any element $\alpha_0 \in \mathfrak{P}_{1,j_0} \setminus (\mathfrak{P}_{1,j_0}^2 \cup \mathfrak{P}_{2,j_0})$.
Now, elementary ramification theory of quadratic extensions (see for instance [13] Ch France details. The now an index $f_0 \,\subset \,\mathbb{R}$ (e.g. $f_0 = 0$), and take any element $\alpha_0 \subset \varphi_{1,f_0} \cap \varphi_{2,f_0}$).
Now, elementary ramification theory of quadratic extensions (see for instance [13, Chapter I, Theorem 6.3]) shows that the extension $H_O \subseteq H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_0})$ ramifies at \mathfrak{P}_{1,j_0} but not at \mathfrak{P}_{2,j_0} . This implies that the extension $K \subset H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_0})$ is not Galois, hence in particular not abelian. Now let implies that the extension $K \subseteq H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_0})$ is not Galois, hence in particular not abelian. Now, let
Le be the finite set of prime ideals of O_K dividing $N_{K-LK}(\alpha_0)$ and put $\Lambda_L := \Lambda_0 \setminus \Gamma_0$, which is still an Γ₀ be the finite set of prime ideals of O_K dividing $N_{H_O/K}(\alpha_0)$ and put $Λ_1 := Λ_0 \backslash Γ_0$, which is still an infinite set. Fix an index $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_{j_1} \in \Lambda_1$ and take any element $\alpha_1 \in \mathfrak{P}_{1,j_1} \setminus (\mathfrak{P}_1^2)$ an index $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_{j_1} \in \Lambda_1$ and take any element $\alpha_1 \in \mathfrak{P}_{1,j_1} \setminus (\mathfrak{P}_{1,j_1}^2 \cup \mathfrak{P}_{2,j_1})$.
 (α) is a non-abelian extension. Moreover we have that $H_2(\sqrt{\alpha}) + H_2(\sqrt{\alpha})$. Again $K \subseteq H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_1})$ is a non-abelian extension. Moreover we have that $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_0}) \neq H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_1})$
since the prime \Re_{λ} , ramifies in the extension $H_O \subseteq H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_1})$ but the same prime does not since the prime \mathfrak{P}_{1,j_1} ramifies in the extension $H_0 \subseteq H_0(\sqrt{\alpha_1})$, but the same prime does not ramify in $H_0 \subseteq H_0(\sqrt{\alpha_1})$. Repeating this process, we construct an infinite set of pairwise ramify in $H_0 \subseteq H_0(\sqrt{\alpha_0})$. Repeating this process, we construct an infinite set of pairwise
distinct quadratic extensions $\{H_0 \subseteq H_0(\sqrt{\alpha_0}) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ that are non-abelian over K. This distinct quadratic extensions $\{H_O \subseteq H_O(\sqrt{\alpha_j}) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$ that are non-abelian over K. This concludes the proof.

5. Entanglement in the family of division fields of CM elliptic curves over Q

Let E_{O} be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order in an imaginary quadratic field K . The aim of this section is to explicitly determine the image of the natural map

(13)
$$
\text{Gal}(K(E_{\text{tors}})/K) \hookrightarrow \prod_{q} \text{Gal}(K(E[q^{\infty}])/K)
$$

where the product runs over all rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K(E[q^{\infty}])$ denotes the compositum
of the *a*-power division fields of $E(x)$. In other words, we want to analyse the entanglement of the q-power division fields of $E_{/K}$. In other words, we want to analyse the entanglement in the family of Galois extensions $\{K(E[q^{\infty}])\}_q$ over K. The conclusion of this study will be

Theorem 5.5, which provides a complete description of the image of (13) for all CM elliptic curves $E_{\text{/} \mathbb{O}}$ such that $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}.$

Observe that there is essentially no difference in considering the division fields of the elliptic curve $E_{\sqrt{Q}}$ and of its base change $E_{/K}$, because $\mathbb{Q}(E[n]) = K(E[n])$ for every $n > 2$ as explained in Remark 3.8. In particular, the family of division fields $\{\mathbb{Q}(E[q^{\infty}])\}_q$ is always entangled over $\mathbb{Q},$
but there are ellintic curves for which it is linearly disjoint over K, as we will see in Theorem 5.5. but there are elliptic curves for which it is linearly disjoint over K , as we will see in Theorem 5.5.

We briefly outline the strategy of our proof: since E is defined over Q we have that $|Pic(O)| =$ $[Q(j(E)): Q] = 1$ (see [11, Proposition 13.2]) which implies that the elliptic curve E has complex multiplication by one of the thirteen imaginary quadratic orders O of class number 1, listed in [11, Theorem 7.30]. For each of these orders O, we first find an elliptic curve $E_{0/Q}$ with complex multiplication by O such that $|f_{E_0}| \in \mathbb{N}$ is minimal among all the conductors¹ of elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} which have complex multiplication by O. We then proceed to compute the defined over Q which have complex multiplication by O . We then proceed to compute the full entanglement in the family of division fields of $E_{0/K}$, using Theorem 1.1, Theorem 4.3, and Proposition 5.3. Since O is an order of class number 1 and $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$, we have that E is a quadratic twist of E_0 . We then use Proposition 5.1, which describes how Galois representations attached to CM elliptic curves behave under quadratic twisting, to determine the complete entanglement in the family of division fields of $E_{/K}$.

In order to state Proposition 5.1 we introduce the following notation: given an elliptic curve E defined over a number field F and an element $\alpha \in F^{\times}$, we denote by $E^{(\alpha)}$ the twist of E by α ,
as described in [34, Chapter X, 8,5]. We recall that two twists $F^{(\alpha)}$ and $F^{(\alpha')}$ are isomorphic over as described in [34, Chapter X, § 5]. We recall that two twists $E^{(\alpha)}$ and $E^{(\alpha')}$ are isomorphic over
F if and only if α and α' represent the same class in $E^{\times}/(E^{\times})^2$ i.e. if and only if $E(\sqrt{\alpha'}) = E(\sqrt{\alpha'})$ *F* if and only if α and α' represent the same class in $F^{\times}/(F^{\times})^2$, *i.e.* if and only if $F(\sqrt{\alpha}) = F(\sqrt{\alpha})$ $\bar{\}'$).

Proposition 5.1. Let O be an order of discriminant $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ < -4 in an imaginary quadratic field K, and let H_O be the ring class field of K relative to the order O. Consider an elliptic curve $E_{/H_O}$ with complex multiplication by O and fix $\alpha \in H_0^{\times}$
surjectivity of the Galois representation or a defined \bigcirc^{\times} . Then for every invertible ideal I \subseteq O the surjectivity of the Galois representation $\rho_{E,I}$ defined in Lemma 3.1 determines the surjectivity of $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$ as follows:

 $\frac{1}{1}$ if $\rho_{E,I}$ is surjective, then $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$ is surjective if and only if $\overline{}$

$$
H_O(E[I]) \neq H_{I,O}(\sqrt{\alpha})
$$

where $H_{I,O}$ is the ray class field of K modulo I relative to O, defined in Definition A.1;
if g_{σ} , is not surjective, then g_{σ} is surjective if and only if 2 if $\rho_{E,I}$ is not surjective, then $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$ is surjective if and only if $\ddot{}$

$$
H_O(E[I]) \cap H_O(\sqrt{\alpha}) = H_O.
$$

Proof. First of all, observe that $\rho_{E,I}$ (respectively $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$) has maximal image if and only if there exists $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_O)$ such that $\rho_{E,I}(\sigma) = -1 \in (O/I)^\times$ (respectively $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}(\sigma) = -1$). Indeed,
He(E[I]) contains the ray class field H_O , which is generated over H_O by the values of the Weber $H_O(E[I])$ contains the ray class field $H_{I,O}$, which is generated over H_O by the values of the Weber
function br : $F \rightarrow F/\text{Aut}(F) \approx \mathbb{P}^1$ at L-torsion points (see Theorem A.7). Since br([s](P)) = br(P) function $\mathfrak{h}_E : E \to E/\text{Aut}(E) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ at *I*-torsion points (see Theorem A.7). Since $\mathfrak{h}_E([\varepsilon](P)) = \mathfrak{h}_E(P)$
for every $P \in E[I]$ and $\varepsilon \in \{+1\} - Q^{\times} \cong \text{Aut}(E)$ we see that Q_E induces the identification for every $P \in E[I]$ and $\varepsilon \in {\pm 1} = O^{\times} \cong \text{Aut}(E)$, we see that $\rho_{E,I}$ induces the identification

(14)
$$
\operatorname{Gal}(H_O(E[I])/H_{I,O}) \cong \operatorname{Im}(\pi_I^{\times}) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\rho_{E,I}) = \{\pm 1\} \cap \operatorname{Im}(\rho_{E,I}) \subseteq (O/I)^{\times}
$$

where $\pi_I^{\times} : O^{\times} \to (O/I)^{\times}$ denotes the map induced by the quotient $\pi_I : O \to O/I$. Hence $\rho_{E,I}$ is surjective if and only if $-1 \in \text{Im}(o_{E,I})$ and the same holds for $o_{E,0}$. Moreover $o_{E,0}$ is linked surjective if and only if $-1 \in \text{Im}(\rho_{E,I})$, and the same holds for $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$. Moreover $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$ is linked
to a setter shape in a compatible soperators of $E^{[I]}$ and $E^{(\alpha)[I]}$ as Q/I modules by the formula to $\rho_{E,I}$, after choosing compatible generators of $E[I]$ and $E^{(\alpha)}[I]$ as O/I -modules, by the formula

(15)
$$
\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I} = \rho_{E,I} \cdot \chi_{\alpha}
$$

where $\chi_{\alpha} \colon \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_O) \to \{\pm 1\} \subseteq (O/I)^{\times}$ is the quadratic character associated to $H_O(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_O)$ √ $\overline{\alpha}$).

