

Modeling of Moving organs

Hamid Ladjal

Pavia 23/09/2016

Laboratoire d'InfoRmatique en Image et Systèmes d'information

LIRIS UMR 5205 CNRS/INSA de Lyon/Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1/Université Lumière Lyon 2/Ecole Centrale de Lyon Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, bâtiment Nautibus 43, boulevard du 11 novembre 1918 — F-69622 Villeurbanne cedex

http://liris.cnrs.fr







Outline

- Context: moving organs
- Challenge and Objective
- Methodology and why the biomechanical modeling patient specific can help?
- Results and validation
- Conclusion and futur work

Context: Hadron therapy:

- Advanced radiotherapy technique for cancer treatment that uses charged particles like light ions or protons instead of photons.
- Requires some appropriate quality assurance procedures.





Context: Hadron therapy:

- Advanced radiotherapy technique for cancer treatment that uses charged particles like light ions or protons instead of photons.
- Requires an appropriate quality assurance procedures.



Context: moving organs

Ballistics problem:

- The position of the lung tumor
- Calculate and optimize the dose delivery

Specific problem of Hadrontherapy:

- Knowledge about the organs traversed by the beam
- The position of the Bragg peak depends on the density of the matter traversed by the beam
- O Geometric data = insufficient !!!



Lung tissue



Context: moving organs 1- Imaging techniques: Target localisation techniques

- Imaging the tumour, if possible !!!
- Imaging of anatomical structures rigidly bound to the tumour (ex. bony landmarks)
- Detecting artificial fiducials implanted in or near the tumour (invasive, infection risk, pneumothorax...)

Techniques based on imaging



assume a reproducible motion of the respiratory system.

Existing solutions on tracking:

- Fiducial Markers
- CyberKnife system
- Online Pet Scan

intrusive or invasive the irradiation of the patient

2- Monitoring surrogate organs moving in synchronism with the lung tumour.



Correlation diaphragm movement vs pancreas and lung tumour

Correlation between internal motion lungs and external motion thorax

Respiratory instability

Non-reproducibility of the movements



inferior/superior vs left/right motion

Internal marker : exhalation & motion Non-reproducibility of the movements (chaotic?)

Anatomy of the respiratory system.

Breathing is non-reproducible

- Contraction of the diaphragm muscle.

- Intercostal muscles of the ribcage.



The breathing cycle is not regular, Varies in amplitude and in phase from one cycle to another





Diaphragm and Thorax

A biophysical approach

- Approach based on the Biomechanical modeling of respiratory system allowing to:
 - **1.** Take non reproducible aspects of lung motions into account
 - 2. Establish the biomechanical model from patients' geometrical and physical data
 - 3. Be monitored by external sensors during the treatment
 - 4. Simulate a "virtual 4D-Scan"
 - 5. 4D dose distribution and online imaging control



External surrogates and sensors track internal organs motion based biomechanical model during treatment.



From CT scan images to Biomechanical Simulation



Pipeline Modeling based CAD modeling for FEM simulation

Semi-Automatic Segmentation of multi organs



of multi organs (respiratory system)





Lungs __.







Respiratory sytem CAD



Lungs

Diaphragm



Mesh quality

Mesh generation is a critical and important step in the finite element analysis. The mesh affects the <u>accuracy</u>, <u>convergence</u> and <u>speed</u> of the computational process.

Criteria

- Geometry : Distortion ,aspect ratio, minimum angle, maximum angle, ...
- FE-based: jacobian

Influence:

- O Low quality = bad mesh convergence
- Large stress field discontinuities
- O Some elements may « lock » for high aspect ratio
- o round-off errors & singularities
- O if jacobian is negative !

Mesh quality



Pipeline Modeling for finite element simulation Mesh treatment vs CAD moeling

CAD & Meshing: In CAD: O Create CLEAN parts for FEA:

- Avoid creating small surfaces & edges
- Avoid « tangent » connections (very small angles)
- Try to minimize the number of faces present in the model
- O Remove unsignificant geometric details:
 - Typical details: fillets / chamfers, small holes, unsignificant components (bolts & nuts, rivets)

Biomechanical modeling of the diaphragm and thorax

Diaphragm modeling





Semi-automatic Segmentation of the diaphragm







Segmentation and 3D mesh generation

Biomechanical modeling:

Elastic linear



Thorax+ diaphragm

- Type of the nonlinearities (geometric or material nonlinearities)?

Two nonlinear hyperelastic models: The Saint-Venant Kirchhoff and MooneyRivlin models.

Biomechanical modeling of the diaphragm

We chose two simple hyperelastic models, Saint-Venant Kirchhoff and MooneyRivlin hyperelastic

For an isotropic elastic or hyperelastic material the elastic energy, noted W, can be written as:

$$W(\mathbf{E}) = \frac{\lambda}{2} (tr \, \mathbf{E})^2 + \mu (tr \, \mathbf{E}^2) \tag{1}$$

where E is the Green-Lagrange strain tensor λ and μ are the Lame's coefficients

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{F}^T \cdot \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{grad} \, \underline{U} + \mathbf{grad}^T \, \underline{U} + \mathbf{grad}^T \, \underline{U} \cdot \mathbf{grad} \, \underline{U} \right)$$
⁽²⁾

Biomechanical modeling of the diaphragm

For small deformations, the Green-Lagrange strain tensor is linearized into the infinitesimal strain tensor:

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{grad} \underline{U} + \operatorname{grad}^T \underline{U})$$

The relation between the stress tensor and the strain tensor (Hooke's law), for isotropic material and for linear deformation, can be written:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1+\nu}{E}\sigma - \frac{\nu}{E}tr(\sigma)Id$$

$$\mu = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$$
 $\lambda = \nu \frac{E}{(1-2\nu)(1+\nu)}$

