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In standard lasers, light amplification requires population inversion between an upper and a lower state to break the reciprocity between absorption and stimulated emission. However, in a medium prepared in a specific superposition state, quantum interference may fully suppress absorption while leaving stimulated emission intact, opening the possibility of lasing without inversion. Here we show that lasing without inversion arises naturally during propagation of intense femtosecond laser pulses in air. It is triggered by the combination of molecular ionization and molecular alignment, both unavoidable in intense light fields. The effect could enable inversionless amplification of broadband radiation in many molecular gases, opening unusual opportunities for remote sensing.

1. INTRODUCTION

A resonant light propagating through a medium will be absorbed by the medium in a lower state and will stimulate emission if the medium is excited. For a quantum system pumped into an excited state |e⟩, with an empty state |g⟩ below, population inversion between these two states leads to amplification of a probe light at the |e⟩ → |g⟩ transition frequency. For almost six decades, this process has been exploited in conventional lasers. However, three decades ago, it was shown that lasing can also occur without population inversion [1–8].

The basic idea behind lasing without inversion (LWI) [9–11] is similar to conventional lasers—to prepare a medium where emission is favored over absorption so that resonant light is amplified as it propagates in the medium. The difference lies in the medium preparation. Instead of creating population inversion between the lasing states, quantum interference is used to suppress photoabsorption while keeping photoemission intact. In the simplest scheme, two lower-lying states |g1⟩ and |g2⟩ are each coupled to a common upper state |e⟩. One can prepare a coherent superposition of the two lower states such that the transition from this superposition to the upper state vanishes due to destructive interference of the transition amplitudes |g1⟩ → |e⟩ and |g2⟩ → |e⟩. Now any population pumped incoherently into the upper state can provide gain.

A number of different schemes for lasing without inversion have been developed [9,10]. Taking advantage of destructive interference of different pathways in absorption, they generally strive to maintain a specific phase relationship between the lower-lying states, which carry most of the population. Here we present a scheme that does not follow this tradition. It uses only the natural dynamics of a multilevel quantum system and requires no coherence between the excited and the lower electronic states; effectively, lasing without inversion comes “for free.” We also show that this mechanism is active in the highly efficient generation of 391 nm radiation during propagation of intense femtosecond laser pulses in air [12–16], under standard conditions, where the process known as laser filamentation [17–19] leads to self-guiding of light. The 391 nm line corresponds to the transition between the two ground vibrational levels ν′′, ν′ = 0 of the ground electronic state X2Σg+ (denoted as X) and the second excited state B2Σu+ (denoted as B) in N2+.

Identifying the mechanism responsible for the amplification, commonly referred to as “air lasing,” has been a long-standing puzzle [20–45]. The main difficulty in resolving this puzzle stems from the apparent lack of a general physical mechanism capable of generating population inversion between the states X and B for standard filamentation conditions, including the clamping of the laser intensity around $I \sim 10^{14}$ W/cm² [46–48].
In the following section, we introduce the general scheme that can enable inversionless amplification of light in gas molecules, solely by excitation with a short, intense laser pulse. In its simplest form, this mechanism can be referred to as rotational quantum beat lasing without inversion. Subsequently, we examine the specific example of the amplification around the 391 nm line and show that this mechanism provides the key missing component of the puzzle.

In the recent work of Ref. [35], a different lasing without an inversion mechanism has been proposed to cause the gain at the 391 nm line based on the existence of long-lived coherent polarizations coupling simultaneously the states $X, B$, and the intermediate first excited electronic state $A$ in $N_2^+$. The present amplification scheme relies on rotational dynamics and does not require coherences between the electronic states of the ion, even though such coherences are inevitably created by the pump pulse driving the molecular ion after ionization of the neutral. Compared to the model in Ref. [35], we account for the light-induced vibrational and rotational dynamics of the molecule, which allows us to capture the effect of rotational quantum beat lasing without inversion.

2. ROTATIONAL QUANTUM BEAT LASING

The mechanism of rotational quantum beat lasing is illustrated in Fig. 1, and it can be easily understood in the time domain. When an intense femtosecond laser pulse interacts with a diatomic molecule, or its ion, it induces molecular alignment [49,50]. This alignment revives periodically after the pulse is gone [Fig. 1(a)]. The revival dynamics demonstrate a sequence of alignment and anti-alignment with the revival period controlled by the rotational constant $B^0$. Figure 1(a) shows the evolution of the characteristic alignment measure, $\langle \cos^2\theta \rangle(t)$, for a nitrogen molecule at room temperature (298 K), after interacting with a 23 fs FWHM, 800 nm pump pulse with a peak intensity of $10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ (see Supplement 1). Its minima correspond to anti-alignment and its maxima to maximum alignment with the direction of the linearly polarized aligning laser field.