¹The symbol $|f_A| \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the positive generator of the conductor ideal $f_A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ of an elliptic curve $A_{\sqrt{Q}}$

To prove $\boxed{1}$ suppose that $\rho_{E,I}$ has maximal image. First, assume that $H_O(E[I]) \neq H_{I,O}(\sqrt{q})$ (en either $H_O(A[\alpha]) \cap H_O(F[I]) = H_O$ or we have $H_O(A[\alpha]) \subseteq H_O$. In the first case, we get all uppose that $\rho_{E,I}$ has maximal image. First, assume that $H_O(E[I]) \neq H_{I,O}(\sqrt{\alpha})$.
 $\sim H_O(E[I]) = H_O$ or we have $H_O(A[{\alpha}) \subset H_O$. In the first case, we can Then, either $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha}) \cap H_O(E[I]) = H_O$ or we have $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha}) \subseteq H_{I,O}$. In the first case, we can extrainly find $\sigma \in Col(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H)$ estimativially an $H_O(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and such that $\sigma \in Col(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H)$. certainly find $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_O)$ acting trivially on $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha})$ and such that $\rho_{E,I}(\sigma) = -1$. Hence we
can use (15) to see that $\rho_{E,O}(\sigma) = \rho_E(\sigma) \cdot \gamma(\sigma) = -1$. This implies by the initial discussion can use (15) to see that $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}(\sigma) = \rho_{E,I}(\sigma) \cdot \chi_{\alpha}(\sigma) = -1$. This implies, by the initial discussion, that $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$ has maximal image. In the second case, any $\sigma \in Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_0)$ with $\rho_{E,I}(\sigma) = -1$ will
oct trivially on $H_0 \cap H_0(\sqrt{\alpha})$ by (14). As before, we see use (15) to sensively that a subset act trivially on $H_{I,O} \supseteq H_O(\sqrt{\alpha})$ by (14). As before, we can use (15) to conclude that $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$ has maximal image maximal image. √ √

Assume now that $H_O(E[I]) = H_{I,O}(I)$ α). This implies that the extensions $H_O \subseteq H_O$
because ρ_{SL} has maximal image. In particular $\overline{\alpha}$) and $H_O \subseteq H_{LO}$ are linearly disjoint over H_O , because $\rho_{E,I}$ has maximal image. In particular √

$$
\text{Gal}(H_O(E[I])/H_O) \cong \text{Gal}(H_{I,O}/H_O) \times \text{Gal}(H_O(\sqrt{\alpha})/H_O).
$$

We deduce that any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_O)$ with $\rho_{E,I}(\sigma) = -1$, being the identity on $H_{I,O}$ by (14), must act non-trivially on $H_O(\sqrt{a})$. Then (15) gives act non-trivially on $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha})$. Then (15) gives

$$
\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}(\sigma)=\rho_{E,I}(\sigma)\cdot\chi_{\alpha}(\sigma)=1
$$

and this suffices to see that $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$ is non-maximal. This concludes the proof of $\boxed{1}$.
The proof of $\boxed{2}$ can be comind out in a similar faction. First of all notice the

The proof of $\boxed{2}$ can be carried out in a similar fashion. First of all, notice that the nonmaximality of $\rho_{E,I}$ and (14) imply that $H_{I,O} = H_O(E[I])$. Now, by (15) the only possibility for and $\chi_{\alpha}(\sigma) = -1$, which is clearly impossible if $H_O(\sqrt{\alpha}) \subseteq H_O(E[I]) = H_{I,O}$. On the other hand, (α), to be surjective in this case is to find an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_0)$ with $\rho_{E,I}(\sigma) = 1$
d $\chi_{\sigma}(\sigma) = -1$ which is clearly impossible if $H_0(\sqrt{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset H_0(E[I]) = H_0$. On the other hand if $H_O(E[I]) \cap H_O(\sqrt{\alpha}) = H_O$ one can certainly find $\sigma \in Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/H_O)$ such that $\chi_{\alpha}(\sigma) = -1$ and $\sigma_{\alpha}(\sigma) = 1$ which shows by (15) that $\sigma_{\alpha}(\sigma)$ has maximal image $\rho_{E,I}(\sigma) = 1$, which shows by (15) that $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},I}$ has maximal image.

Remark 5.2. Let E be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an imaginary quadratic order O of discriminant $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$, and suppose that E is defined over the ring class field $H_{\mathcal{O}}$. Fix a rational prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \nmid 2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $p \equiv \pm 1 \mod 9$ if $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} = -3$. Then the recent work [20] of Lozano-Robledo, and in particular [20, Theorem 4.4.(5)] and [20, Theorem 7.11], show that for every $\alpha \in H_0^{\times}$ \overrightarrow{O} and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Galois representation ρ_{E,p^n} is surjective if and only if $\rho_{E^{(\alpha)},p^n}$ is surjective. If moreover $\Delta_O < -4$ then one can combine $\boxed{1}$ of Proposition 5.1 with Remark 3.6 to show that $H_O(E[p^n]) \neq H_{p^n,O}(\sqrt{\alpha})$ for every $\alpha \in H_O$ and every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

We want now to derive some consequences of Proposition 5.1 when $Pic(O) = 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ and E_{UV} and E_{UV} is the base change to the imaginary quadratic field $K = H_Q$ of an elliptic the elliptic curve $E_{/K}$ is the base change to the imaginary quadratic field $K = H_O$ of an elliptic curve defined over Q . To do this, we need a formula originally due to Deuring that relates the conductor of a CM elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb Q$ to the conductor of the unique Hecke character $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}_K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ associated to its base change over K by Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 5.3 (Deuring). Let $O \subseteq K$ be an order inside an imaginary quadratic field K. Let E be
an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{O}(i(F))$ with complex multiplication by O. Denote by $\mathbb{Q} \colon \mathbb{A}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}(j(E))$ with complex multiplication by \overrightarrow{O} . Denote by $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}_{H_O}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$
the unique Hecke character associated by Theorem 4.1 to the hase change of E over $V(i(E)) - H_O$ the unique Hecke character associated by Theorem 4.1 to the base change of E over $K(j(E)) = H_O$.
Then letting $j - j(F)$ one can write the conductor $f_F \subseteq O_{Q(\lambda)}$ of E as Then, letting $j = j(E)$, one can write the conductor $\mathfrak{f}_E \subseteq O_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}$ of E as

$$
\mathfrak{f}_E = \mathcal{N}_{K(j)/\mathbb{Q}(j)}(\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi}) \cdot \delta_{K(j)/\mathbb{Q}(j)}
$$

where $N_{K(j)/\mathbb{Q}(j)}(\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi}) \subseteq O_{\mathbb{Q}(j)}$ denotes the relative norm of the conductor $\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi} \subseteq O_{K(j)}$ of the Hecke
character a and $\mathfrak{F}_{K(j)/\mathbb{Q}(j)}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{K(j)/\mathbb{Q}(j)}$ denotes the relative discriminant ide character φ and $\delta_{K(j)/\mathbb{Q}(j)} \subseteq O_{\mathbb{Q}(j)}$ denotes the relative discriminant ideal associated to the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}(j) \subseteq K(j)$.

Proof. A modern proof of this formula can be obtained using [24, Theorem 3] and [30, Theorem 12]. This is detailed in [27, Appendix A].

We go back to study the consequences of Proposition 5.1. Let $E_{/K}$ be the base change to an imaginary quadratic field $K = H_O$ of an elliptic curve $E_{/O}$ of conductor $f_E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and with complex multiplication by an order O of class number one and discriminant $\Delta_{\scriptstyle O}$ < −4. Fix also $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$.
Under these assumptions we may assume that α = Λ where Λ = $\Lambda_F \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the fundamental Under these assumptions we may assume that $\alpha = \Delta$ where $\Delta = \Delta_F \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the fundamental discriminant associated to some quadratic extension $Q \subseteq F$. Since $E^{(\alpha\beta)} = (E^{(\alpha)})^{(\beta)}$ for any $\alpha \beta \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ we reduce the study of the Galois representation $Q_{\alpha\beta}$ of any prime $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$, we reduce the study of the Galois representation $\rho_{E^{(\Delta)},p^n}$ for any prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to the following cases: \mathbf{r} any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to the following cases:

 $T.1 \Delta = (-1)^{(q-1)/2} q$ for some prime $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ with $q \nmid p$ f_E. In this case $K(\sqrt{q})$
Indeed any prime $q \in Q_K$ such that $q \mid qQ_K$ does not ramify in $K \subset K$ Δ)∩ $K(E[p^n]) = K$.
(F[nⁿ]) as follows Indeed any prime $q \subseteq O_K$ such that $q | qO_K$ does not ramify in $K \subseteq K(E[p^n])$, as follows from Proposition 3.2 because $q \nmid n \overline{C}$, On the other hand, any prime $q \mid qO_K$ ramifies from Proposition 3.2 because $q \nmid p \nmid_E$. On the other hand, any prime $q \mid qO_K$ ramifies in $K \subseteq K(\sqrt{\Delta})$ since Proposition 5.3 shows that $q \nmid \Delta_K$, where $\Delta_K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ denotes the absolute discriminant of the imaginary quadratic field K. Thus Proposition 5.1 implies absolute discriminant of the imaginary quadratic field K . Thus Proposition 5.1 implies that $\rho_{E^{(\Delta)},p^n}$ will have maximal image independently from the behaviour of ρ_{E,p^n} ;

<u>T.2</u> $p \ge 3$ and $\Delta = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p$. In this case class field theory shows that

$$
\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) \subseteq H_{p^n,O}
$$

where for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\mu_m \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the group of m-th roots of unity. Hence Proposition 5.1 implies that $\rho_{E(\Delta),p^n}$ has maximal image if and only if ρ_{E,p^n} does;

- T.3 Δ ∈ {-4, -8, 8} and 2 $\nmid p$ f_E. In this case $K(\sqrt{\Delta}) \cap K(E[p^n]) = K$, as in T.1, hence Proposition 5.1 shows that $\rho_{E^{(\Delta)},p^n}$ will have maximal image independently from the behaviour of $\rho_{E^{(\Delta)},p^n}$ will have maximal image independently from the \boldsymbol{r} behaviour of ρ_{E,p^n} ;
- T.4 Δ ∈ {-4, -8, 8} and $p = 2$. In this case $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{|\Delta|}) \subseteq H_{|\Delta|,O}$ by class field theory.
Hence Proposition 5.1 implies that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^n > |\Delta|$ the representation Hence Proposition 5.1 implies that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^n \ge |\Delta|$ the representation $\rho_{E^{(\Delta)},2^n}$ has maximal image if and only if $\rho_{E,2^n}$ does, similarly to what we proved in $\boxed{\mathrm{T.2}}$.