Biomechanical modeling of the diaphragm

The Saint-Venant Kirchhoff law extends the Hooke's law for large displacement. the Green-Lagrange:

$$\mathbf{S} = \left(\lambda \left(tr \,\mathbf{E}\right) \mathbf{I} + 2\,\mu \,\mathbf{E}\right) + \eta \,\left(\lambda \left(tr \,\dot{\mathbf{E}}\right) \mathbf{I} + 2\,\mu \,\dot{\mathbf{E}}\right)$$

The strain energy of the second hyperelastic Mooney Rivlin model can be written as:

$$W(\mathbf{C}) = c_1 \left(\bar{I}_1(\mathbf{C}) - 3 \right) + c_2 \left(\bar{I}_2(\mathbf{C}) - 3 \right) + \frac{K}{2} \left(J(\mathbf{C}) - 1 \right)^2$$
(4)

where c_1 , c_2 are material parameters and K is the Bulk modulus. The quantities \overline{I}_1 and \overline{I}_2 are the isochoric invariants of the Cauchy-deformation tensor \mathbf{C} : $\overline{I}_1(\mathbf{C}) = J(\mathbf{C})^{-2/3} I_1(\mathbf{C}), \overline{I}_2(\mathbf{C}) = J(\mathbf{C})^{-4/3} I_2(\mathbf{C})$ where $I_1(\mathbf{C}) = tr\mathbf{C}, I_2(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{1}{2}((tr\mathbf{C})^2 - tr\mathbf{C}^2)$ and J is the Jacobian: $J(\mathbf{C}) = det \mathbf{F}.$

Biomechanical behavior

1) **The diaphragm behavior** is considered as a compressible solid with an non-linear elastic, and as a heterogeneous material with the muscles in its peripheral part converging into a central tendon.

2) **The complete thorax behavior** with musculoskeletal structure is modeled as incompressible solid with an elastic linear behavior including the ribcage kinematics model using the finite helical axis method.

3D Reconstruction of the Thorax



Boundary conditions

Enhancement of diaphragm model

- Based on rib kinematics & muscle tension
- Heterogeneous modeling of diaphragm (tendon & muscles) and ribcage kinematic



Diaphragm simulation

Table 1 Mechanical properties of the human diaphragm and thorax, where E: Young's modulus, v: Poisson's coefficient.

Tissues	Young modulus (MPa)	Poisson's coefficient	References	
Diaphragm muscle	5.32	0.33 Behr et al.2006,Pato et al. 2011		
Diaphragm tendon	33	0.33	Behr et al.2006,Pato et al. 2011	
Ribs	5000	0.3	Kimpara et al. 2005	
Cartilage costal margin	49	0.4	Abe et al.1996, Yamada.1970	
Body of sternum	11500	0.3	Kimpara et al.2005	
Thoracic vertebra	9860	0.3	Kimpara et al.2005	



Iterative process to minimize the displacement errors between simulation U_{sim} and initial mesh U_{exp} .

Diaphragm simulation: quantitative and qualitative analysis



Displacement (U) and Stress (S) for the diaphragm Maximum displacement Right Posterior (RP) and Left-Posterior (LP) sides, Slightly larger (RP) side motion than the (LP) side motion







Simulation comparison



Fig. 9. 3D distance map error between the FE simulation and the reference real mesh at inspiration including or no rib kinematics. Color coding ranges from 0.0mm (blue) to 16.0mm (red), the vertical slices (showing the view from the cross sections) between the reference mesh and FE at expiration. Zone 1 presents the cross sections of interest region (contact with lungs). Zone 2 presents the peripheral contact between the diaphragm and ribcage.

Average error measurement ε and standard deviation (SD): applied to different scenarios.

	Patients					
	St-Venant K	lirchhoff	Mooney-Rivlin			
	S ₁ (Without RK)	S ₂ (With RK)	S ₃ (Without RK)	S ₄ (With RK)		
mean $\pm SD$ (mm)	2.1 ±2.3	2.0 ± 2.2	2.2 ± 2.3	1.9±2.1		

Biomechanical modeling patient specific

Biomechnical Patient Specific



Patient specific of the respiratory system













Virtual 4D CT scan reconstruction & dose simulation





BAR Angin - 14

Dose simulations on the density map²

Conversion of displacement simulation into CT scan

Multiphysics continuous representation



Cartography of dose deposit

multiphysics model

Nenice cario PET detector Radiotracer/C¹¹

Dose accumulated over Deforming tetrahedra

Radioactivity reconstructed on tetrahedral elements

- Tissues implicit tracking

Reconstruction of 4D-PET images

- Taking into account volume variations







PET Scanner



Gold standard



Reconstructed activity without motion correction



4D Reconstructed activity with tetrahedral-based motion correction

Current works

1. Clinical validation of the model by external sensors



Image processing



Clinical validation

ation



Current works

1. Clinical validation of the model by external sensors

- Spirometer
- Thorax movement tracking (RPM markers)



Realistic anthropomorphic phantom Lung Cancer LuCas (PSI)

Conclusion

- Extension of the current works towards :
- Tracking different internal organs from external surrogates in real time, based on patient specific data
- A potential Pre-Therapeutic tool for adaptive dose based on 4D patient specific,
- Contributing to the development of on-line control systems for Radio hadrontherapy (4D PET, Gamma prompt)



Dose accumulated over deforming tetrahedra

Radioactivity reconstructed on tetrahedral elements

Akknowledgment:

Thanks to the following fellows for their strong contribution to this project:

O Liris: B. Shariat, J. Azencot, M. Giroux and Y. Touileb

O IPNL: M. Beuve, E. Testa and D. Dauvergne

Thank you for your attention