

Removal of disinfection by-product precursors by ion exchange resins

Henry Mackeown, Junias Adusei Gyamfi, Morgan Delaporte, Klaas V.K.M. Schoutteten, Liesbeth Verdickt, Baghdad Ouddane, Justine Criquet

▶ To cite this version:

Henry Mackeown, Junias Adusei Gyamfi, Morgan Delaporte, Klaas V.K.M. Schoutteten, Liesbeth Verdickt, et al.. Removal of disinfection by-product precursors by ion exchange resins. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 2021, 9 (104602), 10.1016/j.jece.2020.104602. hal-02988249

HAL Id: hal-02988249 https://hal.science/hal-02988249

Submitted on 4 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Removal of disinfection by-product precursors by ion exchange resins

Henry MacKeown^a, Junias Adusei Gyamfi^a, Morgan Delaporte^a, Klaas Vital Kaat Marnix Schoutteten^{b,c},
 Liesbeth Verdickt^c, Baghdad Ouddane^a, and Justine Criquet^{*a}

^a Univ. Lille CNRS, UMR 8516 – LASIRE, Equipe Physico-Chimie de l'Environnement, Lille F-59000,
France

^b Ghent University, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Department of Green Chemistry and
 Technology, Coupure Links 653, Gent 9000, Belgium

- 8 ^c De Watergroep, Vooruitgangstraat 189, Brussels 1030, Belgium
- 9

10 *Corresponding author: Justine.criquet@univ-lille.fr

Keywords: chlorination, bromide, iodide, DBP, NOM

11

12 Abstract

13 The removal of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is a key factor in the control of disinfection by-product 14 (DBP) formation in drinking water. Ion exchange process deals with the removal of naturally negatively 15 charged organic matter. A fluidized bed column test has been chosen to test in parallel the efficiency of 4 different anionic exchange resins (Purolite PPA860S, Dowex TAN-1, Amberlite IRA-958 and IRA-16 17 410) in terms of DOC fraction removal and related DBP formation reduction. IRA-410 was shown to be 18 the best performing resin in terms of DOC and DBP formation potential reduction followed by 19 PPA860S. These resins removed respectively 41 and 37% of DOC, with humic substances as the main 20 target of the two resins (68 and 72% reduction, respectively, based on size exclusion chromatography 21 with an organic carbon detector). The UV absorbance was reduced in a higher proportion than the 22 DOC demonstrating a preference of the resins for the relatively hydrophobic compounds. The 23 reduction in trihalomethane and haloacetic acid formation was higher than the DOC removal for IRA-24 410 and PPA860S (53 and 59%, respectively); whereas IRA-958 on the other hand showed a lower 25 reduction in DBP formation potential than its DOC removal. All the resins showed a much higher 26 reduction of iodinated trihalomethanes formation potential (66 to 96% reduction compared to raw 27 water) due to the removals of iodide in addition of organic precursors. However, in a context of increasing halide concentrations, the different resins showed a reduction of their efficiency to control 28 29 the formation of DBPs.

- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33 **1. Introduction**

34 The most commonly applied methods for natural organic matter (NOM) removal from water are coagulation,¹ adsorption² and membrane filtration³. Ion exchange processes (IEX) – where negatively 35 36 charged NOM fractions are removed by reversible exchange of a counter ion, usually chloride, from the anionic resin surface⁴ – were introduced more recently, with magnetic ion exchange resin (MIEX) 37 early in the 2000s as first industrial application in drinking water.⁵ Since then, different types of resins 38 are regularly developed and tested. Different processes such as suspended ion exchange (SIX)⁶ and 39 fluidized ion exchange (FIX)⁷ have been explored as well. Ion exchange is of particular interest as a pre-40 41 treatment before coagulation as it has been shown to significantly reduce the coagulant dose required (by 50 to more than 80%),⁸⁻¹⁰ as well as increase the floc size and strength.¹¹ IEX is also more efficient 42 43 than coagulation for the removal of charged organic compounds.¹² Using IEX in combination with 44 coagulation, can thus remove both a higher amount of dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and a broader 45 spectrum of DOC as hydrophilic and low molecular weight fractions (LMW) fractions are more difficult to remove using conventional coagulation treatment.^{13,14} The higher DOC removal is often 46 accompanied with an enhanced reduction in disinfection by-product (DBP) formation potential.^{8,15} 47 48 Furthermore, anionic resins can also remove bromide from certain water sources with low alkalinity¹⁵, thereby potentially reducing the formation of brominated DBPs during disinfection. The removal 49 50 efficiency of NOM by IEX resins was mainly studied through measuring colour and NOM characteristics such as DOC, TOC, UV absorbance at 254 nm^{12,16,17} and more recently NOM fraction removal.¹⁰ While 51 these studies offer useful guidance in understanding the removal of NOM fractions, less has been done 52 53 on DBP formation potential, with studies focusing on THMFP and HAAFP generally on one single resin type.18-21 54

In this study, the removal of DBP precursors from raw surface water used for drinking water production was investigated on four different ion exchange resins in a fluidized bed column test. The 4 different resins have been compared in term of NOM fraction removal efficiency. These results have been related to the trihalomethane and haloacetic acid formation, including iodinated disinfection byproducts. The interest of the IEX process in different scenarios of increasing halide concentrations has been studied in order to evaluate such processes on raw water impacted by high levels of halides and to gain more knowledge on DBP precursors.

- 62 2. Materiel and Methods
- 63 64

2.1. Water resource and pilot unit

The raw water is drawn from the river IJzer (Yser) (approximately 80%) downstream of the town of leper and from surrounding lowlands (approximately 20%), and stored in a 3 million m³ reservoir.²² The water is characterized by a high NOM content, with an average DOC content of 12 mgC L⁻¹, combined with a high alkalinity and hardness. The Belgian part of the hydrographic basin of the IJzer
 river covers an area holding 110,000 inhabitants, and a largely agrarian activity, *i.e.* intensive farming
 and intensive cattle breeding.²³ Due to the combination of high DOC concentration and relatively high
 alkalinity, the water requires large amounts of coagulant and sulfuric acid during coagulation.²⁴

