

Flat affine transformations and their transformations

Alberto Medina, O. Saldarriaga, A. Villabon

▶ To cite this version:

Alberto Medina, O. Saldarriaga, A. Villabon. Flat affine transformations and their transformations. 2020. hal-02990537

HAL Id: hal-02990537 https://hal.science/hal-02990537

Preprint submitted on 25 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FLAT AFFINE MANIFOLDS AND THEIR TRANSFORMATIONS

MEDINA A.¹, SALDARRIAGA, O.², AND VILLABON, A.²

ABSTRACT. We give a characterization of flat affine connections on manifolds by means of a natural affine representation of the universal covering of the Lie group of diffeomorphisms preserving the connection. From the infinitesimal point of view, this representation is determined by the 1-connection form and the fundamental form of the bundle of linear frames of the manifold. We show that the group of affine transformations of a real flat affine n-dimensional manifold, acts on \mathbb{R}^n leaving an open orbit when its dimension is greater than n. Moreover, when the dimension of the group of affine transformations is n, this orbit has discrete isotropy. For any given Lie subgroup H of affine transformations of the manifold, we show the existence of an associative envelope of the Lie algebra of H, relative to the connection. The case when M is a Lie group and H acts on G by left translations is particularly interesting. We also exhibit some results about flat affine manifolds whose group of affine transformations admits a flat affine bi-invariant structure. The paper is illustrated with several examples.

Keywords: Flat affine manifolds, Infinitesimal affine transformations, Associative Envelope.

¹ Institute A. Grothendieck, Université Montpellier, UMR 5149 du CNRS, France and Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia

e-mail: alberto.medina@umontpellier.fr

² Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín-Colombia e-mails: omar.saldarriaga@udea.edu.co, andresvillabon2000@gmail.com

1. Introduction

The objects of study of this paper are flat affine paracompact smooth manifolds with no boundary and their affine transformations. A well understanding of the category of Lagrangian manifolds assumes a good knowledge of the category of flat affine manifolds (Theorem 7.8 in [Wei], see also [Fuk]). Recall that flat affine manifolds with holonomy reduced to $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ appear naturally in integrable systems and Mirror Symmetry (see [KoSo]).

For simplicity, in what follows M is a connected real n-dimensional manifold, P = L(M) its bundle of linear frames, θ its fundamental 1-form, Γ a linear connection on P of connection form ω and ∇ the covariant derivative on M associated to Γ . The pair (M, ∇) is called a flat affine manifold if the curvature and torsion tensors of ∇ are both null. In the case where M is a Lie group and the connection is left invariant, we call it a flat affine Lie group. It is well known that a Lie group is flat affine if and only if its Lie algebra is endowed with a left symmetric product compatible with the bracket. For a rather complete overview of flat affine manifolds, the reader can refer to [Gol].

An affine transformation of (M, ∇) is a diffeomorphism f of M whose derivative map $f_*: TM \longrightarrow TM$ sends parallel vector fields into parallel vector fields, and therefore geodesics into geodesics (together with its affine parameter). An infinitesimal affine transformation of

Date: October 16, 2020.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 57S20, 54H15; Secondary: 53C07, 17D25

Partially Supported by CODI, Universidad de Antioquia. Project Number 2015-7654.

 (M, ∇) is a smooth vector field X on M whose local 1-parameter groups ϕ_t are local affine transformations. We will denote by $\mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$ the real vector space of infinitesimal affine transformations of (M, ∇) and for $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on M. An element X of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$ if and only if it satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_X \circ \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \circ \mathcal{L}_X = \nabla_{[X,Y]}, \text{ for all } Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$
 (1)

where \mathcal{L}_X is the Lie derivative. For a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we will denote by L(X) its natural lift on P. If ϕ_t is the flow of X, the flow of L(X) is the natural lift $L(\phi_t)$ of ϕ_t given by $L(\phi_t)(u) = (\phi_t(m), (\phi_t)_{*,m}X_{ij})$, where $u = (m, X_{ij})$. It is also well known that

$$X \in \mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$$
 if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{L(X)}\omega = 0$. (2)

This is also equivalent to saying that L(X) commutes with every standard horizontal vector field $B(\xi)$ (i.e., vector fields satisfying that $\theta(B(\xi)) := \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$). We will call $\mathfrak{a}(P,\omega)$ the set of vector fields Z on P satisfying

$$Z$$
 is invariant by R_a for every $a \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$
 $\mathcal{L}_Z \theta = 0$ (3)
 $\mathcal{L}_Z \omega = 0$,

where R_a is the right action of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ on P. The map $X \mapsto L(X)$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras from $\mathfrak{a}(M,\nabla)$ to $\mathfrak{a}(P,\omega)$. The vector subspace $\mathsf{aff}(M,\nabla)$ of $\mathfrak{a}(M,\nabla)$ whose elements are complete, with the usual bracket of vector fields, is the Lie algebra of the group $\mathsf{Aff}(M,\nabla)$ of affine transformations of (M,∇) (see [KoNo] chapter VI, page 229). The image of $\mathsf{aff}(M,\nabla)$ under the isomorphism $X \mapsto L(X)$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{a}_c(P)$. For the purposes of this work, it is useful to recall that (P,ω) admits an absolute parallelism, that is, its tangent bundle admits $n^2 + n$ sections independent at every point. In fact, $\{B(e_1), \ldots, B(e_n), E_{11}^*, \ldots, E_{nn}^*\}$ is a parallelism of P, where (e_1, \ldots, e_n) and $\{E_{11}, \ldots, E_{nn}\}$ are respectively the natural basis of \mathbb{R}^n and $gl_n(\mathbb{R})$ (see [KoNo] p 122).

Remark 1.1. If $X \in \mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$ has flow ϕ_t , the flow $L(\phi_t)$ of Z = L(X) determines a local isomorphism of (P, ω) . This implies the existence of an open covering $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ trivializing the bundle $(L(M), M, \pi)$ such that the maps $L(\phi_t) : (\pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha}), \omega) \longrightarrow (\pi^{-1}(\phi_t(U_{\alpha})), \omega)$ are isomorphisms. When Z is complete, the maps $L(\phi_t)$ are global automorphisms of (P, ω) .

Notice that, for every t, the map $L(\phi_t)$ preserves the fibration and the horizontal distribution associated to ω .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state a characterization of flat affine manifolds and provide some of its consequences. In the theory of flat affine manifolds appears, in a natural way, a finite dimensional associative algebra (see Lemma 3.1). This will allow to show the existence of an associative envelope of the Lie algebra of the Lie group relative to a subgroup H of the group of affine transformations of the manifold. In Section 3 we specialize our study to the case of flat affine Lie groups and exhibit some examples. Section 4 deals with the study of the following question posed by A. Medina.

Question 1.1. Does the group of affine transformations, $\mathsf{Aff}(M, \nabla)$, of a flat affine manifold (M, ∇) admit a left invariant flat affine or, by default, projective structure determined by ∇ ?

This question was answered positively in some particular cases in the special case of some flat affine Lie groups (see in [M-S-G]). In Section 4. we exhibit more cases where the answer to this question is positive.