Suppose now that similar rotational dynamics are induced in two electronic (vibronic) states, $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$, with a dipole-allowed electronic transition between them. In diatomics, electronic transitions can be either parallel or perpendicular to the molecular axis; for definiteness, let this transition be parallel. Its probability is then proportional to $\cos^2\theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle between the molecular axis and the laser electric field inducing the transition. For a molecule rotating in the lower vibronic state, the probability of parallel absorption $|1\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle$ thus depends on $\langle \cos^2\theta \rangle_1(t)$ averaged over the rotational dynamics in state $|1\rangle$, which is controlled by its rotational constant $B^0_1$. Conversely, for a molecule rotating in the upper vibronic state $|2\rangle$, the emission probability $|2\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$ depends on $\langle \cos^2\theta \rangle_2(t)$, with its time dependence controlled by $B^0_2$.

Opportunities for inversionless amplification of a short probe pulse, polarized parallel to the pump and delayed by $\tau$, arise when the $|1\rangle$ state molecules are anti-aligned, so that $\langle \cos^2\theta \rangle_1(t)$ is minimum and the parallel $|1\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle$ absorption is suppressed. This opportunity is further enhanced if the $|2\rangle$ state molecules are aligned at this time, so that $\langle \cos^2\theta \rangle_2(t)$ is maximum and the parallel $|2\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$ emission is enhanced. This possibility to use molecular alignment for inversionless amplification was previously pointed out by Popov and Slabko [51]. The converse is true if the $|1\rangle$ state molecules are aligned when the probe pulse arrives, while the $|2\rangle$ state molecules are anti-aligned, enhancing absorption. As long as $B^0_1$ and $B^0_2$ are different, the two rotations will go out of sync, arriving at the point where the lower state is anti-aligned and the upper is still aligned and vice versa [Fig. 1(b)]. Overall, temporal windows of gain will be followed by windows of loss, leading to the rotational quantum beats in the time-resolved gain-loss of the short probe pulse.

Fig. 1. Schematic of a rotational quantum beat laser without inversion in the time domain using the example of $N_2^+$. Calculations are performed for a 23 fs, 800 nm, $10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ pump pulse and room temperature (298 K); see text and Supplement 1 for details. The 3D shapes in panels (a),(b) sketch the angular distributions of the rotating molecular ensembles. (a) Field-free molecular alignment of $N_2$ at 298 K induced by the intense femtosecond pump pulse. (b) Field-free rotational dynamics in the two vibronic states $|X, \nu^\prime=0\rangle$ and $|B, \nu^\prime=0\rangle$ of $N_2^+$ induced by the same pump. (c) $P_X(\cos^2\theta)_{x}(\tau)$ (blue), $P_B(\cos^2\theta)_{y}(\tau)$ (red), and $P_X(\cos^2\theta)_{x}(\tau) - P_B(\cos^2\theta)_{y}(\tau)$ (green). Gain windows (at $\tau_1 \simeq 4.4$ ps and $\tau_2 \simeq 13.0$ ps) without population inversion at a parallel transition between the two vibronic states are enabled by the different angular distribution geometries of the rotating molecular ensembles in the upper and lower state; no coherence between the two rotational manifolds is required.