72 The four resins tested were Purolite PPA860S, Dowex TAN-1, Amberlite IRA-958 and Amberlite IRA-73 410. The three first mentioned resins are strong base anion type I resins with a quaternary ammonium 74 group, and the last one is a strong base type II resin where a methyl group is replaced with an alcohol 75 group. Their main properties are presented in Table S1. A column experiment was performed in order 76 to better translate the results to a full scale plant. Four parallel transparent PVC columns, with an 77 internal diameter of 86.4 mm were used for performing breakthrough experiments. The resins were pre-treated extensively to avoid possible leaching of residual monomers and to avoid irreversible 78 79 adsorption of NOM occurring on virgin resins. This procedure consisted of a jar pre-treatment and a 80 dummy loading run in the columns. For the jar pre-treatment, the following regeneration procedure 81 was conducted three times consecutively: regeneration using 3 bed volumes (BV) of a 10% NaCl brine 82 followed by decantation, and a triple rinsing with 3 BV of tap water followed by decantation. After the 83 jar pretreatment procedure, the resins were transferred inside the columns, and a dummy loading run 84 was performed using 500 BV of filtered raw water at an empty bed contact time (EBCT) of 1.73 min, 85 followed by a regeneration with 1 BV of 10% NaCl at an EBCT of 30 minutes and a rinse with 1 BV of tap water at an EBCT of 30 minutes. The latter regeneration procedure has been developed from the 86 long practice on a IEX industrial scale pilot.²⁴ After this extensive pretreatment procedure, the resins 87 were considered to be suitable to perform a representative IEX cycle. The breakthrough experiments 88 89 were run in parallel for the 4 resins in a fluidized bed modus (*i.e.* bottom – up, Fig. S1) using raw water 90 from the reservoir which had been prefiltered over a 25 µm bag filter (Filtration CVBA, Drongen, Belgium), at an EBCT of 1.73 min. 91

92

2.2. Sample collection and analysis prior to chlorination

93 Samples were taken at 0 BV and every recurring 50 BV, until 500 BV of raw water were treated. To 94 simulate the average treated water quality which would be obtained after 500 BV, a mixed effluent 95 sample was created by mixing equal volumes (208 mL) of each of the 11 taken samples for each resin, 96 for the DBP experiments and LC-OCD measurement. The samples were characterized by size exclusion 97 chromatography (SEC) coupled to organic carbon detection (LC-OCD) at the certified laboratory "Het 98 Waterlaboratorium (HWL)" (Haarlem, Noord-Holland) according to the LC-OCD procedure described in literature.²⁵ The raw water and mixed effluent samples for all four resins were filtered through 0.45 99 100 µm polyethersulfone (PES) membrane filters within a few hours after sampling. All samples were 101 stored at 4 °C until the chlorination experiments or analysis. Iodide, iodate and bromide were

measured using a liquid chromatograph coupled to an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer
 (HPLC-ICP-MS – Agilent 7900). The protocol has been detailed in a previous study.²⁶ The quantification
 limit was 0.2 µg L⁻¹ for all three species. Total iodine and bromine in the water samples were
 determined by ICP-MS (Varian 820 MS). The quantification limit level was 0.5 µg L⁻¹ for both iodine and
 bromine.

107 **2.3. Chlorination tests**

108 Two different trihalomethane formation potentials have been performed (THM7d and THM24h). The 109 THM7d tests were carried out using a 7-day chlorination procedure according to the Standard Methods 5710²⁷ with minor modifications. The samples were placed in either 25 or 50 mL glass reagent bottles 110 with PTFE lined screw caps, buffered with a phosphate solution at pH 7.0 \pm 0.2 and chlorinated using 111 a stock solution of 5 g L⁻¹ sodium hypochlorite at different doses in order to ensure samples with free 112 113 chlorine residuals between 3 and 5 mg L⁻¹ at the end of the chlorination test. Samples were incubated in a thermostatically controlled cabinet at 25 \pm 2 °C for 7 days, after which the free chlorine residual of 114 each sample was measured using the N, N-diethyl-p-phenylenediamine (DPD) colorimetric method.²⁸ 115 116 In the samples containing a free chlorine residual between 3 and 5 mg L⁻¹, the chlorine residual was 117 quenched using sodium thiosulfate and the samples were stored at 4 °C in the dark until the THMs 118 were analysed. The 7-day test is the classical procedure to account for the total possible formation of 119 DBPs, however, the high chlorine dose of this test is not suitable for the assessment of iodinated DBPs. 120 To avoid this bias, chlorination experiments have also been performed with a lower chlorine dose, *i.e.* 121 0.3 mg Cl₂ L⁻¹ residual after a contact time of 24 h and at a temperature of 20°C to assess the evolution of THMs and HAAs after IEX treatment. DBP formation potential tests have been performed on the IEX 122 123 treated waters either without modifications or with bromide and iodide concentrations equalised in 124 order to compare the efficiency of the different resins in the same halide concentration conditions. In 125 addition, the concentration of halide has been varied in the IEX treated waters. The concentrations of 126 bromide was varied from 2.5 to 27.5 μ M (228 to 2200 μ g L⁻¹) while the iodide concentration was kept constant (0.5 µM *i.e.* 63 µg L⁻¹). This led to molar bromide/iodide ratios ranging from 5.7 to 55, 127 comparable to a previous study²⁹ (Table S2). In a second set of experiments, the concentration of 128 129 iodide was varied from 0.06 to 2 μ M (7 to 254 μ g L⁻¹) with a constant bromide concentration (228 μ g 130 L^{-1} *i.e.* the highest residual bromide concentration among the IEX treated waters).

131

2.4. Disinfection by-product analysis

Our previous study developed high sensitivity headspace-trap methods for the analysis of the 10 THMs
 and 7 HAAs.²⁶ The same methods were used in this study on a TraceTM 1300 GC ISQ-LT single
 quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific[®]) coupled to a TurboMatrix Headspace 40 Trap

(Perkin Elmer®) and are briefly described. For THMs analysis, 10 mL of the water samples were 135 136 transferred into 22 mL glass vials containing 4 g of sodium sulfate (2.8 M), and were spiked with 1,2-137 dibromopropane as internal standard (6.4 μ g L⁻¹). The vials were immediately sealed and stirred in a 138 vortex mixer until complete salt dissolution. Haloacetic acids (HAA5 + iodoacetic and bromochloroacetic acids) were analysed by a one-step procedure. HAAs in aqueous medium form ion-139 140 pairs with tetrabutylammonium hydrogensulfate and react with dimethylsulfate to produce methyl haloacetates which are directly volatized in the headspace. 9.84 mL of the water samples (without pH 141 142 adjustment) were spiked with bromopropionic acid (10 μ g L⁻¹) as internal standard and placed in a 22 mL glass vial containing 4 g of sodium sulfate. 100 µL of a 0.5 M ion pairing agent (tetrabutylammonium 143 144 hydrogensulfate, final amount of 2.3 μ M) and 60 μ L of derivatization reagent (dimethylsulfate) were 145 then added. The vials were immediately sealed and stirred before being placed into an autosampler. 146 The analysis was performed after 2 to 4 hours of reaction time, this time should not exceed 5 hours to 147 avoid the hydrolysis of the methylesters formed.