2. Characterization of flat affine manifolds

Before stating the main result of the section, let us denote by A^* the fundamental vector field on P = L(M) associated to $A \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and by $B(\xi)$ the basic or standard horizontal vector fields (see [KoNo] page 63). Recall that the fundamental form θ of P is a tensorial 1-form of type $(GL(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbb{R}^n)$, that is, it verifies $(R_a^*\theta)(Z) = a^{-1}(\theta(Z))$, for all $a \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$.

If Γ is a linear connection over M, its connection form ω is a $gl_n(\mathbb{R})$ -valued 1-form satisfying

$$\omega(A^*) = A, \quad \text{for all} \quad A \in \mathsf{gl}_n(\mathbb{R}),$$
 (4)

$$R_a^*\omega = \operatorname{Ad}_{a^{-1}}\omega, \quad \text{for all} \quad a \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}).$$
 (5)

It is well known that if Ω and Θ are respectively the curvature and torsion forms of the connection ω , they satisfy Cartan's structure equations

$$d\omega(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{2} [\omega(X), \omega(Y)] + \Omega(X,Y),$$

$$d\theta(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{2} (\omega(X) \cdot \theta(Y) - \omega(Y) \cdot \theta(X)) + \Theta(X,Y),$$

for all $X, Y \in T_u(P)$, $u \in P$ (see [KoNo] page 75).

Given the presheaf $U \to \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where U is an open set in P, consider the sheaf \mathcal{F} (called simple faisceau by the French school, see [God], see also [Chr]) of base P and fibre the Lie algebra $\mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ generated by the presheaf so that the restriction operations are reduced to the identity.

Lemma 2.1. The simple sheaf \mathcal{F} acts on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$(u, s(u)) \cdot w = (u, (v_u, f_u)) \cdot w := v_u + f_u(w)$$
 (6)

Moreover, if $\eta : Sec(TP) \longrightarrow Sec(P \times \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is a homomorphism of sheaves of Lie algebras, then Sec(TP) acts on \mathbb{R}^n via η .

Proof. Recall the construction of the étale space corresponding to \mathcal{F} . As $\mathcal{F}(u)$ is a direct limit we have $\mathcal{F}(u) = \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $u \in P$.

Moreover the sections over U considered as maps from U to $\mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are locally constant. Hence the topology of $\mathcal{F} = P \times \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the product topology of that of P by the discrete topology of $\mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

As a consequence, Equation (6) can be written locally as

$$s \cdot w = (v, f) \cdot w = v + f(w).$$

This gives an infinitesimal action of \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{R}^n .

The last assertion easily follows.

As a consequence the Lie algebras Sec(TP), $\mathfrak{a}(P)$ and $\mathfrak{a}_c(P)$ also act infinitesimally on \mathbb{R}^n . In these terms we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. Let M be a smooth connected manifold, P = L(M) be the principal bundle of linear frames of the manifold, θ the fundamental form of P and Γ a linear connection on P of connection form ω . The following assertions are equivalent

(i) The linear connection Γ on P is flat affine.

(ii) The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \eta: Sec(TP) & \longrightarrow & Sec(P \times \operatorname{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \\ Z & \mapsto & (\theta(Z), \omega(Z)) \end{array},$$

is a homomorphisms of sheaves of real Lie algebras.

Proof. As $\mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_{id} \mathsf{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the semidirect product of the abelian Lie algebra \mathbb{R}^n and the Lie algebra of commutators of linear endomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n , the map

$$\eta: \begin{array}{ccc} Sec(TP) & \longrightarrow & Sec(P \times \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \\ Z & \mapsto & (\theta(Z), \omega(Z)) \end{array}$$

is well defined and \mathbb{R} -linear.

That η is a homomorphism of sheaves of Lie algebras means that

$$\eta([Z_1, Z_2]) = (\omega(Z_1) \cdot \theta(Z_2) - \omega(Z_2) \cdot \theta(Z_1), [\omega(Z_1), \omega(Z_2)]), \text{ for all } Z_1, Z_2 \in \text{Sec}(TP).$$
 (7)

In other words, ω is a linear representation of the Lie algebra Sec(TP) and θ is a 1-cocycle relative to this representation.

Suppose that Γ is flat and torsion free, then the structure equations for ω reduce to

$$d\theta(Z_1, Z_2) = -\frac{1}{2}(\omega(Z_1) \cdot \theta(Z_2) - \omega(Z_2) \cdot \theta(Z_1)) \tag{8}$$

$$d\omega(Z_1, Z_2) = -\frac{1}{2} [\omega(Z_1), \omega(Z_2)]. \tag{9}$$

It is clear that (7) holds when both vector fields are vertical or if one is vertical and the other is horizontal.

Now let us suppose that both Z_1 and Z_2 are horizontal vector fields. As the distribution determined by ω is integrable, it follows that $[Z_1, Z_2]$ is horizontal and Equation (9) implies that $\omega([Z_1, Z_2]) = [\omega(Z_1), \omega(Z_2)]$. Also notice that $\omega(Z_1) \cdot \theta(Z_2) - \omega(Z_2) \cdot \theta(Z_2) = 0$. Now, we can suppose that Z_1 and Z_2 are basic vector fields, that is, $Z_1 = B(\xi_1)$ and $Z_2 = B(\xi_2)$. Hence from (8) we get that $\theta([Z_1, Z_2]) = 0$. Therefore assertion (ii) follows from (i).

To prove that (ii) implies (i), we will show that Equation (7) implies that the curvature and the torsion vanish, i.e., Equations (8) and (9) hold.

As η is a homomorphism of sheaves of Lie algebras it follows that for $Z_1, Z_2 \in Sec(TP)$

$$\omega([Z_1,Z_2]) = [\omega(Z_1),\omega(Z_2)] \quad \text{ and } \quad \theta([Z_1,Z_2]) = \omega(Z_1) \cdot \theta(Z_2) - \omega(Z_2) \cdot \theta(Z_1)$$

The first equality means that the local horizontal distribution on P determined by ω is completely integrable, hence Ω vanishes.

On the other hand, as the torsion Θ is a tensorial 2-form on P of type $(GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\Theta(Z_1, Z_2) = 0$ if Z_1 or Z_2 is vertical. Now, if $Z_1 = B(\xi_1)$ and $Z_2 = B(\xi_2)$ for some $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain

$$\Theta(Z_1, Z_2) = d\theta(Z_1, Z_2)
= \frac{1}{2} (Z_1(\theta(Z_2)) - Z_2(\theta(Z_1)) - \theta([Z_1, Z_2]))
= \frac{1}{2} (Z_1(\xi_2) - Z_2(\xi_1) - \omega(Z_1) \cdot \theta(Z_2) + \omega(Z_2) \cdot \theta(Z_1))
= 0.$$

Consequently the torsion form Θ vanishes.

Recall that there are topological obstructions to the existence of a flat affine connection. In particular, a closed manifold with finite fundamental group does not admit flat affine structures (see [AuMa], [Mil] and [Smi]).

As P = L(M) has a natural parallelism, the group K of transformations of this parallelism is a Lie group of dimension at most dim $P = n^2 + n$. More precisely, given $\sigma \in K$ and any $u \in P$, the map $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(u)$ is injective and its image $\{\sigma(u) \mid \sigma \in K\}$ is a closed submanifold of P. The submanifold structure of $\{\sigma(u) \mid \sigma \in K\}$ turns K into a Lie transformation group of P (see [Kob]). The group $Aut(P,\omega)$, of diffeomorphisms of P preserving ω (therefore θ), is a closed Lie subgroup of K, so it is a Lie group of transformations of P.