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Supplement 1) } & \quad \text{Fig. 1. Schematic of a rotational quantum beat laser without inversion in the time domain using the example of } N_2^+. \text{ Calculations are performed for a 23 fs, 800 nm, } 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \text{ pump pulse and room temperature (298 K); see text and Supplement 1 for details. The 3D shapes in panels (a),(b) sketch the angular distributions of the rotating molecular ensembles. (a) Field-free molecular alignment of } N_2 \text{ at 298 K induced by the intense femtosecond pump pulse. (b) Field-free rotational dynamics in the two vibronic states } |X, \nu^\prime=0\rangle \text{ and } |B, \nu^\prime=0\rangle \text{ of } N_2^+ \text{ induced by the same pump. (c) } P_X(\cos^2\theta)_{x}(\tau) \text{ (blue), } P_B(\cos^2\theta)_{y}(\tau) \text{ (red), and } P_X(\cos^2\theta)_{x}(\tau) - P_B(\cos^2\theta)_{y}(\tau) \text{ (green). Gain windows (at } \tau_1 \simeq 4.4 \text{ ps and } \tau_2 \simeq 13.0 \text{ ps) without population inversion at a parallel transition between the two vibronic states are enabled by the different angular distribution geometries of the rotating molecular ensembles in the upper and lower state; no coherence between the two rotational manifolds is required.} \end{align*} \]
Figures 1(b) and 1(c) give the specific example. Figure 1(b) shows the rotational dynamics in the two ground vibrational levels \(v^\prime = v = 0\) of the electronic states \(X\) and \(B\) in \(N_2^+\), induced by the same pump pulse interacting with \(N_2\) [Fig. 1(a)]. The short pump pulse impulsively aligns the neutral \(N_2\) molecules, generating the rotational dynamics in Fig. 1(a). It also ionizes some of the \(N_2\) molecules, producing molecular ions predominantly in the ground state molecules, generating the ionic states at the peaks of the instantaneous electric field, where strong-field ionization takes place, generates spurious excitations of the \(X\) and \(B\) states due to effectively abrupt turn-on of the laser–ion interaction.

Moreover, the initial thermal rotational distribution must be included. Each rotational state in the initial thermal distribution gives rise to a rotational wave packet induced by the pump. Each wave packet leads to coherent effects in emission and absorption; these contributions are added incoherently with the corresponding weights.

With these aspects accounted for, our calculations reveal a robust physical mechanism leading to gain at the 391 nm line. In contrast to Ref. [35], it is based on the mechanism described in Fig. 1; it requires neither parametric coupling between \(B\) and \(A\) through quasi-resonant coupling of both states to \(X\) via the pump and the probe nor population inversion between \(B\) and \(A\). Efficient population depletion from \(X\) into \(A\) via resonant coupling after ionization, driven by the pump pulse, is favorable for the balance between gain and loss; however, the rotational quantum beat lasing mechanism does not critically depend on the one-photon resonance. In our amplification scenario, the probe pulse follows the pump pulse without overlapping in time, so that the mechanism described in Ref. [35] is inoperative.

### 3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Most experiments studying the amplification effect around the 391 nm line in \(N_2^+\) are done in a pump-probe scenario [14,20–22,24,26,27,30–32,34–39,41–44]: an intense pump pulse prepares the gas, and the transient absorption (or gain) of a delayed probe pulse is measured. The theoretical results presented below focus on this scenario, with the pump pulse carried at 800 nm. Details of our simulations are given in Supplement 1, and here we outline key issues requiring particular attention when addressing this rather challenging problem quantitatively.

Our theoretical description accounts for laser-induced alignment of the neutral \(N_2\) molecule, its alignment-dependent strong-field ionization into the laser-dressed states of the molecular ion, and full laser-induced electronic, vibrational, and rotational dynamics in the ion involving the \(X\), \(A\), \(B\) states. Our \(ab\) initio simulations of strong-field ionization use the method of Ref. [52] and allow us to evaluate the excitation of the different ionic states induced by the recollision of the photoelectron with the parent ion in the \(X\) state [23,24,33–35,40]. The role of recollision is gauged by absorbing the electron wave packet before it is turned around towards the parent ion. Eliminating the recollision reduces the population of the \(B\) state by about 1% compared to the case when the recollision is included. Thus, the recollision can be neglected and the photoelectron can be integrated out. Here, one must account for the electron-ion entanglement. Since the \(X\) and \(B\) states have opposite parity, the photoelectron wave packets correlated to them will also carry opposite parity, remaining orthogonal to each other. This eliminates the coherence between the \(X\) and \(B\) states that could have been produced during strong-field ionization.

