

Systemic design and energy management of a standalone battery-less PV/Wind driven brackish water reverse osmosis desalination system

I. Ben Ali, M. Turki, J. Belhadj, Xavier Roboam

► To cite this version:

I. Ben Ali, M. Turki, J. Belhadj, Xavier Roboam. Systemic design and energy management of a standalone battery-less PV/Wind driven brackish water reverse osmosis desalination system. Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, 2020, 42, pp.100884. 10.1016/j.seta.2020.100884. hal-02981480

HAL Id: hal-02981480 https://hal.science/hal-02981480

Submitted on 20 Nov 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Systemic Design and Energy Management of a Standalone Battery-less 1 **PV/Wind driven Brackish Water Reverse Osmosis Desalination System** 2 I. Ben Ali^a, M. Turki^{a, b}, J. Belhadj^{a, c}, X. Roboam^d 3 4 ^a Université de Tunis El Manar, LR 11 ES 15, Laboratoire des Systèmes Électriques, École Nationale d'Ingénieurs de Tunis, BP 37 – 1002, 5 Tunis le Belvédère, Tunis, Tunisia. 6 e-mail: ines.benali@enit.utm.tn 7 ^b Université de Jendouba, École Supérieure des Ingénieurs de Medjez El Bab, P5, 9070, Tunisia. 8 e-mail: mehdi_turki1@yahoo.fr 9 ^e Université de Tunis, École Nationale Supérieure d'Ingénieurs de Tunis, BP 56 - 1008, Montfleury, Tunisia. 10 e-mail: Jamel.Belhadj@esstt.rnu.tn 11 ^d Université de Toulouse, LAPLACE (Laboratoire Plasma et Conversion d'Énergie), UMR CNRS-INP-UPS, ENSEEIHT, 2 Rue Camichel 12

31071 Toulouse, France.

e-mail: Xavier.Roboam@laplace.univ-tlse.fr

14 Abstract

13

15 This work investigates a small-scale reverse osmosis desalination system dedicated for off-grid communities 16 lacking freshwater. This system, constituted of motor-pumps, desalination process and hydraulic network (pipes 17 and valves), is powered by hybrid photovoltaic-wind turbine source. It exploits hydraulic storage in water tanks 18 filled when renewable energy is available instead of electrochemical storage. Such specificity makes the 19 power/freshwater supply a challenging issue for these communities. To maximize freshwater production of this 20 autonomous system, a "systemic design approach" integrating couplings between architecture, sizing, and energy 21 management is proposed. According to the specific system architecture and its component sizing, a specific 22 quasi-static model-based energy management strategy (EMS) is developed. In this regard, the influence of the 23 main component sizing on the system energy efficiency and the EMS performance is analyzed. This study 24 proved the strongly coupling between power/water management and pump sizing. According to the iterative 25 process of the systemic design approach, simulation results showed that the EMS objective is reached by 26 increasing the brackish water storage tank capacity and improving the system energy efficiency. The latter is 27 achieved by choosing the pumps-combination composed of three pumps having the lowest rated powers 28 (0.37kW/0.37kW/1.5kW), but offering higher energy efficiency over other analyzed pumps-combinations.

29 Keywords: systemic design; electrochemical and water storage; quasi-static modeling; water/power management; 30 renewable energy.

31 1. Introduction

32 Reverse osmosis (RO) desalination applications have been becoming the primary choice to produce freshwater 33 from brackish or sea water for many water-stressed regions [1-2] not only for drinking, but also for agriculture [3]. 34 Typically, these applications, especially small-scale units for off-grid communities, are powered by renewable 35 energies [4-6]. The most commonly used renewable energies to power such desalination units are the solar energy 36 [7-8] and the wind energy [9-10]. For example, according to the comprehensive review and the techno-economic 37 feasibility carried out, respectively, in [11] and [8], photovoltaic PV-RO desalination systems are considered 38 better than other desalination combinations due to their availability in the market and economic feasibility; this 39 has encouraged poor farmers in rural regions to use these systems to produce freshwater. Another techno-40 economic analysis of several wind-driven variable flow RO systems in United Arab Emirates was conducted [9].

41 This analysis concluded that Wind-RO combination is an economic alternative to produce freshwater. However,

42 although wind and solar energies are the most widespread and environmentally friendly Renewable Energy

43 Sources (RESs) worldwide, both are of variable and intermittent nature due to unpredictable and rough changes in

44 weather conditions (*e.g.* simultaneous cloudy sky or wind speed slowing down). For that, using single RES cannot

45 always meet the electrical demand of the RO plant during the day [12].

Hybrid power generation systems, such as solar PV panels combined with wind turbine [10], are becoming more suitable with the advantage that one RES could be available when the other is not [6]. Such a temporal complementarity will increase the reliability and improve the environmental aspect of the desalination system [12]. On the other hand, standalone RO systems can be powered by different combinations of renewable energy sources (*e.g.* PV-RO, Wind-RO and PV/Wind-RO) with one or more energy storage device, such as batteries [10], super capacitors [13], fuel cell [14-15], and diesel generator backup [16]. A summary of different studied standalone small-scale RES-brackish water RO units in different sites around the world is reported in Table1.

53 Tal	le 1 Summari	y of the reported s	tandalone small-scal	e RES-BWRO unit	ts ($\leq 10m^3/d$) in the last deca	ade
---------------	--------------	---------------------	----------------------	-----------------	--	-----

Ref.	Year	Country	PV (kW)	Wind (kW)	Battery	Diesel	Hydrogen	Freshwater	Energy
						(kW)		(m3/d)	Recovery (%)
[17]	2010	Spain	0.36	no	yes	no	no	0.2 (12h)	no
[18]	2010	Uzbekistan	0.03	no	yes	no	no	0.075 (9.5h)	no
[19]	2010	Babylon, Iraq	4.1	no	yes	1.8	no	5 (24h)	no
[19]	2010	Babylon, Iraq	1.6	no	yes	1	no	5 (6h)	no
[20]	2011	Australia	not mentioned	no	no	no	no	4.8 (12h)	no
[21]	2011	Jordan	0.433	no	yes	no	no	0.5	54
[13]	2012	Marseille, France	0.5	no	no	no	no	1	not mentioned
[22]	2012	Jordan	0.432	no	yes	no	no	5.7 (24h)	no
[23]	2012	Egypt	5	not mentioned	yes	no	no	5 (24h)	no
[24]	2013	Dhahran, Saudi Arabia	2.4	2	yes	no	no	5 (12h)	not mentioned
[25]	2013	Amarika, Namibia	19.8	no	yes	no	no	3.3	23
[26]	2014	Nairobi, Kenya	5	1	yes	1.5	no	3.5	no
[26]	2014	Nyala, Sudan	5	1	yes	1.5	no	3.5	no
[27]	2015	Egypt	2.5	no	no	no	no	4-5	not mentioned
[28]	2015	India	0.075-3	no	yes	no	no	1.04 (4h)	no
[29]	2015	La Mancalona, Mexican	0.4	no	yes	no	no	1	33
[30]	2015	India	not mentioned	no	no	no	no	2.4-6	no
			(with & without freshwater storage)						
[31]	2016	Bangi, Malaysia	2	no	yes	no	no	5.1 (10h)	not mentioned
[32]	2016	Pakistan	0.75	no	yes	no	no	0.0295 (5h)	not mentioned
[32]	2016	Pakistan	0.12	no	no	no	no	0.0266 (7h)	not mentioned
[33]	2016	St. Dorcas borehole, Tanzania	0.3	no	no	no	no	1.3-1.6	23.1-27.8
[34]	2017	Saudi Arabia	0.25	no	no	no	no	0.126	not mentioned
[35]	2018	South Khorasan, Iran	not mentioned	not mentioned	yes	no	yes	10	no
[36]	2019	Tanzania	2.25	no	yes	no	no	2.36	6-18
[8]	2020	Pakistan	2	no	no	no	no	4 (8h)	no

54 Combining RESs with energy storage device has the advantage of providing constant energy flow during

insufficient power generation time and offering the ability to buffer the energy production variations. Nonetheless,

56 besides to the environmental issues, the capital and maintenance cost of batteries (and/or burned fuel cost) is often