Remark 5.4. The previous discussion shows in particular that, under suitable hypotheses on Δ , if the Galois representation ρ_{E,p^n} is surjective then $\rho_{E^{(\Delta)},p^n}$ is surjective. This might not be the case if these assumptions on Δ are not satisfied as it follows from Theorem 5.5. case if these assumptions on Δ are not satisfied, as it follows from Theorem 5.5.

We are now ready to study the entanglement of division fields of CM elliptic curves E defined over $\mathbb Q$ such that $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$.

First of all, assume that E has complex multiplication by an order O with $gcd(\Delta_{O}, 6) = 1$. Here $\Delta_Q := \mathfrak{f}_Q^2$
of K and $\frac{2}{O}$ Δ_K denotes the discriminant of O, where $\Delta_K \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the absolute discriminant d f $\Delta_K \subset \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the conductor of O. Since $Pic(O) = \{1\}$ we have that $O = O_V$. of K and $\mathfrak{f}_Q := [O_K : O]$ denotes the conductor of O. Since Pic(O) = {1} we have that $O = O_K$ and $\Delta_O = \Delta_K = -p$ where $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is a prime number such that $p \ge 7$ and $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ (see [11, Theorem 7.30]). Moreover $E = E_0^{(\Delta)}$ for some fundamental discriminant $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$, where E_0 is one of the two elliptic curves with $i(F_0) = i(F)$ appearing in Table 1, which lists the CM elliptic one of the two elliptic curves with $j(E_0) = j(E)$ appearing in Table 1, which lists the CM elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb Q$ whose conductor $|f_E| \in \mathbb N$ is minimal among their twists.

Let us study the division fields of E_0 , as a first step towards the analysis of the division fields of E. Theorem 1.1 provides a decomposition

(16)
$$
\text{Gal}(K((E_0)_{\text{tors}})/K) \cong \prod_q \text{Gal}(K(E_0[q^{\infty}])/K)
$$

where the product runs over all the rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed in this case the set S_{E_0} appear-
ing in Theorem 1.1 consists of the single prime p because $|f_E| = p^2$ as follows from an inspection ing in Theorem 1.1 consists of the single prime p because $|f_{E_0}| = p^2$ as follows from an inspection
of Table 1. The isomorphism (16) shows that the family of division fields $\{K(E_0[a^\infty])\}$ is linof Table 1. The isomorphism (16) shows that the family of division fields $\{K(E_0[q^\infty])\}_q$ is lin-
early disjoint over K, where $q \in \mathbb{N}$ runs over all the rational primes. Proposition 3.3 implies also early disjoint over K, where $q \in \mathbb{N}$ runs over all the rational primes. Proposition 3.3 implies also that Gal $(K(E_0[q^m])/K) \cong (O/q^m O)^{\times}$ for every prime $q \neq p$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand

Δ_K fo		j(E)	$ {\mathfrak f}_E $	Equations
-3	$\overline{1}$	$\mathbf{0}$	3 ³	$y^2 + y = x^3 - 7$ $y^2 + y = x^3$
	$\overline{2}$	$2^4 3^3 5^3$	2^23^2	$y^2 = x^3 - 15x + 22$ $y^2 = x^3 - 135x - 594$
	3	-2^{15} 3 5 ³	3^3	$y_2^2 + y = x_3^3 - 30x + 63$ $y^2 + y = x_3^3 - 270x - 1708$
-4	$\overline{1}$	$2^6 3^3$	2 ⁵	$y^2 = x^3 - x$ $y^2 = x^3 + 4x$
	$\overline{2}$	2^3 3 ³ 11 ³	2 ⁵	$y_2^2 = x_3^3 - 11x - 14$ $y_2^2 = x_3^3 - 11x + 14$
-7	$\overline{1}$	-3^3 5 ³	7^2	$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 1$ $y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 107x + 552$
	$\overline{2}$	$3^3 5^3 17^3$	7^2	$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 37x - 78$ $y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 1822x + 30393$
-8	$\overline{1}$	$2^6 5^3$	2^8	$y22 = x3 - x2 - 3x - 1$ $y22 = x3 + x2 - 3x + 1$ $y22 = x3 - x2 - 13x + 21$ $y2 = x3 + x2 - 13x - 21$
-11	$\overline{1}$	-2^{15}	11^{2}	$y_2^2 + y = x_3^3 - x_2^2 - 7x + 10$ $y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 887x - 10143$
-19	$\mathbf{1}$	$-2^{15}3^3$	19^{2}	$y^2 + y = x^3 - 38x + 90$ $y^2 + y = x^3 - 13718x - 619025$
-43	$\mathbf{1}$	-2^{18} 3 ³ 5 ³	43^2	$y^2 + y = x^3 - 860x + 9707$ $y^2 + y = x^3 - 1590140x - 771794326$
-67 1		-2^{15} 3 ³ 5 ³ 11 ³	67^2	$y_0^2 + y = x_0^3 - 7370x + 243528$ $\ddot{y}^2 + \ddot{y} = x^3 - 33083930x - 73244287055$
-163		$1 -2^{18}3^35^323^329^3$	163^2	$y^2 + y = x^3 - 2174420x + 1234136692$ $y^2 + y = x^3 - 57772164980x - 5344733777551611$

TABLE 1. Minimal Weierstrass equations of CM elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb Q$ having the smallest conductor $|f_E|$ amongst all their twists, where $|f_E| \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the unique positive generator of the conductor ideal $\mathfrak{f}_E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

we have that $Gal(K(E_0[p^m])/K) \cong (O/p^mQ)^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, it follows from
Proposition 5.3 that $f_n = n$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the unique prime lying above p and $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{A}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ Proposition 5.3 that $f_{\varphi_0} = \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq O$ is the unique prime lying above p and $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$
is the unique Hecke character associated to F_0 by Theorem 4.1. Hence Theorem 4.3 shows is the unique Hecke character associated to E_0 by Theorem 4.1. Hence Theorem 4.3 shows that $K(E_0[p^m]) = H_{p^m,Q}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $H_{p^m,Q}$ is the ray class field of K modulo p^m
because $Q = Q_w$. Hence we can conclude that $Gal(K(E_0[p^m])/K) \approx (Q/p^mQ)^{\times}/\{+1\}$ using because $\widehat{O} = O_K$. Hence we can conclude that $Gal(K(E_0[p^m])/K) \cong (O/p^mO)^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}$ using
Theorem A.6 Theorem A.6.

Let us now go back to the division fields of $E = E_0^{(\Delta)}$. We can assume that $p \nmid \Delta$ because otherwise $\Delta = -p \Delta'$ for some fundamental discriminant $\Delta' \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence $E \cong_K E_0^{(\Delta')}$ $\int_0^{(\Delta')} \text{ since } \sqrt{-p} \in$ *K*. Here the symbol \cong_K means that the two elliptic curves *E* and $E_0^{(\Delta')}$ $\int_0^{(\Delta)}$, which are defined over Q, become isomorphic when base-changed to K. Observe that $|f_E| = (p \Delta)^2$, which follows from
(15) and [40, 8, 10, Proposition 1] because $|f_E|$ is contine with A. Now Theorem 1,1 gives (15) and [40, § 10, Proposition 1] because $|f_{E_0}|$ is coprime with Δ . Now, Theorem 1.1 gives

$$
\text{Gal}(K(E_{\text{tors}})/K) \cong \left(\prod_{q \notin S} \text{Gal}(K(E[q^{\infty}])/K)\right) \times \text{Gal}(K(E[S^{\infty}])/K)
$$

with the product running over the rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q \notin S$, where in this case
the finite set $S - S_E \subset \mathbb{N}$ appearing in Theorem 1.1 consists uniquely of the primes dividing the finite set $S = S_E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ appearing in Theorem 1.1 consists uniquely of the primes dividing $|f_E| = (p \Delta)^2$. Moreover, Gal($K(E[\ell^m])/K) \cong (O/\ell^m O)^{\times}$ for every prime $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
since $[T_1]$ and $[T_2]$ show that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the Galois representation e_E these maximal since $\boxed{T.1}$ and $\boxed{T.3}$ show that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the Galois representation ρ_{E,ℓ^m} has maximal image. On the other hand Draposition 5.1 shows that $V(E[m]) = H$ ($\Delta(\Lambda)$ and image. On the other hand, Proposition 5.1 shows that $K(E[p^m]) = H_{p^m,O}(\sqrt{\Delta})$ and

$$
K(E[pm]) \cap K(E[\Delta]) = K(\sqrt{\Delta})
$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$. Hence the family of division fields $\{K(E[q^{\infty}])\}_{q \in S}$ is entangled over K, and for every collection of integers $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$ we get for every collection of integers $\{a_q\}_{q\in S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we get

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)\cong\frac{\prod_{q\in S}\left(O/q^{a_{q}}O\right)^{\times}}{\{\pm1\}}
$$

where L is the compositum of all the division fields $K(E[q^{a_q}])$ for $q \in S$.
Let us now consider orders O such that $gcd(\Lambda \circ \delta) \neq 1$. The analysis

Let us now consider orders O such that $gcd(\Delta_{O}, 6) \neq 1$. The analysis of the division fields of an elliptic curve E_{Q} having complex multiplication by O proceeds similarly to what happened
hefore with the only execution of the order $Q = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Indeed if before, with the only exception of the order $O = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$. Indeed if

$$
O\in\{\mathbb{Z}[3\zeta_3],\mathbb{Z}[2i],\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}],\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]\}
$$

where $\zeta_3 := (-1 +$
with complex mult √ $\sqrt{-3}$)/2 and *i* := $\overline{-1}$, then all the elliptic curves E_0 appearing in Table 1
external the property that $|f_{\Gamma}|$ is a power of the unique rational with complex multiplication by O share the property that $|f_{E_0}|$ is a power of the unique rational
prime $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ which ramifies in the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$. Hence Theorem 1.1 provides a prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ which ramifies in the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$. Hence Theorem 1.1 provides a decomposition

$$
\text{Gal}(K((E_0)_{\text{tors}})/K) \cong \prod_q \text{Gal}(K(E_0[q^{\infty}])/K)
$$

where the product runs over all rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$, because in this case the finite set $S_{E_0} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$
appearing in Theorem 1.1 consists of the single prime p . This shows that the division fields of appearing in Theorem 1.1 consists of the single prime p . This shows that the division fields of E₀ are linearly disjoint over K. Moreover, Proposition 3.3 implies that Gal($K(E_0[q^m])/K$) \cong
 $(O/a^mQ)^{\times}$ for every rational prime $a \neq b$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand Proposition 5.3 $(O/q^mQ)^{\times}$ for every rational prime $q \neq p$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, Proposition 5.3
shows that $f = n^k$ is a power of the unique prime ideal $n \in Q_{\infty}$ lying over n with $k \leq$ shows that $f_{\varphi_0} = p^k$ is a power of the unique prime ideal $p \subseteq O_K$ lying over p, with $k \leq$
2 if $O \notin \{7[2^k] \times [7] \}$ and $k \leq C$ otherwise. Hence Theorem 4.2 and Theorem A ϵ gives 2 if $O \notin \{\mathbb{Z}[2i], \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]\}$ and $k \leq 6$ otherwise. Hence Theorem 4.3 and Theorem A.6 give $Gal(K(E_0[p^m])/K) \cong (O/p^m)^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq 1$ if $O \notin \{\mathbb{Z}[2i], \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]\}$ and $m \geq 3$ otherwise.