Remark 2.1. The group $Aut(L(\mathbb{R}^n), \omega^0)$ of diffeomorphisms of $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ preserving the usual connection ω^0 on \mathbb{R}^n is isomorphic to the group $Aff(\mathbb{R}^n, \nabla^0)$, called the classic affine group, that is, the group generated by translations and linear automorphisms. Recall also that having a flat affine structure ∇ on M is equivalent to have a smooth atlas whose change of coordinates are elements of $Aut(L(\mathbb{R}^n), \omega^0)$.

The following technical result is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.6, it concerns certain G-structures to which a connection can be attached.

Corollary 2.3. Let Γ be flat affine and η the homomorphism of Theorem 2.2, then we have

- a. The connection Γ is a metric connection, if and only if η takes values in the Lie algebra $e_{(p,q)}(n) = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes o_{(p,q)}$.
- b. The connection Γ preserves a volume form if and only if η takes values in the Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes sl_n(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, if n=2m, Γ preserves a symplectic form σ if and only if η takes values in the Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes sp_m(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Consider the G-structure on M, whenever exists, given respectively by $O_{(p,q)}$ (with p+q=n), $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $Sp_m(\mathbb{R})$. In each respective case, the homomorphism η takes values in the sheaf of Lie algebras $Sec(P \times (\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes o_{(p,q)}))$, $Sec(P \times (\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes sl_n(\mathbb{R})))$ and $Sec(P \times (\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes sp_m(\mathbb{R})))$, respectively.

Remark 2.2. If Γ is flat affine and $X \in \mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$ with flow ϕ_t , then its natural lift $L(\phi_t)$ preserves the foliation defined by ω . Moreover, if L(X) is complete then $L(\phi_t)$ is an automorpism of the bi-foliated manifold L(M).

The groups $Aut(P,\omega)$ and $Aff(M,\nabla)$ are isomorphic. We denote by $Aut(P,\omega)_0$ the unit component of $Aut(P,\omega)$ and by $\widehat{Aut}(P,\omega)_0$ its universal covering Lie group.

Example 2.1. Identify \mathbb{C} with the plane \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{C}^* with the punctured plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ and let $p: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ be the complex exponential map. It is clear that p is a covering map with automorphism group given by $H = \{F_k : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \mid F_k(x,y) = (x,y+2\pi k) \text{ with } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. As H is a subgroup of affine transformations of \mathbb{R}^2 relative to ∇^0 , there exists a unique linear connection ∇ on $M := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ so that p is an affine map. Consequently the $\mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -principal fiber bundle of affine frames A(M) has a connection Γ' , of curvature zero, determined by ∇ . The geodesic curves in M determined by ∇ are logarithmic spirals. The elements of the group $\mathsf{Aff}(M,\nabla)$, of affine transformations of M relative to ∇ , are diffeomorphisms of M locally given by $F(r,\theta) = (ar^b, c \ln(r) + \theta + d)$ where $a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R}$ with a > 0 and (r,θ) are polar coordinates of M.

Let u'_0 be in A(M) and $H(u'_0)$ be the affine holonomy bundle through u'_0 . Let us denote by h affine holonomy representation of (M, ∇) . It is well known that $H(u'_0)$ is a covering manifold

of M with structure group $h(\pi_1(M))$ and that A(M) is the disjoint union of holonomy bundles. Let $p': H(u'_0) \longrightarrow M$ be the covering map and \widetilde{F} the natural affine lift of $F \in \mathsf{Aff}(M, \nabla)$ to A(M), i.e., $\widetilde{F}((q, X_1, X_2)_{(x,y)}) = ((F_{*,(x,y)}(q), F_{*,(x,y)}(X_1), F_{*,(x,y)}(X_2))_{F(x,y)})$, with $F_{*,(x,y)}(q)$ the end point of the vector $F_{*,(x,y)}(\overline{q})$ and \overline{q} the vector on $T_{(x,y)}$ from the origin to q. It can be verified that \widetilde{F} sends the affine holonomy bundle $H(u'_0)$ through u'_0 to the holonomy bundle $H(\widetilde{F}(u'_0))$ through $\widetilde{F}(u'_0)$.

Recall that ω is flat affine if and only if its affine holonomy group is discrete (see [KoNo] p 210). Nevertheless, there are flat affine manifolds whose linear holonomy group is not discrete.

The Lie algebra homomorphism η of Theorem 2.2 could be integrated, in some particular cases, into a Lie group homomorphism. For instance, applying Lie's third Theorem (see [Ch] for details on the proof of this theorem) and Cartan's Theorem to the Lie algebra homomorphism $\eta': \mathfrak{a}_c(P) \longrightarrow \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, obtained from η restricted to $\mathfrak{a}_c(P)$, we have.

Corollary 2.4. Let (M, ∇) be a flat affine connected manifold of connection form ω . Then there exists a Lie group homomorphism $\rho : \widehat{Aut}(P, \omega)_0 \longrightarrow Aut(L(\mathbb{R}^n), \omega^0)$ determined by (θ, ω) .

Lemma 2.5. Let M be a smooth connected manifold and Γ a flat affine connection on P = L(M) with $\dim(\mathfrak{a}_c(P)) \ge \dim(M)$. Then there exists $u \in P$ so that the map $\phi_u : \mathfrak{a}_c(P) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\phi_u(Z) = \eta(0)(Z) = \theta_u(Z_u)$ is onto.

Proof. Let $\beta = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_m)$ be a linear basis of $\mathfrak{a}_c(P)$. We claim that there are linear frames u where the set $(\eta_u(0)(Z_1) = \theta_u(Z_{1,u}), \ldots, (\eta_u(0)(Z_m) = \theta_u(Z_{m,u}))$ spans \mathbb{R}^n . Otherwise, for every u and every subset $(X_1 = Z_{i_1}, \ldots, X_n = Z_{i_n})$ of β with $n = \dim(M)$ and $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_n \le m$, the set $\{\theta_u(X_{1,u}), \ldots, \theta_u(X_{n,u})\}$ is linearly dependent. Thus, there exists real constants $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ so that $0 = \sum \alpha_i \theta_u(X_{i,u})$. It follows that

$$0 = \sum \alpha_i \theta_u(X_{i,u}) = \theta_u \left(\sum \alpha_i X_{i,u} \right) = u^{-1}(\pi_{*,u}(X_u))$$

where u is seen as a linear transformation from \mathbb{R}^n to $T_{\pi(u)}M$ and $X = \sum \alpha_i X_i$. It follows that $\pi_{*,u}(X_u) = 0$ for every u, that is, $X \equiv 0$. But this contradicts the fact that the set $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is linearly independent. As the map ϕ_u is linear, we conclude that it is onto.

The following example exhibits a flat affine manifold M whose bundle P = L(M) has frames u where the map ϕ_u of the previous lemma is not onto.