- a major concern [37], especially for remote and poverty areas. Therefore, minimizing or even suppressing the
 energy storage device such as electrochemical storage means is a challenging issue facing such standalone
 desalination systems, especially in the light of continuous freshwater supply.
- 60 In case of RES-RO desalination system without batteries, freshwater will be produced by a variable and 61 discontinuously operated desalination system. That is, RO membrane will operate under variable feed pressure. It 62 has been demonstrated that the operation of the RO membrane under variable power supply remains unaffected 63 [38-39]. Moreover, several studies at the laboratory scale have demonstrated the possibility of operating RES-RO 64 desalination units without battery storage device [40-42]. Another study concluded that it is possible to completely 65 eliminate batteries in PV-RO systems; it needs to automatically adjust the operating point of the RO-pump with 66 fluctuating solar energy [43]. An economic analysis was also conducted in [32] for PV-RO system with and 67 without battery, where results demonstrated that battery-less PV-RO system is more economically suitable than 68 that using battery storage. A comprehensive review of desalination technologies powered by solar energy 69 including solar PV-RO desalination systems without batteries was conducted in [44]. It is concluded that coupling 70 RO and PV systems is relatively straightforward, especially for small-scale units.
- 71 Another option to reduce the capital cost is to store water in a storage tank such as the example of using buffer
- tank for freshwater storage [30] [45]. Such an alternative enables to minimize the needed number of batteries and
- overcome the challenge of longer-term renewable energy variation. In ref. [30], authors showed that the system
 productivity increased up to 36% by storing produced freshwater. It was demonstrated that a buffer tank can be
 considered as a surrogate energy storage device. The concept is that the generated renewable energy during sunny
- 76 and/or windy days is a stored energy in the form of water. This can be considered very cost-effective and
- 77 environmentally friendly alternative compared with RES-RO units using battery banks or diesel generator backup.
- 78 In this context, a focus on a standalone small-scale Brackish Water Reverse Osmosis (BWRO) desalination 79 system in rural area is put forward in this paper. This system is powered by hybrid PV/Wind turbine source 80 without electrochemical storage device, but exploiting hydraulic storage (brackish water and freshwater) in 81 storage tanks. The idea is: i) to take advantage of storing the well brackish water and the produced freshwater in 82 tanks when renewable energy is available, and ii) to exploit motor-pumps modularity (*i.e.* several pumping 83 subsystems that can be switched on/off and tuned) versus the given generated power. For this sake, an 84 experimental BWRO desalination unit at the laboratory scale (freshwater production of 300 liters/h) using an 85 elevated brackish water storage tank was designed and mounted to investigate the feasibility and reliability of 86 PV/Wind-BWRO desalination system in rural areas. A second storage tank is also used in this unit to store the 87 produced freshwater, for later use when renewable energy is unavailable.
- 88 To overcome the variable and discontinuously operated PV/Wind-BWRO unit, a specific energy (power and 89 water flows) management is then required in order to manage simultaneously power and water flows into the 90 desalination system while fulfilling technological (power and pressure ranges) and functional (tank filling state) 91 constraints of the system. The energy management strategy to be developed must take into consideration the 92 strongly coupled system design: "water/power management and device sizing". In particular, the generated power 93 from RESs is strongly coupled with the water process system efficiency. In addition to that, the coupling between 94 sizing and management performance is of a paramount importance in this study. Therefore, it is mandatory to 95 study the sizing of pumps and the different pump-combinations in the desalination system to get an optimal 96 system design enabling to maximize as much as possible the freshwater production according to the available

97 generated power. All of these aspects put forward the necessity of a "systemic design approach" integrating the 98 strong coupling between architecture (modularity), sizing and flow (power, water) management. In this regard, 99 authors in ref. [46] have investigated a global optimization approach taking account of the couplings between the 100 system architecture, sizing and energy management. They proposed an optimization dispatching algorithm for 101 sharing the variable input power between the three installed motor-pumps: the choice of the objective function for 102 the optimization algorithm to evaluate the operating efficiency has been justified. The influence of the pump 103 sizing on the system efficiency has been also analyzed.

104 This paper proposes a first design approach based on specific modeling and management strategy. It primarily 105 aims to maximize as much as possible the water production of the system according to the renewable energy 106 generation. First, a quasi-static modeling stemming from dynamic modeling of the experimental BWRO 107 desalination test bench is developed for simulations. In order to validate the developed model, the latter is 108 compared with the experimental model obtained from experimental characterizations of the BWRO test bench. 109 Then, an energy management strategy is developed using Deterministic Rule-based power sharing algorithm. 110 Such algorithm should conveniently dispatch the instantaneous generated power between the different water 111 process subsystems into the studied system while taking into consideration: i) the aforementioned system 112 constraints, and *ii*) the objective of maximizing freshwater production. For the sake of optimality, the sizing 113 influence of both pump combinations and brackish water storage tank capacity on the energy management 114 performance is then analyzed. As regards the capacity sizing of the freshwater storage tank, it is not studied during 115 simulations and analysis, since it is viewed as an infinite storage tank (in simulations) aiming to store a maximum 116 amount of produced freshwater.

117 This paper is organized as follows: the architecture and specifications of the BWRO desalination system are 118 described in Section 2. The adopted systemic design approach is defined in Section 3. The analytical modeling of

the studied system is detailed in Section 4. The EMS is described in Section 5. Section 6 is dedicated to analyze

120 the simulation results. In this Section the influence of pumps and tank capacity sizing on the energy management

121 performance are investigated and analyzed. Finally, main conclusions and prospects are summarized in Section 7.

Nomenclature Acronyms

BWRO	Brackish Water Reverse Osmosis	g	gravity acceleration, N/Kg
CV	Control Valve of the RO membrane	Hgeo	geodetic head that the pump must overcome, m
DC	Direct Current	H_{tank}	height of the stored brackish water amount in tank T_1 , m
EMS	Energy Management Strategy	H_{asp}	brackish water aspiration height of the HPP, m
HPP	High Pressure Pump	HMT	total dynamic head of the pump, m
IM	Induction Motor	P_{feed}	feed pressure of the RO membrane, bar
PV	Photovoltaic	P_{asp}	brackish water aspiration pressure of the HPP, bar
RES	Renewable Energy Source	P_{tank}	resulting pressure from stored amount of brackish water in the
RO	Reverse Osmosis		tank T_1 , bar
WP	Well Pump	P_{hyd_load}	required pressure of a hydraulic load, bar
C I		P_{HPP}	proper pressure given by the HPP, bar
Greek syr	nbols	\mathcal{P}_{dc}	renewable generated power transferred via a DC bus, W
α	power sharing factor, –	$\mathcal{P}_{\mu p p}$	assigned electric power to the HPP, W
ρ	water density, Kg/m ³	Ð	assigned electric power to the WP W
φ_r	rotor flux of the induction motor, Wb	I WP	
φ_{rd}	d-axis rotor flux in the (d,q) reference frame, Wb	\mathcal{P}_{\min}	minimum electric power, W

φ_{rq}	q-axis rotor flux in the (d,q) reference frame, Wb	$\mathcal{P}_{ ext{max}}$	maximum electric power, W
Ω	angular speed of the induction motor, rad/s	Q_p	pump flowrate, liters/min
Variables	x/narameters	$Q_{\it fresh}$	freshwater flowrate, liters/min
1 41 140 100	, per emeters	Q_{feed}	feed water flow of the RO membrane, liters/min
FS	filling state of the storage tank T_1 , %	Q_{reject}	rejected water flow rate of the RO membrane, liters/min
FS_0	initial filling state of the tank T_1 , %	$Q_{\scriptscriptstyle W\!P}$	well pump flowrate, liters/min
FS _{max}	maximum limit of the tank filling state, %	Q_{HPP}	high pressure pump flowrate, liters/min
FS_{\min}	minimum limit of the tank filling state, %	q_{fresh}	produced freshwater quantity, m ³
I_p	consumed current by the pump, A	R_s	stator resistance of the induction motor, Ohms
I_{sd}	d-axis stator current in the (d,q) reference frame, A	R_r	rotor resistance of the induction motor, Ohms
I_{sq}	q-axis stator current in the (d,q) reference frame, A	S_1	surface area of the storage tank T_1 , m ²
I_c	current of the DC-link capacitor, A	T_1	storage tank of brackish water, -
I_{dc}	DC-link current, A	T_p	pump torque, N.m
L_m	mutual inductance in the induction motor, H	T_m	motor torque, N.m
L_r	rotor inductance in the induction motor, H	V_{dc}	DC-link voltage, V
L	water level in the storage tank T_1 , m	V_{sd}	d-axis of the stator voltage in the (d,q) reference frame, V
L_{\min}^{\inf}	inferior minimum limit level in the storage tank, m	V_{sq}	q-axis of the stator voltage in the (d,q) reference frame, V
L_{\min}^{Sup}	superior minimum limit level in the storage tank, m	ΔP_{pipe}	pressure drop in pipelines, bar
$L_{ m max}^{ m Inf}$	inferior maximum limit level in the storage tank, m	$\Delta \mathcal{P}_{IM}$	electrical power losses through the induction motor associated
L_{\max}^{Sup}	superior maximum limit level in the storage tank, m		with its inverter, W
		$\Delta \mathcal{P}_p$	hydraulic power losses through the centrifugal pump

2. Description of the BWRO desalination system

123 2.1. System architecture overview

124 The studied system, depicted in Fig.1, consists of small-scale standalone brackish water pumping and desalination 125 unit. It is mainly composed of two hydro-mechanical subsystems:

- 1) "Water Pumping Process" that uses well Pump(s) (WP) to pump brackish water from the well to an
 elevated storage tank T₁. This process is dedicated for gravitational brackish water storage.
- 128 2) *"Reverse Osmosis (RO) Desalination Process"* enabling to produce freshwater using a RO membrane(s)
 129 fed by a High Pressure Pump (HPP). The HPP exploits the stored amount of brackish water in the tank T₁
 130 to feed the RO membranes under high pressure. The produced freshwater is stored in the tank T₂.

131 This unit would be powered via a Direct Current (DC) bus with a variable generated power \mathcal{P}_{dc} offered along 132 wind speed and solar irradiation conditions (PV/Wind turbine) without battery storage.

133 The hydraulic architecture of the desalination system depicted in Fig. 2 is defined so that the desalination system 134 includes two independent hydraulic subsystems decoupled through the elevated water storage tank T_1 . The latter 135 presents the first key element of the chosen architecture. In other words, pumped brackish water from the well can 136 be stored into the elevated tank T_1 , when renewable energy is available, for later or simultaneous use by the RO 137 desalination process to produce freshwater. The latter in turn is stored in high capacity tank T_2 , for later use when 138 the renewable energy is unavailable. This constitutes the second key element of the system architecture. 139 Therefore, the electrochemical storage device can be replaced by hydraulic storage (brackish water and 140 freshwater) in water tanks.





Fig.1. Synoptic of the autonomous BWRO desalination system





145

2.2. Experimental test bench

An experimental test bench, shown in Fig.3, was designed and mounted in our research laboratory (L.S.E in ENIT-UTM, Tunis, Tunisia). The synoptic scheme of the experimental test bench is depicted in Fig.4. The specifications of the presented test bench are reported in Table 2. This experimental unit is used for the experimental characterizations of the hydro-mechanical processes in order to validate the system model. Indeed, the two hydro-mechanical processes have been experimentally characterized and dynamically modeled in previous studies [47-50].