Let now $E_{/Q}$ be any elliptic curve with complex multiplication by O. Since $j(E) = j(E_0) \notin$ {0, 1728} we know that $E = E_0^{(\Delta)}$ for some fundamental discriminant $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $O = \mathbb{Z}[3\zeta_3]$ or $O = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{7}]$ we see assume that h k A hassuse. $\sqrt{25} \in K$ Hange Theorem 1.1 shows that $O = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]$ we can assume that $p \nmid \Delta$ because $\sqrt{-p} \in K$. Hence Theorem 1.1 shows that

$$
\text{Gal}(K(E_{\text{tors}})/K) \cong \left(\prod_{q \notin S} \text{Gal}(K(E[q^{\infty}])/K)\right) \times \text{Gal}(K(E[S^{\infty}])/K)
$$

with the product running over the rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q \notin S$, where in this case
the finite set $S - S_{\mathbb{E}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ annearing in Theorem 1.1 consists uniquely of the primes dividing the finite set $S = S_E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ appearing in Theorem 1.1 consists uniquely of the primes dividing $|f_E| = (p \Delta)^2$. Exactly as before $\boxed{1.1}$ and $\boxed{1.3}$ show that $Gal(K(E[\ell^m])/K) \cong (O/\ell^m O)^{\times})$ for every prime $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, Proposition 5.1 shows that $K(E[p^m]) = H_{p^m,O}(\sqrt{\Delta})$

and $K(E[p^m]) \cap K(E[\Delta]) = K(\overline{K(E[\alpha^\infty])})$ $\sqrt{\Delta}$) for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence the family of division fields $r K$ and for every collection of integers $\{a, \}\in \mathbb{Z}$ we get $\{K(E[q^{\infty}])\}_{q\in S}$ is entangled over K, and for every collection of integers $\{a_q\}_{q\in S}\subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we get

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)\cong\frac{\prod_{q\in S}\left(O/q^{a_{q}}O\right)^{\times}}{\{\pm1\}}
$$

where L is the compositum of all the division fields $K(E[q^{a_q}])$ for $q \in S$.
Studying the entanglement in the family of division fields of F become

Studying the entanglement in the family of division fields of E becomes slightly more com-

asted if $Q \in (\mathbb{Z}[3] \times [3] \times [3])$. First of all note that there exists a unique $A \in [1, 4, 8, 8]$ plicated if $O \in \{ \mathbb{Z}[2i], \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}] \}$. First of all, note that there exists a unique $\Delta_2 \in \{1, -4, -8, 8\}$
such that $\Delta = \Delta_2 \Delta'$ where $\Delta' \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an odd fundamental discriminant. We can now write such that $\Delta = \Delta_2 \Delta$
 $F = F^{(\Delta')}$ where F \overline{O} where $\overline{O}' \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an odd fundamental discriminant. We can now write
 $\overline{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{O(1-\lambda^2)}]$ then \overline{E} is isomorphic to one such that $\Delta = \Delta_2 \Delta$ where $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an odd fundamental
 $E = E_1^{(\Delta')}$ where $E_1 := E_0^{(\Delta_2)}$. One can check that if $O = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{\Delta_2}]$ $\sqrt{-2}$] then E_1 is isomorphic to one of the four elliptic curves with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ appearing in Table 1. On the other hand, if $O = \mathbb{Z}[2i]$ then E_1 can be either one of the two elliptic curves

$$
y2 = x3 - 44x - 112
$$

$$
y2 = x3 - 44x + 112
$$

or one of the two elliptic curves with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[2i]$ appearing in Table 1. In
each case it is not difficult to see that $|f_{\Gamma}| \in \mathbb{N}$ is a nower of 2, which shows that the division each case it is not difficult to see that $|f_{E_1}| \in \mathbb{N}$ is a power of 2, which shows that the division fields of E , More precisely Theorem 1.1 gives fields of E_1 behave similarly to the division fields of E_0 . More precisely, Theorem 1.1 gives

$$
Gal(K((E_1)_{tors})/K) \cong \prod_q Gal(K(E_1[q^{\infty}])/K)
$$

where the product runs over all the rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that the division fields
of *F*₁ are linearly disjoint over *K*. Moreover Proposition 3.3 shows that Gal(*K*(*F*₁[a^m])/*K*) ≃ of E_1 are linearly disjoint over K. Moreover, Proposition 3.3 shows that $Gal(K(E_1[q^m])/K) \cong (O/a^m O)^{\times}$ for every rational prime $a > 3$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a combination of Proposition 5.3 $(O/q^mQ)^{\times}$ for every rational prime $q \geq 3$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and a combination of Proposition 5.3
and Theorem 4.3 gives $Gal(K(F, [2^m])/K) \cong (O/2^mQ)^{\times}/(1+1)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > 3$ and Theorem 4.3 gives $Gal(\tilde{K}(E_1[2^m])/K) \cong (O/2^m O)^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq 3$.
This concludes the analysis of the division fields of $F = F_1$ if $\Lambda' = 1$. On the other hand if This concludes the analysis of the division fields of $E = E_1$ if $\Delta' = 1$. On the other hand, if $\Delta' \neq 1$ then $|f_E| = |f_E| (\Delta')^2$ where $|f_E|$ is a nower of 2. Hence Theorem 1.1 shows that Δ' ≠ 1 then $|\mathfrak{f}_E| = |\mathfrak{f}_{E_1}| (\Delta')^2$ where $|\mathfrak{f}_{E_1}|$ is a power of 2. Hence Theorem 1.1 shows that

$$
\text{Gal}(K(E_{\text{tors}})/K) \cong \left(\prod_{q \notin S} \text{Gal}(K(E[q^{\infty}])/K)\right) \times \text{Gal}(K(E[S^{\infty}])/K)
$$

with the product running over the rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q \notin S$ where $S = S_E$ denotes the finite set appearing in Theorem 1.1, which in this case consists of the primes dividing 2. A' the finite set appearing in Theorem 1.1, which in this case consists of the primes dividing 2 · ∆'.
Similarly to what bannoned before $[T_1]$ and $[T_4]$ show that $Gal(K(E[P^m])/K) \approx (O/P^mQ)^{\times}$ for Similarly to what happened before, $\boxed{T.1}$ and $\boxed{T.4}$ show that $Gal(K(E[\ell^m])/K) \cong (O/\ell^m O)^{\times})$ for every prime $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, Proposition 5.1 gives $K(E[2^m]) = H_{2^m,O}(\sqrt{\Delta'})$
and $K(E[2^m]) \cap K(E[\Delta']) = K(\sqrt{\Delta'})$ for grows $m \geq 2$. Hence the family of division fields and $K(E[2^m]) \cap K(E[\Delta']) = K(\sqrt{\Delta'})$ for every $m \geq 3$. Hence the family of division fields $\{K(E[\alpha^\infty])\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}}$ is entangled over K and for all $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{C}}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $a_0 > 3$ we get $\{K(E[q^{\infty}])\}_{q\in S}$ is entangled over K, and for all $\{a_q\}_{q\in S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $a_2 \geq 3$ we get

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)\cong \frac{\prod_{q\in S}\left(O/q^{a_q}O\right)^{\times}}{\{\pm 1\}}
$$

where L is the compositum of all the division fields $K(E[q^{a_q}])$ for $q \in S$.
We are left with the analysis of the entanglement between the division

We are left with the analysis of the entanglement between the division elds of an elliptic √ curve E defined over Q which has complex multiplication by $O = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$. As usual $E = E_0^{(\Delta)}$ for
some fundamental discriminant $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$, where E_2 is one of the two ellintic curves with complex some fundamental discriminant $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$, where E_0 is one of the two elliptic curves with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ appearing in Toble 1. In contrast to what we have seen before, here multiplication by Z[$\sqrt{-3}$] appearing in Table 1. In contrast to what we have seen before, here $|f_{E_0}| = 2^2 3^2$ is not a prime power. This forces us to study separately the division fields $K(E_0[2^\infty])$
and $K(E_0[3^\infty])$. First of all one can compute that for any of the two possibilities for E_0 , given by and $K(E_0[3^\infty])$. First of all, one can compute that for any of the two possibilities for E_0 , given by the Weierstrass equations $u^2 = x^3 - 15x + 22$ and $u^2 = x^3 - 135x - 594$, the representation or a the Weierstrass equations $y^2 = x^3 - 15x + 22$ and $y^2 = x^3 - 135x - 594$, the representation $\rho_{E_0,3}$
is not surjective i.e. $V(F_1|2) = H_1 - V_2(\sqrt[3]{2})$. This clearly shows that e^- is not surjective is not surjective, *i.e.* $K(E_0[3]) = H_{3,O} = K(\frac{1}{2}E_0[3])$ √3 2). This clearly shows that $\rho_{E_0,3^n}$ is not surjective

for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$. Moreover, $\rho_{E_0,2^n}$ is surjective for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$. Indeed Theorem A.6 and Theorem A.7 imply that Theorem A.7 imply that

$$
\left| \left(\frac{O}{2^n O} \right)^{\times} \right| = \frac{[H_{2^n 3,O} : K]}{[H_{3,O} : K]} = \frac{[H_{2^n 3,O} : K]}{[K(E_0[3]) : K]} \le \frac{[K(E_0[2^n 3]) : K]}{[K(E_0[3]) : K]} \le [K(E_0[2^n]) : K]
$$

hence Lemma 3.1 shows that every inequality is actually an equality, and $\rho_{E_0,2^n}$ is surjective.
This gives that $K(E_0[2^n]) \cap K(E_0[3^m]) = K$ for every $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. These considerations together This gives that $K(E_0[2^n]) \cap K(E_0[3^m]) = K$ for every $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. These considerations together with Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.3 give a decomposition with Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.3 give a decomposition

$$
\text{Gal}(K((E_0)_{\text{tors}})/K) \cong \prod_q \text{Gal}(K(E_0[q^{\infty}])/K)
$$

where the product runs over all rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$
\text{Gal}(K(E_0[q^m])/K) \cong \begin{cases} (O/q^m O)^\times, \text{ if } q \neq 3\\ (O/3^m O)^\times / \{\pm 1\}, \text{ if } q = 3 \end{cases}
$$

and the family of division fields $\{K(E[q^{\infty}])\}_q$ is linearly disjoint over K.