Example 2.2. Consider the manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0),(0,1)\}$ with the connection ∇ induced by ∇^0 . A simple calculation shows that the real space $\operatorname{aff}(M,\nabla)$ is two dimensional with linear basis given by $\left(X_1 = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, X_2 = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$. One can also verify that, for a linear frame u over a point $(x,y) \in M$, one has that $\theta_u(\widetilde{X}_1) = u^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\theta_u(\widetilde{X}_2) = u^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix}$, where \widetilde{X}_1 and \widetilde{X}_2 are the natural lifts of X_1 and X_2 , respectively. Notice that the set $\{\theta_u(\widetilde{X}_1), \theta_u(\widetilde{X}_2)\}$ is linearly independent if and only if $x \neq 0$.

Remark 2.3. If M = G is a Lie group endowed with a flat affine left invariant connection ∇^+ , then the map ϕ_u of the previous lemma is onto for every $u \in P$. This follows from the fact that right invariant vector fields are complete infinitesimal affine transformations relative to ∇^+ forming a global parallelism of G.

Theorem 2.6. Let M be a smooth connected n-dimensional manifold and Γ a flat affine connection on P = L(M) of connection form ω . If $\dim(\operatorname{Aut}(P,\omega)) \geq n$, there exists a homomorphism $\rho: \widehat{\operatorname{Aut}}(P,\omega)_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R},\omega^0)$ having a point of open orbit. Moreover, if $\dim(\operatorname{Aut}(P,\omega)) = n$, the point also has discrete isotropy, that is, ρ is an étale affine representation of $\widehat{\operatorname{Aut}}(P,\omega)_0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Let $u \in P$ be a linear frame, ϕ_u as in the previous lemma, $\eta' : \mathfrak{a}_c(P) \longrightarrow \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the map defined by $\eta'(Z) = (\theta_u(Z), \omega_u(Z))$ and $\rho : \widehat{Aut}(P, \omega) \longrightarrow Aut(L(\mathbb{R}^n), \omega^0)$ the homomorphism satisfying that $\rho_{*,Id} = \eta'$. If $\pi : \widehat{Aut}(P,\omega) \longrightarrow Orb_0$ is the orbital map, since $\pi_{*,Id}(Z) = \eta(0)(Z)$, it follows that $\pi_{*,Id} = \phi_u$. As ϕ_u is onto, we get that 0 is a point of open orbit. The second assertion in immediate.

The following result is a direct consequence of the preceding theorem.

Corollary 2.7. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6, manifolds M satisfying the condition $dim(\mathsf{Aff}(M,\nabla)) = \dim(M)$ give a positive answer to Question 1.1. That is, the group $\mathsf{Aff}(M,\nabla)$ is endowed with a flat affine left invariant connection determined by ∇ .

Recall that there are flat affine manifolds (M, ∇) with dimension equal to $\dim(\mathsf{Aff}(M, \nabla))$. For instance, flat affine tori other than the Hopf torus listed in [NaYa] (see also [Ben]) and one of the manifolds of Example 2.3 below.

Notice that the proof of Lemma 2.5, implies that if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}_c(P)) < n$, the map ϕ_u is injective, so we have

Corollary 2.8. Under the conditions of Theorem 2.6, if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}_c(P)) < n$, there exists an injective homomorphism $\rho : \widehat{Aut}(P,\omega)_0 \longrightarrow Aut(L(\mathbb{R}^n,\omega^0))$, that is, a faithful representation of $\widehat{Aut}(P,\omega)_0$ by classical affine transformations of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Let η' be as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 and suppose that $\eta'(Z) = \eta'(Y)$, for some $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{a}_c(P)$, hence $\theta_u(Z_u) = \theta_u(Y_u)$. As θ_u is inyective for some $u \in P$, we have that $Y_u = Z_u$. Since Y and Z are natural lifts of infinitesimal affine transformations, it follows that Y = Z. \square

Remark 2.4. There are flat affine manifolds (M, ∇) of dimension greater than $\dim(\mathsf{Aff}(M, \nabla))$. This condition is satisfied by some of the flat affine Klein bottles listed in [FuAr]. More precisely, flat affine Klein bottles that are not double covered by a Hopf torus.

Example 2.3. Let M_1 , M_2 and M_3 be the plane without one, two and three non colineal points, respectively, and let ∇_i , i = 1, 2, 3, be the connection ∇^0 restricted to M_i . Let us suppose that the points removed are $p_1 = (0,0)$, $p_2 = (0,1)$ and $p_3 = (1,0)$. An easy calculation shows that the corresponding groups of affine transformations of M_i relative to ∇_i are given by

$$\mathsf{Aff}(M_1,\nabla_1) = \{F: M_1 \longrightarrow M_1 \mid F(x,y) = (ax+by,cx+dy) \quad such \ that \quad ad-bc \neq 0\}.$$

Aff $(M_2, \nabla_2) = \{ F \in \mathsf{Diff}(M_2) \mid F(x,y) = (ax,bx+y) \text{ or } F(x,y) = (ax,bx-y+1), \ a \neq 0 \}$ notice that this is a 2-dimensional non-commutative group with four connected components.

The group $\mathsf{Aff}(M_3, \nabla_3)$ is discrete, its elements are the set of affine transformations of (\mathbb{R}^2, ∇^0) permuting the points p_1 , p_2 and p_3 .

The group of diffeomorphisms $Aut(P, \omega_1)$ of $P = L(M_1)$ preserving the connection form ω_1 is given by

$$\left\{ F \in \mathsf{Diff}(\mathsf{P}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} F\left(x,y,X_{11},X_{12},X_{21},X_{22}\right) = \\ \left(ax+by,cx+dy,aX_{11}+bX_{21},aX_{12}+bX_{22},cX_{11}+dX_{21},cX_{12}+dX_{22}\right) \end{array} \right\}$$

where $(x, y, X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22})$ are local coordinates of $L(M_1)$.

The connected component of the unit of the group $Aut(L(M_2), \omega_2)$, of automorphisms of $P = L(M_2)$ preserving the connection form ω_2 associated to ∇_2 , is formed by diffeomorphisms $F_{a,b}$, with a > 0, given by

$$F_{a,b}(x, y, X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22}) = (ax, bx + y, aX_{11}, aX_{12}, bX_{11} + X_{21}, bX_{12} + X_{22}),$$

where $(x, y, X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22})$ are local coordinates of $L(M_2)$.