152 Based on the dynamic modeling of hydro-mechanical processes of the presented RO unit, a quasi-static modeling

is developed for energy management which is described in Section 4.



Fig.4. Sysnoptic scheme of the experimental BWRO desalination test bench

Table 2 Specifications of the experimental desalination test bench

Components	Characteristics	Component constraints
High Pressure Pump (HPP)	Model: EBARA EVM2 22F/2.2	Minimum absorbed electric power: 620 W
	Power output: 2.2 kW	Maximum absorbed electric power: 1800 w
	Rated Pressure: 8.17-18.6 bar	
	Rated flow rate: 20- 60 l/min	
Well Pump 1 (WP ₁)	Model: PEDROLLO CP158	Minimum absorbed electric power: 120 W
	Type: centrifugal 3-ph motor pump	Maximum absorbed electric power: 1020 W
	Power output: 0.75 kW	
	Rated Pressure: 2.5-3.4 bar	
	Rated flow rate: 10-90 l/min	
Well Pump 2 (WP ₂)	Model: LOWARA CEA70/3	Minimum absorbed electric power: 120 W
	Type: centrifugal 3-ph motor pump Power output: 0.37 kW	Maximum absorbed electric power: 370 W

	Rated Pressure: 1.3-2 bar Rated flow rate: 30-80 l/min	
RO membrane	Model: TORAY TM710 Feed water salinity: 4 g/l Maximum freshwater production: 300 l/h	Minimum feed pressure: 8.4 bar Maximum feed pressure: 16.2 bar
Storage tank (T_1)	Capacity: 2.18 m ³ Height: 2.1 m Elevation height: 4 m	Inferior minimum limit level L_{\min}^{Inf} : 0.2 m Superior minimum limit level L_{\min}^{Sup} : 0.25 m Inferior maximum limit level L_{\max}^{Inf} : 1.9 m Superior maximum limit level L_{\max}^{Sup} : 2 m

159 2.3. Characteristic specificity of the chosen architecture

160 Due to the hydraulic processes decoupling, the system has more degrees of freedom than conventional used 161 architecture where motor-pumps are usually coupled in series (without brackish water storage tank). In fact, the 162 system can independently operate as: i) "pumping system" by operating solely the water pumping process in case 163 of low level of generated power, or ii) "RO desalination system" by operating solely the RO desalination process 164 in case of high level of generated power, or also iii) "pumping and RO desalination system" in case of very high 165 level of generated power. In the latter operating mode, the two hydraulic processes operate simultaneously to 166 pump brackish water from the well to fill the storage tank and, in the same time, produce freshwater using the pumped brackish water from T_1 . Indeed, this configuration offers a great flexibility for the experimental 167 168 characterization of each hydro-mechanical process.

Within this hydraulic configuration, the "gravitational water storage" involves an advantage of great importance in terms of energy efficiency improvement; this fact enables to take benefit of an additional free hydraulic energy boosting the RO desalination energy efficiency. As a result, freshwater productivity can be improved. This point has been highlighted in previous work [51]. Moreover, besides the advantage of being environmentally friendly, another advantage of using hydraulic storage over electrochemical storage device is the simplicity of such a configuration (no need to control laws), as well as it offers lower cost alternative (no need to additional power converters for control and no maintenance requirements).

On the other hand, the studied system is classified among complex energy systems. Its complexity is characterized by the combination of components of different natures and functionalities, all interacted within the system under study. Such heterogeneity leads to several physical phenomena coexistence, and several system constraints of different domains. These constraints can be listed as: *i*) functioning under variable energy supply (*i.e.* variable feeding power and pressures), *ii*) technological constraints of pumping devices (power ranges) and RO membrane (flow-pressure range), and *iii*) functioning constraints (*i.e.* filling state of the storage tank). This makes the modeling and the flow (power, water) management of the system a difficult task.

183 Given the diversification of the system constraints, it becomes necessary to move towards a new approach 184 permitting to connect all system components by integrating "intra"- and "inter-disciplinary" coupling. This 185 methodology is based on the "systemic design approach" [52] described in the following section.

186 **3.** Definition of the systemic design approach

187 The adopted systemic design approach is described in Fig. 5. It relies on the choice of: *i*) architecture and 188 components, *ii*) sizing, and *iii*) development of control/management process. The design has to meet 189 requirements defined by the bill of specifications. This constitutes a fundamental and preliminary step for the 190 designer. Therefore, satisfying the bill of specifications needs a "synthesis" (*i.e.* structure determination) and

- 191 "sizing" (*i.e.* parameters determination) that meet: *i*) these requirements while anticipating by "simulation" and
- 192 "analysis" (Fig.5) of the system being designed, *ii*) system performance, and *iii*) system constraints.
- 193 The systemic design approach is an iterative process based on three main phases:
- *Phase 1:* the choice of the system architecture (as defined in the previous section). This allows
 performing the modeling and simulation of these components.
- *Phase 2:* the optimal pre-sizing of the system components. Such a phase allows characterizing of these
 elements and specifying the instantaneous energy transfers during an idealized operating cycle. This
 leads to understand the energy behavior of the system.
- *Phase 3:* the optimization of the energy flows into the system with the objective of determining the
 optimal energy management of the system.
- 201 Therefore, following the energy optimization and the simulation of the system behavior it may happen that the 202 components previously chosen are oversized or undersized. For that reason, the "system sizing - energy 203 optimization" cycle is repeated several times off-line in order to refine the system specifications. The objective 204 here is to design a system that should be well adapted to the energy management strategy which, in turn, will be 205 implemented on-line. For this sake, several iterations are performed in this work as part of the systemic design by 206 testing several combinations of different sized pumps and even different storage tank capacities. At each iteration, 207 the architecture is defined, then, the system components are characterized and modeled based on the experimental 208 set-up. This provides deep understanding of the system energy behavior. At the end of the cycle, the proposed 209 energy management strategy is applied to the chosen architecture, and obtained results are analyzed.



Fig.5. Optimization process of a complex energy system by the systemic approach

212 4. System modeling

This study focuses on the steady-state functioning of the system in order to simplify the energy management task. The quasi-static model constitutes a "power flow model" derived from dynamic energy behavior throughout the desalination chain. Therefore, in order to develop the quasi-static model of the hydro-mechanical system, several physical fields have to be considered. As depicted in Fig.6, it consists of the following subsystems from right to left: hydraulic load, centrifugal pump, and three phase induction motor fed and controlled by a voltage source inverter. The subsystems are linked each other by power flow variables: electrical voltage and current (V_{dc} , I_p), motor-pump torque and speed (T_p , Ω), and pump flow rate and pressure (Q_p , $P_p=\rho gHMT$). The HMT describes the

total dynamic head (in meter) which depends on hydraulic load and pressure losses in pipelines ΔP_{pipe} , ρ is the

221 water density and g is the gravity acceleration.





Fig. 6. Synoptic of the quasi-static modeling of a single-pump hydro-mechanical process: a power flow model

This section is divided into five parts: the first one is devoted for the modeling of the hydraulic subsystems (*i.e.* hydraulic loads). The second part presents the storage tank analytical model. The third part describes the hydromechanical subsystems modeling. Then, the analytical quasi-static model of a hydro mechanical process is presented in the fourth part. The last one is dedicated to the practical validation of the quasi-static model for each hydro-mechanical process.

229 4.1. Hydraulic subsystems modeling

As depicted in Fig.1 and Fig.2, we have two different hydro-mechanical subsystems decoupled through a storage tank T_1 . The modeling task here depends on the hydraulic load type of each subsystem:

1) In case of typical load as for the "**first water subsystem**", where the water is moved from one level (the well) to another (*i.e.* an elevated tank) as shown in Fig.2, a pressure drop in pipelines ΔP_{pipe} occurs. The latter is caused by the height difference H_{geo} (geodetic head that the pump must overcome), and the hydraulic losses depending on the pump water flow $Q_p=Q_{WP}$ [53-54]. Thus, the hydraulic load model of the first process (*e.g.* case of one well pump) is expressed by:

$$\Delta P_{pipe} = \rho g H_{geo} + k Q_{WP}^2 \tag{1}$$

237 where, k is a constant.

2) The "**second subsystem**" is related to the water RO desalination process; it involves a complex and nonlinear hydraulic load (RO membrane + Control Valve (CV)) coupled to the HPP. The latter offers high water pressure to feed the RO membrane to produce freshwater. Based on the dynamic model of the RO membrane previously developed and detailed [48] and [55], the static model of the RO membrane expressed by (3), (4) and (5) is deduced by neglecting the dynamic elements effect, with some approximations:

$$P_{feed} = \left(R_{mod \, ule} + R_{valve}\right)Q_{reject}^2 \tag{2}$$

$$Q_{fresh} = \frac{P_{feed}}{R_{membrane}}$$
(3)

$$Q_{feed} = Q_{fresh} + Q_{reject} \tag{4}$$

243 Where, Q_{feed} and P_{feed} denote respectively the feed flow rate and pressure of the RO membrane, Q_{fresh} the produced 244 freshwater flow rate, Q_{reject} the rejected water flow rate (very salted water), and (R_{module} , R_{valve} , $R_{membrane}$) are the 245 RO membrane parameters whose experimental values are reported in Table 3. Indeed, hydraulic losses into the 246 RO process via the control valve CV (Fig.2), the RO module and the RO membrane are modeled as resistances

- such as R_{module} , R_{valve} , $R_{membrane}$. It should be noted that a RO module can include one or several RO membranes
- coupled in series. In the studied case, each module contains one RO membrane.
- Based on (2), (3) and (4), the static model of the hydraulic load (*i.e.* RO module) of the second process is deduced
- and expressed by (5) with respect to Q_{feed} .

$$P_{feed} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{R_{membrane}^2}{4\left(R_{mod\ ule} + R_{valve}\right)} + R_{membrane}Q_{feed}} - \frac{R_{membrane}}{2\sqrt{R_{mod\ ule} + R_{valve}}}\right)^2$$
(5)

According to the hydraulic structure of the desalination process depicted in Fig.2, the feed pressure P_{feed} of the RO module results from the sum of different pressures as follows:

$$P_{feed} = P_{HPP} + P_{tank} + P_{asp}$$

= $P_{HPP} + \rho g (L - L_{min}^{Inf}) + \rho g H_{asp}$ (6)

Where, P_{HPP} denotes the proper pressure given by the HPP which is expressed in the next subsection by (8), P_{tank} is the resulting pressure from the stored amount of brackish water in the tank T_1 , H_{asp} and P_{asp} denote respectively the brackish water aspiration height and pressure of the HPP.

