

DIVA, a 3D virtual reality platform, improves undergraduate craniofacial trauma education

Jebrane Bouaoud, Mohamed El Beheiry, Eve Jablon, Thomas Schouman, Chloé Bertolus, Arnaud Picard, Jean-Baptiste Masson, Roman Khonsari

▶ To cite this version:

Jebrane Bouaoud, Mohamed El Beheiry, Eve Jablon, Thomas Schouman, Chloé Bertolus, et al.. DIVA, a 3D virtual reality platform, improves undergraduate craniofacial trauma education. Journal of Stomatology, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2020, 10.1016/j.jormas.2020.09.009. hal-02974248

HAL Id: hal-02974248 https://hal.science/hal-02974248

Submitted on 9 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	1	DIVA, a 3D virtual reality platform, improves undergraduate craniofacial trauma education					
1 2 3	2	Short title VR in craniofacial trauma education					
4 5	3						
6 7 8	4	Jebrane Bouaoud, MD ^{1, 2, *} ; Mohamed El Beheiry, PhD ³ *; Eve Jablon ⁴ ; Thomas Schouman					
9 10	5	MD PhD ² ; Chloé Bertolus MD PhD ² ; Arnaud Picard MD PhD ¹ ; Jean-Baptiste Masson,					
11 12 13	6	PhD ^{3, †} ; Roman H. Khonsari, MD PhD ^{1, †}					
14 15	7	1. Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Service de Chirurgie maxillo-faciale et					
16 17 18	8	Chirurgie plastique, Hôpital Universitaire Necker - Enfants Malades; Université Paris					
19 20	9	Descartes, Université de Paris; Paris, France.					
21 22 23	10	2. Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Service de Chirurgie maxillo-faciale et					
24 25	11	Stomatologie, Hôpital Universitaire Pitié-Salpêtrière, Université Pierre et Marie Curie,					
26 27 28	12	Sorbonne Université; Paris, France					
29 30	13	3. Decision and Bayesian Computation, Neuroscience Department UMR 3571 & USR					
31 32 33	14	3756 (C3BI/DBC), Institut Pasteur & CNRS, Paris, France					
34 35	15	4. Université Paris Descartes, Université de Paris; Paris, France.					
36 37 38	16						
39 40	17	* contributed equally as first authors					
41 42 43	18	† contributed equally as last authors					
44 45	19						
46 47 48	20	Corresponding author:					
49 50	21	Jebrane Bouaoud, MD					
51 52 53	22	Service de Chirurgie maxillo-faciale et Chirurgie plastique, Hôpital Universitaire Necker -					
54 55	23	Enfants Malades, 149 rue de Sèvres, 75015 Paris, France					
56 57	24	jebrane.bouaoud@gmail.com					
59 60	25	Phone# +33142161049					
61 62 63 64		1					

Financial Disclosure Statement:

We acknowledge funding from Institut Pasteur, Institut Curie, Paris Sciences Lettres and the sponsorship of CRPCEN, Gilead-Sciences, and fondation EDF, the "programme d'investissement d'avenir" supported by the "agence nationale de la recherche" ANR-19-P3IA-0001 (PRAIRIE 3IA Institute), INCEPTION project (PIA/ANR- 16-CONV-0005, OG)), and TRamWAy ANR-17-CE23-0016.

Conflict of interest

35 Mohamed El Beheiry and Jean-Baptiste Masson are cofounders, shareholders and scientific36 advisors of Avatar Medical.

37 Jean-Baptiste Masson is one of the scientific advisors of the Robeauté startup.

All authors have participated to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis andinterpretation of data;

All authors have participated to the drafting the article or revising it critically for important
intellectual content;

43 All authors have approved the final version to be published;

All authors have participated to the agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved

48 Approval and confirming statements

49 This study was approved by Institutional review board and performed in accordance with the 50 Helsinki declaration. Collection of data and analysis were in accordance with guidelines of 51 the French National Committee for the Protection of Personal Data (Commission Nationale 52 Informatique et Libertés, CNIL, declaration number 2218907v0).