148

3. Results and discussion

- 149
- 150 151

152

3.1. Effect of ion exchange treatment on NOM and inorganic compounds

3.1.1. Raw water composition

The DOC of the raw water was relatively high, around 12 mgC L⁻¹ (Fig. 1A), but at a typical level for this 153 154 site. The SUVA was moderate, around 2 indicating the presence of hydrophilic and/or low molecular 155 weight compounds. LC-OCD fractionation indicated that humic substances (HS) dominated (40%), 156 followed by biopolymers, building blocks (BB) and low molecular weight neutrals (LMWN), these 3 157 fractions each representing approximately 14% of the DOC (Fig. 1A). No low molecular weight acids 158 (LMWA) were found in the feed water by LC-OCD. Additionally, around 15% of the DOC is not eluted through the column presumably due to hydrophobic interactions; this represents the so-called 159 160 hydrophobic organic carbon fraction (HOC). The mineralization of the water is relatively high, mainly with bicarbonate (247 mg L^{-1}) but also with quite a large amount of chloride (147 mg L^{-1}) and sulfate 161 162 (104 mg L^{-1}); the cations are dominated by sodium (105 mg L^{-1}) and calcium (72 mg L^{-1}) (Table S3).

163

164 **3.1.2. IEX treated waters**

The treatment of raw water by ion exchange removed from 23 up to 41% of the dissolved organic carbon content based on DOC measurement depending on the type of resins (Fig. 1a). The best removal is obtained with the type II strong base resin IRA-410, a styrene divinyl benzene type with a dimethyl ethanol ammonium functional group. This resin has the best total exchange capacity (≥ 1.25 169 eq L⁻¹) according to the manufacturer information (Table S1). This parameter however does not explain 170 the difference of removals obtained for the 3 other resins, which are all type I resins with a quaternary 171 ammonium group (from 0.7 to \ge 0.8 eq L⁻¹). The DOC removal by such resins is usually in the 40-70% 172 range. In some cases, lower removal rates due to competition with sulfates were observed (Table S4). 173 In this water, sulfate is effectively competing for the ion exchange (see details below), in addition, the 174 low SUVA NOM could also be a poor target of exchange sites.



1

Figure 1: Assessment of IEX process efficiency for the 4 different resins compared to raw water for dissolved
 organic carbon removal and disinfection by-product formation potential (24h – low chlorine residual). A) NOM
 fraction removal assessed by LC-OCD B) THM4, C) I-THMs and D) HAAs. Numbers above bars correspond to the
 reduction percentage compared to the concentration of each parameter in raw water.

180

Considering the removal of the different fractions of NOM, the humic substances fraction is the main one removed by the different IEX resins, both in terms of DOC (from 41 to 72%) and UV254 absorbing fraction (58-91%), followed by the building block fraction (12-41% for DOC and 35-79% for UV254) and LMWN fraction for DOC removal (13-36% while the UV254 LMWN showed no removal.) (Fig. 1A, Table 185 S5). After IEX treatment, the concentration of the biopolymer fraction was found to slightly increase by 6-32% in terms of DOC, which may have been caused by the release of polymeric IEX material³⁰ but 186 187 also in some extent by a different deconvolution due to the lowering of the following HS peak. Overall, 188 the SUVA was lowered by the IEX treatment system by 32-62%, which is higher than the DOC removal, 189 indicating preferential removal of higher-SUVA components. The final SUVA values (0.75-1.34) indicate 190 that the remaining NOM is mainly hydrophilic. These results are in agreement with previous studies 191 (Table S4) that show effective removal of high charge density organic fractions such as HS and less 192 effective removal of BB, LMWN, and no removal of biopolymers due to size exclusion, although one 193 study did find PPA860S to efficiently remove most major NOM fractions, including biopolymers.³¹

194 The most effective resins for the removal of HS was Purolite PPA860S (72% removal) and Amberlite 195 IRA-410 (68%). The later exhibits the most effective removal of BB and LMWN fractions (41% and 36% 196 removal respectively), which then explain its overall best performance (Table S5). The molecular weight of HS in waters treated by 3 resins (IRA 958, TAN-1 and PPA860S) decreased by 15-22% with 197 198 the lowest MW for PPA860S (centre on 400 g mol⁻¹), indicating a preference for the removal of the 199 highest molecular weights HS. In fact, the macroporous acrylic structure of PPA 860S is generally better for the removal of large anions.^{4,12} On the opposite, the molecular weight of HS after treatment by the 200 201 IRA-410 did not change. Removal of HS and BB fractions by the anionic resins can mainly be explained 202 by ionic interactions while the removal of the LMWN could possibly be explained by physical adsorption onto the resin material.³⁰ The removal of this later fraction is higher for IRA-410 and TAN-203 204 1 resins (36 and 18% respectively) compared to the others (13%). This should be related to the resin 205 types as styrenic structure based resins (structures of TAN-1 and IRA-410) exhibiting more hydrophobic 206 interaction than the acrylic resins (structures of IRA 958 and PPA860S, Table S1).

The cation removal for all the resins was low or inexistent as these resins are designed for the exchange of anions. Considering iron, while its concentration is quite low in the raw water (15 μ g L⁻¹), the concentrations after treatment were systematically below that level (by 13-33%); its retention possibly happens by complexation with humic substances.^{32,33}

211 A significant increase in Cl⁻ concentrations after ion exchange treatment is obviously observed for all 212 resins (Table S3). However, a large part of this release is attributable to the ion exchange with sulfate (from 44 up to 89% of the initial 104 mg L⁻¹ of sulfate; Table S3). Indeed, sulfate ions are smaller than 213 NOM molecules, hence the ion exchange process is kinetically faster for this ion.³² A nearly 50 % 214 215 reduction in DOC removal when increasing the sulfate level to a concentration of 100 mg L⁻¹ for Purolite 216 A860³⁴ (PPA860S corresponds to a sieved fraction of A860). Then, a major part of the practical 217 exchange capacity of the resins goes to the removal of sulfate (0.96-1.94 meq L⁻¹, which represents 64-77% of the actual ion exchange), and another small part to bicarbonate (0.08-0.36 meg L^{-1}). The 218 219 potential release of chloride from incomplete washing of the resin is taken into account considering

an equivalent increase of sodium concentrations (0.28-3.47 meg L⁻¹). Finally, only 10 to 19% (0.25-0.32 220 221 meq L⁻¹) of the total amount of chloride exchanged could be attributed to NOM removal for 3 of the 4 222 resins. For IRA-958, the calculated amount of chloride exchanged for NOM was negative (-0.05 meq L⁻ 223 ¹) and close to zero, likely due to the uncertainties on the analytical measurements of the ion concentrations. Finally, the concentration of chloride in the treated waters (from 264 to 305 mg L⁻¹) 224 exceeds the European quality guideline of 250 mg L⁻¹ for three of the four resins in the conditions used 225 226 here. However, in industrial processes, extensive rinses would have to be performed to avoid 227 unnecessary chloride release from salts trapped in micropores that only slowly diffuse when the resin 228 swells in a lower ionic strength water compared to the saline regeneration solutions.