256 *4.2. Storage tank modeling*

A storage tank is characterized by the stored water level *L*. The first tank T_1 is modeled by (7) describing a timevarying model.

$$L(t + \Delta t) = L(t) + \frac{1}{S_1} \int_{t}^{t + \Delta t} (Q_{WP}(t) - Q_{HPP}(t)) dt$$
(7)

where, S_1 being the surface area of T_1 , and $(Q_{WP}$ and $Q_{HPP})$ denote respectively the well pump and the HP pump flow rates (*i.e.* input and output flow rates of the tank).

261 *4.3. Hydro-Mechanical subsystem modeling*

The static model of a centrifugal pump is obtained by neglecting the dynamic elements effect from its dynamicmodel performed in previous work [48]. Thus, the static part of a centrifugal pump is modeled as follows:

$$P_p(\Omega, Q_p) = (a\Omega + bQ_p)\Omega + cQ_p^2$$
(8)

$$T_{p}\left(\Omega, Q_{p}\right) = \left(a\Omega + bQ_{p}\right)Q_{p} + f_{p}\Omega$$

$$\tag{9}$$

264 Where, P_p being the water pump pressure, Q_p the pump flow rate, T_p the pump torque, Ω the motor-pump angular

speed, and f_p is the coefficient of the hydraulic friction in the pump. The pump parameters (*a*, *b*, *c*, f_p) have been

experimentally identified and are reported in Table 3.

Table 3 Hydraulic parameters of different components of the experimental desalination	n test bench
---	--------------

Component	Parameter	Value	Unit
HPP	а	0.0002317	Ns^2/m^2
	b	-0.0005198	Ns²/m²
	с	-0.002427	Ns²/m²
	f_p	0.0038	Nms
W_{P1}	а	4e-5	Ns²/m²
	b	-1.767e-5	Ns²/m²
	с	0.0002	Ns²/m²
	f_p	0.0019	Nms
WP ₂	а	2.446e-5	Ns²/m²
	b	-6.507e-5	Ns^2/m^2

	$c f_p$	21.205e-5 0.002	Ns²/m² Nms
RO Membrane	R _{module}	1.038e12	Ns²/m ⁸
	R _{valve}	7.785e12	Ns²/m ⁸
	R _{membrane}	1.695e10	Ns/m ⁵

268 4.4. Quasi-static model of a hydro mechanical process

With regard to the three-phase Induction Motor (IM) driving the centrifugal pump, the Power Field Oriented Control (PFOC) method has been applied through the associated inverter as depicted in Fig.7. In such a case, the inverter is used to regulate the magnetic flux and control the torque being cascaded with the DC-link voltage control loop [56-57]. In the Park's d-q reference frame linked to rotating field, the rotor flux is controlled through the d-axis ($\varphi_{rd} = \varphi_r$, $\varphi_{rq} = 0$).





Fig.7. Block diagram of the control strategy of a single-pump hydro-mechanical process

276 By neglecting power losses via the inverter (denoted ΔP_{IM} in Fig. 6), the power balance is given by:

$$V_{\rm dc}I_p = V_{sq}I_{sq} + V_{sd}I_{sd} \tag{10}$$

As a result, based on the above equation and the original equations of the stator and rotor voltages in the d-q reference frame, while neglecting the dynamic elements (*i.e.* fast transients), the electrical power \mathcal{P}_{IM} feeding the motor-pump can be expressed versus the angular speed and the electromagnetic torque (Ω , T_m). The latter variables are in turn expressed versus pump flow rate Q_p and are given by (11) and (12).

$$T_m(\Omega, Q_p) = T_p(\Omega, Q_p) + f_m\Omega$$
⁽¹¹⁾

$$\Omega(Q_p) = \frac{-bQ_p + \sqrt{(bQ_p)^2 - 4a(cQ_p^2 - P_{hyd-load}(Q_p))}}{2a}$$
(12)

Where, $P_{hyd-load}$ denotes the hydraulic load pressure describing the analytical model of the hydraulic load of each hydro-mechanical process. It should be pointed out that the full expression of the motor-pump angular speed $\Omega(Q_p)$ can be derived from (1) and (8) in case of "pumping process", and from (5), (6) and (8) in case of "desalination process", by choosing the positive root of the 2nd order equation.

As a result, \mathcal{P}_{IM} can be expressed with respect to the pump flow rate Q_p by (13).

$$\mathcal{P}_{IM}(\mathcal{Q}_p) = R_{sr} \frac{\varphi_r}{L_m} - R_r \left(\frac{\varphi_r}{L_r}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{R_{sr}L_r}{L_m\varphi_r}T_m(\mathcal{Q}_p)\right)^2 + \Omega(\mathcal{Q}_p)T_m(\mathcal{Q}_p)$$
(13)

where,

$$R_{sr} = R_s + R_r \left(\frac{L_m}{L_r}\right)^2 \tag{14}$$

The obtained equation (13) presents a nonlinear and multivariable expression of the feeding electrical power of themotor-pump with its corresponding hydraulic load. In order to determine the pump flow rate with respect to its

feeding electrical power, (13) can be reversed by using the "fsolve" function under Matlab[®] software. The inverse expression (*i.e.* $Q_p = f(\mathcal{P}_{IM})$) describes the quasi-static model of a whole hydro-mechanical process in the

desalination system.

292 *4.5. Practical validation of the quasi-static model*

293 In order to validate the developed models of the two hydro-mechanical processes, model-based simulation results 294 are compared to the models extracted from experimental characterizations (in steady-state) of each hydro-295 mechanical process of the desalination experimental set-up (for more details on the experimental characterizations 296 see sub-section 3.2) in ref. [51]). This comparison is illustrated by Fig.8 that presents the water flow rate variation 297 curves $Q_p = f(\mathcal{P}_{IM})$ for the three used motor-pumps (WP1, WP2 and HPP) with respect to the drawn electrical power by each motor-pump. According to this, an energetic coherence between the dynamic and quasi-static 298 299 models of each hydro-mechanical process has been proved. These results put forward the great worth of the quasi-300 static modeling to be used in order to set and optimize the energy management strategy which is defined and 301 discussed in the next section.





Fig.8. Comparison of the developed and experimental models for the different hydro-mechanical subsystems of (a) well pump WP1 (b) well
 pump WP2 and (c) high pressure pump HPP.

305 5. Energy management strategy

306 This section is divided into two parts. The first one is devoted for setting the energy management problem. The 307 second part is dedicated to describe the energy management strategy (EMS) that is based on deterministic rules.

308 *5.1. Setting the water/power management problem*

309 As electrochemical storage is substituted by hydraulic storage in water tanks, the desalination system includes two 310 decoupled and independent hydro-mechanical subsystems. The energy requirements of these subsystems are not 311 the same depending on their operating points. The latter depend on both the hydraulic characteristic curve of the 312 pump (imposed following the rotational angular speed of the motor-pump) and the hydraulic load characteristic 313 curve of each pump. This needs to develop a specific EMS permitting to manage simultaneously the power and 314 water flows into the system while fulfilling the technological (power and pressure ranges) and functional (tank 315 filling state) constraints. The principle of the proposed EMS is defined such as the input variable generated power 316 from RESs can be dispatched between the different pumping devices according to a power sharing factor (α_i) 317 defined as:

$$\mathcal{P}_{p_i} = \alpha_i \mathcal{P}_{dc} \tag{15}$$

318 With:

n

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1 \tag{16}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{p_i}^{\min} \le \mathcal{P}_{p_i} \le \mathcal{P}_{p_i}^{\max} \tag{17}$$

$$L_{\min}^{\ln f} \le L \le L_{\max}^{Sup} \tag{18}$$

319 Where \mathcal{P}_{pi} and α_i denote respectively the electrical power that should be addressed to the *i*th motor-pump of the 320 system, and its corresponding power sharing factor, \mathcal{P}_{dc} denotes the input DC-link power to be dispatched, and *n* 321 is the total number of pumping devices.

The objective of the EMS described by equations (15), (16), (17) and (18) is to maximize the freshwater production of the system according to the available renewable energy generation while respecting the system constraints. Maximizing freshwater production following the available renewable energy is beneficial in terms of freshwater storage that presents a new form of energy storage. The idea is to maximize and store freshwater, for later use when renewable energy is unavailable.