53 Abstract

54 Craniofacial fractures management is challenging to teach due to the complex anatomy of the 55 head, even when using three-dimensional CT-scan images. DIVA is a software allowing the 56 straightforward visualization of CT-scans in a user-friendly three-dimensional virtual reality 57 environment. Here, we assess DIVA as an educational tool for craniofacial trauma for 58 undergraduate medical students.

Three craniofacial trauma cases (jaw fracture, naso-orbital-ethmoid complex fracture and Le Fort 3 fracture) were submitted to 50 undergraduate medical students, who had to provide diagnoses and treatment plans. Each student then filled an 8-item questionnaire assessing satisfaction, potential benefit, ease of use and tolerance. Additionally, 4 postgraduate students were requested to explore these cases and to place 6 anatomical landmarks on both virtual reality renderings and usual slice-based three-dimensional CT-scan visualizations.

High degrees of satisfaction (98%) without specific tolerance issues (86%) were reported. The potential benefit in a better understanding of craniofacial trauma using virtual reality was reported by almost all students (98%). Virtual reality allowed a reliable localization of key anatomical landmarks when compared with standard three-dimensional CT-scan visualization.

Virtual reality interfaces such DIVA are beneficial to medical students for a better
understanding of craniofacial trauma and allow a reliable rendering of craniofacial anatomy.

72 Keywords:

virtual reality; data visualization; image processing; craniofacial; traumatology; education

74 Introduction

Craniofacial trauma is difficult to teach due to the complex anatomy of the skull [1]. Threedimensional (3D) CT-scans help in visualizing fracture lines based on exploration of the 3D objects on 2D screens. Virtual reality (VR) is a technology that uses real-world visual perception within entirely artificial computer-generated environments. VR allows users to efficiently explore 3D environments in an entirely immersive manner, providing a natural and spatially realistic experience [2].

The benefits of VR in craniofacial surgery have been assessed in previous studies [3]. Almost all studies reported the use of VR for pre-operative planning [4] and intra-operative assistance [5], notably in implantology, orthognathic surgery and maxillofacial reconstruction [3]. Few studies have reported the benefits of VR in surgical education. Within the educational literature, radiology [6] and implantology [7] applications have been evaluated but no study has been dedicated to craniofacial trauma to date.

DIVA (Data Integration and Visualization in Augmented and Virtual Environments, Pasteur
Institute, Paris) is a software allowing a fast and user-friendly visualization of raw CT-scan
data with immediate immersion [8,9]. DIVA allows users to intuitively navigate in a flexible
3D environment with virtual handheld tools that can be used to interact with 3D images in
real-time including landmarking, clipping and highlighting tools.

Here we assess DIVA as an educational tool for teaching craniofacial trauma using a
dedicated questionnaire. We furthermore assess the anatomical precision of this tool using
anatomical landmark placement.

96

97

95 **Materials and Methods**

1. VR in craniofacial trauma education: benefits and tolerance

98 Three craniofacial trauma cases (jaw fracture, naso-orbital-ethmoid complex fracture and Le 99 Fort 3 fracture) were submitted to 50 fourth-year undergraduate medical students from the University of Paris, who had to provide a diagnosis and a treatment plan. For each student, pre- and post-operative CT-scans were assessed using both a freeware DICOM viewer (RadiAnt, Medixant, Poznan, Poland) and DIVA (Figure 1).

The DIVA interface offers two visualization tools: (1) a desktop tool for standard 3D visualization and (2) the VR environment. The desktop tool was used to reconstruct the skull in 3D based on pre-defined transfer functions. This same visualization is seamlessly switched to the VR viewing context where it can be physically interacted with (Figure 2 and Supplemental Digital Contents 1-3).

After using the DICOM viewer and DIVA, each undergraduate medical student filled a questionnaire based on 8 items assessing satisfaction, potential benefit, comfort of use and tolerance.

2. Anatomical precision of VR

4 postgraduate students were requested to explore these cases and to place 6 anatomical landmarks on two types of 3D renderings: (1) 3D reconstructions from CT-scan using Avizo 115 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and (2) 3D renderings of the same DICOM data using the DIVA VR interface.