The homomorphism of Corollary 2.4 corresponding to the manifold M_1 is given by

$$\rho_1: \quad \mathsf{Aff}(M_1, \nabla_1) \quad \to \quad \mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

$$F = F_{(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4)} \quad \mapsto \quad \rho_1(F)$$

where the linear part of $\rho_1(F)$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{X_{11}X_{22}Y_1 - X_{12}X_{21}Y_4 - X_{11}X_{12}Y_3 + X_{21}X_{22}Y_2}{X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21}} & \frac{X_{22}^2Y_2 - X_{12}^2Y_3 + (Y_1 - Y_4)X_{12}X_{22}}{X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21}} \\ \frac{X_{11}^2Y_3 - X_{21}^2Y_2 + (Y_4 - Y_1)X_{11}X_{21}}{X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21}} & \frac{X_{11}X_{22}Y_4 - X_{12}X_{21}Y_1 + X_{11}X_{12}Y_3 - X_{21}X_{22}Y_2}{X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the cocycle part of $\rho_1(F)$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{u_2X_{12} - u_1X_{22} + u_1X_{22}Y_1 - u_2X_{12}Y_4 - u_1X_{12}Y_3 + u_2X_{22}Y_2}{X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21}} \\ \\ u_1X_{21} - u_2X_{11} - u_1X_{21}Y_1 + u_2X_{11}Y_4 + u_1X_{11}Y_3 - u_2Xx_{21}Y_2} \\ \\ X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21} \end{bmatrix}$$

with (u_1, u_2) are local coordinates on M_1 , $(X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22})$ are local coordinates for $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $F = F_{(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4)}$ defined by $F(u_1, u_2) = (Y_1u_1 + Y_3u_2, Y_2u_1 + Y_4u_2)$ with $Y_1Y_4 - Y_2Y_3 \neq 0$. Moreover, Theorem 2.6 guarantees that the action of $Aff(M_1, \nabla_1)$ on \mathbb{R}^2 determined by ρ_1 leaves and open orbit. In fact, the open orbit is the whole plane.

Now, a direct calculation shows that the homomorphism of Corollary 2.4 corresponding to the manifold M_2 is given by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \rho: & G(\mathfrak{a}_c(P)) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2) \\ & F_{a,b} & \mapsto & \rho(F_{a,b}) \end{array}$$

where $G(\mathfrak{a}_c(P))$ is the connected and simply connected Lie group of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_c(P)$, $F_{a,b}$ the affine map of (M_2, ∇_2) defined by $F_{a,b}(x,y) = (ax, bx + y)$ with a > 0 and $\rho(F_{a,b})$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{D} \begin{bmatrix} aX_{11}X_{22} - bX_{11}X_{12} - X_{12}X_{21} & (a-1)X_{12}X_{22} - bX_{12}^{2} & (a-1)xX_{22} - bxX_{12} \\ (1-a)X_{11}X_{21} + bX_{11}^{2} & -aX_{12}X_{21} + bX_{11}X_{12} + X_{11}X_{22} & (1-a)xX_{21} + bxX_{11} \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$$

where $(X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22})$ is a system of local coordinates of $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $D = X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21}$ and (x, y) local coordinates of M_2 . According to Theorem 2.6, the representation ρ is étale whenever $x \neq 0$, hence, $Aff(M_2, \nabla_2)$ admits a flat affine (left invariant) connection.

If M = G is a Lie group, we will identify its Lie algebra Lie $(G) = \mathfrak{g}$ with the real vector space of left invariant vector fields on G and also with the tangent space at the unit $\varepsilon \in G$. For any $x \in T_{\varepsilon}G$, we denote by x^+ (respectively by x^-) the left (right) invariant vector field determined by x. The group G acts on itself on the left (respectively right), and we will denote by L_{σ} (respectively R_{σ}) the left (respectively right) action of $\sigma \in G$. These actions naturally lift to actions of G on P = L(G) given by $\psi_{1,\sigma}(u) = \sigma \cdot u = (\sigma\tau, (L_{\sigma})_{*,\tau}(X_{ij}))$ (respectively $\psi_{2,\sigma}(u) = u \cdot \sigma = (\tau\sigma, (R_{\sigma})_{*,\tau}(X_{ij}))$ where $u = (\tau, (X_{ij}))$. A linear connection Γ on G is said left invariant (respectively right invariant) if the action ψ_1 (respectively ψ_2) preserves the horizontal

distribution. The connection is bi-invariant if the horizontal distribution is preserved by ψ_1 and ψ_2 .

The existence of a left invariant flat affine connection on a Lie group G, is equivalent to the existence of an étale affine representation of G, i.e, there exists a homomorphism of Lie groups $\tau: G \longrightarrow \mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ having at least an open orbit with discrete isotropy.

In these terms we get the following consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.4. In the next result the Lie group G can be supposed simply connected

Theorem 2.9. Let G be a connected n-dimensional Lie group, of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , endowed with a left invariant connection ∇^+ of connection form ω^+ . The following assertions are equivalent

- 1 The connection ω^+ is flat affine.
- 2 There exists a unique Lie group homomorphism $\rho : \widehat{Aut}(L(G), \omega^+)_0 \longrightarrow Aut(L(\mathbb{R}^n), \omega^0)$ with derivative $\rho_{*,Id} = (\theta, \omega^+)$ leaving an open orbit.
- 3 There exists an affine étale representation $\rho': G^{op} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \nabla^0)$ determined by $(\theta, -\omega^+)$.

Proof. That 1. implies 2. follows from Corollary 2.4, Theorem 2.6 and Remark 2.3.

Now, suppose that there is a Lie group homomorphism $\rho: Aut(L(G), \omega^+)_0 \longrightarrow Aut(L(\mathbb{R}^n), \omega^0)$ with a point of open orbit so that $\rho_{*,Id} = (\theta, \omega^+)$. That is, $\rho_{*,Id} = \eta_{/\mathfrak{a}_c(P)}$ where η is the homomorphism of Theorem 2.2. As right invariant vector fields on G are infinitesimal affine transformations, we have that \mathfrak{g}^{op} , the opposite Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} , can be considered as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{a}_c(L(G))$. Therefore the restriction $\eta' = \eta/\mathfrak{g}^{op} : \mathfrak{g}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is also a Lie algebra homomorphism. Using Cartan's and Lie's third Theorems we get a Lie group homomorphism $\rho': G^{op} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\pi': G^{op} \longrightarrow Orb(0)$ be the orbital map. It is easy to verify that $\pi'_{*,\epsilon}(Z) = \theta_u(Z)$, hence by mimicking the proof of Lemma 2.5, we get that $\pi'_{*,\epsilon}(Z)$ is a linear isomorphism, therefore ρ' is étale. Hence 2. implies 3. is true.

Finally suppose 3., i.e., there exists an affine étale representation $\rho': G^{op} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \nabla^0)$ with $\rho'_{*,\epsilon} = (\theta, -\omega^+)$. Then it is easy to verify that the map $\lambda: \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \nabla^0)$ defined by $\lambda = (\theta, \omega^+)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{g} . By Lie's third theorem, the map $\rho: G \longrightarrow \mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \nabla^0)$ with $\rho_{*,\epsilon} = \lambda$ is an affine étale representation of G. Therefore ω^+ is a flat affine left invariant connection on G.

3. The associative envelope of the Lie algebra of a Lie group of flat affine transformations

Given a flat affine manifold (M, ∇) and a Lie subgroup H of the group $\mathsf{Aff}(M, \nabla)$, we show the existence of a simply connected Lie group endowed with a flat affine bi-invariant connection whose Lie algebra contains Lie(H) as a Lie subalgebra (see Theorem 3.3).