327 The power value \mathcal{P}_{pi} for the *i*th switched-On pump is considered as reference value that should be given by the EMS based on (15). The choice of the \mathcal{P}_{pi} value is constrained by both the power range of the i^{th} motor-pump and 328 329 the tank filling state confines as defined in (17) and (18), respectively. This principle is applied for all pumping 330 devices. Thus, according to both input generated power and the T_1 filling state, the α_i value is computed and 331 subsequently the \mathcal{P}_{pi} value of each pump is determined. Then, according to the power value \mathcal{P}_{pi} and to the 332 operation time of each pump the brackish water level in the tank T_1 (defined by (7)) will be varied (*i.e.* increased 333 or decreased). For example, in case of low value of $\alpha_1 = (\mathcal{P}_{WP1}/\mathcal{P}_{dc})$, the well pump (WP1) operates in the region 334 of low efficiency due to the very low input power. For this reason, no remarkable increase in the water level can 335 be noticed, but on the other side the high pressure pump (HPP) operates with high input power and good

efficiency (*i.e.* $\mathcal{P}_{HPP} = \alpha_2 \mathcal{P}_{dc} = (1 - \alpha_1) \mathcal{P}_{dc}$, in case of n = 2). This, advantageously leads to increase the freshwater

- **337** production. On the opposite case with higher α_1 values, WP efficiency can be improved and the brackish water
- 338 level in the tank increases accordingly. This enables to increase the storage amount of brackish water in the tank
- for later use by the RO process when the renewable energy is available. It is also possible to simultaneously store
- 340 brackish water in the tank and produce freshwater when the renewable energy is abundant (*i.e.* very high
- 341 generated power supply). Therefore, the generated power from renewable sources is strongly coupled with the
- 342 water process system efficiency: in particular, the importance of respecting pumping power limits as defined in
- 343 (17) is put forward to prevent problematic operations that degrade efficiency and could also reduce the lifetime of
- 344 pumps. The latter issue emphasizes the first coupling between "power and subsystems efficiency".
- On the other hand, EMS performance is affected when modifying the sizing of motor-pumps. It depends on the choice of the motor-pump rated power: here respectively (0.75kW and 2.2kW) as initial combination in the desalination system, then (0.37kW and 2.2kW) as a second combination. Indeed, by choosing another motorpump with lower rated power, but with relatively high flow rate, energy efficiency of the motor-pump can be relatively improved with a lower power consumption \mathcal{P}_{pi} . Consequently, EMS performance will be influenced. This issue amphasizes the second coupling between "circing and management performance".
- 350 This issue emphasizes the second coupling between "sizing and management performance".
- 351 A third coupling between "tank level and power management" has to be managed. Indeed, after a certain 352 operation time of the WP(s), the maximum filling level of the tank T_1 can be attained and subsequently WPs must 353 be shut down. On the other hand, operating the HPP is only possible if the tank T_1 is not empty.
- Based on this strongly coupled system design (water management and pump sizing), a Deterministic Rule-based
 EMS is developed. It consists of deterministic energy dispatch that evaluates the system states of the desalination
 system based on set rules, then computes the respective energy dispatches.
- 357 It should be noted that the experimental desalination test bench installed in our research laboratory (L.S.E in 358 ENIT-UTM, Tunis, Tunisia) presents a prototype including two pumping devices: one WP and one HPP. Indeed, 359 the experimental test bench is exploited for two sizing steps: the first sizing is 0.75kW for well pumping and 360 2.2kW for the RO process, and the second sizing is respectively 0.37kW and 2.2kW whose characteristics are 361 reported in Table 2. It is a simplified case study, but the methodology may be extrapolated to any number of 362 subsystems. In this work, it can be extrapolated to three pumping devices: two parallel WPs functioning through 363 exploiting pumps modularity versus the input power, and one HPP. So, in the next subsection the influence of both "pump sizing" and "modularity" on management performance are investigated and analyzed. 364
- 365 In this work, the suitable power range $[\mathcal{P}_{min} \mathcal{P}_{max}]$ of each pumping device has been experimentally identified 366 (for more details see ref. [51]) while functioning with a given hydraulic load.
- 367 5.2. Rule-based EMS
- According to the given power \mathcal{P}_{dc} , and given the hydraulic loads characteristics, a modular approach (*i.e.* several motor-pumps that can be switched on/off and tuned) for energy management is applied to the studied system. This management approach is based on different operating modes that can be switched during the system operation. Indeed, from the power ranges of motor-pumps, three main operating modes are considered and explained in
- Table 4. The operating mode preference essentially depends on: *i*) the given power supply \mathcal{P}_{dc} , *ii*) the stored water
- level L in the tank T_1 that must vary on its specified confines ($L_{\min}^{Inf} \le L \le L_{\max}^{Sup}$), and *iii*) the operating power
- 374 range of each pumping device $[\mathcal{P}_{\min} \mathcal{P}_{\max}]$.

375 Table 4 The possible operating modes of the BWRO desalination system

Operating mode	Description
Mode P	Pumping mode: at least one WP is switched On, the HPP is shut down. During this mode, pumped brackish water (to be traited) is stored in the tank T_1 .
Mode D	Deslination mode: all WPs are shut down and the HPP is switched On. During this mode, the stored brackish water in the tank is exploited to produce freshwater.
Mode P&D	'Pumping and Desalination' mode: the HPP and at least one WP are switched On. This mode is recommended when the genrated power is abundant.
Mode OFF	The system is shut down.

Regarding the storage tank T_1 , four limit levels (L_{\min}^{Inf} , L_{\min}^{Sup} , L_{\max}^{Inf} , L_{\max}^{Sup}) are defined in this study. The idea 377 378 of choosing such boundaries is to maintain the tank filling state within an acceptable range $[L_{\min}^{\text{Sup}} - L_{\max}^{\text{Inf}}]$. Moreover, each limit interval ($[L_{\min}^{Inf} - L_{\min}^{Sup}]$, $[L_{\max}^{Inf} - L_{\max}^{Sup}]$) permits to avoid respectively the tank 379 380 emptying and overflow. These intervals make a "safety margin" against the successive occurrence of motorpumps-switched On/Off. For example, within the $[L_{\min}^{Inf} - L_{\min}^{Sup}]$ margin the HPP must be shut down in order 381 382 to avoid emptying the tank and only the WP should operate to increase the water level if the renewable power is 383 available. This may avoid the successive HPP-switched On/Off. The opposite case is considered for the $[L_{max}]^{hf}$ L_{\max}^{Sup}] margin. 384

385 In order to study the influence of pump sizing and modularity on management performance, four pump 386 combinations are proposed that are summarized in Table 5 and corresponding operating ranges are illustrated in 387 Fig.9. For example, for Comb.3 where the WP₁, WP₂ and HPP are used, three different power ranges have to be 388 considered in the energy management that are respectively as follows: [120W-1020W], [120W-370W] and 389 [620W-1800W]. Three additional limits are also added which are as follows: (2170W = 370W+1800W), (2820W = 1020W+1800W), and (3190W = 370W+1020W+1800W). As a result, nine power intervals are 390 391 obtained to be tested on the input generated power \mathcal{P}_{dc} in the rule-based power sharing algorithm. So, the decision 392 making for power sharing depends on the \mathcal{P}_{dc} value and the filling state of the storage tank as depicted in Fig.9. For example, if $(\mathcal{P}_{dc} = 700 \text{W} \in [620 \text{W} - 1020 \text{W}])$ and $(L_{min}^{Sup} < L < L_{intermed})$ then $(\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 0 \text{ and } \alpha_3 = 0)$. That 393 394 means that the WP₁ is set On (*i.e.* $\mathcal{P}_{WP1} = \mathcal{P}_{dc}$), and the {WP₂ and HPP} are shut down (*i.e.* $\mathcal{P}_{WP2} = \mathcal{P}_{HPP} = 0$). In 395 this case, the system takes benefit of the total generated power to operate only in pumping mode in order to 396 increase the brackish water storage amount in the tank. When the generated power increases (e.g. $P_{dc} = 1000W$) 397 and the brackish water level exceeds the intermediate level (*i.e.* $L > L_{intermed}$), then ($\alpha_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\alpha_3 = 1$). In this case, the system operates only in desalination mode (*i.e.* $\mathcal{P}_{HPP} = \mathcal{P}_{dc}$) to produce freshwater and the well 398 399 pumps are both shut down (*i.e.* $\mathcal{P}_{WP1} = \mathcal{P}_{WP2} = 0$).

400 According to the different pump-combinations four different Deterministic Rule-based algorithms are developed 401 and tested for energy management. One example of the developed rule-based algorithms is described through the 402 flowchart depicted in Fig.10 giving an idea on the mode preference according to the input power and the current 403 water level in the tank. Indeed, the presented algorithm offers several degrees of freedom permitting to optimize 404 the different pumps operation, namely: for the input electrical power by defining thresholds (\mathcal{P}_{WP}^{min} , \mathcal{P}_{WP}^{max} ,

 \mathcal{P}_{HPP}^{\min} , \mathcal{P}_{HPP}^{\max} , $\mathcal{P}_{max} = \mathcal{P}_{WP}^{\max} + \mathcal{P}_{HPP}^{\max}$), and for the storage tank by defining thresholds (L_{\min}^{Inf} , L_{\min}^{Sup} , L_{intermed} , 405 406 $L_{\rm max}^{\rm Inf}$, $L_{\rm max}^{\rm Sup}$). The intermediate level defined by (19) is a supplementary threshold limit defining the power 407 dispatching strategy. So, as illustrated in Fig.9 under this level ($L \leq L_{intermed}$), the priority is addressed to the well 408 pumping (brackish water storage) over the desalination process so that WP(s) can function as much as possible to 409 fill the storage tank. In addition, when the renewable energy is abundant, it is possible to operate simultaneously 410 the two processes while ensuring that: $Q_{WP} \ge Q_{HPP}$ in order to prevent emptying the tank. In this case, the system 411 operates in pumping mode with "desalination mode in a moderate way". On the opposite, when $L > L_{intermed}$ there 412 is enough stored brackish water. This enables to switch the priority to the desalination process over well pumping 413 leading to maximize the freshwater production. In addition to that, when the renewable energy is abundant both 414 processes can operate simultaneously while ensuring that: $Q_{WP} \leq Q_{HPP}$ in order to prevent tank overflow. In this 415 case, the system operates in desalination mode with "pumping mode in a moderate way". This strategy is 416 implemented and described through the flowchart of one developed EMS that is illustrated in Fig.10.

$$L_{\text{intermed}} = \frac{L_{\text{min}}^{\text{Inf}} + L_{\text{max}}^{\text{Sup}}}{2} \left(\frac{Q_{HPP}}{Q_{WP}} \right)$$
(19)

417 Table 5 Different pump combinations

	Comb.1	Comb.2	Comb.3	Comb.4
Pump combination	WP ₁ /HPP	WP ₂ /HPP	$WP_1/WP_2/HPP$	WP ₂ /WP ₂ /HPP
	(0.75/2.2 кW)	(0.37/2.2 KW)	(0.75/0.37/2.2 кW)	(0.37/0.37/2.2 KW)

418 Note that the flow rate Q_{pi} of the *i*th pump is computed by inverting the expression (13) and using the reference

feed power value of the i^{th} pump calculated by (15). The task here is to compute the instantaneous values of the α_i

420 factor enabling to reach the sought objective of EMS. Simulation results are analyzed in the next section.