The landmarks selected were: (1) anterior nasal spine, (2) posterior nasal spine, (3) distal palatal cusp of tooth 17 (right second maxillary molar), (4) distal palatal cusp of tooth 27 (left second maxillary second molar), (5) apex of the crista galli process and (6) apex of the

odontoid process of C2. Landmarks on both rendering were positioned 3 successive times (3 trials) by the 4 subjects and 3D coordinates were extracted. Geometric morphometrics was performed using *MorphoJ* (Apache License, Version 2.0) [10]. After screening for outliers and Procrustes superimposition, canonical variate analysis on Procrustes coordinates using both trials and subjects as classifier variables allowed to compute Procrustes distances between groups (trials and subjects) [11]. Permutation test for pairwise distances with 10000 iterations allowed obtaining p-values accounting for the significance of Procrustes distances between trials 1-3 and subjects 1-4.

We furthermore evaluated the variability in landmark positioning by assessing inter- and intra-individual error rates, defined as the variance of the position divided by N-1, with N being the number of points.

- **Results**

1. VR in craniofacial trauma education: benefits and tolerance

Almost all undergraduate medical students (92%) reported a high degree of satisfaction (question 1, responses defined as "very satisfied" and "more than satisfied") when using DIVA to explore and analyze craniofacial trauma cases (Table 1). The use of DIVA was deemed easy, intuitive (88%) and well tolerated (86%) (questions 2 and 3, Table 1). Furthermore, DIVA allowed students to visualize some lesions not seen on the usual 2D and 3D renderings (82%), such as skull base and pterygoid process fractures (questions 4 and 5, Table 1). Almost all students strongly recommended the use of DIVA for educational purposes in traumatology (questions 6-8, Table 1).

2. Anatomical precision of VR

Geometric morphometrics analyses showed that all p-values accounting for the significance of Procrustes distances between trials 1-3 and subjects 1-4 were > 0.05: landmarking using standard 3D CT-scan visualization and landmarking using DIVA provided a similar 3D display of landmarks, without significant distortion. All mean 3D errors, exploring the variability in landmark positioning, were lower than 1 millimeter, ranging from 0.37 to 0.84 millimeters (Figure 3 and Supplemental Digital Contents 4).

Discussion

Craniofacial anatomy is challenging to teach to undergraduate medical students and common misunderstandings lead to pitfalls in diagnosis and treating head and neck trauma. While the teaching of traditional anatomy usually involves books and cadaveric dissection, which requires significant amounts of time and effort, other teaching methods can be beneficial [12– 14].

VR has been recently re-introduced in medical schools as an easy-to-access technology due to the availability of low-cost VR headsets. Through the integration of stereoscopy, motion tracking technologies and total 3D immersion, VR aims at providing a more natural means to visualize 3D structures. VR headsets are bundled with 3D controllers (that act as 3D computer mice) allowing manipulation and interaction with data within the virtual environment. 29 162 Interactions with the VR controller are rather intuitive as they are performed as if the data were physically present in front of the user.

34 164 DIVA is a software that automatically generates detailed 3D reconstructions of tomographic ³⁶ 165 medical images such as CT-scans. The avatar generated by DIVA based on raw DICOM CT-39 166 scan images is generated through volumetric reconstruction without pretreatment (e.g. ⁴¹ 167 segmentation). DIVA is optimized to ensure high refresh rate (at least 60 Hz) and a high quality of volume rendering and thus provides a fluid experience. Users are free from latency 46 169 issues that induce negative experience within VR environments [9].

51 171 Here we showed that using DIVA to explore and analyze 3D CT-scans[8] improved the understanding craniofacial trauma. Furthermore, we found a high degree of interobserver 56 173 reproducibility reflecting the precision and accuracy of this tool to explore head and neck 3D ⁵⁸ 174 anatomy and landmarking.

As outlined by Alsabbagh et al., craniofacial fractures may be better interpreted using VR compare to usual CT-scan renderings[15]. We furthermore found that 82% of students reported spotting lesions using VR that they had missed on usual 3D renderings, thus confirming that VR is beneficial for exploring complex 3D objects [16].

The value of VR in understanding fractures has recently been reported in orthopedics [17] and in pre-surgical planification of maxillofacial cases [18], as well as in dental education [19]. Roessel et al. have recently reported a systematic literature review supporting the using of VR in surgical education and training [20].