Let us go back to the division fields of $E = E_0^{(\Delta)}$, where we can assume that $3 \nmid \Delta$ because √ $\overline{-3} \in K$. Write now $\Delta = \Delta_2 \Delta'$ as above, where $\Delta_2 \in \{1, -4, -8, 8\}$ and $\Delta' \in \mathbb{Z}$ an odd
undemental discriminant, and let $F = F^{(\Delta_2)}$. Then \boxed{TA} change that Δ_2 is surjective for fundamental discriminant, and let $E_1 := E_0^{(\Delta_2)}$. Then $\boxed{T.4}$ shows that $\rho_{E_1,2^n}$ is surjective for every $n > 3$. Moreover, $\rho_{E_1,2^n}$ is surjective for every $n > 1$, which follows from Proposition 5.1 every $n \ge 3$. Moreover, $\rho_{E_1,3^n}$ is surjective for every $n \ge 1$, which follows from Proposition 5.1 after observing that $K(E_0[3]) \cap K(\sqrt{\Delta_2}) = K$ because $[K(E_0[3]) : K] = 3$. These considerations,
together with Theorem 1.1, show that together with Theorem 1.1, show that

$$
\text{Gal}(K((E_1)_{\text{tors}})/K) \cong \left(\prod_{q \notin S} \text{Gal}(K(E_1[q^{\infty}])/K)\right) \times \text{Gal}(K(E_1[S^{\infty}])/K)
$$

with the product running over the rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q \notin S$ where $S = \{2, 3\}$ and $K(F, S^{\infty})$ denotes the compositum of the division fields $K(F, S^{\infty})$ and $K(F, S^{\infty})$. Moreover $K(E_1[S^{\infty}])$ denotes the compositum of the division fields $K(E_1[2^{\infty}])$ and $K(E_1[3^{\infty}])$. Moreover, T.1, T.2 and the previous considerations show that $Gal(K(E_1[\ell^m])/K) \cong (O/\ell^m O)^{\times})$ for every
with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Nevy Proposition 5.1 shows that $K(E_1[2^m]) \cap K(E_1[1,1]) = K(A[n])$ prime $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, Proposition 5.1 shows that $K(E_1[3^m]) \cap K(E_1[\Delta_2]) = K(\sqrt{\Delta_2})$
and $K(E_1[3^m]) = H_{\ell,m,\ell}(\sqrt{\Delta_2})$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $K(E_1[3^{\infty}])$ and $K(E_1[3^{\infty}])$ are entangled and $K(E_1[3^m]) = H_{3^m,Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_2})$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence $K(E_1[2^{\infty}])$ and $K(E_1[3^{\infty}])$ are entangled over K, and for every pair of integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have that over *K*, and for every pair of integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have that

$$
\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \frac{(O/2^a O)^{\times} \times (O/3^b O)^{\times}}{\{\pm 1\}}
$$

where L denotes the compositum of $K(E_1[2^a])$ and $K(E_1[3^b])$.
To complete our analysis of the division fields of $F = F^{(\Delta)}$.]) and $K(E_1[3]$

To conclude our analysis of the division fields of $E = E_0^{(\Delta)}$ we can observe that $E = E_1^{(\Delta')}$ $\int_{1}^{(\Delta)}$ and that gcd(Δ' , f_{E₁}) = gcd(Δ' , 6) = 1. Hence Theorem 1.1 gives the decomposition

$$
\text{Gal}(K(E_{\text{tors}})/K) \cong \left(\prod_{q \notin S} \text{Gal}(K(E[q^{\infty}])/K)\right) \times \text{Gal}(K(E[S^{\infty}])/K)
$$

with the product running over the rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q \notin S$ where $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ de-
notes the finite set of primes dividing 6.0. Now \boxed{T} and \boxed{T} show that $Gal(K(E[pm])/K) \approx$ notes the finite set of primes dividing 6∆'. Now, T.1 and T.2 show that Gal(K(E[ℓ^m])/K) ≅
(O/ℓ^m)[×] for all rational primes $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, Proposition 5.1 shows that $(O/\ell^m)^\times$ for all rational primes $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, Proposition 5.1 shows that $V(E[2^m]) \cap V(E[4]) = V(\sqrt{A})$ and $V(E[2^m]) = H(\sqrt{A})$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the family $K(E[3^m]) \cap K(E[\Delta]) = K(\sqrt{\Delta})$ and $K(E[3^m]) = H_{3^m,O}(\sqrt{\Delta})$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence the family $K(E[\alpha^{\infty}]))$, so is entangled over K, and for every collection of integers $\{a, \dots, \infty\}$, we get $\{K(E[q^{\infty}])\}_{q\in S}$ is entangled over K, and for every collection of integers $\{a_q\}_{q\in S}\subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we get

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)\cong \frac{\prod_{q\in S}\left(O/q^{a_{q}}O\right)^{\times}}{\{\pm 1\}}
$$

where L is the compositum of all the division fields $K(E[q^{a_q}])$ for $q \in S$.
The following theorem summarises the previous discussion. Recall

The following theorem summarises the previous discussion. Recall that, for every rational prime $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $K(E[q^{\infty}])$ the compositum of all the division fields $\{K(E[q^n])\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ associated to an elliptic curve E, and for every finite set of primes $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $K(E[S^{\infty}])$
the compositum of all the fields $\{K(E[\mathcal{S}^{\infty}])\}$ the compositum of all the fields $\{K(E[q^{\infty}])\}_{q\in S}$.

Theorem 5.5. Let O be an order inside an imaginary quadratic field K such that $Pic(O) = 1$ and Δ ^{\odot} < -4. We introduce the following notation:

$$
n = n(O) := \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } O \in \{\mathbb{Z}[2i], \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]\} \\ 2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad p \in \mathbb{N} \text{ the unique prime ramifying in } \mathbb{Q} \subseteq K,
$$

$$
p \subseteq O_K \text{ the unique prime lying above } p.
$$

Label all the elliptic curves defined over Q which have complex multiplication by O as $\{A_r\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_{>1}}$ in such a way that $|f_{A_r}| \leq |f_{A_{r+1}}|$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then $|f_{A_n}| < |f_{A_{n+1}}|$ and the properties of the division fields associated to the elliptic curve A depend on r as follows: division fields associated to the elliptic curve A_r depend on r as follows:

 $\boxed{r \leq n}$ Disjointness: the family $\{K(A_r[q^{\infty}])\}_q$, where $q \in \mathbb{N}$ runs over all the rational primes, is linearly disjoint over K: is linearly disjoint over K;

Maximality: $Gal(K(A_r[q^m])/K) \cong (O/q^mQ)^{\times}$ for every prime $q \neq p$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
Minimality: $Gal(K(A_r[n^m])/K) \cong (O/p^mQ)^{\times}/\{+1\}$ for every $m \geq n-1$: Minimality: $\text{Gal}(K(A_r[p^m])/K) \cong (O/p^mO)^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}$ for every $m \geq n - 1$;

 $\boxed{r > n}$ Twist: there exists a unique $r_0 \leq n$ and a unique fundamental discriminant $\Delta_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime with p such that $A_r = A_{r_0}^{(\Delta_r)}$;
lisiointess: there is a decomposition C_{c} intervals P such that H_{c} \rightarrow H_{r_0} ,

$$
\text{Gal}(K((A_r)_{tors})/K) \cong \left(\prod_{q \notin S_r} \text{Gal}(K(A_r[q^{\infty}])/K)\right) \times \text{Gal}(K(A_r[S^{\infty}])/K)
$$

where S_r ⊆ N denotes the finite set of primes dividing $p \cdot \Delta_r$ and the product runs over the rational primes $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a \notin S_r$. This shows that the family the rational primes $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q \notin S_r$. This shows that the family

 $\{K(A_r[S_r^{\infty}])\}\cup \{K(A_r[q^{\infty}])\}_{q \notin S_r}$

is linearly disjoint over K;

Entanglement: for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq n - 1$ we have that

$$
K(A_r[p^m]) = H_{p^m, O}(\sqrt{\Delta_r}) \qquad and \qquad K(A_r[p^m]) \cap K(A_r[\Delta_r]) = K(\sqrt{\Delta_r})
$$

which shows that the family $\{K(A_r[q^{\infty}])\}_{q\in S_r}$ is entangled over K;
Aaximality: Gal(K(A, $[a^m]$)/K) $\approx (O/a^mQ)^{\times}$ for every prime a 6 Maximality: $Gal(K(A_r[q^m])/K) \cong (O/q^mQ)^{\times}$ for every prime $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
Minimality: for every collection of integers $\{q_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ with $q_n > n-1$ we get **Minimality:** for every collection of integers $\{a_q\}_{q \in S_r} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $a_p \geq n-1$ we get

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \cong \frac{\prod_{q \in S_r} (O/q^{a_q} O)^{\times}}{\{\pm 1\}}
$$

where L is the compositum of all the division fields $K(A_r[q^{a_q}])$ for $q \in S_r$.