(P) > (D) : favoring Pumping (P) over Desalination (D) mode
 (D) > (P) : favoring Desalination (D) over Pumping (P) mode

421 422

Fig.9. Power ranges for different pump combinations with operating mode preference according the stored water level



Fig.10. Flowchart of the Deterministc Rule-based energy management strategy for Comb.1

425 6. Simulation results analysis

426 This section is dedicated to analyze the EMS performance through simulations where different components sizing427 and different pump-combinations are considered.

428 The simulations have been accomplished using real data of hybrid PV/Wind power generation recorded every 429 hour from January to December, 2007 of a region in Southeast Tunisia: Djerba-Midoun. The PV source is 430 composed of 8 monocrystalline modules (from elysun) of 250Wp each, where the total generated power is of 431 2kWp. As for the wind turbine source, the Aeolos-H 2kW wind turbine is used with rated power of 2kW.

The different dispatch algorithms have been coded under Matlab[©] software using the simulation parameters reported in Table 6 where the considered sampling period is 2.5 minutes (*i.e.* the sampling period is $T_s = 2.5 \ge 0.5$ = 150s). Indeed, an interpolation has been performed on the recorded hourly power profile in order to modify the sampling period ($T_s = 1$ h) which represents a long time interval for the proposed EMS. A daily and weekly power profiles, depicted in Fig.11, were considered for tests and analysis. It should be noted that during simulations two RO membranes have been considered.

438 Table 6 Simulation parameters

Symbol	Description	Value	Unit
k_1	Number of samples in a day	553	samples
k_2	Number of samples in a week	4009	samples
k_3	Number of samples in a year	210217	samples
$T_{\rm s}$	Sampling period	150	s
$T_{\rm t}$	Total time for one day	82950	S
FS_{max}	Maximum Filling State of the tank	100	%
FS_{\min}	Minimum Filling State of the tank	10	%
FS_0	Initial Filling State of the tank	60	%





Fig.11. Daily (a) and weekly (b) PV-Wind generated electrical power profiles

441 Results of the developed EMS for each pump combination are reported in Table 7. These results are assessed in 442 terms of freshwater production q_{fresh} (in m³) for the two presented power profiles. As it is previously explained, the 443 main objective of the EMS is to maximize as much as possible the freshwater production while taking benefit of 444 the available renewable energy. This permits to increase as much as possible the freshwater amount storage that 445 will be used later when renewable energy is unavailable. In such a way, electrochemical storage device can be 446 avoided. According to this criterion, best results (i.e. the higher produced freshwater amount) are indicated in bold 447 type in Table 7. It can be deduced from this table that the most appropriate combination in terms of freshwater 448 production is Comb.4 where two parallel identical well pumps with a rated power of 0.37kW each are used for the 449 welling process. The pump modularity approach is applied here; according to the generated input power level the 450 well pumping process can operate either a single pump (0.37kW), or simultaneously the two parallel pumps where 451 the input power is shared between them. This approach has the advantage of operating only one pump WP₂ with 452 lower rated power (0.37kW), but with higher energy efficiency when the given input power is very low compared 453 with the single pump WP_1 (0.75kW). Then, when the input power level rises, the modularity approach offers the 454 possibility to operate simultaneously more than one pump operating each with good energy efficiency.

455 Moreover, the influence of HPP sizing on the system efficiency is also analyzed: so the previously used HPP 456 (EBARA EVM2 22F/2.2) is substituted now by a new HPP (rated power of 1.5kW instead of 2.2kW) and used for 457 all previous pump combinations. The new HPP, where characteristics are reported in Table 8, was selected from 458 the Grundfos manufacturer's catalog. Its electrical and hydraulic characteristic curves were taken from the 459 Grundfos Product Center, an online tool proposed by Grundfos for the research and design of pumps. Simulation 460 results for the different pump combinations with the new HPP are reported in Table 9. For the sake of visibility, 461 the obtained results are illustrated in Fig.12 and Fig.13 where changes on the freshwater amounts are more 462 visualized. It is noticed that the freshwater production is improved when using the new HPP whose rated power is 463 lower than the first one, but with higher energy yield.

464 Table 7 Produced freshwater quantity (in m³) according to component sizing

Comb.1 Comb.2 Comb.3 Comb.4

Storage tank T_1 capacity C1: 2.18 m ³							
Daily power profile	11.96	11.86	11.95	12.03			
Weekly power profile	78.47	78.93	78.86	79.35			
Storage tank T_1 capacity C2: 4.36 m ³							
Daily power profile	12.12	12.06	12.12	12.2			
Weekly power profile	80.46	80.45	80.68	80.88			

465 Table 8 Characteristics of the new HPP Grundfos 1.5kW – CRE 1-21

Components	Characteristics	Component constraints
Grundfos HPP	Model: CRE 1-21	Minimum absorbed electric power: 596 W
	Type: centrifugal pump	Maximum absorbed electric power: 1563 W
	Power output: 1.5 kW	
	Rated Pressure: 7.7-18 bar	
	Rated flow rate: 13.3-46.6 l/min	

466 On the other hand, besides to the influence of pump sizing, the influence of the brackish water storage tank sizing 467 (capacities C1 and C2) on the management performance is also investigated by doubling the tank capacity 468 (C2=4.36m³). As it can be seen in Table 7, Table 9, Fig.12 and Fig.13 when doubling the hydraulic storage 469 capacity, the freshwater production is improved for all combinations. This means that increasing the hydraulic 470 storage capacity enables to improve the system productivity and the renewable energy use. The later in turn could 471 play an important role in the energy optimization. In addition to that, Comb.4 is always still the most appropriate 472 combination in terms of freshwater production, especially when using the new HPP (1.5kW – CRE 1-21).

473 All of the above mentioned findings highlight the great importance of:

- The strongly coupling between "the power and subsystems efficiency", and coupling between "the pump

sizing and the energy management performance".

476 - The strongly coupling between "water management and pump sizing".

Table 9 Produced freshwater quantity (in m³) according to component sizing using the new HPP 1.5kW – CRE 1-21

	Comb.1	Comb.2	Comb.3	Comb.4		
Storage tank T_1 capacity C1: 2.18 m ³						
Daily power profile	12.05	11.97	12.07	12.1		
Weekly power profile	79.5	79.82	79.95	80.24		
Storage tank T_1 capacity C2: 4.36 m ³						
Daily power profile	12.31	12.28	12.35	12.34		
Weekly power profile	81.74	81.56	82.04	82.12		



Fig.12. Produced freshwater quantity (in m³) for the different pump-combinations for a daily power profile.





Fig.13. Produced freshwater quantity (in m³) for the different pump-combinations for a weekly power profile.

It should be pointed out that designing the desalination system based on the modularity approach is advantageous for non-expert small communities enabling them to easily configure such a desalination unit. In such a case the system becomes flexible and is assembled from modular components such as motor-pumps, RO membranes and even the solar PV modules. So, non-experts have the possibility to reduce or extend in a second step the number of these modular components according to the community demand. This can reduce the capital cost, and makes its maintenance and repair tasks simpler and easier than conventional design approaches.

The adopted concept of storing the generated renewable energy during sunny and/or windy days in the form of water offers a simple, very cost-effective and environmentally friendly alternative, especially for small-scale desalination units. Nonetheless, this solution does not deal with the impact of frequent system shutdown or successive On/Off cycling of motor-pumps that may occur. Generally, this may increase the potential wear on 492 motor-pumps and reduce their lifetime. For this purpose, the systemic design approach is applied in this work to 493 investigate the suitable pump combination and sizing according to both the chosen architecture and the available 494 renewable energy, in one-side. Indeed, this approach puts forward the strong coupling between the renewable 495 generated power and the water process system efficiency, and coupling between device sizing (especially motor-496 pumps) and energy management performance. Such a design approach can help to reduce the non-recommended 497 successive On/Off cycling of motor-pumps and the frequent system shutdown. In the other-side, the proposed 498 power sharing algorithm is developed so that successive On/Off of motor-pumps is minimized following the 499 available PV/Wind generated power. This is achieved by including supplementary level limits ($L_{\text{intermed}}, L_{\text{min}}^{\text{Inf}}$) 500 $L_{\min}^{\text{Sup}}, L_{\max}^{\text{Inf}}, L_{\max}^{\text{Sup}}$ on the brackish water storage tank T_1 . The idea is to operate the pump in a moderate way 501 rather than setting it Off in some level intervals, and favoring single-pump operation rather than multi-pump 502 operation in other level intervals making a safety margin against the successive occurrence of pumps-switched 503 On/Off. Besides to the deterministic rules, fuzzy logic is also a useful tool that can be used in the energy 504 management for this sake. For example, a genetic algorithm optimized fuzzy logic-based energy management 505 strategy was previously developed by authors (ref. [51]) and applied to the first pump combination Comb.1 of the 506 desalination unit with a storage tank capacity of 2.18 m³. This helps to reduce the number of successive On/Off of 507 motor-pumps.