For this purpose we recall some known facts and introduce some notation. Let M be an n dimensional manifold with a linear connection Γ and corresponding covariant derivative ∇ , consider the product

$$XY := \nabla_X Y, \quad \text{for} \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$
 (10)

If the curvature tensor relative to ∇ is identically zero, this product verifies the condition

$$[X,Y]Z = X(YZ) - Y(XZ)$$
, for all $X,Y,Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

If both torsion and curvature vanish identically we have

$$(XY)Z - X(YZ) = (YX)Z - Y(XZ), \quad \text{for all} \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \tag{11}$$

A vector space endowed with a bilinear product satisfying Equation (11) is called a left symmetric algebra (see [Vin], see also [Kos]). These algebras are also called Kozsul-Vinberg algebras or simply KV-algebras. The product given by $[X,Y]_1 := XY - YX$ defines a Lie bracket on the space. Moreover, if ∇ is torsion free, this Lie bracket agrees with the usual Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, that is

$$[X,Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X \tag{12}$$

Recall that product (10) satisfies (fX)Y = f(XY) and X(gY) = X(g)Y + g(XY), for all $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$, i.e., the product defined above is \mathbb{R} -bilinear and $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ -linear in the first component.

In what follows, given an associative or a left symmetric algebra (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) , we will denote by \mathcal{A}_{-} the Lie algebra of commutators of \mathcal{A} , i.e., the Lie algebra with bracket given by

$$[a, b] = a \cdot b - b \cdot a.$$

To our knowledge, the next result seems to appear for the first time in J. Vey's thesis (see [Vey], see also [Yag]).

Lemma 3.1. Let (M, ∇) be an n-dimensional flat affine manifold. Then Product (10) turns the vector space $\mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$ into an associative algebra, of dimension at most $n^2 + n$, whose commutator is the Lie bracket of vector fields on M. In particular, if (G, ∇^+) is a flat affine Lie group of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the space $\mathfrak{a}(G, \nabla^+)_-$ contains \mathfrak{g}^{op} as a Lie subalgebra, where \mathfrak{g}^{op} is the opposite Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. Since ∇ is flat affine, it follows from (1) that a smooth vector field X is an infinitesimal affine transformation if and only if

$$\nabla_{\nabla_Y Z} X = \nabla_Y \nabla_Z X,\tag{13}$$

for all $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. This equality implies that $\nabla_X Y \in \mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$, whenever $X, Y \in \mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$ and also gives that the product $XY = \nabla_X Y$ is associative. On the other hand, it follows from Equation (12) that the commutator of this product agrees with the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$.

In particular if M = G is a Lie group of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and ∇^+ is left invariant and flat affine, the real vector space \mathfrak{g} of right invariant vector fields on G is a subspace of $\mathsf{aff}(G, \nabla^+)$. Hence from (12) we get that \mathfrak{g}^{op} is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{a}(G, \nabla^+)_-$.

The following well known result will be used in what follows (see for instance [Med] or [BoMe]).

Proposition 3.2. Let G be a Lie group of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . The group G admits a flat affine bi-invariant structure if and only if \mathfrak{g} is the underlying Lie algebra of an associative algebra so that [a,b] = ab - ba, for all $a,b \in \mathfrak{g}$.

We have the following consequence of Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. Given a flat affine manifold (M, ∇) and a Lie subgroup H of $Aff(M, \nabla)$, there exists a connected finite dimensional Lie group G endowed with a flat affine bi-invariant structure containing a connected Lie subgroup locally isomorphic to H.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, the real vector space $\mathfrak{a}(M,\nabla)$ is an associative algebra under the product determined by ∇ . Let E be the subalgebra of the associative algebra $\mathfrak{a}(M,\nabla)$ generated by the vector subspace $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = E \oplus \mathbb{R}1$ the associative algebra obtained from E

by adjoining a unit element 1. Consider $U(\widehat{A})$ the group of units of \widehat{A} , this is open and dense in \widehat{A} . Let

 $\overline{G} := \left\{ u \in U(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}) \mid u = 1 + a, \text{ with } a \in E \right\}$

and $G = \overline{G}_0$ the connected component of the unit of \overline{G} . Then the Lie group G verifies the conditions of the theorem (for more details see [BoMe]).

It is clear that \mathfrak{h} is a Lie subalgebra of E_{-} . Consequently there exists a connected Lie subgroup H' of G of Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} , hence the groups H and H' are locally isomorphic. \square

Remark 3.1. In general $\mathfrak{aff}(M,\nabla)$ is not a subalgebra of the associative algebra $\mathfrak{a}(M,\nabla)$. This fact is contrary to what some authors state. This can be observed in Examples 3.2 and 3.4.

The previous remark and Theorem 3.3 motivates the following.

Definition 3.1. If (M, ∇) is a flat affine manifold and H is a Lie subgroup of $\mathsf{Aff}(M, \nabla)$, we set

- (a) The smallest subalgebra of the associative algebra $\mathfrak{a}(M,\nabla)$ containing the vector space $\mathfrak{h} = Lie(H)$, denoted by $env_{\nabla}(\mathfrak{h})$, will be called the associative envelope of \mathfrak{h} relative to ∇ .
- (b) Any Lie group of Lie algebra $env_{\nabla}(\mathfrak{h})$ will be said an enveloping Lie group of H.

That any enveloping Lie group is endowed with a flat affine bi-invariant connection determined by ∇ follows from Proposition 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. Given a flat affine Lie group (G, ∇^+) of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , there exists a finite dimensional associative algebra \mathcal{A} containing \mathfrak{g} so that \mathfrak{g} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_- .

Proof. As \mathfrak{g}^{op} is a subalgebra of the associative algebra $\mathfrak{a}(G, \nabla^+)$, there exists a Lie subgroup H of $\mathsf{Aff}(G, \nabla^+)$ of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^{op} . By taking $\mathcal{A} = \mathsf{env}_{\nabla}(\mathfrak{h})^{op}$, the opposite algebra of $\mathsf{env}_{\nabla^+}(\mathfrak{h})$, we get an an associative algebra satisfying the statement.

Definition 3.2. The algebra of the previous corollary, denoted by $env_{\nabla^+}(\mathfrak{g})$, will be called the associative envelope algebra of the left symmetric algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Remark 3.2. Although the elements of the associative envelope $env_{\nabla^+}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the left symmetric algebra $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ are differential operators of order less than or equal to 1 on G, the associative envelope is not a subalgebra of the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Example 3.1. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ and ∇ be the connection on M induced by the connection ∇^0 . That $G = \mathsf{Aff}(M,\nabla)_0$ is an enveloping Lie group of itself results from the following observations. It can be verified that a linear basis for the real space $\mathsf{aff}(M,\nabla)$ is given by $\left(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, x\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$. Also, this space is a subalgebra of the associative algebra $\mathfrak{a}(M,\nabla)$.

As a consequence $G = \mathsf{Aff}(M, \nabla)$ is endowed with a flat affine bi-invariant connection determined by ∇ .

Example 3.2. Let ∇^+ be the flat affine left invariant connection on $G = \mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$\nabla_{e_1^+}^+ e_1^+ = 2e_1^+, \qquad \nabla_{e_1^+}^+ e_2^+ = e_2^+, \qquad \nabla_{e_2^+}^+ e_1^+ = 0, \quad and \quad \nabla_{e_2^+}^+ e_2^+ = e_1^+. \tag{14}$$

A direct calculation shows that a linear basis of $\mathfrak{a}(G, \nabla^+)$ is given by the following vector fields

$$e_1^- = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_2^- = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad C_3 = \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad C_4 = \frac{y}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad C_5 = \left(x + \frac{y^2}{x}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

and
$$C_6 = \left(-xy - \frac{y^3}{x}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where e_1^- and e_2^- denote the right invariant vector fields. As the connection is left invariant, the vector fields e_1^- and e_2^- are complete. Moreover, it can be checked that no real linear combination of the fields C_3 , C_4 , C_5 and C_6 is complete. Consequently (e_1^-, e_2^-) is a linear basis of $\operatorname{aff}(G, \nabla^+) = \mathfrak{g}^{op}$.