Remark 5.6. Fix an imaginary quadratic order O having trivial class group Pic(O) = {1}, conductor $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{O}}$ ≠ 2 and discriminant $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ < -4. Let $n = n(\mathcal{O}) \in \{2, 4\}$ be as in Theorem 5.5. We observe that, for every $r > n$, the set S_r appearing in Theorem 5.5 coincides with the set of primes $S = \{p : p \mid b_{A_r}\}$ appearing in Theorem 1.1. This shows that, even fixing the field of definition the number of entangled division fields of an ellintic curve with complex multiplication inition, the number of entangled division fields of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication can be arbitrary large, as we already pointed out in Remark 3.7.

Remark 5.7. We exclude the two orders $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ in the statement of Theorem 5.5 because elliptic curves having complex multiplication by these orders admit quartic (respectively sextic) twists (as explained in [34, Chapter X, Proposition 5.4]). To study these we would need a generalisation of Proposition 5.1, which will be subject of future investigations.

Appendix A. Ray class fields for orders

The aim of this appendix is to study certain abelian extensions H_{LO} of a number field F associated to ideals $I \subseteq O$ contained in a general order $O \subseteq F$. We call the extension $H_{I,O}$ the ray class field modulo I for the order O . This definition generalises the one given by Söhngen in [37] and Stevenhagen in [38, § 4], who restrict their attention to imaginary quadratic fields. The material present in this appendix is probably well known to the experts, but the authors have included it here since they have been unable to find a suitable reference.

A.1. The general theory. In this section we define the ray class fields $H_{I,O}$ and we study their Galois groups. The notation used for lattices and idèles is the one established in Section 4.

Definition A.1. Let F be a number field, let $O \subseteq O_F$ be an order and let $I \subseteq O$ be a non-zero ideal. Then we define the ray class field of F modulo I relative to the order O as

(17)
$$
H_{I,O} := (F^{\text{ab}})^{[U_{I,O},F]} \subseteq F^{\text{ab}}
$$

where $[\cdot, F] \colon \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} \to \text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}/F)$ is the *global Artin map* and $U_{I,O} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$ is the subgroup

(18)
$$
U_{I,O} := \left\{ s \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times \; \middle| \; s_p \in \left(O_p^\times \cap (1 + I \cdot O_p) \right) \text{ for all rational primes } p \in \mathbb{N} \right\}
$$

defined using the decomposition (11) , where

(19)
$$
O_p := \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{O}{p^n O} \cong O \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p
$$

denotes the completion of O with respect to the ideal pO .

When $I = N \cdot O$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we denote $U_{I,O}$ by $U_{N,O}$, and we write $U_O := U_{1,O}$. Analogously, we will write $H_{N,O}$ in place of $H_{N,O,O}$, and we will denote by $H_O := H_{1,O}$ the ring class field of O .

Remark A.2. When $O = O_F$ is the ring of integers, the ray class fields H_{I, O_F} coincide with the usual ray class fields of F modulo I (see [26] Chanter VI Definition 6.21). Moreover when $F = K$ usual ray class fields of F modulo I (see [26, Chapter VI, Definition 6.2]). Moreover, when $F = K$ is an imaginary quadratic field, the ray class fields H_{LO} have been defined by Söhngen in [37]. This work is exposed in great detail by Schertz in [28, §3.3], and if $I = N \cdot O$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the construction of $H_{I,O} = H_{N,O}$ has been reformulated using an adelic language by Stevenhagen in [38, § 4]. Finally, the ring class fields H_O have been studied for general number fields F by Lv and Deng in [23] and by Yi and Lv in [42].

Remark A.3. It is clear from the definition that for every pair of ideals $I \subseteq J \subseteq O$ we have that $U_{I,O} \subseteq U_{I,O}$, which implies that $H_{I,O} \supseteq H_{I,O}$. In particular, $H_O \subseteq H_{I,O}$ for every ideal $I \subseteq O$. Similarly, for every pair of orders $O_1 \subseteq O_2 \subseteq F$ and every ideal $I \subseteq O_1$ we have that U_{I,O_1} ⊆ $U_{I.O_2,O_2}$, which gives the containment H_{I,O_1} ⊇ $H_{I.O_2,O_2}$ generalising the Anordnungssatz
explained in [38, Page 169]. In particular for every order $O \subset F$ and every ideal $I \subset O$ we get explained in [38, Page 169]. In particular for every order $O \subseteq F$ and every ideal $I \subseteq O$ we get the following inclusions

HI·OF ,OF HI,^O HI·fO·OF ,OF F HOF H^O HfO,OF ⊆ ⊆ ⊆ ⊆⊆ ⊆ ⊆⊆

where $f_O := (O: O_F) = \{ \alpha \in F \mid \alpha O_F \subseteq O \} \subseteq O$ is the conductor of O, which is the biggest ideal of O_F contained in O. This shows, applying [26, Chapter VI, Corollary 6.6], that the extension $F \subseteq H_{I,O}$ is unramified outside the set of primes dividing $I \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{O} \cdot O_{F}$.

We describe now the Galois groups of the abelian extensions $F \subseteq H_{I,O}$.

Lemma A.4. Let F be a number field, $O \subseteq O_F$ be an order and $I \subseteq O$ be a non-zero ideal. Then $\lambda^\times \cdot U_{I,O} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_F^\times$ is a closed subgroup of finite index and, after identifying the group

$$
F_{\infty}^{\times} := (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})^{\times} \cong \prod_{v \in M_F^{\infty}} F_v^{\times}
$$

with its image under the natural inclusion $F_{\infty}^{\times} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times}$, one has

$$
F^{\times} \cdot F_{\infty}^{\times} \subseteq \ker([\cdot, F]) \subseteq F^{\times} \cdot U_{I,O} = F^{\times} \cdot N_{H_{I,O}/F}(\mathbb{A}_{H_{I,O}}^{\times})
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{H_{I, O}/F}$: \mathbb{A}^{\times}_H $H_{I,O}$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$ denotes the idelic norm map. Moreover, there is an isomorphism

(20)
$$
\operatorname{Gal}(H_{I,O}/F) \cong \frac{\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}}{F^{\times} \cdot U_{I,O}}
$$

induced by the global Artin map.

Proof. The fact that $F^{\times} \cdot U_{I,O}$ is closed of finite index follows from [26, Chapter VI, Proposition 1.8] because $U_{I,O,O} \subseteq U_{I,O}$. Moreover, by definition $F^{\times} \subseteq U_{I,O}$ so the inclusions tion 1.8], because $U_{I \cdot i_O \cdot O_F, O_F} \subseteq U_{I,O}$. Moreover, by definition $F_{\infty}^{\times} \subseteq U_{I,O}$, so the inclusions $F^{\times} \cdot F_{\infty}^{\times} \subseteq \text{ker}([\cdot, F]) \subseteq F^{\times} \cdot U_{I,O}$ follow from the fact that $F^{\times} \cdot U_{I,O}$ is closed in \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} is the closure of $F^{\times} \cdot F_{\infty}^{\times}$ inside \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} , as explained in [2, Chapter IX]. The global reciprocity law [26, Chapter VI] Theorem 6.1] now gives (20) and shows that $F^{\times} \cdot N_{rr}$ (\mathbb{A}^{\times}) $\subset \mathbb{A}^{\times$ [26, Chapter VI, Theorem 6.1] now gives (20) and shows that $F^{\times} \cdot N_{H_{I,O}/F}(\mathbb{A}_{H_{I,O}}^{\times}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times}$ is also a closed subgroup of finite index containing the kernel of the Artin map and fixing precisely the field $H_{I,O}$. Then by Galois theory we must have $F^{\times} \cdot U_{I,O} = F^{\times} \cdot \overline{N}_{H_{I,O}/F}(\mathbb{A}_{H}^{\times})$ $H_{I,O}$) and this concludes the proof.

The previous description can be made more explicit by dividing the extension $F \subseteq H_{I,O}$ in the two sub-extensions $F \subseteq H_O$ and $H_O \subseteq H_{I,O}$.

Proposition A.5. Let O be an order inside a number field F. Then
 $Gal(H_2/F) \cong Pic(O)$

$$
\text{Gal}(H_O/F) \cong \text{Pic}(O)
$$

where $Pic(O)$ denotes the class group of the order O.

Proof. Combine [42, Theorem and Definition 2.11] and [42, Theorem 4.2].

Theorem A.6. Let F be a number field, $O \subseteq O_F$ be an order and $I \subseteq O$ be a non-zero ideal. Then

$$
\text{Gal}(H_{I,O}/H_O) \cong \frac{(O/I)^{\times}}{\pi_I^{\times}(O^{\times})}
$$

where π_I^{\times} : $O^{\times} \to (O/I)^{\times}$ is the map induced by the projection π_I : $O \to O/I$. Proof. First of all, we see that

$$
\text{Gal}(H_{I,O}/H_O) = \ker\left(\text{Gal}(H_{I,O}/F) \to \text{Gal}(H_O/F)\right) \stackrel{(a)}{\cong} \ker\left(\frac{\mathbb{A}_F^\times}{F^\times \cdot U_{I,O}} \to \frac{\mathbb{A}_F^\times}{F^\times \cdot U_O}\right) \cong
$$
\n
$$
\cong \frac{F^\times \cdot U_O}{F^\times \cdot U_{I,O}} \cong \frac{F^\times \cdot U_O/F^\times}{F^\times \cdot U_{I,O}/F^\times} \stackrel{(b)}{\cong} \frac{U_O/(F^\times \cap U_O)}{(U_{I,O} \cdot (F^\times \cap U_O))/(F^\times \cap U_O)} \cong
$$
\n
$$
\cong \frac{U_O}{U_{I,O} \cdot (F^\times \cap U_O)} \stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{U_O}{U_{I,O} \cdot O^\times}
$$

where (a) comes from Lemma A.4, (b) holds because $U_{I,O} \subseteq U_O$ and (c) follows from the fact that $F^{\times} \cap U_{Q} = Q^{\times}$.
Now observe the

Now, observe that $F_{\infty}^{\times} \subseteq O$, where $F_{\infty} := F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \prod_{w | \infty} F_w \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$. Moreover, we have

(21)
$$
\frac{U_O}{F_{\infty}^{\times}} \cong \prod_{p \in \mathbb{N}} O_p^{\times} \cong \prod_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{O}{p^n O}\right)^{\times} \cong \lim_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}} \left(\frac{O}{NO}\right)^{\times} \cong \widehat{O}^{\times}
$$

where the products run over the rational primes $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and O_p is the ring defined in (19). In the chain of isomorphisms (21) the ring \widehat{O} is the profinite completion of O, i.e.