In brief, VR solutions such as DIVA can complement conventional teaching methods (2D sketches, 3D renderings, cadaveric dissections) when complex 3D structures such as the skull are involved [21–24]. High satisfaction and tolerance degrees could be obtained using VR tools such as DIVA. Beyond traumatology, similar tools may be used to explore craniofacial malformations and head and neck cancers, by combining CT-scan and MRI data.

191 References

1

3

4

5

8

9

10

15

20

21

26

32

38⁷ 221 37

39 222

40 223 41 224

⁴² 225

45 227

46 228

51 232 52 233

⁵³ 234

56 236

57 237

58 238

⁵⁹ 239

43 ¹⁰/₄₄ 226

48 230

49 50 231

54 55 235

- ² 192 Neck [1] Review: Anatomy of Head & SEER Training n.d. 193 https://training.seer.cancer.gov/head-neck/anatomy/review.html (accessed February 16, 194 2020).
- 6 195 El Beheiry M, Doutreligne S, Caporal C, Ostertag C, Dahan M, Masson J-B. Virtual [2] 7 196 Reality: Beyond Visualization. Mol Biol 2019;431:1315-21. J 197 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019.01.033.
- 198 Ayoub A, Pulijala Y. The application of virtual reality and augmented reality in Oral & [3] 11 199 Maxillofacial Surgery. BMC Oral Health 2019;19:238. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-019-0937-8. 12 200
- 13 201 von Sternberg N, Bartsch MS, Petersik A, Wiltfang J, Sibbersen W, Grindel T, et al. [4] ¹⁴₋ 202 Learning by doing virtually. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;36:386-90. 16¹⁰203 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2006.12.016.
- Bosc R, Fitoussi A, Hersant B, Dao T-H, Meningaud J-P. Intraoperative augmented 17 204 [5] reality with heads-up displays in maxillofacial surgery: a systematic review of the 18 205 19 206 literature and a classification of relevant technologies. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 207 2019;48:132-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2018.09.010.
- ⁻⁻₂₂ 208 Soltanimehr E, Bahrampour E, Imani MM, Rahimi F, Almasi B, Moattari M. Effect of [6] virtual versus traditional education on theoretical knowledge and reporting skills of 23 209 24 210 dental students in radiographic interpretation of bony lesions of the jaw. BMC Med Educ ²⁵ 211 2019;19:233. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-019-1649-0.
- $\frac{20}{27}$ 212 Durham M, Engel B, Ferrill T, Halford J, Singh TP, Gladwell M. Digitally Augmented [7] $_{28}\ 213$ Learning in Implant Dentistry. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin N Am 2019;31:387-98. 29 214 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coms.2019.03.003.
- 30 215 Jean-Baptiste Masson - Maxime Dahan⁺ - (EN) Fabien Reval - (EN) Bassam Hajj -[8] ³¹₂₀ 216 mohamed el beheiry - DIVA - Data Integration and Visualisation in Augmented and 33 217 Virtual Environments Research Institut Pasteur n.d. 34 218 https://research.pasteur.fr/fr/project/data-integration-and-visualisation-in-augmented-35 219 and-virtual-environments/ (accessed February 16, 2020). ³⁶ 220
 - El Beheiry M, Godard C, Caporal C, Marcon V, Ostertag Ć, Sliti O, et al. DIVA: Natural [9] Navigation inside 3D Images Using Virtual Reality. J Mol Biol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2020.05.026.
 - [10] Klingenberg CP. MorphoJ: an integrated software package for geometric morphometrics. Mol Ecol Resour 2011;11:353-7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02924.x.
- [11] Ten Berge JMF. J.C. Gower and G.B. Dijksterhuis.Procrustes problems. New York: Oxford University 2005;70:799-801. Press. Psychometrika https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-005-1390-v. ⁴⁷ 229
 - [12] McLachlan JC, Patten D. Anatomy teaching: ghosts of the past, present and future. Med Educ 2006;40:243-53. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2006.02401.x.
 - [13] Triepels CPR, Smeets CFA, Notten KJB, Kruitwagen RFPM, Futterer JJ, Vergeldt TFM, et al. Does three-dimensional anatomy improve student understanding? Clin Anat 2020;33:25-33. https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.23405.
 - [14] Mascarenhas W, Richmond D, Chiasson G. CTRead-A Revolutionary Approach to Training Residents in Computed Tomography Facial Bone Interpretation. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2019;77:1841–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2019.04.016.
 - [15] Alsabbagh AY, Watt-Smith S, Leeson R. Comparison of CT, 3D Reconstruction, and Virtual Reality in the Interpretation of Maxillofacial Fractures. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2019;57:e69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2019.10.205.