On the other hand, the multiplication table of the product defined by ∇^+ , i.e., the product $XY = \nabla_X^+ Y$, on the basis of $\mathfrak{a}(G, \nabla^+)$ displayed above is given by

It follows from Table 15 that the real associative subalgebra of $\mathfrak{a}(G, \nabla^+)$ generated by $\{e_1^-, e_2^-\}$ has linear basis $\beta = (e_1^-, e_2^-, C_3, C_4, C_5)$. That is, $env_{\nabla^+}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the real 5-dimensional associative algebra with linear basis β and the opposite product of Table (15).

The animation below shows the lines of flow of each of the vector fields $e_1^-, e_2^-, C_3, C_4, C_5$ and C_6 with the initial condition (1.5, -1). The fact that the flows of the vector fields C_3, C_4, C_5 and C_6 cross the boundary of the orbit of (0,0) determined by the affine étale representation relative to ∇ , correspond to the fact that the vector fields are not complete in G_0 . (To play the animation click on the image on the pdf version, only accessible from the online version).

Remark 3.3. The reader can verify that the simply connected Lie group of Lie algebra $env_{\nabla^+}(\mathfrak{g})_-$ of the previous example is isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{E} := (\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes_{\theta_1} \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\theta_2} G$, where $G = \mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R})_0$ is the connected component of the unit of $\mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$ and the actions θ_1 and θ_2 are respectively given by

$$\theta_1(t)(x,y) = (e^{2t}x, e^{2t}y)$$
 and $\theta_2(x,y)(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (x^2z_1 - xyz_2 + y^2z_3, xz_2 - 2yz_3, z_3)$

The Lie group \mathbb{E} is also isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathcal{H}_3 \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{R}^2$ of the additive group \mathbb{R}^2 acting on the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group where ρ is given by

$$\rho(a,b)(x,y,z) = \left(e^a x, e^{2a+2b} y, e^a (e^{2b} - 1)x + e^{a+2b} z\right). \tag{16}$$

At this point we have some questions for which we do not have an answer. **Questions.**

- 1. To determine a transformation group E of diffeomorphisms of P = L(M) so that $Lie(E) = env_{\nabla}(aff(M, \nabla))$ in the case when $\dim(env_{\nabla}(aff(M, \nabla))) < n^2 + n$.
- 2. Is it possible to realize an enveloping Lie group of a flat affine Lie group (G, ∇^+) as a group of transformations of L(G) (eventually as a subgroup of the Lie group K)?

If $\mathfrak{a}_c(P) = \mathfrak{a}(P,\omega)$ the answer to the first question is positive. When $\dim(Env(\mathfrak{a}_c(P))) < n^2 + n$, the method described on Theorem 3.3 could give an answer to these questions.

The following example describes the generic case of flat affine connections on $Aff(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 3.3. Consider the family of left symmetric products on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathsf{aff}(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \cdot & e_1 & e_2 \\ \hline e_1 & \alpha e_1 & e_2 \\ \hline e_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$ and denote by ∇_{α}^+ the corresponding left invariant flat affine connection on $\mathsf{Aff}(\mathbb{R})_0 =: G$. A calculation shows that $\mathsf{aff}(G, \nabla_{\alpha}^+)$ is generated by the vector fields

$$C_1 = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad C_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad C_3 = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad and \qquad C_4 = \frac{1}{\alpha} (x^{\alpha} - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

The product defined as in Equation 10 gives

	C_1	C_2	C_3	C_4
C_1	$\alpha C_1 - (\alpha - 1)C_3$	0	C_3	$\alpha C_4 + C_2$
C_2	C_2	0	C_2	0
C_3	C_3	0	C_3	0
C_4	C_4	0	C_4	0

Hence $env_{\nabla_{\alpha}^{+}}(\mathsf{aff}(G, \nabla_{\alpha}^{+})) = \mathsf{aff}(G, \nabla_{\alpha}^{+})$. Now, for $\alpha \neq 1$, the associative envelope of the left symmetric algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) is 3-dimensional with basis (C_1, C_2, C_3) . Whereas, for $\alpha = 1$, the associative envelope of (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) is two dimensional with linear basis (C_1, C_2) .

Furthermore, using Theorem 3.3, an enveloping Lie group of $\mathsf{Aff}(G, \nabla_{\alpha}^+)$ is given by

$$G' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \beta_1 & \beta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \beta_3 & 0 \\ \beta_1/\alpha & \beta_2/\alpha + \beta_4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \beta_1 \neq -1 \text{ and } \beta_3 \neq -1 \right\}.$$

We finish the section with a more general example.

Example 3.4. Let $G = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ endowed with the flat affine bi-invariant connection D determined by composition of linear endomorphisms. Given the local coordinates $[x_{ij}]$ with $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, it is easy to check that linear bases of left and right invariant vector fields are given by

$$E_{rs}^{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ir} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{is}}$$
 and $E_{rs}^{-} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{si} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ri}}$,

with r, s = 1, ..., n. The group Aff(G, D) is of dimension $2n^2 - 1$ ([BoMe]) and the Lie bracket of its Lie algebra is the bracket of vector fields on G. Using the product determined by D on left and right invariant vector fields one gets

$$D_{E_{pq}^+}E_{rs}^- = x_{sp}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{rq}}, \quad for \ all \quad p, q, r, s = 1, \dots, n.$$

It follows that an enveloping Lie group of Aff(G, D) is locally isomorphic to $GL_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$.

The following remark describes an algorithm to compute the associative envelope of a finite dimensional left symmetric algebra.

Remark 3.4. Given a finite dimensional real or complex left symmetric algebra A, do as follows.

Find, applying Lie's third theorem, the connected and simply connected Lie group G(A) of Lie algebra A_{-} of commutators of A. This group is endowed with a flat affine left invariant connection ∇^{+} determined by the product on A.

Compute the associative subalgebra \mathcal{B} of $\mathfrak{a}(G(A), \nabla^+)$ generated by the subspace of right invariant vector fields.

The associative envelope $env_{\nabla^+}(A)$ of A is \mathcal{B}^{op} .

To finish the section, let us pose the following interesting problem.

Open Problem. To find an algebraic method to determine the associative envelope, in the sense of the Definition 3.2, of a real or complex finite dimensional left symmetric algebra.

4. Affine Transformation Groups endowed with a flat Affine bi-invariant structure

To finish, let us present some general cases of flat affine manifolds giving a positive answer to Question 1.1.

Proposition 4.1. If (M, ∇) is a complete flat affine manifold, then $\mathsf{Aff}(M, \nabla)$ has a flat affine bi-invariant connection determined by ∇ .

Proof. It follows from the fact that the completeness of ∇ implies that $\mathfrak{a}(M,\nabla) = \mathsf{aff}(M,\nabla)$ (see [KoNo] page 234).