Regarding optical \(X \rightarrow B\) excitation by an 800 nm pump, the \(|X, v^\prime = 0\rangle \rightarrow |B, v^\prime = 0\rangle\) transition corresponds to absorption of two photons, which is parity forbidden; noticeable excitation is only generated at very high intensities \(I \gtrsim 4 \times 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2\) (the domain of several recent pump-probe experiments but not relevant for standard laser filamentation conditions) when the system is strongly distorted and higher-order multiphoton transitions can occur. In this context, note that strong-field ionization populates not field-free [25,41,42,45,53] but already polarized (dressed by the field) ionic \(X\), \(A\), and \(B\) states. Indeed, optical tunneling results from polarization of the many-body wave function of the neutral as one of the polarized electrons leaks through the potential barrier, leaving other electrons polarized. Comparison to \(ab\) initio simulations [52] shows that initializing population in the field-free ionic states at the peaks of the instantaneous electric field, where strong-field ionization takes place, generates spurious excitations of the \(X\) and \(B\) states due to effectively abrupt turn-on of the laser–ion interaction.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2(a) shows the frequency-resolved transient absorption as a function of the pump-probe time-delay for a weak 20 fs Gaussian probe pulse with a central wavelength of 391 nm. Each vertical line shows the frequency-resolved absorption for a particular pump-probe time delay, integrated over the full duration of the probe pulse. The horizontal white line around \(\tilde{v} \simeq 25575 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) marks the energy spacing \(\tilde{v}_{X0}\) between the two ground rovibrational levels of the electronic states \(X\) and \(B\). The spectral lines above this energy are associated with the higher-energy branch (R branch). Those below 25575 \text{ cm}^{-1} to the lower-energy branch (P branch).

Time-dependent gain (red) emerges for virtually all pump-probe time delays and many transition frequencies, especially those associated with the lower-energy P branch. Most notably, for those time delays at which the \(X\) state molecules are anti-aligned with the probe polarization direction, e.g., around \(\tau \simeq 4.4\) ps [cf. Fig. 1(b)], amplification occurs across the whole range of frequencies.

The details of the time- and frequency-dependent transient absorption are readily understood considering the rotational quantum beat lasing mechanism in the frequency domain. The short, intense pump pulse aligning and ionizing the \(N_2\) molecules...
generates broad rotational distributions in the X and B states of N$_2^+$, with coherent excitation of many adjacent rotational states with similar amplitudes in each electronic state. Figure 2(b) shows the rotational distributions in |X, ν' = 0⟩ and |B, ν' = 0⟩ for the case of the 800 nm, 23 fs FWHM pump pulse with an intensity of $I_0 = 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ and the N$_2$ molecules initially at room temperature (298 K).

Consider now frequency-resolved transient absorption of a short probe pulse at the dipole-allowed transition |X, J⟩ → |B, J + 1⟩ (with the vibrational quantum number equal to zero in both cases); see Fig. 2(c). For each initial rotational state of the neutral, rotational coherence generated in the X state of the ion means that the absorption |X, J⟩ → |B, J + 1⟩, stimulated by a broadband probe, is inevitably accompanied by the absorption |X, J + 2⟩ → |B, J + 1⟩ stimulated by the same probe; see Fig. 2(c). Their interference is governed by the relative phase between the two lower states $\phi_X(J+1, J)(t) = \Delta E_X(J+2, J)t + \phi_X^0(J, J+2)$. Here $\Delta E_X(J+2, J) = B_X^0[(J+2)(J+3) - J(J+1)]$ is the distance between the two rotational states in X, and $\phi_X^0$ is their relative phase at the start of the field-free evolution when the pump is over. Destructive interference between the |X, J⟩ → |B, J + 1⟩ and |X, J + 2⟩ → |B, J + 1⟩ transitions occurs when $\phi_X(J+2, J)(t) = (2N+1)\pi$; that is, $N$ is an integer, resulting in suppression of absorption of a short probe pulse arriving at this moment. Conversely, constructive interference enhances absorption when $\phi_X(J+2, J)(t) = 2N\pi$. This leads to the quantum beat in the time-dependent absorption rate of the short probe pulse with frequency $\Delta E_X(J+2, J)$.

Emission at the same frequency, |B, J + 1⟩ → |X, J⟩, is inevitably accompanied by the transition |B, J − 1⟩ → |X, J⟩; see Fig. 2(c). Their interference is governed by the relative phase $\phi_B(J+1, J-1)(t) = \Delta E_B(J+1, J-1)t + \phi_B^0(J-1, J+1)$ with $\Delta E_B(J+1, J-1) = B_B^0[(J+1)(J+2) - (J-1)J]$. Constructive interference between |B, J + 1⟩ → |X, J⟩ and |B, J − 1⟩ → |X, J⟩ in emission occurs when $\phi_B(J+1, J-1)$
\(J - 1)(\tau) = 2N\pi\), while destructive interference occurs when \(\phi_B(J + 1, J - 1)(\tau) = (2N\pm 1)\pi\), leading to the quantum beat in the time-dependent emission rate with frequency \(\Delta E_B(J + 1, J - 1)\).