11

60 61

- 240 [16] Trelease RB. Anatomical informatics: Millennial perspectives on a newer frontier. Anat Rec 2002;269:224-35. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.10177. 1 241
- 2 242 [17] Brouwers L, Pull Ter Gunne AF, de Jongh MA, Maal TJJ, Vreeken R, van der Heijden ³ 243 FHWM, et al. What is the value of 3D virtual reality in understanding acetabular 244 fractures? Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol Orthop Traumatol 2020;30:109-16. 6 245 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00590-019-02537-w.

5

9

15 16¹⁰ 253

7 246

8 247

11 249

12 250 13 251

17 254

18 255

19 256

23 259 24 260

²⁵. 261

 $_{28}$ 263 29 264

30 265

20 257

21 ⁻⁻₂₂ 258

26 $\frac{1}{27}^{\circ}$ 262

32

37

63 64 65

- [18] Zhang J, Li D, Liu Q, He L, Huang Y, Li P. Virtual surgical system in reduction of maxillary fracture. 2015 IEEE Int. Conf. Digit. Signal Process. DSP, 2015, p. 1102-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDSP.2015.7252050.
- 10 248 [19] Huang T-K, Yang C-H, Hsieh Y-H, Wang J-C, Hung C-C. Augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) applied in dentistry. Kaohsiung J Med Sci 2018;34:243-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjms.2018.01.009. ¹⁴ 252
 - [20] Roessel J, Knoell M, Hofmann J, Buettner R. A systematic literature review of practical virtual and augmented reality solutions in surgery. COMPSAC 2020 Proc. Conf. 44th IEEE Comput. Softw. Appl. Conf. Madr. Spain, Madrid, Spain: COMPSAC 2020 Proceedings; 2020.
 - [21] Bartella AK, Kamal M, Scholl I, Schiffer S, Steegmann J, Ketelsen D, et al. Virtual reality in preoperative imaging in maxillofacial surgery: implementation of "the next level"? Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2019;57:644-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2019.02.014.
 - [22] Pulijala Y, Ma M, Ayoub A. VR Surgery: Interactive Virtual Reality Application for Training Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons using Oculus Rift and Leap Motion. In: Ma M, Oikonomou A, editors. Serious Games Edutainment Appl. Vol. II, Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2017, p. 187-202. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51645-5 8.
 - [23] Estai M, Bunt S. Best teaching practices in anatomy education: A critical review. Ann Anat - Anat Anz 2016;208:151-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aanat.2016.02.010.
- ³¹ 266 33 267 [24] Pulijala Y, Ma M, Pears M, Peebles D, Ayoub A. Effectiveness of Immersive Virtual 34 268 Reality in Surgical Training-A Randomized Control Trial. J Oral Maxillofac Surg Off J 35 269 Oral Maxillofac Surg 2018;76:1065-72. Am Assoc ³⁶ 270 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2017.10.002. 38 271

272 Figures legends

Figure 1. 3D renderings provided by a freeware (RadiAnt, Medixant, Poznan, Poland) (**A**, **B**) and DIVA (**C**, **D**) for the per- and post-operative aspects of a Le Fort III fracture trauma case (case $N_{0}3$).

Figure 2. Exploring a pre-operative (**A**) and post-operative (**B**) Le Fort III trauma case (case N²3) using DIVA. 2D sections can be visualized on a box surrounding the 3D rendering, and the used can interact with the 3D avatar using cutting tools, in order to better understand the internal skull anatomy.