508 7. Conclusion

509 This work is within the context of complex energy system design. The principle of the adopted systemic design 510 approach is to start from component system characterization, going through the modeling phase, to achieve an 511 efficient energy management in real-time. In this regard, the system architecture was defined so that continuously 512 freshwater productivity and supply are insured while taking into account the environmental and capital cost issues. 513 This is achieved by means of integrating hydraulic storage (brackish water and freshwater) in water tanks when 514 renewable energy is available instead of electrochemical storage device; the available renewable energy can be 515 stored in the form of water. This is very advantageous and cost-effective in terms of energy storage. An 516 experimental BWRO desalination test bench at the laboratory scale has been installed by authors according to the 517 defined system architecture. It is considered as a prototype in order to investigate the feasibility and reliability of 518 such autonomous desalination system in rural and poverty region for drinking and/or agriculture. The different 519 hydraulic processes were previously experimentally characterized. Based on both previous experimental study and 520 dynamic modelling, a quasi-static model of the whole desalination system was carried out and presented in this 521 work for simulations and performance analysis. Then, a deterministic rule-based energy management strategy 522 (EMS) was defined enabling to manage simultaneously the water and power flows into the system. The EMS was 523 developed so that freshwater production is maximized according to the available generated power while fulfilling 524 the technical and functional constraints of the system. Maximizing the system productivity and storing freshwater 525 is beneficial from a continuous water supply perspective, especially when renewable energy is not available. For 526 the sake of system design optimality, the influence of the component sizing (pumps and tank capacity) on the 527 system efficiency and the energy management performance were investigated and analyzed in this work. Several 528 pumps sizing and combinations for two different capacities of the brackish water storage tank are proposed. It was 529 demonstrated that there is a strong coupling between "power and system efficiency", a coupling between "sizing and management performance", and also a third coupling between "tank level and power management". All of 530 531 these couplings and their interrelationships are defined within the so-called systemic design approach.

532 Simulation results showed that by applying the modularity approach, the most convenient components sizing 533 corresponds to the motor-pumps of lower rated powers, but offering higher energy efficiencies compared to those 534 of higher rated powers. The same observation was noticed when increasing the capacity of the brackish water 535 storage tank. These findings demonstrated that the available renewable energy use can be optimized and the 536 freshwater production can be maximized by choosing the convenient system architecture and the optimized 537 components sizing, highly correlated with the energy management strategy.

Another issue was highlighted in this work related to the influence of the successive switching On/Off of motorpumps on their lifetime. The adopted systemic design approach with the defined system architecture and the developed EMS enabled to initially reduce the number of pumps-switching On/Off events. However, this issue has to be addressed with the greatest accuracy. For instance, renewable energy forecast can be a viable solution to predict renewable power generation for the next time intervals. This enables to significantly improve the energy management performance while taking into consideration the constraint of motor-pumps lifetime by reducing the number of pumps-switching On/Off events.

- 545 All of these findings justify a deep and more sophisticated study to investigate global optimization approaches
- taking account of the couplings between the system architecture, sizing and energy management, while respecting
- 547 the different system constraints.

548 Acknowledgment

- 549 This work was supported by the Tunisian Ministry of Higher Education and Research under Grant LSE–ENIT-LR
- 550 11ES15 and the European project "ERANETMED EDGWISE" ID 044".

551 References

- L.F. Greenlee, D.F. Lawler, B.D. Freeman, B. Marrot, and P. Moulin, "Reverse osmosis desalination: water sources, technology, and today's challenges," *Water Research*, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 2317-2348, May 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.03.010.
- [2] N. Ghaffour, T.M. Missimer, and G.L. Amy, "Technical review and evaluation of the economics of water desalination: Current and future challenges for better water supply sustainability," *Desalination*, vol. 309, pp. 197-207, January 2013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.10.015.
- 557 [3] S. Burn, M. Hoang, D. Zarzo, F. Olewniak, E. Campos, B. Bolto, and O. Barron, "Desalination techniques A review of the opportunities for desalination in agriculture,", *Desalination*, vol. 364, pp. 2-16, May 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.01.041.
- 559 [4] K. Bourouni, T.B. MBarek, and A. Al Taee, "Design and optimization of desalination reverse osmosis plants driven by renewable 560 energies using genetic algorithms," Renewable Energy, 36, 936-950, March 2011. vol. no. 3. pp. 561 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2010.08.039.
- 562 [5] A. Ali, R.A. Tufa, F. Macedonio, E. Curcio, and E. Drioli, "Membrane technology in renewable-energy-driven desalination,"
 563 *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 81, pp. 1-21, January 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.07.047.
- 564 [6] M.A. Abdelkareem, M. El Haj Assad, E.T. Sayed, and B. Soudan, "Recent progress in the use of renewable energy sources to power
 565 water desalination plants," *Desalination*, vol. 435, pp. 97-113, June 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.11.018.
- F.E. Ahmed, R. Hashaikeh, and N. Hila, "Solar powered desalination Technology, energy and future outlook," *Desalination*, vol. 453, pp. 54-76, March 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.12.002.
- 568 [8] A. Ghafoor, T. Ahmed, A. Munir, Ch. Arslan, and S.A. Ahmad, "Techno-economic feasibility of solar based desalination through reverse osmosis," *Desalination*, vol. 485, July 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114464.
- 570 [9] S. Loutatidou, N. Liosis, R. Pohl, T.B.M.J. Ouarda, and H.A. Arafat, "Wind-powered desalination for strategic water storage: Techno571 economic assessment of concept," *Desalination*, vol. 408, pp. 36-51, April 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.01.002.
- [10] M.A.M. Khan, S. Rehman, and F.A. Al-Sulaiman, "A hybrid renewable energy system as a potential energy source for water
 desalination using reverse osmosis: A review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 97, pp. 456-477, December 2018.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.08.049.

- [11] N. Ghaffour, J. Bundschuh, H. Mahmoudi, and M.F.A. Goosen, "Renewable energy-driven desalination technologies: A comprehensive review on challenges and potential applications of integrated systems," *Desalination*, vol. 356, pp. 94-114, January 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2014.10.024.
- 578 [12] J. Jurasza, F.A. Canales, A. Kies, M. Guezgouz, and A. Beluco, "A review on the complementarity of renewable energy sources:
 579 Concept, metrics, application and future research directions," *Solar Energy*, vol. 195, pp. 703-724, January 2020.
 580 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2019.11.087.
- [13] A. Soric, R. Cesaro, P. Perez, E. Guiol, and P. Moulin, "Eausmose project desalination by reverse osmosis and batteryless solar energy:
 Design for a 1 m³ per day delivery," *Desalination*, vol. 301, pp. 67-74, September 2012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.06.013.
- 583 [14] D.P. Clarke, Y.M. Al-Abdeli, G. Kothapalli, "Multi-objective optimization of renewable hybrid energy systems with desalination,"
 584 *Energy*, vol. 88, pp. 457-468, August 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.05.065.
- 585 [15] A. Maleki, F. Pourfayaz, and M.H. Ahmadi, "Design of a cost-effective wind/photovoltaic/hydrogen energy system for supplying a desalination unit by a heuristic approach," *Solar Energy*, vol. 139, pp. 666-675, December 2016.
 587 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2016.09.028.
- 588 [16] A.J.N. Khalifa, "Evaluation of different hybrid power scenarios to Reverse Osmosis (RO) desalination units in isolated areas in Iraq,"
 589 *Energy for Sustainable Development*, vol. 15, pp. 49-54, March 2011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2011.01.004.
- [17] M. Khayet, M. Essalhi, C. Armenta-Déu, C. Cojocaru, N. Hilal, "Optimization of solar-powered reverse osmosis desalination pilot
 plant using response surface methodology," *Desalination*, vol. 261, no. 3, pp. 284-292, October 2010.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.04.010.
- 593 [18] H.Ş. Aybar, J.S. Akhatov, N.R. Avezova, A.S. Halimov, "Solar powered RO desalination: investigations on pilot project of PV 594 powered RO desalination system," Applied Solar Energy, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 275-284, 2010. 595 https://doi.org/10.3103/S0003701X10040080.
- [19] A. Al-Karaghouli, and L.L. Kazmerski, "Economic analysis of a Brackish Water Photovoltaic-Operated (BWRO-PV) desalination
 system," *Conference Proceeding, World Renewable Energy Congress XI*, September 25-30, 2010, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates.
 https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/renew_pubs/51.
- L.A. Richards, B.S. Richards, A.I. Schäfer, "Renewable energy powered membrane technology: Salt and inorganic contaminant removal by nanofiltration/reverse osmosis," *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 369, no. 1-2, pp. 188-195, March 2011.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2010.11.069.
- 602 [21] H. Qiblawey, F. Banat, and Q. Al-Nasser, "Performance of reverse osmosis pilot plant powered by Photovoltaic in Jordan," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 3452-3460, December 2011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2011.05.026.
- F. Banat, H. Qiblawey, and Q. Al-Nasser, "Design and operation of small-scale photovoltaic-driven reverse osmosis (PV-RO)
 desalination plant for water supply in rural areas," *Computational Water, Energy, and, Environmental Engineering*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp.
 31-36, January 2012. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/cweee.2012.13004.
- 607 [23] F.H. Fahmy, N.M. Ahmed, H.M. Farghally, "Optimization of renewable energy power system for small scale brackish reverse osmosis
 608 desalination unit and a tourism motel in Egypt," *Smart Grid and Renewable Energy*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 43-50, January 2012.
 609 http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/sgre.2012.31006.
- 610 [24] E.M.A. Mokheimer, A.Z. Sahin, A. Al-Sharaf, and A.I. Ali, "Modeling and optimization of hybrid wind-solar-powered reverse
 611 osmosis water desalination system in Saudi Arabia," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 75, pp. 86-97, November 2013.
 612 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2013.06.002.
- 613 [25] A.I. Schäfer, G. Hughes, and B.S. Richards, "Renewable energy powered membrane technology: A leapfrog approach to rural water
 614 treatment in developing countries?" *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 40, pp. 542-556, December 2014.
 615 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.164.
- 616 [26] S.G. Sigarchian, A. Malmquist, and T. Fransson, "Modeling and control strategy of a hybrid PV/Wind/Engine/Battery system to
 617 provide electricity and drinkable water for remote applications," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 57, pp. 1401-1410, 2014.
 618 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.10.087.
- 619 [27] H.A. Shawky, A.A. Abdel Fatah, M.M.S. Abo ElFadl, and A.H.M. El-Aassar, "Design of a small mobile PV-driven RO water
 620 desalination plant to be deployed at the northwest coast of Egypt," *Desalination and Water Treatment*, vol. 55, pp. 3755-3766, 2015.
 621 https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2015.1080447.
- 622 [28] H. Vyas, K. Suthar, M. Chauhan, R. Jani, P. Bapat, P. Patel, B. Markam, and S. Maiti, "Modus operandi for maximizing energy
 623 efficiency and increasing permeate flux of community scale solar powered reverse osmosis systems," *Energy Conversion and* 624 *Management*, vol. 103, pp. 94–103, October 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.05.076.