The difference between the rotational constants \(B_X^0\) and \(B_B^0\) means that there are time windows where destructive interference of the \(|X, J\rangle \rightarrow |B, J + 1\rangle\) and \(|X, J + 2\rangle \rightarrow |B, J + 1\rangle\) transitions in absorption [when \(\phi_X(J + 2, J)(\tau) = (2N\pm 1)\pi\)] coincides with constructive interference of the \(|B, J + 1\rangle \rightarrow |X, J\rangle\) and \(|B, J - 1\rangle \rightarrow |X, J\rangle\) transitions in emission [when \(\phi_B(J + 1, J - 1)(\tau) = 2N\pi\)] and vice versa. This leads to the frequency-resolved gain (red) and loss (blue) windows as a function of the pump-probe time delay in Fig. 2(a).

As many four-level systems such as the one shown in Fig. 2(c) are formed by the pump, they generate a rich gain-loss pattern of frequency-resolved transient absorption of the probe pulse [Fig. 2(a)]. Figure 2(a) incorporates the comprehensive modeling of the whole process, starting with the thermal ensemble of neutral molecules and accounting for (i) alignment of the neutral molecule; (ii) its angle-dependent strong-field ionization into the laser-dressed ionic states, with the ratio of \(B\) to \(X\) state populations at 20% upon ionization; (iii) entanglement between the photoelectron and the ion, which negates the \(X-B\) coherence once the photoelectron is integrated out; (iv) coupled electronic, vibrational, and rotational dynamics in the ion; and (v) comprehensive calculations of transient absorption and emission of the probe pulse, including all interferences. Note that gain windows emerge with neither electronic nor rotational population inversion [cf. Fig. 2(b)].

Figure 2(d) connects the frequency-domain picture of Fig. 2(a) to the time-domain picture of Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). It shows the frequency-integrated absorption from the \(X\) state (blue line) and emission (negative absorption) from the \(B\) state (red line) stimulated by the short probe pulse as a function of the pump-probe delay. The pattern of each line follows the alignment measure of the respective ion in Fig. 1(b). This is not a coincidence. The same phase differences that control the interferences in transient absorption by each \(|X, J\rangle, |X, J + 2\rangle\) pair control their contribution to the ensemble-averaged \((\cos^2\theta)_X\) measure of the field-free rotations in the \(X\) state. The same applies to emission by each \(|B, J - 1\rangle, |B, J + 1\rangle\) pair and the ensemble-averaged \((\cos^2\theta)_B\) measure. As shown in Supplement 1, integrating the frequency-resolved absorption over all frequencies yields the total transient absorption probability \(W_{\text{abs}}(\tau) \propto P_X(\cos^2(\theta))X(\tau)\). Analogous results hold for transient emission.

The green line in Fig. 2(d) shows the overall gain-loss balance, integrated over all energies. As expected, the strongest gain windows coincide with anti-alignment of the \(X\) state [cf. Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)] when the gain-loss pattern in Fig. 2(a) shows gain across the whole spectrum. If the \(X\) and \(B\) state molecules would anti-align simultaneously during their field-free evolution, the net gain would vanish.

The results presented in Fig. 2 are robust with respect to the pump parameters, and the lasing mechanism described above is completely general. The only necessary ingredients are non-negligible population in the \(B\) state and molecular rotations, both unavoidable during the interaction with an intense laser pulse. For the pump pulse parameters and temperature used for Fig. 1 and

**Fig. 3.** Rotational quantum beat lasing in \(\text{N}_2^+\) for different intensities realistic in femtosecond laser filamentation experiments. Calculations are performed for a 23 fs, 800 nm pump pulse and the same probe pulse and temperature as in Fig. 2. (a) and (b) Same as Fig. 2(a), but for pump intensities of (a) \(I = 5.0 \times 10^{13}\) W/cm\(^2\) and (b) \(I = 1.5 \times 10^{14}\) W/cm\(^2\). (c) Gain-loss balance for \(I = 1.5 \times 10^{14}\) W/cm\(^2\) integrated over the frequency windows (bins) indicated in panel (b). For both intensities, gain windows also emerge for the total gain-loss balance integrated over all frequencies, analogue to Fig. 2(d). Gain windows emerge without population inversion of the medium \((P_X > P_B)\).
Fig. 2, our simulations show that net gain sets in already at the ratio $P_B / P_X \approx 10\%$ upon ionization.