Figure 3. Low error values when positioning 3D landmarks using DIVA: all mean 3D errors were lower than an arbitrary threshold of 1 millimeter. mm: millimeter; 3D: tridimensional; ANS: anterior nasal spine; PNS: posterior nasal spine; Cusp_17: distal palatal cuspid of tooth 17; Cusp_27: distal palatal cuspid of tooth 17; Apex_C2: apex of the odontoid process of C2; Apex_galli: apex of the *crista galli* process.

2 289 3 290 6 7 291 8 9 10 11 1 2 13 14 15 21 22 23 24 25 27 33 35 42 43 44 48 50

288 Table legends

Table 1. Assessment of the use of VR in craniofacial trauma education by 5O undergraduate

1 medical students from the University of Paris.

292 Supplemental Digital Contents

Supplemental Digital Content 1. Pre-operative and post-operative virtual avatars (case №3) on DIVA.

Supplemental Digital Content 2. Pre-operative virtual avatar (case N_{23}) on DIVA with implementation of 2D sections and the cutting tool.

Supplemental Digital Content 3. Post-operative virtual avatar (case N_{23}) on DIVA with implementation of 2D sections and the cutting tool.

Supplemental Digital Content 4. 3D distribution of anatomical landmarks placed by the 4
 evaluators on a post-operative virtual avatar (case №3).

305 Acknowledgments

We acknowledge funding from Institut Pasteur, Institut Curie, Paris Sciences Lettres and the sponsorship of CRPCEN, Gilead-Sciences, and fondation EDF, the "programme d'investissement d'avenir" supported by the "agence nationale de la recherche" ANR-19-P3IA-0001 (PRAIRIE 3IA Institute), INCEPTION project (PIA/ANR- 16-CONV-0005, OG)), and TRamWAy ANR-17-CE23-0016.

Special thanks to Kevin Bloch, Alexia Kany, Alice Prevost and Camille Rabot.

Conflict of interest

Mohamed El Beheiry and Jean-Baptiste Masson are cofounders, shareholders and scientific

- advisors of Avatar Medical.
 - 6 Jean-Baptiste Masson is one of the scientific advisors of the Robeauté startup.