(22)
$$
\widehat{O} := \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}} \frac{O}{NO} \cong \prod_{p \in \mathbb{N}} O_p \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq O} O_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

where the second product runs over all the non-zero prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}\subseteq O$ and $O_\mathfrak{p}:=\varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{N}}O/\mathfrak{p}^n$ where the second product runs over an the non-zero prime ideals $\gamma \subseteq O$ and $O_{\gamma} := \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} O/\gamma$ is the completion of O at the prime γ . The second isomorphism appearing in (22) can be obtained by applying [12, Corollary 7.6] to $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $A = O_p$. This gives the decomposition

$$
O_p \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \supseteq p} O_\mathfrak{p}
$$

where the product runs over all primes $p \subseteq O$ lying above p.

Under the isomorphism (21) the subgroup $U_{I,O}/F_{\infty}^{\times} \subseteq U_O/F_{\infty}^{\times} \cong \widehat{O}^{\times}$ is identified with the subset of the subset of the subset of the subset of $\widehat{O} = \widehat{O} = \widehat{O}/\sqrt{2}$. Hence, kernel of the map $\widehat{\pi_I}^{\times}$: $\widehat{O}^{\times} \to (\widehat{O}/I\widehat{O})^{\times}$ induced by the projection $\widehat{\pi_I}$: $\widehat{O} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{O}/I\widehat{O}$. Hence

$$
\text{Gal}(H_{I,O}/H_O) \cong \frac{U_O}{U_{I,O} \cdot O^\times} \cong \frac{U_O/F_\infty^\times}{(U_{I,O} \cdot O^\times)/F_\infty^\times} \cong \frac{\widehat{O}^\times}{\text{ker}(\widehat{\pi}_I^\times) \cdot O^\times} \cong \frac{(\widehat{O}/I\widehat{O})^\times}{\widehat{\pi}_I^\times(O^\times)}
$$

because $\widehat{\pi_I}^{\times}$ is surjective. This surjectivity is shown by the factorisation

where the first map $\widehat{O}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I} O^\times_\mathfrak{p}$ is surjective as follows from (22), and the second map

$$
\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\supseteq I} O_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\supseteq I} \left(\frac{O_{\mathfrak{p}}}{I O_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right)^{\times} \cong \left(\frac{\widehat{O}}{I \widehat{O}}\right)^{\times}
$$

is surjective by [8, Corollary 2.3], which can be applied since the ring $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\supseteq I} O_\mathfrak{p}$ has finitely many
maximal ideals maximal ideals.

To finish our proof we need to show that

$$
\frac{(\widehat{O}/I\widehat{O})^{\times}}{\widehat{\pi_1}^{\times}(O^{\times})} \cong \frac{(O/I)^{\times}}{\pi_1^{\times}(O^{\times})}.
$$

To do this recall that π_I and $\hat{\pi_I}$ are related by the commutative diagram

where α is the isomorphism coming from the decomposition (22), and β and γ are the maps induced by the natural inclusions $O \subseteq O_{(p)} \subseteq O_p$. Moreover the products run over all the prime ideals $p \subseteq O$ such that $p \supseteq I$, and $O_{(p)}$ denotes the localisation of O at the prime p.

Hence to conclude it is sufficient to observe that γ is an isomorphism by [26, Chapter I, Proposition 12.3], and β is an isomorphism because O is a one-dimensional Noetherian domain (see [26, Chapter I, Proposition 12.2]). More explicitly, for any prime $p \subseteq O$ such that $p \supseteq I$ we have that $p \cdot O_{(p)} = \sqrt{I \cdot O_{(p)}}$ because $O_{(p)}$ is a one-dimensional local ring. Hence [3, Chapter II, 8.2.6. Proposition 15] shows that $O_{(p)}/IO_{(p)}$ is complete with respect to $pO_{(p)}$. Thus we can § 2.6, Proposition 15] shows that $O_{(p)}/IO_{(p)}$ is complete with respect to $pO_{(p)}$. Thus we can conclude that $O_{(p)}/IO_{(p)}$ is isomorphic to $O_{(p)}$ using the exactness of completion, which conclude that $O_{(p)}/IO_{(p)}$ is isomorphic to O_p/IO_p using the exactness of completion, which holds because $O_{(p)}$ is Noetherian (see [12 J emma 7.15]) holds because $O_{(p)}^{\sim}$ is Noetherian (see [12, Lemma 7.15]).

A.2. Ray class fields for imaginary quadratic orders. Since the definition of the ray class fields H_{LO} is somehow implicit, a natural question would be to provide an explicit set of generators for the extension $F \subseteq H_{I,O}$. This can be done when $F = K$ is an imaginary quadratic field, and $I \subseteq O$ is invertible, using the Weber function $\mathfrak{h}_E : E \to E/\text{Aut}(E) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ associated to any elliptic curve $E \subseteq$ which has complex multiplication by O as explained in the following any elliptic curve $E_{/\mathbb{C}}$ which has complex multiplication by O, as explained in the following theorem.

Theorem A.7. Let O be an order inside an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and let $I \subseteq O$ be an invertible ideal. Then we have that

$$
H_{I,O} = H_O(\mathfrak{h}_E(E[I])) = K(j(E), \mathfrak{h}_E(E[I]))
$$

for any elliptic curve $E_{/\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\text{End}(E) \cong O$. In particular, if E is an elliptic curve defined over
a number field E such that $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E) \cong O$ then $H_{\mathbb{C}} \circ E(E[1])$ a number field F such that $\text{End}_F(E) \cong O$ then $H_{LO} \subseteq F(E[I])$.

Proof. By the previous discussion, we can assume that $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\}$, because in this case $O =$ O_K . Fix a generator P of E[I] as a module over O/I , which exists by Lemma 3.1 because $I \subseteq O$ is invertible. Then $H_O(\mathfrak{h}_E(E[I])) = H_O(\mathfrak{h}_E(P))$, as one can see by writing every endomorphism of E in the standard form described in [41, § 2.9] and applying [16, Chapter I, Theorem 7].

Let now $\xi: \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\sim} E(\mathbb{C})$ be a complex parametrisation, where $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq O$ is an invertible ideal (see [32, Proposition 4.8]). Fix moreover $z \in (a : I) \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that $\xi(\overline{z}) = P$, where $\overline{z} := \alpha z/\alpha$ denotes the image of z in the quotient $K/\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}$. Then [32, Theorem 5.5] shows that

$$
H_O(\mathfrak{h}_E(P)) = (K^{\text{ab}})^{[W_P, K]}
$$

where $W_P \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^\times$ is the subgroup defined by $W_P := \{ s \in \mathbb{A}_K^\times \mid s \cdot a = a, s \cdot \overline{z} = \overline{z} \}$. In particular, we recall that for any $s \in A_K^\times$ such that $s \cdot a = a$ the notation $s \cdot \overline{z}$ stands for the image of $\overline{z} \in K/a$ under the map $K/\mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{s} K/\mathfrak{a}$. This map is defined by the commutative diagram

where $a_p := a \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p = a O_p$ for any rational prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $H_Q = K(j(E))$ the theorem will follow from the equality $W_P = U_{I,O}$, where $U_{I,O} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^{\times}$ is the subgroup defined in (18).
To prove the inclusion $U_{I,O} \subseteq W_P$ take any $s \in U_{I,O}$. Then $s \cdot a = a$ because s.g.

To prove the inclusion $U_{I,O} \subseteq W_P$ take any $s \in U_{I,O}$. Then $s \cdot a = a$ because $s_p a_p = a_p$ for
ery rational prime $b \in \mathbb{N}$ since by definition $s \in O^\times$. Moreover $s \cdot \overline{z} = \overline{z}$ because $z \in (a : I)$ every rational prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$, since by definition $s_p \in \overrightarrow{O_p}$. Moreover, $s \cdot \overline{z} = \overline{z}$ because $z \in (a : I)$
and $s \in \{1 + IO\}$ for every rational prime $b \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that $(s = 1)z \in a$. This shows and $s_p \in 1 + I\overline{O}_p$ for every rational prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that $(s_p - 1)z \in \mathfrak{a}_p$. This shows that $I\overline{I}$ o ⊂ W that $U_{I,O} \subseteq W_z$

To prove the opposite inclusion $W_P \subseteq U_{I,O}$ fix any rational prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and take $s \in W_P$, so that $s \cdot a = a$ and $s \cdot \overline{z} = \overline{z}$. Since $a \subseteq O$ is invertible we have that $a \cdot (O : a) = O$ and

$$
s \cdot O = s \cdot (\mathfrak{a} \cdot (O : \mathfrak{a})) = (s \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \cdot (O : \mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a} \cdot (O : \mathfrak{a}) = O
$$

which shows that $s_p \in O_p^{\times}$. Let us now prove that $s_p \in 1 + I \cdot O_p$. Since $I \subseteq O$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq O$ are both invertible we have that $I \cdot (O: \mathfrak{a}) \cdot (\mathfrak{a}: I) = O$, so that we can write $1 = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \alpha_j \beta_j \tau_j$ with $\alpha \in I \cdot \beta \in (O: \mathfrak{a})$ and $\tau \in (a: I)$. Notice that $s \cdot \overline{\tau} = \overline{\tau}$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, I\}$ because $s \cdot \$ $j=1$ $\alpha_j \in I$, $\beta_j \in (O: \mathfrak{a})$ and $\tau_j \in (\mathfrak{a}: I)$. Notice that $s \cdot \overline{\tau_j} = \overline{\tau_j}$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, J\}$ because $s \cdot \overline{z} = \overline{z}$
and $P = \xi(\overline{z})$ generates $E[I]$ as a module over O/I Hence $s_i - 1 \in I \cdot O$, because and $P = \xi(\bar{z})$ generates $E[I]$ as a module over O/I . Hence $s_p - 1 \in I \cdot O_p$ because we can write

$$
s_p - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j \beta_j (s_p \tau_j - \tau_j)
$$

where $s_p \tau_j - \tau_j \in \mathfrak{a}_p = \mathfrak{a} O_p$ and $\beta_j (s_p \tau_j - \tau_j) \in O_p$ since $\beta_j \in (O : \mathfrak{a})$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, J\}$. Thus we have shown that $s_p \in O_p^{\times}$ and $s_p \in 1 + I \cdot O_p$ for every prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$, which gives $W_p \subset U_p$ as we wanted to prove $W_P \subseteq U_{I,O}$ as we wanted to prove.