229 In contrast to what has been observed on MIEX resins,¹⁵ bromide was not removed in our experiments 230 and even increased by up to 17%, perhaps due to some bromide contamination during resin 231 regeneration (bromide being a common contaminant of commercially available NaCl). The bromide anions may also have been outcompeted for binding sites by the other anions.¹⁵ This is confirmed by 232 233 the proportional increase between chloride and bromide concentrations observed for 3 of the 4 resins 234 (the concentration is constant after IRA-410 treatment ; Fig. S2). However, iodide was significantly 235 exchanged onto the resin (18-85%) for IRA-410, TAN-1 and PPA860S (Table S3), which has not been 236 previously reported in the literature for these 3 resins. IRA-958 was not able to significantly remove 237 iodide (3% reduction).

238

239 3.2. Removal of DBP precursors

240

241

3.2.1. THM7d, THM24h and HAA24h speciation

The formation potential of the THM4 after 7 days (THM7d) is around 550 μ g L⁻¹ in the raw water (Fig. 242 S3), which is in the same range as noticed for previous experiments on the same site.²⁶ CHCl₃ is the 243 main THM formed in all waters after the 7-day formation potential test. The reduction in THM7d 244 245 compared to the raw water is in the range 30-60%. The order of THMFP reduction efficiency for the resins was: IRA-958 < TAN-1 ≈ PPA860S < IRA-410 (Fig. S3). Figure 1 shows the decrease in DBP 246 247 formation potential after 24 hours (residual chlorine 0.3 mgCl₂ L⁻¹) through the treatment of water with the four IEX resins. The THM24h varies from around 80 to 150 µg L⁻¹ in the different IEX treated waters 248 compared to 175 µg L⁻¹ in the raw water. CHBrCl₂ is the major compound, due to the lower chlorine 249 250 dose used leading to a higher bromide to chlorine ratio. Compared to the 7-day test, not only are the 251 formation potentials 2.5-3.5 times lower after the 24-h test, but the reduction in THMFP compared to 252 the raw water is also lower, by around 25% (mass or molar balance) (Fig. 1B). This observation should 253 be then related to the preferential removal by IEX resins of DBP precursors which have a slow reactivity towards chlorination and THM formation. This could be related to the preferential removal of high molecular weight HS. The sum of 7 HAAs was 2 to 3 times lower than for THMs (*i.e.* 35 to 85 μ g L⁻¹; Fig. 1D). Compared to the THMs the reduction in HAAFP is higher, in the range 23-63% based on the 24hour HAA formation potential tests (Fig. 1D). DCAA, TCAA and BCAA are the main HAAs formed, representing 78 to 93% of the measured HAAs. The mono-HAAs are at much lower levels, as is usually the case in the literature.³⁵

260 The order of removal efficiency of THM24h and HAA24h precursors by the different resins was the 261 same as for the DOC and THM7d with PPA860S and IRA-410 the best performing resins. The decrease 262 in THM4 and HAA FPs followed the trends of DOC and SUVA reductions, a strong correlation ($R^2 > 0.99$) 263 was observed between THM7d of the raw water and the 4 different treated waters and the DOC as 264 well as UV254 and then SUVA (Fig. S4). These trends show a higher reduction of the THMFP compared to the DOC, thus the different IEX resins are more efficient at removing THM precursors than overall 265 DOC. The THMFP/DOC ratio varies from 47 μ g mgC⁻¹ for the raw water to 32 to 42 μ g mgC⁻¹ for the 266 treated waters. Considering UV absorption, its reduction is lower than THMFP (Fig. S4), demonstrating 267 268 that a fraction of the precursors do not absorb UV, and come from more hydrophilic fractions. According to the curve, if the UV absorbance was equal to zero, approximately 140 μ g L⁻¹ of THMs 269 270 would still theoretically be formed. On the contrary, 3.4 mgC L^{-1} (about 30 %) of the DOC does not 271 contribute to the THM formation (Fig. S5). Combining these results, a direct proportionality between 272 THMFP and SUVA is observed (slope of 1 between THM7d/THM7d-raw vs. SUVA/SUVAraw) which 273 underlines the relevance of this parameter in the IEX process. The formation potential of THMs and 274 HAAs after the 24-h test also correlated with the DOC, UV and SUVA (R² from 0.85 to 0.93, Fig. S5), but 275 the correlations were not as strong as for THM7d (Fig. S4).

276

277 **3.2.2. I-THM24h**

278 Iodinated DBPs are usually more cytotoxic and genotoxic than the brominated and chlorinated analogues.³⁶ Their low odour threshold could also bring issues of medicinal taste and odours to the 279 produced waters.³⁷ From this raw water, the overall I-THM formation is relatively low (< 1 μ g L⁻¹) 280 281 certainly due to the low level of iodide in the raw water (7.2 μ g L⁻¹) but also to its oxidation to iodate 282 (see section 3.3.2). The major I-THMs formed are CHCl₂I followed by CHBrClI and CHBr₂I (Fig. 2B). These 283 compounds correspond to the mono-iodinated compounds which are predominant for low iodide 284 levels.³⁸ In comparison with THM4, the reduction in I-THMFP compared to raw water is 2-10 times 285 higher, in the range 76-96% and much higher than the DOC removal. In fact, the concentration of iodide 286 is reduced after IEX treatment, with different efficiency depending on the resin type. IRA-410 which is

287 the best performing resin for the reduction of I-THMFP reduces the concentration of iodide by more 288 than 80% down to 1.1 µg L⁻¹. Indeed, the I-THM concentration can be correlated to the iodide 289 concentration (R^2 = 0.96; Fig. S6) for all 4 treated waters. However, the formation of I-THMs in the raw 290 water sample is not correlated to the treated waters with much higher I-THMs formed than the 291 correlation with the 4 treated water would have predicted. The content of ammonia in the water (as chloramines favours I-DBP formation compared to chlorine³⁹) does not explain this behaviour either 292 293 as the raw water shows the same concentration as the waters treated by the IRA-410 and IRA-958 294 resins (around 0.17 mg L⁻¹) and a lower concentration than the waters treated by PPA860S and TAN-1 295 resins (around 0.27 mg L⁻¹). Then, the removal of NOM DBP precursors is a key factor in the control of 296 I-DBP formation. In fact, the IRA-958 resin treated water which shows a low iodide decrease compared 297 to the raw water (<3%) still exhibits a high decrease of I-THMs (76%). This could be due to the removal 298 of specific NOM precursors but also maybe more likely to the increase of iodate formation by favouring 299 the reaction of chlorine (and/or bromine) with iodine reactive species (*i.e.* mainly HOI) compared to the reaction of chlorine (and/or bromine) with NOM.⁴⁰ Thus, all the resins by lowering iodide and/or 300 301 by removing specific precursors show a beneficial effect, lowering the concentration of I-DBPs.