≛



≛



1. Are you satisfied of DIVA when exploring craniofacial trauma cases? Yes n=49 5: very satisfied 5: n=27 4: more than satisfied 3: n=4 2: partly satisfied 2: n=0 1: n=0 2. Do you think that DIVA was easy and intuitive to use? Yes n=50 5: very easy and intuitive 4: n=22 2: partly satisfied 1: n=0 3: a=4 2: n=2 3: n=4 2: Do you think that DIVA was easy and intuitive to use? No n =0 4: more than easy and intuitive 4: n=22 3: easy and intuitive to use? No n =0 4: more than easy and intuitive 3: n=4 2: partly intuitive 2: n=2 1: not at all intuitive 1: n=0 3. Have you experienced any Yes n=7 I visual fatigue n=0 of DIVA? No n =43 I headaches n=0 I nausea n=0 I dizziness n=0 I nausea n=0 I other (precise) n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Yes n=41 payrehended and visualized using DIVA. to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. payrehended and visualized and understood using DIVA.	Questions	Responses	Evaluation scale and more detailed student responses	
exploring craniofacial trauma No n =1 4: more than satisfied 4: n=19 cases? 3: satisfied 3: n=4 2: partly satisfied 2: n=0 1: not at all satisfied 1: n=0 2. Do you think that DIVA was Yes n=50 5: very easy and intuitive 5: n=22 easy and intuitive to use? No n =0 4: more than easy and intuitive 4: n=22 3: easy and intuitive 3: n=4 2: partly intuitive 2: n=2 1: not at all intuitive 3: n=4 2: partly intuitive 2: n=2 3: Have you experienced any Yes n=7 visual fatigue n=0 difficulties in tolerating the use No n =43 headaches n=0 of DIVA? I headaches n=0 n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D renderings? Yes n=49 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1	1. Are you satisfied of DIVA when	Yes n=49	5: very satisfied	5: n=27
cases?3: satisfied3: n=42: partly satisfied2: n=01: not at all satisfied1: n=02. Do you think that DIVA wasYes n=505: very easy and intuitive5: n=22easy and intuitive to use?No n =04: more than easy and intuitive4: n=223: easy and intuitive3: n=42: partly intuitive2: n=21: not at all intuitive1: n=03: n=42: partly intuitive2: n=23: Have you experienced anyYes n=7□ visual fatiguen=0of DIVA?□ headachesn=001difficulties in tolerating the useNo n =43□ headachesn=0of DIVA?□ dizzinessn=0014. Does the use of DIVA allow youYes n=41Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially wellto visualize some lesions notNo n =9apprehended and visualized using DIVA.suspected on usual 2D and 3Drenderings?Fractures lines were better visualized and understoodto better visualize some lesionsNo n =1using DIVA.	exploring craniofacial trauma	No n=1	4: more than satisfied	4: n=19
2: partly satisfied 2: n=0 1: not at all satisfied 1: n=0 2. Do you think that DIVA was Yes n=50 5: very easy and intuitive 5: n=22 easy and intuitive to use? No n =0 4: more than easy and intuitive 4: n=22 3: easy and intuitive 3: n=4 2: partly intuitive 1: n=0 3: Have you experienced any Yes n=7 □ visual fatigue n=0 difficulties in tolerating the use No n =43 □ headaches n=0 of DIVA? □ dizziness n=0 n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D renderings? 5. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=49 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions	cases?		3: satisfied	3: n=4
1: not at all satisfied 1: n=0 2. Do you think that DIVA was Yes n=50 5: very easy and intuitive 5: n=22 easy and intuitive to use? No n =0 4: more than easy and intuitive 4: n=22 3: easy and intuitive 3: n=4 2: partly intuitive 2: n=2 1: not at all intuitive 1: n=0 3: n=4 2: partly intuitive 1: n=0 1: n=0 3. Have you experienced any Yes n=7 □ visual fatigue n=0 difficulties in tolerating the use No n =43 □ headaches n=0 of DIVA? □ ausea n=0 n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D renderings? Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1 using DIVA.			2: partly satisfied	2: n=0
2. Do you think that DIVA was Yes n=50 5: very easy and intuitive 5: n=22 easy and intuitive to use? No n =0 4: more than easy and intuitive 4: n=22 3: easy and intuitive 3: n=4 2: partly intuitive 2: n=2 1: not at all intuitive 1: n=0 1: n=0 3. Have you experienced any Yes n=7 □ visual fatigue n=0 difficulties in tolerating the use No n =43 □ headaches n=0 of DIVA? □ dizziness n=0 n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D No n =9 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1			1: not at all satisfied	1: n=0
easy and intuitive to use? No n =0 4: more than easy and intuitive 4: n=22 3: easy and intuitive 3: n=4 2: partly intuitive 2: n=2 1: not at all intuitive 1: n=0 1: n=0 3. Have you experienced any Yes n=7 □ visual fatigue n=0 of DIVA? □ headaches n=0 □ ausea n=0 □ other (precise) n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D Practures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1 using DIVA.	2. Do you think that DIVA was	Yes n=50	5: very easy and intuitive	5: n=22
3: easy and intuitive 3: n=4 2: partly intuitive 2: n=2 1: not at all intuitive 1: n=0 3. Have you experienced any Yes n=7 □ visual fatigue n=0 difficulties in tolerating the use No n =43 □ headaches n=0 of DIVA? □ dizziness n=0 □ n=0 □ other (precise) n=0 □ n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D No n =9 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions 5. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=49 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood	easy and intuitive to use?	No n =0	4: more than easy and intuitive	e 4: n=22