Figure 3 shows results for two other pump intensities realistic in laser filamentation (see Supplement 1 for more information on the dependence of gain on the pump intensity). The expected clamping intensity is $I \lesssim 1 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2$, but pulse compression during filamentation suggests that short intensity spikes can reach $I \sim 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2$ [54,55]. For 800 nm driver fields with intensities $I \lesssim 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2$, the $B$ state population is not strongly affected by laser-driven excitations from $X$. However, the higher the intensity, the stronger the depletion of the $X$ state, yielding an overall change of the gain-loss balance in favor of gain and hence the emergence of additional gain windows in time; see Figs. 3(b) and 3(c).

The results presented in Fig. 3 are in remarkably good agreement with recent experimental findings [38,39]. Ref. [38] reports on pump-probe femtosecond filamentation experiments in a nitrogen gas cell, measuring the delay-dependent amplification of the probe around the 391 nm line using a high-resolution spectrometer. Our simulations closely reproduce all the main features of the measured time- and frequency-resolved gain, including the parabolic structure of the gain, the fast temporal modulation of each rotational line that increases with $I$ in the $B$ branch, and the slower temporal modulation, reflecting the molecular alignment dynamics and giving rise to the distinct gain windows, e.g., at $\tau \sim 4.4 \text{ ps}$, where amplification occurs across the whole spectrum. Experiments that do not resolve each individual rotational line observe (the weighted sum of) frequency-window-integrated, highly oscillating gain-loss structures such as the ones shown in Fig. 3(c). For increasing pump intensity, the oscillating gain starts to occur for virtually all pump-probe time delays as observed in the nitrogen gas jet experiments in Ref. [39].

Taking into account the effects of gain narrowing and inelastic collisions that destroy the rotational coherences in the ionic states, our results reproduce the typical observations made in pump-probe “air lasing” experiments (see e.g., Refs. [14,21,38,39]): a rapid increase of the gain as a function of the pump-probe delay during the first few hundreds of femtoseconds, followed by a slow decay of the gain for delays up to tens of picoseconds that is periodically modulated by the molecular alignment dynamics.

In the present mechanism, amplification is enabled due to the stimulated emission triggered by the probe, while absorption is suppressed. Thus, the amplified emission can emerge without any delay after the probe, in contrast to the experimentally observed delays of $\sim 1$ to 8 ps between the probe pulse and the enhanced 391 nm emission reported in Refs. [14,22,24,31,35,37]. This retardation has been attributed to the effect of probe-triggered superradiance [22,24,31,37], whereas the origin of the optical amplification is still debated. Ref. [35] offered an intriguing explanation, evoking long-lived coherences and coupling between the electronic states $X$, $A$, and $B$, supported by the picosecond-long tail of the pump pulse. Such long tails are explicitly absent in our analysis, which assumes that the pump pulse is fully over within a few tens of femtoseconds, with no temporal overlap between the probe and the pump pulses.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have discussed how molecular ionization and alignment, naturally induced by intense femtosecond laser pulses propagating through molecular gas, can lead to amplification of light in an inversionless medium. Developing a comprehensive theoretical model for studying the amplification effect around the 391 nm line in $\text{N}_2^+$, we have shown that neither population inversion nor coherences between the electronic states of the ion are required for the air lasing phenomenon—the rotational quantum beat lasing without inversion makes it possible.

In the present mechanism, the gain window travels with the group velocity of the pump pulse so that amplification occurs only in the forward direction. To achieve lasing in the backward direction, highly desirable for remote sensing, one would greatly benefit from creating population inversion, at least between the rotational states. To this end, a sequence of two well-timed pump pulses can be used to control rotations of the $X$ and $B$ states of the ion. Their different rotational periods mean that the second pulse can be timed to simultaneously accelerate rotations of the $B$ state and slow down the rotations of the $X$ state, generating rotational inversion. Optimization of such pulse sequence, including their carrier frequencies, energies, and time delay, should open a route for achieving robust and strong backward lasing in open air.
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