302

303

3.2.3. Comparison to the classical treatment line

304 Sampling campaigns have been performed on the full-scale treatment plant made of nitrification, coagulation-flocculation decantation, intermediate chlorination, sand filtration, activated carbon 305 306 filtration and final chlorination steps.²⁶ In the classical treatment line, the highest DOC removal occurs 307 during the coagulation step which mainly removes the highest molecular weight fraction, *i.e.* biopolymers and humic substances. The treatment line removes around 70% of DOC for both sampling 308 309 campaign, with 57 to 65% occurring during the coagulation step. The THMFP is reduced by 80% showing a regular decrease of the ratio THMFP/DOC due to a quite good removal of DBP precursors.²⁶ 310 311 The IEX treatment shows a limited efficiency for the DOC removal compared to the classical treatment. 312 Similarly, the THMFP/DOC ratio is reduced, linked to the decrease of SUVA after IEX treatment (Fig. 2).





Figure 2: a)THMFP (7d) in function of DOC and b) THMFP/DOC ratio vs. SUVA values in the full scale treatment
plant and IEX treated waters. 1. Raw water 2. nitrification 3. Coagulation-decantation 4.
Intermediatechlorination and sand filtration 5. GAC 6. final chlorination. Data from GAC (5) are excluded from
the regression equation. Two campaigns from the full scale treatment plant are presented in figure a) while
SUVA values were only measured in one of the 2 campaigns in figure b).

When looking to the THMFP in function of DOC or SUVA values (Fig. 2) of treated waters, two different 319 320 regression lines are obtained with similar slopes with each of them achieving good coefficients of determination (R² from 0.9 to 0.99, Fig. 2). The existing shift between these two correlations is to be 321 322 related to the presence of the biopolymer fraction in the raw and IEX treated waters for which the 323 experiments were performed in autumn compared to the others performed in spring before the algal bloom. Then, the SUVA value of the whole water is reduced (2.0) while the SUVA value of the humic 324 325 substance fraction is 3.5. In fact, this fraction represents 18 to 28% of the DOC for the IEX treated 326 waters. This also explain why the THMFP/DOC ratios are rather low for the IEX treated waters (32 to 327 42 μ g mgC⁻¹) compared to the treated water from the full scale treatment line (about 45 μ g mgC⁻¹), while the THMFP is higher (218 to 384 µg L⁻¹) for the IEX treated waters compared to the full scale plant
for the 7d test (106 µg L⁻¹).²⁶ Data of fluorescence acquired either from Fluorescence Excitation
Emission Matrices analysed by PARAFAC or the humic like signal (excitation at 244 nm and emission at
420 nm) or protein like signal (excitation at 230 nm and emission at 345 nm) of the SEC chromatogram
do not improve the characterization of DBP precursors (data not shown).
The IEX treatment alone is therefore not appropriate for the treatment of such waters but could still

be useful to reduce the amounts of reactants used for clarification. This treatment is however limited
 in case of sulfate rich waters.

336 337

3.3. Assessment of the IEX resins to reduce the formation of DBPs under different halide concentration conditions

338 The IEX resin treatment has been tested in terms of increasing halide concentrations for the control of 339 DBP formation. The concentration of iodide and bromide of the treated waters have been taken into 340 account and equalized to make the comparison of the resin efficiency without considering the variation 341 of halides between the different samples. 4 different scenario with increasing bromide concentrations 342 from 228 up to 2200 µg L⁻¹ with a constant iodide concentration of 63 µg L⁻¹ (which corresponds to 343 molar Br-/I- ratio from 5.7 up to 55) have been first performed to study the increase of bromide at iodide constant concentration. Additional experiments with extreme iodide concentration (i.e. 7 and 344 345 250 μ g L⁻¹ in addition to 63 μ g L⁻¹ performed in the first set of experiments) with a constant concentration of bromide (228 µg L⁻¹) have also been performed in order to assess the I-DBP evolution. 346 347 In the following paragraph, the results of IRA-410, the best performing resin is presented; the results 348 of other resins are available in the SI.

349

350

3.3.1. Increasing bromide concentration



351

Figure 3 Evolution of the formation of A) THM4, B) I-THMs and C) HAAs, as function of the increasing bromide
 concentration for resin IRA-410 treated water (24 hours chlorination test – [I-] = 63 μg L⁻¹).

The lowest bromide concentration tested corresponds to the highest level encountered in the IEX treated waters. For this already quite high concentration (228 μ g L⁻¹), the main THM4 were found to be a mix of CHBrCl₂, CHCl₃ and CHBr₂Cl for the 4 resins (Figs. 3 A and S6-7), with a lower proportion of