Remark A.8. The explicit description of the ray class fields given in Theorem A.7 shows that $H_{I,O}$ coincides with the ray class field defined by Söhngen in [37] using the classical language of class field theory (see [26, Chapter IV, §7]). A more recent exposition of the work of Söhngen can be found in [28, Theorem 6.2.3].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Ian Kiming and Fabien Pazuki, for their precious guidance and constant encouragement during the preparation of this work. We thank Peter Stevenhagen for sharing his numerous ideas on division fields of elliptic curves.

This project has received funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 801199.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Arthaud. ["On Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer's conjecture for elliptic curves with complex](http://www.numdam.org/item/?id=CM_1978__37_2_209_0) [multiplication. I".](http://www.numdam.org/item/?id=CM_1978__37_2_209_0) In: Compositio Mathematica 37.2 (1978), pp. 209–232 (cit. on p. 9).

REFERENCES 31

- [2] E. Artin and J. Tate. Class field theory. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968 (cit. on pp. 12, 27).
- [3] N. Bourbaki. Commutative algebra. Chapters 1-7. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989 (cit. on p. 29).
- [4] A. Bourdon, P. Clark, and J. Stankewicz. ["Torsion points on CM elliptic curves over](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/tran/6905) real number fields". In: Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 369.12 (2017), pp. 8457–8496 (cit. on p. 10).
- [5] A. Bourdon and P. L. Clark. ["Torsion points and Galois representations on CM elliptic](http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.03229) [curves".](http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.03229) arXiv:1612.03229. (2019) (cit. on p. 6).
- [6] F. Campagna and P. Stevenhagen. ["Cyclic reduction of CM elliptic curves".](https://sites.google.com/view/francesco-campagna/research) In preparation (cit. on p. 3).
- [7] F. Campagna and P. Stevenhagen. ["Cyclic reduction of Elliptic Curves".](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00028) arXiv:2001.00028. (2020) (cit. on p. [1\)](#page-0-0).
- [8] J. Chen. ["Surjections of unit groups and semi-inverses".](https://projecteuclid.org:443/euclid.jca/1545015624) In: *J. Commut. Algebra* (2020). To appear. (cit. on p. 28).
- [9] J. Coates and A. Wiles. ["On the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer".](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01402975) In: Inventiones mathematicæ 39.3 (1977), pp. 223–251 (cit. on pp. 2, 9, 14).
- [10] J. Coates. ["Lectures on the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture".](http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/ICCM.2013.v1.n2.a5) In: Notices of the International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians 1.2 (2013), pp. 29–46 (cit. on p. 9).
- [11] D. A. Cox. [Primes of the form](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118400722) $x^2 + ny^2$. Second. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Hoboken).

John Wiley & Sons Jnc. Hoboken, NJ 2013 (cit. on pp. 2, 6, 10, 11, 18, 20) John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2013 (cit. on pp. 2, 6, 10, 11, 18, 20).
- [12] D. Eisenbud. [Commutative algebra](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-5350-1). Vol. 150. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995 (cit. on pp. 28, 29).
- [13] G. Gras. *Class field theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003 (cit. on p. 17).
- [14] D. Husemöller. *[Elliptic curves](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-5119-2)*. Second. Vol. 111. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004 (cit. on p. 13).
- [15] N. C. Kuhman. ["On the Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer for Elliptic Curves with](https://search.proquest.com/docview/302939165/citation/3EFFC3D8F7F24554PQ/1) [Complex Multiplication."](https://search.proquest.com/docview/302939165/citation/3EFFC3D8F7F24554PQ/1) PhD thesis. Stanford University, 1978 (cit. on pp. 2, 14).
- [16] S. Lang. *[Elliptic functions](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4752-4)*. Second. Vol. 112. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987 (cit. on pp. 7, 8, 12, 13, 29).
- [17] D. Lombardo. ["Galois representations attached to abelian varieties of CM type".](https://smf.emath.fr/publications/representations-galoisiennes-associees-aux-varietes-abeliennes-de-type-cm) In: Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France 145.3 (2017), pp. 469–501 (cit. on p. 11).
- [18] Á. Lozano-Robledo. "Applications of a classification of Galois representations attached [to elliptic curves with complex multiplication".](https://alozano.clas.uconn.edu/research-articles/) In preparation (cit. on p. 15).
- [19] Á. Lozano-Robledo. ["Formal groups of elliptic curves with potential good supersingular](http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2013.261.145) [reduction".](http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2013.261.145) In: Pacific Journal of Mathematics 261.1 (2013), pp. 145-164 (cit. on p. 9).
- [20] Á. Lozano-Robledo. ["Galois representations attached to elliptic curves with complex mul](http://arxiv.org/abs/1809.02584)[tiplication".](http://arxiv.org/abs/1809.02584) arXiv:1809.02584. (2019) (cit. on pp. 2, 9, 15, 19).
- [21] Á. Lozano-Robledo. "Ramification in the division fields of elliptic curves with potential [supersingular reduction".](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40993-016-0040-z) In: Research in Number Theory 2.1 (2016), p. 8 (cit. on p. 9).
- [22] Á. Lozano-Robledo. "Uniform boundedness in terms of ramification". In: Research in Number Theory 4.1 (2018), p. 6 (cit. on p. 9).
- [23] C. Lv and Y. Deng. "On orders in number fields: Picard groups, ring class fields and ap[plications".](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11425-015-4979-3) In: Science China Mathematics 58.8 (2015), pp. 1627–1638 (cit. on p. 26).
- [24] J. S. Milne. ["On the arithmetic of abelian varieties".](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01425446) In: Inventiones mathematicae 17.3 (1972), pp. 177–190 (cit. on p. 19).
- [25] M. R. Murty. ["On Artin's conjecture".](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X(83)90039-2) In: Journal of Number Theory 16.2 (1983), pp. 147– 168 (cit. on p. 10).

32 REFERENCES

- [26] J. Neukirch. [Algebraic Number Theory](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03983-0). Vol. 322. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999 (cit. on pp. 2, 6, 12, 13, 16, 26, 27, 29, 30).
- [27] R. Pengo. ["Mahler's measure and elliptic curves with potential complex multiplication".](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.04159) arXiv:2005.04159. (2020) (cit. on pp. 3, 19).
- [28] R. Schertz. [Complex multiplication](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511776892). Vol. 15. New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. xiv+361 (cit. on pp. 26, 30).
- [29] J.-P. Serre. "Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques". In: Inventiones mathematicæ 15.4 (1971), pp. 259–331 (cit. on pp. [1,](#page-0-0) 2).
- [30] J.-P. Serre and J. Tate. ["Good reduction of abelian varieties".](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1970722) In: Annals of Mathematics. Second Series 88 (1968), pp. 492–517 (cit. on p. 19).
- [31] G. Shimura. [Abelian varieties with complex multiplication and modular functions](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9781400883943). Vol. 46. Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1998 (cit. on p. 6).
- [32] G. Shimura. *[Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions](https://press.princeton.edu/books/paperback/9780691080925/introduction-to-arithmetic-theory-of-automorphic-functions)*. Vol. 11. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994 (cit. on pp. 2, 13, 14, 16, 17, 29).
- [33] J. H. Silverman. [Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves.](http://books.google.com/books?vid=ISBN0-387-94325-0) Vol. 151. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag, 1994, pp. xiii + 525 (cit. on pp. 4, 5, 13).
- [34] J. H. Silverman. *[The arithmetic of elliptic curves. 2nd ed.](http://books.google.com/books?vid=ISBN978-0-387-09493-9)* 2nd ed. Vol. 106. New York, NY: Springer, 2009, pp. xx + 513 (cit. on pp. 3–5, 7, 9, 18, 26).
- [35] J. H. Silverman. "Errata and Corrections to [The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves](http://www.math.brown.edu/~jhs/AEC/AECErrata.pdf), 2nd Edition". (2015) (cit. on p. 3).
- [36] H. Smith. "Ramification in the Division Fields of Elliptic Curves and an Application to [Sporadic Points on Modular Curves".](http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04809) arXiv:1810.04809. (2018) (cit. on p. 9).
- [37] H. Söhngen. ["Zur komplexen Multiplikation".](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01472223) In: Mathematische Annalen 111.1 (1935), pp. 302–328 (cit. on pp. 2, 26, 30).
- [38] P. Stevenhagen.["Hilbert's 12th Problem, Complex Multiplication and Shimura Reciprocity".](http://dx.doi.org/10.2969/aspm/03010161) In: Class Field Theory – Its Centenary and Prospect. Mathematical Society of Japan, 2001, pp. 161–176 (cit. on pp. 2, 26).
- [39] M. Streng. ["Divisibility sequences for elliptic curves with complex multiplication."](http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/ant.2008.2.183) In: Algebra & Number Theory 2.2 (2008), pp. 183–208 (cit. on pp. 4, 5).
- [40] D. Ulmer. "Conductors of ℓ [-adic representations".](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/proc/12880) In: Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 144.6 (2016), pp. 2291–2299 (cit. on p. 22).
- [41] L. C. Washington. *[Elliptic curves](https://www.routledge.com/Elliptic-Curves-Number-Theory-and-Cryptography-Second-Edition/Washington/p/book/9781420071467)*. Second edition. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2008 (cit. on p. 29).
- [42] H. Yi and C. Lv. ["On Ring Class Fields of Number Rings".](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04810) arXiv:1810.04810. (2018) (cit. on pp. 26, 27).

Francesco Campagna - Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

E-mail address: campagna@math.ku.dk

Riccardo Pengo - Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

E-mail address: pengo@math.ku.dk