Corollary 4.2. Let (M, ∇) be a connected and simply connected flat affine manifold. If $\dim \operatorname{Aff}_x(M, \nabla) \geq n^2$ for some $x \in M$, then the group $\operatorname{Aff}(M, \nabla)$ is endowed with a flat affine bi-invariant structure determined by ∇ .

Proof. The result follows by recalling that the only obstruction to the completeness of ∇ is the existence of a point $x \in M$ so that dim $\mathsf{Aff}_x(M) < n^2$ (see [BoMe] and [Tse]).

Corollary 4.3. Let (G, ∇^+) be a flat afffine Lie group, then the group $\mathsf{Aff}(G, \nabla^+)$ admits a flat affine bi-invariant connection if any of the following conditions hold

- (1) (G, ω^+) is a unimodular symplectic Lie group and ∇^+ is the flat affine connection naturally determined by ω^+ .
- (2) G is unimodular and ∇^+ is the Levi-Civita connection of a flat pseudo-Riemannian metric.

Proof. Cases (1) and (2) imply that the connection is complete (see [LiMe] and [AuMe], respectively). \Box

The following result is due to [Vey], we present a different proof.

Proposition 4.4. Let (M, ∇) be a compact flat affine manifold, then the Lie group $\mathsf{Aff}(M, \nabla)$ is endowed with a flat affine bi-invariant connection determined by ∇ .

Proof. As M is a compact manifold every vector field on M is complete, in particular every infinitesimal affine transformation of (M, ∇) is complete, hence $\mathsf{aff}(M, \nabla) = \mathfrak{a}(M, \nabla)$. The conclusion follows from Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 4.5. Let (G, ∇^+) be a flat affine Lie group, D a discrete cocompact subgroup of G and $\overline{\nabla}$ the connection naturally induced by ∇^+ on $M = {}_{D \setminus} G$. Then the group $\mathsf{Aff}(M, \overline{\nabla})$ has a flat affine bi-invariant structure determined by ∇ .

Notice that the converse of Proposition 4.1 is not true. A counterexample is given by the Hopf torus that admits a flat affine connection determined by the usual connection on the punctured plane (see [NaYa] p 200, see also [Ben]), and therefore non-complete, but its group of affine transformations is locally isomorphic to $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see [NaYa]).

Corollary 4.6. The group of isometries $\mathfrak{I}(M,g)$ of a compact flat Riemannian manifold (M,g), admits a flat affine bi-invariant structure determined by the Levi-Civita connection.

Proof. The hypothesis imply that $\mathfrak{I}(M,g)_0 = \mathsf{Aff}(M,\nabla)_0$ (see [Yan] and [KoNo] page 244).

Corollary 4.7. If M is compact and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of a flat Lorentzian metric, the group of $\mathsf{Aff}(M,\nabla)$ admits a flat affine bi-invariant connection.

Proof. Under these assumptions, the flat manifold is geodesically complete (see [Car]). \Box

Acknowledgment. We are very grateful to Martin Bordemann and to the referee for their many comments and suggestions that allowed to improve the redaction of this work. We also thank to the referee for communicating non published Jack Vey's results.

References

[AuMa] Auslander, L; Markus, L. Holonomy of Flat Affinely Connected Manifolds. Annals of Math. Vol. 62, No. 1 (1955) pp. 139-151

[AuMe] Aubert, A.; Medina A. Groupes de Lie Pseudo-Riemanniens Plats. Tohoku Math Journal. (2) Vol 55 (2003), no 4, 487–506

[Ben] Benoist, Y. Tores affines. Contemporary Math; 262; Crystallographic Groups and Their Generalizations Workshop; (2000) 1-37

[BoMe] Bordemann, M; Medina, A. Le groupe des transformations affines d'un groupe de Lie à structure affine bi-invariante. Research and Exposition in Mathematics, (2002), no 25, 149-179

[Car] Carrière, Y. Autour de la conjecture de L. Markus sur les variétés affines. Inventiones mathematicae. Vol 95, No 3, (1989) pp 615–628

[Chr] Chern, S. Circle bundles. Geometry and Topology. Springer Verlag 1976. pp 114–131.

[Ch] Chevalley, C. Theory of Lie groups. Princeton University Press. (1946)

[Fuk] Fukaya, K., Oh, Y-G., Ohta, H., Ono, K. Lagrangian Intersection floer Theory: Anomaly and obstruction. Studies in Advanced Mathematics, AMS IP, Vol 46.1. (2009)

[FuAr] Furness P. and Arrowsmith, D. Flat Affine Klein Bottles. Geometriae Dedicata, (5) 1 (1976), 109-115.

[God] Godement, R. Topologie Algébrique et théorie des faisceaux. Hermann éditeurs des Sciences et des arts, Paris (1958).

[Gol] Goldman, W. Geometric structures on manifolds. University of Maryland, Lecture Notes. (2018)

[KoNo] Kobayashi, S.; Nomizu, K. Foundations of Differential Geometry. Interscience Publishers, Vol I, New York-London (1963).

[Kob] Kobayashi, S. Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heilderberg-New York (1972).

[KoSo] Kontsevich, M.; Soibelman, Y. Affine structures and non-Archimedean analytic spaces. The unity of mathematics, Progr. Math., 244, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, (2006), 321—385.

[Kos] Koszul J. L. Variétés localement plates et convexité, Osaka J. Math. 2 (1965) 285-290.

- [Kui] Kuiper, N. Sur les surfaces localement affines. Géométrie différentielle. Colloques Internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Strasbourg, (1953) pp. 79-87.
- [LiMe] Lichnerowicz, A.; Medina, A. On Lie Groups with left-invariant symplectic or Kählerian structures. Letters in Mathematical physics. Vol 16 (1988), no 3, 225–235
- [Med] Medina, A. Flat left invariant connections adapted to the automorphism structure of a Lie group. Journal of differential Geometry. Vol 16 (1981), no 3, 445–474.
- [M-S-G] Medina, A.; Saldarriaga O. and Giraldo H. Flat Left Invariant or Projective Geometries on Lie Groups. Journal of Algebra 455 (2016) 183–208
- [Mil] Milnor, J. On the existence of a connection with curvature zero. Commentarii mathematici Helvetici 32 (1958) 215-223
- [NaYa] Nagano, T; Yagi, K. The affine structures on the real two-torus. I Osaka Journal of Mathematics, 11 (1974), no. 1, 181-210.
- [Smi] Smillie, J. An obstruction to the existence of affine structures, Invent. Math. 64 no 3, (1981) 411—415.
- [Tse] Tsemo, A. Dynamique des variétés Affines, J. of London Math Soc, 63 no 2, (2001), 469-486.
- [Vey] Vey, J. Sur une notion d'hyperbolicité des variétés localement plates. These de Doctorat de Troisieme Cycle, 1969.
- [Vin] Vinberg, E. B. The Theory of convex homogeneous cones. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., (1963), pp. 340-403.
- [Wei] Weinstein, A. Symplectic Manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds. Adv. in Math, 6, (1971), 329-346
- [Yag] Yagi, On compact homogeneous affine manifolds. Osaka J. Math. 7 (1970), no. 2, 457–475.
- [Yan] Yano, K. On harmonic and Killing vectors. Ann. of Math. 55, (1952) 38-45