357 CHBr₂Cl for the raw water. Some CHBr₃ was also formed, especially for IRA-410 (Fig. 3A) but was a 358 minor species. When the bromide concentration was increased, naturally, the THM4 shifted to a higher 359 proportion of brominated species with a concomitant decrease of the chloroform formation. When the bromide concentration was increased to 2200 μ g L⁻¹, CHBr₃ was predominant in all 5 samples. 360 However, a different trend is noticed between the raw water and the IEX treated waters. In fact, the 361 quantity of THM4 (in molar concentration) did not change noticeably for the raw water (average 362 concentration of 1.21 \pm 0.01 μM for a concentration of Br $^{-}$ up to 800 μg L $^{-1}$ and 1.30 μM for the highest 363 364 bromide concentration). On the opposite, the formation of THM4 increased for the 4 IEX treated 365 waters with the increase of bromide (from 37 to 44 % for an increasing bromide concentration from 366 228 up to 2200 μ g L⁻¹ compared to only 6.5% for the raw water, based on molar concentration). 367 Considering the increasing molecular weight of brominated compounds compared to chlorinated ones, 368 the increase is even higher (from 110 to 120% compared to 68% for raw water). Then, the 369 concentration of THM4 formed in the IRA-958 treated water with the highest bromide concentration 370 equalized the one achieved in the raw water for the same Br⁻ concentration despite a substantial 371 decrease of the DOC (23%) (Fig. S7). Bromine reacts more with hydrophilic compounds than with hydrophobic compounds,⁴¹ which may be the reason for an overall increase in THM formation from 372 the treated waters where part of hydrophobic compounds were removed. Considering the I-THMs, 373 374 two different trends are noticed. In fact, for IRA-410, TAN-1 and to a lower extent IRA-958, the 375 concentration of I-THMs first increases with the increase of bromide from 228 to 400 μ g L⁻¹ due to the 376 higher formation of brominated substances (mainly CHBrCll), a phenomenon which has already been 377 underlined in the literature.²⁹ With even higher bromide concentrations, the formation of I-THMs then 378 decreases, which is due to the fastest formation of iodate in presence of increasing concentration of bromide.⁴⁰ On the other hand, the I-THM formation uniformly decreases for the raw water and 379 380 PPA860S treated water with the increase of bromide concentration (Fig. S8). These two waters 381 correspond to the highest I-THM formation with the sums equalling to respectively 1.33 and 0.44 μ g L⁻ 382 ¹ for the raw and PPA860S treated waters (having the lowest bromide concentration). The same 383 observation is made considering the molar concentration (Fig. S9). It has to be noticed that the overall 384 I-THM formation is quite low, especially considering the iodide spiking (63 μ g L⁻¹). This low I-DBP 385 formation is due to favourable conditions for the iodate formation considering the quite high bromide background concentration (228 μ g L⁻¹). 386

The reduction efficiency in I-THM formation in IEX treated water compared to the raw water dropped with the increase of bromide concentration (Fig. S9). For example, for IRA-410, the I-THM formation is lower than in the raw water by a factor of 16 ([Br⁻] = 228 μ g L⁻¹), but this factor is reduced to 6.5 for a bromide concentration of 2200 μ g L⁻¹. Indeed, the higher competition of DOC for reactive bromine in raw water than in the treated waters at the lower bromide concentrations is less apparent at higherbromide concentrations.

The sum of the 7 HAAs remains more or less stable with increasing bromide concentrations as illustrated in the case of resin IRA-410 (Fig. 3C), but the molar concentrations decrease (Fig. S10). This could be due to the trihaloacetic acids (tri-HAAs) speciating towards more brominated tri-HAAs which could not be measured in this study. However, when considering the relative toxicity of the different compounds (dividing the DBP concentration by their respective LC50, Fig. S11) the toxicity related to the THM4 and HAA5 increases with the increase of bromide, as the main toxicity driver is the bromoacetic acid which concentration increases.

400 **3.3.2.** Increasing iodide concentration



401

402 Figure 4: A. Evolution of the formation of I-THMs as function of the increasing iodide concentration for resin
403 IRA-410 treated water (24h chlorination test). B. % of iodate formation compared to iodide initial concentration

404 for raw and the 4 IEX treated waters for increasing iodide concentration. [Br-] = 228 μ g L-1; ND: no data.

405 The effect of increasing iodide concentration (0.06, 0.5 and 2 μM , corresponding to 7, 63 and 254 μg

406 L⁻¹) has been studied with a bromide concentration kept constant to the minimum background level

407 possible (2.5 μ M or 228 μ g L⁻¹). The results of I-THMs formation in the IRA-410 treated water (Fig.4a) 408 show that the increase of I-DBP is not linear with the increasing concentration of iodide. In fact the I-409 THMs show a moderate increase for a concentration of iodide increasing from 0.06 to 0.5 μ M (factor 410 of 1.7 similar to the increase of I⁻ concentration), but a much larger increase with the increase of iodide 411 by a factor of 4 (from 0.5 to 2 μ M), where the I-THM concentration is multiplied by a factor of 5.2.

412 This behaviour is also noticed for the raw water and IRA-958 treated waters. However, for TAN-1 and 413 the PPA860S, the increase is linear and asymptotic respectively. The 3 main I-THMs formed at low I-414 concentration (CHCl₂I, CHBrClI and CHBr₂I) are shown to increase in the same proportion for the 3 compounds. A small quantity of CHCll₂ appears from 63 μ g L⁻¹ I⁻. No CHl₃ was detected which is in 415 416 agreement with literature where much higher amounts of iodide were needed to form a substantial 417 amount of CHI₃.⁴² The increase of I-THM formation is much lower than the one predicted by the linear 418 regression obtained in previous section (Fig. S6). This regression was then limited to very low iodide 419 concentration. Considering the iodate formation (Fig. 4b), the raw water exhibits a high formation of 420 iodate at low iodide level, which is even higher than the initial iodide concentration. A part of organic 421 iodine (total iodine equals to 35 μ g L⁻¹) is then transformed into iodate. This phenomena has also been observed on the full scale treatment plant.²⁶ The % of iodate formation compared to the initial iodide 422 423 content is lower for the IEX treated water (from 74 to 92%). It is interesting to note that the IEX 424 treatment also removes a part of the organic iodine (removal of 40 to 86 % of total iodine). The iodate 425 formation yield is reduced with increasing iodide concentration for raw and IEX treated waters (around 426 50% for 63 and 254 μ g L⁻¹). In terms of resin efficiency to remove I-DBP precursors, the IRA-410 resin 427 has a particular behaviour compared to the other resins, with a decreasing capacity to reduce the 428 formation of I-DBPs compared to the DBPs formed in the raw water. For example, the formation of I-429 THMs is reduced by a factor of almost 20 at low concentration of iodide for the IRA-410 treated water 430 compared to the raw water, this factor dropped to 10 for the highest concentration of iodide tested. 431 On the contrary, the other resins show their capacity of minimizing the I-DBP increasing with the 432 increase of iodide concentration. However, in most of the conditions tested here, IRA-410 treated water provides the lowest I-THMs formation (only TAN-1 with 254 µg L⁻¹ of iodide show a lower I-THM 433 434 formation). Low concentrations of iodoacetic acid (<30 ng L^{-1}), one of the most genotoxic DBP compound identified to-date,⁴³ has only been found in the PPA860S treated waters (spiked at 63 and 435 254 µg L⁻¹) and in TAN-1 tread water (spiked at 254 µg L⁻¹). Considering the yields of iodate formation 436 437 and the moderate increase of I-THMs, the iodination of a wider type of active moieties of NOM not 438 leading to THM formation could be supposed.