2: partly intuitive 2: n=2 1: not at all intuitive 1: n=0 3. Have you experienced any Yes n=7 □ visual fatigue n=0 difficulties in tolerating the use No n =43 □ headaches n=0 of DIVA? □ dizziness n=0 □ nausea n=0 □ other (precise) n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D Yes n=49 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1 using DIVA.			3: easy and intuitive	3: n=4
1: not at all intuitive 1: n=0 3. Have you experienced any Yes n=7 □ visual fatigue n=0 difficulties in tolerating the use No n =43 □ headaches n=0 of DIVA? □ dizziness n=0 □ nausea n=0 □ other (precise) n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D Pes n=49 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1 using DIVA.			2: partly intuitive	2: n=2
3. Have you experienced any Yes n=7 □ visual fatigue n=0 difficulties in tolerating the use No n =43 □ headaches n=0 of DIVA? □ dizziness n=0 □ nausea n=0 □ other (precise) n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D Yes n=49 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1 using DIVA.			1: not at all intuitive	1: n=0
difficulties in tolerating the use No n =43 □ headaches n=0 of DIVA? □ dizziness n=0 □ nausea n=0 □ other (precise) n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D Yes n=49 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1 using DIVA.	3. Have you experienced any	Yes n=7	□ visual fatigue	n=0
of DIVA? □ dizziness □ nausea □ nausea □ other (precise) □ other (precise) □ other (precise) □ other (precise) □ other expression processes were especially well apprehended and visualized using DIVA. 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you to visualize some lesions not suspected on usual 2D and 3D renderings? No n =9	difficulties in tolerating the use	No n =43	□ headaches	n=0
□ nausea n=0 □ other (precise) n=0 4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D renderings? Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1 using DIVA.	of DIVA?		□ dizziness	n=0
Image: definition of the set of DIVA allow youYes n=41Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well4. Does the use of DIVA allow youYes n=41Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially wellto visualize some lesions notNo n =9apprehended and visualized using DIVA.suspected on usual 2D and 3Drenderings?Fractures lines were better visualized and understood5. Does the use of DIVA allow youYes n=49Fractures lines were better visualized and understoodto better visualize some lesionsNo n =1using DIVA.			□ nausea	n=0
4. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=41 Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well to visualize some lesions not No n =9 apprehended and visualized using DIVA. suspected on usual 2D and 3D renderings? Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1 using DIVA.			□ other (precise)	n=0
to visualize some lesions notNo n =9apprehended and visualized using DIVA.suspected on usual 2D and 3Dapprehended and visualized using DIVA.renderings?suspected of DIVA allow youYes n=495. Does the use of DIVA allow youYes n=49Fractures lines were better visualized and understoodto better visualize some lesionsNo n =1using DIVA.	4. Does the use of DIVA allow you	Yes n=41	Fracture of the pterygoid processes were especially well	
suspected on usual 2D and 3D renderings? 5. Does the use of DIVA allow you Yes n=49 Fractures lines were better visualized and understood to better visualize some lesions No n =1 using DIVA.	to visualize some lesions <u>not</u>	No n =9	apprehended and visualized using DIVA.	
renderings?Fractures lines were better visualized and understood5. Does the use of DIVA allow youYes n=49to better visualize some lesionsNo n =1using DIVA.	suspected on usual 2D and 3D			
5. Does the use of DIVA allow youYes n=49Fractures lines were better visualized and understoodto better visualize some lesionsNo n =1using DIVA.	renderings?			
to better visualize some lesions No $n = 1$ using DIVA.	5. Does the use of DIVA allow you	Yes n=49	Fractures lines were better visualized and understood	
	to better visualize some lesions	No n=1	using DIVA.	
seen on usual 2D and 3D	seen on usual 2D and 3D			
renderings?	renderings?			
6. Do you think that DIVA wasYes n=505: very profitable5: n=27	6. Do you think that DIVA was	Yes n=50	5: very profitable	5: n=27
beneficial to understand No n =0 4: more than profitable 4: n=19	beneficial to understand	No n=0	4: more than profitable	l: n=19
maxillofacial trauma? 3: profitable 3: n=4	maxillofacial trauma?		3: profitable	3: n=4
2: partly profitable 2: n=0			2: partly profitable	2: n=0
1: not at all profitable 1: n=0			1: not at all profitable	: n=0

7. Do you VR is of interest for the	Yes n=48	It provides a better visualization of the maxillofacial	
better understanding of maxillofacial anatomy? Please precise	No n=1	anatomy, which helps the comprehension of the traumatology.	
8. Would you advise using DIVA	Yes n=49	5: highly recommended	5: n=25
as a standard educational tool in	No n=1	4: more than recommended	4: n=22
traumatology?		3: recommended	3: n=2
		2: partly recommended	2: n=0
		1: not at all recommended	1: n=1