- [29] H. Elasaad, A. Bilton, L. Kelley, O. Duayhe, and S. Dubowsky, "Field evaluation of a community scale solar powered water purification technology: A case study of a remote Mexican community application," *Desalination*, vol. 375, pp. 71-80, November 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.08.001.
- [30] S. Kumarasamy, S. Narasimhan, and S. Narasimhan, "Optimal operation of battery-less solar powered reverse osmosis plant for desalination," *Desalination*, vol. 375, pp. 89-99, November 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.07.029.
- [31] M. Alghoul, P. Poovanaesvaran, M. Mohammed, A. Fadhil, A. Muftah, M. Alkilani, and K. Sopian, "Design and experimental performance of brackish water reverse osmosis desalination unit powered by 2 kW photovoltaic system," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 93, pp. 101–114, August 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.02.015.
- 633 [32] H. Bilal, A.H. Alami, M. Farooq, A. Qamar, and F.A. Siddiqui, "The Economic Analysis of Portable PhotoVoltaic Reverse Osmosis
 634 (PVRO) System," *Technical Journal, University of Engineering and Technology Taxila*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 19-24, 2016.
- [33] J. Shen, B.S. Richards, and A.I. Schäfer, "Renewable energy powered membrane technology: Case study of St. Dorcas borehole in
 Tanzania demonstrating fluoride removal via nanofiltration/reverse osmosis," *Separation and Purification Technology*, vol. 170, pp.
 445-452, October 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.06.042.
- 638 [34] S.J. Almalowi, A.M. AlRaddadi, M.H. AlZughabi, M.M. AlHazmi, and M.H. Masoudi, "Experimental Study of Mobile Solar Reverse
 639 Osmosis for Remote Areas," *Journal of Solar Energy Engineering*, vol. 139, no. 6, October 2017. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4037904.
- 640 [35] A. Maleki, "Design and optimization of autonomous solar-wind-reverse osmosis desalination systems coupling battery and hydrogen
 641 energy storage by an improved bee algorithm," *Desalination*, vol. 435, pp. 221-234, June 2018.
 642 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.05.034.
- 643 [36] S. I. Bouhadjar, H. Kopp, P. Britsch, S.A. Deowan, J. Hoinkis, and J. Bundschuh, "Solar powered nanofiltration for drinking water
 644 production from fluoride-containing groundwater A pilot study towards developing a sustainable and low-cost treatment plant,"
 645 *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 231, pp. 1263-1269, February 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.07.067.
- 646 [37] M. Al-Nory, and M. El-Beltagy, "An energy management approach for renewable energy integration with power generation and water
 647 desalination," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 72, pp. 377-385, December 2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.07.032.
- 648 [38] B.S. Richards, D.P.S. Capão, W.G. Früh, and A.I. Schäfer, "Renewable energy powered membrane technology: Impact of solar irradiance fluctuations on performance of a brackish water reverse osmosis system," Separation and Purification Technology, vol. 156, part 2, pp. 379-390, December 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2015.10.025.
- 651 [39] M. Freire-Gormaly and A.M. Bilton, "Experimental quantification of the effect of intermittent operation on membrane performance of 652 desalination systems," Desalination, solar-powered reverse osmosis vol. 435, pp. 188-197, June 2018. 653 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.09.013.
- [40] E.S. Mohamed, G. Papadakis, E. Mathioulakisans, and V. Belessiotis, "A direct coupled photovoltaic seawater reverse osmosis
 desalination system toward battery based systems a technical and economical experimental comparative study," *Desalination*, vol.
 221, no. 1-3, pp. 17-22, March 2008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2007.01.065.
- [41] D.B. Riffel, and P.C.M. Carvalho, "Small-scale photovoltaic-powered reverse osmosis plant without batteries: design and simulation,"
 Desalination, vol. 247, pp. 378-389, October 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.07.019.
- [42] M. Thomson, D. Infield, "Laboratory demonstration of a photovoltaic-powered seawater reverse osmosis system without batteries,"
 Desalination, vol. 183, no. 1-3, pp. 105-111, November 2005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2005.03.031.
- [43] A.M. Bilton, L.C. Kelly, and S. Dubowsky, "Photovoltaic reverse osmosis Feasibility and a pathway to develop technology,"
 Desalination and Water Treatment, vol. 31, no. 1-3, pp. 24-34, 2011. https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2011.2398.
- [44] H. Sharon, and K. Reddy, "A review of solar energy driven desalination technologies," *Renewable Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol.
 41, pp. 1080-1118, January 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.09.002.
- [45] Water. Desalination + Reuse, "Chile project envisions multiple mining clients for solar-powered SWRO," special report, 5 June 2017.
 https://www.desalination.biz/news/3/Chile-project-envisions-multiple-mining-clients-for-solar-powered-SWRO/8761/. [accessed 12
 August 2020].
- (46) X. Roboam, B. Sareni, T.N. Duc, and J. Belhadj, "Optimal system management of a water pumping and desalination process supplied
 with intermittent renewable sources," *Conference IFAC PPPSC*, Toulouse, September 2012.
- [47] W. Khiari, M. Turki, and J. Belhadj, "Experimental prototype of reverse osmosis desalination system powered by intermittent
 renewable source without electrochemical storage: Design and characterization for energy-water management," *International Conference on Electrical Sciences and Technologies in Maghreb* (CISTEM), Marrakesh, Morocco, 26-28 October 2016.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/CISTEM.2016.8066819.
- [48] I. Ben Ali, M. Turki, J. Belhadj, and X. Roboam, "Systemic design of a reverse osmosis desalination process powered by hybrid energy
- system: Bond graph modeling approach & experimental validation," *the 1st International Conference on Electrical Sciences and Technologies in Maghreb* (CISTEM), Tunis-Tunisia, 3-6 November, 2014. https://doi.org/10.1109/CISTEM.2014.7076941.

- [49] I. Ben Ali, M. Turki, J. Belhadj, and X. Roboam, "Energy management of reverse osmosis desalination process powered by a hybrid
 renewable energy source," *the 16th IEEE Mediterranian Electrotechnical Conference* (MELECON), Yasmine Hammamet-Tunisia, 2528 March, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1109/MELCON.2012.6196551.
- (50) W. Khiari, M. Turki, and J. Belhadj, "Robust DC-bus voltage control for batteryless brackish water reverse osmosis desalination
 prototype operating with variable wind and solar irradiation," *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp.
 1544-1552, September 2018. https://www.ijrer.org/ijrer/index.php/ijrer/article/view/7850/pdf.
- [51] I. Ben Ali, M. Turki, J. Belhadj, and X. Roboam, "Optimized Fuzzy Rule-based Energy Management for a Battery-less PV/Wind BWRO Desalination System," *Energy*, vol. 159, pp. 216-28, September 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.06.110.
- 685 [52] X. Roboam, "Systemic design methodologies for electrical energy systems: analysis, synthesis and management," ebook, first
 686 published 2012 in Great Britain and the United States by ISTE Ltd and John Wiley& Sons, Inc., pp. 28-32.
- 687 [53] J.F. Gülich, "Centrifugal Pumps," ebook, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York 2008.
- **688** [54] Grundfos research and technology, "the centrifugal pump," ebook, pp.32.
- 689 [55] M. Turki, J. Belhadj, and X. Roboam, "Bond Graph modeling and analysis of an autonomous reverse osmosis desalination process fed
 690 by a hybrid system (photovoltaic-wind)," *International conference on theory and application of modeling and simulation in electrical* 691 *power engineering including electric Machines, power electronic converters and power systems* (Electrimacs), Québec, Canada, 8-11
 692 June 2008.
- 693 [56] M. Turki, J. Belhadj, and X. Roboam, "Control strategy of an autonomous desalination unit fed by PV-wind hybrid system without batter storage," *Journal of Electrical Systems*, vol. 4, no. 2, June 2008.
- (57) W. Khiari, M. Turki, and J. Belhadj, "Power control strategy for PV/Wind reverse osmosis desalination without battery", *Control Engineering Practice*, vol.89, pp. 169-179, August, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conengprac.2019.05.020.