Considering the overall DBP formation and the removal of DBP precursors, it is worthwhile to noticethat the reduction in DBP formation potential is reduced with increasing concentrations of bromide. It

441 has been shown that bromine is generally a better agent for electrophilic substitution and addition 442 than chlorine.^{29,44} The removal of NOM favouring the bromination, could be worrying in terms of 443 toxicity of DBP formed as brominated DBPs generally exhibit higher toxicity than the chlorinated 444 analogues.45 The advantage of resins in the removal of specific DBP precursor is then reduced in 445 presence of high concentration of halides. The effect of increasing concentrations of iodide alone, 446 which may hardly be found in natural waters without a concomitant increase of bromide however 447 shows here that the precursors of I-DBP and/or reactivity of HOI are different from brominated and 448 chlorinated analogues. This would deserve more research to identify the different properties of DBP 449 precursors responsible for DBP speciation.

450 **4. Conclusions**

451 Four ion exchange resins was tested for the removal of NOM and the concomitant assessment of 452 reduction of disinfection by-product formation. It was confirmed that all resins preferentially removes 453 the humic substances fraction. In addition, the SUVA value was lowered by the IEX treatment to a 454 higher extend than the DOC removal demonstrating the preference of resins for the highly 455 hydrophobic compounds exchange. In this source water, a large part of the removal efficiency of the 456 resins is dramatically reduced by the high content of sulfate, which would be a key negative factor for 457 the further upscaling of this process on such raw water. In terms of disinfection by-product formation, the reduction of DBP formation potential compared to the one achieved in raw water is related to the 458 459 DOC removal efficiency of the resins. The IRA-410 resin showed the best performance followed by the 460 PPA860S. However, from the comparison of the different resins, it has been demonstrated that the 461 efficiency in DBP reduction is better linked to the SUVA removal than to DOC removal. The experiments 462 also showed that the resins preferentially remove the DBP precursors which have a slow reactivity 463 towards chlorination.

All resins show a beneficial effect in lowering the concentration of I-DBPs by lowering iodide and/or by the removing of specific precursors. Finally, the advantage of DBP reduction by the IEX treatment is reduced in presence of an increasing concentration of halides. The reduction of efficiency is highly dependent on the resin type and then this aspect would have to be taken into account in addition to the DOC removal efficiency in case of source water impacted by high halide levels or sources showing a risk of increasing halide concentrations in the future due to anthropogenic pressure.

470 **Conflicts of interest**

471 There are no conflicts to declare.

472 Acknowledgments

473 This work was supported by Interreg 2 Seas program via DOC2C's project - contract No 2S01-013.

Authors also thank De Watergroep, the Region "Hauts-de-France", CPER Climibio and Chevreul Institut
 for support. David Dumoulin, Veronique Alaimo and Romain Marie are thanked for their technical

476 support.

477 References

A. Matilainen, M. Vepsäläinen and M. Sillanpää, Natural organic matter removal by coagulation
during drinking water treatment: A review, Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 2010, 159, 189–
197.

481 2 M. Sillanpää and A. Bhatnagar, in Natural Organic Matter in Water, ed. M. Sillanpää,
482 Butterworth-Heinemann, 2015, pp. 213–238.

483 3 M. Sillanpää, S. Metsämuuronen and M. Mänttäri, in Natural Organic Matter in Water, ed. M.
484 Sillanpää, Butterworth-Heinemann, 2015, pp. 113–157.

4 B. Bolto, D. Dixon and R. Eldridge, Ion exchange for the removal of natural organic matter,
Reactive and Functional Polymers, 2004, 60, 171–182.

487 5 I. Levchuk, J. J. Rueda Márquez and M. Sillanpää, Removal of natural organic matter (NOM)
488 from water by ion exchange – A review, Chemosphere, 2018, 192, 90–104.

489 6 G. Galjaard, P. C Kamp and E. Koreman, SIX[®]: A New Resin Treatment Technology for Drinking
490 Water, Water Practice & Technology, , DOI:10.2166/wpt.2009.076.

491 7 E. R. Cornelissen, E. F. Beerendonk, M. N. Nederlof, J. P. van der Hoek and L. P. Wessels,
492 Fluidized ion exchange (FIX) to control NOM fouling in ultrafiltration, Desalination, 2009, 236, 334–
493 341.

494 8 P. C. Singer and K. Bilyk, Enhanced coagulation using a magnetic ion exchange resin, Water
495 Research, 2002, 36, 4009–4022.

496 9 D. A. Fearing, J. Banks, D. Wilson, P. H. Hillis, A. T. Campbell and S. A. Parsons, NOM control
497 options: the next generation, Water Science and Technology: Water Supply, 2004, 4, 139–145.

A. Grefte, M. Dignum, E. R. Cornelissen and L. C. Rietveld, Natural organic matter removal by
ion exchange at different positions in the drinking water treatment lane, Drink. Water Eng. Sci., 2013,
6, 1–10.

P. Jarvis, M. Mergen, J. Banks, B. McIntosh, S. A. Parsons and B. Jefferson, Pilot Scale
Comparison of Enhanced Coagulation with Magnetic Resin Plus Coagulation Systems, Environ. Sci.
Technol., 2008, 42, 1276–1282.

50412B. Bolto, D. Dixon, R. Eldridge, S. King and K. Linge, Removal of natural organic matter by ion505exchange, Water Research, 2002, 36, 5057–5065.

B. P. Allpike, A. Heitz, C. A. Joll, R. I. Kagi, G. Abbt-Braun, F. H. Frimmel, T. Brinkmann, N. Her
and G. Amy, Size Exclusion Chromatography To Characterize DOC Removal in Drinking Water
Treatment, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2005, 39, 2334–2342.

M. R. D. Mergen, B. J. Adams, G. M. Vero, T. A. Price, S. A. Parsons, B. Jefferson and P. Jarvis,
 Characterisation of natural organic matter (NOM) removed by magnetic ion exchange resin (MIEX[®]
 Resin), Water Science and Technology: Water Supply, 2009, 9, 199–205.

- 512 15 K. Watson, M. J. Farré and N. Knight, Enhanced coagulation with powdered activated carbon
 513 or MIEX[®] secondary treatment: A comparison of disinfection by-product formation and precursor
 514 removal, Water Research, 2015, 68, 454–466.
- 515 16 J.-P. Croué, D. Violleau, C. Bodaire and B. Legube, Removal of hydrophobic and hydrophilic 516 constituents by anion exchange resin, Water Science and Technology, 1999, 40, 207–214.
- 517 H. Humbert, H. Gallard, H. Suty and J.-P. Croué, Performance of selected anion exchange resins
 518 for the treatment of a high DOC content surface water, Water Research, 2005, 39, 1699–1708.
- 18 Y. Tan, J. E. Kilduff, M. Kitis and T. Karanfil, Dissolved organic matter removal and disinfection
 byproduct formation control using ion exchange, Desalination, 2005, 176, 189–200.
- T. H. Boyer and P. C. Singer, Bench-scale testing of a magnetic ion exchange resin for removal
 of disinfection by-product precursors, Water Research, 2005, 39, 1265–1276.
- P. Finkbeiner, J. Redman, V. Patriarca, G. Moore, B. Jefferson and P. Jarvis, Understanding the
 potential for selective natural organic matter removal by ion exchange, Water Research, 2018, 146,
 256–263.
- 526 21 K. Brezinski, B. Gorczyca and M. Sadrnourmohammadi, Ion-exchange for trihalomethane
 527 control in potable water treatment a municipal water treatment case study in Rainy River, Ontario,
 528 Canada, Water Quality Research Journal, 2019, 54, 142–160.
- 529 22 De Watergroep, Annual report, 2015.
- 53023R. Baert, R. Loontiens and M. Devos, in Proceedings _ 4th International Conference On531Environmental Compliance And Enforcement, Chiang Mai, Thailand, 1996, vol. 2, pp. 635–642.
- L. Verdickt, W. Closset, V. D'Haeseleer and J. Cromphout, Applicability of ion exchange for NOM
 removal from a sulfate-rich surface water incorporating full reuse of the brine, Water Science and
 Technology: Water Supply, 2012, 12, 878–887.
- 535 25 S. A. Huber, A. Balz, M. Abert and W. Pronk, Characterisation of aquatic humic and non-humic
 536 matter with size-exclusion chromatography organic carbon detection organic nitrogen detection
 537 (LC-OCD-OND), Water Research, 2011, 45, 879–885.
- H. MacKeown, J. Adusei Gyamfi, K. V. K. M. Schoutteten, D. Dumoulin, L. Verdickt, B. Ouddane
 and J. Criquet, Formation and removal of disinfection by-products in a full scale drinking water
 treatment plant, Science of the Total Environment, , DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135280.
- 541 27 In Standard Methods For the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health542 Association, 2018.
- 543 28 J. Rodier, B. Legube and N. Merlet, 10e édition., 2016, p. 1600.
- 544 29 S. Allard, J. Tan, C. A. Joll and U. von Gunten, Mechanistic Study on the Formation of Cl-/Br-/I-545 Trihalomethanes during Chlorination/Chloramination Combined with a Theoretical Cytotoxicity 546 Evaluation, Environmental Science & Technology, 2015, 49, 11105–11114.
- 547 30 E. R. Cornelissen, N. Moreau, W. G. Siegers, A. J. Abrahamse, L. C. Rietveld, A. Grefte, M. 548 Dignum, G. Amy and L. P. Wessels, Selection of anionic exchange resins for removal of natural organic 549 matter (NOM) fractions, Water Research, 2008, 42, 413–423.
- 550 31 A. Sadmani, PhD thesis, University of Toronto, 2014.

551 32 E. R. Cornelissen, D. Chasseriaud, W. G. Siegers, E. F. Beerendonk and D. van der Kooij, Effect 552 of anionic fluidized ion exchange (FIX) pre-treatment on nanofiltration (NF) membrane fouling, Water 553 Research, 2010, 44, 3283–3293.

J. Adusei-Gyamfi, B. Ouddane, L. Rietveld, J.-P. Cornard and J. Criquet, Natural organic mattercations complexation and its impact on water treatment: A critical review, Water Research, 2019, 160,
130–147.

557 34 F. Dixit, PhD thesis, University of British Columbia, 2017.

558 35 T. Bond, J. Huang, N. J. D. Graham and M. R. Templeton, Examining the interrelationship 559 between DOC, bromide and chlorine dose on DBP formation in drinking water — A case study, Science 560 of The Total Environment, 2014, 470–471, 469–479.

S.D. Richardson, M.J. Plewa, E.D. Wagner, R. Schoeny, D.M. DeMarini, Occurrence,
genotoxicity, and carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking water:
A review and roadmap forresearch. Mutation Research, 2007, 636 (1-3), 178–242.

564 37 B. Cancho, C. Fabrellas, A.Diaz, F. Ventura,. Determination of the odor threshold 565 concentrations of iodinated trihalomethanes in drinking water. Journal of Agricultural and Food 566 Chemistry 2001, 49 (4), 1881–1884.

567 38 T.-Y. Zhang, B. Xu, C.-Y. Hu, Y.-L. Lin, L. Lin, T. Ye and F.-X. Tian, A comparison of iodinated 568 trihalomethane formation from chlorine, chlorine dioxide and potassium permanganate oxidation 569 processes, Water Research, 2015, 68, 394–403.

570 39 H. Dong, Z. Qiang and S. D. Richardson, Formation of Iodinated Disinfection Byproducts (I-571 DBPs) in Drinking Water: Emerging Concerns and Current Issues, Acc. Chem. Res., 2019, 52, 896–905.

572 40 J. Criquet, S. Allard, E. Salhi, C. A. Joll, A. Heitz and U. von Gunten, lodate and lodo-573 Trihalomethane Formation during Chlorination of lodide-Containing Waters: Role of Bromide, 574 Environmental Science & Technology, 2012, 46, 7350–7357.

J. Awad, J. van Leeuwen, C. Chow, M. Drikas, R. J. Smernik, D. J. Chittleborough and E. Bestland,
Characterization of dissolved organic matter for prediction of trihalomethane formation potential in
surface and sub-surface waters, Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2016, 308, 430–439.

578 42 G. Hua, D. A. Reckhow and J. Kim, Effect of Bromide and Iodide Ions on the Formation and
579 Speciation of Disinfection Byproducts during Chlorination, Environmental Science & Technology, 2006,
580 40, 3050–3056.

581 43 E. D. Wagner and M. J. Plewa, CHO cell cytotoxicity and genotoxicity analyses of disinfection 582 by-products: An updated review, Journal of Environmental Sciences, 2017, 58, 64–76.

583 44 J. Criquet, E. M. Rodriguez, S. Allard, S. Wellauer, E. Salhi, C. A. Joll and U. von Gunten, Reaction
584 of bromine and chlorine with phenolic compounds and natural organic matter extracts – Electrophilic
585 aromatic substitution and oxidation, Water Research, 2015, 85, 476–486.

586 45 S. W. Krasner, in Disinfection by-products and human health, IWA Publishing., 2012, pp. 59– 587 71.

588

Graphical Abstract:

